### 1 Outline

Suppose:

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a probability space.
- $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is an natural number (positive integer).
- $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n : \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$  are independent identically distributed extended  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variables.
- $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n : \Omega \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$  are independent identically distributed extended  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued random variables.
- For each i = 1, 2, ..., n, let  $X_i := \min\{T_i, U_i\}$ , and  $C_i := I_{\{T_i \le U_i\}}$ .

For each subject i = 1, 2, ..., n, the random variable  $T_i$  is interpreted to be the "survival time" of subject i, while  $U_i$  is interpreted to be the "censoring time" of subject i.

We wish to make inference about the (common) survival function

$$S(t) \ := \ P(\,T > t\,) \ = \ \mu \Big( \Big\{\, \omega \in \Omega \, \, \Big| \, \, T(\omega) > t \,\, \Big\} \Big)$$

of  $T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_n$ . However, in survival analysis, the inference about S(t) is made based on the right-censored survival time data  $\{X_i, C_i\}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  (rather than on the  $T_i$ 's directly).

The hazard function:

$$\lambda(t) \ := \ \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \cdot P\Big( \, t \le T < t + h \, \, \Big| \, \, t \le T \Big)$$

The cumulative hazard function:

$$\Lambda(t) := \int_0^t \lambda(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

The Nelson-Aalen estimator for the cumulative hazard function  $\Lambda(t)$ :

$$\widehat{\Lambda}(\omega, t) := \sum_{\substack{C_i(\omega) = 1 \\ T_i(\omega) \le t}} \frac{1}{Y(\omega, T_i(\omega))},$$

where

$$Y_i(\omega, t) := \begin{cases} 1, & t - h < X_i(\omega), \text{ for each } h > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$Y(\omega,t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(\omega,t)$$

The aggregated counting process for subject i:

$$N_i(\omega, t) := I_{\{X_i(\omega) < t\}}$$

The aggregated counting process:

$$N(\omega, t) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i(\omega, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I_{\{X_i(\omega) \le t\}}$$

January 4, 2016

The aggregated intensity process:

$$\alpha(\omega,t) \ := \ \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \cdot P\bigg(N(\omega,t+h) - N(\omega,t) = 1 \ \bigg| \ \mathcal{F}_t \, \bigg) \ = \ \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \cdot E\bigg[N(\omega,t+h) - N(\omega,t) \ \bigg| \ \mathcal{F}_t \, \bigg]$$

The aggregated cumulative intensity process:

$$A(\omega,t) := \int_0^t \alpha(\omega,t) dt$$

Then, the process

$$M(\omega, t) := N(\omega, t) - A(\omega, t) = N(\omega, t) - \int_0^t \alpha(\omega, t) dt$$

is a martingale process. In particular,  $M(\,\cdot\,,t)$  satisfies

$$E \left[ \ M(\,\cdot\,,t+h) - M(\,\cdot\,,t) \ \middle| \ \mathcal{F}_t \ \middle] (\omega) \ = \ M(\omega,t) \right.$$

## A Integration on product measure spaces

#### Definition A.1 (Product $\sigma$ -algebra)

Suppose  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$  are two measurable spaces. The <u>product  $\sigma$ -algebra</u>  $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  of  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is, by definition, the following:

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 := \sigma \left( \left\{ A_1 \times A_2 \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \mid A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \right\} \right).$$

In other words,  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  containing all Cartesian products  $A_1 \times A_2$ , where  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .

#### Definition A.2 (Horizontal and vertical sections in a set-theoretic Cartesian product)

Suppose X and Y are two non-empty sets. For each  $x \in X$ ,  $y \in Y$ , and  $V \subset X \times Y$ , we define:

$$V_{(x,\cdot)} := \left\{ y \in Y \mid (x,y) \in V \right\}$$

$$V_{(\cdot,y)} := \left\{ x \in X \mid (x,y) \in V \right\}$$

Theorem A.3 (Sections of measurable subsets in a product measurable space are themselves measurable.) Suppose  $(\Omega_1, A_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, A_2)$  are two measurable spaces. Then,

- (i)  $V_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , for each  $x \in \Omega_1$  and each  $V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ , and
- (ii)  $V_{(\cdot,y)} \in \mathcal{A}_1$ , for each  $y \in \Omega_2$  and each  $V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ .

PROOF We give only the proof of (i); that of (ii) is similar. Define  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{F} := \left\{ V \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \mid V_{(x, \cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2, \text{ for each } x \in \Omega_1 \right\}.$$

Claim 1: 
$$\left\{ A_1 \times A_2 \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \mid A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \right\} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

Claim 2:  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .

Proof of Claim 1: Suppose  $x \in \Omega_1$  and  $V = A_1 \times A_2$ , where  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Then,

$$V_{(x,\,\cdot\,)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} A_2, & \text{if } x \in A_1 \\ \varnothing, & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

This proves that  $V_{(x,\cdot)} = (A_1 \times A_2)_{(x,\cdot)} \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $x \in \Omega_1$ ,  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ , and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$  are arbitrary, Claim 1 follows.

Proof of Claim 2: First, note that, for each  $x \in \Omega_1$ , we have  $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2)_{(x,\cdot)} := \{ y \in \Omega \mid (x,y) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \} = \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . Hence,  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Next, suppose  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $V^c := (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) \setminus V$ . Then, for each  $x \in \Omega_1$ ,

$$(V^c)_{(x,\cdot)} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in V^c \right\} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \notin V \right\}$$

$$= \Omega_2 \setminus \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in V \right\} = \left( V_{(x,\cdot)} \right)^c \in \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where the last containment follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (hence closed under complementation) and that  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  (hence  $V_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ ). This proves that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under complementation. Lastly, suppose  $V_1, V_2, \ldots, \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then,

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i\right)_{(x,\cdot)} = \left\{y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i\right\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in V_i\right\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (V_i)_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where the last containment follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{A}_2$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (hence closed under countable union) and that each  $V_i \in \mathcal{F}$  (hence  $(V_i)_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2$ ). This proves that  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable union. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 1 and Claim 2 together immediately imply that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \ := \ \sigma \left( \left\{ \ A_1 \times A_2 \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \ \middle| \ A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, \ A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 \ \right\} \right) \ \subset \ \mathcal{F} \ := \ \left\{ \ V \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \ \middle| \ \begin{array}{c} V_{(x,\,\cdot\,)} \in \mathcal{A}_2 \,, \\ \text{for each } x \in \Omega_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof of statement (i) in the present Theorem.

#### Theorem A.4 (Sections of measurable maps are themselves measurable.)

Suppose  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ ,  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ ,  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  are measurable spaces, and  $f: (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2) \longrightarrow (S, \mathcal{S})$  is an  $(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{S})$ -measurable map. Then,

- (i)  $f(x, \cdot): \Omega_2 \longrightarrow S: y \longmapsto f(x, y)$  is an  $(A_2, S)$ -measurable map for each  $x \in \Omega_1$ .
- (ii)  $f(\cdot,y):\Omega_1\longrightarrow S:x\longmapsto f(x,y)$  is an  $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{S})$ -measurable map for each  $y\in\Omega_2$ .

Proof

(i) We need to show that  $f(x,\cdot)^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , for each  $x \in \Omega_1$ , and each  $V \in \mathcal{S}$ . To this end, note that

$$f(x,\cdot)^{-1}(V) = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid f(x,y) \in V \right\} = \left\{ y \in \Omega_2 \mid (x,y) \in f^{-1}(V) \right\} = f^{-1}(V)_{(x,\cdot)} \in \mathcal{A}_2,$$

where the last containment follows, by Theorem A.3, from the fact that  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  (since  $V \in \mathcal{S}$  and f is  $(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{S})$ -measurable).

(ii) The proof here is similar to that of (i).

#### Theorem A.5 (Well-definition of the product measure of two $\sigma$ -finite measures)

Suppose  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2, \mu_2)$  are two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$  be  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Then, for each  $V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ , the following statements hold:

- (i) the map  $\Omega_1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \longmapsto \mu_2(V_{(x,\cdot)}) = \int_{\Omega_2} 1_V(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_2(y)$  is  $(\mathcal{A}_1,\mathcal{B})$ -measurable,
- (ii) the map  $\Omega_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : y \longmapsto \mu_1(V_{(\cdot,y)}) = \int_{\Omega_1} 1_V(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(x)$  is  $(\mathcal{A}_2,\mathcal{B})$ -measurable, and
- (iii) the following equality of Lebesgue integrals (of measurable  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions) holds:

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \mu_2(V_{(x,\cdot)}) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_2} \mu_1(V_{(\cdot,y)}) d\mu_2(y),$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} 1_V(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_2(y) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_2} \left( \int_{\Omega_1} 1_V(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(x) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu_2(y).$$

PROOF Define  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{C} := \left\{ V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \mid \int_{\Omega_1} \mu_2(V_{(x,\cdot)}) d\mu_1(x) = \int_{\Omega_2} \mu_1(V_{(\cdot,y)}) d\mu_2(y) \right\}.$$

Claim 1:  $A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , for each  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and each  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .

Claim 2:  $V := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , whenever  $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}$  and  $V_i \subset V_{i+1}$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Claim 3:  $V := \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \in \mathcal{C}$ , whenever  $\{V_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}$  is a disjoint countable collection of members in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Claim 4: Suppose  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ , with  $\mu_1(A_1), \mu_2(A_2) < \infty$ . Suppose also that  $\{V_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{C}$  satisfies  $A_1 \times A_2 \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \supset \cdots$ . Then,  $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Proof of Claim 1:

Proof of Claim 2:

Proof of Claim 3:

Proof of Claim 4:

Next, note that, since  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1, \mu_1)$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, there exist mutually disjoint  $\Omega_1^{(1)}, \Omega_1^{(2)}, \ldots \in \mathcal{A}_1$  such that

$$\Omega_1 = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_1^{(n)}, \text{ and } \mu_1(\Omega_1^{(n)}) < \infty, \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Similarly, there exist mutually disjoint  $\Omega_2^{(1)},\Omega_2^{(2)},\ldots\in\mathcal{A}_2$  such that

$$\Omega_2 = \bigsqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_2^{(n)}, \text{ and } \mu_2(\Omega_2^{(n)}) < \infty, \text{ for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We now define

$$\mathcal{M} := \left\{ V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \mid V \cap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right) \in \mathcal{C}, \text{ for each } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Claim 5:  $\mathcal{M}$  is a monotone class.

Claim 6:

Proof of Claim 5: Suppose  $V_1, V_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{M}$ , with  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset \cdots$ . We need to show  $V := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \in \mathcal{M}$ . To this end, note that, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$V \cap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right) = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i\right) \bigcap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(V_i \cap (\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)})\right)}_{\in \mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C},$$

where the last containment follows from Claim 3. Thus, we see that we indeed have  $V \in \mathcal{M}$ . Next, suppose that  $W_1, W_2, \ldots \in \mathcal{M}$ , with  $W_1 \supset W_2 \supset W_3 \supset \cdots$ . We need to show  $W := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i \in \mathcal{M}$ . Now, for each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we

have:

$$W \cap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right) = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} W_i\right) \cap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{\left(W_i \cap (\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)})\right)}_{\in \mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

where the last containment follows from Claim 4. This proves that  $\mathcal{M}$  is indeed a monotone class and completes the proof of Claim 5.

It follows from Claim 5, Claim 6 and Theorem ?? that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ , which in turn implies that  $V \cap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ , for each  $V \in \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$  and each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But,

$$V = V \cap (\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2) = V \cap \left( \bigsqcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)} \right) = \bigsqcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \underbrace{V \cap \left(\Omega_1^{(m)} \times \Omega_2^{(n)}\right)}_{\in \mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{C},$$

or equivalently,

$$\int_{\Omega_1} \, \mu_2 \big( V_{(x, \, \cdot \, )} \big) \, \, \mathrm{d} \mu_1 (x) \ = \ \int_{\Omega_2} \, \mu_1 \big( V_{( \, \cdot \, , y)} \big) \, \, \mathrm{d} \mu_2 (y).$$

This completes the proof of the present Theorem.

# References

- [1] ALIPRANTIS, C. D., AND BURKINSHAW, O. Principles of Real Analysis, third ed. Academic Press, 1998.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. Probability and Measure, third ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1995.
- [3] BILLINGSLEY, P. Convergence of Probability Measures, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [4] FERGUSON, T. S. A Course in Large Sample Theory, first ed. Texts in Statistical Science. CRC Press, 1996.
- [5] Shao, J. Mathematical Statistics, second ed. Springer, 2003.