

EECS 575: Advanced Cryptography

Fall 2021

Lecture 13

Paul Grubbs

paulgrub@umich.edu

Beyster 4709

Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Recap from last time
- Computationally-secure message authentication
- PRFs are good MACs
- Authenticated Encryption
- Generic composition of encryption and MACs

Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Recap from last time
- Computationally-secure message authentication
- PRFs are good MACs
- Authenticated Encryption
- Generic composition of encryption and MACs

Announcements

- Hopefully everyone had a good fall break!
- HW4 is due next Monday, 10/25
- Exams are about half-graded

Recap from last time

Message Authentication codes (MACs)

MACs authenticate and ensure integrity of msgs

* Gen: returns $K \leftarrow \mathcal{K}$

* Tag(K, m): returns $t \in \mathcal{Z}$

* Ver(K, m, t): returns O/I
 $t' \leftarrow \text{Tag}(K, m)$

Return $t = t'$

Recap from last time

Pairwise-Independent Hash Function (families)

$\{h_s : M \rightarrow \Sigma^3\}$ is Pwl if $h_{s_0}(m_0) \neq h_{s_1}(m_1)$

$$\Pr_{S \in S} [h_s(m_0) = t_0 \wedge h_s(m_1) = t_1] \leq \frac{1}{|\Sigma|^2}$$

* MACs from Pwl families

* Perfect Unforgeability

outputs $m'; t' \in M \times \Sigma$
where $m' \neq m$ and
 $\text{Ver}(k, m'; t') = 1$

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{MAC}}(F) = \Pr_{\substack{k \leftarrow \text{Key} \\ l \leftarrow \text{Tag}(k, m)}} [F(a, t) \text{ succeeds}] \leq \frac{1}{|\Sigma|}$$

Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Recap from last time
- Computationally-secure message authentication
- PRFs are good MACs
- Authenticated Encryption
- Generic composition of encryption and MACs

Message Authentication

MACs from PWIs have large keys

→ This is inherent for IT-secure MACs

Relax security to computationally-efficient forgers

* UF-CMA F-nuppt \mathcal{F} ,

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{MAC}}(\mathcal{F}) = \Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{Gen}} [\mathcal{F}^{\text{Tag}_k(\cdot)} \text{ succeeds}] \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

(weak) Returns (m', t') s.t.
 m' not input to Tag

$$\text{and } \text{Ver}(k, m', t') = 1$$

(Strong) Returns (m', t') s.t.
 (m', t') not input-output of Tag
and $\text{Ver}(k, m', t') = 1$

Message Authentication

$$\text{Adv}_{\text{MAC}}(F) = \Pr_{k \leftarrow \text{Gen}} \left[F^{\text{Tag}_k(\cdot), \underbrace{\text{Ver}_k(\cdot, \cdot)}_{\text{succeeds}}} \right] \leq \text{negl}(n)$$

Can call Ver on
many (m, t') pairs!

Equiv for SUF-CMA but not weak!

UF-I

UF-M

$$\text{SUF-I} \iff \text{SUF-M}$$

Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Recap from last time
- Computationally-secure message authentication
- PRFs are good MACs
- Authenticated Encryption
- Generic composition of encryption and MACs

PRFs are good MACs

$\{F_S : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n\}$

* Gen: Generate $S \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

* Tag(K, m): Return $F_K(m)$

* Ver(K, m, t): $t' \leftarrow \text{Tag}(K, m)$; Det $t' \stackrel{?}{=} t$

Theorem: This MAC is UF-COMA if F_S PRF family

Proof: By reduction.

PRFs are good MACs

$$\{F_S : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n\}$$

Theorem: This MAC is UF-CMA if F_S PRF family

Proof: By reduction. Suppose we have

forger \mathcal{G} :

$$\Pr[F^{Tag(k)}(\cdot) \text{ succeeds}] \geq \frac{1}{p(n)}$$

for some poly $p(n)$. Build distinguisher D for PRF:

$$|\Pr_{g \in F_S}[D^g = 1] - \Pr_{g \in F_S}[D^g = 1]| =$$

$$|\Pr_{\substack{\text{non-negl} \\ \text{non-negl}}} [F^{Tag(k)}(\cdot) \text{ succeeds}] - \frac{1}{2^n}| \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{F guesses} \\ \text{output of} \\ \text{rand. f'n}}}$$

$\geq \frac{1}{p(n)} - \frac{1}{2^n}, \text{ non-negl}$

* Gen: Generate $S \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

* Tag(k, m): Return $F_k(m)$

* Ver((k, m, t)): $t' \leftarrow \text{Tag}(k, m)$; Det $t' = t$

$g(\cdot)$:

$$(m', t') \leftarrow F^{Tag(\cdot)}$$

Ret. $(t' \stackrel{?}{=} g(m')) \wedge (m', t') \notin T$

Only way
between s, f
 m' not seen

Tag(m):
 $t \leftarrow g(m); i = ct$

$T[i]$ = (m, t)

D' 's simulator
 \overline{D}' Tag oracle for F

PRFs are good MACs

$\text{Ver}(K, m, t)$; n-byte strings

$t, t' \leftarrow \text{Tag}_K(m); b \leftarrow \text{True}$

} For $i=0$ to $n-1$:

~~If $t[i] \neq t'[i]$ Return False
 $b \leftarrow b \wedge t[i] = t'[i]$~~

Return ~~True~~ b

↳ Learns length of common prefix between t and t'
⇒ can forge tag via timing !!

Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Recap from last time
- Computationally-secure message authentication
- PRFs are good MACs
- **Authenticated Encryption**
- Generic composition of encryption and MACs

Authenticated Encryption

we have Symmetric-key encryption (IND-CPA)
Symmetric-key MAC (UF-CMA)

90s - 00s: try to compose encryption + MAC primitives
They failed. A lot.

Early-mid 00s: combine them! one primitive with both.

Authenticated Encryption scheme $AE = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$

* Gen

* $Enc(K, m)$: Returns $C \in \mathcal{C}$

* $Dec(K, C)$: Returns either $m \in M$ or $1 \leftarrow$ failure
Symbol

Authenticated Encryption

$\$()$ is random-bits oracle

Authenticated Encryption scheme $AE = (Gen, Enc, Dec)$

* Gen

$L()$ is "fail" oracle

* $Enc(K, m)$: Returns $C \in C$

* $Dec(K, C)$: Returns either $m \in M$ or $1 \leftarrow$ failure symbol

$$\text{Adv}_{AE}(D) = \Pr_{K \leftarrow Gen} [D \stackrel{\text{Enc}_K(\cdot), \text{Dec}_K(\cdot)}{=} 1] - \Pr_{\$() \leftarrow \$(\cdot)} [D \stackrel{\$(), L(\cdot)}{=} 1]$$

Agenda for this lecture

- Announcements
- Recap from last time
- Computationally-secure message authentication
- PRFs are good MACs
- Authenticated Encryption
- Generic composition of encryption and MACs

AE via generic composition