

Uni IT Security Notes

Felix Pojtinger

July 4, 2021

Contents

Uni IT Security Notes	3
Basics	3
Security Mindset	3
Aspects of IT Security	4
Security Objectives	4
Safety vs. Security	4
Attacks, Threats and Vulnerabilities	4
Risk and Threat Analysis	5
Threat Identification	5
Trade-offs in Security	5
Security Frameworks	5
Network Specific Threat Examples	5
STRIDE: Attacks on a Multi-User System	6
Security Policies	6
Security Mechanisms and Concepts	6
Mitigation Strategies	6
Malware	6
Malware General Definition	6
Infection Paths	7
Malware Types	7
Trojan Horses	7
Backdoors	7
Logic Bomb	7
Rootkit	7
Advanced Persistent Threat	8
Botnet	8
Common Reasons for Vulnerabilities	8
Side-Channel Attacks	8
Buffer Overflow	8
Race Conditions	9
Networking	9
TCP Connection Establishment	9

IP Security Issues	10
TCP Security Issues	10
Port Scanning	10
TCP Protection Mechanisms	11
Session Hijacking	11
RST Attacks (In-Connection DoS)	11
Blind IP Spoofing	11
Perimeter Defense in Practice	12
Architecture Recommendations	12
Application in Networking	12
Stateless Packet Filter	12
Stateful Packet Filters	13
Stateful Firewalls	13
Application Layer Proxies	13
Application Level Gateways	14
Circuit Level Gateway	14
Demilitarized Zone (DMZ)	14
Web Application Firewalls (WAFs)	14
Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	15
Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)	15
Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS)	15
Injections	16
Symmetric Encryption	16
Symmetric Encryption Overview	16
Kerckhoffs' Principle	16
Strong Algorithms	16
Crypto Attack Classes	16
Perfect Security	17
One-Time-Pad	17
Stream Cyphers	17
True Random Number Generators	17
(Cryptographically Secure) Pseudo-Random Number Generators (CSPRNG)	17
Design Principles for Block Cyphers	17
Feistel Networks	18
DES (Tripple DES)	18
AES Key Features	18
Modes of Operation for Block Cyphers	18
Cypher Block Chaining (CBC)	19
Counter Mode (CTR)	19
Padding	19
Key Length Considerations	19
Message Authentication	20
Message Authentication Codes (MACs)	20
General Scenario	20
Scenario with Modified Message	20

MAC Computation	21
Hash Function Requirements	21
Asymmetric Encryption	21
Public Key Cryptography	21
RSA Key Generation	22
RSA Encryption	22
RSA Decryption	22
RSA Security	22
Hybrid Method	22
Discrete Logarithms	23
One-Way Functions	23
Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol	24
Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol Security	24
Trust	24
Digital Signatures	24
RSA Signatures	25
Certificates	25
Transport Security	25
Internet Model	25
TLS Handshake Overview	26
TLS Record Protocol	26
Connection States	26
SSH Transport Layer Protocol	27
Frequently Asked Questions	27
Phishing Attacks	27
Phishing Attack Prevention	28
Certificates and CAs	28
Certificate Revocation	28
Validation of Certificates	28
Why can DES be decrypted even though F is not invertible? . . .	28
SQL Injection	29
DNS Spoofing	29
Replay Attacks and Signatures	29
VPN Access from Intranet to external Mail Server	29
Stateless vs. Stateful Packet Filters/Firewalls	30

Uni IT Security Notes

These study materials are heavily based on professor Schmitz's "IT Security" lecture at HdM Stuttgart.

Basics

Security Mindset

- Focus on weaknesses, not on features

- Don't rely on the "good case"
- Anticipate what an attacker could do to a system
- Weight security against user experience and privacy

Aspects of IT Security

- Legal
- Technical
- Economical

Security Objectives

- **Confidentiality/conf**
 - Nobody but the legitimate receiver can read a message
 - Third party cannot gain access to communication patterns
- **Integrity/int**: The contents of communication can't be changed without the participants knowing
- **Authenticity/authN**
 - **Entity Authentication**: Communication partners can prove their respective identity to one another
 - **Message Authentication**: It can be verified that a message is authentic (unaltered and sent by the correct entity)
- **Authorization/authZ**
 - Service or information is only available to those who have correct access rights
 - Depends on authentication being set up
- **Non-Repudiation/nRep**: A sender cannot deny having sent a message or used a service
- **Availability/avail**: Service is available with sufficient performance
- **Access Control/ac**: Access to services and information is controlled
- **Privacy/priv**
 - Restricted access to identity-related data
 - Anonymity
 - Pseudonymity

Safety vs. Security

- **Safety**: Coincidental crashes or failures → On average more likely
- **Security**: Intentional attacks → Less likely

Attacks, Threats and Vulnerabilities

- **Attacker**: A person who has the skill and motivation to carry out an attack: The steps needed to carry out an attack
- **Vulnerability**: Some characteristics of the target that can result in a security breach
- **Threat**: Combination of an attacker, an attack vector and a vulnerability

- **Attack:** A threat that has been realized and has caused a security breach
- **Attack Vector:** Some characteristics of the target system that can result in a security breach

Risk and Threat Analysis

```
graph TD
    subgraph Threat_analysis [Threat analysis]
        A[System inventory and definition] --> B[Threat identification]
        B --> C[Threat evaluation]
    end
    C --> D
    subgraph Risk_analysis [Risk analysis]
        D[Impact evaluation] --> E[Risk calculation]
        E --> A
    end
```

Threat Identification

- Define **system boundaries**: What is part of your system, what is not?
- Define **security objectives**: What is important for your system to be secure?
- **List all threats** you can think of: Brainstorming and discussion with experts
- Use **conventions**:
 - Similar threat models
 - Requirement specifications
 - How to break or circumvent the specifications
 - Note security assumptions of the system
 - Be careful with perimeter security: What if perimeter has been breached?
 - Note *possible*, but not yet exploitable vulnerabilities

Trade-offs in Security

- **Costs increase exponentially** with increased security
- **User friendliness decreases linearly** with increased security
- Risk analysis can be used when tuning the level of security

Security Frameworks

Network Specific Threat Examples

- Remote Attacks

- Eavesdropping: Sniffing of information
- Altering information
- Spoofing
- DoS
- Session hijacking
- Viruses attacking clients
- Spam
- Phishing
- Data trails/privacy leaks

STRIDE: Attacks on a Multi-User System

- **S**poofing of Identity
- **T**ampering with Information
- **R**epudiation
- **I**nformation Disclosure
- **D**oS
- **E**scalation of Privileges

Security Policies

- Classification of system states into “allowed” and “forbidden” states
- Secure system: Is only in allowed states
- Breached system: Is in forbidden state

Security Mechanisms and Concepts

```
graph TD
    A[Security policy]-->|requires|B
    B[Security objectives]-->|realized by|C
    C[Security mechanisms]-->|described by|D
    D[Security concept]
```

Mitigation Strategies

- **Reduce**: Increase effort for attacker
- **Assign**: Decrease damage for target
- **Accept**: Accept remaining risk

Malware

Malware General Definition

- Performs unwanted functions
- Often runs without user’s consent
- Telemetry (often hidden in proprietary software behind EULAs)
- Backdoors

Infection Paths

- **Direct infection:** Mail → Executable
- **Exploitation of vulnerabilities:** Over network, drive-by infection (downloads which a person has authorized but without understanding the consequences)
- **External devices:** USB sticks
- **Bundled** with other functionality: Trojan horses

Malware Types

- **Computer viruses**
 - **Boot sector** virus (infects all drives)
 - **File** virus
 - **Macro** virus
 - **Worm:** Network based virus
- Trojan horses; adware
- Key loggers, spyware
- Botnet software
- Ransomware: Extortion

Trojan Horses

- Has server and client parts
- Server is used for installation, which the client then confirms
- Once installed, an attacker can take control
 - Reading sensitive data
 - Key logging
 - Botnet integration

Backdoors

Intentionally created remote code execution vulnerability/ingress into system (*Hello, Five Eyes!*).

Logic Bomb

Malicious function, which is called once condition evaluates to true.

Rootkit

Collection of services installed on a compromised system, which enables hiding ...

- Logins
- Processes
- Files

from users other than `root`.

Advanced Persistent Threat

Advanced:

- Attack is customized to target host (one node)
- High effort
- Targets are i.e. VIPs or institutions

Persistent:

- First infected host is used to infiltrate the entire system
- Scans for login information to create an account for the attackers

Threats: Often uses Zero-Day vulnerabilities

Botnet

- Infected host does work for somebody external
- Master controls the bots and distributes updates to stay hidden
- Dynamic: Needs to handle hosts being turned on/off and has to hide its existence
- Can be used to send spam, DDoS attacks, hosting of malicious sites

Common Reasons for Vulnerabilities

Issues in ...

- Architecture
- Design
- Implementation

... lead to attack vectors:

- **Client side:** Browser, office software, E-Mail, media players, ...
- **Server side:** Web apps, OS services, Anti-virus/backup software
- **Relaxed security policies:** User has too many capabilities
- **Abuse of protocols:** Instant messaging can be used as remote control, BitTorrent for distribution etc.
- **Zero-day attacks:** Non-public vulnerabilities

Side-Channel Attacks

Indirect (physical) attack on a system; i.e. smudge patterns on a smartphone

Buffer Overflow

- Application provides finite buffer for input but does not check size of input
 - Buffer can overflow
 - Overwriting internal information or allowing attacker to insert machine code to jump back into

- Possible due to Von Neumann architecture: Programs in data are stored in the same memory
- C does not check bounds (`gets`, `strcpy`, `memcpy`, `printf` etc.)

Race Conditions

Information is being changed after it has been checked, but before it has been executed.

Networking

Videos### TCP Overview

- Characteristics
 - Reliable
 - Connection-Oriented
 - Full-Duplex
 - Layer atop IP
 - Connection management: Setup, Release and Abort
 - Ordered delivery (package sequence control)
 - Repetition of lost packets
 - End-to-End ACKs
 - Checksum in header
- Identified by a 5-tuple
 - Source IP
 - Destination IP
 - Transport Protocol
 - Source Port
 - Destination Port

TCP Connection Establishment

- Virtual connection between two systems
- 3-Way-Handshake with connection states

An example connection from the client to the server:

<Client>				<Server>
[Closed]				[Closed]
	SEQ=x	CTL=SYN	=>	
[SYN Sent]				
	<=	SEQ=y	CTL=SYN+ACK	ACK=x+1
				[SYN Received]
		SEQ=x+1	CTL=ACK	ACK=y+1 =>
[Established]				[Established]

IP Security Issues

- IP header doesn't have confidentiality or integrity protection
 - Faking the sender address is easy to do
 - Traffic can be analyzed by sniffing packet headers
- IP payload doesn't have confidentiality or integrity protection
 - Eavesdropping is possible by sniffing packets
- Loose coupling with lower layers:
 - Easy to divert traffic
 - Availability can be easily attacked
 - Confidentiality and integrity can't be guaranteed
- Unprotected error signaling via ICMP: Fake error messages can affect availability
- DNS is insecure; i.e. DNS spoofing

TCP Security Issues

- TCP header doesn't have confidentiality or integrity protection
- **Session hijacking**
 - When sniffing session details, attacker can impersonate a peer in a TCP connection
 - Attackers can guess session details and attack remotely using spoofed IP addresses
- **RST attack**: Attackers can reset/abort attacks by injecting packets with the RST flag
- **Port scanning**
 - Find out open ports
 - Determine software running on port
- **SYN flooding**
 - Overload system resources by initializing many connections and not pursuing them

Port Scanning

- Objective: **Collect information** about ...
 - Installed services
 - Software versions
 - OS
 - Firewall
- Enumeration based on port
 - Well-known ports (i.e. SSH → 22)
 - Invalid connection requests: Different way of error handling can be used to fingerprint the OS
- Possible scanning methods
 - **TCP connect** scan
 - **Half-open** scan
 - **SYN-ACK** scan

- **ACK** scan

TCP Protection Mechanisms

- SYN flood protection
 - Limit rate of SYN packets
 - SYN cookies (RFC 4987)
 - * Limit resources
 - * Half-open connections are not stored in the connection table but instead as a hash in the ISN
 - * Only if the 3rd ACK handshake packet matches the sequence number, the connection is added to the connection table
 - * Server does not need to maintain any state information on half-open connections: Resources can't be exhausted
- Connections are only accepted if the sequence numbers are within a certain range of acceptable values (attackers would have to sniff sequence numbers or guess them)

Session Hijacking

- Attacker takes over existing connection between two peers
- Requirement: Attacker has to sniff or guess sequence numbers of the connection correctly

RST Attacks (In-Connection DoS)

Inject packet with RST flag into ongoing connection: Connection has to be aborted immediately

Blind IP Spoofing

Firewall is configured to only allow one source IP address and destination IP address ($A \rightarrow B$).

To circumvent this restriction:

1. Attacker starts DoS attack on A to prevent A from sending RST packets to B
2. Attacker sends TCP connection setup packet with A's source IP address to B
3. B sends SYN+ACK packet to A, but can't respond due to DoS
4. Attacker sends TCP connection ACK packet to B with ACK matching the initial sequence number chosen by B (which has to be guessed, as B sent the SYN+ACK packet to A, not the attacker)

Only works if B uses a predictable algorithm for its ISN and packet filters aren't in place.

Perimeter Defense in Practice

Architecture Recommendations

- Known from medieval cities, castles etc.
- Definition of system boundary between “inside” and “outside”
- Different threat models for inside and outside
 - **Inside:** Trusted
 - **Outside:** Untrusted
- Objectives
 - Create said boundary
 - Only a defined set of communication relations is allowed
 - Special security checks
 - Limited number of interconnection points
 - Simpler to manage and audit than a completely open architecture
- Problems
 - Requires intelligent selection of system boundaries
 - May require multiple levels of perimeters
 - No system/user in the “trusted inside” can truly be trusted

Application in Networking

- Installing security devices at the network border
- Separation of network areas into inside/outside
- Prevent sensitive information from being sent to the outside (view the system in the inside as the potential, probably unintentional attacker)
- Multiple levels can increase security
- But: Perimeter security is not sufficient on its own!
 - There will probably be additional non-secured paths into the network (i.e. `ssh -R`)
 - Some malicious traffic might look like “normal” traffic and can pass

Stateless Packet Filter

- Access Control List (ACL): Applies set of rules to each incoming packets
- Discards (denies, blocks) or forwards (allows, permits) packets based on ACL
- Typically configured by IP and TCP/UDP header fields
- Stateless inspection: Established connections can only be detected with the ACK control flag
- Can be easy to misconfigure by forgetting essential protocols
 - DNS
 - ICMP
- Advantages
 - Fast/High throughput
 - Simple to realize
 - Software-based, can be added as a package

- Simple to configure
- Disadvantages
 - Inflexible
 - Many attacks can only be detected using stateful filtering
 - Rules and their priorities can easily get confusing
- Default discard policy
 - Block everything which is not explicitly allowed (allowlist)
 - Issue: The security policy has to be revised for each new protocol or service
 - This rule must come last/have the lowest priority, behind all “allowing” rules

Stateful Packet Filters

- Store connection states
- Can make decisions based on
 - TCP connections
 - UDP replies to previous outgoing packet with same IP:Port relation (“UDP Connection”)
 - Application protocol states
- Similar to application layer gates/proxy firewalls, but less intruding in communication
- Rules can be more specific than in stateless packet filters
- Rules are easier to enforce, i.e. incoming TCP packets don’t have to be allowed in because they have ACK set

Stateful Firewalls

- Tries to fix the problems of stateless inspection
 - To many packets have to be allowed by default (ACK → No SYN-scanning protection)
 - Protocols like FTP or SIP, which dynamically allocate port numbers, can’t be filtered securely
- Create state per TCP or UDP flow
 - Source and Destination IP:Port
 - Protocol
 - Connection state
- A packet which is not associated with a state is dropped immediately
- Packets which belong to a previously established TCP/UDP “connection” are allowed to pass without further checks
- State tables have to be cleaned up periodically to prevent resource starvation

Application Layer Proxies

- Protected host during connection establishment
- Different kinds

- Application level
- Circuit level
- Forward proxy (client-side)
- Reverse proxy (server-side)

Application Level Gateways

- Conversion between different application layer protocols
- Evaluation up to OSI layer 7
 - Protocol verification
 - Authentication
 - Malware scanning
 - Spam filtering
 - Attack pattern filtering
- Advantage: Security policies can be enforced at application level
- Disadvantage: Computing and memory performance requirements

Circuit Level Gateway

- Checks/controls at TCP connection level
- Creates separate connection to outside and inside
- Checks data before it is being sent to the transport layer

Demilitarized Zone (DMZ)

- **Outside world:** Global Internet
- **Outside router:** Routes packet to and from bastion host
- **Bastion host:** Proxy server and relay host
- **Inside router:** Routes packets only to and from bastion host
- **Inside (protected):** Intranet

The DMZ creates 2/3 lines of defense by the use of a stub network.

Multi-Level DMZs can create even more secure perimeter defenses:

Global Internet → Access Router and Packet Filter → Public Services Host (offers i.e. public Web services) → Screening Router and Packet filter (prevents IP spoofing) → Mail host (for external mail communication) → Bastion host (i.e. proxy for FTP and Web access) → Intranet

Web Application Firewalls (WAFs)

- Acts on the application layer
- Is a reverse proxy
- Can protect the web server from “evil” client input
 - Cross-Site scripting
 - SQL injection: Filters out JS or SQL commands in client input by removing special symbols (i.e. <, ' etc)

- Cookie poisoning: Stores the hash values of sent cookies
- HTML manipulation: Encrypts URL parameters

Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

Injection of malicious client-side code (JS, WASM) into site. There are multiple types:

- **Reflected/non-persistent XSS:** Attacker provides malicious data i.e. via URL → server adds malicious code to page → browser executes the malicious code
- **DOM-based XSS:** Attacker provides malicious data i.e. via URL → client (app running in the browser) adds malicious code to page → browser then executes the malicious code
- **Stored (persistent) XSS:** Attacker provides malicious data using i.e. their profile page, POSTs it to the server → server stores it i.e. in a database → Client then requests i.e. the attacker's profile page → server loads the malicious data from the database → adds the stored malicious code to the page → browser then executes the malicious code

Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)

Sniffing of cookies/tokens from a connection in another tab.

Intrusion Detection Systems (IDS)

- Security product that is specialized on detecting anomalies during live operation of networks and computers
 - Virus/Botnet activity
 - Suspicious network activity (malware phoning home)
- Basic Approaches
 - **Signature based:** Use attack signatures/known malicious communication activity patterns
 - **Anomaly based:** Significant deviation from previously recorded baseline activity
 - **Rule based:** Define allowed by behavior by app-specific set of legitimate actions
- Actions
 - Send out alarm
 - Logging
 - Blocking of known patterns
- Realization
 - Appliance
 - Integration in firewall
 - Integration into host

Injections

- **SQL injection:** SQL commands are sent to the database because neither server nor client escape i.e. '
- **HTML injection:** Parameters in HTML forms can also be sent using a POST request

Symmetric Encryption

Symmetric Encryption Overview

Alice:

1. Creates message
2. Chooses key
3. Computes ciphertext
4. Send ciphertext to Bob

Eve (Attacker):

1. Copies ciphertext
2. Tries to guess the key

Bob:

1. Receives ciphertext
2. Uses key
3. Computes plaintext
4. Reads message

Kerckhoffs' Principle

- From “La Cryptographie Militaire”
- Most important point: **The security of a crypto system must lie in the non-disclosure of the key but not in the non-disclosure of the algorithm**
- Implementation
 - Keep secret which function you used for encryption
 - But a disclosure of the set of functions should not create a problem

Strong Algorithms

- There is **no attack** that can break it with less effort than a **brute force attack** (“complete enumeration”)
- There are so many keys that a **complete** search of key space is **infeasible**

Crypto Attack Classes

- **Active attacks**

- Most relevant for cryptographic protocols
- Active interference (modification, insertion or deletion of messages)
- Man in the middle (MITM) can receive messages and modify them on the way to the receiver
- **Passive attacks:** Pure eavesdropping, without interference with communication

Perfect Security

Ciphertext does not give any information you don't already have about the plaintext ($p(m(c)) = p(m)$)

One-Time-Pad

- **Vernam Cypher:** Create ciphertext by XOR addition of secret key and plaintext
- **Mauborgne:** Random key, never re-use key (“one time”)
- **Shannon:** OTP is unbreakable if key is ...
 - Truly random
 - As large
 - Never reused
 - Kept secret

Stream Cyphers

Encryption like one-time-pad, but using pseudo-random bits instead of true random (using a **Cryptographically Secure Pseudo-Random Number Generator (CSPRNG)**)

True Random Number Generators

- Output can't be reproduced or predicted
- Is based on physical processes

(Cryptographically Secure) Pseudo-Random Number Generators (CSPRNG)

PSRNGs compute the output based on a seed and an internal state.

A CSPRNG must ...

- Be unpredictable
- Be computationally infeasible to compute the next outputs

... when the initial state of the CSPRNG is not known

Design Principles for Block Cyphers

Two methods for frustrating a statistical analysis:

- **Confusion:** The ciphertext should depend on the plaintext in such a complicated way that an attacker cannot gain any information from the ciphertext (redundancy should not be visible anymore in the ciphertext)
- **Diffusion:** Each plaintext and key bit should influence as many ciphertext bits as possible
 - Changing one bit in plaintext → Many pseudo-random changes in ciphertext
 - Changing one bit in the key → Many pseudo-random changes in ciphertext

Feistel Networks

- Described by Horst Feistel
- Algorithm
 - Plaintext block B is divided in 2 halves
 - Derive r round key keys from key
 - Feed one half through round function F
 - Then XOR the result with the other half
 - Exchange halves
- Repeat r times

DES (Tripple DES)

- Single DES breakable in less than 24h (complete search of key space)
- Tripple DES is still secure
- Three steps of DES on each data block using up to three keys
- Decryption in reverse sequence
- 3 independend keys are the most secure
- Three same keys can be used for (insecure) DES compatibility

AES Key Features

- FIPS standard 197
- Key length: 128/192/256 bit
- Block size: 128 bit
- Iterative rounds of substitutions and permutation, but no Feistel structure
- 10, 12 or 14 rounds
- Blocks of 16 bytes arranged in 4x4 state matrix
- Components of the round function are invertible and independent of key
 - **Substitute Bytes:** Non-linear substitution of bytes in state
 - **Shift Rows:** Cyclic shifting of rows
 - **Min Columns:** Multiplication of state elements with a fixed 4x4 matrix M

Modes of Operation for Block Cyphers

- Objective: Encrypt multiple plaintext blocks with the same block cypher

- Straightforward solution: blockwise encryption (“Electronic Codebook Mode”)
- Problem: Patterns in the distribution of plaintext blocks remain visible

Cypher Block Chaining (CBC)

- Avoids telltale patterns in ciphertext
- Decryption fails if a data block is missing or corrupted
- Each data block is encrypted in relation to the previous block

Counter Mode (CTR)

- Simple and efficient
- Random access still possible
- No issues if data block is missing
- Incrementing counter is involved in randomization per data block

Padding

- Plaintext needs to be a full number of blocks
- If plaintext does not fill the last block completely, it must be padded before encryption
 - In order to facilitate safe decryption, the last block is always padded: For example for a block size of n bytes, there are 1...n bytes added to the plaintext before encryption
 - Decryption can check last bytes and strip them off correspondingly
- Always need to pad with at least one byte!
- Common methods
 - Pad with bytes of the same value as the number of padding bytes (PKCS#5; i.e. if there are three bytes to be padded, add 0x03 0x03 0x03)
 - Pad with 0x80 followed by 0x00 bytes
 - Pad with zeroes except for the last byte that indicates the number of padding bytes
 - Pad with zeroes
 - Pad with space characters (0x20)

Key Length Considerations

- Cryptography is always a matter of complexity
 - With enough time and/or space, all schemes can theoretically be broken
 - “brute force” attacks
 - Example: 56bit keys DES can be broken in <24h since 1999
- Meanwhile
 - 128bit keys have to be replaced in the coming years
 - 192bit keys are secure in medium term

- 256bit keys are hard to crack due to physical boundaries (“state of the art”)
- Quantum computers might be able to crack keys much more quickly
- Numbers refer to unbroken algorithms in symmetric cryptography
 - Broken algorithm is one where an n bit key can be determined trying out significantly less than 2^n keys

Message Authentication

Message Authentication Codes (MACs)

- Objectives
 - **Integrity protection:** Prevent unauthorized manipulation of data
 - **Message authentication:** Prevent unauthorized origination on behalf of others
- Idea: Compute a cryptographic checksum (MAC)
- Required Properties
 - Cannot be counterfeited; without having the sender’s secret, it is too complex to ...
 - * Find another message matching the same MAC
 - * Construct a suitable MAC for another message
 - Even smallest changes to message cause a big change of the MAC

General Scenario

Alice:

1. $m = \text{"I love you. Alice"}$
2. Select secret key K
3. Compute $MAC_K(m)$

Bob:

1. Receives m'
2. Selects secret key K
3. Computes $MAC_K(m')$
4. Compares computed MAC with received MAC \rightarrow Matches!

Assertion: If computed MAC equals the MAC included in the received message, an owner of the key (Alice) really sent this message and it was not changed on the way.

Scenario with Modified Message

Alice: Same as in General Scenario

Mallory:

- $m = \text{"It's all over! Alice."}$

Bob

1. Receives m'
2. Selects secret key K
3. Computes $MAC_K(m')$
4. Compares computed MAC with received MAC \rightarrow Doesn't match!
5. Ignore m

MAC Computation

- Requirements
 - Shared key k between sender and receiver
 - Hash function to create a code that changes if the message has been altered
- Using **block cypher** f_k and **hash function** $hash$: $MAC(m) = f_k(hash(m))$
- Using a **key dependent cryptographic hash function** $hash(k, m)$: $MAC(m) = hash(k, m)$

Hash Function Requirements

- **Weak collision resistance**: For a given message and hash it is impossible/too complex to find another message such that the hashes match
- **One-way** property
 - Easy to compute hash
 - Impossible to find message from hash

Asymmetric Encryption

Public Key Cryptography

Alice:

1. Generates key pair (PK_{Alice}, SK_{Alice})
2. Published PK_{Alice} at Trent's
3. c received \rightarrow decrypts $m = D_{SK_{Alice}}(C)$

Trent:

- Stores public keys
- Provides public keys on request

Bob:

1. Wants to send m to Alice confidentially
2. Obtains PK_{Alice} from Trent
3. Computes $c = E_{PK_{Alice}}(m)$
4. Sends c to Alice

RSA Key Generation

Also see the handwritten notes.

1. Alice chooses 2 large prime numbers p, q and computes $n = p \cdot q$, $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$
2. Alice chooses an integer e with $1 < e < \phi(n)$ that is relatively prime to $\phi(n)$
3. Alice computes an integer d with $1 < d < \phi(n)$ and $d \cdot e = k \cdot \phi(n) + 1$
4. Alice publishes her public key $PK_{Alice} = (e, n)$
5. Alice keeps her private key $SK_{Alice} = d$ and $p, q, \phi(n)$ secret

RSA Encryption

Also see the handwritten notes.

1. Bob obtains $PK_{Alice} = (e, n)$
2. Bob composes plaintext $m \in M = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$
3. Bob computes the ciphertext $c = E_{PK_{Alice}}(m) = m^e \mod n$
4. Bob sends c to Alice

RSA Decryption

Also see the handwritten notes.

Alice can obtain the plaintext message m by computing $m = D_{SK_{Alice}}(c) = c^d \mod n = m^{ed} \mod n$

RSA Security

- **RSA problem:** Given e, n and $c = m^e \mod n$, find m
 - Most efficient approach to solve the RSA problem is currently the integer factorization of n : An upper limit to the complexity of the problem; can be used to derive the private key from the prime factors
 - Quantum computers will be more efficient in doing integer factorization (Shor's algorithm)
 - RSA problem and integer factorization still lack mathematical proof for their complexity
- **Organizational properties**
 - **Authenticity** of the public key (e, n)
 - **Confidentiality** of the secret key (d, p, q)
- **Mathematical properties**
 - **Complexity of factoring** the modulus n
 - **Complexity of solving** the RSA problem
- Failure of any properties will compromise the security of the method!

Hybrid Method

Combination of asymmetric and symmetric key methods.

Alice:

1. Generates key pair (PK_{Alice}, SK_{Alice})
2. Publishes PK_{Alice} at Trent's
3. c received \rightarrow Decrypts $K = D_{SK_{Alice}}(c)$
4. Alice and bob switch over to the symmetric key algorithm with key K

Trent:

- Stores public keys
- Provides public keys on request

Bob:

1. Obtains PK_{Alice} from Trent
2. Generates symmetric key K
3. Computes $c = E_{PK_{Alice}}(K)$
4. Sends c to Alice

Discrete Logarithms

Primitive element: Let p be a prime number. An element $g \leq p-1$ is called primitive element $\mod p$ if for each $A \in \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ there is an x such that $A = g^x \mod p$

Discrete logarithm: Let p be a prime number and let $g \leq p-1$ be a primitive element $\mod p$. Then an element x is called discrete logarithm of A to base $g \mod p$ if $A = g^x \mod p$.

Discrete logarithm problem: Given A, g, p , find $x \leq p-1$ with $A = g^x \mod p$

One-Way Functions

- "Trap-door" functions
- Easy to compute in one direction (i.e. $f(x) = g^x \mod p$)
- Hard to invert
 - Ideally only possible using complete enumeration of all possible inputs
 - I.e. for a given y you need to try out all possible values $x = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ to find one $x_0 : f(x_0) = y$
- Definition of complexity often of the P and NP complexity classes
 - **P:** Answer of a problem can be found in polynomial time (b bits of problem size \rightarrow algorithm takes time b^k)
 - **NP:** Answer of problem cannot be found in polynomial time (b bits of problem size \rightarrow algorithm takes time k^b), but the correctness of given answer can be checked in polynomial time

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol

Purpose: Allow communication partners without prior knowledge of another to establish a shared secret key over an insecure communication channel

Also see the handwritten notes.

1. Alice and Bob agree publicly on prime number p and a primitive element $g \leq p - 1$
2. Alice randomly chooses $\alpha \in \{2, \dots, p - 2\}$ and computes $A = g^\alpha \mod p$
3. Bob randomly chooses $\beta \in \{2, \dots, p - 2\}$ and computes $B = g^\beta \mod p$
4. Alice and Bob publicly exchange A and B
5. Alice and Bob hold a common secret key K :
 1. $K_B = A^\beta \mod p = g^{\alpha\beta} \mod p$
 2. $K_A = B^\alpha \mod p = g^{\alpha\beta} \mod p = K_B$

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange Protocol Security

It depends on three properties which can't be relaxed:

- **Discrete logarithm problem:** There is no efficient inversion for integer exponentiation
- **Authenticity** of exchanged messages: No protection against MITM attacks!
- **Diffie-Hellman problem complexity:** Given $g, p, A = g^x \mod p, B = g^y \mod p$ find $K = g^{xy} \mod p$

Trust

Digital Signatures

- Requirements
 - **Tamper-proof**
 - **Unambiguous attribution** of signature to signing person/identity
 - **Inseparable connection** between signature and signed document
 - **Non-repudiability** of signature
- Typical approach
 - Encrypt hash of document with secret key
 - Signature can be verified using the public key

Alice:

1. Generates key pair (PK_{Alice}, SK_{Alice})
2. Publishes PK_{Alice} at Trent's
3. Computes $sig_{Alice}(m) = E_{SK_{Alice}}(hash(m))$

Trent:

- Stores public keys
- Provides public keys on request

Bob:

1. Obtains PK_{Alice} from Trent
2. Computes $hash(m_{received})$
3. Decrypts signature $D_{PK_{Alice}}(sig_{received})$
4. Compares $hash(m_{received})$ to the received signed hash

RSA Signatures

- Conventions
 - $PK_{Alice} = (e, n)$
 - $SK_{Alice} = d$
 - m is the message to be signed
 - h is the secure hash function
- **Computation** of signature: $sig_{Alice}(m) = (h(m))^d \mod n$
- **Verification** of signature
 - Bob receives (m', sig')
 - Bob computes $h(m')$ and $(sig')^e \mod n$
 - If both match, the signature is verified

Certificates

- A certificate (cert) certifies that a certain public key belongs to a certain identity (“person”)
- Certificates are digitally signed by service providers (Certificate Authorities, CAs) or government agencies (i.e. COVID certs)
- **X.509**: ITU standard for a common certificate format; contains
 - Version (v3)
 - Serial number (unique within the CA)
 - Signature algorithm
 - Issuer name (name of the CA)
 - Time of validity (not before, not after)
 - Subject name (who the cert is for)
 - Subject public key info (public key of subject, algorithm of public key)
 - ID of signature algorithm
 - Certificate signature algorithm
 - Certificate signature value (signature of the CA which signs the cert)

Transport Security

Internet Model

- 7: Application Layer: HTTP, SMTP, ...
- Between: TLS, SSH, ...
- 4: Transport Layer: TCP, UDP, ...
- 3: Internetwork Layer: IP

- 2: Data Link Layer: IEEE 802.x
- 1: Physical Layer: IEEE 802.x
- (0): Physical transmission medium: Wire, fiber, wireless

TLS Handshake Overview

title TLS Handshake

```
Client->Server: Hello
Server->Client: Hello
Server->Client: Cert public key
Server->Client: Hello Done
```

```
note over Client: Pre-master secret
note over Client: Symmetric key
```

```
Client->Server: Change cypher key exchange
Client->Server: Client finished
```

```
note over Server: Pre-master secret
note over Server: Symmetric key
```

```
Server->Client: Change cypher sec
Server->Client: Finished
```

```
Client<->Server: Encrypted data transfer
```

TLS Record Protocol

Sender:

1. Fragmentation
2. Compression (optional)
3. MAC computation (Key dependent; MD5, SHA-1, SHA-256 etc.)
4. Encryption

Receiver:

1. Decryption
2. MAC verification
3. Decompression (optional)
4. Reassembly

Connection States

- Each side has four connection states
 - One for each direction (read/write)
 - One current and one pending set of states

- * Parameters negotiated by the TLS handshake protocol
- * Pending states made current by the change cypher spec protocol
- Security parameters
 - Connection end (client/server)
 - Bulk encryption algorithm
 - MAC algorithm
 - Compression algorithm
 - Master secret
 - Client random
 - Server random
- Security items for both directions (client write/server write)
 - Encryption keys
 - MAC secrets
 - Initialization vectors (in case of block cypher)
 - Sequence numbers (no wrapping)

SSH Transport Layer Protocol

- Tasks
 - Server authentication
 - Negotiation of algorithms and keys
 - Confidentiality and integrity protection (for application data)
- Prerequisites
 - Server has a public key (“host key”)
 - Client has a trustworthy copy of the host key
 - Reliable transport protocol (TCP) between client and server

Frequently Asked Questions

Phishing Attacks

- Attacker tries to get a user to log in with a fake mail and a fake site
- Attacker steals the login information of the user in order to get access to bank accounts or credit card info
- Many options in addition to mail
 - Malware (Keylogger)
 - DNS-based phishing (Redirection to fake site)
 - Man-in-the-middle Phishing
 - XSS
- Violated security objectives
 - **Authenticity:** Attacker pretends to be a different company
 - **Confidentiality:** Non-secured connection
 - **Authorization:** The attacker can steal credentials
 - **Privacy:** The attacker can get identity-related info by access to the user’s account
 - **Non-repudation:** The attacker can transfer money

Phishing Attack Prevention

- Spam filters: Most phishing mails can be filtered using a spam filter
- A link's text should be compared to the actual URL (the `href` tag)
- Check the URL in the address bar
- Antivirus-Apps
- Using an up-to-date system
- Checking the certificates of a site
- Using HTTPS

Certificates and CAs

- Certifies properties of people or objects and their authenticity and integrity using cryptographic processes
- A public key certificate provides the public key of a person or organization and confirms it
- Certificates are signed by the service provider/certificate authority (CA) or a government authority (i.e. COVID-19), which adds to trust

Certificate Revocation

- As soon as a cert's private key has been leaked, it needs to be revoked by putting it on a certificate revocation list
- Before a cert should be used it should be checked if it has not been revoked yet
- There are also other reasons for cert revocation
 - Change of certificate metadata (i.e. name change)
 - Dissolution of the organization
 - Removal of privileges

Validation of Certificates

- Certificate has the service provider's/CA's signature
- The signature is a hash of the certificate encrypted with the CA's private key, which can be validated by decrypting it with the CA's known public key
- Date validity needs to be checked
- Revocation needs to be checked
- Risks
 - Revocation checks are crucial because they allow a user to verify the identity of the owner of the site and discover whether the certificate authority still considers the digital certificate trustworthy
 - Attacker could fake a site using a revoked certificate

Why can DES be decrypted even though F is not invertible?

- Key is divided and only one half is put through the round function

- Both halves are joined using XOR
- In order to decrypt a block, the same algorithm is used, but the divided keys are used in the opposite order

SQL Injection

- Inputs from forms, API requests etc. are passed to the database without any validation for embedded snippets
- Embedded SQL snippets will run on the DB, allowing the attacker to run any snippet on the database
- Violated security objectives
 - **Authorization:** Access is granted without authorization
 - **Confidentiality:** Access to info in database
 - **Integrity:** Data can be manipulated
 - **Privacy:** Access to potentially identity-related private info
 - **Availability:** Database could be dropped, which would take the system down (no schema after attack)

DNS Spoofing

- Attacker sends fake DNS answers and pretends to be the relevant name-server
- Attacker needs to send the fake answer before the relevant nameserver can; this is for example possible by a DoS attack
- Attacker can also add a fake entry to `/etc/hosts`
- Violated security objectives
 - **Authenticity:** Attacker pretends to be someone else
 - **Confidentiality:** Attacker eavesdrops on communication with DNS server

Replay Attacks and Signatures

- Attacker tries to communicate using a packet which they sniffed beforehand
- Can be provided by using a random number or nonce, which is also being encrypted; the number would have to be guessed
- Encryption and signatures don't help prevent replay attacks as decryption is not required to replay the attack
- Violated security objectives
 - **Confidentiality:** Third parties shouldn't have access to the communication
 - **Authenticity:** Attacker can pretend to be someone else
 - **Authorization:** If sniffed packet contains login info

VPN Access from Intranet to external Mail Server

- VPN gateway is required

- IPSec tunnel mode can be used
- Firewall rules, VPN connection and routing tables need to be configured

Stateless vs. Stateful Packet Filters/Firewalls

- **Stateless:** Decides what to do with packets based on static values
 - IP:Port of source/destination
 - TCP flags
- **Stateful:** Decides what to do using a state table
 - Keeps track of connections using a state table (new/established/related/...)
 - Can detect MTU changes and packet fragmentation
 - Can't secure application layer from viruses
 - Decides what to do with packets based on dynamic values
 - * TCP connections
 - * UDP replies to previous outgoing packet with same IP:Port relation ("UDP connection")
 - * Application protocol states
 - Drops unsolicited requests: Packets which don't match known criteria or are part of a DoS attack