The Prokhorov Theorem

Study Notes   September 26, 2015   Kenneth Chu

1   The Prokhorov Theorem

Definition 1.1 (Tightness and weak sequential compactness)

Suppose:

- *(S, ρ) is a metric space, $\mathcal{B}(S)$ its the Borel σ-algebra, $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the corresponding measurable space.*

- *$\Pi \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is a family of probability measures on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.*

The family Π is said to be:

(i)   **tight** *if, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact subset $K_\varepsilon \subset S$ such that*

$$1 - \epsilon \;<\; P(\,K_\varepsilon\,) \;\leq\; 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

(ii)   **weakly sequentially compact** *if, for every sequence $\{\,P_n\,\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Pi$, there exists a probability measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and subsequence $\{\,P_{n(i)}\,\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$P_{n(i)} \;\xrightarrow{\;w\;}\; P, \quad \text{as } i \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 1.2 (The Prokhorov Theorem, Theorems 5.1 & 5.2, [1])

Suppose:

- *(S, ρ) is a metric space, $\mathcal{B}(S)$ its the Borel σ-algebra, $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the corresponding measurable space.*

- *$\Pi \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is a collection of probability measures on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.*

Then, the following statements hold:

(i)   *Tightness of Π implies weak sequential compactness of Π.*

(ii)   *Suppose further that (S, ρ) is complete and separable.*
Then, weak sequential compactness of Π implies tightness of Π.

PROOF   We first prove statement (ii), then statement (i).

<u>Proof of (ii)</u>

Suppose S is complete and separable. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. We need to find a compact subset $K \subset S$ such that

$$1 - \varepsilon \;<\; P(\,K\,) \;\leq\; 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Now, separability of S implies that every open cover of every subset of S admits a countable subcover (Appendix M3, [1]). Denote by $B(x, r) \subset S$ the open ball in S centred at $x \in S$ of radius $r > 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the open cover

$$\left\{\, B\!\left(x, \frac{1}{k}\right) \,\right\}_{x \in S}$$

of S admits a countable subcover, say,

$$\{\, A_{ki}\,\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \;\subset\; \left\{\, B\!\left(x, \frac{1}{k}\right) \,\right\}_{x \in S}.$$

Let $G_{kn} := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{ki}$. Then, each G_{kn} is an open subset of S and $G_{kn} \uparrow S$, as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Hence, by the Claim below, there exists $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \;<\; P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki} \right) \;\leq\; 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Now, let

$$K \;:=\; \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}}$$

Note that K, being a closed subset of the complete metric space S, is itself complete. Note also that the set $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}$ is totally bounded; hence so is its closure K. Being complete and totally bounded, K is therefore compact (Appendix M5, [1]). It now remains only to show that $1 - \varepsilon < P(K) \leq 1$, for each $P \in \Pi$; or equivalently, that $P(K^c) \leq \varepsilon$, for each $P \in \Pi$. To this end, write $B_k := \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}$. Then,

$$1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \;<\; P(\,B_k\,) \;\leq\; 1; \quad \text{equivalently,} \;\; P(\,B_k^c\,) \;\leq\; \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Also,

$$K \;:=\; \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_k} A_{ki}} \;:=\; \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k} \;\supset\; \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k.$$

Hence,

$$K^c \;\subset\; \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k \right)^c \;=\; \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^c,$$

which implies:

$$P(\,K^c\,) \;\leq\; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(B_k^c) \;\leq\; \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \;=\; \varepsilon.$$

Thus, the proof of (ii) will be complete once we prove the following:

> **Claim:** Let $\{\, G_n \,\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of open subsets of S with $G_n \uparrow S$. Then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that
>
> $$1 - \varepsilon \;<\; P(\, G_{n_\varepsilon} \,) \;\leq\; 1, \quad \text{for each } P \in \Pi.$$

Proof of Claim: Suppose the Claim is false, and we derive a contradiction. The failure of the Claim implies that there exists some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $P_n \in \Pi$ such that

$$P_n(\, G_n \,) \;\leq\; 1 - \varepsilon.$$

By the hypothesis of weak sequential compactness of Π, there exists some probability measure $Q \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and the subsequence $\{\, P_{n(i)} \,\}$ of $\{\, P_n \,\}$ such that $P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} Q$, as $i \longrightarrow \infty$. Now, for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\, G_n \,) \;&\leq\; \liminf_{i \to \infty} P_{n(i)}(\, G_n \,), \quad \text{by the Portmanteau Theorem} \\
&\leq\; \liminf_{i \to \infty} P_{n(i)}\big(\, G_{n(i)} \,\big), \quad \text{since } \{\, G_n \,\} \text{ is increasing} \\
&\leq\; 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \text{by choice of } P_n
\end{aligned}$$

But, by hypothesis, we also have $G_n \uparrow S$. Hence, we therefore have:

$$1 \;=\; Q(S) \;=\; \lim_{n \to \infty} Q(G_n) \;\leq\; 1 - \varepsilon,$$

which is the desired contradiction. This completes the proof of the Claim, hence that of (ii).

Proof of (i)

Suppose $\Pi \subset \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is tight. We need to establish that Π is weakly sequentially compact. In other words, if $\{ P_n \} \subset \Pi$ is a sequence of probability measures contained in Π, we need to establish that there exists a Borel probability measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ and a subsequence $\{ P_{n(i)} \} \subset \{ P_n \}$ such that $P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} P$, as $i \longrightarrow \infty$.

So, let $\{ P_n \} \subset \Pi$. We prove the Theorem by establishing the following series of Claims. Note that the proof of the Theorem is complete once we establish Claim 5.

Claim 1: There exists an increasing sequence of compact subsets $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \cdots \subset S$ such that

$$1 - \frac{1}{m} \ < \ P_n(K_m) \ \leq \ 1, \quad \text{for every } m,\, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Claim 2: Let $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset K_3 \subset \cdots \subset S$ be one such sequence of compact subsets of S as in Claim 1. Then, $\bigcup\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m$ is a separable subset of S, and there exists a countable collection $\mathcal{A}$ of open subsets of S such that

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} x \ \in \ G \bigcap \left(\bigcup\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} K_m \right), \text{ and} \\[2mm] G \text{ is an open subset of } S \end{array} \right\} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x \in A \subset \overline{A} \subset G, \text{ for some } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Claim 3: Define:

$$\mathcal{H} \ := \ \{ \varnothing \} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{all finite unions of sets of the form} \\[1mm] \overline{A} \cap K_m, \text{ where } A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then, there exists a subsequence $\{ P_{n(i)} \} \subset \{ P_n \}$ such that the limit

$$\alpha(H) \ := \ \lim_{i \to \infty} P_{n(i)}(H) \ \text{ exists, for each } H \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Claim 4: There exists a Borel probability measure $P \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ such that

$$P(G) \ := \ \sup_{H \subset G} \alpha(H), \quad \text{for each open subset } G \subset S.$$

Claim 5: $P_{n(i)} \xrightarrow{w} P$, as $i \longrightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Claim 1: By tightness hypothesis on Π, there exists, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a compact subset $K_m \subset S$ such that

Proof of Claim 2:

Proof of Claim 3:

Proof of Claim 4:

<u>Proof of Claim 5:</u>

$\square$

References

[1] BILLINGSLEY, P. *Convergence of Probability Measures*, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.