Part A

Giving x-2y=4,2x+y=3 to Wolfram leads to:

```
x \approx 2, y \approx -1
```

And with our code:

```
In [2]: gauss_seidel([[1, -2], [2, 1]], [4, 3])
Out[2]: [-8.0346902212949505e+59, 1.6069380442589901e+60]
```

We will describe the reason of the wrong answer in part C.

Part B

Giving 2x+y=3,x-2y=4 to Wolfram leads to:

```
x \approx 2, y \approx -1
```

And with our code:

```
In [3]: gauss_seidel([[2, 1], [1, -2]], [3, 4])
Out[3]: [2.0, -1.0]
```

So we get the same results: x = 2 and y = -1.

Part C

The results from part A are wrong, because the matrix is not diagonally dominant (for example, 1<|2| in the first row). So the Gauss Seidel method can diverge (and in this case, it doesn't converge, based on what we found from running the code above). But for part B it is diagonally dominant, so the method converges.

The matrix from part A:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix from part B:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$