Lab 2: Call-graph algorithms and pointer analysis

In this lab we are venturing out from *intra*-procedural analysis towards *inter*-procedural analysis. In order to provide support for inter-procedural analyses a common datastructure – the call graph – must be constructed. A call graph is a static abstraction of all method calls that may happen at runtime. The nodes in such a graph are methods and the edges are potential calls. As the edges are recorded and stored in any order they do not represent the order in the program anymore. Therefore, a call graph is generally speaking flow-insensitive.

In this lab, we will investigate several call-graph algorithms that all solve the problem of polymorphic call sites with varying precision. Imprecision in the context of a call graph is the number of edges that cannot happen at runtime. For example: A call graph contains edges to two possible methods at a polymorphic call site. The receiver object of the method call at this call site, however, can only be an instance of one specific class, then one edge in the call graph is correct and the other one is incorrect, therefore an imprecision (or overapproximation) in the call graph.

Of course, the Soot framework contains implementations for call graph algorithms. Please refrain from using these implementations in your implementations for this lab. The goal for this lab is that you implement them yourself. We have checks in place that tell us, that you do.

General Instructions

Set up: The code in folder DECALab2 contains a maven project readily set up. To set up your coding environment:

- Make sure that you have any version of Java running on your machine.
- Import the maven project into your favorite development environment.
- To run the test cases, run the project as a Maven build, with the goals set to "clean test".
- Important: Make sure that your test cases run via the command line. To do so, run mvn clean test in the DECALab2 directory.

Project: The project contains:

- four JUnit test classes in the package test.exercises of the folder src/test/java. Your goal is to make all of those test cases pass. Do not change the test cases. Also, it is not advisable to implement a direct fit (e.g., a fixed call graph returning the expected outcomes instead of an algorithm to construct it) to the test cases, as we will grade your implementation.
- target classes in the packages target.exercise*. of the folder src/test/java. These files contain interesting cases for call graph algorithms.
- four classes that represent the algorithms you need to implement here. We added a few classes for data structures and common infrastructure. Do not change the data structure and infrastructure classes, only the algorithm classes. However, you are free to add new classes, whereever you feel the need. All is located in the package analysis of the folder src/main/java.

Submission format: Submit on koaLA a file DECALab2_user1_user2_user3_user4.zip (where user{n} is your koaLA login), containing the project with your solution.

Exercise 1

Implement the Class Hierarchy Analysis (CHA) algorithm into the populateCallGraph method in class CHAAlgorithm.

The CHA algorithm requires a class hierarchy to resolve polymorphic call sites. Start with constructing this data structure.

To identify method calls, have a look at the body of a method where hasActiveBody() returns true. You will see different types of invocations in the units that you may need to treat differently.

The latest documentation from Soot can also help: https://soot-build.cs.uni-paderborn.de/public/origin/develop/soot/soot-develop/jdoc/

(4 points)

Exercise 2

Implement the Rapid Type Analysis (RTA) algorithm into the populateCallGraph method in class RTAAlgorithm.

As RTA only resolves calls to classes that have actually been instantiated. Thus, you first need to determine which classes that are.

(2 points)

Exercise 3

Implement the Variable Type Analysis (VTA) algorithm into the populateCallGraph method in class VTAAlgorithm. Initialize the algorithm with the return from your CHA implementation. We have prepared this in the exercise frame.

When implementing start with implementing your construction of the Type Assignment Graph. You will need that when resolving method calls. Be aware that you only need the graph for invocations that have a target, i.e. not for static method calls. Some implementation advice: Apparently, the equals/hashCode implementation of the FieldRef type is surprising. You may need to compare their signatures instead. (4 points)

Exercise 4

Implement a Spark-like algorithm that constructs points-to sets and takes them into consideration when building the call graph. Fill in your solution into the populateCallGraph method in class SparkLikeAlgorithm.

 $(0\ points\ \hbox{-}\ This\ exercise\ will\ not\ be\ graded.\ But\ it\ is\ a\ good\ way\ to\ strengthen\ your\ insight.)$