

1 Filtering

1.1 Noisy observation of a markov chain (hidden Markov chain)

Assume X_n is a time-homogeneous Markov chain on S with a transition prob. function $p(c, x)$. Consider a sequence of noisy observations

$$Y_n = \gamma(X_n, W_n), n \geq 0,$$

where $W_n, n \geq 0$, are a sequence of i.i.d. Set $l(u, v) = \mathbf{P}(\gamma(u, W_0) = v)$. Then $Z_n = (X_n, Y_n), n \geq 0$, is a homogeneous Markov chain. We find its transition probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = u, Y_{n+1} = v | X_n = r, Y_n = s) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = u, \gamma(X_{n+1}, W_{n+1}) = v | X_n = r, Y_n = s) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = u, \gamma(u, W_{n+1}) = v | X_n = r, Y_n = s) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(\gamma(u, W_{n+1}) = v) \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = u | X_n = r, Y_n = s) \\ &= l(u, v) \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = u | X_n = r, \gamma(X_n, W_n) = s) = q(u, v) \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = u | X_n = r, \gamma(r, W_n) = s) \\ &= l(u, v) p(r, u). \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Filtering of a Markov chain

Suppose $Z_n = (X_n, Y_n), n \geq 0$, is a homogeneous Markov chain on $S = A \times B$ with the transition probability $p((r, s), (u, v)), (r, s), (u, v) \in S$. We will denote $q^{r,u}(s, v) = p((r, s), (u, v))$ and assume it is known.

Filtering problem: Given Y_0, \dots, Y_n , find the best estimate of $f(X_n)$, where $n = 0, 1, \dots$; or given $Y_{[0,n]} = (Y_0, \dots, Y_n) = b_{[0,n]} = (b_0, \dots, b_n)$, find the best estimate of $f(X_n)$.

The answer is $\mathbf{E}(f(X_n) | Y_{[0,n]})$ or $\mathbf{E}(f(X_n) | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})$.

All these quantities are determined by the collection of conditional distributions

$$\pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = r | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}), r \in A, b_{[0,n]} = (b_0, \dots, b_n) \in B^n, n \geq 0.$$

Since

$$\pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = r | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})}{\mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})},$$

(convention $c/0 = 0$ holds) and $\mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) = \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})$, we start with computation of the joint probabilities

$$\phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}), r \in A, b_{[0,n]} \in B^{n+1}, n \geq 0.$$

For $n = 0$, we have $\phi_n^r(b_{[0,0]}) = \phi_0^r(b) = \mathbf{P}(X_0 = r, Y_0 = b), r \in A, b \in B$, and we assume that it is known (given). We compute $\phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})$ step by step.

Lemma 1 For any $a \in A, n \geq 0$ and b_0, b_1, \dots ,

$$\phi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) = \sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})$$

Comment. Lemma states that the joint probabilities $\phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})$ can be computed step by step starting with $\phi_0^r(b)$: $\phi_{n+1}^r(b_{[0,n+1]})$ computed using $q^{r,u}(s, v)$ (which is known) and the joint probabilities $\phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})$ found before.

Proof. By the total probability formula and Markov property,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{[0,n+1]} = b_{[0,n+1]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1}, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1}, X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1} | X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}). \end{aligned}$$

■

Note, that by the total probability formula,

$$\mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) = \sum_{u \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_n = u, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) = \sum_{u \in A} \phi_n^u(b_{[0,n]}).$$

So, we are ready to find the conditional probabilities

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) &= \mathbf{P}(X_n = a | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}) = \frac{\mathbf{P}(X_n = a, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})}{\mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})} \\ &= \frac{\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]})}{\sum_{u \in A} \phi_n^u(b_{[0,n]})}, n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Already found? We prefer step by step formulas assuming again that $\pi_0^a(b) = \mathbf{P}(X_0 = a | Y_0 = b)$, $a \in A, b \in B$, are known.

Theorem 2 For any $a \in A, n \geq 0$ and b_0, b_1, \dots ,

$$\pi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) = \frac{\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})}{\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,u}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}))};$$

Proof. By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) &= \frac{\phi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]})}{\sum_{u \in A} \phi_{n+1}^u(b_{[0,n+1]})} = \frac{\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})}{\sum_{u \in A} \sum_{r \in A} q^{r,u}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \frac{\phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})}{\mathbf{P}(Y_n = b_{[0,n]})}}{\sum_{u \in A} \sum_{r \in A} q^{r,u}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \frac{\phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})}{\mathbf{P}(Y_n = b_{[0,n]})}} = \frac{\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})}{\sum_{u \in A} (\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,u}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}))}. \end{aligned}$$

■

The meaning of the quotient: in the formula for $\pi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a | Y_{[0,n+1]} = b_{[0,n+1]})$,

$$\text{numerator} = \sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1} | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}),$$

$$\text{denominator} = \xi_{n+1}(b_{[0,n+1]}) = \sum_{u \in A} \left(\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,u}(b_n, b_{n+1}) \pi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) \right) = \mathbf{P}(Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1} | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}).$$

Remark 3 1) The function $\phi_n^a = \phi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]})$, $a \in A$, is called *unnormalized filtering distribution (or density) function (UFDF)*, and $\pi_n^a = \pi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]})$, $a \in A$, is called a *filtering distribution (or density) function (FDF)*; the relation between them:

$$\pi_n^a = \frac{\phi_n^a}{\sum_{r \in A} \phi_n^r}, a \in A; \quad (1)$$

2) In Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 we found that for every $a \in A, n \geq 0, b_0, \dots \in B$,

$$\phi_{n+1}^a = \sum_r q^{r,a}(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) \phi_n^r, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\pi_{n+1}^a = \frac{\sum_{r \in A} q^{r,a}(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) \pi_n^r}{\sum_{u \in A} \sum_{r \in A} q^{r,u}(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) \pi_n^r}; \quad (3)$$

Formula (2) combined with 1) is preferable to (3): division errors do not accumulate);

3) The best mean square estimate of $f(X_n)$ is

$$\hat{f}(X_n) = \mathbf{E}[f(X_n) | Y_{[0,n]}] = \sum_{a \in A} f(a) \pi_n^a = \frac{\sum_{a \in A} f(a) \phi_n^a}{\sum_{a \in A} \phi_n^a};$$

4) If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ is finite, then (2) can be rewritten in matrix form. Define

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^{ij} &= q^{a_i, a_j}(Y_n, Y_{n+1}), 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \\ \phi_n^i &= \phi_n^{a_i}, 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ q_n &= (q_n^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}, \phi_n = (\phi_n^i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these notations (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n+1}^j &= \sum_{i=1}^d \phi_n^i q_n^{ij}, j = 1, \dots, d, \\ \text{or} \\ \phi_{n+1} &= \phi_n q_n, n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4 (*Hidden Markov Chain*) Assume X_n is a homogeneous Markov chain with transition probabilities function $p(r, u)$. Let

$$Y_n = \gamma(X_n, W_n), n \geq 0,$$

where W_0, W_1, \dots are i.i.d. independent of X_0, X_1, \dots . Denote $l(u, v) = \mathbf{P}(\gamma(u, W_1) = v)$. Then we found that

$$q^{r,u}(s, v) = p(r, u)l(u, v)$$

does not depend on s . If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ is finite, then, denoting $p_{ij} = p(a_i, a_j)$, $l_j(v) = l(a_j, v)$, and following Remark 3 4) we have

$$q_n^{ij} = q^{a_i, a_j}(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) = p_{ij}l_j(Y_{n+1}), 1 \leq i, j \leq d,$$

and (2) becomes

$$\phi_{n+1}^j = l_j(Y_{n+1}) \sum_{i=1}^d \phi_n^i p_{ij}, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

1.3 Space continuous observation in HMM (hidden Markov chain model)

Signal: $X_n, n \geq 0$, is a homogeneous Markov chain on A with transition probabilities $p(r, u)$;

Observation is defined by

$$Y_n = h(X_n) + W_n, n \geq 0,$$

where $h(x)$ is a real-valued function on A and W_n are i.i.d. continuous r.v. with pdf $l(v), v \in \mathbf{R}$. For example, if $W_n \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$, then

$$l(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-v^2/2\sigma^2}, v \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Problem: compute filtering density function

$$\pi_n^a = \mathbf{P}(X_n = a | Y_{[0,n]}), a \in A.$$

According to Lemma 14 in Appendix $\pi_n^a = \pi_n^a(b_{[0,n]})|_{b_{[0,n]}=Y_{[0,n]}}$, where

$$\pi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \frac{\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]})}{\sum_{v \in A} \phi_n^v(b_{[0,n]})},$$

and

$$\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \frac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial b_0 \dots \partial b_n} \mathbf{P}(X_n = a, Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}). \quad (4)$$

Obviously, $\phi_0^a(b_0) = \mathbf{P}(X_0 = a)l(b_0 - h(a))$, $a \in A, b_0 \in \mathbf{R}$. The following step by step formula holds for UFDF $\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]})$

Proposition 5 For any $a \in A, n \geq 0, b_0, \dots \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\phi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) = l(b_{n+1} - h(a)) \sum_{r \in A} p(r, a) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}). \quad (5)$$

Proof. Since (4) holds, we consider

$$\mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{[0,n+1]} \leq b_{[0,n+1]}) = \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1}, X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}).$$

We have, denoting $H(X_{[0,n]}) = (h(X_0), \dots, h(X_n))$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1}, X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, W_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} - h(a), X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + W_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(W_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} - h(a)) \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + W_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}), \end{aligned}$$

and (W and X are independent)

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + W_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + c \leq b_{[0,n]} \mid c = W_{[0,n]}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by condtioning

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + c \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + c \leq b_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + c \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a \mid X_n = r) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + c \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= p(r, a) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + c \leq b_{[0,n]}). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + W_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= p(r, a) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, H(X_{[0,n]}) + W_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= p(r, a) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}) \end{aligned}$$

and the statement follows by taking the mixed derivative $\partial^{n+2}/\partial b_0 \dots \partial b_{n+1}$ of both sides in

$$\mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = a, Y_{[0,n+1]} \leq b_{[0,n+1]}) = \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(W_{n+1} \leq b_{n+1} - h(a)) p(r, a) \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}).$$

■

Remark 6 1) Denoting $\phi_n^a = \phi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]})$, $a \in A$, (UFDF), and $\pi_n^a = \pi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]})$, $a \in A$, (FDF) like in the space discrete case

$$\pi_n^a = \frac{\phi_n^a}{\sum_{u \in A} \phi_n^u}, a \in A;$$

and we can rewrite (5) as

$$\phi_{n+1}^a = l(Y_{n+1} - h(a)) \sum_{r \in A} p(r, a) \phi_n^r, a \in A.$$

Also,

$$\pi_{n+1}^a = \frac{l(Y_{n+1} - h(a)) \sum_{r \in A} p(r, a) \pi_n^r}{\sum_{u \in A} (l(Y_{n+1} - h(u)) \sum_{r \in A} p(r, u) \pi_n^r)}, u \in A.$$

Again the formula for UFDF is preferable to FDF.

2) If $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ is finite, then they can be rewritten in matrix form. Define $p_{ij} = p(a_i, a_j)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} q_n^{ij} &= l(Y_{n+1} - h(a_j)) p_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq d, \\ \phi_n^i &= \phi_n^{a_i}, 1 \leq i \leq d, \\ q_n &= (q_n^{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}, \phi_n = (\phi_n^i)_{1 \leq i \leq d}. \end{aligned}$$

The equation for UFDF becomes

$$\phi_{n+1}^j = l(Y_{n+1} - h(a_j)) \sum_{i=1}^d p_{ij} \phi_n^i, j = 1, \dots, d,$$

or

$$\phi_{n+1} = \phi_n q_n, n \geq 0.$$

2 Smoothing and Prediction for HMM

Consider a hidden Markov chain model (HMM).

Signal is a homogeneous Markov chain on $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$, with the transition probabilities $p(r, u)$;

Observation $Y_n, n \geq 0$, is given by

$$Y_n = \gamma(X_n, W_n), \quad (6)$$

where $W_0, W_1 \dots$ are discrete i.i.d. independent of the signal $X_n, n \geq 0$;

Also, we will consider a continuous space noise model where the observation

$$Y_n = h(X_n) + W_n, n \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

where $W_0, W_1 \dots$ are continuous i.i.d. with a given pdf $l(v)$ independent of the signal $X_n, n \geq 0$;

We introduce the function

$$l(u, v) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(\gamma(u, W_1) = v), & \text{in the case (6),} \\ l(v - h(u)), & \text{in the case (7).} \end{cases}$$

(we assume it is known).

The joint pdf of X_n and $Y_{[0,n]} = (Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$ is

$$\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(X_n = a, Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}), & \text{in the case (6),} \\ \frac{\partial^{n+1} \mathbf{P}(X_n = a, Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]})}{\partial b_0 \dots \partial b_n}, & \text{in the case (7),} \end{cases}$$

where $a \in A, b_{[0,n]} = (b_0, \dots, b_n) \in B^{n+1}$ (B is the set where Y_n takes its values).

Remark 7 1) Obviously, $\phi_0^a(b_0) = l(a, b_0) \mathbf{P}(X_0 = a)$;

2) Knowledge of $\phi_n^a = \phi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]})$, $a \in A$, (called *unnormalized filtering density function UFDF*) allows to find the conditional distributions

$$\pi_n^a = \pi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = a | Y_{[0,n]}) = \frac{\phi_n^a}{\sum_{u \in A} \phi_n^u}, a \in A,$$

(called filtering density function, FDF).

We want to address the problem of prediction of the state X_T given the observation $Y_{[0,n]}, n \leq T$, and smoothing, estimation of the state $X_n, n \leq T$, given the observation $Y_{[0,T]}$.

We start with the smoothing problem. Since we want to find the conditional distributions

$$\mathbf{P}(X_n = a | Y_{[0,T]}), a \in A,$$

we need to consider the joint density function of X_n and $Y_{[0,T]}$:

$$\phi_{n,T}^a(b_{[0,T]}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}, X_n = a) & \text{in discrete case,} \\ \partial_{b_{[0,T]}}^{T+1} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} \leq b_{[0,T]}, X_n = a) & \text{in continuous case.} \end{cases}$$

The stochastic process $\phi_{n,T}^a = \phi_{n,T}^a(Y_{[0,T]})$, $a \in A$, will be referred to as the *un-normalized smoothing distribution* (resp. *density*) function of the state process X_n given observation $Y_{[0,T]} = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_T)$ in discrete (resp. continuous) case. In both cases unless there is a risk of confusion, we will use the abbreviation USDF.

Proposition 8 *In the discrete case, for all $a \in A, b_0, \dots, b_T \in B, n \in [0, T]$,*

$$\phi_{n,T}^a(b_{[0,T]}) = \phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) \mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}), \quad (8)$$

where $\mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) = \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+1,T]} = b_{[n+1,T]} | X_n = a)$, if $n < T$, and $\mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) = \mu_T^a = 1$, if $n = T$.

The same relation holds in the continuous case as well.

Note that for every $n \geq 0$

$$\sum_{a \in A} \phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) \mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) = \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}).$$

Proof. Indeed, since W and X are independent, by Lemma 16 (see Appendix)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{n,T}^a(b_{[0,T]}) &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}, X_n = a) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_n = a, Y_{[n+1,T]} = b_{[n+1,T]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+1,T]} = b_{[n+1,T]} | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_n = a) \phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) \\ &= \phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) \mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in A} \phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) \mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) &= \sum_{a \in A} \phi_{n,T}^a(b_{[0,T]}) = \sum_{a \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_n = a, Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}) \end{aligned}$$

■

2.1 Backward Baum-Welch equation

We know already that $\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]})$ satisfies the forward Baum-Welch equation. We will show that $\mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]})$ can be found by solving a backward Baum-Welch equation.

FORWARD BAUM-WELCH equation: for all $a \in A, n \geq 0, b_0, \dots \in B$,

$$\phi_{n+1}^a(b_{[0,n+1]}) = l(a, b_{n+1}) \sum_{r \in A} p(r, a) \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}), \quad (9)$$

and for $\phi_n^a = \phi_n^a(Y_{[0,n]})$,

$$\phi_{n+1}^a = l(a, Y_{n+1}) \sum_{r \in A} p(r, a) \phi_n^r. \quad (10)$$

Recall, $\phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]})$, $a \in A$, $b_{[0,n]} \in B^{n+1}$ is the joint pdf of X_n and $Y_{[0,n]}$.
BACKWARD BAUM-WELCH equation. It is an equation for

$$\mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+1,T]} = b_{[n+1,T]} | X_n = a), & \text{in the case (6)} \\ \partial^{T-n} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+1,T]} \leq b_{[n+1,T]} | X_n = a) / \partial b_{n+1} \dots \partial b_T & \text{in the case (7)} \end{cases}$$

The following statement regarding HMM (with space discrete or space continuous noise) holds.

Lemma 9 For all $a \in A$ and $b_T, b_{T-1}, \dots, \in B, T-1 > n \geq 0$,

$$\mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) = \sum_{r \in A} l(r, b_{n+1}) p(a, r) \mu_{n+1}^r(b_{[n+2,T]}),$$

and

$$\mu_{T-1}^a(b_T) = \sum_{r \in A} l(r, b_T) p(a, r) = \sum_{r \in A} l(r, b_T) p(a, r) \mu_T^r,$$

(recall we defined $\mu_T^r = 1$ for all r)

It is called a backward Baum-Welch equation.

Proof. We prove it for the space discrete case. We have $\mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+1,T]} = b_{[n+1,T]} | X_n = a) \\ &= \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]}, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1}, X_{n+1} = r | X_n = a). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Since $Y_{[n+2,T]} = \Gamma(X_{[n+2,T]}, W_{[n+2,T]}) = (\gamma(X_{n+2}, W_{n+2}), \dots, \gamma(X_T, W_T))$ (W and X are independent), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]}, Y_{n+1} = b_{n+1}, X_{n+1} = r | X_n = a) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]}, \gamma(r, W_{n+1})) = b_{n+1}, X_{n+1} = r | X_n = a) \quad (12) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(\gamma(r, W_{n+1})) = b_{n+1}) \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]}, X_{n+1} = r | X_n = a), \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 16

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]}, X_{n+1} = r | X_n = a) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]} | X_{n+1} = r, X_n = a) \mathbf{P}(X_{n+1} = r | X_n = a) \quad (13) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[n+2,T]} = b_{[n+2,T]} | X_{n+1} = r) p(a, r) = \mu_{n+1}^r(b_{[n+2,T]}) p(a, r). \end{aligned}$$

We get the equation by summarizing (11)-(13).

Obviously, Proposition 8 implies immediately the following ■

Corollary 10 Forward and backward Baum-Welch equations are in duality, i.e. for every n ,

$$(\mu_n(b_{[n+1,T]}), \phi_n(b_{[0,n]})) := \sum_{a \in A} \mu_n^a(b_{[n+1,T]}) \phi_n^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}).$$

2.2 Prediction and Smoothing

Consider random function $\phi_{n,T}^a = \phi_{n,T}^a(Y_{[0,T]})$.

Proposition 11 *For every $a \in A$, the conditional probability distribution of the state X_n given the complete observation sequence*

$$\pi_{n,T}^a = \mathbf{P}(X_n = a | Y_{[0,T]}) = \frac{\phi_{n,T}^a}{\sum_{r \in A} \phi_{n,T}^r(Y_{[0,T]})}.$$

Note $\sum_{r \in A} \phi_{n,T}^r(b_{[0,T]}) = \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_n = r, Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}) = \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]})$.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(X_n = a | Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}) &= \\ \Pr(X_n = a, Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}) / \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,T]} = b_{[0,T]}) &. \end{aligned}$$

■

Now, we address the prediction problem.

For $n < T$, write

$$\phi_{T,n}^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_T = a) & \text{in discrete case,} \\ \partial_{b_{[0,n]}}^{n+1} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} \leq b_{[0,n]}, X_T = a) & \text{in continuous case.} \end{cases}$$

The stochastic process $\phi_{T,n}^a, a \in A$, will be referred to as the *unnormalized prediction distribution* (resp. *density*) function of the state process X_T given observation $Y_{[0,n]} = (Y_0, \dots, Y_n)$ in discrete (resp. continuous) case. In both cases unless there is a risk of confusion, we will use the abbreviation UPDF.

Proposition 12 *For $n < T$,*

$$\phi_{T,n}^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \sum_{r \in A} \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{P}(X_T = a | X_n = r), \quad (14)$$

and we find $\pi_{T,n}^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \mathbf{P}(X_T = a | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]})$ by

$$\pi_{T,n}^a(b_{[0,n]}) = \frac{\phi_{T,n}^a(b_{[0,n]})}{\sum_{r \in A} \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})} = \frac{\sum_{r \in A} \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]}) \mathbf{P}(X_T = a | X_n = r)}{\sum_{r \in A} \phi_n^r(b_{[0,n]})}.$$

Remark 13 *In matrix notation, equation (14) is given by $\phi_{T,n} = \phi_n (P^*)^{T-n}$ where P is the transition probability matrix of the Markov chain (X_n) .*

Proof. Proof. It is readily checked that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{T,n}^a(b_{[0,n]}) &= \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_T = a) \\ &= \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_n = r, X_T = a) \\ &= \sum_{r \in A} \mathbf{P}(X_T = a | Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_n = r) \mathbf{P}(Y_{[0,n]} = b_{[0,n]}, X_n = r), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and the statement follows by Lemma 16. ■

2.3 Problems.

1. Consider an HMM representation of coin tossing experiment. Assume a three-state model (corresponding to three different coins) with probabilities

	State 1	State 2	State 3
$P(H)$	0.5	0.75	0.25
$P(T)$	0.5	0.25	0.75

and with all state-transition probabilities equal to $1/3$. Assume initial state probabilities of $1/3$.

You observe the sequence

$$Y^{10} = (HHHTHTHTTH).$$

Using Baum Eq.'s, COMPUTE:

$$P(H \text{ in the fifth toss} | Y^8)$$

$$P(H \text{ in the forth toss} | Y^{10})$$

$$P(T \text{ in the } n\text{-th toss} | Y^n) \text{ for } n = 4, 5, 6.$$

2. Consider an HMM. Do not assume memoryless channel. Prove that Y_n is independent of (Y_{n-1}, X_{n-1}) given X_n (i.e. $P(Y_n, Y_{n-1}, X_{n-1} | X_n) = P(Y_n | X_n)P(Y_{n-1}, X_{n-1} | X_n)$) if and only if $P(Y_n | X_n, Y_{n-1}, X_{n-1}) = P(Y_n | X_n)$.

3 Appendix

3.1 Conditioning

3.1.1 Conditional density

Assume V is a discrete r.v. with values in A and $U = (U_1, \dots, U_d)$ is a \mathbf{R}^d -valued continuous r.v. with a joint pdf $g(v, u)$ (which means that for any bounded $f(v, u)$,

$$\mathbf{E}f(V, U) = \sum_{v \in A} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f(v, u)g(v, u) du.$$

Note that

$$g(v, u) = \frac{\partial^d}{\partial u_1 \dots \partial u_d} \mathbf{P}(V = v, U \leq u), v \in A, u \in \mathbf{R}^d. \quad (16)$$

and the marginal pdf (pdf of U) is $g_U(u) = \sum_{v \in A} g(v, u), u \in \mathbf{R}^d$.

Lemma 14 *In the situation above,*

$$\mathbf{P}(V = a | U) = \frac{g(a, U)}{\sum_{v \in A} g(v, U)}. \quad (17)$$

(convention $\frac{c}{0} = 0$ applies).

Proof. For any bounded function $h(u), u \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[1_{V=a}h(U)] &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} h(u)g(a, u) \, du \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} h(u) \frac{g(a, u)}{g_U(u)} g_Y(u) du \\ &= \mathbf{E}[h(U) \frac{g(a, U)}{g_U(U)}]. \end{aligned}$$

■

3.2 Past and future of a Markov sequence

Lemma 15 *Assume Z_n is a sequence of r.v. such that*

$$\mathbf{P}(Z_{[n+1, m]} = z_{[n+1, m]} | Z_{[0, n]} = z_{[0, n]}) = \mathbf{P}(Z_{[n+1, m]} = z_{[n+1, m]} | Z_n = z_n).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{P}(Z_{[0, n]} = z_{[0, n]} | Z_{[n+1, m]} = z_{[n+1, m]}) = \mathbf{P}(Z_{[0, n]} = z_{[0, n]} | Z_{n+1} = z_{n+1}). \quad (18)$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(Z_{[0, n]} = z_{[0, n]}, Z_{[n+1, m]} = z_{[n+1, m]})}{\mathbf{P}(Z_{[n+1, m]} = z_{[n+1, m]})} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{P}(Z_{[n+2, m]} = z_{[n+2, m]} | Z_{[0, n+1]} = z_{[0, n+1]}) \mathbf{P}(Z_{[0, n+1]} = z_{[0, n+1]})}{\mathbf{P}(Z_{[n+2, m]} = z_{[n+2, m]} | Z_{n+1} = z_{n+1}) \mathbf{P}(Z_{n+1} = z_{n+1})} \\ &= RHS. \end{aligned}$$

■

Note that the properties above imply that for any bounded function $f(z_{[n+1, T]})$

$$\mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[n+1, T]}) | Z_{[0, n]}] = \mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[n+1, T]}) | Z_n],$$

and for any bounded $f(z_{[0, n]})$,

$$\mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[0, n]}) | Z_{[n+1, m]}] = \mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[0, n]}) | Z_{n+1}].$$

As following statement shows this does not change if we include some independent r.v.

Lemma 16 *Assume Z_n is a homogeneous Markov chain and U, V are independent r.v. (U, V, Z are independent). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[n+1, T]}, V) | Z_{[0, n]}, U] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[n+1, T]}, V) | Z_{[0, n]}] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[f(Z_{[n+1, T]}, V) | Z_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[0,n]}, V) | Z_{[n+1,T]}, U] \\
&= \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[0,n]}, V) | Z_{[n+1,T]}] \\
&= \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[0,n]}, V) | Z_{n+1}].
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 15, for any bounded function $g(x_{[0,n]}, u)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[n+1,T]}, V) g(Z_{[0,n]}, U)] &= \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} f(c', V) |_{c'=Z_{[n+1,T]}} \mathbf{E} g(c, U) |_{c=Z_{[0,n]}} \} \\
&\quad \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} [\mathbf{E} f(c', V) |_{c'=Z_{[n+1,T]}} | Z_n] \mathbf{E} g(c, U) |_{c=Z_{[0,n]}} \} \\
&= \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[n+1,T]}, V) | Z_n] \mathbf{E} g(c, U) |_{c=Z_{[0,n]}} \} \\
&= \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[n+1,T]}, V) | Z_n] g(Z_{[0,n]}, U) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any bounded function $g(x_{[n+1,T]}, u)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[0,n]}, V) g(Z_{[n+1,T]}, U)] &= \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} f(c', V) |_{c'=Z_{[0,n]}} \mathbf{E} g(c, U) |_{c=Z_{[n+1,T]}} \} \\
&= \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[0,n]}, V) | Z_{n+1}] \mathbf{E} g(c, U) |_{c=Z_{[n+1,T]}} \} \\
&= \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} [f(Z_{[n+1,T]}, V) | Z_{n+1}] g(Z_{[n+1,T]}, U) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

■