DeepRob

Lecture 3
Linear Classifiers
University of Michigan and University of Minnesota

Project 0

- Instructions and code available on the website
  - Here: deeprob.org/projects/project0/
- **Due tonight! January 12th, 11:59 PM EST**
- **Everyone granted 1 extra late token (3 total for semester)**

Project 0 Suggestions

- If you choose to develop locally
  - **PyTorch Version 1.13.0**

- Ensure you save your notebook file before uploading submission

- Close any Colab notebooks not in use to avoid usage limits

Project 1

- Instructions and code will be available on the website by tomorrow's discussion section
- Classification using K-Nearest Neighbors and Linear Models



We're here!

Discussion Forum

- Ed Stem available for course discussion and questions
  - Forum is shared across UMich and UMinn students
  - Participation and use is not required
  - Opt-in using this Google form
  - **Discussion of quizzes and verbatim code must be private**

Gradescope Quizzes

- Course not published yet
- Roster will be uploaded and published by discussion section tomorrow

- Quiz links will be published at the start and end of lecture
- Time limit of 15 min once quiz is opened
- Each available to take from 3:00pm—6:00pm on quiz days
- Covers material from previous lectures and graded projects

Enrollment

- Additional class permissions being issued
  - Both sections (498 & 599)
- If you haven’t received a class permission come see Anthony after lecture

Linear Classifiers

Building Block of Neural Networks

Linear classifiers

This image is CC0 1.0 public domain

Recall PROPS

Progress **R**obot **O**bject **P**erception **S**amples **D**ataset



Chen et al., “ProgressLabeller: Visual Data Stream Annotation for Training Object-Centric 3D Perception”, IROS, 2022.

10 classes
32x32 RGB images
50k training images (5k per class)
10k test images (1k per class)

Parametric Approach

Image

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

f(**x**,**W**)

10 numbers giving class scores

W
parameters or weights

Parametric Approach—Linear Classifier

$$f(x,W) = Wx$$

Image

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

$f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{W})$

10 numbers giving class scores

W

parameters or weights

Parametric Approach—Linear Classifier

(3072,)

$f(x,W) = Wx$

(10,) (10, 3072)

Image

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W})$

10 numbers giving class scores

W

parameters or weights

Parametric Approach—Linear Classifier

$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

(3072,)

(10,) (10, 3072) (10,)

Image

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

f(**x**,**W**)

10 numbers giving class scores

W

parameters or weights

Example for 2x2 Image, 3 classes (crackers/mug/sugar)

Stretch pixels into column



Input image
(2, 2)

56
231
24
2

(4,)

$f(x,W) = Wx + b$

Example for 2x2 Image, 3 classes (crackers/mug/sugar)

Stretch pixels into column

$f(x,W) = Wx + b$



Input image
(2, 2)

0.2	-0.5	0.1	2.0
1.5	1.3	2.1	0.0
0	0.25	0.2	-0.3

W (3, 4)

56
231
24
2

(4,)

+

1.1
3.2
-1.2

b
(3,)

=

-96.8
437.9
61.95

(3,)

Linear Classifier—Algebraic Viewpoint

Stretch pixels into column

$f(x,W) = Wx + b$



Input image
(2, 2)

0.2	-0.5	0.1	2.0
1.5	1.3	2.1	0.0
0	0.25	0.2	-0.3

W (3, 4)

56
231
24
2

(4,)

+

1.1
3.2
-1.2

b
(3,)

=

-96.8
437.9
61.95

(3,)

Linear Classifier—Bias Trick

Stretch pixels into column



Input image
(2, 2)

0.2	-0.5	0.1	2.0	1.1
1.5	1.3	2.1	0.0	3.2
0	0.25	0.2	-0.3	-1.2

W (3, 5)

56
231
24
2
1

(5,)

=

-96.8
437.9
61.95

(3,)

Add extra one to data vector; bias is absorbed into last column of weight matrix

Linear Classifier—Predictions are Linear

$$f(x, W) = Wx \quad \text{(ignore bias)}$$

$$f(cx, W) = W(cx) = c * f(x, W)$$

Linear Classifier—Predictions are Linear

$$f(x, W) = Wx \quad \text{(ignore bias)}$$

$$f(cx, W) = W(cx) = c * f(x, W)$$

Interpreting a Linear Classifier

Algebraic Viewpoint

$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$



Interpreting a Linear Classifier

Algebraic Viewpoint

$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$



Instead of stretching pixels into columns, we can equivalently stretch rows of W into images!



Interpreting a Linear Classifier



Instead of stretching pixels into columns, we can equivalently stretch rows of W into images!



Interpreting a Linear Classifier



Instead of stretching pixels into columns, we can equivalently stretch rows of W into images!





Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Visual Viewpoint

Linear classifier has one "template" per category

Instead of stretching pixels into columns, we can equivalently stretch rows of W into images!





Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Visual Viewpoint

Linear classifier has one "template" per category

A single template cannot capture multiple modes of the data

e.g. mustard bottles can rotate

Instead of stretching pixels into columns, we can equivalently stretch rows of W into images!



Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Geometric Viewpoint



$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Geometric Viewpoint



$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Geometric Viewpoint



$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Geometric Viewpoint



$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Geometric Viewpoint



$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

Array of **32x32x3** numbers
(3072 numbers total)

Interpreting a Linear Classifier—Geometric Viewpoint



Hyperplanes carving up a high-dimensional space



Plot created using Wolfram Cloud

Hard Cases for a Linear Classifier

Class 1:
First and third quadrants

Class 2:
Second and fourth quadrants



Class 1:
1 <= L2 norm <= 2

Class 2:
Everything else



Class 1:
Three modes

Class 2:
Everything else



Perceptron Couldn’t Learn XOR

X	Y	F(x,y)
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0





Linear Classifier—Three Viewpoints

Algebraic Viewpoint

$$f(x,W) = Wx$$



Visual Viewpoint

One template per class



Geometric Viewpoint

Hyperplanes cutting up space



So far—Defined a Score Function

master chef can	-3.45	-0.51	3.42
mug	-8.87	**6.04**	4.64
tomato soup can	0.09	5.31	2.65
cracker box	**2.9**	-4.22	5.1
mustard bottle	4.48	-4.19	2.64
tuna fish can	8.02	3.58	5.55
sugar box	3.78	4.49	**-4.34**
gelatin box	1.06	-4.37	-1.5
potted meat can	-0.36	-2.09	-4.79
large marker	-0.72	-2.93	6.14

$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

Given a W, we can compute class scores for an image, x.

But how can we actually choose a good W?

So far—Choosing a Good W

master chef can	-3.45	-0.51	3.42
mug	-8.87	**6.04**	4.64
tomato soup can	0.09	5.31	2.65
cracker box	**2.9**	-4.22	5.1
mustard bottle	4.48	-4.19	2.64
tuna fish can	8.02	3.58	5.55
sugar box	3.78	4.49	**-4.34**
gelatin box	1.06	-4.37	-1.5
potted meat can	-0.36	-2.09	-4.79
large marker	-0.72	-2.93	6.14

$$f(x,W) = Wx + b$$

TODO:

1. Use a **loss function** to quantify how good a value of W is
2. Find a W that minimizes the loss function (**optimization**)

Loss Function

A **loss function** measures how good our current classifier is

Low loss = good classifier
High loss = bad classifier

Also called: **objective function, cost function**

Loss Function

A **loss function** measures how good our current classifier is

Low loss = good classifier
High loss = bad classifier

Also called: **objective function, cost function**

Negative loss function sometimes called **reward function, profit function, utility function, fitness function,** etc.

Loss Function

A **loss function** measures how good our current classifier is

Low loss = good classifier
High loss = bad classifier

Also called: **objective function, cost function**

Negative loss function sometimes called **reward function, profit function, utility function, fitness function,** etc.

Given a dataset of examples

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{N}$$

where x_i is an image and

y_i is a (discrete) label

Loss Function

A **loss function** measures how good our current classifier is

Low loss = good classifier
High loss = bad classifier

Also called: **objective function, cost function**

Negative loss function sometimes called **reward function, profit function, utility function, fitness function,** etc.

Given a dataset of examples

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{N}$$

where x_i is an image and

y_i is a (discrete) label

Loss for a single example is

$$L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$$

Loss Function

A **loss function** measures how good our current classifier is

Low loss = good classifier
High loss = bad classifier

Also called: **objective function, cost function**

Negative loss function sometimes called **reward function, profit function, utility function, fitness function,** etc.

Given a dataset of examples

$$\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{N}$$

where x_i is an image and

y_i is a (discrete) label

Loss for a single example is

$$L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$$

Loss for the dataset is average of per-example losses:

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i L_i(f(x_i, W), y_i)$$

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

cracker	**3.2**
mug	5.1
sugar	-1.7

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

cracker	**3.2**
mug	5.1
sugar	-1.7

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W) \qquad P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$

Softmax function

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

cracker	**3.2**
mug	5.1
sugar	-1.7

Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W) \qquad P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$

Softmax function

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$

Softmax function

Probabilities must be >=0

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Unnormalized probabilities
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**
mug	5.1	→	164.0
sugar	-1.7	→	0.18

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Unnormalized probabilities (Probabilities must be >=0)	normalize	Probabilities (Probabilities must sum to 1)
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**	→	**0.13**
mug	5.1		164.0		0.87
sugar	-1.7		0.18		0.00

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Probabilities must be >=0	normalize	Probabilities must sum to 1
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**	→	**0.13**
mug	5.1		164.0		0.87
sugar	-1.7		0.18		0.00
			Unnormalized probabilities		Probabilities

$$L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i \mid X = x_i)$$

$$L_i = -\log(0.13)$$
$$= 2.04$$

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Unnormalized probabilities	normalize	Probabilities
			Probabilities must be >=0		Probabilities must sum to 1
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**	→	**0.13**
mug	5.1	→	164.0	→	0.87
sugar	-1.7	→	0.18	→	0.00

$$L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i \mid X = x_i)$$

$$L_i = -\log(0.13)$$
$$= 2.04$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation
Choose weights to maximize the likelihood of the observed data (see EECS 445 or EECS 545)

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Unnormalized probabilities (Probabilities must be >=0)	normalize	Probabilities (Probabilities must sum to 1)	compare	Correct probabilities
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**	→	**0.13**	→ ←	**1.00**
mug	5.1	→	164.0	→	0.87	→ ←	0.00
sugar	-1.7	→	0.18	→	0.00	→ ←	0.00

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Unnormalized probabilities (Probabilities must be >=0)	normalize	Probabilities (Probabilities must sum to 1)	compare	Correct probabilities
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**	→	**0.13**	→	**1.00**
mug	5.1		164.0		0.87		0.00
sugar	-1.7		0.18		0.00		0.00

Kullback-Leibler divergence

$$D_{KL}(P \,||\, Q) = \sum_y P(y) \log \frac{P(y)}{Q(y)}$$

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W)$$

$$P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

	Unnormalized log-probabilities (logits)	exp(·)	Unnormalized probabilities (Probabilities must be >=0)	normalize	Probabilities (Probabilities must sum to 1)	compare	Correct probabilities
cracker	**3.2**	→	**24.5**	→	**0.13**	→ ←	**1.00**
mug	5.1	→	164.0	→	0.87	→ ←	0.00
sugar	-1.7	→	0.18	→	0.00	→ ←	0.00

Cross Entropy

$$H(P, Q) = H(P) + D_{KL}(P \,||\, Q)$$

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

cracker	**3.2**
mug	5.1
sugar	-1.7

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W) \qquad P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$

Softmax function

Maximize probability of correct class

$$L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i \mid X = x_i)$$

Putting it all together

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$$

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

cracker	**3.2**
mug	5.1
sugar	-1.7

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W) \qquad P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$ Softmax function

Maximize probability of correct class

$$L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i \mid X = x_i)$$

Putting it all together

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$$

Q: What is the min / max possible loss L_i?

Cross-Entropy Loss
Multinomial Logistic Regression

cracker	**3.2**
mug	5.1
sugar	-1.7

Want to interpret raw classifier scores as **probabilities**

$$s = f(x_i; W) \qquad P(Y = k \mid X = x_i) = \frac{\exp(s_k)}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}$$

Softmax function

Maximize probability of correct class

$$L_i = -\log P(Y = y_i \mid X = x_i)$$

Putting it all together

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$$

Q: If all scores are small random values, what is the loss?

Multiclass SVM Loss

”The score of the correct class should be higher than all the other scores”



Multiclass SVM Loss

”The score of the correct class should be higher than all the other scores”



Multiclass SVM Loss

”The score of the correct class should be higher than all the other scores”



Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max\left(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1\right)$$

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max\left(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1\right)$$

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9		

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

= max(0, 5.1 - 3.2 + 1)
+ max(0, -1.7 - 3.2 + 1)
= max(0, 2.9) + max(0, -3.9)
= 2.9 + 0
= 2.9

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

= max(0, 1.3 - 4.9 + 1)
+max(0, 2.0 - 4.9 + 1)
= max(0, -2.6) + max(0, -1.9)
= 0 + 0
= 0

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

= max(0, 2.2 - (-3.1) + 1)
+max(0, 2.5 - (-3.1) + 1)
= max(0, 6.3) + max(0, 6.6)
= 6.3 + 6.6
= 12.9

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Loss over the dataset is:

L = (2.9 + 0.0 + 12.9) / 3
= 5.27

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q: What happens to the loss if the scores for the car image change a bit?

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q2: What are the min
and max possible loss?

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max\left(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1\right)$$

Q3: If all the scores were random, what loss would we expect?

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max\left(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1\right)$$

Q4: What would happen if the sum were over all classes? (including $i = y_i$)

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q5: What if the loss used a mean instead of a sum?

Multiclass SVM Loss


cracker	**3.2**	1.3	2.2
mug	5.1	**4.9**	2.5
sugar	-1.7	2.0	**-3.1**
Loss	2.9	0	12.9

Given an example (x_i, y_i)
(x_i is image, y_i is label)

Let $s = f(x_i, W)$ be scores

Then the SVM loss has the form:

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

Q6: What if we used this loss instead?

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)^2$$

Cross-Entropy vs SVM Loss

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

assume scores:
[10, -2, 3]
[10, 9, 9]
[10, -100, -100]
and $y_i = 0$

Q: What is cross-entropy loss?
What is SVM loss?

Cross-Entropy vs SVM Loss

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

assume scores:
[10, -2, 3]
[10, 9, 9]
[10, -100, -100]
and $y_i = 0$

Q: What happens to each loss if I slightly change the scores of the last datapoint?

Cross-Entropy vs SVM Loss

$$L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$$

$$L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$$

assume scores:
[10, -2, 3]
[10, 9, 9]
[10, -100, -100]
and $y_i = 0$

Q: What happens to each loss if I double the score of the correct class from 10 to 20?

Recap—Three Ways to Interpret Linear Classifiers

Algebraic Viewpoint

$$f(x,W) = Wx$$



Visual Viewpoint

One template per class



Geometric Viewpoint

Hyperplanes cutting up space



Recap—Loss Functions Quantify Preferences

- We have some dataset of (x, y)
- We have a **score function:**
- We have a **loss function**:

$$s = f(x; W, b) = Wx + b$$

Linear classifier

Softmax: $L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$

SVM: $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$



Recap—Loss Functions Quantify Preferences

- We have some dataset of (x, y)
- We have a **score function:**
- We have a **loss function**:

Q: How do we find the best W,b?

$$s = f(x; W, b) = Wx + b$$

Linear classifier

Softmax: $L_i = -\log\left(\frac{\exp(s_{y_i})}{\sum_j \exp(s_j)}\right)$

SVM: $L_i = \sum_{j \neq y_i} \max(0, s_j - s_{y_i} + 1)$



Next time: Regularization + Optimization



DeepRob

Lecture 3
Linear Classifiers
University of Michigan and University of Minnesota