

# Old proof for the stability guarantee of `fn make_clamp`

Sílvia Casacuberta

This proof resides in “**contrib**” because it has not completed the vetting process.

Salil suggested introducing the definition of row transform and adding a general lemma for its stability guarantee, as shown in Section 3.3 in “**List of definitions used in the proofs**”. However, we keep the longer old proof (case-by-case) for completeness, and in case any issues arise when revising the definition and theorems relating to row transforms

*Proof. (Stability guarantee).* Throughout the stability guarantee proof, we can assume that `function(v)` and `function(w)` are in the correct output domain, by the *appropriate output domain property* shown above.

Since by assumption `Map(d_in) ≤ d_out`, by the `make_clamp` stability map, we have that `d_in ≤ d_out`. Moreover,  $v, w$  are assumed to be `d_in`-close. By the definition of the symmetric difference metric, this is equivalent to stating that  $d_{Sym}(v, w) = |\text{MultiSet}(v) \Delta \text{MultiSet}(w)| \leq d_{in}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the domain of all elements of type `T`. By applying the histogram notation,<sup>1</sup> it follows that

$$d_{Sym}(v, w) = \|h_v - h_w\|_1 = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_v(z) - h_w(z)| \leq d_{in} \leq d_{out}.$$

We now consider `MultiSet(function(v))` and `MultiSet(function(w))`. For each element  $z \in \text{MultiSet}(v) \cup \text{MultiSet}(w)$ , where  $z$  has type `T`, if  $z \in \text{MultiSet}(v) \Delta \text{MultiSet}(w)$ , we will assume wlog that  $z \in \text{MultiSet}(v) \setminus \text{MultiSet}(w)$ . We consider the following cases:

1.  $z > \mathbf{U}$  or  $z < \mathbf{L}$ : then, in the former case, `clamp(z) = U`. First consider the case when  $z \in \text{MultiSet}(v) \cup \text{MultiSet}(w)$  with the same multiplicity in both multisets. Then,  $|h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)| = 0$  because we have both  $h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) = 0$  and  $h_{\text{function}(w)}(z) = 0$ . Thus the sum

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)|$$

remains invariant, because the quantity  $|h_v(z) - h_w(z)|$  is added to  $|h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U}) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U})|$ , given that `clamp(z) = U`.

Suppose  $z$  has multiplicity  $k_v \geq 0$  in `MultiSet(v)` and multiplicity  $k_w \geq 0$  in `MultiSet(w)`, where  $k_v \neq k_w$ . After considering  $z$ , the value  $h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U})$  becomes  $h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U}) + k_v$ , and  $h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U})$  becomes  $h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U}) + k_w$ . Hence the quantity  $|h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U}) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U})|$  increases by at most  $|h_v(z) - h_w(z)|$ , since, by the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U}) + k_v) - (h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U}) + k_w)| \leq \\ & \leq |h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U}) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U})| + |k_v - k_w| = \\ & = |h_{\text{function}(v)}(\mathbf{U}) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(\mathbf{U})| + |h_v(z) - h_w(z)|. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>1</sup>Note that there is a bijection between multisets and histograms, which is why the proof can be carried out with either notion. For further details, please consult <https://www.overleaf.com/project/60d214e390b337703d200982>.

The same argument applies whenever  $z < L$ .<sup>2</sup>

2.  $z \in (L, U)$ : then,  $\text{clamp}(z) = z$ . Since  $h_v(z) = h_{\text{function}(v)}(z)$  and  $h_w(z) = h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)$ , it follows that  $|h_v(z) - h_w(z)| = |h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)|$ . Hence the histogram count, i.e., the quantity

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)|,$$

remains invariant.

3.  $z = U$  or  $z = L$ : in the former case,  $\text{clamp}(z) = U$ . If  $z \in \text{MultiSet}(v) \cup \text{MultiSet}(w)$  with the same multiplicity in both multisets, then the histogram count remains invariant under the addition of element  $z$ . Otherwise, if  $z \in \text{MultiSet}(v) \setminus \text{MultiSet}(w)$ , or if  $z$  is in their union but with different multiplicity, then element  $z$  can increase the quantity  $|h_{\text{function}(v)}(U) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(U)|$  by at most  $|h_v(z) - h_w(z)|$ , following the same reasoning with the triangle inequality as in case 2.

The same argument applies whenever  $z = L$ .

By aggregating the three cases above, we conclude that

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)| \leq \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_v(z) - h_w(z)|.$$

By the initial assumptions, we recall that  $d_{\text{in}} \leq d_{\text{out}}$ , and that  $v, w$  are  $d_{\text{in}}$ -close. Then,

$$\sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_{\text{function}(v)}(z) - h_{\text{function}(w)}(z)| \leq \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} |h_v(z) - h_w(z)| \leq d_{\text{in}} \leq d_{\text{out}}.$$

Therefore,

$$|\text{MultiSet}(\text{function}(v)) \Delta \text{MultiSet}(\text{function}(w))| \leq d_{\text{out}},$$

as we wanted to show. □

---

<sup>2</sup>The first subcase discussed here, i.e., when  $k_v = k_w$ , is also proven by the triangle inequality expression above, but it seemed clean to separate the case where the total sum remains invariant.