Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

Quiz, 15 questions



Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



1/1 point

1

Problem Statement

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have **to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia** and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labelled:

- y = 0: There is no bird on the image
- y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

Quiz, 15 questions

- What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success

The City Council tells you that they want an algorithm that

- 1. Has high accuracy
- 2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
- 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

<u>Note</u>: Having three evaluation metrics makes it harder for you to quickly choose between two different algorithms, and will slow down the speed with which your team can iterate. True/False?

0	True				
Corre	ct				
	False				



1/1 point

2.

After further discussions, the city narrows down its criteria to:

- "We need an algorithm that can let us know a bird is flying over Peacetopia as accurately as possible."
- "We want the trained model to take no more than 10sec to classify a new image."
- "We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

If you had the three following models, which one would you choose?

Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
97%	1 sec	ЗМВ
Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
99%	13 sec	9MB
Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)^{2MB}

Quiz, 15 questions

Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
98%	9 sec	9MB



Correct! As soon as the runtime is less than 10 seconds you're good. So, you may simply maximize the test accuracy after you made sure the runtime is <10sec.



1/1 point

3.

Based on the city's requests, which of the following would you say is true?

Accuracy is an optimizing metric; running time and memory size are a satisficing metrics.

Correct

Accuracy is a satisficing metric; running time and memory size are an optimizing metric.
Accuracy, running time and memory size are all optimizing metrics because you want to do well on all three.
Accuracy, running time and memory size are all satisficing metrics because you have to do sufficiently well on all three for your system to be acceptable.



1/1 point

4

Structuring your data

Before implementing your algorithm, you need to split your data into train/dev/test sets. Which of these do you think is the best choice?

Train	Dev	Test
3,333,334	3,333,333	3,333,333

Train	Dev	Test
6,000,000	3,000,000	1,000,000



12/25/2018	S	tructuring Machine Learning Projects - Home	e Coursera
Rird re	cognition in the city of l	Peacetonia (case study)	Test
	9,500,000	250,000	250,000
Corre Yes.	ect		
	Train	Dev	Test
	6,000,000	1,000,000	3,000,000
~	1 / 1 point		
"citizen of the s the dist You sho distribu	etting up your train/dev/test sets, the s' data". Apparently the citizens of Pesky and label them, thus contributing tribution of images the City Council hould not add the citizens' data to the utions to become different, thus hurt True False	eacetopia are so scared of birds tha these additional 1,000,000 images. and originally given you, but you thin training set, because this will cause	t they volunteered to take pictures. These images are different from nk it could help your algorithm.
have	ng this data to the training set will che different training and dev distributions.	_	<u>-</u>
6.	1 / 1 point		
	ember of the City Council knows a lit of data images to the test set. You obj		nks you should add the 1,000,000
	The 1,000,000 citizens' data images (similar to the New York City/Detroi		

https://www.coursera.org/learn/machine-learning-projects/exam/TcWkR/bird-recognition-in-the-city-of-peacetopia-case-study.

The test set no longer reflects the distribution of data (security cameras) you most care about.

Un-selected is correct

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

Quiz, 15 questions	uiz, 15 questions				
	This would cause the dev and test set distributions to become different. This is a bad idea because you're not aiming where you want to hit.				
Correct					
evaluatin	A bigger test set will slow down the speed of iterating because of the computational expense of evaluating models on the test set. Un-selected is correct				
1/1 point 7. You train a syster	n, and its errors are as follows (error = 100%-Accuracy):				
Training set	error	4.0%			
Dev set erro	r	4.5%			
Yes, becan	t one good avenue for improving performance is to train a big error. Do you agree? use having 4.0% training error shows you have high bias. use this shows your bias is higher than your variance. use this shows your variance is higher than your bias. use there is insufficient information to tell.	ger network so as to drive down			
1/1 point					
8.	3.				

You ask a few people to label the dataset so as to find out what is human-level performance. You find the Birdoregognitical in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

Bird watching expert #1 Bird watching expert #2 0.5% error Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert) 1.0% error Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert) 1.2% error If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define "human-level performance"? 0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this) 0.3% (accuracy of expert #1) Correct 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) ** 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes error.	Quiz, 15 que	estions	
Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert) Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert) 1.2% error If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define "human-level performance"? 0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this) 0.3% (accuracy of expert #1) Correct 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.			0.3% error
Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert) 1.2% error If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define "human-level performance"? 0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this) 0.3% (accuracy of expert #1) Correct 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) **Indicate the point of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.	Biro	d watching expert #2	0.5% error
If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define "human-level performance"? 0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this) 0.3% (accuracy of expert #1) Correct 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.	Noi	mal person #1 (not a bird watching expert)	1.0% error
"human-level performance"? 0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this) 0.3% (accuracy of expert #1) Correct 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.	Noi	mal person #2 (not a bird watching expert)	1.2% error
O.3% (accuracy of expert #1) Correct O.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) O.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.	-	-	or, how would you define
Correct 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.		0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this)	
 0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5) 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes 	0	0.3% (accuracy of expert #1)	
 0.75% (average of all four numbers above) 1/1 point 9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes 	Corre	ect	
 1/1 point Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes 		0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5)	
9. Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes		0.75% (average of all four numbers above)	
Which of the following statements do you agree with? A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes	~		
A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance but it can never be better than Bayes error. Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes			
Correct A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes	wnich		
 A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes 	O	·	ce but it can never be
 A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes 			
 A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes 	Corre	ect	
Bayes error. A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes			ormance but it can be
			ormance nor better than

/

1/1 point Bird recognition in the city of Peacetonia (case study) an even better 0.1% performance, so Quixous decimentat as "human-level performance." After working further on your algorithm, you end up with the following:

Human-level performance	0.1%
Training set error	2.0%
Dev set error	2.1%

Based on the evidence you have, which two of the following four options seem the most promising to try? (Ch two options.)	heck
Get a bigger training set to reduce variance.	
Un-selected is correct	
Try decreasing regularization.	
Correct	
Try increasing regularization.	
Un-selected is correct	
Train a bigger model to try to do better on the training set.	
Correct	



1/1 point

11

You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:

Human-level performance	0.1%
Training set error	2.0%
Dev set error	2.1%
Test set error	7.0%

What does this mean? (Check the two best options.)



You should get a bigger test set. Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

Quiz, 15 nuserected is correct

Correct			
You have underfit to the dev set. Un-selected is correct			
You should try to get a bigger dev set. Correct			

1/1 point

After working on this project for a year, you finally achieve:

Human-level performance	0.10%
Training set error	0.05%
Dev set error	0.05%

What can you conclude? (Check all that apply.)

	It is now harder to measure avoidable bias, thus progress will be slower going forward.
Corr	ect
	With only 0.09% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to close the remaining gap to 0%
Un-s	elected is correct
	If the test set is big enough for the 0.05% error estimate to be accurate, this implies Bayes error is ≤ 0.05

Bird recognition in the City of Peacetopia (case study)

Quiz, 15 questions

Un-selected is correct



1/1 point

13.

It turns out Peacetopia has hired one of your competitors to build a system as well. Your system and your competitor both deliver systems with about the same running time and memory size. However, your system has higher accuracy! However, when Peacetopia tries out your and your competitor's systems, they conclude they actually like your competitor's system better, because even though you have higher overall accuracy, you have more false negatives (failing to raise an alarm when a bird is in the air). What should you do?

	Look at all the models you've developed during the development process and find the one with the lowest false negative error rate.		
	Ask your team to take into account both accuracy and false negative rate during development.		
0	Rethink the appropriate metric for this task, and ask your team to tune to the new metric.		
Correct			

Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive all further development.



14.

You've handily beaten your competitor, and your system is now deployed in Peacetopia and is protecting the Bitick are to grain the cityen in Preace to piacles to is the beat of your system slowly degrades because your data is being tested on a new type of data.



You have only 1,000 images of the new species of bird. The city expects a better system from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you do first?

Use the data you have to define a new evaluation metric (using a new dev/test set) taking into account the new species, and use that to drive further progress for your team.

Correct

Put the 1,000 images into the training set so as to try to do better on these birds.
Try data augmentation/data synthesis to get more images of the new type of bird.
Add the 1,000 images into your dataset and reshuffle into a new train/dev/test split.



1/1 point

15.

The City Council thinks that having more Cats in the city would help scare off birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also hire you to build a Cat detector. (Wow Cat detectors are just incredibly useful aren't they.) Because of years of working on Cat detectors, you have such a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images that training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.)

Buying faster computers could speed up your teams' iteration speed and thus your team's productivity.

Bird Tecognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

Quiz, 15 questions			
	Having built a good Bird detector, you should be able to take the same model and hyperparameters and just apply it to the Cat dataset, so there is no need to iterate.		
Un-se	Un-selected is correct		
	Needing two weeks to train will limit the speed at which you can iterate.		
Corre	ert .		
Conv			
	If 100,000,000 examples is enough to build a good enough Cat detector, you might be better of training with just 10,000,000 examples to gain a \approx 10x improvement in how quickly you can run experiments, even if each model performs a bit worse because it's trained on less data.		
Correct			
2 L			