# A Cumulative distribution functions

**Definition A.1** Let  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variable. The **cumulative distribution function** of X is, by definition, the function  $F_X : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  defined as follows:

$$F_X(x) \ := \ P(\, X \le x \,) \ = \ \mu(\{\, \omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \le x \,\}) \,, \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Definition A.2** A function  $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be

- non-decreasing if  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ , for any  $x, y \in D$  with  $x \leq y$ .
- non-increasing if  $f(x) \ge f(y)$ , for any  $x, y \in D$  with  $x \le y$ .
- monotone if f is either non-decreasing or non-increasing.

#### Theorem A.3 (Theorem 4.29, [1])

Let  $f:(a,b)\subseteq\mathbb{R}\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}$  be a non-decreasing function. Then,

$$f(x-) := \lim_{t \to x^{-}} f(t)$$
 and  $f(x+) := \lim_{t \to x^{+}} f(t)$ 

exist for every  $x \in (a,b)$ . More precisely,

$$f(x-) = \sup_{a < t < x} f(t) \le f(x) \le \inf_{x < t < b} f(t) = f(x+).$$

Furthermore, if a < x < y < b, then

$$f(x+) \leq f(y-).$$

PROOF First note that, since f is non-decreasing, it immediately follows that:

$$\sup_{a < t < x} f(t) \le f(x) \le \inf_{x < t < b} f(t).$$

Next, we show that  $f(x-) := \lim_{t \to x^-} f(t)$  exists and equals  $A := \sup_{a < t < x} f(t)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We need to find  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(t) - A| < \varepsilon$$
, for every  $t \in (x - \delta, x)$ .

By definition of the supremum, there exists  $\delta > 0$  with  $x - \delta \in (a, x)$  such that

$$A - \varepsilon < f(x - \delta) \le A.$$

Since f is non-decreasing, we have

$$f(x-\delta) \le f(t) \le A := \sup_{\xi \in (x-\delta,x)} f(\xi), \text{ for every } t \in (x-\delta,x).$$

We therefore see that

$$|f(t) - A| < \varepsilon$$
,

as desired. This proves that  $f(x-) := \lim_{t \to x^-} f(t)$  indeed exists and equals  $A := \sup_{t \in (a,x)} f(t)$ . The proof that f(x+) exists and equals  $\inf_{t \in (x,b)} f(t)$  is analogous. Lastly, let a < x < y < b. Then, choose some  $z \in (x,y) = (x,b) \cap (a,y)$ . Hence, we have

$$f(x+) = \inf_{t \in (x,b)} f(t) \le f(z) \le \sup_{t \in (a,u)} f(t) = f(y-).$$

This proof the Theorem is complete.

**Remark A.4** The analogous results of the preceding Theorem for non-increasing functions hold, obviously.

**Definition A.5** Let  $f: D \subseteq \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . A point  $a \in \text{interior}(D)$  is a jump discontinuity of f if both

$$\lim_{x \to a^-} f(x)$$
 and  $\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x)$ 

exist but they are unequal.

**Corollary A.6** A monotone  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function defined on an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  can have only jump discontinuities.

**Theorem A.7** A function  $F : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  is a cumulative distribution function of some  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variable if and only if each of following four conditions holds:

- F is non-decreasing.
- F is right-continuous.
- $\lim_{x\to-\infty} F(x) = 0$ .
- $\lim_{x\to+\infty} F(x) = 1$ .

PROOF If  $F : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  is a cumulative distribution function of some  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variable  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then the four conditions follow immediately from the property of the probability measure  $\mu$ . Conversely, suppose the four conditions hold. Let  $\Omega := [0,1]$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  the Borel subsets of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mu$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ , i.e.  $\mu$  is determined by:

$$\mu([0,\omega]) := \omega$$
, for each  $\omega \in \Omega = [0,1]$ .

Define the random variable  $X:(\Omega,\mathcal{B}(\Omega),\mu)\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by:

$$X(\omega) := \inf \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega \le F(x) \}, \text{ for each } \omega \in \Omega = [0, 1].$$

Note that X is simply the quantile function of F.

**Claim:** Suppose  $G: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  is non-decreasing and right-continuous. Then, for any  $\omega \in [0,1]$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\inf \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega < G(\xi) \} < x \iff \omega < G(x).$$

Proof of Claim: Suppose  $\omega \leq G(x)$ . Then,  $x \in \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega \leq G(\xi)\}$ . Hence, inf  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega \leq G(\xi)\} \leq x$ . Conversely, suppose inf  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega \leq G(\xi)\} \leq x$ . Since G is non-decreasing and right-continuous, we have:

$$\inf \left\{ \xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega \leq G(\xi) \right\} \leq x \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0, \ \exists \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \text{satisfying } \omega \leq G(\xi), \ \text{such that } \xi \leq x + \varepsilon \\ \Longrightarrow \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0, \ \exists \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \ \text{satisfying } \omega \leq G(\xi) \ \text{and } G(\xi) \leq G(x + \varepsilon) \\ \Longrightarrow \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0, \ \omega \leq G(x + \varepsilon) \\ \Longrightarrow \quad \omega \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} G(x + \varepsilon) = G(x).$$

This completes the proof of the Claim.

Noting that, by hypothesis, F is non-decreasing right-continuous, and invoking the Claim above, we see that

$$P(X \le x) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid X(\omega) \le x\}) = P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \mid \omega \le F(\xi)\} \le x\})$$

$$= P(\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \omega \le F(x)\}) = \mu(\{\omega \in [0,1] \mid \omega \le F(x)\}) = \mu([0,F(x)])$$

$$= F(x)$$

This shows that if F satisfies the four conditions, then F is the cumulative distribution function of the random variable X constructed above. The proof of the Theorem is now complete.

#### Theorem A.8 (Darboux-Froda, Theorem 4.30, [1])

The set of discontinuities of a monotone  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued function defined on an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  is at most countable.

PROOF We give the proof for non-decreasing functions; the proof for non-increasing functions is analogous. Let  $f:(a,b) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be non-decreasing, and let  $\mathcal{D}(f) \subset (a,b)$  be the set of discontinuities of f. By Corollary A.6, each  $x \in \mathcal{D}(f)$  is a jump discontinuity of f, i.e. both one-sided limits  $\lim_{t\to x^-} f(t)$  and  $\lim_{t\to x^+} f(t)$  exist, and

$$\lim_{t \to x^-} f(t) \ < \ \lim_{t \to x^+} f(t)$$

Thus, for each  $x \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ , we may choose a rational number  $r(x) \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to x^{-}} f(t) < r(x) < \lim_{t \to x^{+}} f(t).$$

This defines a function  $r : \mathcal{D}(f) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ . Note that this function is injective. Indeed, let  $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(f)$  with x < y. Then, by Theorem A.3,

$$r(x) \ < \ \lim_{t \to x^+} f(t) \ = \ f(x+) \ \le \ f(y-) \ = \ \lim_{t \to y^-} f(t) \ < \ r(y)$$

This shows  $r: \mathcal{D}(f) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  is indeed injective. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable, we may now conclude that  $\mathcal{D}(f)$  is at most countable.

**Corollary A.9** The cumulative distribution function of an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variable can have only jump discontinuities, and its set of (jump) discontinuities is at most countable.

# B The $O_P$ and $o_P$ notations; convergence in distribution implies boundedness in probability

### Definition B.1 (The Big- $O_P$ notation)

Let  $\{X_n : (\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, \mu_n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ -valued random variables. Let  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequence of positive numbers. The notation  $X_n = O_p(a_n)$  means:

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(|X_n| \leq C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) > 1 - \varepsilon$ , for every  $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Proposition B.2** The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $X_n = O_P(a_n)$ .
- (b) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $P(|X_n| \le C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) > 1 \varepsilon$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (c) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon$ .
- (d) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon$ .
- (e)  $\lim_{C \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) = 0.$
- (f)  $\lim_{C \to \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) = 0.$

Proof

$$(a) \Longrightarrow (b)$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By (a), there exist  $B_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(|X_n| \leq B_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) > 1 - \varepsilon$ , for each  $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ .

**Claim**: Let Y be an  $\mathbb{R}^k$ -valued random variable. Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $A_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $P(|Y| \le A_{\varepsilon}) > 1 - \varepsilon$ .

Proof of Claim: Suppose the Claim were false. Then, there exists some  $\varepsilon>0$  such that  $P(|Y|\leq A)\leq 1-\varepsilon$ , for every A>0; equivalently,  $P(|Y|>A)>\varepsilon$ , for every A>0. This implies  $\lim_{A\to\infty}P(|Y|>A)=\limsup_{A\to\infty}P(|Y|>A)\geq\varepsilon>0$ . But this is a contradiction since  $\lim_{A\to\infty}P(|Y|>A)=0$ , for every  $\mathbb{R}^k$ -valued random variable Y. This proves the Claim.

By the Claim, for each  $i=1,2,\ldots,n_{\varepsilon}-1$ , there exists  $B_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}>0$  such that  $P\left(|X_i|\leq B_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}\cdot a_i\right)>1-\varepsilon$ . Now, let  $C_{\varepsilon}:=\max\left\{B_{\varepsilon}^{(1)},B_{\varepsilon}^{(1)},\ldots,B_{\varepsilon}^{(n_{\varepsilon}-1)},B_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ . Then,  $P(|X_n|\leq C_{\varepsilon}\cdot a_n)>1-\varepsilon$ , for every  $n\in\mathbb{N}$ . This proves the implication (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b).

- (b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a) Trivial: Suppose (b) holds. Then (a) immediately follows with  $n_{\varepsilon} = 1$ .
- (a)  $\iff$  (c) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.
  - (a)  $\iff$  There exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(|X_n| \le C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) > 1 \varepsilon$ , for every  $n \ge n_{\varepsilon}$ .
    - $\iff$  There exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \leq \varepsilon$ , for every  $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ .
    - $\iff$  There exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon \iff$  (c)
- (b)  $\iff$  (d) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.
  - (b)  $\iff$  There exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $P(|X_n| \le C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) > 1 \varepsilon$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
    - $\iff$  There exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \leq \varepsilon$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
    - $\iff$  There exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \leq \varepsilon \iff$  (d)
- (d)  $\iff$  (f) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We first establish that (f)  $\implies$  (d).
  - (f)  $\iff$  There exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon$ , for each  $C \ge C_{\varepsilon}$ .
    - $\implies$  There exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon \iff (d)$

Conversely, suppose (d) holds and  $C \geq C_{\varepsilon}$ . Then,  $|X_n| > C \cdot a_n \Longrightarrow |X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n$ . Hence,  $\{|X_n| > C \cdot a_n\} \subseteq \{|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n\}$ , which in turn implies  $P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) \leq P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n)$ . Thus, we have

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \leq \varepsilon,$$

i.e. (f) holds.

- (c)  $\iff$  (e) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We first establish that (e)  $\implies$  (c).
  - (e)  $\iff$  There exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon$ , for each  $C \ge C_{\varepsilon}$ .
    - $\implies$  There exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n) \le \varepsilon \iff$  (c)

Conversely, suppose (c) holds and  $C \geq C_{\varepsilon}$ . Then,  $|X_n| > C \cdot a_n \Longrightarrow |X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n$ . Hence,  $\{|X_n| > C \cdot a_n\} \subseteq \{|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n\}$ , which in turn implies  $P(|X_n| > C \cdot a_n) \leq P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon} \cdot a_n)$ . Thus, we have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \ P(\,|X_n|>C\cdot a_n\,) \ \le \ \limsup_{n\to\infty} \ P(\,|X_n|>C_\varepsilon\cdot a_n\,) \ \le \ \varepsilon,$$

i.e. (e) holds.

This completes the proof of the Proposition.

#### Definition B.3 (Bounded in probability)

A sequence  $\{X_n : (\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, \mu_n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ -valued random variables is said to be **bounded in probability** if  $X_n = O_P(1)$ .

#### Theorem B.4

If a sequence  $\{X_n : (\Omega_n, \mathcal{A}_n, \mu_n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variables converges in distribution to some random variable  $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  is bounded in probability.

PROOF Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. We need to show that there exist  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  and  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$P(|X_n| > C_{\varepsilon}) \le \varepsilon$$
, for each  $n \ge n_{\varepsilon}$ .

Denote by  $F, F_n : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow [0,1]$  the cumulative distribution functions of X and  $X_n$ , respectively. By Theorem A.7 and the Darboux-Froda Theorem (Theorem A.8), the cumulative distribution function F satisfies:  $\lim_{x \to +\infty} F(x) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{x \to -\infty} F(x) = 0$ , and that F can have at most countably many (jump) discontinuities. Thus for the given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we may choose  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  sufficiently large such that

$$0 \le F(-C_{\varepsilon}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \qquad |1 - F(C_{\varepsilon})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \{ \pm C_{\varepsilon} \} \subset \mathcal{C}(F)$$

where C(F) denotes the continuity set of F. Now, since  $\pm C_{\varepsilon} \in C(F)$ , the convergence in distribution  $X_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} X$  implies that the convergences  $F_n(-C_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow F(-C_{\varepsilon})$  and  $F_n(C_{\varepsilon}) \longrightarrow F(C_{\varepsilon})$  (of sequences of real numbers). Thus, we may choose  $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large such that

$$|F_n(-C_{\varepsilon}) - F(-C_{\varepsilon})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$
, and  $|F_n(C_{\varepsilon}) - F(C_{\varepsilon})| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ , for every  $n \ge n_{\varepsilon}$ .

Therefore, for each  $n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$ , we have:

$$P(|X_{n}| > C_{\varepsilon}) = P(X_{n} < -C_{\varepsilon}) + P(X_{n} > C_{\varepsilon}) = P(X_{n} < -C_{\varepsilon}) + 1 - P(X_{n} \leq C_{\varepsilon})$$

$$\leq P(X_{n} \leq -C_{\varepsilon}) + 1 - P(X_{n} \leq C_{\varepsilon}) = F_{n}(-C_{\varepsilon}) + 1 - F_{n}(C_{\varepsilon})$$

$$\leq |F_{n}(-C_{\varepsilon}) - F(-C_{\varepsilon})| + |F(-C_{\varepsilon})| + |1 - F(C_{\varepsilon})| + |F(C_{\varepsilon}) - F_{n}(C_{\varepsilon})|$$

$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} = \varepsilon$$

This completes the proof that a sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variables is bounded in probability whenever it converges in distribution.

## References

[1] Rudin, W. Principles of Mathematical Analysis, third ed. McGraw-Hill, 1976.