Quantum Mechanics III

HW 5

Matthew Phelps

Due: Feb. 22

4.1 Starting from the Liouville-von Neumann equation, show that the property " ρ is a pure state" or " ρ is a mixed state" is preserved in the time evolution of a closed system. In particular, if the system is in a mixed state at some point in time, it will always be in a mixed state and can never be described by a state vector.

Form the Liouville-von Neumann equation for ρ^2

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt}\rho^2 = i\hbar(\dot{\rho}\rho + \rho\dot{\rho}) = \{[H, \rho], \rho\}.$$

Now take the trace

$$i\hbar \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{d}{dt}\rho^{2}\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left([H,\rho]\rho\right) + \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho[H,\rho]\right)$$
$$= 2\operatorname{Tr}([H,\rho]\rho)$$
$$= 2\operatorname{Tr}(H\rho^{2}) - 2\operatorname{Tr}(\rho H\rho)$$
$$= 2\operatorname{Tr}(H\rho^{2}) - 2\operatorname{Tr}(H\rho^{2})$$
$$= 0.$$

From $\text{Tr}(\frac{d}{dt}(\rho^2)) = 0$, we have for an infinitesimal variation in time δt

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\rho^2(t+\delta t)-\rho^2(t)}{\delta t}\right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2(t+\delta t)) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2(t)) \quad \forall t.$$

Hence, $\text{Tr}(\rho^2)$ is invariant under infinitesimal variations in time and so, for any reasonable smooth function $\rho^2(t)$,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2(t_0)) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho^2(t)) \quad \forall t.$$

Thus a pure state with $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) = 1$ will remain pure and a mixed state with $\text{Tr}(\rho^2) \neq 1$ will remain mixed.

4.3 Imagine two spins prepared in a singlet state

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow\rangle_1 |\downarrow\rangle_2 - |\downarrow\rangle_1 |\uparrow\rangle_2).$$

The spins are then transported far apart, but so that the entangled state is preserved, and the value of the first spin is measured ($|\downarrow\rangle$ or $|\uparrow\rangle$). Assume that the measurement result is not known at the site of the second spin, for instance because at the time the site is outside the light cone of the measurement. What is the effect of the measurement on the state of the other spin?

Denote the projective measurements of up or down acting on spin system 1 as

$$P_{1\uparrow} = \sum_{n=\uparrow,\downarrow} |\uparrow\rangle_1 |n\rangle_2 \langle n|_2 \langle\uparrow|_1$$

$$P_{1\downarrow} = \sum_{n=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left|\downarrow\right\rangle_1 \left|n\right\rangle_2 \left\langle n\right|_2 \left\langle\downarrow\right|_1.$$

The probabilities of such measurements giving us up or down spin in system 1 are

$$P(\uparrow_1) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho P_{1\uparrow}) \stackrel{\text{pure state}}{=} \langle \psi | P_{1\uparrow} | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \psi | (|\uparrow\rangle_1 | \downarrow\rangle_2) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and similarly,

$$P(\downarrow_1) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho P_{1\downarrow}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

After a measurement on system 1 is made, the state transforms to

$$\rho \to \rho' = \sum_{k=\uparrow_1,\downarrow_1} P(k)\rho_k$$

where

$$\rho_k = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_1(P_k \rho P_k)}{P(k)}.$$

Earlier we showed that

$$P_{1\uparrow} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left| \uparrow \right\rangle_1 \left| \downarrow \right\rangle_2$$

and since $P_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} = P_{1\uparrow}$

$$P_{1\uparrow} \left| \psi \right\rangle \left\langle \psi \right| P_{1\uparrow} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \uparrow \right\rangle_1 \left| \downarrow \right\rangle_2 \left\langle \downarrow \right|_2 \left\langle \uparrow \right|_1.$$

Similarly,

$$P_{1\downarrow}\left|\psi\right\rangle \left\langle \psi\right|P_{1\downarrow}=\frac{1}{2}\left|\downarrow\right\rangle_{1}\left|\uparrow\right\rangle_{2}\left\langle \uparrow\right|_{2}\left\langle \downarrow\right|_{1}.$$

Thus

$$\rho_{\uparrow_1} = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}_1(P_{1\uparrow}\rho P_{1\uparrow})}{P(\uparrow_1)} = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{n=\uparrow,\downarrow} \left\langle n|_1 \left(|\downarrow\rangle_1 \left|\uparrow\right\rangle_2 \left\langle\uparrow\right|_2 \left\langle\downarrow\right|_1\right) |n\rangle_1 = |\uparrow\rangle_2 \left\langle\uparrow\right|_2$$

similarly

$$\rho_{\downarrow_1} = |\downarrow\rangle_2 \, \langle\downarrow|_2 \, .$$

Therefore the new state after measurement is

$$\rho' = \frac{1}{2} \left(|\uparrow\rangle_2 \left\langle \uparrow|_2 + |\downarrow\rangle_2 \left\langle \downarrow|_2 \right) \right.$$

Without knowing the outcome of measurement on system 1, the state of the system 2 transforms into a mixed ensemble of equal probabilities for being in state spin up/down.

4.4 (a) Suppose the observables A and B commute. Denote by P_a and P_b the orthogonal projections to the subspaces associated with the eigenvalues a of A and b of B. Show that $[P_a, P_b] = 0$.

Define the operators A and B in terms of their respective projectors and eigenvalues

$$A = \sum_{a} a P_a; \qquad B = \sum_{b} b P_b$$

where here we are summing over all the possible eigenvalues a and b (respectively). For

$$[A, B] = 0$$

we have

$$\sum_{a,b} ab[P_a, P_b] = 0$$

To retain the equality for general operators A and B the commutator must vanish identically

$$[P_a, P_b] = 0.$$

(b) Think of projective measurements of the observables A and B in succession. Show that the probability to obtain the (eigen)value a for A and b for B is the same whether you measure A first and then B, or B first and then A.

This motivates the saying that commuting observables may be measured simultaneously. Note the relation between joint and conditional probabilities of events E_1 and E_2 , $P(E_1, E_2) = P(E_2|E_1)P(E_1)$.

Let's measure A first. The probability of measuring eigenvalue a in an arbitrary state ρ is given by

$$P(a) = \text{Tr}(\rho P_a).$$

Upon measurement, the state transforms into

$$\rho \to \tilde{\rho} = \sum_{a} P(a) \rho_a$$

where

$$\rho_a = \frac{P_a \rho P_a}{P(a)}.$$

This simplifies to

$$\tilde{\rho} = \sum_{a} P_a \rho P_a.$$

However, this is only true if we discard the result of measurement A. When an actual measurement is made that gives us the eigenvalue a, the state must transform specifically to

$$\rho \to \rho' = P_a \rho P_a$$

and the probability of obtaining such a result is $P(a) = \text{Tr}(\rho P_a)$. Now we make a measurement of B. The probability of measuring eigenvalue b is then

$$P(b) = \text{Tr}(\rho' P_b) = \text{Tr}(P_a \rho P_a P_b).$$

Since the measurement of B cannot affect the result of prior measurement A (the state has already collapsed), the probabilities are independent and the joint probability is given by the product

$$P_{(a,b)} = P(a)P(b) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho P_a)\operatorname{Tr}(P_a\rho P_a P_b)$$
.

Similarly, the probability of measuring b then a is

$$P_{(b,a)} = P(b)P(a) = \operatorname{Tr}(\rho P_b)\operatorname{Tr}(P_b\rho P_b P_a)$$

Using the cyclic property of the trace, the projector property $P_n^2 = P_n$, and $[P_a, P_b] = 0$ we may rearrange each result

$$P_{(a,b)} = \text{Tr}(\rho P_a)\text{Tr}(\rho P_b) = \text{Tr}(\rho P_b)\text{Tr}(\rho P_a) = P_{(b,a)}$$

Thus the probabilities are the same in either order and so a pair of commuting observables cannot influence one another.

4.8 (a) Suppose E is a positive operator with the property that $\langle \psi | E | \psi \rangle \leq 1$ for every normalized state $|\psi\rangle$. Show that under these conditions $\langle \psi | E | \psi \rangle = 1$ actually implies $E | \psi \rangle = | \psi \rangle$.

Expand $|\psi\rangle$ in an orthonormal basis $\{|n\rangle\}$ and take the inner product $\langle\psi|E|\psi\rangle$

$$\langle \psi | E | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \left(E \sum_{n} \langle n | \psi \rangle | n \rangle \right) = \sum_{n} \langle \psi | E | n \rangle \langle n | \psi \rangle = 1.$$

The sum of the expansion coefficients of $|\psi\rangle$ in the $\{|n\rangle\}$ basis must also add to unity, so

$$\sum_{n} |\langle \psi | n \rangle|^{2} = 1 = \sum_{n} \langle \psi | E | n \rangle \langle n | \psi \rangle.$$

Thus we have the relation

$$\langle \psi | E | n \rangle = \langle \psi | n \rangle$$
.

For this to hold for any choice of orthonormal basis $\{|n\rangle\}$, E must be the identity operator

$$E |\psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle$$
.

(b) Take two (of course, normalized) states $|\psi\rangle_1$ and $|\psi\rangle_2$. Assume that it is possible to construct an experiment that can distinguish between these states without fail. A moment of thought will show that then a POVM $\{E_1, E_2\}$ must exist with the properties that $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1$, $\langle \psi_2 | E_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 0$, $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 0$, and $\langle \psi_2 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle = 1$. Starting from this observation, show that $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ must be orthogonal. Hint: Considering an arbitrary superposition $|V\rangle = \lambda_1 |\psi_1\rangle + \lambda_2 |\psi_2\rangle$ may be helpful.

For $\langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = 1$, we have from part (a) that $E_1 | \psi_1 \rangle = | \psi_1 \rangle$ and similarly for E_2 . Also, as a POVM (with no missing measurements) we have $E_1 + E_2 = 1$. Take the state $|V\rangle$ as defined above to be normalized: $|\lambda_1|^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 = 1$. Now form

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi_1 | V \rangle &= \langle \psi_1 | (E_1 + E_2) | V \rangle \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \, \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle &= \lambda_1 \, \langle \psi_1 | E | \psi_1 \rangle + \lambda_2 \, \langle \psi_1 | E_1 | \psi_2 \rangle + \lambda_1 \, \langle \psi_1 | E_2 | \psi_1 \rangle + \lambda_2 \, \langle \psi_1 | E_2 | \psi_2 \rangle \end{split}$$

Using the hermiticity (POVM) of E_i and $E_i | \psi_i \rangle = | \psi_i \rangle$ we may reduce the above to

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle + 2\lambda_2 \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle$$
$$\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 2 \langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle$$

This above line may only be true if

$$\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle = 0$$

i.e. $|\psi\rangle_1$ and $|\psi\rangle_2$ are orthogonal.