

1

2 Modular strategies for spatial mapping of 3 multi-modal mouse brain data

4 Nicholas J. Tustison¹, Min Chen², Fae N. Kronman³, Jeffrey T. Duda², Clare Gamlin⁴, Mia
5 G. Tustison, Michael Kunst⁴, Rachel Dalley⁴, Staci Sorenson⁴, Quanxin Wang⁴, Lydia Ng⁴,
6 Yongsoo Kim³, and James C. Gee²

7 ¹Department of Radiology and Medical Imaging, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA

8 ²Department of Radiology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

9 ³Department of Neural and Behavioral Sciences, Penn State University, Hershey, PA

10 ⁴Allen Institute for Brain Science, Seattle, WA

11

12 Corresponding authors:

13

14 Nicholas J. Tustison, DSc

15 Department of Radiology and Medical Imaging

16 University of Virginia

17 ntustison@virginia.edu

18

19 James C. Gee, PhD

20 Department of Radiology

21 University of Pennsylvania

22 gee@upenn.edu

²³ **Abstract**

²⁴ Large-scale efforts by the BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network (BICCN) are generating a
²⁵ comprehensive reference atlas of cell types in the mouse brain. A key challenge in this effort
²⁶ is mapping diverse datasets, acquired with varied imaging, tissue processing, and profiling
²⁷ methods, into shared coordinate frameworks. Here, we present modular mapping pipelines
²⁸ developed using the Advanced Normalization Tools Ecosystem (ANTsX) to align MERFISH
²⁹ spatial transcriptomics and high-resolution fMOST morphology data to the Allen Common
³⁰ Coordinate Framework (CCFv3), and developmental MRI and LSFM data to the Devel-
³¹ opmental CCF (DevCCF). Simultaneously, we introduce two novel methods: 1) a velocity
³² field-based approach for continuous interpolation across developmental timepoints, and 2)
³³ a deep learning framework for automated brain parcellation using minimally annotated and
³⁴ publicly available data. All workflows are open-source and reproducible. We also provide
³⁵ general guidance for selecting appropriate strategies across modalities, enabling researchers
³⁶ to adapt these tools to new data.

³⁷ 1 Introduction

³⁸ Over the past decade, there have been significant advancements in mesoscopic single-cell
³⁹ analysis of the mouse brain. It is now possible to track single neurons¹, observe whole-
⁴⁰ brain developmental changes at cellular resolution², associate brain regions with genetic
⁴¹ composition³, and locally characterize neural connectivity⁴. These scientific achievements
⁴² have been propelled by high-resolution profiling and imaging techniques that enable sub-
⁴³ micron, multimodal, 3D characterizations of whole mouse brains. Among these are micro-
⁴⁴ optical sectioning tomography^{5,6}, tissue clearing methods^{1,7}, spatial transcriptomics^{8,9}, and
⁴⁵ single-cell genomic profiling¹⁰, each offering expanded specificity and resolution for cell-level
⁴⁶ brain analysis.

⁴⁷ Recent efforts by the NIH BRAIN Initiative have mobilized large-scale international collabora-
⁴⁸ tions to create a comprehensive reference database of mouse brain structure and function.
⁴⁹ The BRAIN Initiative Cell Census Network has aggregated over 40 multimodal datasets from
⁵⁰ more than 30 research groups¹¹, many of which are registered to standardized anatomical co-
⁵¹ ordinate systems to support integrated analysis. Among the most widely used of these frame-
⁵² works is the Allen Mouse Brain Common Coordinate Framework (CCFv3)¹². Other CCFs
⁵³ include modality-specific references^{13–15} and developmental atlases^{16,17} that track structural
⁵⁴ change across time.

⁵⁵ 1.1 Mouse brain mapping challenges

⁵⁶ Robust mapping of cell type data into CCFs is essential for integrative analysis of morphology,
⁵⁷ connectivity, and molecular identity. However, each modality poses unique challenges. For
⁵⁸ example, differences in tissue processing, imaging protocols, and anatomical completeness
⁵⁹ often introduce artifacts such as distortion, tearing, holes, and signal dropout^{18–23}. Inten-
⁶⁰ sity differences and partial representations of anatomy can further complicate alignment.
⁶¹ Also, while alternative strategies for mapping single-cell spatial transcriptomic data exist
⁶² (e.g., gene expression-based models such as Tangram²⁴) this work focuses on image-based
⁶³ anatomical alignment to common coordinate frameworks using spatially resolved reference

64 images.

65 Given this diversity specialized strategies are often needed to address the unique, modality-
66 specific challenges.

67 Existing mapping solutions fall into three broad categories. The first includes integrated
68 processing platforms that provide users with mapped datasets (e.g., Allen Brain Cell
69 Atlas²⁵, Brain Architecture Portal²⁶, OpenBrainMap²⁷, and Image and Multi-Morphology
70 Pipeline²⁸). These offer convenience and high-quality curated data, but limited gener-
71 alizability and customization. The second category involves highly specialized pipelines
72 tailored to specific modalities such as histology^{29–31}, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)^{32–34},
73 microCT^{35,36}, light sheet fluorescence microscopy (LSFM)^{37,38}, fluorescence micro-optical
74 sectioning tomography (fMOST)^{15,39}, and spatial transcriptomics, including multiplexed
75 error-robust fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (MERFISH)^{40–42}. While effective, these
76 solutions often require extensive engineering effort to adapt to new datasets or modal-
77 ities. Finally, general-purpose toolkits such as elastix⁴³, Slicer3D⁴⁴, and the Advanced
78 Normalization Tools Ecosystem (ANTsX)⁴⁵ have all been applied to mouse brain mapping
79 scenarios. These toolkits support modular workflows that can be flexibly composed from
80 reusable components, offering a powerful alternative to rigid, modality-specific solutions.
81 However, their use often requires familiarity with pipeline modules, parameter tuning, and
82 tool-specific conventions which can limit adoption.

83 Building on this third category, we describe a set of modular, ANTsX-based pipelines specif-
84 ically tailored for mapping diverse mouse brain data into standardized anatomical frame-
85 works. These include two new pipelines: a velocity field–based interpolation model that
86 enables continuous transformations across developmental timepoints of the DevCCF, and a
87 template-based deep learning pipeline for whole brain segmentation (i.e., brain extraction)
88 and structural anatomical regional labeling of the brain (i.e., brain parcellation) requiring
89 minimal annotated data. In addition, we include two modular pipelines for aligning MER-
90 FISH and fMOST datasets to the Allen CCFv3. These workflows were adapted and tailored
91 using ANTsX tools to support collaborative efforts within the BICCN and are now made
92 openly available in a reproducible format. To facilitate broader adoption, we also provide

93 general guidance for customizing these strategies across imaging modalities and data types.
94 We first introduce key components of the ANTsX toolkit, which provide a basis for all of the
95 mapping workflows described here, and then detail the specific contributions made in each
96 pipeline.

97 1.2 The Advanced Normalization Tools Ecosystem (ANTsX)

98 The Advanced Normalization Tools Ecosystem (ANTsX) has been used in a number of
99 applications for mapping mouse brain data as part of core processing steps in various
100 workflows^{31,46–49}, particularly its pairwise, intensity-based image registration capabilities⁵⁰
101 and bias field correction⁵¹. Historically, ANTsX development is based on foundational ap-
102 proaches to image mapping^{52–54}, especially in the human brain, with key contributions such
103 as the Symmetric Normalization (SyN) algorithm⁵⁰. It has been independently evaluated
104 in diverse imaging domains including multi-site brain MRI⁵⁵, pulmonary CT⁵⁶, and multi-
105 modal brain tumor registration⁵⁷.

106 Beyond registration, ANTsX provides functionality for template generation⁵⁸, intensity-based
107 segmentation⁵⁹, preprocessing^{51,60}, and deep learning⁴⁵. It has demonstrated strong perfor-
108 mance in consensus labeling⁶¹, brain tumor segmentation⁶², and cardiac motion estimation⁶³.
109 Built on the Insight Toolkit (ITK)⁶⁴, ANTsX benefits from open-source contributions while
110 supporting continued algorithm evaluation and innovation. In the context of mouse brain
111 data, ANTsX provides a robust platform for developing modular pipelines to map diverse
112 imaging modalities into CCFs. These tools span multiple classes of mapping problems:
113 cross-modality image registration, landmark-driven alignment, temporal interpolation across
114 developmental stages, and deep learning-based segmentation. As such, they also serve as il-
115 lustrative case studies for adapting ANTsX tools to other use cases. We describe both shared
116 infrastructure and targeted strategies adapted to the specific challenges of each modality.
117 This paper highlights usage across distinct BICCN projects such as spatial transcriptomic
118 data from MERFISH, structural data from fMOST, and multimodal developmental data
119 from LSFM and MRI.

¹²⁰ **1.3 Novel ANTsX-based open-source contributions**

¹²¹ We introduce two novel contributions to ANTsX developed as part of collaborative efforts
¹²² in creating the Developmental Common Coordinate Framework (DevCCF)¹⁶. First, we
¹²³ present an open-source velocity field-based interpolation framework for continuous map-
¹²⁴ ping across the sampled embryonic and postnatal stages of the DevCCF atlas¹⁶. This
¹²⁵ functionality enables biologically plausible interpolation between timepoints via a time-
¹²⁶ parameterized diffeomorphic velocity model⁶⁵, inspired by previous work⁶⁶. Second, we
¹²⁷ present a deep learning pipeline for structural parcellation of the mouse brain from mul-
¹²⁸ timodal MRI data. This includes two novel components: 1) a template-derived brain ex-
¹²⁹ traction model using augmented data from two ANTsX-derived template datasets^{67,68}, and
¹³⁰ 2) a template-derived parcellation model trained on DevCCF P56 labelings mapped from
¹³¹ the AllenCCFv3. This pipeline demonstrates how ANTsX tools and public resources can be
¹³² leveraged to build robust anatomical segmentation pipelines with minimal annotated data.
¹³³ We independently evaluate this framework using a longitudinal external dataset⁶⁹, demon-
¹³⁴ strating generalizability across specimens and imaging protocols. All components are openly
¹³⁵ available through the R and Python ANTsX packages, with general-purpose functionality
¹³⁶ documented in a reproducible, cross-platform tutorial (<https://tinyurl.com/antsxtutorial>).
¹³⁷ Code specific to this manuscript, including scripts to reproduce the novel contributions
¹³⁸ and all associated evaluations, is provided in a dedicated repository (<https://github.com/>
¹³⁹ [ntustison/ANTsXMouseBrainMapping](https://github.com/ntustison/ANTsXMouseBrainMapping)). Additional tools for mapping spatial transcriptomic
¹⁴⁰ (MERFISH) and structural (fMOST) data to the AllenCCFv3 are separately available at
¹⁴¹ (<https://github.com/dontminchenit/CCFAAlignmentToolkit>).

142 **2 Results**




Figure 1: Diagram of the two ANTsX-based pipelines for mapping (a) MERFISH and (b)fMOST data into the space of AllenCCFv3. Each generates the requisite transforms to map individual images to the CCF.

143 **2.1 AllenCCFv3 brain image mapping**

144 **2.1.1 Mapping multiplexed error-robust fluorescence *in situ* hybridization
(MERFISH) data**

146 **Overview.** We developed an ANTsX-based pipeline to map spatial transcriptomic MER-
147 FISH data into the AllenCCFv3 (Figure 1(a)). This approach was used in recent efforts to

¹⁴⁸ create a high-resolution transcriptomic atlas of the mouse brain⁴⁹. The pipeline maps spa-
¹⁴⁹ tial gene expression patterns from MERFISH onto anatomical labels in the AllenCCFv3. It
¹⁵⁰ includes MERFISH-specific preprocessing steps such as section reconstruction, label genera-
¹⁵¹ tion from spatial transcriptomic maps, and anatomical correspondence mapping. Alignment
¹⁵² proceeds in two stages: 1) 3D affine registration and section matching of the AllenCCFv3 to
¹⁵³ the MERFISH data, and 2) linear + deformable 2D section-wise alignment between matched
¹⁵⁴ MERFISH and atlas slices. These transformations are concatenated to produce a complete
¹⁵⁵ mapping from each MERFISH data to AllenCCFv3.

¹⁵⁶ **Data.** MERFISH imaging was performed on cryosectioned brains from C57BL/6 mice using
¹⁵⁷ previously described protocols⁴⁹. Brains were placed into an optimal cutting temperature
¹⁵⁸ (OCT) compound (Sakura FineTek 4583) stored at -80°. The fresh frozen brain was sectioned
¹⁵⁹ at 10 μm on Leica 3050 S cryostats at intervals of 200 μm to evenly cover the brain. A set
¹⁶⁰ of 500 genes was selected to distinguish \sim 5200 transcriptomic clusters. Raw MERSCOPE
¹⁶¹ data were decoded using Vizgen software (v231). Cell segmentation was performed using
¹⁶² Cellpose^{70,71} based on DAPI and PolyT stains which was propagated to adjacent slices across
¹⁶³ z-planes. Each MERFISH cell was assigned a transcriptomic identity by mapping to a
¹⁶⁴ scRNA-seq reference taxonomy.

¹⁶⁵ **Evaluation.** Alignment quality was evaluated iteratively by an expert anatomist, guided by
¹⁶⁶ expected gene-marker correspondences to AllenCCFv3 regions. As previously reported⁴⁹, of
¹⁶⁷ the 554 terminal gray matter regions in the AllenCCFv3, only seven small subregions were
¹⁶⁸ not represented in the MERFISH dataset: frontal pole layer 1 (FRP1), FRP2/3, FRP5;
¹⁶⁹ accessory olfactory bulb glomerular (AOBgl), granular (AOBgr), and mitral (AOBmi) layers;
¹⁷⁰ and the accessory supraoptic group (ASO).

¹⁷¹ 2.1.2 Mapping fluorescence micro-optical sectioning tomography (fMOST) data

¹⁷² **Overview.** We also constructed a pipeline for mapping fMOST images to the AllenCCFv3
¹⁷³ using ANTsX (Figure 1(b)). The approach leverages a modality-specific average fMOST
¹⁷⁴ atlas as an intermediate target, adapted from previous work in human and mouse brain
¹⁷⁵ mapping^{12,15,16,58,72–75}. The atlas was constructed from 30 fMOST images selected to cap-

ture representative variability in anatomical shape and image intensity across the population.
176 Preprocessing includes cubic B-spline downsampling to match the $25\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ isotropic AllenC-
177 CFv3 resolution, stripe artifact suppression using a 3D notch filter implemented with SciPy's
178 frequency-domain filtering tools, and N4 bias field correction⁵¹. A one-time, annotation-
179 driven alignment registers the fMOST atlas to AllenCCFv3 using landmark-based registra-
180 tion of key structures. This canonical mapping is then reused. New fMOST specimens are
181 first aligned to the fMOST atlas using standard intensity-based registration, and the con-
182 catened transforms yield full spatial normalization to the AllenCCFv3. This same mapping
183 can be applied to neuron reconstructions to facilitate population-level analysis of morphology
184 and spatial distribution.
185

186 **Data.** fMOST imaging was performed on 55 mouse brains with sparse transgenic labeling
187 of neuron populations^{76,77} using the high-throughput fMOST platform^{78,79}. Voxel resolution
188 was $0.35 \times 0.35 \times 1.0\text{ }\mu\text{m}^3$. Two imaging channels were acquired: GFP-labeled neuron mor-
189 phology (green), and propidium iodide counterstaining for cytoarchitecture (red). Alignment
190 was performed using the red channel for its greater contrast, though multi-channel mapping
191 is also supported.

192 **Evaluation.** The canonical mapping from the fMOST atlas to AllenCCFv3 was eval-
193 uated using both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Dice similarity coefficients were
194 computed between corresponding anatomical labels in the fMOST atlas and AllenCCFv3
195 following registration. These labels were manually annotated or adapted from existing atlas
196 segmentations. Representative Dice scores included: whole brain (0.99), caudate putamen
197 (0.97), fimbria (0.91), posterior choroid plexus (0.93), anterior choroid plexus (0.96), optic
198 chiasm (0.77), and habenular commissure (0.63). In addition to these quantitative assess-
199 ments, each registered fMOST specimen was evaluated qualitatively. An expert anatomist
200 reviewed alignment accuracy and confirmed structural correspondence. Neuron reconstruc-
201 tions from individual brains were also transformed into AllenCCFv3 space, and their trajec-
202 tories were visually inspected to confirm anatomical plausibility and preservation of known
203 projection patterns.

204 2.2 Continuously mapping the DevCCF developmental trajectory




Figure 2: The spatial transformation between any two time points within the continuous DevCCF longitudinal developmental trajectory is available through the use of ANTsX functionality for generating a velocity flow model.

205 The DevCCF is an openly accessible resource for the mouse brain research community⁸⁰,
206 comprising symmetric, multi-modal MRI and LSFM templates generated using the ANTsX
207 framework⁵⁸. It spans key stages of mouse brain development (E11.5, E13.5, E15.5, E18.5,
208 P4, P14, and P56) and includes structural labels defined by a developmental ontology.
209 The DevCCF was constructed in coordination with the AllenCCFv3 to facilitate integra-
210 tion across atlases and data types.

211 Although this collection provides broad developmental coverage, its discrete sampling limits
212 the ability to model continuous transformations across time. To address this, we developed
213 a velocity flow-based modeling approach that enables anatomically plausible, diffeomorphic
214 transformations between any two continuous time points within the DevCCF range. Unlike
215 traditional pairwise interpolation, which requires sequential warping through each interme-
216 diate stage, this model, defined by a time-varying velocity field (i.e., a smooth vector field
217 defined over space and time that governs the continuous deformation of an image domain),
218 allows direct computation of deformations between any two time points in the continuum
219 which improves smoothness and enables flexible spatiotemporal alignment.

220 This functionality is implemented in both ANTsR and ANTsPy (`ants.fit_time_varying_transform_to_`

and integrates seamlessly with existing ANTsX workflows. The velocity field is represented as a 4D ITK image where each voxel stores the x,y,z components of motion at a given time point. Integration of the time-varying velocity field uses uses 4th order Runge-Kutta (`ants.integrate_velocity_field(...)`)⁸¹.

225 2.2.1 Data




Figure 3: Annotated regions representing common labels across developmental stages, shown for both P4 and P14.

226 Each DevCCF template includes over 2,500 labeled anatomical regions, with spatial reso-
227 lutions ranging from 31.5 to 50 μm . For the velocity flow modeling task, we identified a
228 common set of 26 bilateral regions (13 per hemisphere) that were consistently labeled across
229 all timepoints. These regions span major developmental domains including the pallium, sub-
230 pallium, midbrain, prosomeres, hypothalamus, hindbrain subregions, and key white matter
231 tracts (Figure 3).

232 Prior to velocity field optimization, all templates were rigidly aligned to the DevCCF P56
233 template using the centroids of these common label sets. Pairwise correspondence be-
234 tween adjacent timepoints was then computed using ANTsX’s multi-metric registration via

`ants.registration(...)`. Instead of performing intensity-based multi-label registration directly, we constructed 24 binary label masks per atlas pair (one per structure) and optimized alignment using the mean squares similarity metric with the SyN transform⁵⁰.

To generate the point sets for velocity field optimization, we sampled both boundary (contour) and interior (region) points from the P56 labels and propagated them to each developmental stage using the learned pairwise transforms. Contours were sampled at 10% of available points and regions at 1%, yielding 173,303 total points per atlas ($N_{contour} = 98,151$; $N_{region} = 75,152$). Boundary points were assigned double weight during optimization to emphasize anatomical boundary correspondence.

2.2.2 Velocity field optimization




Figure 4: Convergence of velocity field optimization across the DevCCF developmental trajectory. Integration points (color-coded) are distributed along the log-scaled time axis.

The velocity field was optimized using the seven corresponding point sets and their associated weights. The field geometry was defined at [256, 182, 360] with 11 integration points at 50 μm resolution, yielding a compressed velocity model of ~ 2 GB. This resolution balanced

248 accuracy and computational tractability while remaining portable. All data and code are
249 publicly available in the accompanying GitHub repository.

250 To normalize temporal spacing, we assigned scalar values in $[0, 1]$ to each template. Given
251 the nonlinear spacing in postnatal development, we applied a logarithmic transform to the
252 raw time values prior to normalization. Within this logarithmic temporal transform, P56
253 was assigned a span of 28 postnatal days to reflect known developmental dynamics (i.e., in
254 terms of modeling the continuous deformation, the morphological changes between Day 28
255 and Day 56 are insignificant). This improved the temporal distribution of integration points
256 (Figure 4, right panel).

257 Optimization was run for a maximum of 200 iterations using a 2020 iMac (3.6 GHz 10-Core
258 Intel Core i9, 64 GB RAM), with each iteration taking ~ 6 minutes. During each iteration,
259 the velocity field was updated across all 11 integration points by computing regularized
260 displacement fields between warped point sets at adjacent time slices. Updates were applied
261 using a step size of $\delta = 0.2$. Convergence was assessed via average displacement error across
262 all points, with final convergence achieved after ~ 125 iterations (Figure 4, left panel).
263 Median errors across integration points also trended toward zero, albeit at varying rates.

264 2.2.3 The velocity flow transformation model

265 Once optimized, the velocity field enables the computation of diffeomorphic transformations
266 between any pair of continuous time points within the DevCCF developmental range. Fig-
267 ure 5 illustrates cross-warping between all DevCCF stages using the velocity flow model. In
268 addition to facilitating flexible alignment between existing templates, the model also sup-
269 ports the synthesis of virtual templates at intermediate, unsampled developmental stages.
270 As shown in Figure 6, we demonstrate the creation of virtual age templates (e.g., P10.3 and
271 P20) by warping adjacent developmental atlases to a target timepoint and constructing an
272 averaged representation using ANTsX’s template-building functionality.

273 All usage examples, scripts, and supporting data for full reproducibility are publicly available
274 in the associated codebase.




Figure 5: Mid-sagittal visualization of DevCCF templates warped to every other time point. Each row is a reference space; each column is a warped input. Diagonal entries show original templates.




Figure 6: Example of generating “virtual” DevCCF templates at intermediate time points (e.g., P10.3, P20) by warping adjacent stages to a shared time and averaging using ANTsX.

275 2.3 Automated structural labeling of the mouse brain

276 Structural labeling strategies for the mouse brain are essential for understanding the organi-
 277 zation and function of the murine nervous system⁸². By dividing the brain into anatomically
 278 or functionally defined regions, researchers can localize biological processes, relate regional
 279 features to behavior, or quantify spatial variation in gene expression patterns^{83,84}. While
 280 deep learning techniques have yielded robust segmentation and labeling tools for the hu-
 281 man brain (e.g., SynthSeg⁸⁵, ANTsXNet⁴⁵), analogous development for mouse data (e.g.,




Figure 7: The mouse brain cortical labeling pipeline integrates two deep learning components for brain extraction and anatomical region segmentation. Both networks rely heavily on data augmentation applied to templates constructed from open datasets. The framework also supports further refinement or alternative label sets tailored to specific research needs. Possible applications include voxelwise cortical thickness estimation.

MEMOS⁸⁶) has been limited. Mouse neuroimaging often presents unique challenges, such as highly anisotropic sampling, that complicate transfer of existing tools. At the same time, high resolution resources like the AllenCCFv3 and DevCCF provide reference label sets that can serve as training data. We demonstrate how ANTsX can be used to construct a full structural labeling pipeline for the mouse brain (Figure 7), including both whole brain segmentation (i.e., brain extraction) and the subsequent template-based region segmentation.

2.3.1 Template-based mouse brain extraction network

To develop a general-purpose mouse brain extraction model, we constructed whole-head templates from two publicly available T2-weighted datasets. The first dataset, from the Center for Animal MRI (CAMRI) at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill⁶⁷, includes 16 isotropic MRI volumes acquired at $0.16 \times 0.16 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}^3$ resolution. The second dataset⁶⁸ comprises 88 specimens acquired in three orthogonal 2D views (coronal, axial, sagittal) at $0.08 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}^3$ in-plane resolution with 0.5 mm slice thickness. These orthogonal 2D acquisitions were reconstructed into high-resolution 3D volumes using a B-spline fitting algorithm⁸⁷. Using this synthesized dataset and the CAMRI images, we created two ANTsX-

297 based population templates⁵⁸, each paired with a manually delineated brain mask. These
298 served as the basis for training an initial template-based brain extraction model. Deep
299 learning training of the network employed aggressive data augmentation strategies, including
300 bias field simulation, histogram warping, random spatial deformation, noise injection, and
301 anisotropic resampling. This enabled the model to generalize beyond the two templates.
302 The initial model was released through ANTsXNet and made publicly available.

303 Subsequent community use led to further improvements. A research group applying the
304 tool to their own ex vivo T2-weighted mouse brain data contributed a third template and
305 associated mask (acquired at 0.08 mm isotropic resolution). Incorporating this into the
306 training data improved robustness and accuracy to an independent dataset and extended
307 the model’s generalizability. The refined model is distributed through ANTsPyNet via
308 `antspynet.mouse_brain_extraction(...)`.

309 2.3.2 Template-based mouse brain anatomical labeling

310 The AllenCCFv3 atlas and its hierarchical ontology, along with the DevCCF, provide a strong
311 foundation for developing region-wise anatomical labeling models for multi-modal mouse
312 brain imaging. Using the `allensdk` Python library, we generated a coarse segmentation
313 scheme by grouping anatomical labels into six major regions: cerebral cortex, cerebral nuclei,
314 brainstem, cerebellum, main olfactory bulb, and hippocampal formation. These labels were
315 mapped onto the P56 T2-weighted DevCCF template to serve as training targets. We trained
316 a 3D U-net–based segmentation network using this template and the same augmentation
317 strategies described for brain extraction. The model is publicly available via ANTsXNet
318 (`antspynet.mouse_brain_parcellation(...)`) and supports robust anatomical labeling
319 across diverse imaging geometries and contrasts. The inclusion of aggressive augmentation,
320 including simulated anisotropy, enables the model to perform well even on thick-slice input
321 data. Internally, the model reconstructs isotropic probability and label maps, facilitating
322 downstream morphometric analyses. For example, this network integrates with the ANTsX
323 cortical thickness estimation pipeline (`antspynet.mouse_cortical_thickness(...)`) to
324 produce voxelwise cortical thickness maps, even when applied to anisotropic or limited-

325 resolution mouse brain data.

326 **2.3.3 Evaluation**





Figure 8: Evaluation of the ANTsX mouse brain extraction on an independent, publicly available dataset consisting of 12 specimens \times 7 time points = 84 total images. Dice overlap comparisons with the user-generated brain masks provide good agreement with the automated results from the brain extraction network.

327 For evaluation, we used an additional publicly available dataset⁶⁹ that is completely inde-
328 pendent from the data used in training the brain extraction and parcellation networks. Data
329 includes 12 specimens each imaged at seven time points (Day 0, Day 3, Week 1, Week 4,
330 Week 8, Week 20) with in-house-generated brain masks (i.e., produced by the data providers)
331 for a total of 84 images. Spacing is anisotropic with an in-plane resolution of $0.1 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}^2$
332 and a slice thickness of 0.5 mm.


333 Figure 8 summarizes the whole brain overlap between the provided segmentations for all
334 84 images and the results of applying the proposed network. For these data, the whole
335 brain extraction demonstrates excellent performance across the large age range over the
336 entire cohort. Since mapping to the AllenCCFv3 atlas is crucial for many mouse studies,
337 we demonstrate the utility of the second network by leveraging the labeled regions to per-
338 form anatomically-explicit alignment using ANTsX multi-component registration instead of



(a)

(b)

Normalization to AllenCCFv3



(c)

Figure 9: Evaluation of the ANTsX mouse brain parcellation on the same dataset. (a) T2-w DevCCF P56 with the described parcellation consisting of the cerebral cortex, nuclei, brain stem, cerebellum, main olfactory bulb, and hippocampal formation. (b) Sample subject (NR5 Day 0) with the proposed deep learning-based segmentation. (c) Dice overlap over the entire cohort (i.e., all 84 images) for comparing the regional alignments between registration using intensity information only and using intensity with the given parcellation scheme.

³³⁹ intensity-only registration (Figure 9). Although the intensity-only image registration pro-
³⁴⁰ vides adequate alignment, intensity with the regional parcellations significantly improves
³⁴¹ those measures (Figure 9(c)).

³⁴² **3 Discussion**

³⁴³ The diverse mouse brain cell type profiles gathered through BICCN and associated efforts
³⁴⁴ provide a rich multi-modal resource to the research community. However, despite significant
³⁴⁵ progress, optimal leveraging of these valuable resources remains an ongoing challenge. A
³⁴⁶ central component to data integration is accurately mapping novel cell type data into com-
³⁴⁷ mon coordinate frameworks (CCFs) for subsequent processing and analysis. To meet these
³⁴⁸ needs, tools for mapping mouse brain data must be both broadly accessible and capable of
³⁴⁹ addressing challenges unique to each modality. In this work, we described modular ANTsX-
³⁵⁰ based pipelines developed to support three distinct BICCN efforts encompassing spatial
³⁵¹ transcriptomic, morphological, and developmental data. We demonstrated how a flexible
³⁵² image analysis toolkit like ANTsX can be tailored to address specific modality-driven con-
³⁵³ straints by leveraging reusable, validated components.

³⁵⁴ The MERFISH mapping pipeline illustrates how ANTsX tools can be adapted to accom-
³⁵⁵ modate high-resolution spatial transcriptomic data. While the general mapping strategy is
³⁵⁶ applicable to other sectioned histological data, the pipeline includes specific adjustments for
³⁵⁷ known anatomical and imaging artifacts present in MERFISH datasets. As such, this exam-
³⁵⁸ ple demonstrates how general-purpose tools can be customized to meet the requirements of
³⁵⁹ highly specialized data types.

³⁶⁰ The fMOST mapping pipeline was developed with the intention of broader applicability.
³⁶¹ Built primarily from existing ANTsX preprocessing and registration modules, this pipeline
³⁶² introduces an fMOST-specific intermediate atlas to facilitate consistent mappings to the
³⁶³ AllenCCFv3. The use of a canonical fMOST atlas reduces the need for repeated manual
³⁶⁴ alignment across new datasets, and the resulting transformations can be directly applied to
³⁶⁵ associated single-neuron reconstructions. This supports integrative morphological analysis
³⁶⁶ across specimens using a common coordinate system.

³⁶⁷ For developmental data, we introduced a velocity field-based model for continuous interpo-
³⁶⁸ lation between discrete DevCCF timepoints. Although the DevCCF substantially expands
³⁶⁹ coverage of developmental stages relative to prior atlases, temporal gaps remain. The ve-

370 locity model enables spatio-temporal transformations within the full developmental interval
371 and supports the generation of virtual templates at unsampled ages. This functionality is
372 built using ANTsX components for velocity field optimization and integration, and offers
373 a novel mechanism for interpolating across the non-linear developmental trajectory of the
374 mouse brain. Such interpolation has potential utility for both anatomical harmonization and
375 longitudinal analyses. Interestingly, long-range transformations (e.g., P56 to E11.5) revealed
376 anatomy evolving in plausible ways yet sometimes diverging from known developmental pat-
377 terns (e.g., hippocampal shape changes) reflecting the input data and offering insight into
378 temporal gaps. These behaviors could assist future efforts to determine which additional
379 time points would most improve spatiotemporal coverage.

380 We also introduced a template-based deep learning pipeline for mouse brain extraction and
381 parcellation using aggressive data augmentation. This approach is designed to reduce the
382 reliance on large annotated training datasets, which remain limited in the mouse imaging
383 domain. Evaluation on independent data demonstrates promising generalization, though
384 further refinement will be necessary. As with our human-based ANTsX pipelines, failure
385 cases can be manually corrected and recycled into future training cycles. Community con-
386 tributions are welcomed and encouraged, providing a pathway for continuous improvement
387 and adaptation to new datasets.

388 The ANTsX ecosystem offers a powerful foundation for constructing scalable, reproducible
389 pipelines for mouse brain data analysis. Its modular design and multi-platform support
390 enable researchers to develop customized workflows without extensive new software devel-
391 opment. The widespread use of ANTsX components across the neuroimaging community
392 attests to its utility and reliability. As a continuation of the BICCN program, ANTsX is
393 well positioned to support the goals of the BRAIN Initiative Cell Atlas Network (BICAN)
394 and future efforts to extend these mapping strategies to the human brain.

395 **4 Methods**

396 The following methods are all available as part of the ANTsX ecosystem with analogous
397 elements existing in both ANTsR (ANTs in R) and ANTsPy (ANTs in Python), under-
398 pinned by a shared ANTs/ITK C++ core. Most development for the work described was
399 performed using ANTsPy. For equivalent functionality in ANTsR, we refer the reader to the
400 comprehensive ANTsX tutorial: <https://tinyurl.com/antsxtutorial>.

401 **4.1 General ANTsX utilities**

402 Although focused on distinct data types, the three pipelines presented in this work share
403 common components that address general challenges in mapping mouse brain data. These
404 include correcting image intensity artifacts, denoising, spatial registration, template gen-
405 eration, and visualization. Table 1 provides a concise summary of the relevant ANTsX
406 functionality.

407 **Preprocessing: bias field correction and denoising.** Standard preprocessing steps in
408 mouse brain imaging include correcting for spatial intensity inhomogeneities and reducing im-
409 age noise, both of which can impact registration accuracy and downstream analysis. ANTsX
410 provides implementations of widely used methods for these tasks. The N4 bias field correction
411 algorithm⁵¹, originally developed in ANTs and contributed to ITK, mitigates artifactual, low-
412 frequency intensity variation and is accessible via `ants.n4_bias_field_correction(...)`.
413 Patch-based denoising⁶⁰ has been implemented as `ants.denoise_image(...)`.

414 **Image registration.** ANTsX includes a robust and flexible framework for pairwise
415 and groupwise image registration⁸¹. At its core is the SyN algorithm⁵⁰, a symmetric
416 diffeomorphic model with optional B-spline regularization⁶⁶. In ANTsPy, registration
417 is performed via `ants.registration(...)` using preconfigured parameter sets (e.g.,
418 `antsRegistrationSyNQuick[s]`, `antsRegistrationSyN[s]`) suitable for different imaging
419 modalities and levels of computational demand. Resulting transformations can be applied
420 to new images with `ants.apply_transforms(...)`.

Table 1: Sampling of ANTsX functionality

<i>ANTsPy: Preprocessing</i>	
bias field correction	<code>n4_bias_field_correction(...)</code>
image denoising	<code>denoise_image(...)</code>
<i>ANTsPy: Registration</i>	
image registration	<code>registration(...)</code>
image transformation	<code>apply_transforms(...)</code>
template generation	<code>build_template(...)</code>
landmark registration	<code>fit_transform_to_paired_points(...)</code>
time-varying landmark reg.	<code>fit_time_varying_transform_to_point_sets(...)</code>
integrate velocity field	<code>integrate_velocity_field(...)</code>
invert displacement field	<code>invert_displacement_field(...)</code>
<i>ANTsPy: Segmentation</i>	
MRF-based segmentation	<code>atropos(...)</code>
Joint label fusion	<code>joint_label_fusion(...)</code>
diffeomorphic thickness	<code>kelly_kapowski(...)</code>
<i>ANTsPy: Miscellaneous</i>	
Regional intensity statistics	<code>label_stats(...)</code>
Regional shape measures	<code>label_geometry_measures(...)</code>
B-spline approximation	<code>fit_bspline_object_to_scattered_data(...)</code>
Visualize images and overlays	<code>plot(...)</code>
<i>ANTsPyNet: Mouse-specific</i>	
brain extraction	<code>mouse_brain_extraction(...modality="t2"...)</code>
brain parcellation	<code>mouse_brain_parcellation(...)</code>
cortical thickness	<code>mouse_cortical_thickness(...)</code>
super resolution	<code>mouse_histology_super_resolution(...)</code>

ANTsX provides state-of-the-art functionality for processing biomedical image data. Such tools, including deep learning networks, support a variety of mapping-related tasks. A more comprehensive listing of ANTsX tools with self-contained R and Python examples is provided as a gist page on GitHub (<https://tinyurl.com/antsxtutorial>).

421 **Template generation.** ANTsX supports population-based template generation through it-
422 erative pairwise registration to an evolving estimate of the mean shape and intensity reference
423 space across subjects⁵⁸. This functionality was used in generating the DevCCF templates¹⁶.
424 The procedure, implemented as `ants.build_template(...)`, produces average images in
425 both shape and intensity by aligning all inputs to a common evolving template.

426 **Visualization.** To support visual inspection and quality control, ANTsPy provides flexible
427 image visualization with `ants.plot(...)`. This function enables multi-slice and multi-
428 orientation rendering with optional overlays and label maps.

429 4.2 Mapping fMOST data to AllenCCFv3

430 **Preprocessing.** Mapping fMOST data into the AllenCCFv3 presents unique challenges due
431 to its native ultra-high resolution and imaging artifacts common to the fMOST modality.
432 Each fMOST image can exceed a terabyte in size, with spatial resolutions far exceeding
433 those of the AllenCCFv3 ($25\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ isotropic). To reduce computational burden and prevent
434 resolution mismatch, each fMOST image is downsampled using cubic B-spline interpolation
435 via `ants.resample_image(...)` to match the template resolution.

436 Stripe artifacts (i.e., periodic intensity distortions caused by nonuniform sectioning or il-
437 lumination) are common in fMOST and can mislead deformable registration algorithms.
438 These were removed using a custom 3D notch filter (`remove_stripe_artifact(...)`) im-
439 plemented in the `CCFAlignmentToolkit` using SciPy frequency domain filtering. The filter
440 targets dominant stripe frequencies along a user-specified axis in the Fourier domain. In
441 addition, intensity inhomogeneity across sections, often arising from variable staining or
442 illumination, was corrected using N4 bias field correction.

443 **Template-based spatial normalization.** To facilitate reproducible mapping, we first
444 constructed a contralaterally symmetric average template from 30 fMOST brains and their
445 mirrored counterparts using ANTsX template-building tools. Because the AllenCCFv3 and
446 fMOST data differ substantially in both intensity contrast and morphology, direct deformable
447 registration between individual fMOST brains and the AllenCCFv3 was insufficiently robust.

448 Instead, we performed a one-time expert-guided label-driven registration between the aver-
449 age fMOST template and AllenCCFv3. This involved sequential alignment of seven manually
450 selected anatomical regions: 1) brain mask/ventricles, 2) caudate/putamen, 3) fimbria, 4)
451 posterior choroid plexus, 5) optic chiasm, 6) anterior choroid plexus, and 7) habenular
452 commissure which were prioritized to enable coarse-to-fine correction of shape differences.
453 Once established, this fMOST-template-to-AllenCCFv3 transform was reused for all subse-
454 quent specimens. Each new fMOST brain was then registered to the average fMOST tem-
455 plate using intensity-based registration, followed by concatenation of transforms to produce
456 the final mapping into AllenCCFv3 space.

457 **Mapping neuron projections.** A key advantage of fMOST imaging is its ability to support
458 single neuron projection reconstruction across the entire brain⁷⁷. Because these reconstruc-
459 tions are stored as 3D point sets aligned to the original fMOST volume, we applied the same
460 composite transform used for image alignment to the point data using ANTsX functional-
461 ity. This enables seamless integration of cellular morphology data into AllenCCFv3 space,
462 facilitating comparative analyses across specimens.

463 4.3 Mapping MERFISH data to AllenCCFv3

464 **Preprocessing.** MERFISH data are acquired as a series of 2D tissue sections, each com-
465 prising spatially localized gene expression measurements at subcellular resolution. To enable
466 3D mapping to the AllenCCFv3, we first constructed anatomical reference images by aggre-
467 gating the number of detected transcripts per voxel across all probes within each section.
468 These 2D projections were resampled to a resolution of $10 \mu m \times 10 \mu m$ to match the in-plane
469 resolution of the AllenCCFv3.

470 Sections were coarsely aligned using manually annotated dorsal and ventral midline points,
471 allowing initial volumetric reconstruction. However, anatomical fidelity remained limited by
472 variation in section orientation, spacing, and tissue loss. To further constrain alignment and
473 enable deformable registration, we derived region-level anatomical labels directly from the
474 gene expression data.

475 **Label creation.** We assigned each detected cell to one of 15 coarse anatomical regions (e.g.,
476 hippocampus, cortex, striatum—using transcriptomic similarity to scRNA) seq reference
477 data. These assignments were aggregated across spatial grids to produce probabilistic label
478 maps for each section. To ensure full regional coverage, morphological dilation was applied to
479 fill gaps between sparsely distributed cells. Finer-resolution structures (e.g., cortical layers,
480 habenula) were similarly labeled using marker gene enrichment and spatial constraints. This
481 dual-level labeling (i.e., coarse and fine) allowed us to construct a robust anatomical scaffold
482 in the MERFISH coordinate system that could be matched to AllenCCFv3 annotations.

483 **Section matching via global alignment.** A major challenge was compensating for oblique
484 cutting angles and non-uniform section thickness, which distort the anatomical shape and
485 spacing of the reconstructed volume. Rather than directly warping the MERFISH data
486 into atlas space, we globally aligned the AllenCCFv3 to the MERFISH coordinate system.
487 This was done via an affine transformation followed by resampling of AllenCCFv3 sections
488 to match the number and orientation of MERFISH sections. This approach minimizes
489 interpolation artifacts in the MERFISH data and facilitates one-to-one section matching.

490 **Landmark-driven deformable alignment.** We used a 2.5D approach for fine alignment of
491 individual sections. In each MERFISH slice, deformable registration was driven by sequential
492 alignment of anatomical landmarks between the label maps derived from MERFISH and
493 AllenCCFv3. A total of nine regions—including isocortical layers 2/3, 5, and 6, the striatum,
494 hippocampus, thalamus, and medial/lateral habenula—were registered in an empirically
495 determined order. After each round, anatomical alignment was visually assessed by an
496 expert, and the next structure was selected to maximize improvement in the remaining
497 misaligned regions.

498 The final transform for each section combined the global affine alignment and the per-
499 structure deformable registrations. These were concatenated to generate a 3D mapping from
500 the original MERFISH space to the AllenCCFv3 coordinate system. Once established, the
501 composite mapping enables direct transfer of gene-level and cell-type data from MERFISH
502 into atlas space, allowing integration with other imaging and annotation datasets.

503 **4.4 DevCCF velocity flow transformation model**

504 The Developmental Common Coordinate Framework (DevCCF)¹⁶ provides a discrete set of
505 age-specific templates that temporally sample the developmental trajectory. To model this
506 biological progression more continuously, we introduce a velocity flow-based paradigm for in-
507 ferring diffeomorphic transformations between developmental stages. This enables anatom-
508 ically plausible estimation of intermediate templates or mappings at arbitrary timepoints
509 between the E11.5 and P56 endpoints of the DevCCF. Our approach builds on established
510 insights from time-varying diffeomorphic registration⁶⁵, where a velocity field governs the
511 smooth deformation of anatomical structures over time. Importantly, the framework is ex-
512 tensible and can naturally accommodate additional timepoints for the potential expansion
513 of the DevCCF.

514 **Point sampling and region correspondence.** We first coalesced the anatomical labels
515 across the seven DevCCF templates (E11.5, E13.5, E15.5, E18.5, P4, P14, P56) into 26
516 common structures that could be consistently identified across development. These include
517 major brain regions such as the cortex, cerebellum, hippocampus, midbrain, and ventricles.
518 For each successive pair of templates, we performed multi-label deformable registration us-
519 ing ANTsX to generate forward and inverse transforms between anatomical label volumes.
520 From the P56 space, we randomly sampled approximately 1e6 points within and along the
521 boundaries of each labeled region and propagated them through each pairwise mapping step
522 (e.g., P56 → P14, P14 → P4, ..., E13.5 → E11.5). This procedure created time-indexed
523 point sets tracing the spatial evolution of each region.

524 **Velocity field fitting.** Using these point sets, we fit a continuous velocity field over develop-
525 mental time using a generalized B-spline scattered data approximation method⁸⁷. The field
526 was parameterized over a log-scaled time axis to ensure finer temporal resolution during early
527 embryonic stages, where morphological changes are most rapid. Optimization proceeded for
528 approximately 125 iterations, minimizing the average Euclidean norm between transformed
529 points at each step. Ten integration points were used to ensure numerical stability. The
530 result is a smooth, differentiable vector field that defines a diffeomorphic transform between
531 any two timepoints within the template range.

532 **Applications and availability.** This velocity model can be used to estimate spa-
533 tial transformations between any pair of developmental stages—even those for which
534 no empirical template exists—allowing researchers to create interpolated atlases, align
535 new datasets, or measure continuous structural changes. It also enables developmental
536 alignment of multi-modal data (e.g., MRI to LSFM) by acting as a unifying spatiotem-
537 poral scaffold. The underlying components for velocity field fitting and integration
538 are implemented in ITK, and the complete workflow is accessible in both ANTsPy
539 (`ants.fit_time_varying_transform_to_point_sets(...)`) and ANTsR. In addition
540 the availability of the DevCCF use case, self-contained examples and usage tutorials are
541 provided in our public codebase.

542 4.5 Automated brain extraction and parcellation with ANTsXNet

543 To support template-based deep learning approaches for structural brain extraction and par-
544 cellation, we implemented dedicated pipelines using the ANTsXNet framework. ANTsXNet
545 comprises open-source deep learning libraries in both Python (ANTsPyNet) and R (ANTsR-
546 Net) that interface with the broader ANTsX ecosystem and are built on TensorFlow/Keras.
547 Our mouse brain pipelines mirror existing ANTsXNet tools for human imaging but are
548 adapted for species-specific anatomical variation, lower SNR, and heterogeneous acquisition
549 protocols.

550 4.5.1 Deep learning training setup

551 All network-based approaches were implemented using a standard U-net⁸⁸ architecture and
552 hyperparameters previously evaluated in ANTsXNet pipelines for human brain imaging⁴⁵.
553 This design follows the ‘no-new-net’ principle⁸⁹, which demonstrates that a well-configured,
554 conventional U-net can achieve robust and competitive performance across a wide range of
555 biomedical segmentation tasks with little to no architectural modifications from the original.
556 Both networks use a 3D U-net architecture implemented in TensorFlow/Keras, with five
557 encoding/decoding levels and skip connections. The loss function combined Dice and cate-
558 gorical cross-entropy terms. Training used a batch size of 4, Adam optimizer with an initial

559 learning rate of 2e-4, and early stopping based on validation loss. Training was performed on
560 an NVIDIA DGX system ($4 \times$ Tesla V100 GPUs, 256 GB RAM). Model weights and prepro-
561 cessing routines are shared across ANTsPyNet and ANTsRNet to ensure reproducibility and
562 language portability. For both published and unpublished trained networks available through
563 ANTsXNet, all training scripts and data augmentation generators are publicly available at
564 <https://github.com/ntustison/ANTsXNetTraining>.

565 **Data augmentation.** Robust data augmentation was critical to generalization across scan-
566 ners, contrast types, and resolutions. We applied both intensity- and shape-based augmen-
567 tation strategies:

568 • *Intensity augmentations:*

- 569 – Gaussian, Poisson, and salt-and-pepper noise:
570 `ants.add_noise_to_image(...)`
- 571 – Simulated intensity inhomogeneity via bias field modeling⁵¹:
572 `antspynet.simulate_bias_field(...)`
- 573 – Histogram warping to simulate contrast variation⁹⁰:
574 `antspynet.histogram_warp_image_intensities(...)`

575 • *Shape augmentations:*

- 576 – Random nonlinear deformations and affine transforms:
577 `antspynet.randomly_transform_image_data(...)`
- 578 – Anisotropic resampling across axial, sagittal, and coronal planes:
579 `ants.resample_image(...)`

580 **4.5.2 Brain extraction**

581 We originally trained a mouse-specific brain extraction model on two manually masked
582 T2-weighted templates, generated from public datasets^{67,68}. One of the templates was
583 constructed from orthogonal 2D acquisitions using B-spline–based volumetric synthesis via

584 `ants.fit_bspline_object_to_scattered_data(...)`. Normalized gradient magnitude
585 was used as a weighting function to emphasize boundaries during reconstruction⁸⁷.

586 This training strategy provides strong spatial priors despite limited data by leveraging high-
587 quality template images and aggressive augmentation to mimic population variability. Dur-
588 ing the development of this work, the network was further refined through community en-
589 gagement. A user from a U.S.-based research institute applied this publicly available (but
590 then unpublished) brain extraction tool to their own mouse MRI dataset. Based on feedback
591 and iterative collaboration with the ANTsX team, the model was retrained and improved to
592 better generalize to additional imaging contexts. This reflects our broader commitment to
593 community-driven development and responsiveness to user needs across diverse mouse brain
594 imaging scenarios.

595 The final trained network is available via ANTsXNet through the function
596 `antspynet.mouse_extraction(...)`. Additionally, both template/mask pairs are
597 accessible via ANTsXNet. For example, one such image pair is available via:

- Template:

```
599     antspynet.get_antsxnet_data("bsplineT2MouseTemplate")
```

- Brain mask:

```
601     antspynet.get_antsxnet_data("bsplineT2MouseTemplateBrainMask")
```

602 4.5.3 Brain parcellation

603 For brain parcellation, we trained a 3D U-net model using the DevCCF P56 T2-weighted
604 template and anatomical segmentations derived from AllenCCFv3. This template-based
605 training strategy enables the model to produce accurate, multi-region parcellations without
606 requiring large-scale annotated subject data.

607 To normalize intensity across specimens, input images were preprocessed using rank-based
608 intensity normalization (`ants.rank_intensity(...)`). Spatial harmonization was achieved
609 through affine and deformable alignment of each extracted brain to the P56 template prior

610 to inference. In addition to the normalized image input, the network also receives prior
611 probability maps derived from the atlas segmentations, providing additional spatial context.

612 This general parcellation deep learning framework has also been applied in collaboration
613 with other groups pursuing related but distinct projects. In one case, a model variant was
614 adapted for T2-weighted MRI using an alternative anatomical labeling scheme; in another,
615 a separate model was developed for serial two-photon tomography (STPT) with a different
616 parcellation set. All three models are accessible through a shared interface in ANTsXNet:
617 `antspynet.mouse_brain_parcellation(...)`. Ongoing work is further extending this ap-
618 proach to embryonic mouse brain data. These independent efforts reflect broader community
619 interest in adaptable parcellation tools and reinforce the utility of ANTsXNet as a platform
620 for reproducible, extensible deep learning workflows.

621 4.5.4 Evaluation and reuse

622 To assess model generalizability, both the brain extraction and parcellation networks were
623 evaluated on an independent longitudinal dataset comprising multiple imaging sessions with
624 varied acquisition parameters⁶⁹. Although each label or imaging modality required re-
625 training, the process was streamlined by the reusable ANTsX infrastructure enabled by
626 rapid adaptation with minimal overhead. These results illustrate the practical benefits of a
627 template-based, low-shot strategy and modular deep learning framework. All trained mod-
628 els, associated training scripts, and supporting resources are openly available and designed
629 for straightforward integration into ANTsX workflows.

630 **Data availability**

631 All data and software used in this work are publicly available. The DevCCF atlas is
632 available at <https://kimlab.io/brain-map/DevCCF/>. ANTsPy, ANTsR, ANTsPyNet, and
633 ANTsRNet are available through GitHub at the ANTsX Ecosystem ([https://github.com/](https://github.com/ANTsX)
634 [ANTsX](#)). Training scripts for all deep learning functionality in ANTsXNet can also be
635 found on GitHub (<https://github.com/ntustison/ANTsXNetTraining>). A GitHub reposi-
636 tory specifically pertaining to the AllenCCFv3 mapping is available at <https://github.com/>
637 [dontminchenit/CCFAAlignmentToolkit](#). For the other two contributions contained in this
638 work, the longitudinal DevCCF mapping and mouse cortical thickness pipeline, we refer the
639 interested reader to <https://github.com/ntustison/ANTsXMouseBrainMapping>.

640 **Acknowledgments**

641 Support for the research reported in this work includes funding from the National Institute
642 of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (R01-EB031722) and National Institute of Mental
643 Health (RF1-MH124605 and U24-MH114827).

644 We also acknowledge the data contribution of Dr. Adam Raikes (GitHub @araikes) of the
645 Center for Innovation in Brain Science at the University of Arizona for refining the weights
646 of the mouse brain extraction network.

647 **Author contributions**

648 N.T., M.C., and J.G. wrote the main manuscript text and figures. M.C., M.K., R.D., S.S.,
649 Q.W., L.G., J.D., C.G., and J.G. developed the Allen registration pipelines. N.T. and F.K.
650 developed the time-varying velocity transformation model for the DevCCF. N.T. and M.T.
651 developed the brain parcellation and cortical thickness methodology. All authors reviewed
652 the manuscript.

653 **References**

- 654 1. Keller, P. J. & Ahrens, M. B. Visualizing whole-brain activity and development at
the single-cell level using light-sheet microscopy. *Neuron* **85**, 462–83 (2015).
- 655 2. La Manno, G. *et al.* Molecular architecture of the developing mouse brain. *Nature*
596, 92–96 (2021).
- 656 3. Wen, L. *et al.* Single-cell technologies: From research to application. *Innovation
(Camb)* **3**, 100342 (2022).
- 657 4. Oh, S. W. *et al.* A mesoscale connectome of the mouse brain. *Nature* **508**, 207–14
(2014).
- 658 5. Gong, H. *et al.* Continuously tracing brain-wide long-distance axonal projections in
mice at a one-micron voxel resolution. *Neuroimage* **74**, 87–98 (2013).
- 659 6. Li, A. *et al.* Micro-optical sectioning tomography to obtain a high-resolution atlas of
the mouse brain. *Science* **330**, 1404–8 (2010).
- 660 7. Ueda, H. R. *et al.* Tissue clearing and its applications in neuroscience. *Nat Rev
Neurosci* **21**, 61–79 (2020).
- 661 8. Ståhl, P. L. *et al.* Visualization and analysis of gene expression in tissue sections by
spatial transcriptomics. *Science* **353**, 78–82 (2016).
- 662 9. Burgess, D. J. Spatial transcriptomics coming of age. *Nat Rev Genet* **20**, 317 (2019).
- 663 10. Hardwick, S. A. *et al.* Single-nuclei isoform RNA sequencing unlocks barcoded exon
connectivity in frozen brain tissue. *Nature biotechnology* **40**, 1082–1092 (2022).
- 664 11. Hawrylycz, M. *et al.* A guide to the BRAIN initiative cell census network data
ecosystem. *PLoS biology* **21**, e3002133 (2023).
- 665 12. Wang, Q. *et al.* The allen mouse brain common coordinate framework: A 3D reference
atlas. *Cell* **181**, 936–953.e20 (2020).
- 666 13. Perens, J. *et al.* An optimized mouse brain atlas for automated mapping and quantification
of neuronal activity using iDISCO+ and light sheet fluorescence microscopy.
Neuroinformatics **19**, 433–446 (2021).
- 667 14. Ma, Y. *et al.* A three-dimensional digital atlas database of the adult C57BL/6J mouse
brain by magnetic resonance microscopy. *Neuroscience* **135**, 1203–1215 (2005).

- 668 15. Qu, L. *et al.* Cross-modal coherent registration of whole mouse brains. *Nature Methods* **19**, 111–118 (2022).
- 669 16. Kronman, F. N. *et al.* [Developmental mouse brain common coordinate framework](#). *Nat Commun* **15**, 9072 (2024).
- 670 17. Chuang, N. *et al.* An MRI-based atlas and database of the developing mouse brain. *Neuroimage* **54**, 80–89 (2011).
- 671 18. Dries, R. *et al.* Advances in spatial transcriptomic data analysis. *Genome research* **31**, 1706–1718 (2021).
- 672 19. Ricci, P. *et al.* Removing striping artifacts in light-sheet fluorescence microscopy: A review. *Progress in biophysics and molecular biology* **168**, 52–65 (2022).
- 673 20. Agarwal, N., Xu, X. & Gopi, M. Robust registration of mouse brain slices with severe histological artifacts. in *Proceedings of the tenth indian conference on computer vision, graphics and image processing* 1–8 (2016).
- 674 21. Agarwal, N., Xu, X. & Gopi, M. Automatic detection of histological artifacts in mouse brain slice images. in *Medical computer vision and bayesian and graphical models for biomedical imaging: MICCAI 2016 international workshops, MCV and BAMBI, athens, greece, october 21, 2016, revised selected papers* 8 105–115 (Springer, 2017).
- 675 22. Tward, D. *et al.* 3d mapping of serial histology sections with anomalies using a novel robust deformable registration algorithm. in *International workshop on multimodal brain image analysis* 162–173 (Springer, 2019).
- 676 23. Cahill, L. S. *et al.* Preparation of fixed mouse brains for MRI. *Neuroimage* **60**, 933–939 (2012).
- 677 24. Biancalani, T. *et al.* [Deep learning and alignment of spatially resolved single-cell transcriptomes with tangram](#). *Nat Methods* **18**, 1352–1362 (2021).
- 678 25. Sunkin, S. M. *et al.* Allen brain atlas: An integrated spatio-temporal portal for exploring the central nervous system. *Nucleic acids research* **41**, D996–D1008 (2012).
- 679 26. Kim, Y. *et al.* Brain-wide maps reveal stereotyped cell-type-based cortical architecture and subcortical sexual dimorphism. *Cell* **171**, 456–469 (2017).

- 680 27. Fürth, D. *et al.* An interactive framework for whole-brain maps at cellular resolution. *Nat Neurosci* **21**, 139–149 (2018).
- 681 28. Li, Y. *et al.* mBrainAligner-web: A web server for cross-modal coherent registration of whole mouse brains. *Bioinformatics* **38**, 4654–4655 (2022).
- 682 29. Puchades, M. A., Csucs, G., Ledergerber, D., Leergaard, T. B. & Bjaalie, J. G. Spatial registration of serial microscopic brain images to three-dimensional reference atlases with the QuickNII tool. *PloS one* **14**, e0216796 (2019).
- 683 30. Eastwood, B. S. *et al.* Whole mouse brain reconstruction and registration to a reference atlas with standard histochemical processing of coronal sections. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **527**, 2170–2178 (2019).
- 684 31. Ni, H. *et al.* A robust image registration interface for large volume brain atlas. *Sci Rep* **10**, 2139 (2020).
- 685 32. Pallast, N. *et al.* Processing pipeline for atlas-based imaging data analysis of structural and functional mouse brain MRI (AIDAmri). *Front Neuroinform* **13**, 42 (2019).
- 686 33. Celestine, M., Nadkarni, N. A., Garin, C. M., Bougacha, S. & Dhenain, M. Sammba-MRI: A library for processing SmAll-MaMmal BrAin MRI data in python. *Front Neuroinform* **14**, 24 (2020).
- 687 34. Ioanas, H.-I., Marks, M., Zerbi, V., Yanik, M. F. & Rudin, M. An optimized registration workflow and standard geometric space for small animal brain imaging. *Neuroimage* **241**, 118386 (2021).
- 688 35. Aggarwal, M., Zhang, J., Miller, M. I., Sidman, R. L. & Mori, S. Magnetic resonance imaging and micro-computed tomography combined atlas of developing and adult mouse brains for stereotaxic surgery. *Neuroscience* **162**, 1339–1350 (2009).
- 689 36. Chandrashekhar, V. *et al.* CloudReg: Automatic terabyte-scale cross-modal brain volume registration. *Nature methods* **18**, 845–846 (2021).
- 690 37. Jin, M. *et al.* SMART: An open-source extension of WholeBrain for intact mouse brain registration and segmentation. *eNeuro* **9**, (2022).
- 691 38. Negwer, M. *et al.* FriendlyClearMap: An optimized toolkit for mouse brain mapping and analysis. *Gigascience* **12**, (2022).

- 692 39. Lin, W. *et al.* Whole-brain mapping of histaminergic projections in mouse brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **120**, e2216231120 (2023).
- 693 40. Zhang, M. *et al.* Spatially resolved cell atlas of the mouse primary motor cortex by MERFISH. *Nature* **598**, 137–143 (2021).
- 694 41. Shi, H. *et al.* Spatial atlas of the mouse central nervous system at molecular resolution. *Nature* **622**, 552–561 (2023).
- 695 42. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Reference-based cell type matching of *in situ* image-based spatial transcriptomics data on primary visual cortex of mouse brain. *Scientific Reports* **13**, 9567 (2023).
- 696 43. Klein, S., Staring, M., Murphy, K., Viergever, M. A. & Pluim, J. P. W. [Elastix: A toolbox for intensity-based medical image registration](#). *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **29**, 196–205 (2010).
- 697 44. Fedorov, A. *et al.* 3D slicer as an image computing platform for the quantitative imaging network. *Magnetic resonance imaging* **30**, 1323–1341 (2012).
- 698 45. Tustison, N. J. *et al.* [The ANTsX ecosystem for quantitative biological and medical imaging](#). *Sci Rep* **11**, 9068 (2021).
- 699 46. Pagani, M., Damiano, M., Galbusera, A., Tsafaris, S. A. & Gozzi, A. Semi-automated registration-based anatomical labelling, voxel based morphometry and cortical thickness mapping of the mouse brain. *Journal of neuroscience methods* **267**, 62–73 (2016).
- 700 47. Anderson, R. J. *et al.* [Small animal multivariate brain analysis \(SAMBA\) - a high throughput pipeline with a validation framework](#). *Neuroinformatics* **17**, 451–472 (2019).
- 701 48. Allan Johnson, G. *et al.* Whole mouse brain connectomics. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **527**, 2146–2157 (2019).
- 702 49. Yao, Z. *et al.* [A high-resolution transcriptomic and spatial atlas of cell types in the whole mouse brain](#). *Nature* **624**, 317–332 (2023).
- 703 50. Avants, B. B., Epstein, C. L., Grossman, M. & Gee, J. C. [Symmetric diffeomorphic image registration with cross-correlation: Evaluating automated labeling of elderly and neurodegenerative brain](#). *Med Image Anal* **12**, 26–41 (2008).

- 704 51. Tustison, N. J. *et al.* N4ITK: Improved N3 bias correction. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **29**, 1310–20 (2010).
- 705 52. Bajcsy, R. & Broit, C. Matching of deformed images. in *Sixth International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR'82)* 351–353 (1982).
- 706 53. Bajcsy, R. & Kovacic, S. Multiresolution elastic matching. *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing* **46**, 1–21 (1989).
- 707 54. Gee, J. C., Reivich, M. & Bajcsy, R. Elastically deforming 3D atlas to match anatomical brain images. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* **17**, 225–36 (1993).
- 708 55. Klein, A. *et al.* Evaluation of 14 nonlinear deformation algorithms applied to human brain MRI registration. *Neuroimage* **46**, 786–802 (2009).
- 709 56. Murphy, K. *et al.* Evaluation of registration methods on thoracic CT: The EMPIRE10 challenge. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **30**, 1901–20 (2011).
- 710 57. Baheti, B. *et al.* The brain tumor sequence registration challenge: Establishing correspondence between pre-operative and follow-up MRI scans of diffuse glioma patients. (2021).
- 711 58. Avants, B. B. *et al.* The optimal template effect in hippocampus studies of diseased populations. *Neuroimage* **49**, 2457–66 (2010).
- 712 59. Avants, B. B., Tustison, N. J., Wu, J., Cook, P. A. & Gee, J. C. An open source multivariate framework for n-tissue segmentation with evaluation on public data. *Neuroinformatics* **9**, 381–400 (2011).
- 713 60. Manjón, J. V., Coupé, P., Martí-Bonmatí, L., Collins, D. L. & Robles, M. Adaptive non-local means denoising of MR images with spatially varying noise levels. *J Magn Reson Imaging* **31**, 192–203 (2010).
- 714 61. Wang, H. *et al.* Multi-atlas segmentation with joint label fusion. *IEEE Trans Pattern Anal Mach Intell* **35**, 611–23 (2013).
- 715 62. Tustison, N. J. *et al.* Optimal symmetric multimodal templates and concatenated random forests for supervised brain tumor segmentation (simplified) with ANTsR. *Neuroinformatics* (2014) doi:10.1007/s12021-014-9245-2.

- 716 63. Tustison, N. J., Yang, Y. & Salerno, M. [Advanced normalization tools for cardiac motion correction](#). in *Statistical atlases and computational models of the heart - imaging and modelling challenges* (eds. Camara, O. et al.) vol. 8896 3–12 (Springer International Publishing, 2015).
- 717 64. McCormick, M., Liu, X., Jomier, J., Marion, C. & Ibanez, L. [ITK: Enabling reproducible research and open science](#). *Front Neuroinform* **8**, 13 (2014).
- 718 65. Beg, M. F., Miller, M. I., Trouvé, A. & Younes, L. [Computing large deformation metric mappings via geodesic flows of diffeomorphisms](#). *International Journal of Computer Vision* **61**, 139–157 (2005).
- 719 66. Tustison, N. J. & Avants, B. B. [Explicit B-spline regularization in diffeomorphic image registration](#). *Front Neuroinform* **7**, 39 (2013).
- 720 67. Hsu, L.-M. *et al.* CAMRI mouse brain MRI data.
- 721 68. Reshetnikov, V. *et al.* High-resolution MRI data of brain C57BL/6 and BTBR mice in three different anatomical views.
- 722 69. Rahman, N., Xu, K., Budde, M. D., Brown, A. & Baron, C. A. [A longitudinal microstructural MRI dataset in healthy C57Bl/6 mice at 9.4 tesla](#). *Sci Data* **10**, 94 (2023).
- 723 70. Liu, J. *et al.* [Concordance of MERFISH spatial transcriptomics with bulk and single-cell RNA sequencing](#). *Life Sci Alliance* **6**, (2023).
- 724 71. Stringer, C., Wang, T., Michaelos, M. & Pachitariu, M. [Cellpose: A generalist algorithm for cellular segmentation](#). *Nat Methods* **18**, 100–106 (2021).
- 725 72. Jia, H., Yap, P.-T., Wu, G., Wang, Q. & Shen, D. Intermediate templates guided groupwise registration of diffusion tensor images. *NeuroImage* **54**, 928–939 (2011).
- 726 73. Tang, S., Fan, Y., Wu, G., Kim, M. & Shen, D. RABBIT: Rapid alignment of brains by building intermediate templates. *NeuroImage* **47**, 1277–1287 (2009).
- 727 74. Dewey, B. E., Carass, A., Blitz, A. M. & Prince, J. L. Efficient multi-atlas registration using an intermediate template image. in *Proceedings of SPIE—the international society for optical engineering* vol. 10137 (NIH Public Access, 2017).

- 728 75. Perens, J. *et al.* Multimodal 3D mouse brain atlas framework with the skull-derived
coordinate system. *Neuroinformatics* **21**, 269–286 (2023).
- 729 76. Rotolo, T., Smallwood, P. M., Williams, J. & Nathans, J. Genetically-directed, cell
type-specific sparse labeling for the analysis of neuronal morphology. *PLoS One* **3**,
e4099 (2008).
- 730 77. Peng, H. *et al.* Morphological diversity of single neurons in molecularly defined cell
types. *Nature* **598**, 174–181 (2021).
- 731 78. Gong, H. *et al.* High-throughput dual-colour precision imaging for brain-wide connec-
tome with cytoarchitectonic landmarks at the cellular level. *Nat Commun* **7**, 12142
(2016).
- 732 79. Wang, J. *et al.* Divergent projection patterns revealed by reconstruction of individual
neurons in orbitofrontal cortex. *Neurosci Bull* **37**, 461–477 (2021).
- 733 80. Kronman, F. A. *et al.* Developmental mouse brain common coordinate framework.
bioRxiv (2023) doi:[10.1101/2023.09.14.557789](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.14.557789).
- 734 81. Avants, B. B. *et al.* The Insight ToolKit image registration framework. *Front Neu-
roinform* **8**, 44 (2014).
- 735 82. Chon, U., Vanselow, D. J., Cheng, K. C. & Kim, Y. Enhanced and unified anatomical
labeling for a common mouse brain atlas. *Nat Commun* **10**, 5067 (2019).
- 736 83. Tasic, B. *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcrip-
omics. *Nat Neurosci* **19**, 335–46 (2016).
- 737 84. Bergmann, E., Gofman, X., Kavushansky, A. & Kahn, I. Individual variability in
functional connectivity architecture of the mouse brain. *Commun Biol* **3**, 738 (2020).
- 738 85. Billot, B. *et al.* SynthSeg: Segmentation of brain MRI scans of any contrast and
resolution without retraining. *Med Image Anal* **86**, 102789 (2023).
- 739 86. Rolfe, S. M., Whikehart, S. M. & Maga, A. M. Deep learning enabled multi-organ
segmentation of mouse embryos. *Biol Open* **12**, bio059698 (2023).
- 740 87. Tustison, N. J. & Amini, A. A. Biventricular myocardial strains via nonrigid regis-
tration of anatomical NURBS model [corrected]. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* **25**, 94–112
(2006).

- 741 88. Falk, T. *et al.* U-net: Deep learning for cell counting, detection, and morphometry. *Nat Methods* **16**, 67–70 (2019).
- 742 89. Isensee, F., Jaeger, P. F., Kohl, S. A. A., Petersen, J. & Maier-Hein, K. H. nnU-net: A self-configuring method for deep learning-based biomedical image segmentation. *Nat Methods* **18**, 203–211 (2021).
- 743 90. Tustison, N. J. *et al.* Image- versus histogram-based considerations in semantic segmentation of pulmonary hyperpolarized gas images. *Magn Reson Med* **86**, 2822–2836 (2021).