	Feriormance	
	Derivation	Validation
Chandra et al. (index, 2012)	0.93 (95% CI: 0.92, 0.95)	0.95 (95% CI: 0.93, 0.96)
Chandra et al. (validation, 2014)		0.94 (95% CI: 0.93, 0.96)
Cooper et al. (2013)	0.93 (95% CI: 0.93, 0.93)	0.91 (95% CI: 0.90, 0.91)
Davis et al. (2018)	0.75 (95% CI: NR)	0.77 (95% CI: NR)
Garey et al. (2008)	0.73 (95% CI: NR)	0.68 (95% CI: NR)
Press et al. (2016)	0.85 (95% CI: NR)	0.85 (95% CI: NR)
Oh et al. (2018) <sup>a</sup>		
MGH	0.79 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.81)	0.79 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.81)
UM		0.75 (95% CI: 0.73, 0.78)
Tilton et al. <sup>b</sup>		
Index model (2019)		0.82 (95% CI: 0.8, 0.84)
Validation model (2021)		0.7 (95% CI: NR)
Voicu et al. (2021)		
Model 1		0.74 (95% CI: NR)
Model 2		0.84 (95% CI: 0.76, 0.92)
Voicu et al. (version 2, 2021)		0.82 (95% CI: 0.75, 0.90)

Performance

Performance was reported as by area under the ROC for all studies.

Values rounded to two decimal places.

Bolded studies included multiple models <sup>a</sup> Created institution specific models.

<sup>b</sup> Validated prior model on external population.

Note:

NR = Not reported