Study Notes July 19, 2015 Kenneth Chu

1 The Portmanteau Theorem

Theorem 1.1 (The Portmanteau Theorem, Theorem 2.1, [1])

Suppose:

- (S, ρ) is a metric space, $\mathcal{B}(S)$ its the Borel σ -algebra, $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is the corresponding measurable space.
- $P, P_1, P_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ are probability measures on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$.

Then, the following are equivalent:

(i) P_n converges weakly to P, i.e. for each bounded continuous \mathbb{R} -valued function $f:S\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{S} f(s) dP_n(s) = \int_{S} f(s) dP(s).$$

(ii) For each closed set $F \subset S$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P_n(F) \le P(F).$$

(iii) For each open set $G \subset S$, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P_n(G) \ge P(G).$$

(iv) For each P-continuity set $A \in \mathcal{B}(S)$, i.e. $P(\partial A) = 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_n(A) = P(A).$$

Proof

$$(i) \Longrightarrow (ii)$$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$, by Lemma A.2(ii), choose a bounded continuous functions $f_{\varepsilon} : S \longrightarrow [0,1]$ such that

$$I_F \leq f_{\varepsilon} \leq I_{F^{\varepsilon}}.$$

This implies, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$P_n(F) = \int_S I_F(x) dP_n(x) \le \int_S f_{\varepsilon}(x) dP_n(x).$$

By (i), we thus have

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} P_n(F) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_S f_\varepsilon(x) \, \mathrm{d} P_n(x) = \int_S f_\varepsilon(x) \, \mathrm{d} P(x) \leq \int_S I_{F^\varepsilon}(x) \, \mathrm{d} P(x) = P(F^\varepsilon) \, .$$

By Lemma A.2(i), we have $F^{\varepsilon} \downarrow F$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Hence, $P(F^{\varepsilon}) \downarrow P(F)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. We may now conclude:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P_n(F) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} P(F^{\varepsilon}) = P(F).$$

Study Notes July 19, 2015 Kenneth Chu

A Technical Lemmas

Lemma A.1 Suppose (S, ρ) is a metric space, and $A \subset S$ is an arbitrary non-empty subset. Define

$$\rho(\,\cdot\,,A)\;:\;S\;\longrightarrow\;\mathbb{R}\;:\;x\;\longmapsto\;\inf_{y\in A}\left\{\,\rho(x,y)\,\right\}$$

Then,

- (i) $\rho(\cdot, A)$ is a continuous \mathbb{R} -valued function on S.
- (ii) For each $x \in S$, $\rho(x, A) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \overline{A}$.

Proof

(i) Suppose $x_n \longrightarrow x$. We need to prove $\rho(x_n, A) \longrightarrow \rho(x, A)$, which follows immediately from the following two Claims:

Claim 1: $\rho(x,A) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \rho(x_n,A)$.

Claim 2: $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \rho(x_n, A) \leq \rho(x, A).$

<u>Proof of Claim 1:</u> For each $y \in S$, we have:

$$\rho(x,y) \le \rho(x,x_n) + \rho(x_n,y).$$

Hence,

$$\rho(x,A) = \inf_{y \in A} \rho(x,y) \le \rho(x,x_n) + \inf_{y \in A} \rho(x_n,y) = \rho(x,x_n) + \rho(x_n,A).$$

Since $\rho(x, x_n) \longrightarrow 0$, the preceding inequality implies

$$\rho(x,A) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \rho(x_n,A).$$

This proves Claim 1.

<u>Proof of Claim 2:</u> For each $y \in S$, we have:

$$\rho(x_n, y) \le \rho(x_n, x) + \rho(x, y).$$

Hence,

$$\rho(x_n, A) \ = \ \inf_{y \in A} \ \rho(x_n, y) \ \le \ \rho(x_n, x) \ + \ \inf_{y \in A} \ \rho(x, y) \ = \ \rho(x_n, x) \ + \ \rho(x, A).$$

Since $\rho(x, x_n) \longrightarrow 0$, the preceding inequality implies

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \rho(x_n, A) \le \rho(x, A).$$

This proves Claim 2.

(ii)

$$\begin{split} \rho(x,A) &= 0 &\iff &\inf_{y \in A} \, \rho(x,y) = 0 \\ &\iff &\operatorname{For \ each} \, \varepsilon > 0, \, \text{there \ exists} \, \, y \in A \, \, \text{such that} \, \, \rho(x,y) < \varepsilon \\ &\iff &y \in \overline{A} \end{split}$$

Study Notes July 19, 2015 Kenneth Chu

Lemma A.2 Suppose (S, ρ) is a metric space, and $A \subset S$ is a non-empty subset. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$A^{\varepsilon} := \{ s \in S \mid \rho(s, A) < \varepsilon \}.$$

Then the following are true:

- (i) $A^{\varepsilon} \downarrow \overline{A}$, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.
- (ii) There exists a bounded continuous \mathbb{R} -valued function $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I_{\bar{A}}(x) \leq f(x) \leq I_{A^{\varepsilon}}(x)$$
, for each $x \in S$.

Proof

- (i)
- (ii) Define $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$f(x) \; := \; \max \left\{ \; 0 \, , \, 1 - \frac{\rho(x,A)}{\varepsilon} \; \right\}.$$

Then, by Lemma A.1(i), f is continuous \mathbb{R} -valued function on S. Clear, $0 \le f(x) \le 1$, for each $x \in S$. By Lemma A.1(ii), we have

$$x \in \overline{A} \iff \rho(x,F) = 0 \iff f(x) = 1.$$

This proves $I_{\bar{A}}(x) \leq 1 = f(x)$, for each $x \in \overline{A}$, and hence for each $x \in S$ (since $I_{\bar{A}}(x) = 0$ for $x \in S \setminus \overline{A}$, and the inequality holds trivially). On the other hand,

$$x \;\in\; S \,\backslash\, A^{\varepsilon} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \varepsilon \;\leq\; \rho(x,A) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 1 - \frac{\rho(x,A)}{\varepsilon} \;\leq\; 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad f(x) \;=\; 0.$$

This proves $f(x) = 0 \le I_{A^{\varepsilon}}(x)$, for each $x \in S \setminus A^{\varepsilon}$, and hence for each $x \in S$ (since $I_{A^{\varepsilon}}(x) = 1$ for each $x \in A^{\varepsilon}$ and the inequality holds trivially). This completes the proof of (ii).

References

[1] BILLINGSLEY, P. Convergence of Probability Measures, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.