

OS_LAB1 PINTOS_THREAD

11510351 黄哲 2018.05.02

OS_LAB1 PINTOS_THREAD

1. TASK1 Scheduler based on time slice

- 1.1 分析
- 1.2 思路
- 1.3 具体操作
- 1.4 结果

2. Round-Robin scheduler

- 2.1 分析
- 2.2 思路
- 2.3 具体操作
- 2.4 结果

3. Priority scheduler based on time slice

- 3.1 分析
 - 3.2 思路
 - 3.3 具体操作
 - 3.4 结果
-

1. TASK1 Scheduler based on time slice

1.1 分析

本质上是要对位于 `device` 文件夹中的 `timer.c` 中的函数 `timer_sleep` 进行修改，使其从之前的**忙等待**更改成为**闲等待**。

之前函数核心代码如下图所示：

```
while (timer_elapsed (start) < ticks)
    thread_yield ();
```

在获取了当前时间后，通过 while 循环执行 `thread_yield` 操作，直到苏醒。而在函数 `thread_yield` 中，是通过不停的进行放置当前线程到 `ready_list` 中，再进行 `schedule ()` 实现的，整个过程需要占用 CPU 进行操作，因而是 busy waiting 的。

1.2 思路

1. 考虑到对于休眠有时间限制，则在对应时间到来时唤醒即可。
2. 当调用 `timer_sleep` 时，设置对应的睡眠时间，把线程放入 `wait_list` 中，并阻塞线程。
3. 考虑到系统有时间中断，则在中断时对 `wait_list` 中对每个线程进行判断，减少睡眠时间。
若时间已到，则移除队列，设置状态为等待。

1.3 具体操作

1. 考虑进行修改，在 `timer_sleep` 中：
 - 对输入进行判断，若小于零则直接返回，也和 task 中的 `negative` 相对应。
 - 直接调用了写在 `thread` 中的函数 `thread_waiting` 进行后续操作。

```
void timer_sleep(int64_t ticks){
    if (ticks <= 0) return;
    ASSERT(intr_get_level() == INTR_ON);
    thread_waiting(ticks);
}
```

2. 更改 `thread.h` 中线程的结构体，加入两个元素
 - 分别是之后加入 `wait_list` 中所需用到的 `elem` 和用来计算休眠的 tick 数。

```
struct thread{
    struct list_elem waitelem;
    int waiting_ticks;
}
```

3. 在 `thread.c` 中，加入 `wait_list` 的定义并对其进行初始化。
 4. 在 `thread_waiting` 中：
先屏蔽中断，后设置当前线程需休眠的时间，加入 `wait_list`，并阻塞线程即可。
-

```

void thread_waiting(int64_t ticks){
    struct thread *cur = thread_current();

    ASSERT(!intr_context());
    enum intr_level old_level = intr_disable();

    cur->waiting_ticks = ticks;
    list_insert_ordered(&wait_list, &cur->waitelem, (list_less_func *)&thread_waiting_ticks, NULL);
    thread_block();

    intr_set_level(old_level);
}

```

5. 在时间中断函数 `timer_interrupt` 中加入函数 `thread_detatd` 用于实现检测+唤醒。
- 本质上是一个遍历。
 - 遍历了 `wait_list`，对于其中所有线程都减少了休眠时间，并唤醒已经到时的线程。

```

void thread_detatd(void){
    struct list_elem *e;
    ASSERT(intr_get_level() == INTR_OFF);
    if (!list_empty(&wait_list)){
        for (e = list_begin(&wait_list); e != list_end(&wait_list); e = list_next(e)){
            struct thread *t = list_entry(e, struct thread, waitelem);
            t->waiting_ticks--;
            if (t->waiting_ticks == 0){
                list_remove(&t->waitelem);
                thread_unblock(t);
            }
        }
    }
}

```

1.4 结果

| ticks | alarm-single | alarm-multiple | alarm-single-change | alarm-multiple-change |
|--------------|--------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| idle ticks | 0 | 0 | 254 | 581 |
| kernel ticks | 361 | 894 | 110 | 316 |
| all ticks | 361 | 894 | 361 | 894 |

```
Executing 'alarm-single':
(alarm-single) begin
(alarm-single) Creating 5 threads to sleep 1 times each.
(alarm-single) Thread 0 sleeps 10 ticks each time,
(alarm-single) thread 1 sleeps 20 ticks each time, and so on.
(alarm-single) If successful, product of iteration count and
(alarm-single) sleep duration will appear in nondescending order.
(alarm-single) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=1, product=10
(alarm-single) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=1, product=20
(alarm-single) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=1, product=30
(alarm-single) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=1, product=40
(alarm-single) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=1, product=50
(alarm-single) end
Execution of 'alarm-single' complete.
Timer: 361 ticks
Thread: 254 idle ticks, 110 kernel ticks, 0 user ticks
Console: 984 characters output
Keyboard: 0 keys pressed
```

```
Executing 'alarm-multiple':
(alarm-multiple) begin
(alarm-multiple) Creating 5 threads to sleep 7 times each.
(alarm-multiple) Thread 0 sleeps 10 ticks each time,
(alarm-multiple) thread 1 sleeps 20 ticks each time, and so on.
(alarm-multiple) If successful, product of iteration count and
(alarm-multiple) sleep duration will appear in nondescending order.
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=1, product=10
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=1, product=20
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=2, product=20
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=1, product=30
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=3, product=30
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=1, product=40
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=2, product=40
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=4, product=40
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=1, product=50
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=5, product=50
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=2, product=60
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=3, product=60
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=6, product=60
(alarm-multiple) thread 0: duration=10, iteration=7, product=70
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=2, product=80
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=4, product=80
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=3, product=90
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=2, product=100
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=5, product=100
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=3, product=120
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=4, product=120
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=6, product=120
(alarm-multiple) thread 1: duration=20, iteration=7, product=140
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=3, product=150
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=5, product=150
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=4, product=160
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=6, product=180
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=4, product=200
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=5, product=200
(alarm-multiple) thread 2: duration=30, iteration=7, product=210
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=6, product=240
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=5, product=250
(alarm-multiple) thread 3: duration=40, iteration=7, product=280
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=6, product=300
(alarm-multiple) thread 4: duration=50, iteration=7, product=350
(alarm-multiple) end
Execution of 'alarm-multiple' complete.
Timer: 894 ticks
Thread: 581 idle ticks, 316 kernel ticks, 0 user ticks
```

2. Round-Robin scheduler

2.1 分析

本质上是实现

1. 根据优先级确定时间片

2. 根据执行情况动态调整线程优先级
3. Round-Robin 的线程优先级调度

2.2 思路

1. 时间片的确定在初始化线程的时候执行即可。
2. 在时间中断时，只有在时间片都被耗尽的情况下才切换线程，同时 recharge 时间片，调整优先级。
3. 实现 RR 的优先队列则对所有进队操作进行修改，插入时都根据优先级进行插入即可。

2.3 具体操作

1. 更改 thread.h 中线程的结构体，加入三个变量
 - time_slice：用来决定当前线程的时间片，一经确定不再更改
 - rest：初始即为 time_slice，随时间轮转消耗每次减一
 - origin_priority：用于存放初始优先级

```
struct thread{
    int time_slice;
    int rest;
    int origin_priority;
}
```

2. 更改 thread.c 中函数 thread_tick，加入以下内容，同时注释掉本来用来调度的代码
 - 判断当前 rest 为1，则时间片耗尽，进行recharge，并将当前线程优先级减三（最低为零），之后激活调度函数。
 - 若 rest 不为1，则直接消耗时间片，不执行其他操作。

```
if (strcmp(t->name, "main") != 0){
    if (t->rest == 1){
        t->rest = t->time_slice;
        if (t->priority >= 3){
            t->priority = t->priority - 3;
            t->actual_priority = t->actual_priority - 3;
        }else
            t->priority = 0;
        intr_yield_on_return();
    }else
```

```
t->rest--;
```

3. 更改所有执行入队操作的代码，将其转变为按优先级入队。此处以 `thread_unblock` 为例：

thread_unlock 为例：

。将入队操作变更为：

```
list_insert_ordered(&ready_list, &t->elem, (list_less_func *)&compare_thread_pri, NULL);

//其中比较函数为：
bool compare_thread_pri(const struct list_elem *a, const struct list_elem *b, void *aux UNUSED){
    struct thread *sa = list_entry(a, struct thread, elem);
    struct thread *sb = list_entry(b, struct thread, elem);
    return sa->priority > sb->priority;
}
```

//其中比较函数为：

```
bool compare_thread_pri(const struct list_elem *a, const
struct list_elem *b, void *aux UNUSED){
    struct thread *sa = list_entry(a, struct thread, elem);
    struct thread *sb = list_entry(b, struct thread, elem);
    return sa->priority > sb->priority;
}
```

2.4 结果

[illegible]

3. Priority scheduler based on time slice

3.1 分析

本质上是实现优先级捐赠的问题，其中会遇到很多特殊情况，如递归提高优先级，线程释放后确定新优先级等。

3.2 思路

1. 一开始考虑的是通过 `lock` 确定。即 `lock` 的结构体中如下：

- 添加了元素：`threads`，用于存放所有需要获取这个锁的线程
- 而元素 `holder` 为当前得到这个锁的线程
- 但后面考虑这样会导致在 **递归捐赠** 和 **多锁捐赠** 的时候难以实现。

```
struct lock{
    struct thread *holder;
    struct semaphore semaphore;
    struct list_elem elem;
    struct list threads;
};
```

2. 查了资料，改变思路用比较简单的方式实现。

- 每次需求锁和释放锁时，都会对所有的 **线程-锁** 关系更新优先级。即不再保存历史的捐赠记录，缺点是计算量比较大。
- 在设置优先级时，不对提高的优先级进行调整。

3.3 具体操作

1. 在 `lock_acquire` 中进行调整，加入函数 `finding_nesting`
 - 递归进行捐赠

```
void finding_nesting(struct lock *lock){
    struct thread *cur = thread_current();
    cur->lock = lock;
    struct lock *l = lock;
    int pri = cur->priority;
    if (lock->holder == NULL)
        return;

    while (l != NULL ){
        if (pri < l->mp)
            break;
        l->mp = pri;
        propagation_pri(l->holder);
        l = l->holder->lock;
    }
}
```

2. 更改函数 `thread_set_priority`，考虑捐赠期间更改优先级的问题。

- 。先进行中断，之后若无锁则直接更新优先级。若有锁且新优先级比旧优先级高也更新优先级。不然则先讲新设置的优先级赋值给 `origin_priority`。

```
void thread_set_priority(int new_priority){
    enum intr_level old_level = intr_disable();
    struct thread *cur = thread_current();
    cur->origin_priority = new_priority;
    if (list_empty(&cur->locks) || new_priority > cur->priority)
        cur->priority = cur->origin_priority;
    thread_yield();
    intr_set_level(old_level);
}
```

3. 在释放锁 `lock_release` 的时候也进行相应操作。
 - 。先屏蔽中断，之后把锁从线程的持有列表中移除，再更新一次所有线程的优先级。

```
void lock_release(struct lock *lock){
    ASSERT(lock != NULL);
    ASSERT(lock_held_by_current_thread(lock));
    enum intr_level old_level = intr_disable();
    list_remove(&lock->elem);
    lock->holder = NULL;
    update_priority(thread_current());
    sema_up(&lock->semaphore);
    intr_set_level(old_level);
}
```

3.4 结果

在注释了 `thread_tick` 中部分代码之后，跑 `make check` 结果。

```
pass tests/threads/alarm-single
pass tests/threads/alarm-multiple
pass tests/threads/alarm-simultaneous
FAIL tests/threads/alarm-priority
pass tests/threads/alarm-zero
pass tests/threads/alarm-negative
pass tests/threads/priority-change
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-one
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-multiple
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-multiple2
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-nest
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-sema
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-lower
FAIL tests/threads/priority-fifo
pass tests/threads/priority-preempt
pass tests/threads/priority-sema
FAIL tests/threads/priority-condvar
pass tests/threads/priority-donate-chain
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-load-1
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-load-60
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-load-avg
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-recent-1
pass tests/threads/mlfqs-fair-2
pass tests/threads/mlfqs-fair-20
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-nice-2
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-nice-10
FAIL tests/threads/mlfqs-block
10 of 27 tests failed.
```