

# Applied Algorithms Assignment 1

Fei Fan (Peter) Chen

January 11, 2021

## Exercise 1 (10 pts)

Using the fact that a fair coin can be constructed by assigning TH to be tails and HT to be heads, the expected number of tosses before we generate an unbiased coin flip is:

$$\frac{1}{\text{Prob}(\text{toss1} \neq \text{toss2})} \times 2 = \frac{1}{2pq} \times 2 = \frac{1}{pq}$$

## Exercise 2 (10 pts)

Each randomized path has probability of  $1/n^{(n-1)}$  since at each iteration, index  $j$  is taken from any index from  $(0...n)$ . The number of permutations is only however  $1/n!$ . Since  $n^n$  is not divisible by  $1/n!$  for  $n > 2$  for the reason that  $n$  is not divisible by all the primes  $p < n$ , then so is  $n^n - 1$ . Therefore, there is always some permutation  $P$  that is reachable at the end of  $n - 1$  iterations by more randomized paths than some other permutation  $P'$ . Therefore this algorithm cannot generate an uniform distribution for its possible permutations.

## Exercise 3 (10 pts)

### Part A

Three bits are needed for 6 numbers. We assign all 3-bit numbers less than 6 to 1..6 and start over for the remaining two 3-bit combinations.

$$E = 3/4 \times 3 + 1/4 \times (3 + E) = 4 \text{ bits} \leq 4 \text{ bits}$$

Since this is  $\leq 4$  bits, the next smallest number of bits that can represent 6 numbers will have expected bits greater than 4 since probability is always less than 1.

### Part B

Four bits are needed for 9 numbers, We assign all 4-bit numbers less than 9 to 1...9 and start over for the remaining 7 4-bit combinations.

$$E = 9/16 \times 4 + 7/16 \times (4 + E) = 64/9 \text{ bits} \geq 4 \text{ bits}$$

There can be room for improvement all the way up to 8 bits...possibly. We try out the next bit size 5 (we map 3 combinations to each number).

$$E = 27/32 \times 5 + 5/32 \times (5 + E) = 160/32 \text{ bits} \leq 6 \text{ bits}$$

## Exercise 4 (20 pts)

N	Median-of-One		Median-of-Three		Median-of-Five	
	Set	Pivot	Set	Pivot	Set	Pivot
10	2.31	2.83	1.98	2.27	1.77	1.88
100	2.97	6.53	2.53	5.81	2.38	5.66
1000	3.38	11.77	2.84	10.12	2.48	9.17
10000	3.25	16.18	2.82	13.61	2.63	13.46
100000	3.37	20.97	2.71	17.41	2.55	16.79
1000000	3.15	24.65	2.72	21.85	2.54	20.51
10000000	3.44	30.48	2.78	25.82	2.57	24.05

Table 1: Average Case Analysis: average of 100 trials. Set Comparisons are proportional to N.

**Observation 1:** The number of comparisons seem to be around  $2N$  to  $3.5N$  as  $N$  increases from 10 to 10,000,000.

**Observation 2:** The number of pivots increases sub-linearly with  $N$ . In fact, the number of pivots increases at an additive constant rate of roughly 4 units for every  $10x$  increase in  $N$ .

**Observation 3:** Both the number of pivots and number of comparisons in total improves with taking the median of more random pivots. As  $N$  increases, the improvement in number of total comparisons become more significant.