

# INGI1131 Practical Exercises

## Lab 14: Monitors and Transactions

This lab session is also dedicated to shared-state concurrency. This time we will work on monitors en transactions.

1. **Mvar** is a box that can be full or empty. It comes with two procedures, **Put** and **Get**. If the box is empty,  $\{\text{Put } X\}$  puts  $X$  in the box, and the box become full. If the box is full, **Put** waits until it is empty. Doing  $\{\text{Get } X\}$  on a full box atomically binds  $X$  to the content of the box and empties the box. If the box is empty, **Get** waits until the box is full. Implement a procedure  $\{\text{MakeMvar Put Get}\}$  that creates a **MVar**, and that binds variables **Put** and **Get** to the procedures that provides the functionality previously described. You have to write two implementations (chose the order that you prefer).

Implement it using monitors (lock, wait, notify, notifyall). Use the implementation in file Documents  $\rightarrow$  Labs  $\rightarrow$  monitor.oz

2. **Breaking up big transactions.** Use the implementation of a transaction manager described in Figures 8.23 and 8.24 from the book of the course, and that can be found on the iCampus, section Documents  $\rightarrow$  Labs  $\rightarrow$  transaction.oz

Consider this example from the book:

```

declare
Trans NewCellT
{NewTrans Trans NewCellT}

T={MakeTuple db 1000}
for I in 1..1000 do T.I={NewCellT I} end

fun {Rand} {OS.rand} mod 1000 + 1 end

proc {Mix}
  {Trans proc {$ Acc Ass Exc Abo _}
    I={Rand} J={Rand} K={Rand}
    if I==J orelse I==K orelse J==K then {Abo} end
    A={Acc T.I} B={Acc T.J} C={Acc T.K}
  in
    {Ass T.I A+B-C}
    {Ass T.J A-B+C}
    {Ass T.K ~A+B+C}
  end _ _}
end

S={NewCellT 0}
  
```

```

fun {Sum}
  {Trans fun {$ Acc Ass Exc Abo}
    {Ass S 0}
    for I in 1..1000 do
      {Ass S {Acc S}+{Acc T.I}} end
      {Acc S}
    end _}
end

{Browse {Sum}} % Displays 500500
for I in 1..1000 do {Mix} end % Mixes up the elements
{Browse {Sum}} % Still displays 500500

```

The example above defines the transaction `Sum` that locks all the cells in the tuple while it is calculating their sum. While `Sum` is active, no other transaction can continue. How could you rewrite `Sum` as a series of small transactions? The goal is that each small transaction should only lock a few cells. You can define a representation for a partial sum, so that a small transaction can see what has already been done and determine how to continue. By doing this, you will allow your system to perform other transactions while a sum calculation is in progress.

- Does it really make sense to break such a big transaction into small ones?
  - Implement the solution and confirm your reasoning.
3. We come back to the subject of an unknown song, of the unknown band *Stuurbaard Bakkebaard*, from the unknown album *Chuck*. The song is called *Bank Account*. Instead of using object-based classes with locks, as in the previous Lab session, this time we will do it with transactions. You can use a tuple to represent bank accounts, where the value `T.I` represents the balance of the account number `I`.
  4. Read and write locks. The transaction manager locks a cell upon its first use. If transactions `T1` and `T2` both want to read the same cell's content, then they cannot both lock the cell simultaneously. We can relax this behavior by introducing two kinds of locks, read locks and write locks. A transaction that holds a read lock is only allowed to read the cell's content, not change it. A transaction that holds a write lock can do all cell operations. A cell can either be locked with exactly one write lock or with any number of read locks. For this exercise, extend the transaction manager to use read and write locks.