Language Technology
http://cs.lth.se/edan20/
Chapter 8: Part-of-Speech Tagging Using Stochastic Techniques

Pierre Nugues

Pierre.Nugues@cs.lth.se
http://cs.lth.se/pierre_nugues/

September 16, 2021

Training Set

Part-of-speech taggers use a training set where every word is hand-annotated (Penn Treebank and CoNLL 2008).

Index	Word	Hand annotation	Index	Word	Hand annotation
1	Battle	JJ	19	of	IN
2	-	HYPH	20	their	PRP$
3	tested	JJ	21	countrymen	NNS
4	Japanese	JJ	22	to	TO
5	industrial	JJ	23	visit	VB
6	managers	NNS	24	Mexico	NNP
7	here	RB	25	,	,
8	always	RB	26	a	DT
9	buck	VBP	27	boatload	NN
10	up	RP	28	of	IN
11	nervous	JJ	29	samurai	FW
12	newcomers	NNS	30	warriors	NNS
13	with	IN	31	blown	VBN
14	the	DT	32	ashore	RB
15	tale	NN	33	375	CD
16	of	IN	34	years	NNS
17	the	DT	35	ago	RB
18	first	JJ	36	.	.

Part-of-Speech Tagging with Linear Classifiers

Linear classifiers are efficient devices to carry out part-of-speech tagging:

1. The lexical values are the input data to the tagger.
2. The parts of speech are assigned from left to right by the tagger.

ID	FORM	PPOS	
	BOS	BOS	**Padding**
	BOS	BOS	
1	Battle	NN	
2	-	HYPH	
3	tested	NN	
...	...	...	
17	the	DT	
18	first	JJ	
19	of	IN	
20	their	PRP$	
21	countrymen	NNS	**Input features**
22	to	TO	
23	**visit**	VB	**Predicted tag**
24	Mexico		↓
25	,		
26	a		
27	boatload		
...	...	...	
34	years		
35	ago		
36	.		
	EOS		**Padding**
	EOS		



Feed-Forward Structure

As input, the classifier uses: $(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2})$ to predict the part-of-speech tag t_i at index i.
Here:
(countrymen, to, visit, Mexico, ",")
to predict VB

ID	FORM	PPOS	
	BOS	BOS	**Padding**
	BOS	BOS	
1	Battle	NN	
2	-	HYPH	
3	tested	NN	
...	...	...	
17	the	DT	
18	first	JJ	
19	of	IN	
20	their	PRP$	
21	countrymen	NNS	**Input features**
22	to	TO	
23	**visit**	VB	**Predicted tag**
24	Mexico		↓
25	,		
26	a		
27	boatload		
...	...	...	
34	years		
35	ago		
36	.		
	EOS		**Padding**
	EOS		



Feed Forward (Binary)



Logistic function

Feed Forward (Multinomial)



Softmax

Feature Vectors

ID	Feature vectors: X					PPOS: $\hat{y}$
	w_{i-2}	w_{i-1}	w_i	w_{i+1}	w_{i+2}	
1	BOS	BOS	Battle	-	tested	NN
2	BOS	Battle	-	tested	Japanese	HYPH
3	Battle	-	tested	Japanese	industrial	JJ
...	...	...	...	...	...	...
19	the	first	of	their	countrymen	IN
20	first	of	their	countrymen	to	PRP$
21	of	their	countrymen	to	visit	NNS
22	their	countrymen	to	visit	Mexico	TO
23	countrymen	to	visit	Mexico	,	VB
24	to	visit	Mexico	,	a	NNP
25	visit	Mexico	,	a	boatload	,
...	...	...	...	...	...	...
34	ashore	375	years	ago	.	NNS
35	375	years	ago	.	EOS	RB
36	years	ago	.	EOS	EOS	.

Word Encoding: One hot encoding

The feature space is defined by all the word values and a word has one dimension

This is a sparse representation

We use `DictVectorizer()` to encode them:

```
from sklearn.feature_extraction import DictVectorizer
v = DictVectorizer(sparse=False)
X_cat = [{'w_1': 'the', 'w_2': 'first', 'w_3': 'of'},
         {'w_1': 'first', 'w_2': 'of', 'w_3': 'the'},
         {'w_1': 'of', 'w_2': 'the', 'w_3': 'countrymen'},
         {'w_1': 'the', 'w_2': 'countrymen', 'w_3': 'to'}]
X = v.fit_transform(X_cat)
X
array([[0., 0., 1., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0.],
       [1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 1., 0.],
       [0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0.],
       [0., 0., 1., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 1.]])
```

Word Encoding

```
v.transform([{'w_1': 'the', 'w_2': 'of', 'w_3': 'Mexico'}])
array([[0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 1., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0.]])

v.get_feature_names()
['w_1=first',
 'w_1=of',
 'w_1=the',
 'w_2=countrymen',
 'w_2=first',
 'w_2=of',
 'w_2=the',
 'w_3=countrymen',
 'w_3=of',
 'w_3=the',
 'w_3=to']
```

Notebook on GitHub:
ilppp/programs/ch08/python/lr_pos_tagger_simple.ipynb

The RNN Architecture



A simple recurrent neural network; the dashed lines represent trainable connections.

The Unfolded RNN Architecture



The network unfolded in time. Equation used by implementations[1].

$$\mathbf{y}_{(t)} = \tanh(\mathbf{W} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{(t)} + \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{(t-1)} + \mathbf{b})$$

[1] See: https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/nn.html#torch.nn.RNN

Recurrent Structure

As input, the classifier uses:
$(w_{i-2}, w_{i-1}, w_i, w_{i+1}, w_{i+2}, t_{i-2}, t_{i-1})$,
to predict the part-of-speech tag t_i
at index i.
Here:
(countrymen, to, visit, Mexico, ",",
NNS, TO) to predict VB

ID	FORM	PPOS	
	BOS	BOS	**Padding**
	BOS	BOS	
1	Battle	NN	
2	-	HYPH	
3	tested	NN	
...	...	...	
17	the	DT	
18	first	JJ	
19	of	IN	
20	their	PRP$	
21	countrymen	NNS	**Input features**
22	to	TO	
23	**visit**	VB	**Predicted tag**
24	Mexico		↓
25	,		
26	a		
27	boatload		
...	...	...	
34	years		
35	ago		
36	.		
	EOS		**Padding**
	EOS		



Feature Vectors

ID	Feature vectors: X							PPOS: $\hat{y}$
	w_{i-2}	w_{i-1}	w_i	w_{i+1}	w_{i+2}	t_{i-2}	t_{i-1}	
1	BOS	BOS	Battle	-	tested	BOS	BOS	NN
2	BOS	Battle	-	tested	Japanese	BOS	NN	HYPH
3	Battle	-	tested	Japanese	industrial	NN	HYPH	JJ
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
19	the	first	of	their	countrymen	DT	JJ	IN
20	first	of	their	countrymen	to	JJ	IN	PRP$
21	of	their	countrymen	to	visit	IN	PRP$	NNS
22	their	countrymen	to	visit	Mexico	PRP$	NNS	TO
23	countrymen	to	visit	Mexico	,	NNS	TO	VB
24	to	visit	Mexico	,	a	TO	VB	NNP
25	visit	Mexico	,	a	boatload	VB	NNP	,
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
34	ashore	375	years	ago	.	RB	CD	NNS
35	375	years	ago	.	EOS	CD	NNS	RB
36	years	ago	.	EOS	EOS	NNS	RB	.



Dense Word Encoding

One-hot encoding is results in sparse matrices
An alternative is to use dense vectors, for instance from a principal component analysis. Compare the character encodings of:

Sing, O Goddess, the anger...



	S	i	n	g	,		O		g	o	d	d	e	s	s	,		t	h	e		a	n	g	e	r
D1	0.79	2.13	2.36	5.54	,		4.25		5.54	4.25	3.38	3.38	2.1	0.79	0.79	,		3.66	7.89	2.1		2.1	2.36	5.54	2.1	1.88
D2	-1.55	-1.31	1.27	-0.27	,		-0.63		-0.27	-0.63	-0.96	-0.96	-1.26	-1.55	-1.55	,		-0.76	1.75	-1.26		-1.32	1.27	-0.27	-1.26	-1.33

Notebook, where we use GloVe:
`ilppp/programs/ch08/python/lr_pos_tagger_emb.ipynb`

Conditional Random Fields

A tagger produces a sequence of tags, where a given tag obviously depends on the previous ones.
For instance, a preposition cannot follow a determiner
We can model the tag transitions probabilities using **conditional random fields** (CRF)
The simplest form is the **linear chain**.
If $\mathbf{y}$ denotes the output, here a sequence of tags, and $\mathbf{x}$, a sequence of inputs, consisting for instance of the words and the characters, we try to maximize

$$P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}),$$

i.e.

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \arg\max_{\mathbf{y}} P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}).$$

Conditional Random Fields (II)

As we want the output sequence to depend on the input and on previously predicted output, we rewrite $P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})$ as a joint probability, $P(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x})$, and, more precisely, as:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{P(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{x})}{\sum_{\mathbf{y}'\in Y} P(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x})}, \\ &= \frac{\prod \exp(w_1 \cdot f(y_t,\mathbf{x},t)) \cdot \prod \exp(w_2 \cdot f(y_t,y_{t+1}))}{\sum_{\mathbf{y}'\in Y} P(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x})}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{y}'$ denotes a sequence, Y, the set of all the possible sequences, and $\sum_{\mathbf{y}'\in Y} P(\mathbf{y}',\mathbf{x})$ is a normalizing factor to have a sum of probabilities of one

Viterbi (Informal)

Je le donne demain dans la matinée 'I give it tomorrow in the morning'



Viterbi (Informal)

The term brought by the word *demain* has still the memory of the ambiguity of *donne*: $P(adv|verb) \times P(demain|adv)$ and $P(adv|noun) \times P(demain|adv)$.
This is no longer the case with *dans*.
According to the noisy channel model and the bigram assumption, the term brought by the word *dans* is $P(dans|prep) \times P(prep|adv)$.
It does not show the ambiguity of *le* and *donne*.
The subsequent terms will ignore it as well.
We can discard the corresponding paths.
The optimal path does not contain nonoptimal subpaths.

Trellis Representation



Filling the Trellis

$i \backslash \delta$	δ_1	δ_2	δ_3	δ_4	δ_5	δ_6	δ_7	δ_8
PREP	0							
ADV	0							
PRO	0							
VERB	0							
NOUN	0							
ART	0							
<s>	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	<s>	*Je*	*le*	*donne*	*demain*	*dans*	*la*	*matinée*

To fill the δ_3 column, for each cell j, we compute

$$\max_i \; P(j|i) \times P(le|j) \times \delta_2(i).$$

The pronoun cell, for instance, is filled with

$$\max_i \; P(\text{PRO}|i) \times P(le|\text{PRO}) \times \delta_2(i).$$

Supervised Learning: A Summary

Needs a manually annotated corpus called the **Gold Standard**
The Gold Standard may contain errors (*errare humanum est*) that we ignore
A classifier is trained on a part of the corpus, the **training set**, and evaluated on another part, the **test set**, where automatic annotation is compared with the "Gold Standard"
N-fold cross validation is used avoid the influence of a particular division
Some algorithms may require additional optimization on a development set
Classifiers can use statistical or symbolic methods