

## 1 Separating and convergence-determining classes

### Definition 1.1 (Separating class)

Suppose  $S$  is a non-empty set,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $S$ ,  $(S, \mathcal{B})$  is the corresponding measurable space, and  $\mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B})$  is the set of all probability measures defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . A **separating class** of subsets of  $(S, \mathcal{B})$  is a collection  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$  of subsets of  $S$  which satisfies the following condition: For every two probability measures  $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B})$ ,

$$\mu(A) = \nu(A), \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mu(B) = \nu(B), \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B}$$

### Definition 1.2 (Convergence-determining class)

Suppose  $S$  is a topological space,  $\mathcal{B}(S)$  is its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$  is the corresponding measurable space, and  $\mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$  is the set of all probability measures defined on  $\mathcal{B}(S)$ . A **convergence-determining class** of subsets of  $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$  is a collection  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(S)$  of subsets of  $S$  which satisfies the following condition: For any  $\mu, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots \in \mathcal{M}_1(S, \mathcal{B})$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(A) = \mu(A), \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A} \implies \mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu.$$

## 2 Examples of separating and convergence-determining classes of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$

### Definition 2.1 (The metric on $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ , Example 1.2, [1])

Let  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  denotes the set of all infinite sequences of real numbers, i.e.

$$\mathbb{R}^\infty := \{ (x_1, x_2, \dots) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

Define  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^\infty \times \mathbb{R}^\infty \longrightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$\rho(x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_n - y_n|\}}{2^n}.$$

**Remark 2.2** Recall that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \right) = 1,$$

which proves indeed that  $0 \leq \rho(x, y) \leq 1$ , for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

### Theorem 2.3 (The metric space properties of $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ )

- (i)  $(\mathbb{R}^\infty, \rho)$  is a metric space. Let  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  denote also this metric space in the remainder of this Theorem.
- (ii) For  $x, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , we have:

$$\rho(x^{(n)}, x) \longrightarrow 0 \iff \text{for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0$$

- (iii) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the “natural projection to the initial segment of length  $n$ ”

$$\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n : x \longmapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

is continuous, where  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has the usual Euclidean topology.

- (iv) For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)$  denote the open hypercube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of side length  $2\varepsilon$  centred at  $\pi_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.

$$C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \begin{array}{l} |y_i - x_i| < \varepsilon, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

Then, its pre-image in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  under  $\pi_n$

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} |y_i - x_i| < \varepsilon, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}$$

is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

- (v) For each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have:

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right),$$

where  $B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right)$  is the open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  centred at  $x$  of radius  $\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}$ , i.e.

$$B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \rho(y, x) < \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n} \right\}$$

- (vi) The collection

$$\left\{ \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty, \varepsilon > 0 \right\}$$

of all pre-images under  $\pi_n$  of open hypercubes in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , forms a basis for the topology of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

- (vii)  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is a separable metric space.

- (viii)  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is a complete metric space.

PROOF

- (i) Clearly,  $\rho$  is non-negative and symmetric. We now show that, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , we have  $\rho(x, y) = 0$  implies  $x = y$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) = 0 &\iff \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} = 0 \\ &\iff \min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\} = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\iff |x_i - y_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\iff x = y. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show that  $\rho$  is a metric, it remains only to establish the Triangle Inequality. By Lemma A.2, for any  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - z_i|\} + \min\{1, |z_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - z_i|\}}{2^i} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |z_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \\ &= \rho(x, z) + \rho(z, y), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$  to split the infinite sum into two terms in second-to-last equality. This proves that  $\rho$  satisfies the Triangle Inequality, and it is thus a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

$$(ii) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0 &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} = 0 \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\} = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ &\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0$$

This follows from the Weierstrass  $M$ -test. Suppose  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| = 0$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} = 0 =: y_i, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $M_i := \frac{1}{2^i}$ . Then,

$$\frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} \leq M_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i < \infty.$$

Hence, by the Weierstrass  $M$ -test (Lemma A.3), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i^{(n)} - x_i|\}}{2^i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i = 0.$$

(iii) Immediate by (ii).

(iv) Since  $C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , its pre-image under the continuous (by (iii)) map  $\pi_n : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

(v) For  $y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} y \in \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) &\implies |y_i - x_i| < \varepsilon, \text{ for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \\ &\implies \rho(x, y) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\min\{1, |x_i - y_i|\}}{2^i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i} + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} = \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves:

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right).$$

(vi) It suffices to show that every open ball in  $B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,  $r > 0$ , contains the pre-image of an open hypercube centred at  $\pi_n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  under  $\pi_n$ . To this end, for  $r > 0$ , choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large such that  $\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n} < r$ . Then, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , by (v), we have:

$$x \in \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \varepsilon)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, r),$$

as required.

(vii) It suffices to exhibit a countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  that intersects every open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . To this end, let

$$D := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} x_i \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N} \\ x_i = 0, \text{ for all } i \geq n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $D$  is a countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . Now let  $B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, \varepsilon)$  be an arbitrary open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  small enough and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough such that  $\delta + \frac{1}{2^n} < \varepsilon$ . Then,

$$\pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \delta)) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}\left(x, \delta + \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, \varepsilon),$$

Now, for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , choose  $z_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta)$ . Let  $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ . Then, we have

$$z \in D \cap \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} y_i \in (x_i - \delta, x_i + \delta), \\ \text{for each } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{array} \right\} = D \cap \pi_n^{-1}(C_{\mathbb{R}^n}(\pi_n(x), \delta)) \subset D \cap B_{\mathbb{R}^\infty}(x, \varepsilon).$$

This proves the the countable subset  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty$  has non-empty intersection with every open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ , i.e.  $D$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is separable.

(viii) We need to show that every Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  converges to any element in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ x^{(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{R}^\infty \\ \iff & \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \rho(x^{(m)}, x^{(n)}) < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } m, n > N_\varepsilon \\ \implies & \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ we have:} \\ & \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there exists } N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } |x_i^{(m)} - x_i^{(n)}| < \varepsilon, \text{ for any } m, n > N_\varepsilon \\ \implies & \text{ for each } i \in \mathbb{N}, \left\{ x_i^{(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } \mathbb{R}; \text{ hence } x_i := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ exists} \\ \implies & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x^{(n)}, x) = 0, \text{ where } x := (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty \quad (\text{by (ii)}) \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  indeed is a complete metric space.

□

## Definition 2.4

The **finite-dimensional class** of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  is, by definition, the following:

$$\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) := \left\{ \pi_k^{-1}(B) \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty \mid \begin{array}{l} k \in \mathbb{N} \\ B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^k) \end{array} \right\}.$$

## Theorem 2.5

- (i)  $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is a separating class of Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{B}_f(\mathbb{R}^\infty)$  is a convergence-determining class of Borel subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ .

## A Technical Lemmas

**Lemma A.1** *Define*

$$\phi : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, 1] : t \longmapsto \min\{1, t\}.$$

*Then,  $\phi$  satisfies:*

$$\phi(s+t) \leq \phi(s) + \phi(t), \text{ for each } s, t \in [0, \infty).$$

**PROOF** For any  $s, t \in [0, \infty)$ , either  $s+t \geq 1$  or  $s+t < 1$ . If  $s+t \geq 1$ , then

$$\phi(s+t) = \min\{1, s+t\} = 1 < 2 = 1+1 \leq \min\{1, s\} + \min\{1, t\} = \phi(s) + \phi(t),$$

hence, the required inequality holds. On the other hand, if  $s+t < 1$ , then we must also have  $s < 1$  and  $t < 1$  (since  $s, t \geq 0$ ). Hence,

$$\phi(s+t) = \min\{1, s+t\} = s+t = \min\{1, s\} + \min\{1, t\} = \phi(s) + \phi(t),$$

thus, the required inequality also holds. □

**Lemma A.2** *For any  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have:*

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z|\} + \min\{1, |z-y|\}.$$

**PROOF** Observe that  $|x-y| \leq |x-z| + |z-y|$  implies

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq |x-z| + |z-y|.$$

The above inequality, together with  $\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq 1$ , thus in turn imply:

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z| + |z-y|\}.$$

By Lemma A.1, we therefore have:

$$\min\{1, |x-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z| + |z-y|\} \leq \min\{1, |x-z|\} + \min\{1, |z-y|\},$$

which proves the present Lemma. □

**Lemma A.3 (The Weierstrass  $M$ -test, Theorem A.28, [2])**

*Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(n)} = x_i$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and that  $|x_i^{(n)}| \leq M_i$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i < \infty$ . Then,*

(i)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  exists, and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)}$  exists for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i.$$

**PROOF**

(i)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} M_i < \infty$  and  $|x_i^{(n)}| \leq M_i \implies$  the series  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , converge absolutely.

- (ii) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large such that  $\sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} M_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Next, choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large such that

$$\left| x_i^{(n)} - x_i \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3K}, \text{ for any } n > N \text{ and } i = 1, 2, \dots, K.$$

Then, we have, for each  $n > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^K (x_i^{(n)} - x_i) + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} - \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} x_i \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^K |x_i^{(n)} - x_i| + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} |x_i^{(n)}| + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} |x_i| \\ &\leq K \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3K} + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} M_i + \sum_{i=K+1}^{\infty} M_i \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, this proves:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i^{(n)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i.$$

□

## References

- [1] BILLINGSLEY, P. *Convergence of Probability Measures*, second ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [2] BILLINGSLEY, P. *Probability and Measure*, anniversary ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.