

THE LOVESICKNESS OF MEN - VOLUME II

The Profane Feminine

Thoughts on a Side of Women that Nobody Wants to Face

By Nessahan Alita

Citation data:

ALITA, Nessahan (2005). The Profane Feminine: Thoughts on a Side of Women that Nobody Wants to Face. In: The Lovesickness of Men - Volume II. Independent virtual edition of 2008.

Summary:

Women have a sacred side and a profane side. Their profane side consists of applying their emotional intelligence for selfish ends in the field of love, aimed at receiving from men as much love as they can while they reciprocate the smallest possible amount of it.

Keywords:

romantic relationships - emotional abuse - gender subject - sexuality

Warning!

This book is free of charge. If you paid for it, you have been scammed.

There are no complements, other versions, or other authorized editions being commercialized. All versions which are not this present one are unauthorized, and have possibly been tampered with.

You ARE NOT ALLOWED to sell, edit, insert comments, insert images, extend, reduce, tamper with, plagiarize, or commercialize this book anywhere. No change of its content, language, or title is authorized.

Respect the author's rights.

Disclaimer

This work must be read under the perspective of humor and solidarity, never anger.

This book teach men the art of dismantling and neutralizing female tricks in love and how to protect themselves against the emotional dangers of passion, which cannot be mentioned as incentive or backing to any form of aggression.

Its critical, straightforward, ironic, and incisive tonality only reflects notes of defects, mistakes, and tricks, not be taken as support for any attempt to cause harm to human beings. It is one thing to dismantle the deeds of women who attack in love and criticize them in this field. It is a completely different thing to harm them.

The denounced, unmasked, and described ruses correspond to female expressions, mostly unconscious, of behavioral traits common to both genders. The outlined profile corresponds to a specific kind of woman: the one who is driven by sentimental selfishness. The author does not say anything about the percent incidence of such a profile in the female population of different countries.

The author is also not responsible for any misinterpretations, biased readings, inappropriate generalizations, or deliberate skewing that are made under any claim, or by misapplication of this knowledge. Those who skew it or misuse it will have to answer for their deeds on their own.

Contents

Introduction	4
1. The danger of taking it the wrong way	5
2. Why don't women look at you?	10
3. The privileges	12
4. Mothers, wives, and daughters	13
5. Why are they the opposite of what they confess	15
6. The capricious judgments	18
7. The value of silence	20
8. The duplicity of feelings	22
9. Shattering the little emotional hell	26

Introduction

The Profane Feminine is the reverse of the wonderful Sacred Feminine, its polar opposite.

1. The danger of taking it the wrong way

None of the observations, recommendations, suggestions, and thoughts contained in my books must be taken dogmatically and without criticism. I do not write for ignorant people who look for ready formulas and finished, closed ideas. I only provide a provisional model for better understanding of the contradictions which we come across in love.

The messages that I have received show that many readers have not understood the need to balance out opposite attitudes in appropriate doses and according to the circumstances. By being informed that they need to return strength, security, and firmness, they have made the mistake of becoming polarized exclusively in distancing and indifference, which gave them a disastrous effect.

The most sensitive men, who had bitter experiences, concluded that they should simply become the opposite of what they had been, and later complained about lack of results, but they have not taken the responsibility for themselves as they should.

It turns out that dealing with women is not as easy as unaware men assume. It is not enough to take the stance of an one-sided tough guy and that is it. Much more is necessary: to know how to reward her good behaviors, to reciprocate her sincerity, to have firm, protective attitudes, to have malleability, to alternate your behavior, to be able to accept, to be adaptable, etc.

A point that caused misunderstanding was the one about rejection. We must not reject a woman when she comes to us and offer herself sincerely, but rather to enjoy the opportunity and reciprocate it. We must only reject her when we notice indicators of a trap, shrewdness, or emotional deceit, such as, for instance, when she offers herself to attract us in order to then despise us or replace us. While we are not seeing such indicators, we must accept her approaches and enjoy it. However, when we notice that a devious woman is trying to pull a chased one on us, we need to be faster and reject her, or to “scold” her first, thus stealing the feeling of triumph from her and “shocking her.”

It is not advisable to push her away senselessly, without a criterion and in a random way, but only when we sense the smell of an irresponsible game, of her attracting and rejecting game. In cases where she is giving signals that are explicitly conducive to approaching, the correct thing to do is to take the opportunity and approach her, welcoming it, but without fully letting our guard down.

At the root of the mistakes that I am pointing out are the belief that it would suffice to replace a certain behavioral conditioning with another and also the conviction that there are general rules that can be mechanically applied to all situations. There is no such a thing. Female psyche is complex and the behavioral and analytic parameters that I provide are only guiding principles, which are effective only when dosed and adapted in a contextualized way. Therefore, men who do not know how to identify situations to apply them correctly will get results opposite to the expected. A same action may take multiple effects according to different situations or contexts. Men who think that there is a set of ready-made recipes that will always work in all situations, regardless of when it is applied, are nowhere close to understanding this science and they would do better if they gave up on it. Dealing with women is not for unaware, stupid, misogynous, moronic men endowed with little intelligence.

One of our fundamental mistakes consists of us not accepting the cold, selfish, indifferent nature of women with respect to us. We insist on not accepting reality and on nourishing the absurd hope that women may offer their love to men who request it. Against all evidence, we strongly deny to ourselves the undeniable fact that female love is offered only to men who do not want it or while we are not wanting it. This refusal to surrender ourselves to reality is the cause of our torment.

It follows, thus, that our fight is against ourselves, against the obsession of our absurd illusions, dreams, and hopes, and not against the opposite gender, as some men who wrote me believe. It is a waste of time setting ourselves against women. Attempting to force them to change their behavior is pointless. Passion is our great enemy. Our need to be loved by the women whom we love kills us.²

We must work on our inside in the sense of accepting reality, even if it is painful. Reality will not change, will not submit to our desires. Human females will not stop being what they are and what they were. Thus, we must eliminate our desires for them to be consistent, logical, and sensible³ in love and in sex.

Note that women do not accept that we are humans. This happens because they want to give themselves to a “Beyond Man.” When they find out that the man whom they have by their side is a human, who suffers and feels, they get mad instead of having compassion. Be aware that your emotional suffering will make her irritated instead of make her feel compassion. It is almost impossible for women to feel compassion for the emotional suffering of one who was supposed to be her man. For this reason, if you request to be loved, it is more likely that you will provoke her revulsion than her pity.

Loving who does not love us and feeling aversion for who loves us is illogical. However, female unconscious follows its own principles, and ladies, usually, do not control it, do not confront it, and, oftentimes, do not even suspect that it exists⁴. Thus, we are the ones who have to study them, to observe them, to understand them, to comprehend them, and to accept them, because they will hardly be able to do it to us. But this understanding will not be possible while we are being driven mad by passion.

When we are in love, we want to be loved by females, we want them to be together with us all the time, as in a continuous sexual union. This continuous request of contact causes aversion. The worst thing is that they are the ones who request and call in passion from us, but when we give them that, they reject us and proceed to avoid us.

Every time a man tries to live a “great love,”⁵ a terrible disgrace befalls him. Passionate love is very close to hatred for being irrational, instinctive, and animal. This is why both forms of passion usually follow each other.⁶

²For being exaggeratedly intense in men. If it was something sober, it would be good, but a man’s need to be loved by a woman is usually exaggerated in our Western culture, due to ideological fixations started in childhood and violently reinforced in adolescence. Women seem to me more balanced in this respect, and that’s precisely why they dominate men, not always in an altruistic way.

³From men’s standpoint.

⁴When a person, whether a woman or a man, admits that they have an obscure side, they start to observe and understand it, transcending themselves and becoming happier.

⁵I refer to passionate-romantic love, which is insane and it’s nothing but a sentimental disguise of brute animal instinct, and not to altruistic true love.

⁶This is one of the many reasons why I don’t write for men who indulges in negative feelings. Hatred

There is, thus, no way other than dissolving in your heart all shadows of attachment, passion, and sentimentality⁷.

If you are suffering for any devious woman, you can die and you will arouse no pity in her. You will be seen as a weak, useless, worthless man who is unable to take care of himself, [...] Therefore, do not waste your time suffering for any “bitch.”⁸ Love yourself and do not dream with hypocritical ridiculous romances. The danger and falsehood of rosy love exist in a direct proportion of its beauty and fascination. Learn how to resist what is beautiful, wonderful, and fascinating.

First of all, let us remember that we will die and will be forgotten. Therefore, let us seek happiness inside our soul and not outside. Let us give ourselves to our Spirit. Only He will be with us after our death.

Your partner will be less cold if she believes that you are “the deal,” “the man,” “the greatest,” but she will never love you like you would like, that is, simply for what you are: a human being who suffers and feels. Above all, she will not feel tenderness toward you. She will complain nonstop, but the interesting results will make themselves felt.

Do not simply treat her with coldness and indifference: be her mirror most of the time. Say you love her only when she says it, give her gifts when you receive them, but be distant when she is being cold. Reciprocate what you receive in the same way. If you receive caress, reciprocate it (not much). If you receive coldness, reciprocate it with coldness. If she avoids you, unmask her and avoid her. If she complains about all this, rub it in her face. This requires dispassion and behavioral deconditioning. Give it as good as you get it, and, sometimes, even more intensely than you get it. Tame her.⁹ However, have your foothold in masculinity: be more “fearsome” than loving, colder than affectionate, more “cruel” than merciful, more distant than close. Still, be mysterious, protective, and leading. Obviously, never fail to exhaust her with intense sex.

Let us see now some ill-intended skewing. Some dumbasses said that my books encourage male promiscuity. This is a lie. What I do is to denounce female preference for promiscuous men. In fact, I am against promiscuity and sexual degeneration. If any time I wrote that women build sociopsychological structures that corner a man and push him toward promiscuity, I did it in a denouncing way so that the ruse was torn apart. Moreover, a man who knows female psyche and is able to tie a woman to himself whom he finds interesting and with whom he has great affinity, he will be much more satisfied and will be less inclined to promiscuity and changing partner.

According to other dumbasses, I am attacking women and, therefore, this is evidence that we, scholars of male loving crises and female ruses, appreciate neither women nor sex.

and resentment worsen a man’s condition, they make him sick.

⁷This will not transform us into monsters, but, instead, will allow the unfolding of sublime and superior internal states. I insist so much in the dissolution of sentimentality for it being dangerous, given its immense magnetic hypnotic power to seduce and to fool, while passing as something sublime and spiritual.

⁸By “bitch” I mean an idle shrew who spends her time playing with what is most serious to a man: his sincere feelings. Not all women do this, though. I have met women who, for being able to deal very well with their obscure side instead of denying it, they do not make bad jokes with a man’s love.

⁹Isn’t that what Karen Salmansohn and Amy Sutherland suggest all the time, which is why they are applauded?

This is another slanderous deceit. What we teach is precisely how to win women over in the war of passion so that we get what we are refused: certainty, resolution, clarity, and transparency in love and in sex. Obviously, one would not seek such elements in romantic relationships if they did not like the best that women have to offer. However, when we identify with these three attractive attributes and start to chase them like crazy, we lose them. Thus, in order to have them, we must first not desire them.

Some morons say that I am just an angry man trying to defame women, and they do this because it is the handiest conclusion that their deteriorated brains can achieve. Their short imagination does not allow them to conclude that there can be higher motivations for somebody who unveils bad intentions and romantic shrewdness. In the case of this ridiculous accusation that I am unmasking now, what logical dissection reveals is, beside their pure simple stupidity, their naive attempt of defensive manipulation against truths whose revelation and demonstration bother. The background thought with which these manipulative people work is that men cannot criticize female attitude nor protect themselves from psychological ruses that destroy honesty in relationships. In short, they fallaciously argue that we should stay passive before female cleverness because such a passivity would be, according to them, a proof that we like females.¹⁰ They want to induce the following belief in unwary men: that it is impossible to find defects in something delicious. They suggest that, when we like something, we do not criticize it, and when we do not like something, we criticize it, that is, they stand for irrationality. They hide that delicious females have developed sophisticated ruses to outwit us and not give us their treasures (sex, affection, and love). In reality, what happens is the exact opposite of what these dumbasses preach: it is females who are indifferent and do not like men much, while we, males, desire, want, and love them desperately. All we need is to look around us a little, and we will see women disposing of men, saying that men are good for nothing and that they are not needed. The opposite never happens and has never happened. It has never been known that men wanted to build a society without women or to ban them from Earth, but this is precisely the speech of many women, feminists included. We know very well that we cannot live without women and we admit such a fact¹¹, whereas women usually take the opposite stance.

There are also resentful misogynists, who cannot bear reading any book without falling further deeper into their unhealthy negative emotional states. Well, if they are unhealthy, why do they seek philosophy? They should get healed first. This category of sick men believe that every book that falls into their hands is a support for their absurd worldview. Delusional, they see in sentences only confirmations of their unrealistic ideas.

I cannot forget to mention a category of asses (both male and female) who reacted with the ridiculous statement or assumption that we defend extreme violent sexism and oppression against women.¹² Such ignorant people show that they do not even know to read properly, because if they did, they would have noticed that the object of our criticism is precisely female behavior developed throughout history as manipulative adaptation, defensive and/or offensive, to unenlightened, extreme sexism. Such behavioral adaptations, conscious and unconscious ones, operate in the opposite side of the goal of men whom would supposedly wish well of the “fragile gender”, because they block the development

¹⁰Every person, whether a man or a woman, has the right to defend themselves against romantic deception, but does not have the right to deceive.

¹¹

of women as human beings. Does anyone believe that by manipulating, deceiving, and behaving childishly in love, would anyone reach happiness? Or that women's preference for the worst men benefit them in any respect? It turns out that people with the brain of a cockroach react to reading in a mere passionate emotional way, believing in the absurd that we benefit someone when we exempt them from criticism. They shrewdly imply that women should not be criticized for their deceit and shrewdness. They work with the background idea that criticism is harmful and never beneficial. They hide that women's adaptive behavior to retrograde extreme sexism has stunted women's evolution, harming them by transforming them, with a few exceptions, into superficial, futile, lying, passionate, irrational, manipulative, masochistic creatures, etc. They also hide the unconscious sexism rooted in female psyche, which drive them to continuously request to be dominated, subdued, leaded, to rate men by our hierarchical position, to get bored of democratic, good husbands, to prefer oppressive and rich men, etc. I defend, yes, an enlightened, tolerating, and conscious sexism. We could go against sexism itself because we would be going against ourselves. The expression "sexism" comes from the word sex and does not inherently imply oppression, exploitation, or violence, as dogmatic feminists try, purposefully, to make it look like in order to confuse people and induce them to conclude that males are naturally mean, violent, oppressive, and dangerous.

The three accusations above are sophistry. What is a sophism? They are arguments that have the purposeful intention of fooling unwary people by manipulating ideas such that logical mistakes are hidden. Sophistry is the main weapon of charlatans, rogues, and manipulators who are on the side of lies and exploitation of the other by inducing beliefs and feelings. We must dissect them and expose all their fallacious procedures to the sanitizing light of consciousness. Unfortunately, they will come back and continue disturbing the lives of sincere people, because it seems to me that dishonest behavior in the analysis is archetypical. It exists since Ancient Greece, and is today more active than never.

2. Why don't women look at you?

It bothers us to notice that we constantly look at women on the street, insanely desiring them, and they do not care at all for us, they ignore us. Why does this happen?

The answer is that nature has made females indifferent to males, and has made males desperate for females. Female sexual desire is much less intense than male desire.

Note that a human female can only be impregnated by one male in a period of a year. In contrast, how many females can a male impregnate in the same period?

If you withhold sex, you will see that your partner will only be affected after a few weeks. And even then, this will not be a result of the lack of sex itself but rather by a disturbance of the doubt about what is happening.

Women who show themselves as femme fatal are actually pretending. Even nymphomaniacs, prostitutes, and porn actresses are not moved by mere genital desire as unaware men think. They're driven to the act by other reasons: money, acceptance, impaired self-esteem, competition, curiosity, etc. They get used to sexual hyperactivity and accept it, embodying it as a play. I want you to know that women don't like much sex per se and fool you. There are inorgasmic women who are extremely promiscuous.

There are many women who are proud of their inorgasmia. There are many women who affirm in so many words that they do not need men for anything. There are practically no cases of women who rape men, and you do not hear about women sexually harassing their children or brothers. We do not see women groping our manhood without us authorizing it when we are in a bus or in the street. I have never heard of a woman spying a man while he is showering. There are no proven cases of women waging war against other nations to take their men. However, the reverse is very well known since time immemorial. Such facts prove, in a totally undeniable manner, that female sex drive is a sham. In actuality, a woman's sex drive is weak, which gives them an enormous resistance in the copulative act to minimize energy loss. Yet, the belief that women desire sex as much as we do still persists. Why? Simply because their desires and feelings of various types are clothed in a sexual appearance and are expressed as such, which makes us confused. What is expressed under the guise of sexual desire is actually fear, greed, envy, competitiveness, sadness, vengeance, gratitude, pride, etc. If it were not so, females would be looking for us all the time, chasing us, and the situation would be reversed.

If you still doubt such an obvious truth, we can unmask and destroy the lie that victimized you with this observation: prostitutes and porn actresses will not offer their favors if they are not paid; the hottest foxes in schools, clubs, proms, and red-light areas do not offer themselves to shy, needy, faded, and broke males, but rather to swingers. Is there any objection remaining?

I will still crush and grind the rest of these lies a little bit more until they disappear altogether. In a research published by Marie Claire this month (July 2006), 76% of interviewed women stated that they prefer to go shopping at the mall than to have an orgasm. I do not think the publisher has falsified the research... Who might have falsified it were the interviewees themselves. Liars as they are, I prioritize the suspicion that the remaining 24% would also prefer to go shopping and did not tell the truth!

The climax of love stories are the boring kisses on the mouth and not the hot, wild sex.

People are reluctant in admitting that intercourse in and of itself is of little interest to females, because such a thought is unpleasant to both genders. Feminists then take the opportunity to say that we have repressed and castrated female sexuality, because the idea of them being inherently apathetic and cold displeases them.

Our naive opposers will say, “But why do women roar and get tripped on the sexual act, getting to the point of even losing sensitivity to pain?” The answer is this: because they are melodramatic, theatrical, and have the rare ability to believe in their own simulations and pretenses without losing the awareness that they are pretending. They accomplish such a feat by dividing themselves in two parts: one that believes their pretense and another that preserves the science of pretending. The excitement with an exclusively sexual appearance is, in actuality, much more of an emotional and passionate nature than exactly erotic. It is adrenaline elevated by an economic, sentimental, and social context without which sex will not happen. *Femme fatal* is a farce.

My opposers will say then that this is due to sexual repression imposed by men over women. This is a fallacy! Such a repression does not exist or, if it exists, it is imposed mainly by fathers and husbands, with the specific interest of centralizing female libido on one man only, and does not nullify the arousal attempts by most other human males. Let us do a test: let us put a woman in the midst of many men, preferably semi-undressed or with provocative clothes, and let us verify if they try to sexually repress her or encourage her... In fact, human males try more to encourage than to repress female sexuality, because they are thinking about mating all the time.

3. The privileges

It is often said that females are poor victims of society, that they have been exploited and oppressed in all cultures since prehistory and that only in the 20th century they have managed to emancipate, that men are their enemies, etc. Let us unmask yet another lie.

All these studies that state that women have always been poor victims of males are exclusionary and biased. Exclusionary because they do not take into account the privileges that women have benefited from in the various eras. Biased because they do it on purpose. If we study the different societies, we will see that, actually, what happens is precisely the opposite, as Van Creveld points out. Men have always been intended for the worst, hardest, heaviest, and most dangerous tasks. In situations of danger, as in a natural disaster, men have a duty to protect women and children, and are, therefore, the last to receive security and life guarantees. Obviously, these rights of women and children are not wrong and are sacred. What is wrong is to lie by saying that we oppress and exploit them, when, actually, we were born to protect them. If we ceased to exist, as some feminists would like, who would step into the fire to rescue victims of a fire? Who would do the dangerous tasks in high towers and at the top of buildings? Who would walk through a current to rescue victims of a flood? Who would carry bags of cement and other heavy loads? Who would unload trucks? Who would kill oxen to send their meat to the butchery?

We flatter and fawn over females in hope of receiving crumbs of sex. The opposite has never happened. We compete vigorously against ourselves for them. Gangsters, famous men, and powerful men do not want fame, money, and power only to contemplate it... In a competition between males, which is socioeconomic, winners get the most desirable, beautiful, and perfect females, while losers have to content themselves with women whom the former men have rejected.

All of that favors females, and not us. We do not see women killing themselves for a space in the shade of our protection. After we have slaughtered ourselves, they simply wait and settle in the places that have been hierarchically reserved to them, along with the remaining men.

Females can make certain privileges that they themselves unceasingly provoke and seek look like a sad suffering, so that, based on this contrived appearance, they can claim more privileges. E.g. male polygamy (it is women themselves who are attracted to men who have many girlfriends), maternity, staying home while she is supported by a rich man, etc.

Privileges make them feel snobby and confident that they are desired. That is why they feel comfortable to abuse a man's feelings. Moreover, they continuously believe themselves chased and flirted with. It is enough that you stare at one of these privileged beings to be immediately seen as a chaser or a flirty guy. And if you are poor, black, or mixed, it is even worse. They never imagine that we are finding them uninteresting. Which is why we surprise and disconcert them when we ignore, avoid, and reject them.

4. Mothers, wives, and daughters

When talking to their male kids, many mothers do it as if they were mentally handicapped: they replace “R” with “L,” name everything in the diminutive, use a specific high-pitched tone of voice¹⁴. Besides, instead of giving tigers, sharks, crocodiles, dragons, and sword toys to their kids, they give them stuffed animals, teddy bears, rubber duckies, etc. so that they do not grow up.

As the poor boys grow older, the dependency for female affection grows with them. When they physically become adults, they are unable to live without the female affection and the presence of their mother or a replacement. When they fall in love, they transfer their **maternal imago** to their girlfriend or wife. This transference brings with it their expectations, obligations, and requirements. The poor boy expects from women what he used to expect from his mother: love. The boy in love pleases, obeys, gives gifts, and flatters, expecting to receive affection in return, because this worked when he was a kid. But the result now is nefarious. Females react in the opposite way to what he expects and disconcert him.

Devious as they are, women take advantage of this lack. Far from sympathizing with the emotional state of males, they use it as a tool to defend themselves and to dominate them.

To top it all off, devious women shout from the rooftops that the chosen ones are the sensitive, affectionate, romantic, good, honest, sincere, and laboring men. It is as if they said, “If you are good boys, we will give you love and sex the way you want it.” It is all a lie. Experience and direct observation reveals otherwise.

Before a beautiful woman, men submit themselves and do everything that they are told to, resembling dogs and beasts of burden. They give their all and receive the least in return. They expect to be rewarded with sex and affection of great quality, but they become frustrated.

Men in love, when they marry their goddesses, they get exploited, deceived, and betrayed. However, the thing started a long time ago...

Females learn how to dissimulate, to fool, to deceive, and to double-cross men since they are born. They are protected and taught by their mothers, even if these are not aware of it. They first exercise their art of deceit with their poor father: lying, circumventing his instructions and orders, dating in secret, etc. Once they are well trained, their ability will be employed on their boyfriends and later on their husbands. The dynamics is always the same: enjoying the pleasant sides of life while transferring the side effects and unpleasant consequences to someone else. Daughters want to freely do as they like while having the costs borne by their father. To date or promiscuously “pick up” men, girls demand that they be treated as an adult, but to cover the expenses and obligations of self-sustenance, they demand that they be treated as kids. Adult women want to live “freely,” free from

¹⁴In the first months of life, this behavior can be even necessary as a good way of establishing emotional affinity with the baby. But when it becomes an addiction and extends for many years, it becomes an obstacle that retards the development of masculinity. This is my opinion.

any marital obligations, but without losing their rights of wife/girlfriend/fiance. Suckers have to bite the bullet so that devious women have fun.

Until they have learned to return consequences to other people's attitudes, the unfortunate males will suffer a lot at the hands of devious women.

He who does not transcend the notion of woman that originated from his maternal relationship will fall victim to passion. Inherent to assigning a maternal sense to a female animal is the assignment of a sacred sense to her, a fact that constitutes a profanity. And one does not cast pearls before swine with impunity.

5. Why are they the opposite of what they confess

The unconscious is in a relation of opposition and complement to the conscious psyche. This is one reason why people do not do what they say they will.

We do not get to know a person only by listening to what they say, we must observe them and check how they act and react in many situations. Moreover, there are also conscious inconsistencies done on purpose. Human beings, including females of the species, are pretending, fake, lying, deceiving, and hypocritical.

It is common for women to curse a man whom they are attracted to. Almost all women are unanimous in condemning the actions of jerks and playboys, denying up and down the possibility of desiring them, but when they are before these men, they faint and give themselves to them. All women assure that they desire good, working, and honest men, but when they stand before them, they consider them tiresome and boring.

This means that a man who tries to guide himself by what devious women say will be caught by surprise and will fare badly. Likewise, almost all interviews and polls that intend to demystify the disconcerting female [imagination] are ridiculous and fake, they only hinder and confuse our understanding.

Be very careful when you hear them saying, *“I like men such and such, who do this or that.”* They will very likely be lying, because, in the field of love and sex, they are usually the opposite of what they confess.

Do not try to fit into their confessed, ideal masculine model. Note that they opt for the absurd. Men who “break their will” are precisely the opposite of what is proclaimed.

Do not waste your time by asking them what they want: observe them and you will figure out. If you ask, you will be deceived. It is not unusual for them to request precisely what they will reject when they receive it. They usually repay affection with indifference, and protective leadership with affection.

Understanding female psyche is essential to deal correctly with these delicious mermaids. However, true understanding comes from observation, not from conversations.

In school and college, we usually see them neglecting good, polite, and studious boys. They get together to admire and to competitively chase undisciplined, troublesome boys who prefer to drink, to do drugs, to have fun recklessly, and to avoid studying. Still, they deny, against all evidence, that they prefer the worst men when questioned. But impartial observation reveals that their selective criteria are the model of clothes, motorcycles, cars, and, depending on their age, the paycheck size, the bank account, and material goods. In short: social standing is all that matters to them.

Their preference for the worst men makes them naive and lets them get easily deceived by anyone. I know guys who rent motorcycles and cars to show them off outside of schools, bars, clubs, as if they owned them. They also often pay for beautiful prostitutes to escort them as if they were their girlfriends. The result comes swiftly, and quickly, many foolish women are taken to the love hotel. These unreasonable females do not resist the allure of false signs of power that may be convincing. Then, when they are abandoned after losing

their virginity, they complain and curse every male on the Earth, as if they were not the ones responsible for having offered themselves to men whom they should not. And they keep lying shamelessly by saying that they are attracted to good and honest men.

As I read the other day, I do not remember where: women do not like a romantic dinner as they say, but rather the high-price whiskey, the diamond in the ring that they get as a gift, the gold of the jewelry... They use love to camouflage their shameful greed.

In spite of the lies that all women insist in trying to naively support, the truth is that female attraction is determined by male social value. If a man is seen as “the hot guy” or “the big shot” by the social circle of friends which she is in, he will draw attention even if he is mediocre, stupid, childish, and promiscuous, as we can see in the movie “Kids.” He can have many girlfriends simultaneously, and all of them will find his promiscuity beautiful and will consider it a legitimate right. Thus, that which, under such conditions, women dare to call “love” is a piece of crap, a ridiculous sentimentality triggered by his social standing.

Most men are unable to seduce beautiful women simply because they believe that women are what they openly confess. By falling into these lying rabbit tricks, they act according to what they were induced to believe in, and get the opposite of the expected results. Meanwhile, the worst [...] do not care to lie and pretend to impress them and fool them without a qualm, and are rewarded with sex and affection of good quality. This keeps repeating itself everywhere, and, still, women never change. The irrational female passion is complicit with lies, addiction, and pretenses, while it rewards mediocrity and contributes to social degeneration¹⁵.

Aim to see women as robots with intense feelings yet conditioned¹⁶. Female stance reflects masculine stance¹⁷. Change your behavior and women will change. Give them what they need, not what they ask or say that they want.

Try to be protective, leading, and caring, on the one hand, and simultaneously mysterious, distant, commanding, and cold, on the other hand. You will see that women lie when they swear that they prefer passionate, affectionate, lovey-dovey, warm, and needy men.

The opposition between what they say and what they actually feel is originated from the many confusion that disconcert the male gender. Let us see examples.

Women say that they like romantic men. This is partially true. They really like romantic and lovesick men for their slave, but they do not feel any sexual attraction for them. Women say that they hate and do not accept male infidelity. This is another partial truth. Indeed, the infidelity of an emotional slave is not accepted, but, curiously, the infidelity of inaccessible men (powerful men, famous men, and all socially-prominent men in general) is not only accepted, but it is also attractive. The number of women that an “outstanding” man has is, unfortunately, something that makes him interesting. Women spell out that they do not want to be dominated, but, when they date a democratic man, they get bored and consider him inactive, weak, and a “wimp,” because the poor

¹⁵

¹⁶

¹⁷

man does not trample their opinions. Thus, we attest that almost everything that we hear from women about themselves is a lie.

Watch and you will attest that most promises that women make in love is not fulfilled: they promise to give you a call and do not call you, they promise to show up on dates and blow you off, they promise you a sex paradise and refuse it when the time comes, they promise fidelity and flirt with other guys, they promise to be understanding and call you “insecure” when you want a clarification on her suspicious behavior. They are dishonest in love and only keep what they promised when they fear the consequences of cheating. Therefore, when you receive a promise, do not believe that it will be kept willingly, and establish a “punishment” up to the fraud and inform her. If you do not do this, she will have fun while she fools you.

Do not get carried away by the charm of a siren’s voice with her deceitful speech. If you waste your time by chasing the nonsense of what women say, they will refuse you their lively smile, their love-struck look, the scorching kisses, the warm hugs, and the mind-blowing sex. They will try to screw you in the end, because the logic that guides them is that of absolute sentimental selfishness. This is why it is lawful and fair that you do “arm” yourself and defend yourself. Always keep truth and reason on your side. Do not play dirty, leave that task to them.

6. The capricious judgments

Female opinion, with respect to romantic relationships, is very often capricious, inconsequential, and lacking in logical-rational sense, which harms and infantilizes women.

Try to ask women why they have inconsistent conclusions and actions. Their answers will be illogical, confusing, subjective, and long-winded (from our masculine viewpoint, but not from theirs). Actually, they do not really know the answer, because they opt for complicated paths. The correct answer is the following: because they draw a conclusion from feelings. For women, what is right is what gives them pleasant feelings, and wrong is what displeases them emotionally. They are emotionally-driven beings. This is not to say that they are innocent and romantic as everyone thinks. They are actually very selfish, just like we are, but their selfishness is a sentimental and, more specifically, romantic one.

Because women have their judgment based on emotion, they develop capricious, absurd opinions such as, for example, that the worst men are the best and must be chased. None of them is able to properly explain why they do it. If we corner them into a discussion, they will defend themselves by trying to provoke all kinds of feelings in us: anger, mercy, shame, doubt, confusion, desire, fear, etc. They will use a high-pitched tone to try to scare us off, will scream, will cackle like a witch so as to provoke feelings of insignificance and ridiculousness, then will cry to make us feel sorry for them, and then will appeal to cynical, provocative words... These are ruses aimed at manipulating our emotions, and this never changes. Emotion is the field that they master and in which they move with ease.

It is noteworthy a very common tendency that happens in heated sexist arguments between people of the opposite gender, specially when they do not have a romantic relationship with each other. This tendency becomes visible when we openly criticize female ruses, and it consists of women attacking our masculinity by cynically qualifying us as homosexual. This is done in their most desperate moments, when all other attempts of emotional manipulation have failed. Usually, such attacks do work, disconcert, and confuse a heterosexual male, inducing him to worry about his self-image and to try to prove that he is not what the devious women is pretending to think of him. By running after this rubbish, the discussion is put aside. I have solved these interesting cases by simply unmasking women and saying that women who challenge the masculinity of a heterosexual male are actually challenging him to have sex with them, and are requesting sex. It usually works very well. Still, the ideal thing is to never argue.

When I say that female opinions are capricious, irrational, and irresponsible, many women get mad at me, but they should thank me, as I am denouncing something that harms them. If they made an effort to be more rational, without losing their superior emotionality and their delicacy, they would be less inconsequential, less futile, less inconsistent, would not be terrified of the truth, and would live better, because they would be less prone to hysterics and sadness. Unfortunately, our little playmates do not realize that the huge emptiness of sadness and boredom that they live in is directly linked to their foul play in love. Believing themselves very clever, they mistakenly assume that deception is the way to happiness.

Women's experimentally-verifiable sexual preference for men who do not love them

and for promiscuous men is the undeniable proof that their conclusions are capricious and purely emotionally-driven. What is more, such preferences reward mediocrity and contribute to social degradation.

Their stubborn capricious opinions are only changed when the impact of their own mistakes hits them in their feelings, causing suffering. Unfortunately, the impacts are the consequences and, therefore, are only felt retrospectively, when it is usually too late. This is why it is no help to warn, to admonish, to advise, to fight, etc. and even less to argue or to polemicize.

7. The value of silence

A powerful and even coercive¹⁹ counter-manipulative weapon is silence.

In the same way as coldness, silence must not be used without discretion. If you think that by simply staying mute you will solve everything, you are wrong. You must use silence for long periods only when you have been a victim of any emotional malfeasance. The rest of the time, you must alleviate it with wise speeches. However, you must never be very talkative.

Simply keeping quiet will not solve anything. You must be quiet under certain conditions and alleviate silence under other conditions. Few can discern that; most men naively believe that generalizable, mechanically-polarized behaviors are effective.

Very few men are able to remain silent for long periods of conflict. Usually, we try to keep our mouth shut after we suffer an injustice, a subtle betrayal, or having our feelings turned into an object of irresponsible jokes, but we cannot stand it for too long. When we reach the edge of our tolerating capacity, we blow up and [...]

The will to say how we feel is something that eats us alive and corrodes us. It is worsened by the difficulty in verbalizing what we notice. This difficulty is due to the hellish confusing chaos of ideas and emotions that intertwine into an insane pandemonium purposefully induced by devious women, who keep themselves aware of everything that happens, at the same time they refuse us certainty, definition, and clarity so as to keep us confused. We have plenty of dissatisfaction, but as much as we try to define it and show it, we never feel it is enough.

This obsession comes from mental hyperactivity. If you stay outwardly quiet, but your mind still remains agitated inside, thinking thousands of foolishness, you will end up in a mental hospital. Silence is powerful and win women over, but it must come from the inside out, and never from the outside in. Keep your mind quiet in her presence. The moment you do not think, there will be no confusion or doubt, for there will be no thoughts about it. Her torturous provocations will have no effect. The disconcerting female behavior affects only men who waste their precious time thinking about it, in a foolish attempt to put her intentional puzzle together.

If you try to silence yourself from the outside to inside, you will develop psychosomatic diseases and will die. Only men who submit to inner spiritual discipline can attain true silence.

Try, if you are a victim of some sort of female malfeasance, to suddenly become silent for a long time. She will become silent and distant in return, but there will be a moment when she will not endure the torment and will try to get something out of you. This is where you must not say a thing and keep yourself quiet. I refer to a prolonged silence, and not a few hours one. This is very difficult. It requires full dispassion, a complete willingness to lose, detachment, iron discipline, and a will of steel.

As women are compulsive chatterboxes, we surpass them in the field of silence with

¹⁹Note that, although I am informing the reader about the [...]

relative ease if we stay disciplined. However, I say it again, we must be silent from the inside out.

Silence makes women desperate for not letting them know what is going on and what will happen. Once she reaches her resistance limit, she will try to get you into a argument, a [polemical discussion], or a fight²⁰. Resist until you tire her out. Then the time has come to expose, in a straightforward, short and thick manner, your viewpoint and your requirements, withdrawing from the conversation before an argument is started.

Females keep the understanding, the certainty, and the clear definition of what goes on in the relationship to themselves, but at the same time, they refuse them to a man in order to keep him stuck in confusion and doubt. They hide, by means of contradictory behaviors, the truth about what they feel, do, and plan, specially regarding their fidelity. Their undefined and inconsistent attitude is a weapon that disconcerts and renders the other powerless. However, through silence, we give this unwelcome burden back to them. Silence torments them for creating a situation where there is no definition for anything. In silence, there is no certainty and everything in undefined. Silence preserves mystery and does not let our intentions and feelings to be seen.

The maintenance of silence is difficult because we live in a mental culture in which thoughts are stimulated and seen as necessary. The belief that one must think and [...] one's head by over-thinking in order to solve life problems is very strong. The result is that we talk nonstop for having an hyperactive mind.

Silence must be broken by wise, protective, and slightly tender speeches when she behaves in an honest, transparent, and sincere manner (which seldom happens). There are, however, situations when silence is useless and even disrupting. These are situations that require the powerful emotional impact of a very wise speech.

²⁰Daniel Goleman says that the silence of a man who doesn't argue increases a woman's emotional stress.

8. The duplicity of feelings

Once you fall in love, you will become dependent on the presence of your beloved and will unceasingly chase after her so that your emotional pain is relieved. A devious woman will then, purposefully and consciously, set up a barrier between you and her to prevent you from contacting her, and will keep you at a distance, lovesick. Her distancing, her impenetrable barrier, your lovesickness, and your chasing behavior present a directly proportional relation between each other. The more you fall in love, the more you will chase her. The more you chase her, the more impenetrable will be her barrier, the more distant she will become, and the longer her absence will last.

It is a curious phenomenon: a devious woman induces you to fall in love with her, but then stops your approach. By demanding the surrender of your heart, the devious woman is inducing you to chase her and to flirt with her, for there is not passionate sentimentality without requirement for the presence and the proximity of the loved object. Contradictory and illogical? No, only cold and calculating. What is the logic or the point of such an apparently contradictory behavior? To keep you emotionally enslaved, forever imprisoned through passion, loving her for all eternity, without having your love reciprocated and without receiving anything in return. Unconscious? No, purposeful and calculated. What is her motivation? Absolute sentimental selfishness. How is this possible? Simply because we desperately desire women while they are almost indifferent to us.

How to reverse it? By fighting ourselves and developing traits that attract women. Which are these traits? I have comprehensively described them in my books.

Female contradictory behavior generates feelings of a contrary nature that struggle within us and tear our soul apart.

In the beginning of a relationship, when everything is a pretended bed of roses, our feelings of attachment and the like are triggered and reinforced by means of affectionate, caring, and loving attitudes of our partner. In this phase, a woman behaves like a saint, ignoring other males, etc. Later, when she verifies that we are trapped and infatuated, the degree of our dependence and emotional bond begins to be tested with provocative challenging attitudes. It is in this stage that we experience many conflicts, most of which coming from behaviors that subtly call into question her fidelity.

While we have not taken the bait, the female pretends to be exactly what we want. She acts like the perfect, wonderful, and divine lady of our most beautiful dreams. After we take the bait, however, her behavior changes little by little, and from paradise we fall into hell.

Her “innocent” attitudes of giving attention, showing sympathy, getting close, and taking care of other males annoy a man because they shake his conviction in the loyalty of feelings of his partner. They are used intentionally as a form of provocation. The problem is not in the promiscuous behavior of our partner, as feminists try to make it seem, but rather in the lack of transparency, in the uncertainty, confusion, and doubt that her behavior raises. If her attitude was clear and defined from the beginning, as in the case of a prostitute, there would be no problem. But, as we are rational, the annoyance of doubt, as Peirce says, corrodes us and provokes a great emotional suffering. We need

defined situations. A relationship full of question marks and poorly explained facts cause a great torment, because we are left exclusively at the mercy of trust. As an irrational belief without logical basis is not our strength, we feel groundless. What infuriates us are contradictory behaviors. Subtle attitudes apparently without [weight] are seen by us as violent acts of disguised betrayals. Their innocence is apparent, because it is precisely the subtle attitudes without malice that facilitate cheating. Knowing this, devious women do precisely what we hate and they do it in a conscious and premeditated manner.

Not only in the field of fidelity does provocations happen. There are also attitudes that challenge and confront our feelings and values in many other fields.

As we are territorial and want to protect our genes, we need to continuously confirm through direct observation that our partner is absolutely faithful and keeps other males at a safe distance. For that reason, when a devious woman complains and says that we must trust her word, despite evidence of facts that create doubt instead of certainty, we feel that we are being deceived. The result is that we rage, rightly so, and begin to gradually develop hostile and negative feelings toward the one we only wanted to love. Such feelings are very bad for us, and, curiously, they make women happy for being proof that we suffer for what they did.

In the long run, there occurs a duplicity of feelings that blur the nature of the relationship: we nourish negative and, at the same time, positive feelings for the same person.

This simultaneous duplicity destroys us, because we cannot define what we feel anymore to polarize our attitudes. Positive feelings that we naively develop work as a break that does not allow us to antagonize them completely. Negative feelings prevents us from enjoying the fullness of the relationship. We are then left divided in two, split, loving and hating a same woman simultaneously. The bomb explodes inside us, in our heart. Our mistake, once again, consisted in we letting ourselves become intoxicated by the poison of passion. If we had resisted her fascination, her beauty, her charm, her delicacy, we would not have been pushed to the opposite extreme. Therefore, lust, attachment, admiration, longing, and other feelings alike are defects just as harmful as wrath, fury, hate, and jealousy. They all must be purged from our soul through analysis, understanding, assimilation, and prayer. I assert that, if you are an atheist, it will be harder to grow beyond yourself.

There is no other way out but to fall out of love. If you doubt that, try to fall madly in love and you will see the nefarious effects.

For the passionate man, I only see the following paths as possible:

1. to commit suicide;
2. to become homosexual;
3. to be a conformed cuckold;
4. to go mad;
5. to transform yourself psychologically.

I only recommend this last path.

The duplicity of feelings is tightly linked to the freeloading opportunistic nature of human beings. When they feel that they are losing us, they offer us their love, but when they feel they are winning us over, they give us indifference. As the master politician Machiavelli rightly taught us, human beings are more prone to take advantage than to reciprocate the love that they are given. Our partners are no exception to this law, and when they feel loved, they see such a fact as an opportunity to be exploited to the maximum, and not as an undeserved gift. The situation is even more serious to the extent that, still according to Machiavelli, we must not allow room for hatred, but only for apprehension. The solution is to keep reason on our side, so as to prevent women from hating us, and only “punish” them when they abuse our tolerance and trust. Females must feel loved but not too much, protected but not entirely, and must be afraid of a little pending punishment, whose intensity and limit are impossible to measure. The “punishments,” emotional ones in this case, must be fair, short, and impactful. The benefits and rewards for her good behavior must be distributed slowly for a long time, so that they are enjoyed and remembered for a long time.

If we let a woman’s attempt to deceive us pass unchallenged after it was discovered, we will bring discredit on ourselves. Instead of recognizing the value of our noble reasons that motivate us to forgive them (understanding, forgiveness, mercy, compassion), females will take us for weak men, because their gold-digging mind is unable to understand the value of noble feelings. They will reciprocate our forgiveness with freeloading opportunism and not with gratitude, and will tell themselves, “What a weak man! He has no guts to stop me and passively allows me to take advantage of his trust and abuse his tolerance.” On the other hand, if we accurately “punish” them when it comes to their feelings, making them suffer as they do to us, giving them back everything at the same time that we fling open their malfeasance in an explicit manner, they begin to admire us on their inside, even if they cry, complain, and protest. It follows, thus, that the most cruel and vengeful men are more admired than merciful gracious ones, unfortunately. Once again we can see that lovestruck men disgrace themselves.

Notice that a woman’s provocative behavior (lying, attempting to deceive, to manipulate, to double-cross, etc.) is dissimulated and takes on an innocent appearance. It also tends to show up precisely when the atmosphere between the couple is wonderful, because females have no respect for the wellness of relationships. Actually, a wonderful sense of wellness is seen by them as an opportunity to be taken advantage of, that is, it is a sign that the most favorable time for double-crossing us has come, for it is the moment that we are being most malleable and “nice.” They feel that they must take advantage of this moment as soon as possible. This is why they suddenly ruin a harmonious coexistence. They tend to surprise us by ruining our good times with negative attitudes when we are being friendly. They raise our expectations for certain attitudes and surprise us with contrary attitudes, thus rendering our duplicity of feelings unavoidable. Our feelings, sincerity, and trust are seen as objects to be used without the slightest regard. Hence the importance of armoring ourselves at this level.

The paradoxical female conduct that evokes conflicting feelings reminds me of what the Earth Goddess, who represents the Eternal Feminine, said to Sláine, the Celtic hero by Pat Mills:

“Sometimes I am your mother and I hold you...

Sometimes I am your sister and I help you...

And sometimes I am the lover who stabs you in the back!”

The woman whom we fall in love with can be any of them.

9. Shattering the little emotional hell

You must have noticed that females are used to suddenly surprise us by disappearing or by not calling us back. They are used to promise and to not fulfill, to oscillate between approaching and distancing, to nourish our expectations and to thwart them, to suggest that they will call us and to not call, to purposefully provoke our wrath, to do precisely what we dislike or hate, etc. These are very well known little emotional hell that they deliberately and consciously raise. They do this to make our lives hell with doubt and to test us. They want to find out if we will become desperate, if we will seek them like crazy, etc.

A sudden disappearance is often preceded by indications and signals that allow us to detect it: sometimes silence, bad humor, or slightly disagreements, or, most of the time, misleading indicators that suggest the opposite of what is going to happen: too much affection, kindness, willingness, and goodwill. If your woman is being too nice, attentive, affectionate, etc., watch your back because you may be being set to receive the impact of a withdrawal syndrome caused by her sudden disappearance. When you notice that she is going to disappear, to stay without calling, or to purposefully not answer the phone in order to bother you, get ahead of her and steal the triumph from her by ordering her to not seek you, to not call your, and to wait indefinitely until you do it. This way, you disarm this little emotional game because you will have ordered her to do precisely what she was intending to. If this is very difficult for you, then do the opposite: get ahead by communicating a punitive decision that will heavily reverse the consequences of her sudden disappearance onto her.

As a general dominating rule, a sudden disappearance only happens after we have been “hooked.” A female disappears when she begins to suspect that you will be struck by her absence. Her intention is to cause you an ever-growing suffering in order to intensify your passion.

About the author

The author of this book IS NEITHER A PSYCHOLOGIST NOR A MASTER of anyone. He STRICTLY REFUSES DISCIPLES and does not want followers of any kind. He simply exercises his right of thinking freely about lovesickness and wishes that people think by themselves. His ideas were published so that they could be discussed and improved by criticism, and are not intended for immature, dogmatic, or extremist people. This is neither a recipe book nor a manual!

The author REPROVES the formation of any doctrine groups based on his ideas, which he wishes to be a starting point for deeper reflections and other authors and viewpoints. He, for being a freethinker and real lover of continuous knowledge buildup, NOT ALWAYS AGREES WITH HIMSELF and considers that many of his ideas may be wrong! His ideas were published to be questioned and discussed, instead of being praised or depreciated. They are only another viewpoint among all the possible ones (perspectivism).

The author considers that all human beings have the right to do philosophy, that is, to think in a critical, deep, careful, and focused way. Anyone who claims to be his disciple is an IMPOSTOR!

There are no groups representing the ideas of this author in nowhere in the world, virtual or not. There are only groups with viewpoints similar to his in certain aspects.

People who are unable to continuously change their viewpoints should not mess with deep thoughts!