fn make_count

Sílvia Casacuberta, Grace Tian, Connor Wagaman

> This proof resides in **"contrib"** because it has not completed the vetting process.

Proves soundness of `make_count` in `mod.rs` at commit f5bb719 (outdated[1]).

`make_count` returns a Transformation that computes a count of the number of records in a vector. The length of the vector, of type `usize`, is exactly casted to a user specified output type `TO`. If the length is too large to be represented exactly by `TO`, the cast saturates at the maximum value of type `TO`.

Vetting History

- Pull Request #513

1 Hoare Triple

Precondition

- `TIA` (atomic input type) is a type with trait `Primitive`. `Primitive` implies `TIA` has the trait bound:
  - `CheckNull` so that `TIA` is a valid atomic type for `AtomDomain`
- `TO` (output type) is a type with trait `Number`. `Number` further implies `TO` has the trait bounds:
  - `InfSub` so that the output domain is compatible with the output metric
  - `CheckNull` so that `TO` is a valid atomic type for `AtomDomain`
  - `ExactIntCast` for casting a vector length index of type `usize` to `TO`. `ExactIntCast` further implies `TO` has the trait bound:
    * `ExactIntBounds`, which gives the `MAX_CONSECUTIVE` value of type `TO`
  - `One` provides a way to retrieve `TO`'s representation of 1
  - `DistanceConstant` to satisfy the preconditions of `new_stability_map_from_constant`

Pseudocode

```
1  def make_count (
2      input_domain: VectorDomain [AtomDomain [TIA]],
3      input_metric: SymmetricDistance
4  ):
5      output_domain = AtomDomain(TO) #
6
7      def function(data: Vec[TIA]) -> TO: #
8          size = input_domain.size(data) #
9          try: #
10             return TO.exact_int_cast(size) #
```

---

[1]See new changes with `git diff f5bb719..e7176b6 rust/src/transformations/count/mod.rs`

```
11          except FailedCast:
12              return TO.MAX_CONSECUTIVE #
13
14      output_metric = AbsoluteDistance(TO)
15
16      stability_map = new_stability_map_from_constant(TO.one()) #
17
18      return Transformation(
19          input_domain, output_domain, function,
20          input_metric, output_metric, stability_map)
```

Postcondition

Theorem 1.1. For every setting of the input parameters (`TIA`, `TO`) to `make_count` such that the given preconditions hold, `make_count` raises an error (at compile time or run time) or returns a valid transformation. A valid transformation has the following properties:

1. (Data-independent runtime errors). For every pair of members x and x' in `input_domain`, $\text{invoke}(x)$ and $\text{invoke}(x')$ either both return the same error or neither return an error.

2. (Appropriate output domain). For every member x in `input_domain`, $\text{function}(x)$ is in `output_domain` or raises a data-independent runtime error.

3. (Stability guarantee). For every pair of members x and x' in `input_domain` and for every pair $(\text{d\_in}, \text{d\_out})$, where `d_in` has the associated type for `input_metric` and `d_out` has the associated type for
`output_metric`, if x, x' are `d_in`-close under `input_metric`, `stability_map(d_in)` does not raise an error, and `stability_map(d_in) = d_out`, then $\text{function}(x), \text{function}(x')$ are `d_out`-close under `output_metric`.

2 Proofs

Proof. **(Part 1 – appropriate output domain).** The `output_domain` is `AtomDomain(TO)`, so it is sufficient to show that `function` always returns non-null values of type `TO`. By the definition of the `ExactIntCast` trait, `TO.exact_int_cast` always returns a non-null value of type `TO` or raises an exception. If an exception is raised, the function returns `TO.MAXIMUM_CONSECUTIVE`, which is also a non-null value of type `TO`. Thus, in all cases, the function (from line 9) returns a non-null value of type `TO`. □

Before proceeding with proving the validity of the stability map, we provide a couple lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. $|\text{function}(u) - \text{function}(v)| \leq |\text{len}(u) - \text{len}(v)|$, where `len` is an alias for
`input_domain.size`.

Proof. By CollectionDomain, we know `size` on line 8 is of type `usize`, so it is non-negative and integral. Therefore, by the definition of `ExactIntCast`, the invocation of `TO.exact_int_cast` on line 10 can only fail if the argument is greater than `TO.MAX_CONSECUTIVE`. In this case, the value is replaced with `TO.MAX_CONSECUTIVE`. Therefore, $\text{function}(u) = min(\text{len}(u), c)$, where $c = $ `TO.MAX_CONSECUTIVE`. We use this equality to prove the lemma:

$$|\text{function}(u) - \text{function}(v)| = |min(\text{len}(u), c) - min(\text{len}(v), c)|$$
$$\leq |\text{len}(u) - \text{len}(v)| \qquad \text{since clamping is stable}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. For vector v with each element $\ell \in v$ drawn from domain $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathtt{len(v)} = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$.

Proof. Every element $\ell \in v$ is drawn from domain $\mathcal{X}$, so summing over all $z \in \mathcal{X}$ will sum over every element $\ell \in x$. Recall that the definition of `SymmetricDistance` states that $h_v(z)$ will return the number of occurrences of value z in vector v. Therefore, $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$ is the sum of the number of occurrences of each unique value; this is equivalent to the total number of items in the vector.

Since `CollectionDomain` is implemented for `VectorDomain<AtomDomain<TIA>>`, we depend on the correctness of the implementation Conditioned on the correctness of the implementation of `CollectionDomain` for `VectorDomain<AtomDomain<TIA>>`, the variable `size` is of type `usize` containing the number of elements in `arg`. Therefore, $\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_v(z)$ is equivalent to `size`. $\square$

Proof. **(Part 2 – stability map).** Take any two elements u, v in the `input_domain` and any pair $(\mathtt{d\_in}, \mathtt{d\_out})$, where `d_in` has the associated type for `input_metric` and `d_out` has the associated type for `output_metric`. Assume u, v are `d_in`-close under `input_metric` and that $\mathtt{stability\_map(d\_in)} \leq \mathtt{d\_out}$. These assumptions are used to establish the following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathtt{function}(u) - \mathtt{function}(v)| &\leq |\mathtt{len(u)} - \mathtt{len(v)}| &&\text{by } 2.1 \\
&= |\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_\mathtt{u}(z) - \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} h_\mathtt{v}(z)| &&\text{by } 2.2 \\
&= |\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} (h_\mathtt{u}(z) - h_\mathtt{v}(z))| &&\text{by algebra} \\
&\leq \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_\mathtt{u}(z) - h_\mathtt{v}(z)| &&\text{by triangle inequality} \\
&= d_{Sym}(u, v) &&\text{by \texttt{SymmetricDistance}} \\
&\leq \mathtt{d\_in} &&\text{by the first assumption} \\
&\leq \mathtt{TO.inf\_cast(d\_in)} &&\text{by \texttt{InfCast}} \\
&\leq \mathtt{TO.one().inf\_mul(TO.inf\_cast(d\_in))} &&\text{by \texttt{InfMul}} \\
&= \mathtt{stability\_map(d\_in)} &&\text{by pseudocode line } 16 \\
&\leq \mathtt{d\_out} &&\text{by the second assumption}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is shown that $\mathtt{function(u)}, \mathtt{function(v)}$ are `d_out`-close under `output_metric`. $\square$