

1 The Expectation-Maximization Algorithm

The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm is an algorithm that solves the optimization (maximization) problem for a marginal likelihood (or probability):

$$L(\theta; X) = p(X | \theta) = \int p(X, Z | \theta) dZ$$

More specifically, the EM Algorithm *attempts* to compute:

$$\hat{\theta} := \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \{ L(\theta; X) \} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \{ p(X | \theta) \} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \left\{ \int p(X, Z | \theta) dZ \right\}$$

Here, $L(\theta; X, Z) = p(X, Z | \theta)$ is a likelihood, where θ is the random vector of model parameters, X is the (non-random) vector of observed data, and Z is the random vector of unobservable variables. In practice, the EM Algorithm should produce estimates of a local maximum $\hat{\theta}$ of $L(\theta; X)$.

The Expectation-Maximization (EM) Algorithm

Choose (arbitrarily) an initial value θ_0 for θ . Choose (arbitrarily) a termination threshold $\tau > 0$. Generate the sequence $\{\theta_t\}$, for $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, by iterating through the following two-step procedure:

1. **Expectation Step:** Compute the following expectation value (as a function of θ):

$$Q(\theta | \theta_t) := E_{Z|X, \theta_t} \{ \log L(\theta; X, Z) \} = \int [\log L(\theta; X, Z)] p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ \quad (1.1)$$

2. **Maximization Step:** Solve the following optimization (maximization) problem to obtain θ_{t+1} :

$$\theta_{t+1} := \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \{ Q(\theta | \theta_t) \} \quad (1.2)$$

Terminate the EM Algorithm when

$$\left| \frac{\log p(X | \theta_{t+1}) - \log p(X | \theta_t)}{\log p(X | \theta_t)} \right| \leq \tau \quad (1.3)$$

Remark 1.1 We remark that the “Expectation Step” is really an integration “along the Z -direction,” with respect to the measure $p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ$. This yields a function $Q(\theta | \theta_t)$ of θ . The “Maximization Step” then produces a (local) maximum $\hat{\theta}$ of the function $Q(\theta | \theta_t)$.

Theorem 1.2 The sequence $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots$ produced by the EM Algorithm satisfies the following:

$$\log p(X | \theta_{t+1}) \geq \log p(X | \theta_t), \quad \text{for each } t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

PROOF First, observe that:

$$\begin{aligned}\log p(X | \theta) &= \log \left(\frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(\theta)} \right) = \log \left(\frac{p(X, Z, \theta)}{p(\theta)} \frac{p(X, \theta)}{p(X, Z, \theta)} \right) \\ &= \log (p(X, Z | \theta)) - \log (p(Z | X, \theta))\end{aligned}$$

Taking expectation on both sides with respect to $p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}E_{Z|X, \theta_t} \{\log p(X | \theta)\} &= E_{Z|X, \theta_t} \{\log (p(X, Z | \theta))\} - E_{Z|X, \theta_t} \{\log (p(Z | X, \theta))\} \\ \int \{\log p(X | \theta)\} p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ &= \int \{\log (p(X, Z | \theta))\} p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ - \int \{\log (p(Z | X, \theta))\} p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ \\ \log p(X | \theta) &= Q(\theta | \theta_t) + H(\theta | \theta_t)\end{aligned}$$

where $H(\theta | \theta_t)$ is defined as follows:

$$H(\theta | \theta_t) := - \int \{\log (p(Z | X, \theta))\} p(Z | X, \theta_t) dZ$$

CLAIM 1: $H(\theta | \theta_t) \geq H(\theta_t | \theta_t)$, for any θ .

Note that **CLAIM 1** is an immediate consequence of Gibb's Inequality (see Appendix).

Now, the following equation

$$\log p(X | \theta) = Q(\theta | \theta_t) + H(\theta | \theta_t) \tag{1.4}$$

holds for any value of θ ; in particular, it holds for θ_t :

$$\log p(X | \theta_t) = Q(\theta_t | \theta_t) + H(\theta_t | \theta_t) \tag{1.5}$$

Subtracting Equation (1.5) from Equation (1.4) yields:

$$\log p(X | \theta) - \log p(X | \theta_t) = (Q(\theta | \theta_t) - Q(\theta_t | \theta_t)) + (H(\theta | \theta_t) - H(\theta_t | \theta_t)) \tag{1.6}$$

Thus, **CLAIM 1** implies:

$$\log p(X | \theta) - \log p(X | \theta_t) \geq Q(\theta | \theta_t) - Q(\theta_t | \theta_t) \tag{1.7}$$

Since, by definition, $\theta_{t+1} := \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \{Q(\theta | \theta_t)\}$, we therefore have:

$$\log p(X | \theta_{t+1}) - \log p(X | \theta_t) \geq Q(\theta_{t+1} | \theta_t) - Q(\theta_t | \theta_t) \geq 0 \tag{1.8}$$

This proves the Theorem. □

A Gibbs' Inequality & Jensen's Inequality

Theorem A.1 (Jensen's Inequality)

Suppose

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ is a probability space (i.e. measure space with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$).
- $\varphi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, i.e.

$$\varphi(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) \leq t\varphi(x_1) + (1-t)\varphi(x_2), \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, 1], \quad x_1, x_2 \in (a, b),$$

where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$.

- $g : \Omega \rightarrow (a, b)$ is a μ -integrable function.

Then, the following inequality holds:

$$\varphi\left(\int_{\Omega} g \, d\mu\right) \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi \circ g \, d\mu$$

Corollary A.2 (Jensen's Inequality (Expectation Form))

Suppose

- $X : (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu) \rightarrow (a, b)$ is a \mathbb{R} -valued random variable defined on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ with range contained in the open interval (a, b) , where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$.
- $\varphi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function.

Then, the following inequality holds:

$$\varphi(E[X]) \leq E[\varphi(X)]$$

Theorem A.3 (Gibbs' Inequality)

Suppose

- (Ω, \mathcal{A}) is a measurable space.
- $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are two nowhere-vanishing probability density functions defined on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) .

Then, the following inequality holds:

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\log f) f \, dx \leq -\int_{\Omega} (\log g) f \, dx$$

PROOF First, note that $\varphi := -\log : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function defined on the open unit interval $(0, 1)$, and that the domain of φ contains the range of f and g . Hence, by Jensen's Inequality, we have:

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[-\log \left(\frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \right) \right] \cdot f(x) \, dx \geq -\log \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{g(x)}{f(x)} \cdot f(x) \, dx \right) = -\log \left(\int_{\Omega} g(x) \, dx \right) = -\log(1) = 0$$

The above inequality immediately implies:

$$-\int_{\Omega} (\log g(x)) \cdot f(x) \, dx \geq -\int_{\Omega} (\log f(x)) \cdot f(x) \, dx,$$

which completes the proof of Gibbs' Inequality. □