

1 The Prokhorov Theorem

Definition 1.1 (Tightness and weak sequential compactness)

Suppose:

- (S, ρ) is a metric space, $\mathcal{B}(S)$ its the Borel σ -algebra, $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the corresponding measurable space.
- $\Pi \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is a family of probability measures on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.

The family Π is said to be:

- (i) **tight** if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset $K_\varepsilon \subset S$ such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < P(K_\varepsilon) \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

- (ii) **weakly sequentially compact** if, for every sequence $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Pi$, there exists a probability measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and subsequence $\{P_{n(i)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} P, \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2 (The Prokhorov Theorem, Theorems 5.1 & 5.2, [1])

Suppose:

- (S, ρ) is a metric space, $\mathcal{B}(S)$ its the Borel σ -algebra, $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the corresponding measurable space.
- $\Pi \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is a collection of probability measures on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.

Then, the following statements hold:

- (i) Tightness of Π implies weak sequential compactness of Π .
- (ii) Suppose further that (S, ρ) is complete and separable.
Then, weak sequential compactness of Π implies tightness of Π .

PROOF We first prove statement (ii), then statement (i).

Proof of (ii)

Suppose S is complete and separable. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. We need to find a compact subset $K \subset S$ such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < P(K) \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Now, separability of S implies that every open cover of every subset of S admits a countable subcover (Appendix M3, [1]). Denote by $B(x, r) \subset S$ the open ball in S centred at $x \in S$ of radius $r > 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the open cover

$$\left\{ B\left(x, \frac{1}{k}\right) \right\}_{x \in S}$$

of S admits a countable subcover, say,

$$\{A_{ki}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \left\{ B\left(x, \frac{1}{k}\right) \right\}_{x \in S}.$$

The Prokhorov Theorem

Let $G_{kn} := \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{ki}$. Then, each G_{kn} is an open subset of S and $G_{kn} \uparrow S$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by the Claim below, there exists $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} < P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}\right) \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Now, let

$$K := \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}}$$

Note that K , being a closed subset of the complete metric space S , is itself complete. Note also that the set $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}$ is totally bounded; hence so is its closure K . Being complete and totally bounded, K is therefore compact (Appendix M5, [1]). It now remains only to show that $1 - \varepsilon < P(K) \leq 1$, for each $P \in \Pi$; or equivalently, that $P(K^c) \leq \varepsilon$, for each $P \in \Pi$. To this end, write $B_k := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}$. Then,

$$1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} < P(B_k) \leq 1; \quad \text{equivalently, } P(B_k^c) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Also,

$$K := \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}} := \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k} \supset \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k.$$

Hence,

$$K^c \subset \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k\right)^c = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^c,$$

which implies:

$$P(K^c) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(B_k^c) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the proof of (ii) will be complete once we prove the following:

Claim: Let $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of S with $G_n \uparrow S$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < P(G_{n_\varepsilon}) \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Proof of Claim: Suppose the Claim is false, and we derive a contradiction. The failure of the Claim implies that there exists some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $P_n \in \Pi$ such that

$$P_n(G_n) \leq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

By the hypothesis of weak sequential compactness of Π , there exists some probability measure $Q \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and the subsequence $\{P_{n(i)}\}$ of $\{P_n\}$ such that $P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} Q$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Now, for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(G_n) &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_{n(i)}(G_n), \quad \text{by the Portmanteau Theorem} \\ &\leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_{n(i)}(G_{n(i)}), \quad \text{since } \{G_n\} \text{ is increasing} \\ &\leq 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \text{by choice of } P_n \end{aligned}$$

But, by hypothesis, we also have $G_n \uparrow S$. Hence, we therefore have:

$$1 = Q(S) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q(G_n) \leq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

which is the desired contradiction. This completes the proof of the Claim, hence that of (ii).

Proof of (i)

Suppose $\Pi \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is tight. We need to establish that Π is weakly sequentially compact. In other words, if $\{P_n\} \subset \Pi$ is a sequence of probability measures contained in Π , we need to establish that there exists a Borel probability measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and a subsequence $\{P_{n(i)}\} \subset \{P_n\}$ such that $P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} P$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

So, let $\{P_n\} \subset \Pi$. We prove the Theorem by establishing the following series of Claims. Note that the proof of the Theorem is complete once we establish Claim 5.

Claim 1: There exists an increasing sequence of compact subsets $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \cdots \subset S$ such that

$$1 - \frac{1}{m} < P_n(K_m) \leq 1, \quad \text{for every } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim 2: Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \cdots \subset S$ be one such sequence of compact subsets of S as in Claim 1.

Then, $\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m$ is a separable subset of S , and there exists a countable collection \mathcal{A} of open subsets of S such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \in G \cap \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m \right), \text{ and} \\ G \text{ is an open subset of } S \end{array} \right\} \implies x \in A \subset \bar{A} \subset G, \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Claim 3: Define:

$$\mathcal{H} := \{\emptyset\} \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all finite unions of sets of the form} \\ \bar{A} \cap K_m, \text{ where } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then, there exists a subsequence $\{P_{n(i)}\} \subset \{P_n\}$ such that the limit

$$\alpha(H) := \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_{n(i)}(H) \text{ exists, for each } H \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Claim 4: There exists a Borel probability measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ such that

$$P(G) := \sup_{H \subset G} \alpha(H), \quad \text{for each open subset } G \subset S.$$

Claim 5: $P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} P$, as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Claim 1: By tightness hypothesis on Π , for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a compact subset $L_m \subset S$ such that

$$1 - \frac{1}{m} < P(L_m) \leq 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Define, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $K_m := \bigcup_{i=1}^m L_i$. Then, each K_m is compact (since finite unions of compact subsets are themselves compact). Next, we trivially have $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \cdots \subset S$. Also,

$$P(K_m) = P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m L_i\right) \geq P(L_m) > 1 - \frac{1}{m}, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

The Prokhorov Theorem

In particular, the above inequality holds for each P_n . This proves Claim 1.

Proof of Claim 2:

Proof of Claim 3:

Proof of Claim 4:

Proof of Claim 5:

□

A Technical Lemmas

Lemma A.1

Every compact subset of a metric space is also a separable subset of that metric space.

PROOF

□

Lemma A.2

Every countable union of separable subsets of a metric space is itself a separable subset of that metric space.

PROOF Let $S := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \subset X$ be a countable union of separable subsets S_i of a metric space X . For each fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$, since S_i is separable, there exists countable $D_i \subset S_i$ which is dense in S_i . Let $D := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} D_i$. Then, D is a countable subset of S . The Lemma is proved once we establish that D is dense in S . To this end, let $x \in S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i$. Then, $x \in S_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since D_i is dense in S_i , there exists a sequence $\{y_k\} \subset D_i \subset D$ such that $y_k \rightarrow x$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This proves that D is indeed dense in S , and completes the proof of the Lemma. □

References

[1] BILLINGSLEY, P. *Convergence of Probability Measures*, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.