1 Outline

Suppose:

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space.
- $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is an natural number (positive integer).
- $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n : \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ are independent identically distributed extended \mathbb{R} -valued random variables.
- $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n : \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ are independent identically distributed extended \mathbb{R} -valued random variables.
- For each i = 1, 2, ..., n, let $X_i := \min\{T_i, U_i\}$, and $C_i := I_{\{T_i \le U_i\}}$.

For each subject i = 1, 2, ..., n, the random variable T_i is interpreted to be the "survival time" of subject i, while U_i is interpreted to be the "censoring time" of subject i.

We wish to make inference about the (common) survival function

$$S(t) \ := \ P(\,T > t\,) \ = \ \mu \Big(\Big\{\, \omega \in \Omega \, \, \Big| \, \, T(\omega) > t \,\, \Big\} \Big)$$

of T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n . However, in survival analysis, the inference about S(t) is made based on the right-censored survival time data $\{X_i, C_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ (rather than on the T_i 's directly).

The hazard function:

$$\lambda(t) \ := \ \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \cdot P\Big(\, t \le T < t + h \, \, \Big| \, \, t \le T \Big)$$

The cumulative hazard function:

$$\Lambda(t) := \int_0^t \lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

The Nelson-Aalen estimator for the cumulative hazard function $\Lambda(t)$:

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\omega, t) := \sum_{\substack{C_i(\omega) = 1 \\ T_i(\omega) \le t}} \frac{1}{Y(\omega, T_i(\omega))},$$

where

$$Y_i(\omega, t) := \begin{cases} 1, & t - h < X_i(\omega), \text{ for each } h > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y(\omega,t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(\omega,t)$$

The aggregated counting process for subject i:

$$N_i(\omega, t) := I_{\{X_i(\omega) < t\}}$$

The aggregated counting process:

$$N(\omega, t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i(\omega, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{X_i(\omega) \le t\}}$$

January 3, 2016

The aggregated intensity process:

$$\alpha(\omega,t) := \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \cdot P\bigg(N(\omega,t+h) - N(\omega,t) = 1 \ \bigg| \ \mathcal{F}_t \bigg) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \cdot E\bigg[N(\omega,t+h) - N(\omega,t) \ \bigg| \ \mathcal{F}_t \bigg]$$

The aggregated cumulative intensity process:

$$A(\omega,t) := \int_0^t \alpha(\omega,t) dt$$

Then, the process

$$M(\omega, t) := N(\omega, t) - A(\omega, t) = N(\omega, t) - \int_0^t \alpha(\omega, t) dt$$

is a martingale process. In particular, $M(\,\cdot\,,t)$ satisfies

$$E \left[\ M(\,\cdot\,,t+h) - M(\,\cdot\,,t) \ \middle| \ \mathcal{F}_t \ \middle] (\omega) \ = \ M(\omega,t) \right.$$

Study Notes January 3, 2016 Kenneth Chu

A Integration on product measure spaces

Definition A.1 (Product σ -algebra)

Suppose $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ are two measurable spaces. The <u>product σ -algebra</u> $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ of \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 is, by definition, the following:

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 := \sigma \left(\left\{ A_1 \times A_2 \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \mid A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \right\} \right).$$

In other words, $A_1 \otimes A_2$ is the σ -algebra of subsets of $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ containing all Cartesian products $A_1 \times A_2$, where $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$.

Definition A.2 (Horizontal and vertical sections in a set-theoretic Cartesian product)

Suppose X and Y are two non-empty sets. For each $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, and $V \subset X \times Y$, we define:

$$V_{(x,\cdot)} := \left\{ y \in Y \mid (x,y) \in V \right\}$$

$$V_{(\cdot,y)} := \left\{ x \in X \mid (x,y) \in V \right\}$$

Theorem A.3 (Sections of measurable subsets in a product measurable space are themselves measurable.) Suppose (Ω_1, A_1) and (Ω_2, A_2) are two measurable spaces. Then,

- (i) $V_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2$, for each $x \in \Omega_1$ and each $V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$, and
- (ii) $V_{(\cdot,y)} \in \mathcal{A}_1$, for each $y \in \Omega_2$ and each $V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$.

PROOF We give only the proof of (i); that of (ii) is similar. Define $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ V \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \mid V_{(x, \cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2, \text{ for each } x \in \Omega_1 \right\}.$$

Claim 1:
$$\left\{ A_1 \times A_2 \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \mid A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

Claim 2: \mathcal{F} is a σ -algebra of subsets of $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$.

Proof of Claim 1: Suppose $x \in \Omega_1$ and $V = A_1 \times A_2$, where $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$. Then,

$$V_{(x,\,\cdot\,)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A_2, & \text{if } x \in A_1 \\ \varnothing, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

This proves that $V_{(x,\cdot)} = (A_1 \times A_2)_{(x,\cdot)} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Since $x \in \Omega_1$, $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$, and $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ are arbitrary, Claim 1 follows.

Proof of Claim 2: First, note that, for each $x \in \Omega_1$, we have $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)_{(x,\cdot)} := \{ y \in \Omega \mid (x,y) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \} = \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$. Hence, $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Next, suppose $V \in \mathcal{F}$ and $V^c := (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \setminus V$. Then, for each $x \in \Omega_1$,

$$(V^c)_{(x,\cdot)} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in V^c \right\} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \notin V \right\}$$

$$= \Omega_2 \setminus \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in V \right\} = \left(V_{(x,\cdot)} \right)^c \in \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where the last containment follows from the fact that \mathcal{A}_2 is a σ -algebra (hence closed under complementation) and that $V \in \mathcal{F}$ (hence $V_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2$). This proves that \mathcal{F} is closed under complementation. Lastly, suppose $V_1, V_2, \ldots, \in \mathcal{F}$. Then,

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i\right)_{(x,\cdot)} = \left\{y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i\right\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in V_i\right\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (V_i)_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where the last containment follows from the fact that \mathcal{A}_2 is a σ -algebra (hence closed under countable union) and that each $V_i \in \mathcal{F}$ (hence $(V_i)_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2$). This proves that \mathcal{F} is closed under countable union. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 1 and Claim 2 together immediately imply that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \ := \ \sigma \left(\left\{ \ A_1 \times A_2 \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \ \middle| \ A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \ A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \ \right\} \right) \ \subset \ \mathcal{F} \ := \ \left\{ \ V \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \ \middle| \ \begin{array}{c} V_{(x,\,\cdot\,)} \in \mathcal{A}_2 \,, \\ \text{for each } x \in \Omega_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of statement (i) in the present Theorem.

Theorem A.4 (Sections of measurable maps are themselves measurable.)

Suppose $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$, $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$, (S, \mathcal{S}) are measurable spaces, and $f: (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2) \longrightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$ is an $(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{S})$ -measurable map. Then,

- (i) $f(x, \cdot): \Omega_2 \longrightarrow S: y \longmapsto f(x, y)$ is an (A_2, S) -measurable map for each $x \in \Omega_1$.
- (ii) $f(\cdot,y):\Omega_1\longrightarrow S:x\longmapsto f(x,y)$ is an $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{S})$ -measurable map for each $y\in\Omega_2$.

Proof

(i) We need to show that $f(x,\cdot)^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{A}_2$, for each $x \in \Omega_1$, and each $V \in \mathcal{S}$. To this end, note that

$$f(x,\cdot)^{-1}(V) = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid f(x,y) \in V \right\} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in f^{-1}(V) \right\} = f^{-1}(V)_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where the last containment follows, by Theorem A.3, from the fact that $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ (since $V \in \mathcal{S}$ and f is $(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{S})$ -measurable).

(ii) The proof here is similar to that of (i).

References

- [1] ALIPRANTIS, C. D., AND BURKINSHAW, O. Principles of Real Analysis, third ed. Academic Press, 1998.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. Probability and Measure, third ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1995.
- [3] BILLINGSLEY, P. Convergence of Probability Measures, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [4] FERGUSON, T. S. A Course in Large Sample Theory, first ed. Texts in Statistical Science. CRC Press, 1996.
- [5] Shao, J. Mathematical Statistics, second ed. Springer, 2003.