

1 Separating and convergence-determining classes

Definition 1.1 (Separating class)

Suppose S is a non-empty set, \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra of subsets of S , (S, \mathcal{B}) is the corresponding measurable space, and $\mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B})$ is the set of all probability measures defined on \mathcal{B} . A **separating class** of subsets of (S, \mathcal{B}) is a collection $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ of subsets of S which satisfies the following condition: For every two probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B})$,

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A), \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mu(B) = \nu(B), \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B}$$

Definition 1.2 (Convergence-determining class)

Suppose S is a topological space, $\mathcal{B}(S)$ is its Borel σ -algebra, $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the corresponding measurable space, and $\mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the set of all probability measures defined on $\mathcal{B}(S)$. A **convergence-determining class** of subsets of $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is a collection $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(S)$ of subsets of S which satisfies the following condition: For any $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B})$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) = \mu(A), \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu.$$

2 Examples of separating and convergence-determining classes of \mathbb{R}^∞

Definition 2.1 (The metric on \mathbb{R}^∞ , Example 1.2, [1])

Let \mathbb{R}^∞ denotes the set of all infinite sequences of real numbers, i.e.

$$\mathbb{R}^\infty := \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Define $\rho : \mathbb{R}^\infty \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$\rho(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_n - y_n|\}}{2^n}.$$

Remark 2.2 Recall that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \right) = 1,$$

which proves indeed that $0 \leq \rho(x, y) \leq 1$, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$.

Theorem 2.3 (The metric space properties of \mathbb{R}^∞)

- (i) $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \rho)$ is a metric space. Let \mathbb{R}^∞ denote also this metric space in the remainder of this Theorem.
- (ii) For $x, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, we have:

$$\rho(x^{(n)}, x) \longrightarrow 0 \iff \text{for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0$$

- (iii) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the “natural projection to the initial segment of length n ”

$$\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : x \longmapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

is continuous, where \mathbb{R}^n has the usual Euclidean topology.

- (iv) For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)$ denote the open hypercube in \mathbb{R}^n of side length 2ε centred at $\pi_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.

$$C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \begin{array}{l} |y_i - x_i| < \varepsilon, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

Then, its pre-image in \mathbb{R}^∞ under π_n

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} |y_i - x_i| < \varepsilon, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^∞ .

- (v) For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, we have:

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right),$$

where $B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$ is the open ball in \mathbb{R}^∞ centred at x of radius $\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}$, i.e.

$$B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \rho(y, x) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}$$

- (vi) The collection

$$\left\{ \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty, \varepsilon > 0 \right\}$$

of all pre-images under π_n of open hypercubes in \mathbb{R}^n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, forms a basis for the topology of \mathbb{R}^∞ .

- (vii) \mathbb{R}^∞ is a separable metric space.

- (viii) \mathbb{R}^∞ is a complete metric space.

PROOF

- (i) Clearly, ρ is non-negative and symmetric. We now show that, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, we have $\rho(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) = 0 &\iff \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} = 0 \\ &\iff \min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\} = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\iff |x_i - y_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\iff x = y. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that ρ is a metric, it remains only to establish the Triangle Inequality. By Lemma A.2, for any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - z_i|\} + \min\{1, |z_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - z_i|\}}{2^i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |z_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \\ &= \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ to split the infinite sum into two terms in second-to-last equality. This proves that ρ satisfies the Triangle Inequality, and it is thus a metric on \mathbb{R}^∞ .

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0 &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} = 0 \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\} = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0$$

This follows from the Weierstrass M -test. Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} = 0 =: y_i, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_i := \frac{1}{2^i}$. Then,

$$\frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} \leq M_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i < \infty.$$

Hence, by the Weierstrass M -test (Lemma A.3), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i = 0.$$

(iii) Immediate by (ii).

(iv) Since $C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , its pre-image under the continuous (by (iii)) map $\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^∞ .

(v) For $y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) &\implies |y_i - x_i| < \varepsilon, \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ &\implies \rho(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves:

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right).$$

(vi) It suffices to show that every open ball in $B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$, $r > 0$, contains the pre-image of an open hypercube centred at $\pi_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ under π_n . To this end, for $r > 0$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n} < r$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$, by (v), we have:

$$x \in \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, r),$$

as required.

(vii) It suffices to exhibit a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^∞ that intersects every open ball in \mathbb{R}^∞ . To this end, let

$$D := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} x_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ x_i = 0, \text{ for all } i \geq n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Clearly, D is a countable subset of \mathbb{R}^∞ . Now let $B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, \varepsilon)$ be an arbitrary open ball in \mathbb{R}^∞ . Choose $\delta > 0$ small enough and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that $\delta + \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$. Then,

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \delta)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \delta + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, \varepsilon),$$

Now, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, choose $z_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta)$. Let $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$. Then, we have

$$z \in D \cap \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} y_i \in (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta), \\ \text{for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\} = D \cap \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \delta)) \subset D \cap B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, \varepsilon).$$

This proves the the countable subset $D \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ has non-empty intersection with every open ball in \mathbb{R}^∞ , i.e. D is dense in \mathbb{R}^∞ . Hence, \mathbb{R}^∞ is separable.

(viii) We need to show that every Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R}^∞ converges to any element in \mathbb{R}^∞ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ x^{(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{R}^\infty \\ \iff & \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \rho(x^{(m)}, x^{(n)}) < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } m, n > N_\varepsilon \\ \implies & \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ we have:} \\ & \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |x_i^{(m)} - x_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } m, n > N_\varepsilon \\ \implies & \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \left\{ x_i^{(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{R}; \text{ hence } x_i := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ exists} \\ \implies & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0, \text{ where } x := (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \quad (\text{by (ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that \mathbb{R}^∞ indeed is a complete metric space.

□

Definition 2.4

The **finite-dimensional class** of subsets of \mathbb{R}^∞ is, by definition, the following:

$$\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) := \left\{ \pi_k^{-1}(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N} \\ B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k : x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_k)$ is the projection of \mathbb{R}^∞ onto \mathbb{R}^k .

Theorem 2.5

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is a separating class of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^∞ .
- (iii) $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ is a convergence-determining class of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R}^∞ .

A Technical Lemmas

Lemma A.1 *Define*

$$\phi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1] : t \longmapsto \min\{1, t\}.$$

Then, ϕ satisfies:

$$\phi(s+t) \leq \phi(s) + \phi(t), \text{ for each } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

PROOF For any $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, either $s+t \geq 1$ or $s+t < 1$. If $s+t \geq 1$, then

$$\phi(s+t) = \min\{1, s+t\} = 1 < 2 = 1+1 \leq \min\{1, s\} + \min\{1, t\} = \phi(s) + \phi(t),$$

hence, the required inequality holds. On the other hand, if $s+t < 1$, then we must also have $s < 1$ and $t < 1$ (since $s, t \geq 0$). Hence,

$$\phi(s+t) = \min\{1, s+t\} = s+t = \min\{1, s\} + \min\{1, t\} = \phi(s) + \phi(t),$$

thus, the required inequality also holds. □

Lemma A.2 *For any $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z|\} + \min\{1, |z-y|\}.$$

PROOF Observe that $|x-y| \leq |x-z| + |z-y|$ implies

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq |x-z| + |z-y|.$$

The above inequality, together with $\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq 1$, thus in turn imply:

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z| + |z-y|\}.$$

By Lemma A.1, we therefore have:

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z| + |z-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z|\} + \min\{1, |z-y|\},$$

which proves the present Lemma. □

Lemma A.3 (The Weierstrass M -test, Theorem A.28, [2])

Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(n)} = x_i$, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $|x_i^{(n)}| \leq M_i$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i < \infty$. Then,

(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ exists, and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)}$ exists for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i.$$

PROOF

(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i < \infty$ and $|x_i^{(n)}| \leq M_i \implies$ the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, converge absolutely.

- (ii) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose $K \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that $\sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} M_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Next, choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large such that

$$\left| x_i^{(n)} - x_i \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3K}, \text{ for any } n > N \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, K.$$

Then, we have, for each $n > N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^K (x_i^{(n)} - x_i) + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} x_i \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n)}| + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} |x_i| \\ &\leq K \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3K} + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} M_i + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} M_i \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrary, this proves:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i.$$

□

References

- [1] BILLINGSLEY, P. *Convergence of Probability Measures*, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. *Probability and Measure*, anniversary ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.