CompositionMeasure for ZeroConcentratedDivergence

Michael Shoemate

> This proof resides in **"contrib"** because it has not completed the vetting process.

Proves soundness of the implementation of `CompositionMeasure` for `ZeroConcentratedDivergence` in `mod.rs` at commit f5bb719 (outdated[1]).

1 Hoare Triple

Precondition

Compiler-Verified

Types matching pseudocode.

Caller-Verified

None

Pseudocode

```
1  class CompositionMeasure(ZeroConcentratedDivergence):
2      def composability(   #
3          self, adaptivity: Adaptivity
4      ) -> Composability:
5          match adaptivity:
6              case Adaptivity.FullyAdaptive:
7                  return Composability.Sequential
8              case _:
9                  return Composability.Concurrent
10
11     def compose(self, d_mids: Vec[Self_Distance]) -> Self_Distance:
12         d_out = 0.0
13         for d_mid in d_mids:
14             d_out = d_out.inf_add(d_mid)
15         return d_out
```

Postcondition

Theorem 1.1. `composability` returns `Ok(out)` if the composition of a vector of privacy parameters `d_mids` is bounded above by `self.compose(d_mids)` under `adaptivity` adaptivity and `out`-composability. Otherwise returns an error.

Definition 1.2 (Definition of `ZeroConcentratedDivergence`). For any two distributions Y, Y' and any non-negative d, Y, Y' are d-close under the zero-concentrated divergence measure if, for every possible choice of $\alpha \in (1, \infty)$,

---

[1] See new changes with `git diff f5bb719..303d3a1 rust/src/combinators/sequential_composition/mod.rs`

$$D_\alpha(Y, Y') = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \mathbb{E}_{x \sim Y'} \left[\ln \left(\frac{\Pr[Y = x]}{\Pr[Y' = x]} \right)^\alpha \right] \leq d \cdot \alpha. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 1.3.   1. $\mathcal{F}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots; \rho) = \mathbb{I}(\sum_i \rho_i \leq \rho)$ is a valid ρ-zCDP IM-filter.

2. $\mathcal{G}(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i$ is a valid ρ-zCDP IM-privacy loss accumulator.

Proof. For any fixed choice of $\alpha > 1$, we have that $\mathcal{F}(\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots; \rho) = \mathbb{I}(\sum_i \epsilon_i/\alpha/ \leq \epsilon/\alpha)$, by 1.2, which by Theorem 1.22[VW21] is a valid (α, ϵ)-RDP IM-filter.

Similarly, for any fixed choice of $\alpha > 1$, we have that $\mathcal{G}(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_k) = \sum_i \epsilon_i/\alpha \leq \epsilon/\alpha$, by 1.2, which by Theorem 1.22[VW21] is a valid (α, ϵ)-RDP IM-privacy loss accumulator. $\square$

Proof. By the postcondition of `InfAdd` we have that $\sum_i \texttt{d\_mids}_i \leq \texttt{compose(d\_mids)}$.

Adaptivity	Sequential	Concurrent
Non-Adaptive	Lemma 1.7[BS16]	Corollary 1[Lyu22]
Adaptive	Lemma 1.7[BS16]	Corollary 1[Lyu22]
Fully-Adaptive	Remark 4.4[FZ22]	1.3

This table is reflected in the implementation of `composability` on line 2.

$\square$

References

[BS16]   Mark Bun and Thomas Steinke. Concentrated differential privacy: Simplifications, extensions, and lower bounds, 2016.

[FZ22]   Vitaly Feldman and Tijana Zrnic. Individual privacy accounting via a renyi filter, 2022.

[Lyu22] Xin Lyu. Composition theorems for interactive differential privacy, 2022.

[VW21]   Salil Vadhan and Tianhao Wang. Concurrent composition of differential privacy, 2021.