# fn sample\_bernoulli\_exp1

#### Michael Shoemate

April 22, 2025

This proof resides in "contrib" because it has not completed the vetting process.

Proves soundness of fn sample\_bernoulli\_exp1 in mod.rs at commit 0be3ab3e6 (outdated<sup>1</sup>). fn sample\_bernoulli\_exp1 returns a sample from the Bernoulli(exp(-x)) distribution for some rational argument in [0,1]. This proof is an adaptation of subsection 5.1 of [CKS20].

#### **Vetting History**

• Pull Request #519

### 1 Hoare Triple

#### Preconditions

- x is of type Rational and  $x \in [0,1]$
- SampleBernoulli is implemented for Rational probabilities

#### Pseudocode

#### Postcondition

For any setting of the input parameter x such that the given preconditions hold,  $sample\_bernoulli\_exp1$  either returns Err(e) due to a lack of system entropy, or Ok(out), where out is distributed as Bernoulli(exp(-x)).

#### 2 Proof

Assume the preconditions are met.

Lemma 2.1. sample\_bernoulli\_exp1 only returns Err(e) when there is a lack of system entropy.

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{See}$  new changes with git diff Obe3ab3e6..d83e615 rust/src/traits/samplers/cks20/mod.rs

Proof. In all usages of SampleBernoulli, the argument passed satisfies its definition preconditions, by the preconditions on x and function logic. Thus, by its definition, sample\_bernoulli\_rational only returns an error when there is a lack of system entropy. The only source of errors in sample\_bernoulli\_exp1 is from the invocation of sample\_bernoulli\_rational. Therefore sample\_bernoulli\_exp1 only returns Err(e) when there is a lack of system entropy.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $k^*$  denote the final value of k on line 7. Then  $P[K^* > n] = \frac{x^n}{n!}$  for any integer n > 0 [CKS20].

*Proof.* For  $i \geq 0$ , let  $a_i$  denote the  $i^{th}$  outcome of sample\_bernoulli\_rational on line 4. By the definition of sample\_bernoulli\_rational, under the established conditions and preconditions, each  $A_i$  is distributed as Bernoulli(x/i).

$$P[K^* > n] = P[A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_n = \top]$$
 since  $K^* > n, \forall i \le n, a_i = \top$  
$$= \prod_{k=1}^n P[A_k = \top]$$
 all  $A_i$  are independent 
$$= \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{x}{k}$$
 since  $A_k \sim Bernoulli(x/k)$  
$$= \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** is  $odd(K^*) \sim Bernoulli(exp(-x))$  [CKS20].

Proof.

$$P[K^* \text{ odd}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P[K^* = 2k + 1]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (P[K^* > 2k] - P[K^* > 2k + 1])$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x^{2k}}{(2k)!} - \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\right)$$
by 2.2
$$= exp(-x)$$

Since k is distributed according to  $K^*$ , then out is distributed as Bernoulli(exp(-x)).

*Proof.* 1 holds by 2.1 and 2.3.  $\Box$ 

## References

[CKS20] Clément L. Canonne, Gautam Kamath, and Thomas Steinke. The discrete gaussian for differential privacy. *CoRR*, abs/2004.00010, 2020.