

DPTECH AUDIT REPORT

For Obs Token(OBS)

On 26th, April 2021

Provided By DPTech Tea



TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW	2
The Target Been Audited	2
VULNERABILITIES ANALYSIS	2
Risk of the vulnerabilities:	2
Audit Result: PASSED	3
AUDIT RESULT ANALYSIS	3
Replay Attack [PASSED]	3
Overflow Audit [PASSED]	4
Access Control of Owner [PASSED]	4
Returned Value Security [PASSED]	5
Pseudo-random Number Generator(PRNG) [PASSED]	5
Transaction-Ordering Dependence [PASSED]	6
DoS [PASSED]	6
Design Logic [PASSED]	7
False top-up [PASSED]	7
Additional Issuance [PASSED]	7
Suspended Account Bypass [PASSED]	8
APPENDIX A: CONTRACT CODE	8
APPENDIX B: VULNERABILITY RISK RATING STANDARDS	33
APPENDIX C: INTRODUCTION TO VULNERABILITY TESTING TOOLS	34
DECLARATION	35





I. OVERVIEW

DPTech Team(The Team) has received the requirement of auditing the **Obs Token(OBS)**; hence, the team has run a comprehensive audit for CKE on **26th**, **April 2021** under the DPTech security and tech standards.

Within the audit process, a comprehensive analysis had been conducted for scanning the common vulnerabilities(Included in Chapter 3); in which the code of **OBS** met the standards of DPTech technologies, and no known common vulnerabilities were found through the audit progress. Hence the audit result is **PASSED**.

The audit is carried out in a test environment, meanwhile, the latest code has been audited under the test environment and related regulation, no other effects have been made to the code on the production environment.

The Target Been Audited:

Token	Obs Token (OBS)
Code Type	Token
Contract Address:	0x095956B142431Eb9Cf88B99F392540B91aCbF4ad
Link Of The Code:	https://bscscan.com/address/0x095956B142431Eb9Cf88B99F3925 40B91aCbF4ad#code
Program Language	Solidity

II. VULNERABILITIES ANALYSIS

Risk of the vulnerabilities:



Risk Ladder			
HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	PASSED
0	0	0	11

Audit Result: PASSED

VULNERABILITIES	RESULT	DESCRIBE
Replay Attack	PASSED	Check use of call.value() funcions
Overflow Audit	PASSED	Check the add and sub functions
Access Control of Owner	PASSED	Check access control of each operation
Returned Value Security	PASSED	Check the transfer method with return value
Pseudo-random Number Generator(PRNG)	PASSED	Check whether there is a unified content filter
Transaction-Ordering Dependence	PASSED	Check transaction sequence dependency
DoS	PASSED	Check the uses of resources
Design Logic	PASSED	Check the security issues related to business mode
False top-up	PASSED	Check whether there is a false top-up vulnerability
Additional Issuance	PASSED	Check whether there is a function allowed additional issuance
uspended Account Bypass	PASSED	Check if the suspended account can be bypassed

III. AUDIT RESULT ANALYSIS

1. Replay Attack [PASSED]



The replay attack is the most famous Ethereum smart contract vulnerability, which has led to the Ethereum fork (The DAO hack)

The call.value() function in Solidity consumes all the gas it receives when it is used to send Ether. There is a risk of replay attacks when the call.value() function sending Ether occurs before actually reducing the balance of the sender's account.

Test result: There is no relevant call function in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

2. Overflow Audit [PASSED]

The overflow problem in smart contracts refers to integer overflow and integer underflow.

Solidity can handle up to 256 digits (2^256-1), and increasing the maximum number by 1 will overflow to 0. Similarly, when the number is of unsigned type, 0 minus 1 will underflow to get the maximum number value. Overflow and underflow are not a new type of vulnerability, but they are particularly dangerous in smart contracts. An overflow situation can lead to incorrect results, especially if the possibility is not expected, which may affect the reliability and security of the program.

Test result: The security problem does not exist in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

3. Access Control of Owner [PASSED]



Access control deficiencies are possible security risks in all programs. Smart contracts also have similar problems. The famous Parity Wallet smart contract has

been affected by this problem.

Test result: The security problem does not exist in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

4. Returned Value Security [PASSED]

This problem mostly occurs in smart contracts related to token transfer, so it is

also called silent failure transmission or unchecked transmission.

In Solidity, there are transfer methods such as transfer(), send(), call.value(), etc.,

which can be used to send Ether to an address, the difference is that: transfer will

throw when the transfer fails, and the status will be rolled back; Only 2300 gas will

be passed for calling to prevent reentry attacks; false will be returned when send

fails to send; only 2300 gas will be passed for calling to prevent reentry attacks;

false will be returned when call value fails to be sent; all available gas will be called

for Restricted by passing in the gas_value parameter), cannot effectively prevent

reentry attacks. If the return value of the above send and call.value transfer

functions is not checked in the code, the contract will continue to execute the

following code, which may cause unexpected results due to the failure of Ether

transmission.

Test result: There are no related vulnerabilities in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

Pseudo-random Number Generator(PRNG) [PASSED] 5.

DPTech Audit Report

Random numbers may be required in smart contracts. Although the functions and variables provided by Solidity can access obviously unpredictable values, such as block.number and block.timestamp, they are usually either more public than they seem or are affected by miners, these random numbers are predictable to a certain extent, so malicious users can usually copy it and rely on its unpredictability to attack the function.

Test result: The problem does not exist in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

6.

Transaction-Ordering Dependence [PASSED]

Since miners always obtain gas fees through code representing externally owned addresses (EOA), users can specify a higher fee for faster transactions. Since the Ethereum blockchain is public, everyone can see the content of other people's pending transactions. This means that if a user submits a valuable solution, a malicious user can steal the solution and copy its transaction at a higher fee to preempt the original solution.

Test result: There is no risk of transaction order dependence attack in the approval function in the smart contract.

Suggestions: None.

7. DoS [PASSED]

In the Ethereum world, denial of service is fatal, and a smart contract that suffers this type of attack may never be able to return to its normal working state. There may be many reasons for the denial of service of the smart contract, including malicious behavior when acting as the recipient of the transaction, artificially



increasing the gas required for the calculation function leads to the exhaustion of gas, abuse of access control to access the private component of the smart contract, the use of confusion and negligence, etc.

Test result: There are no related vulnerabilities in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

Design Logic [PASSED] 8.

Detect security issues related to business design in smart contract code.

Test result: There are no related vulnerabilities in the logic design of the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None

9. False top-up [PASSED]

In the transfer function of the token contract, the balance check of the transfer (msg.sender) the if judgment method. uses balances[msg.sender] < value, it enters the else logic part and returns false. Finally, no exception is thrown. We believe that only the gentle judgment method of if/else is an imprecise coding method in the context of sensitive functions such as transfer.

Test result: There are no related vulnerabilities in the smart contract code.

Suggestions: None.

10. Additional Issuance [PASSED]



After the initialization of the total amount of tokens, check whether there is a function in the token contract that may increase the total amount of tokens.

Test result: There are no relevant vulnerabilities have been found.

Suggestions: None.

11. Suspended Account Bypass [PASSED]

Check whether there is any operation of unverified source account, originating account and target account when transferring tokens in the token contract.

Test result: The problem does not exist in the smart contract code (No whiteList) .

Suggestions: None.

12. Additional Suggestions:

- There is an inline function for burning tokens, the tokens belonging to the Operator can be burned by the Operator.
- There is an inline function for burning approved tokens, the approved tokens belonging to the Operator can be burned by the Operator.

IV. APPENDIX A: CONTRACT CODE

Note: Comments start with //AUDIT//

M



```
//AUDIT// There is no overflow or conditional competition in the contract.
*Submitted for verification at BscScan.com on 2021-04-21
// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT
pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
* @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the
* sender of the transaction and its data. While these are generally available
* via msg.sender and msg.data, they should not be accessed in such a direct
* manner, since when dealing with GSN meta-transactions the account sending and
 * paying for execution may not be the actual sender (as far as an application is
concerned).
 * This contract is only required for intermediate, library-like contracts.
*/
abstract contract Context {
  function msgSender() internal view virtual returns (address payable) {
     return msg.sender;
  }
  function msgData() internal view virtual returns (bytes memory) {
    this; // silence state mutability warning without generating bytecode - see
https://github.com/ethereum/solidity/issues/2691
     return msg.data;
// The following code is from flattening this import statement in: owner\Operator.sol
// import '@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol';
// The following code is from flattening this file:
@openzeppelin\contracts\access\Ownable.sol
pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
// Skipping this already resolved import statement found in
@openzeppelin\contracts\access\Ownable.sol
// import "../GSN/Context.sol";
/**
```





```
* @dev Contract module which provides a basic access control mechanism, where
* there is an account (an owner) that can be granted exclusive access to
* specific functions.
* By default, the owner account will be the one that deploys the contract. This
* can later be changed with {transferOwnership}.
* This module is used through inheritance. It will make available the modifier
* `onlyOwner`, which can be applied to your functions to restrict their use to
* the owner.
*/
contract Ownable is Context {
  address private _owner;
  event OwnershipTransferred(address indexed previousOwner, address indexed
newOwner);
  * @dev Initializes the contract setting the deployer as the initial owner.
  constructor () internal {
    address msgSender = msgSender();
    owner = msgSender;
    emit OwnershipTransferred(address(0), msgSender);
  * @dev Returns the address of the current owner.
  */
  function owner() public view returns (address) {
    return owner;
  }
  * @dev Throws if called by any account other than the owner.
  modifier onlyOwner() {
    require( owner == msgSender(), "Ownable: caller is not the owner");
```





```
}
   * @dev Leaves the contract without owner. It will not be possible to call
   * `onlyOwner` functions anymore. Can only be called by the current owner.
   * NOTE: Renouncing ownership will leave the contract without an owner,
  * thereby removing any functionality that is only available to the owner.
  function renounceOwnership() public virtual onlyOwner {
    emit OwnershipTransferred( owner, address(0));
    owner = address(0);
  * @dev Transfers ownership of the contract to a new account ('newOwner').
  * Can only be called by the current owner.
  function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner {
    require(newOwner!= address(0), "Ownable: new owner is the zero address");
    emit OwnershipTransferred( owner, newOwner);
    owner = newOwner;
contract Operator is Context, Ownable {//AUDIT// This contract has the same function as
the Ownable contract above, which is a redundant part, but does not cause any risk.
  address private operator;
  event OperatorTransferred(
    address indexed previousOperator,
    address indexed newOperator
  constructor() internal {
    operator = msgSender();
    emit OperatorTransferred(address(0), _operator);
```





```
}
  function operator() public view returns (address) {
     return _operator;
  modifier onlyOperator() {
     require(
       operator == msg.sender,
       'operator: caller is not the operator'
  function isOperator() public view returns (bool) {
     return _msgSender() == _operator;
  }
  function transferOperator(address newOperator_) public onlyOwner {
     transferOperator(newOperator);
  function transferOperator(address newOperator ) internal {
    require(
       newOperator != address(0),
       'operator: zero address given for new operator'
    );
    emit OperatorTransferred(address(0), newOperator );
     operator = newOperator;
// Skipping this already resolved import statement found in
@openzeppelin\contracts\token\ERC20\ERC20.sol
// import "../../GSN/Context.sol";
// The following code is from flattening this import statement in:
@openzeppelin\contracts\token\ERC20\ERC20.sol
// import "./IERC20.sol";
```





```
// The following code is from flattening this file:
@openzeppelin\contracts\token\ERC20\IERC20.sol
pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
* @dev Interface of the ERC20 standard as defined in the EIP.
interface IERC20 {//AUDIT// Standard ERC20 framework that meets all required standards
   * @dev Returns the amount of tokens in existence.
  function totalSupply() external view returns (uint256);
  * @dev Returns the amount of tokens owned by `account`.
  function balanceOf(address account) external view returns (uint256);
   * @dev Moves `amount` tokens from the caller's account to `recipient`.
   * Returns a boolean value indicating whether the operation succeeded.
  * Emits a {Transfer} event.
  function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount) external returns (bool);
  * @dev Returns the remaining number of tokens that `spender` will be
   * allowed to spend on behalf of `owner` through {transferFrom}. This is
   * zero by default.
   * This value changes when {approve} or {transferFrom} are called.
 function allowance(address owner, address spender) external view returns (uint256);
```





```
* @dev Sets `amount` as the allowance of `spender` over the caller's tokens.
   * Returns a boolean value indicating whether the operation succeeded.
   * IMPORTANT: Beware that changing an allowance with this method brings the risk
   * that someone may use both the old and the new allowance by unfortunate
   * transaction ordering. One possible solution to mitigate this race
   * condition is to first reduce the spender's allowance to 0 and set the
   * desired value afterwards:
   * https://github.com/ethereum/EIPs/issues/20#issuecomment-263524729
  * Emits an {Approval} event.
  function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) external returns (bool);
  * @dev Moves `amount` tokens from `sender` to `recipient` using the
  * allowance mechanism. `amount` is then deducted from the caller's
   * allowance.
   * Returns a boolean value indicating whether the operation succeeded.
   * Emits a {Transfer} event.
  function transferFrom(address sender, address recipient, uint256 amount) external
returns (bool);
   * @dev Emitted when `value` tokens are moved from one account (`from`) to
   * another (`to`).
  * Note that `value` may be zero.
  event Transfer(address indexed from, address indexed to, uint256 value);
  * @dev Emitted when the allowance of a 'spender' for an 'owner' is set by
  * a call to {approve}. `value` is the new allowance.
```





```
event Approval(address indexed owner, address indexed spender, uint256 value);
// The following code is from flattening this import statement in:
@openzeppelin\contracts\token\ERC20\ERC20.sol
// import "../../math/SafeMath.sol";
// The following code is from flattening this file:
@openzeppelin\contracts\math\SafeMath.sol
pragma solidity ^0.6.0;
 * @dev Wrappers over Solidity's arithmetic operations with added overflow
 * checks.
 * Arithmetic operations in Solidity wrap on overflow. This can easily result
* in bugs, because programmers usually assume that an overflow raises an
* error, which is the standard behavior in high level programming languages.
 * `SafeMath` restores this intuition by reverting the transaction when an
 * operation overflows.
 * Using this library instead of the unchecked operations eliminates an entire
 * class of bugs, so it's recommended to use it always.
*/
library SafeMath {//AUDIT// The standard SaveMath library is tested and has no
vulnerabilities been found; it is recommended to use solidity V 0.8.0 or later, which can
reduce the gas consumption caused by the SaveMath library, but there is no risk
included here.
  /**
   * @dev Returns the addition of two unsigned integers, reverting on
   * overflow.
    Counterpart to Solidity's `+` operator.
   * Requirements:
    - Addition cannot overflow.
```





```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    uint256 c = a + b;
    require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
    return c;
  * @dev Returns the subtraction of two unsigned integers, reverting on
   * overflow (when the result is negative).
   * Counterpart to Solidity's `-` operator.
   * Requirements:
   * - Subtraction cannot overflow.
  function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return sub(a, b, "SafeMath: subtraction overflow");
   * @dev Returns the subtraction of two unsigned integers, reverting with custom
message on
   * overflow (when the result is negative).
   * Counterpart to Solidity's `-` operator.
   * Requirements:
     Subtraction cannot overflow.
  function sub(uint256 a, uint256 b, string memory errorMessage) internal pure returns
(uint256) {
    require(b <= a, errorMessage);</pre>
    uint256 c = a - b;
```





```
return c;
* @dev Returns the multiplication of two unsigned integers, reverting on
* overflow.
* Counterpart to Solidity's `*` operator.
* Requirements:
* - Multiplication cannot overflow.
function mul(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
  // Gas optimization: this is cheaper than requiring 'a' not being zero, but the
  // benefit is lost if 'b' is also tested.
  // See: https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-contracts/pull/522
  if (a == 0) {
     return 0;
  uint256 c = a * b;
  require(c / a == b, "SafeMath: multiplication overflow");
  return c;
* @dev Returns the integer division of two unsigned integers. Reverts on
* division by zero. The result is rounded towards zero.
* Counterpart to Solidity's '/' operator. Note: this function uses a
* `revert` opcode (which leaves remaining gas untouched) while Solidity
  uses an invalid opcode to revert (consuming all remaining gas).
* Requirements:
* - The divisor cannot be zero.
```





```
function div(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
     return div(a, b, "SafeMath: division by zero");
   * @dev Returns the integer division of two unsigned integers. Reverts with custom
message on
   * division by zero. The result is rounded towards zero.
   * Counterpart to Solidity's '/' operator. Note: this function uses a
   * 'revert' opcode (which leaves remaining gas untouched) while Solidity
   * uses an invalid opcode to revert (consuming all remaining gas).
   * Requirements:
   * - The divisor cannot be zero.
  function div(uint256 a, uint256 b, string memory errorMessage) internal pure returns
(uint256) {
     require(b > 0, errorMessage);
     uint256 c = a / b;
    // assert(a == b * c + a % b); // There is no case in which this doesn't hold
     return c;
   * @dev Returns the remainder of dividing two unsigned integers. (unsigned integer
modulo),
   * Reverts when dividing by zero.
   * Counterpart to Solidity's `%` operator. This function uses a `revert`
   * opcode (which leaves remaining gas untouched) while Solidity uses an
   * invalid opcode to revert (consuming all remaining gas).
   * Requirements:
```





```
* - The divisor cannot be zero.
  function mod(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
    return mod(a, b, "SafeMath: modulo by zero");
   * @dev Returns the remainder of dividing two unsigned integers. (unsigned integer
modulo),
   * Reverts with custom message when dividing by zero.
   * Counterpart to Solidity's '%' operator. This function uses a 'revert'
   * opcode (which leaves remaining gas untouched) while Solidity uses an
   * invalid opcode to revert (consuming all remaining gas).
   * Requirements:
   * - The divisor cannot be zero.
  function mod(uint256 a, uint256 b, string memory errorMessage) internal pure returns
(uint256) {
    require(b != 0, errorMessage);
    return a % b;
// The following code is from flattening this import statement in:
@openzeppelin\contracts\token\ERC20\ERC20.sol
// import "../../utils/Address.sol";
// The following code is from flattening this file:
@openzeppelin\contracts\utils\Address.sol
pragma solidity ^0.6.2;
* @dev Collection of functions related to the address type
```





```
library Address {//AUDIT// Unused library, yet not risk been found.
   * @dev Returns true if `account` is a contract.
   * [IMPORTANT]
   * It is unsafe to assume that an address for which this function returns
   * false is an externally-owned account (EOA) and not a contract.
   * Among others, `isContract` will return false for the following
   * types of addresses:
     - an externally-owned account
     - a contract in construction
      an address where a contract will be created
      an address where a contract lived, but was destroyed
  function isContract(address account) internal view returns (bool) {
    // This method relies in extcodesize, which returns 0 for contracts in
    // construction, since the code is only stored at the end of the
    // constructor execution.
    uint256 size;
    // solhint-disable-next-line no-inline-assembly
    assembly { size := extcodesize(account) }
    return size > 0;
   * @dev Replacement for Solidity's `transfer`: sends `amount` wei to
   * `recipient`, forwarding all available gas and reverting on errors.
   * https://eips.ethereum.org/EIPS/eip-1884[EIP1884] increases the gas cost
   * of certain opcodes, possibly making contracts go over the 2300 gas limit
   * imposed by `transfer`, making them unable to receive funds via
   * `transfer`. {sendValue} removes this limitation.
   * https://diligence.consensys.net/posts/2019/09/stop-using-soliditys-transfer-
```

M



```
now/[Learn more].
   * IMPORTANT: because control is transferred to `recipient`, care must be
   * taken to not create reentrancy vulnerabilities. Consider using
   * {ReentrancyGuard} or the
  * https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/v0.5.11/security-considerations.html#use-the-
checks-effects-interactions-pattern[checks-effects-interactions pattern].
  function sendValue(address payable recipient, uint256 amount) internal {
    require(address(this).balance >= amount, "Address: insufficient balance");
    // solhint-disable-next-line avoid-low-level-calls, avoid-call-value
    (bool success, ) = recipient.call{ value: amount }("");
    require(success, "Address: unable to send value, recipient may have reverted");
   * @dev Performs a Solidity function call using a low level `call`. A
   * plain`call` is an unsafe replacement for a function call: use this
   * function instead.
   * If `target` reverts with a revert reason, it is bubbled up by this
   * function (like regular Solidity function calls).
   * Returns the raw returned data. To convert to the expected return value,
   * use https://solidity.readthedocs.io/en/latest/units-and-global-
variables.html?highlight=abi.decode#abi-encoding-and-decoding-
functions[`abi.decode`].
   * Requirements:
   * - `target` must be a contract.
    - calling `target` with `data` must not revert.
   * Available since v3.1.
  function functionCall(address target, bytes memory data) internal returns (bytes
memory) {
```





```
return functionCall(target, data, "Address: low-level call failed");
   * @dev Same as {xref-Address-functionCall-address-bytes-}[`functionCall`], but with
   * `errorMessage` as a fallback revert reason when `target` reverts.
    Available since v3.1.
  function functionCall(address target, bytes memory data, string memory
errorMessage) internal returns (bytes memory) {
     return functionCallWithValue(target, data, 0, errorMessage);
   * @dev Same as {xref-Address-functionCall-address-bytes-}[`functionCall`],
   * but also transferring 'value' wei to 'target'.
   * Requirements:
   * - the calling contract must have an ETH balance of at least 'value'.
   * - the called Solidity function must be `payable`.
   * _Available since v3.1._
  function functionCallWithValue(address target, bytes memory data, uint256 value)
internal returns (bytes memory) {
     return functionCallWithValue(target, data, value, "Address: low-level call with value
failed");
  }
   * @dev Same as {xref-Address-functionCallWithValue-address-bytes-uint256-
}[`functionCallWithValue`], but
   * with `errorMessage` as a fallback revert reason when `target` reverts.
   * Available since v3.1.
```





```
function functionCallWithValue(address target, bytes memory data, uint256 value,
string memory errorMessage) internal returns (bytes memory) {
    require(address(this).balance >= value, "Address: insufficient balance for call");
    return _functionCallWithValue(target, data, value, errorMessage);
  function functionCallWithValue(address target, bytes memory data, uint256 weiValue,
string memory errorMessage) private returns (bytes memory) {
    require(isContract(target), "Address: call to non-contract");
    // solhint-disable-next-line avoid-low-level-calls
    (bool success, bytes memory returndata) = target.call{ value: weiValue }(data);
    if (success) {
       return returndata;
    } else {
       // Look for revert reason and bubble it up if present
       if (returndata.length > 0) {
         // The easiest way to bubble the revert reason is using memory via assembly
         // solhint-disable-next-line no-inline-assembly
          assembly {
            let returndata size := mload(returndata)
            revert(add(32, returndata), returndata size)
       } else {
          revert(errorMessage);
* @dev Implementation of the {IERC20} interface.
* This implementation is agnostic to the way tokens are created. This means
* that a supply mechanism has to be added in a derived contract using {_mint}.
* For a generic mechanism see {ERC20PresetMinterPauser}.
```





```
* TIP: For a detailed writeup see our guide
* https://forum.zeppelin.solutions/t/how-to-implement-erc20-supply-
mechanisms/226[How
* to implement supply mechanisms].
* We have followed general OpenZeppelin guidelines: functions revert instead
* of returning `false` on failure. This behavior is nonetheless conventional
* and does not conflict with the expectations of ERC20 applications.
* Additionally, an {Approval} event is emitted on calls to {transferFrom}.
* This allows applications to reconstruct the allowance for all accounts just
* by listening to said events. Other implementations of the EIP may not emit
* these events, as it isn't required by the specification.
* Finally, the non-standard {decreaseAllowance} and {increaseAllowance}
* functions have been added to mitigate the well-known issues around setting
* allowances. See {IERC20-approve}.
contract ERC20 is Context, IERC20 {///AUDIT// Meet ERC-20 Specifications, given
permissions do not consist of risks.
  using SafeMath for uint256;
  using Address for address;
  mapping (address => uint256) private balances;
  mapping (address => mapping (address => uint256)) private allowances;
  uint256 private totalSupply;
  string private name;
  string private symbol;
  uint8 private _decimals;
   * @dev Sets the values for {name} and {symbol}, initializes {decimals} with
   * a default value of 18.
   * To select a different value for {decimals}, use { setupDecimals}.
```

M



```
* All three of these values are immutable: they can only be set once during
* construction.
constructor (string memory name, string memory symbol) public {
  name = name;
  _symbol = symbol;
  _decimals = 18;
* @dev Returns the name of the token.
*/
function name() public view returns (string memory) {
  return name;
* @dev Returns the symbol of the token, usually a shorter version of the
* name.
*/
function symbol() public view returns (string memory) {
  return _symbol;
* @dev Returns the number of decimals used to get its user representation.
* For example, if `decimals` equals `2`, a balance of `505` tokens should
* be displayed to a user as `5,05` (`505 / 10 ** 2`).
* Tokens usually opt for a value of 18, imitating the relationship between
* Ether and Wei. This is the value {ERC20} uses, unless { setupDecimals} is
* called.
* NOTE: This information is only used for _display_ purposes: it in
* no way affects any of the arithmetic of the contract, including
* {IERC20-balanceOf} and {IERC20-transfer}.
*/
function decimals() public view returns (uint8) {
  return _decimals;
```





```
}
   * @dev See {IERC20-totalSupply}.
  */
  function totalSupply() public view override returns (uint256) {
    return _totalSupply;
  }
  * @dev See {IERC20-balanceOf}.
  */
  function balanceOf(address account) public view override returns (uint256) {
    return _balances[account];
   * @dev See {IERC20-transfer}.
   * Requirements:
   * - `recipient` cannot be the zero address.
  * - the caller must have a balance of at least `amount`.
   */
  function transfer(address recipient, uint256 amount) public virtual override returns
(bool) {
    transfer( msgSender(), recipient, amount);
    return true;
  }
   * @dev See {IERC20-allowance}.
  function allowance(address owner, address spender) public view virtual override
returns (uint256) {
    return _allowances[owner][spender];
  }
```





```
* @dev See {IERC20-approve}.
   * Requirements:
   * - `spender` cannot be the zero address.
  function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) public virtual override returns
(bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender, amount);
    return true;
   * @dev See {IERC20-transferFrom}.
   * Emits an {Approval} event indicating the updated allowance. This is not
   * required by the EIP. See the note at the beginning of {ERC20};
   * Requirements:
   * - `sender` and `recipient` cannot be the zero address.
   * - `sender` must have a balance of at least `amount`.
   * - the caller must have allowance for ``sender``'s tokens of at least
   * `amount`.
  function transferFrom(address sender, address recipient, uint256 amount) public
virtual override returns (bool) {
    transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
    approve(sender, msgSender(), allowances[sender][ msgSender()].sub(amount,
"ERC20: transfer amount exceeds allowance"));
    return true;
   * @dev Atomically increases the allowance granted to `spender` by the caller.
   * This is an alternative to {approve} that can be used as a mitigation for
   * problems described in {IERC20-approve}.
```





```
* Emits an {Approval} event indicating the updated allowance.
   * Requirements:
   * - `spender` cannot be the zero address.
  function increaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 addedValue) public virtual
returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender,
_allowances[_msgSender()][spender].add(addedValue));
    return true;
   * @dev Atomically decreases the allowance granted to `spender` by the caller.
   * This is an alternative to {approve} that can be used as a mitigation for
   * problems described in {IERC20-approve}.
   * Emits an {Approval} event indicating the updated allowance.
   * Requirements:
   * - `spender` cannot be the zero address.
   * - `spender` must have allowance for the caller of at least
  * `subtractedValue`.
  */
  function decreaseAllowance(address spender, uint256 subtractedValue) public virtual
returns (bool) {
    _approve(_msgSender(), spender,
allowances[ msgSender()][spender].sub(subtractedValue, "ERC20: decreased allowance
below zero"));
    return true;
  }
  * @dev Moves tokens `amount` from `sender` to `recipient`.
```





```
* This is internal function is equivalent to {transfer}, and can be used to
   * e.g. implement automatic token fees, slashing mechanisms, etc.
   * Emits a {Transfer} event.
   * Requirements:
   * - `sender` cannot be the zero address.
   * - `recipient` cannot be the zero address.
   * - `sender` must have a balance of at least `amount`.
   */
  function _transfer(address sender, address recipient, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(sender != address(0), "ERC20: transfer from the zero address");
    require(recipient != address(0), "ERC20: transfer to the zero address");
    beforeTokenTransfer(sender, recipient, amount);
    _balances[sender] = _balances[sender].sub(amount, "ERC20: transfer amount
exceeds balance");
    balances[recipient] = balances[recipient].add(amount);
    emit Transfer(sender, recipient, amount);
  /** @dev Creates `amount` tokens and assigns them to `account`, increasing the total
supply.
  * Emits a {Transfer} event with `from` set to the zero address.
  * Requirements
   * - `to` cannot be the zero address.
  function mint(address account, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(account != address(0), "ERC20: mint to the zero address");
     beforeTokenTransfer(address(0), account, amount);
    _totalSupply = _totalSupply.add(amount);
    _balances[account] = _balances[account].add(amount);
    emit Transfer(address(0), account, amount);
```





```
}
   * @dev Destroys `amount` tokens from `account`, reducing the total supply.
  * Emits a {Transfer} event with `to` set to the zero address.
   * Requirements
   * - `account` cannot be the zero address.
   * - `account` must have at least `amount` tokens.
  function _burn(address account, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(account != address(0), "ERC20: burn from the zero address");
    _beforeTokenTransfer(account, address(0), amount);
    _balances[account] = _balances[account].sub(amount, "ERC20: burn amount
exceeds balance");
    totalSupply = totalSupply.sub(amount);
    emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
   * @dev Sets `amount` as the allowance of `spender` over the `owner` s tokens.
  * This internal function is equivalent to 'approve', and can be used to
   * e.g. set automatic allowances for certain subsystems, etc.
  * Emits an {Approval} event.
  * Requirements:
   * - `owner` cannot be the zero address.
   * - `spender` cannot be the zero address.
  function _approve(address owner, address spender, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(owner != address(0), "ERC20: approve from the zero address");
    require(spender != address(0), "ERC20: approve to the zero address");
    allowances[owner][spender] = amount;
    emit Approval(owner, spender, amount);
   * @dev Sets {decimals} to a value other than the default one of 18.
```





```
* WARNING: This function should only be called from the constructor. Most
   * applications that interact with token contracts will not expect
  * {decimals} to ever change, and may work incorrectly if it does.
  function _setupDecimals(uint8 decimals_) internal {
    decimals = decimals ;
  }
  * @dev Hook that is called before any transfer of tokens. This includes minting and
burning.
  * Calling conditions:
   * - when `from` and `to` are both non-zero, `amount` of ``from``'s tokens will be to
transferred to 'to'.
   * - when `from` is zero, `amount` tokens will be minted for `to`.
   * - when `to` is zero, `amount` of ``from``'s tokens will be burned.
   * - `from` and `to` are never both zero.
  * To learn more about hooks, head to xref:ROOT:extending-contracts.adoc#using-
hooks[Using Hooks].
  function beforeTokenTransfer(address from, address to, uint256 amount) internal
virtual { }
* @dev Extension of {ERC20} that allows token holders to destroy both their own
* tokens and those that they have an allowance for, in a way that can be
* recognized off-chain (via event analysis).
abstract contract ERC20Burnable is Context, ERC20 {
  * @dev Destroys `amount` tokens from the caller.
  * See {ERC20- burn}.
  function burn(uint256 amount) public virtual {
    burn(_msgSender(), amount);
```





```
* @dev Destroys `amount` tokens from `account`, deducting from the caller's
allowance.
  * See {ERC20-_burn} and {ERC20-allowance}.
  * Requirements: the caller must have allowance for accounts's tokens of at least
amount.
  function burnFrom(address account, uint256 amount) public virtual {
    uint256 decreasedAllowance = allowance(account, _msgSender()).sub(amount,
"ERC20: burn amount exceeds allowance");
    _approve(account, _msgSender(), decreasedAllowance);
    burn(account, amount);
contract ObsToken is ERC20Burnable, Operator {
  constructor() public ERC20('One Basis Share', 'OBS') {
    mint(msg.sender, 98000 * 10**18);
  }
  function mint(address recipient, uint256 amount)
    public
    onlyOperator
    returns (bool)
    uint256 balanceBefore = balanceOf(recipient );
    mint(recipient, amount);
    uint256 balanceAfter = balanceOf(recipient );
    return balanceAfter >= balanceBefore;
  function burn(uint256 amount) public override onlyOperator {//AUDIT// Operator is
able to burn the token that belongs to the operator.
    super.burn(amount);
  }
```





}

function burnFrom(address account, uint256 amount) //AUDIT// Operator is able to burn the approved token that belongs to the operator.

public override onlyOperator {
 super.burnFrom(account, amount);

V. APPENDIX B: VULNERABILITY RISK RATING STANDARDS

Vulnerability	Vulnerability Instructions
High-risk	Vulnerabilities that can directly cause the loss of token or user funds, such as: overflow vulnerabilities that can cause the value of the token to return to zero, false top-up vulnerabilities that can cause the exchanges lose tokens, and replay attack can cause contract accounts to losses ETH or token, etc.; vulnerabilities that can cause the loss of ownership of token contracts, such as: access control of key functions, bypass of key function access control caused by call injection, etc.; vulnerabilities that can cause token contracts to not work properly, such as: Denial of service caused by malicious address sending ETH, denial of service vulnerability due to gas exhaustion.
Medium-risk	Vulnerabilities that require specific addresses to trigger, such as overflow vulnerabilities that can only be triggered by the token contract owner; access control defects of non-critical functions,



Vulnerabilities that are difficult to be triggered, vulnerabilities with limited damage after triggering, such as overflow vulnerabilities that require a large amount of ETH or tokens to trigger, vulnerabilities that the attacker cannot directly profit after the numerical overflow is triggered, and the transaction sequence dependence risk triggered by specifying high gas etc.		logical design defects that cannot cause direct capital loss, etc.
	Low-risk	with limited damage after triggering, such as overflow vulnerabilities that require a large amount of ETH or tokens to trigger, vulnerabilities that the attacker cannot directly profit after the numerical overflow is triggered, and the transaction

VI. APPENDIX C: INTRODUCTION TO VULNERABILITY TESTING TOOLS

N	Manticore	Manticore is a symbolic execution tool for analyzing binary files and smart contracts. Manticore includes a symbolic Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM), an EVM disassembler/assembler, and a convenient interface for automatic compilation and analysis of Solidity. It also integrates Ethersplay, a Bit of Traits of Bits visual disassembler for EVM bytecode, for visual analysis. Like binary files, Manticore provides a simple command-line interface and a Python API for analyzing EVM bytecode.
	Oyente	Oyente is a smart contract analysis tool. Oyente can be used to detect common bugs in smart contracts, such as reentrancy, transaction ordering dependencies, and so on. What's more convenient is that Oyente's design is modular, so this allows advanced users to implement and insert their own detection logic to check custom attributes in their contracts.
S	Securify.sh	Securify can verify the common security problems of Ethereum smart contracts, such as out-of-order transactions and lack of input verification. It analyzes all possible execution paths of the program while fully automated. In addition, Securify has a specific language



	for specifying vulnerabilities, which makes Securify can keep abreast of current security and other reliability issues.
Echidna	, ,
Lemana	Echidna is a Haskell library designed for fuzzing EVM code.
	MAIAN is an automated tool for finding Ethereum smart contract
MAIAN	vulnerabilities. Maian processes the contract's bytecode and
	attempts to establish a series of transactions to find and confirm
	errors.
Ethersplay	Ethersplay is an EVM disassembler, which contains related analysis
	tools.
Ida-evm	Ida-evm is an IDA processor module for the Ethereum Virtual
	Machine (EVM).
Remix-ide	Remix is a browser-based compiler and IDE that allows users to build
	Ethereum contracts and debug transactions using the Solidity language.

VII. DECLARATION

It is offered subject to acceptance without modification of all of the terms and conditions contained herein and all other operating rules, policies and procedures that may be published from time to time on this Site by **DPTech**(collectively, the Terms of Use).

As a condition of use, you promise not to use the content of the website for any purpose that is unlawful or prohibited by these Terms of Use, or any other purpose not reasonably intended by **DPTech**.

You agree to abide by all applicable local, state, national and international laws. By way of example, and not as a limitation, you agree not to:

- (a) take any action or
- (b) upload, post, submit or otherwise distribute or facilitate distribution of any content (including text, communications, software, images, sounds, data, code, chat, blogs, Podcasts, messages, files, video or other information)



using any communications service or other service available on or through the content of the website, that:

- is unlawful, untrue, threatening, abusive, harassing, defamatory, libelous, deceptive, fraudulent, invasive of another's privacy, tortious, obscene, profane or which otherwise violates the Terms of Use;
- infringes any patent, trademark, trade secret, copyright, right of publicity or other right of any party;
- constitutes unauthorized or unsolicited advertising, junk or bulk e-mail ("spamming");
- imposes an unreasonable or disproportionately large load on DPTech's computing, storage or communications infrastructure, or attempts to gain unauthorized access to the content of the website, other accounts, computer systems or networks connected to the content of the website, through data mining or otherwise;
- contains software viruses or any other computer codes, files, or programs
 that are designed or intended to disrupt, damage, limit or interfere with the
 proper function of any software, hardware, or telecommunications
 equipment or to damage or obtain unauthorized access to any system, data
 or other information of DPTech or any third party; or harvests or collects
 any information from the Site; impersonates any person or entity, including
 any employee or representative of DPTech.
- DPTech is unable to and has no obligation to monitor the content of the website or any use thereof. You agree not to provide DPTech with any confidential or proprietary information that you desire or are required to keep secret.



The content of the website AND ALL MATERIALS, INFORMATION, SOFTWARE, PRODUCTS AND SERVICES INCLUDED IN OR AVAILABLE THROUGH THE SITE (THE CONTENT) ARE PROVIDED "AS IS" AND "AS AVAILABLE". the content of the website AND CONTENT ARE PROVIDED WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF TITLE, NON-INFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS

FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, AND ANY WARRANTIES IMPLIED BY ANY COURSE OF PERFORMANCE OR USAGE OF TRADE, ALL OF WHICH ARE EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED. DPTech DO NOT WARRANT THAT:

- (A) FOR THE AUDIT REPORT, THE DPTech ONLY RESPONSIBLE FOR CHECKING THE POSSIBLE SECURITY PROBLEM THAT RELATED TO THE CODE, WITH THE AUDIT REPORT DOESN'T IMPLY AND INDICATED THAT THE CODE IS SECURITY, PLEASE REFER TO THE ACTUAL RESULT OF THE AUDIT REPORT.
- (B) DPTech IS NOT PART OF OR RELATED TO ANY PART OF THIRD-PARTY PROJECT, ANY ISSUES OR CASES THAT RELATED TO THE PROJECT ARE NOT RELATED TO DPTech.

DPTech reserves the right to update, modify, maintain,make judgments, and the final interpretation of all content generated by DPTech.No agency, partnership, joint venture, or employment relationship is created as a result of this Agreement and neither party has any authority of any kind to bind the other in any respect. In any action or proceeding to enforce rights under this Agreement, the prevailing party will be entitled to recover costs and attorneys' fees.



DPTech does not represent that the content produced by this website is applicable to the laws of your region, and it is forbidden to access the content of the websites of this website from regions that regard this website as illegal content. If you access the content of the website from other locations, you do so at your own initiative and are responsible for compliance with local laws.





Website: www.dpanquan.com

Contact us at: service@dpanquan.com



