GEOG 432/832: Programming, Scripting, and Automation for GIS

Week 03.02: More geoprocessing in Python

Dr. Bitterman

Today's schedule

- Open discussion
- Final project introduction
- Discussion and exercises
- For next class

Open discussion

Tools and parameters

- In arcpy, geoprocessing tools are referred to by name
- Tool name != tool label (but often similar-ish)... (e.g., the name of the Add Field tool in the Data Management toolbox is AddField in ArcPy)

- references to a tool also require the toolbox alias... why?
- Multiple tools (in different tool boxes) have the same name
- Multiple Clip tools
 - Analysis toolbox (i.e., Clip)
 - Data Management toolbox (i.e., Clip Raster, but the name in ArcPy is Clip)
- Further, the toolbox alias != the name or the label of the toolbox
 - e.g., the alias of the Data Management toolbox is "management"

Accessing tools

Two ways to access a tool in Python/arcpy

1. Call its corresponding function

- All geoprocessing tools are available as functions in arcpy
- The syntax for calling a tool by its function is;

```
arcpy.<toolname_toolboxalias>(<parameters>)
```

For example, the following code runs the Clip tool:

```
import arcpy
arcpy.env.workspace = "C:/Data"
arcpy.Clip_analysis("streams.shp", "study.shp", "result.shp")
```

2. Use the modules that match the toolbox alias

The syntax is:

```
arcpy.<toolboxalias>.<toolname>(<parameters>)
```

For example, this code ALSO runs the Clip tool:

```
import arcpy
arcpy.env.workspace = "C:/Data"
arcpy.analysis.Clip("streams.shp", "study.shp", "result.shp")
```

So, which to use?

- Whichever you want!
- Both are correct, simply a matter of preference

Reminders:

- Python is case sensitive. "Clip" is correct, "clip" is wrong you will get an error
- Whitespace matters... until it doesn't
- x=3 is the same as x=3
- BUT, env. workspace is incorrect (notice the space)
- Don't include spaces between modules, functions, classes, methods, and properties
- Don't include spaces between functions and their arguments, so
 <toolname>(<parameters>) is correct, <toolname> (<parameters>) is wrong

That said...

From your book...

Note: The use of extra spaces does not always result in an error, and Python is relatively robust. For example, using <code>env.workspace</code> (with a space in between) does not produce an error, nor does <code>arcpy_analysis_Clip()</code>. In general, however, it is good practice not to add any extra spaces.

- Just follow good practices
- "Official" Python style guide: https://www.python.org/dev/peps/pep-0008/
- Google's guide: https://google.github.io/styleguide/pyguide.html

Getting the syntax right

- We've used some tools/functions already
- But we've been a bit light on formalization

Breaking it down

- All geoprocessing tools (and really, most functions in general) have parameters
 - Some are required
 - Others are options

Can we think of any examples of required/optional we've seen so far?

Using parameters

• Parameter: the variable listed inside the parentheses in the function definition

Parameters have properties

- Name: a unique name for each tool parameter
- Type: the type of data expected, such as feature class, integer, string, or raster
- **Direction**: whether the parameter defines input or output values
- Required: whether a value must be provided for a parameter or is optional

How do we know what parameters are required by a function/tool?

Yup, go to the docs

Parameter	Explanation	Data Type
in_features	The features to be clipped.	Feature Layer
clip_features	The features used to clip the input features.	Feature Layer
out_feature_class	The feature class to be created.	Feature Class
cluster_tolerance (Optional)	The minimum distance separating all feature coordinates as well as the distance a coordinate can move in X or Y (or both). Set the value to be higher for data with less coordinate accuracy and lower for data with extremely high accuracy.	Linear unit

The example of Clip

- The Clip tool has 4 parameters, including the optional (cluster_tolerance)
- The syntax is: Clip(in_features, clip_features, out_feature_class, {cluster_tolerance})
- First, the **name** of the Clip tool
- Then, parameters inside parens
- Params are separated by commas,
- Optional params are in curly braces { }

More formalization

- Input dataset(s) usually go first typically prefixed by "in_" (e.g., in_data, in_table)
- Output dataset(s) (if there is one), prefixed by "out_" (e.g., out_features)
- Then required params (e.g., **buffer distance**)
- Then optional parms last (so they're easier to omit if not needed)
- usually, but not always

Comparing tools (buffer vs. clip)

Let's take a look at some code:

Buffer documentation

```
Buffer(in_features, out_feature_class,
    buffer_distance_or_field, {line_side},
    {line_end_type}, {dissolve_option}, {dissolve_field})
```

actual use

```
import arcpy
arcpy.env.workspace = "C:/Data/study.gdb"
arcpy.Buffer_analysis("roads", "buffer", "100 METERS")
```

- What did the code do?
- What if you wanted to specify disolve_option but no other optional parameters?

Setting optional parameters

Multiple ways of accomplishing this task

- Empty string: ""
- Number sign (octothorpe) in a string: "#"
- Using None: None
- Be explicit

Examples:

A quick diversion...

What is "None"?

- A Python keyword
- Means null or "no value"
- Can also mean "unknown" (e.g., in a database)

Let's try it

Paired exercise

(open ArcGIS Pro and your project from last class)

Setup

• So far, we've using the actual file names as parameters:

For example:

```
arcpy.Clip_analysis("State_Park_Locations.shp", "lancaster_county.shp", "myFirstOutput.shp")
```

• This is called "hard coding" the parameters

Possible downsides?

When might hard coding be useful?

Let's be more flexible

- 1. Create new varibles for each of the parameters and assign the hard-coded values to those variables. For example, inFc = "State_Park_Locations.shp"
- 2. Then replace the parameters with the variable names

How did it go?

What does your code look like?

```
inFc = "State_Park_Locations.shp"
clipFc = "lancaster_county.shp"
outputFc = "myFirstOutput.shp"
arcpy.Clip_analysis(inFc, clipFc, outputFc)
```

something like that?

Some additional info and further abstraction

- Variables do **NOT** need to have the same name as the parameters
- You can use any valid variable name, but it's good practice to use *meaningful* names

Have we removed all "hard coding" from our script(s)?

Why or why not?

Taking and using user input (still in ArcGIS Pro)

- our dataset names of the datasets are still hard-coded in the script
- we can have the values of the variables provided by a user or another script or tool
- The following runs the Copy tool, with input and output feature classes obtained from user input using the arcpy GetParameterAsText() function:

```
import arcpy #not needed if using ArcGIS Pro directly
infc = arcpy.GetParameterAsText(0)
outfc = arcpy.GetParameterAsText(1)
arcpy.Copy_management(infc, outfc)
```

Try it - what happened?

Why?

What does GetParameterAsText() do?

Did you prompt the user for input?:)

- Setting tool parameters based on user input is a common task
- Using variables --> more flexibility AND reusability

More practice

• The "week03inclass.zip" data I provided has multiple feature classes

Create a new code chunk that:

```
    uses at least 2 of these files
    uses at least 2 tools, one of which is **NOT** ```Clip_analysis```
    Uses variable names to abstract your code and avoid hard coding in parameters
```

If we have time, we'll discuss what you did at the end of class

Results

- arcpy tools return their results as a Result object
- But what if the tool writes to disk? (then the Result contains the *path* to the dataset)
- But for everything else, you get an output... of what type?
 - String
 - Numeric
 - Boolean
- Contains: the output AND messages AND parameters

Textbook example

```
import arcpy
arcpy.env.workspace = "C:/Data"
mycount = arcpy.GetCount_management("streams.shp")
print(mycount)
```

mycount prints as 3153

and

prints the path to the result.shp

Results are really just like any other object

Use it as the input to another function

```
import arcpy
arcpy.env.workspace = "C:/Data/study.gdb"
buffer = arcpy.Buffer_analysis("str", "str_buf", "100 METERS")
count = arcpy.GetCount_management(buffer)
print(count)
```

Why is this useful? Recall ModelBuilder

- We can create a chain of geoprocessing operations
- And only the final desired output is returned to the application that called the script
- The Result object *also* has properties and methods
- Some tools have 1 output, other have >1. How would you know what the output is?
- The getOutput() method of the Result object can obtain a specific output by using an index number, as follows:

```
count = arcpy.GetCount_management(buffer).getOutput(0)
# The outputs are also indexed, so you can also use an index number directly to obtain a specific result:
count = arcpy.GetCount_management(buffer)[0]
```

Note: For tools that have only a single output, including the GetCount tool, no need to use getOutput() or an index number

Let's try it

- Open your ArcGIS Pro project again
- Modify the following code (from earlier) to assign the result to a variable, then check the contents of the variable:

```
inFc = "State_Park_Locations.shp"
clipFc = "lancaster_county.shp"
outputFc = "myFirstOutput.shp"
arcpy.Clip_analysis(inFc, clipFc, outputFc)
```

How did it go?

Let's put it together

With the feature classes provided to you, write code that counts the number of state park locations in Lancaster County.

• Then print that value to the console with a message similar to There are ______state parks in Lancaster county

Optional exercises:

- 1. Calculate the length of streams in Sarpy county. Print the value to the console with a reasonable message as before.
- 2. How many counties in Nebraska have more than 1 state park within their boundaries? How many have zero?
- 3. Check whether the CRS of the State_Park_Locations and lancaster_county files are equivalent
- 4. What is the area of Lancaster County? In which units?

For next class

- Readings
 - Chapter 5 (if you haven't already)
 - Wilson et al. 2020. Come with at least one (more is ok/great) discussion questions. We are going to discuss the paper as in a seminar
- Practice!
- Lab 01 is due next week