Linear inverse problems on Erdős-Rényi graphs: Information-theoretic limits and efficient recovery

Emmanuel Abbe, Afonso S. Bandeira, Annina Bracher, Amit Singer Princeton University

Abstract—This paper considers the inverse problem with observed variables $Y = B_G X \oplus Z$, where B_G is the incidence matrix of a graph G, X is the vector of unknown vertex variables with a uniform prior, and Z is a noise vector with $Bernoulli(\varepsilon)$ i.i.d. entries. All variables and operations are Boolean. This model is motivated by coding, synchronization, and community detection problems. In particular, it corresponds to a stochastic block model or a correlation clustering problem with two communities and censored edges. Without noise, exact recovery of X is possible if and only the graph Gis connected, with a sharp threshold at the edge probability $\log(n)/n$ for Erdős-Rényi random graphs. The first goal of this paper is to determine how the edge probability p needs to scale to allow exact recovery in the presence of noise. Defining the degree (oversampling) rate of the graph by $\alpha = np/\log(n)$, it is shown that exact recovery is possible if and only if $\alpha > 2/(1-2\varepsilon)^2 + o(1/(1-2\varepsilon)^2)$. In other words, $2/(1-2\varepsilon)^2$ is the information theoretic threshold for exact recovery at low-SNR. In addition, an efficient recovery algorithm based on semidefinite programming is proposed and shown to succeed in the threshold regime up to twice the optimal rate.

Full version available in [1].

I. Introduction

A large variety of problems in information theory, machine learning, and image processing are concerned with inverse problems on graphs, i.e., problems where a graphical structure governs the dependencies between the variables that are observed and the variables that are unknown. In simple cases, the dependency model is captured by an undirected graph with the unknown variables attached at the vertices and the observed variables attached at the edges. Let G=(V,E) be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E, and let x^V be the vertex- and y^E the edge-variables. In many cases of interest (detailed below), the probabilistic model for the edge-variables conditionally on the vertex-variables has a simple structure: it factorizes as

$$P(y^E|x^V) = \prod_{e \in E} Q(y_e|x[e]),$$
 (1)

where y_e denotes the variable attached to edge e, x[e] denotes the two vertex-variables incident to edge e, and Q is a local probability kernel. In this paper, we consider Boolean edge- and vertex-variables, and assume that the kernel Q is symmetric and depends only on the XOR of the vertex-variables. The edge-variables can then be viewed as

a random vector Y^E that satisfies

$$Y^E = B_G x^V \oplus Z^E, \tag{2}$$

where B_G is the incidence matrix of the graph, i.e., the $|E| \times |V|$ matrix with $(B_G)_{e,v} = 1$ if and only if edge e is incident to vertex v, and Z is a random vector of dimension |E| representing the noise.

In the above setting, the forward problem of recovering the most likely edge-variables given the vertex-variables is trivial and amounts to maximizing Q for each edge. The inverse problem, however, is more challenging: the most likely vertex-variables (say with a uniform prior) given the edge-variables cannot be found by local maximization.

This type of problem arises in various contexts:

Coding: For a (symmetric) kernel Q, equation (2) corresponds to the output of a simple code, namely a 2-right-degree LDGM code, over a binary (symmetric) channel. While this is not a particularly interesting code by itself (e.g., at any fixed rate, it has a constant fraction of isolated vertices), it is a relevant primitive for the construction of other codes such as LT or raptor codes [20], [21].

Constraint satisfaction problems: The model (1) is a particular case of the graphical channel studied in [2] in the context of hypergraphs. This class of models allows in particular to recover instances of planted constraint satisfaction problems (CSPs) by choosing uniform kernels Q, where the vertex-variables represent the planted assignment and the edge-variables represent the clauses. In the case of a simple graph and not a hypergraph, this provides a model for planted formulae like 2-SAT or 2-XORSAT (model (2)).

Synchronization: Equation (2) results also from the synchronization problem studied in [22], [6], [26], [3], [7], if the dimension is one, i.e., each vertex-variable is the 1-bit quantization of the reflection of a signal. The goal in synchronization over O(d), the group of orthogonal matrices of size $d \times d$, is to recover exactly the original values of the node-variables $\{x_j\}_{j\in[n]}$, assumed to take values in O(d), given the relative measurements $\{J_{ij}x_i^{-1}x_j\}_{i,j\in[n]}$, where J_{ij} is randomly drawn in O(d) if the vertices i and j are adjacent and all-zero else. When d=1, we have $O(1)=\{-1,+1\}$ and the synchronization problem is equivalent to (2).

Community detection: The model in (1) can also be used as a probabilistic network model. The basic Erdős-

¹Symmetry means that $Q(y|x_1,x_2) = P(y|x_1 \oplus x_2)$ for some P that satisfies P(1|1) = P(0|0).

²If J_{ij} is the $d \times d$ identity matrix, then the measurement is noise-free.

Rényi model [13], is typically not a good model for networks: all vertices have the same expected degree and no cluster structure appears. One possibility to obtain cluster structures is to attach latent variables to the vertices and assume an edge distribution that depends on these variables. There are various models with latent variables, such as the exchangeable, inhomogeneous or stochastic block models [14], [27], [15], [12], [17], [11]. The model in (1) can be used for this purpose. The vertex-variables represent the community assignment, the edge-variables the connectivity, and the graph G the information is available. In the noiseless additive case and for every edge e = (i, j), the edgevariable $y_e = x_i \oplus x_j$ encodes whether the vertices i and j are in the same community or not. With noise on top, vertices in the same/different communities are also allowed disconnect/connect, which is a more realistic model.

In this paper we are interested in particular in a "censored" block model, as introduced in [2] in a different context. In such a model, a base-graph G = (V, E(G)) and a binary community assignment $X \in \{0,1\}^V$ are used to generate a random graph on the vertex set V with ternary edge labels $E_{ij} \in \{*,0,1\}$ drawn independently with the following probability distribution:

$$\mathbb{P}\{E_{ij} = * | E(G)_{ij} = 0\} = 1 \tag{3a}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{E_{ij} = 1 | X_i = X_j, E(G)_{ij} = 1\} = q_1, \quad (3b)$$

$$\mathbb{P}\{E_{ij} = 1 | X_i \neq X_j, E(G)_{ij} = 1\} = q_2.$$
 (3c)

Put differently, (3) is a graph model where information is only available on the base-graph G, the *-variable encodes the absence of information, and when there is information available, then two vertices are connected with probability q_1 if they are in the same community and with probability q_2 if they are in different communities. When $G = K_n$ is the complete graph and X is uniformly distributed, this is the standard stochastic block model with two communities [10], [19]. In the case of (2), the linear structure implies that $q_1 = 1 - q_2 = \varepsilon$.

While all the above mentioned problems are concerned with related inverse problems on graphs, there are various refinements that can be considered for the recovery. This paper focuses on exact recovery, which requires that all vertexvariables be recovered simultaneously with high probability as the number of vertices diverges. The probability measure may depend on the graph ensemble or simply on the kernel Q if the graph is deterministic. Note, however, that exact recovery of all variables in the model (2) is not quite possible: the vertex-variables x^V and $1^V \oplus x^V$ produce the same output Y^E . Exact recovery is meant "up to a global flipping of the variables". For partial recovery, only a constant fraction of the vertex-variables are to be recovered correctly with high probability as the number of vertices diverges. Put differently, the true assignment need only be positively correlated with the reconstruction. The recovery requirements vary with the applications, e.g., exact recovery is typically required in coding theory to ensure reliable

communication. In community detection, partial recovery is the best one can hope for sparse networks, while exact recovery can be considered for slightly denser graphs with logarithmic degree [18].

This paper focuses on exact recovery for the linear model (2) with Boolean variables, and on Erdős-Rényi models for the base-graph G. For this setup, we identify the information-theoretic phase transition for exact recovery in terms of the edge density of the graph and the noise level, and devise an efficient algorithm based on semidefinite programming (SDP), which approaches the threshold up to a factor of 2. This SDP based method was first proposed in [22], and it shares many aspects with the SDP methods in several other problems [23], [16].

II. MODEL AND RESULTS

In this paper, we focus on the linear Boolean model

$$Y^E = B_G x^V \oplus Z^E, \tag{4}$$

where the vector components are in $\{0,1\}$ and the addition is modulo 2. We require exact recovery for x^V having a uniform prior and consider for the underlying graph G =(V, E) the Erdős-Rényi model ER(n, p), where V = [n]and the edges are drawn i.i.d. with probability p. We assume that the noise vector Z^E has i.i.d. components, equal to 1 with probability ε . We assume³ w.l.o.g. that $\varepsilon \in [0, 1/2]$, where 0 means no noise (and exact recovery amounts to having a connected graph) and 1/2 means maximal noise (and exact recovery is impossible no matter how connected the graph is). Note that the inverse problem is much easier if the noise model causes erasures with probability ε instead of errors. Exact recovery is then possible if and only if the graph is still connected after the erasure of the edges. Since there is a sharp threshold for connectedness at $p = \frac{\log(n)}{n}$, this happens a.a.s. if $p=\frac{(1+\delta)\log(n)}{n(1-\varepsilon)}$ for some $\delta>0$. Hence $1-\varepsilon$ is a sharp threshold in $\log(n)/np$ for the exact recovery problem with erasures and base-graph ER(n, p).

The goal of this paper is to find the replacement to the erasure threshold $1-\varepsilon$ for the setting where the noise causes errors. Similarly to channel coding where the Shannon capacity of the BSC(ε) differs from the BEC(ε) capacity, we obtain for the considered inverse problem the expression $D(1/2||\varepsilon)$ for the low-snr regime (ε close to 1/2).

More precisely, this paper establishes an information theoretic (IT) necessary condition that holds for every graph (Theorem V.1), and an IT (Theorem V.2) sufficient condition for ML to fail with Erdős-Rényi graphs. Note that ML minimised the probability of error for a uniform prior. Moreover, we also give a recovery guarantee that holds for an efficient algorithm based on SDP (Theorem VI.2).

In particular, we show that, for $\varepsilon \to \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon = \Omega(n^{-\tau})$ for every $\tau > 0^4$, it is optimal to draw the graph

 $^{^3}$ The noise model is assumed to be known, hence the regime $\varepsilon \in [1/2,1]$ can be handled by adding an all-one vector to Y^E .

 $^{^4{\}rm The}$ assumption $\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon=o(1)$ is standard for the synchronization problem in dimension 1.

from the Erdős-Rényi model: The bounds for the necessary condition for a general graph and the IT sufficient condition for the Erdős-Rényi graph match, and they match the SDP bound up to a factor 1/2.

If the noise parameter ε is bounded away from both zero and 1/2, then all conditions imply $d = \Theta(\log(n))$, where d is the expected average node degree: d = (n-1)p (hence, if $p\log(n) \to 0$, we have $\alpha = d/\log(n) + o(1)$ where $\alpha = np/\log(n)$). The factors by which the bounds differ decrease with an increasing noise parameter ε . In fact, since in the noise-free case exact recovery is possible if and only if the graph is connected, which is true for trees with d = 1 and, yet for Erdős-Rényi graphs, only when $d \geq \log(n)$, the factors between the necessary condition and the sufficient conditions approaches infinity when ε decreases to zero (since $D(1/2||\varepsilon)$ diverges).

III. DIRECTIONS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

There are various extensions to consider for the above models, including the generalization to q-ary instead of binary variables and the extension to problems with hyperedges instead of edges as in [2]. Non-binary variables would be particularly interesting for the synchronization problem in higher dimension, where the orientations are quantized to a higher order. There are several extensions that are interesting for the community detection applications. First, it would be important to investigate non-symmetric noise models, i.e., noise models that are non-additive. Then, it would be interesting to study partial (as opposed to exact) recovery for sparse graphs with constant degrees, and to incorporate constraints on the size of the communities. Finally, one could consider other ensembles for the base-graph. In the full version of this paper [1], the spectral gap of the basegraph is used to analyze the threshold behaviour for any deterministic graph.

IV. RELATED WORK

While writing this paper we became aware of various exciting related work that was being independently developed:

A similar exact recovery sufficient condition, as (20) for the SDP, was independently obtained by Huang and Guibas [16] in the context of consistent shape map estimation (see Theorem 5.1. in [16]). Their analysis goes on to show, essentially, that as long as the probability of a wrong edge is a constant strictly smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$, the probability of exact recovery converges to 1 as the size of the graph is arbitrarily large. In the context of our particular problem, that claim was also shown in [26]. Later, this analysis was improved by Chen, Huang, and Guibas [9] and, when restricted to our setting, it includes guarantees on the rates at which this phase transition happens. However, these rates are, to be the best of our knowledge, only optimal up to polylog factors. On the other hand, we are able to show near tight rates. For a given ϵ that is arbitrarily close to $\frac{1}{2}$ we give an essentially-tight bound (off by at most a factor of 2) on the size of the graph and edge density needed for exact recovery

(Theorem VI.2). On the information theoretical side, both converse and direct guarantees were independently obtained by Chen and Goldsmith [8]. However, while considering a more general problem, the results they obtain are only optimal up to polylog factors.

V. Information Theoretic Bounds

This section presents necessary and sufficient conditions for exact recovery of the vertex-variables x^V from the edge-variables Y^E . We require that ML-decoding recover the vertex-variables x^V up to an unavoidable additive offset $\phi \in \{0^V, 1^V\}$ with some probability that converges to 1 as the number of vertices approaches infinity.

Notation: All logarithms have base e, and we denote by $D(1/2||\varepsilon|) = 1/2\log(1/(2\varepsilon)) + 1/2\log(1/(2(1-\varepsilon)))$ the Kullback-Leibler divergence between 1/2 and ε and by $h_b(q) = q\log(1/q) + (1-q)\log(1/(1-q))$ the entropy (in nats) of a binary random variable that assumes the value 1 with probability $q \in [0,1]$.

A. A Necessary Condition for Successful Recovery

For each graph G=(V,E) (drawn from the Erdős-Rényi model or not), the following result holds:

Theorem V.1. Necessary conditions for exact recovery with ML are: Let $0 < \tau < 2/3$ and d denote the average node degree. If $d \le 2n^{\tau}$, then

$$d \ge \frac{1 - 3\tau/2}{D(1/2||\varepsilon|)} \log n + o\left(\frac{\log n}{D(1/2||\varepsilon|)}\right).$$
 (5)

If $\varepsilon \to 1/2$, this implies for $(1-2\varepsilon) \ge n^{-\tau/2}$

$$d \ge 2\frac{1 - 3\tau/2}{\left(1 - 2\varepsilon\right)^2} \log n + o\left(\frac{\log n}{\left(1 - 2\varepsilon\right)^2}\right). \tag{6}$$

Proof: Let m denote the number of edges $m = \frac{dn}{2}$. Fix a vertex v_i , and let \mathcal{E}_i denote the event that the variables attached to at least half of the edges that are incident to Vertex v_i are noisy. As we argue next, if event \mathcal{E}_i occurs, then ML-decoding recovers vertex-variables other than x^V or $x^V \oplus 1^V$ with probability at least 1/2. Indeed, if ML-decoding correctly recovers the vertex-variables that are attached to the vertices adjacent to v_i up to a global additive offset $\phi \in \{0,1\}$, then—by assumption that event \mathcal{E}_i occurs—the probability that ML-decoding recovers x_i with offset $\phi \oplus 1$ is at least 1/2. In particular, this implies that ML-decoding can only be successful if the event $\bigcap_{v_i \in V} \mathcal{E}_i^c$ occurs. Let Q be a subset of [n] such that no two vertices with indices in $\mathcal Q$ are adjacent. Since the noise Z^E is drawn IID, the events $\left\{\mathcal{E}_{j}\right\}_{j\in\mathcal{Q}}$ are independent and the probability of the event $\bigcap_{j\in\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{E}^c_j$ is easily computable. Moreover, the event $\bigcap_{j\in[n]}\mathcal{E}^c_j$ can only occur if $\bigcap_{j\in\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{E}^c_j$ occurs. A necessary condition for exact recovery thus is that the probability of the event $\bigcap_{i\in\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{E}_i^c$ converges to one as the number of vertices increases. In the following, we prove the claim by identifying a set Q and upper-bounding the probability of the event $\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{E}_i^c$.

Let $\deg(v_j)$ be the degree of Vertex v_j , and assume w.l.g. $\deg(v_1) \leq \deg(v_2) \leq \ldots \leq \deg(v_n)$. For every $0 < \delta \leq 1$

$$2m \ge \sum_{j=\lceil \delta n \rceil}^{n} \deg(v_j) \ge \lceil (1-\delta) \, n \rceil \, \deg(v_{\lceil \delta n \rceil}) \,. \tag{7}$$

For $j \leq \lceil \delta n \rceil$, we therefore find

$$\deg(v_j) \le \deg(v_{\lceil \delta n \rceil}) \le \frac{2m}{\lceil (1-\delta) \, n \rceil} \le \frac{2m}{(1-\delta) \, n}. \quad (8)$$

This implies that for every set $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{1, \dots, \lceil \delta n \rceil\}$, the vertices $\{v_j : j \in \mathcal{L}\}$ are disconnected from at least

$$\lceil \delta n \rceil - |\mathcal{L}| \left(1 + \frac{2m}{(1-\delta)n} \right)$$
 (9)

vertices in the set $\{v_j \colon j \leq \lceil \delta n \rceil\}$. In particular, there is a set $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \lceil \lceil \delta n \rceil$ such that no two distinct vertices with indices in the set \mathcal{Q} are adjacent and such that

$$|\mathcal{Q}| \ge \frac{\lceil \delta n \rceil}{1 + \frac{2m}{(1 - \delta)n}} \ge \frac{\delta (1 - \delta) n^2}{2m + (1 - \delta)n}.$$
 (10)

If $j \leq \lceil \delta n \rceil$, then one can show that

$$\Pr[\mathcal{E}_j] \ge e^{-\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)\frac{2m}{(1-\delta)n}\right) - \log(2) - \frac{2mD(1/2||\varepsilon|)}{(1-\delta)n}}.$$
 (11)

Since the events $\{\mathcal{E}_i^c : j \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ are jointly independent,

$$\Pr\left[\bigcap_{j\in\mathcal{Q}}\mathcal{E}_{j}^{c}\right] = \prod_{j\in\mathcal{Q}} \left(1 - \Pr[\mathcal{E}_{j}]\right) \\
\stackrel{a)}{\leq} e^{-\sum_{j\in\mathcal{Q}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\log\left(\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)\frac{2m}{(1-\delta)n}\right) - \log(2) - \frac{2mD(1/2||\varepsilon|)}{(1-\delta)n}} \\
\stackrel{b)}{\leq} e^{-e^{\log\left(\frac{\delta(1-\delta)n^{2}}{4m+2(1-\delta)n}\sqrt{\frac{(1-\delta)n}{2m}}\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}}\right) - \frac{2mD(1/2||\varepsilon|)}{(1-\delta)n}}}, (12)$$

where a) holds since $1-x \le e^{-x}$ for $x \ge 0$ and because of (11), and b) is due to (10). To conclude, take $\delta = \log(n)^{-1}$, and observe that for $m/n \le n^{\tau}$, where $\tau < 2/3$, the RHS of (12) is bounded away from 1 unless (5) holds.

B. A Sufficient Condition for Successful Recovery

We next present a sufficient condition for exact recovery. For a random base-graph G=(V,E) from the Erdős-Rényi model, we require the vertex-variables x^V to be recoverable from the edge-variables Y^E except with some probability that vanishes as the number of vertices increases.

Theorem V.2. If the base-graph is from the Erdős-Rényi model ER(n,p) with $p > 2\log(n)/n$, then the condition

$$d \ge \frac{1}{\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{\log(n)}{m/n}}\right) D(1/2 || \varepsilon)} \log(n) + o\left(\frac{\log(n)}{D(1/2 || \varepsilon)}\right)$$
(13)

is sufficient for exact recovery. If $\epsilon \to 1/2$ the condition is

$$d \ge \frac{2}{\left(1 - 2\epsilon\right)^2} \log(n) + o\left(\frac{\log(n)}{\left(1 - 2\epsilon\right)^2}\right). \tag{14}$$

Proof: One can prove the theorem using that ML-decoding succeeds if every tuple $\tilde{x}^V \notin \{x^V, x^V \oplus 1^V\}$ satisfies $d_H(Y^E, B_G \tilde{x}^V) > d_H(Y^E, B_G x^V)$.

VI. COMPUTATIONALLY EFFICIENT RECOVERY - THE

In this section we analyze a tractable method to recover x^V from the noisy measurements Y^E based in semidefinite programming. Ideally, one would like to find the maximum likelihood estimator $x^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{x_i \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} 1_{\left\{x_i \neq y_{(i,j)} \oplus x_j\right\}}$. By defining the $\{\pm 1\}$ -valued variables $g_i = (-1)^{x_i}$ and the coefficients $\rho_{ij} = (-1)^{y_{(i,j)}}$, the ML problem is reformulated as

$$\min_{g_i \in \{\pm 1\}} \sum_{(i,j) \in E} |g_i - \rho_{ij} g_j|^2.$$
 (15)

This problem is known to be NP-hard in general (in fact, it is easy to see that it can encode Max-Cut). In what follows, we will describe and analyze a tractable algorithm, which was first proposed in [22] to approximate the solution of (15). We will state conditions under which the algorithm is able to recover the vertex-variables x^V . The idea is to consider a natural semidefinite relaxation. Other properties of this SDP have been studied in [4], [5].

Let W_1 be the $n \times n$ matrix whose (i,j)-th entry is equal to ρ_{ij} if $(i,j) \in E$ and 0 otherwise. Problem (15) has the same solutions as $\max_{g_i \in \{\pm 1\}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[W_1 g g^T \right]$, which in turn is equivalent to

$$\max \ \operatorname{Tr}\left[W_1X\right] \tag{16}$$
 s.t. $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ X_{ii} = 1 \ \forall i, \ X \succeq 0, \ \operatorname{Rank}(X) = 1.$

(Given the optimal rank 1 solution X of (16), $g_i = (-1)^{x_i}$ is the only non-trivial eigenvector of X.) As the rank constraint is non-convex, we consider the following convex relaxation

$$\max \text{Tr}[W_1 X]$$
 s.t. $X_{ii} = 1, X \succeq 0.$ (17)

Note that (17) is an SDP and can be solved, up to arbitrary precision, in polynomial time [25]. Note that a solution of (17) need not be rank 1 and thus need not be a solution of (16). However, we will show that under certain conditions (17) recovers the same optimal solution as (16). In this case, $g_i = (-1)^{x_i}$ is the only non-trivial eigenvector of X and x^V can be recovered via the tractable problem (17).

Notation: Recall that G is the underlying graph on n nodes, and let H be the subgraph representing the incorrect edges (corresponding to $Z_{(i,j)}=1$). Let A_G , A_H , D_G , D_H , L_G , and L_H be, respectively, the adjencacy, degree, and Laplacian matrices of the graphs G and H.

As in [22], we assume w.l.o.g. that $x^V \equiv 0$ so that $g \equiv 1$ meaning that $W_1 = A_G - 2A_H$. Our objective is then to understand when $X = 11^T$ is the unique optimal solution to (17) for $W_1 = A_G - 2A_H$. The dual of the SDP is

min Tr(Z) s.t. Z diagonal,
$$Z - (A_G - 2A_H) \succeq 0$$
. (18)

Duality guarantees that the objective value of (17) cannot exceed that of (18). Thus, if there exists Z, feasible solution of (18), such that $\text{Tr}(Z) = \text{Tr}\left[(A_G - 2A_H) \, 11^T\right]$, then $X = 11^T$ is an optimal solution of (17). Moreover, Z and 11^T have to satisfy complementary slackness: $\text{Tr}(11^T(Z -$

 $(A_G-2A_H)))=0$. Given these constraints, a natural candidate is $Z=D_G-2D_H$. Indeed, it is easy to see that ${\rm Tr}(D_G-2D_H)={\rm Tr}\left[(A_G-2A_H)\,11^T\right]$. Hence, if

$$L_G - 2L_H = D_G - 2D_H - (A_G - 2A_H) \succeq 0,$$
 (19)

i.e., the dual variable satisfies the PSD constraint), then 11^T must be an optimal solution of (17). Additionally, if $L_G - 2L_H$ is not only PSD but also its second smallest eigenvalue is non-zero, then it is not difficult to show that 11^T is the unique optimal solution. We have thus shown:

Lemma VI.1. If

$$L_G - 2L_H \succeq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_2(L_G - 2L_H) > 0,$$
 (20)

then gg^T is the unique solution to (17).

A. Erdős-Rényi Model

We now assume that the underlying graph is drawn from the Erdős-Rényi model $\mathrm{ER}(n,p)$ and use condition (20) to give guarantees for exact recovery. For each pair of vertices i < j, let Λ_{ij} be the matrix that is 1 in the entries (i,i) and (j,j), -1 in the entries (i,j) and (j,i), and 0 elsewhere. Observe that $\Lambda_{ij} \succeq 0$, and $L_G = \sum_{i < j: (i,j) \in E} \Lambda_e$. Let α_{ij} be the random variable that takes the value 0 if Edge (i,j) is not in G, the value 1 if it is in G but not in G, and the value G if it is in G but not in G, and the value G if it is in G but not in G, and the value G if it is in G but not in G, and the value G if it is in G but not in G, and the value G if it is in G but not in G, and the value G if it is in G but not in G is respectively.

In the new notation, $L_G - 2L_H = \sum_{i < j}^1 \alpha_{ij} \Lambda_{ij}$. We define the centered random variables $A_{ij} = (p(1-2\varepsilon) - \alpha_{ij}) \Lambda_{ij}$. For $A = \sum_{i < j} A_{ij}$, we can write $L_G - 2L_H = p(1-2\varepsilon)(nI - \mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T) - A$. Since Λ_{ij} always contains the vector $\mathbf{1}$ in the null-space, (20) is equivalent to $\lambda_{\max}(A) < p(1-2\varepsilon)n$.

We next argue for which values $p, \, \varepsilon$, and n there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Prob}\left[\lambda_{\max}(A) \geq p(1-2\varepsilon)n\right] \leq n^{-\delta}$. To this end, we use the Matrix Bernstein inequality (Theorem 1.4 in [24]), which implies $\operatorname{Prob}\left[\lambda_{\max}(A) \geq t\right] \leq n \exp\left(-\frac{t^2/2}{\sigma^2 + Rt/3}\right)$, where $\sigma^2 = \left\|\sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E} A_{ij}^2\right\|$, with $\|\cdot\|$ denoting the spectral norm, and $R \geq \lambda_{\max}\left(A_k\right)$. Note that $\sigma^2 = 2np\left[1 - p(1-2\varepsilon)^2\right]$ and $\lambda_{\max}(A_{ij}) \leq 2p(1-2\varepsilon) + 2$. Setting $t = p(1-2\epsilon)n$ gives us the following Theorem.

Theorem VI.2. Let d be the expected average degree. If,

$$d \ge (1+\delta) \left(\frac{4}{(1-2\varepsilon)^2} + \frac{4}{3(1-2\varepsilon)} \right) \log n, \qquad (21)$$

then the SDP achieves exact recovery with probability at least $1 - n^{-\delta}$. When $\epsilon \to \frac{1}{2}$, condition (21) is equivalent to

$$d \ge 4 \frac{(1+\delta)}{(1-2\varepsilon)^2} \log n + o\left(\frac{1}{(1-2\varepsilon)^2}\right) \log n.$$
 (22)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Joel Tropp for insightful discussions regarding [24]. We also thank Yuxin Chen, Andrea Goldsmith, Peter Huang, and Leo Guibas for making their related then unpublished work [8], [9] available to us following the announcement of this paper's results by AJB at a seminar in Stanford University in December 2013.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Abbe, A. S. Bandeira, A. Bracher, and A. Singer, *Decoding binary node labels from censored edge measurements: Phase transition and efficient recovery*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1404.4749.
- [2] E. Abbe and A. Montanari, Conditional random fields, planted constraint satisfaction and entropy concentration, Proc. of RANDOM (Berkeley), 2013, pp. 332–346.
- [3] B. Alexeev, A. S. Bandeira, M. Fickus, and D. G. Mixon, *Phase retrieval with polarization*, SIAM J. on Imaging Sci. 7 (2013), no. 1, 35–66
- [4] N. Alon and A. Naor, Approximating the cut-norm via Grothendieck's inequality, Proc. of the 36 th ACM STOC, 2004, pp. 72–80.
- [5] A. S. Bandeira, C. Kennedy, and A. Singer, Approximating the little grothendieck problem over the orthogonal and unitary groups, Available online at arXiv:1308.5207 [cs.DS] (2013).
- [6] A. S. Bandeira, A. Singer, and D. A. Spielman, A Cheeger inequality for the graph connection Laplacian, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 34 (2013), no. 4, 1611–1630.
- [7] N. Boumal, A. Singer, P.-A. Absil, and V. D. Blondel, Cramér-rao bounds for synchronization of rotations, Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA.
- [8] Y. Chen and A. J. Goldsmith, *Information recovery from pairwise measurements*, to appear in ISIT 2014.
- [9] Y. Chen, Q.-X. Huang, and L. Guibas, Near-optimal joint object matching via convex relaxation, Available Online: arXiv:1402.1473.
- [10] A. Decelle, F. Krzakala, C. Moore, and L. Zdeborová, Asymptotic analysis of the stochastic block model for modular networks and its algorithmic applications, Phys. Rev. E 84, 066106 (2011).
- [11] P. Doreian, V. Batagelj, and A. Ferligoj, Generalized Blockmodeling (Structural Analysis in the Social Sciences), Cambridge University Press, November 2004.
- [12] M.E. Dyer and A.M. Frieze, The solution of some random np-hard problems in polynomial expected time, Journal of Algorithms 10 (1989), no. 4, 451 – 489.
- [13] P. Erdös and A. Rényi, On random graphs, I, Publicationes Mathematicae (Debrecen) 6 (1959), 290–297.
- [14] A. Goldenberg, A. X. Zheng, S. E. Fienberg, and E. M. Airoldi, A survey of statistical network models, Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 2 (2010), no. 2, 129–233.
- [15] P. W. Holland, K. Laskey, and S. Leinhardt, Stochastic blockmodels: First steps, Social Networks 5 (1983), no. 2, 109–137.
- [16] Q.-X. Huang and L. Guibas, Consistent shape maps via semidefinite programming, Computer Graphics Forum 32 (2013), no. 5, 177–186.
- [17] B. Karrer and M. E. J. Newman, Stochastic blockmodels and community structure in networks, Phys. Rev. E 83 (2011), 016107.
- [18] F. McSherry, Spectral partitioning of random graphs, FOCS '01: Proceedings of the 42nd IEEE symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 2001, p. 529.
- [19] E. Mossel, J. Neeman, and A. Sly, Stochastic Block Models and Reconstruction, (2012), arXiv:1202.1499 [math.PR].
- [20] K. Raj Kumar, P. Pakzad, A.H. Salavati, and A. Shokrollahi, *Phase transitions for mutual information*, Turbo Codes and Iterative Information Processing (ISTC), 2010 6th International Symposium on, 2010, pp. 137–141.
- [21] A. Shokrollahi, Lt-codes and phase transitions for mutual information, Information Theoretic Security (Serge Fehr, ed.), Lecture Notes in Comp. Sc., vol. 6673, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 94–99.
- [22] A. Singer, Angular synchronization by eigenvectors and semidefinite programming, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 30 (2011), no. 1, 20 – 36.
- [23] A. M.-C. So, Probabilistic analysis of the semidefinite relaxation detector in digital communications., SODA (Moses Charikar, ed.), SIAM, 2010, pp. 698–711.
- [24] J. A. Tropp, *User-friendly tail bounds for sums of random matrices*, Found. Comput. Math. **12** (2012), no. 4, 389–434.
- [25] L. Vanderberghe and S. Boyd, Semidefinite programming, SIAM Review 38 (1996), 49–95.
- [26] L. Wang and A. Singer, Exact and stable recovery of rotations for robust synchronization, Information and Inference: A Journal of the IMA 2 (2013), no. 2, 145–193.
- [27] H. C. White, S. A. Boorman, and R. L. Breiger, Social structure from multiple networks, American Journal of Sociology 81 (1976), 730–780.