

④ 1) S : set containing s largest magnitude elements of $\vec{\theta}$

hence S is not same as sparsity. Error bound also increases as C_1 & C_2 are increasing fⁿ of $|\Phi\Psi|$, $\mu(\Phi\Psi)$
~~so~~ $|\Phi\Psi|$ increases \neq sparsity increases.

$$2) \mu(\Psi, \Phi) = \sqrt{n} \max_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq m \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} |\langle \Phi^{(j)}, \Psi_i \rangle|$$

↳ This is a function of m

C_1, C_2 are increasing fⁿ of $\mu(\Phi\Psi)$ which is in turn a fⁿ of m .

Intuitively it feels that as more the number of measurements will result in better reconstruction.

3) Theorem 5 is more useful than theorem 5A, as it gives the same error bounds as theorem 5A but for a greater range of $|\Phi\Psi|$.

$$4) \|\mathbf{y} - \Phi\Psi\theta\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$$

we measure $\mathbf{y} = \Phi\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\eta}$

$$\text{thus } \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$$

Thus ε is an upper bound on the magnitude of noise vector

$$\varepsilon = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{\eta} = \vec{0}$$

But our noise has non-zero magnitude.

Setting $\varepsilon = 0$ only works if there is no noise