

A The Central Limit Theorems

Lemma A.1 (§7.1, [1])

Let $\{\theta_{nj} \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 \leq j \leq k_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be doubly indexed array of complex numbers. If all the following three conditions are true:

(a) there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \leq M, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

(b) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| = 0$, and

(c) there exists $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} = \theta,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (1 + \theta_{nj}) = e^\theta.$$

PROOF First, note that hypothesis (b) immediately implies that there exists some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|\theta_{nj}| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for each } n \geq n_0, \text{ for each } 1 \leq j \leq k_n.$$

Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that:

$$|\theta_{nj}| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ for each } 1 \leq j \leq k_n.$$

We denote by $\log(1 + \theta_{nj})$ the (unique) complex logarithm¹ of $1 + \theta_{nj}$ with argument in $(-\pi, \pi]$. Next, recall the MacLaurin Series for $\log(1 + x)$:

$$\log(1 + x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^m}{m}, \quad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |x| < 1.$$

Hence, we have the following inequality: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for each $1 \leq j \leq k_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\log(1 + \theta_{nj}) - \theta_{nj}| &= \left| \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(\theta_{nj})^m}{m} \right| \leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{|\theta_{nj}|^m}{m} \leq \frac{|\theta_{nj}|^2}{2} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |\theta_{nj}|^{m-2} \\ &\leq \frac{|\theta_{nj}|^2}{2} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{m-2} = \frac{|\theta_{nj}|^2}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{i-2} = \frac{|\theta_{nj}|^2}{2} \cdot 2 = |\theta_{nj}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

¹Recall that the complex exponential function is defined by $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x + iy \mapsto e^x \cdot e^{iy} = e^x (\cos y + i \sin y)$. Clearly, \exp is not injective. More precisely, for $x_1 + iy_1, x_2 + iy_2 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we have $e^{x_1 + iy_1} = e^{x_2 + iy_2}$ if and only if $x_1 = x_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $y_1 - y_2 \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. For $z = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, a complex logarithm of z is any $w = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $e^{x+iy} = e^w = z = re^{i\theta}$, i.e. $x = \log r$ and $y = \theta + 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, let $\mathcal{D} := \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, y \in (-\pi, \pi]\}$. Then, the restriction $\exp : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is bijective.

This in turn implies: for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \log(1 + \theta_{nj}) - \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (\log(1 + \theta_{nj}) - \theta_{nj}) \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\log(1 + \theta_{nj}) - \theta_{nj}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}|^2.$$

Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\Lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\Lambda_n| \leq 1$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \log(1 + \theta_{nj}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} + \Lambda_n \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}|^2.$$

(Since for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z| \leq A \implies z = A \cdot w$, for some $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|w| \leq 1$.) Next note that, hypotheses (a) and (b) together imply:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}|^2 \leq \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \right) \leq M \cdot \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \right) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, since $|\Lambda_n| \leq 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we now see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \log(1 + \theta_{nj}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\Lambda_n \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}|^2 \right) = \theta + 0 = \theta.$$

We may now conclude, by continuity of the exponential function $\exp(\cdot)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (1 + \theta_{nj}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(\log \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (1 + \theta_{nj}) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \log(1 + \theta_{nj}) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \log(1 + \theta_{nj}) \right) = \exp(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. □

References

[1] CHUNG, K. L. *A Course in Probability Theory*, third ed. Academic Press, 2001.