# Lecture Notes on Category Theory

Paul Ossientis

March 22, 2020

# Contents

1	Category		
	1.1	Small category	2
	1.2	Category	4
	1.3	Equality of categories	6
	1.4	Category of sets	7
	1.5	Opposite category	12
	1.6	Canonical product of categories	13
	1.7	Hom-sets of a category	16
	1.8	Locally small category	17
2	Functor		
	2.1	Functor	19
	2.2	Identity functor	20
	2.3	Constant functor	21
	2.4	Functor and opposite category	21
	2.5	Hom-functor of a locally small category	22
	2.6	Composition of functors	25
	2.7	Canonical product of functors	26
	2.8	Cartesian Functor	28
3	Natural Transformation		31
	3.1	Natural Transformation	31
4	Adjunction		
	11	Definition	39

## Chapter 1

# Category

## 1.1 Small category

Before we define a category in full generality, we shall focus our attention on the notion of *small category*. This notion is interesting to us because while it essentially describes the notion of *category* itself, it remains simple enough to be compared with various other algebraic structures. For example, consider the case of a monoid: a monoid is essentially a set M together with a binary relation  $\circ$  defined on M which is associative, and an element e of M which acts as an identity element for  $\circ$ . In short a monoid is a tuple  $(M, \circ, e)$  containing some data, and which satisfy certain properties. The same is true of a *small category*: it is also a tuple containing some data, and which satisfy certain properties:

**Definition 1** We call small category any tuple (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id,  $\circ$ ) with:

- (1) Ob  $is \ a \ set$
- (2) Arr is a set
- (3)  $\operatorname{dom}: \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Ob} \ is \ a \ function$
- (4)  $\operatorname{cod}: \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Ob} \ is \ a \ function$
- (5)  $id : Ob \rightarrow Arr is a function$
- (6)  $\circ : Arr \times Arr \rightarrow Arr \text{ is a partial function}$
- (7)  $g \circ f \text{ is defined } \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$
- (8)  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \Rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{dom}(f)$
- (9)  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \implies \operatorname{cod}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{cod}(g)$
- (10)  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \wedge \operatorname{cod}(g) = \operatorname{dom}(h) \Rightarrow (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$
- (11)  $\operatorname{dom}\left(\operatorname{id}(a)\right) = a = \operatorname{cod}\left(\operatorname{id}(a)\right)$
- (12)  $\operatorname{dom}(f) = a \implies f \circ \operatorname{id}(a) = f$
- (13)  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = a \Rightarrow \operatorname{id}(a) \circ f = f$

where (7) – (13) hold for all  $f, g, h \in Arr$  and  $a \in Ob$ :

So if  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Arr}, \mathrm{dom}, \mathrm{cod}, \mathrm{id}, \circ)$  is a small category, we have two sets Ob and Arr together with some structure defined on these sets. This feels very much like a monoid, except that we have two sets instead of one and it all looks more complicated. The set Ob is called the *set of objects* of the small category  $\mathcal{C}$  and is denoted Ob  $\mathcal{C}$ , while the set Arr is called the *set of arrows* of the small category  $\mathcal{C}$  and is denoted Arr  $\mathcal{C}$ . An element  $x \in \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{C}$  is called an *object* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , while an element  $f \in \mathrm{Arr}\ \mathcal{C}$  is called an *arrow* of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

As part of the structure defined on the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have two functions dom: Arr  $\to$  Ob and cod: Arr  $\to$  Ob. Hence, given an arrow f of the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have two objects  $\mathrm{dom}(f)$  and  $\mathrm{cod}(f)$  of the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ . The object  $\mathrm{dom}(f)$  is called the domain of f. The object  $\mathrm{cod}(f)$  is called the codomain of f. Note that an arrow f of the small category  $\mathcal{C}$  is simply an element of the set Arr  $\mathcal{C}$ . So it is itself a set but it may not be a function. The words domain and codomain are therefore overloaded as we are using them in relation to a set f which is possibly not a function. Whenever f is an arrow of the small category  $\mathcal{C}$  and f are objets, it is common to use the notation f: f and f are a an arrow domain and f are objects, it is important to guard against the possible confusion induced by the notation f: f and f be which does not mean that f is function. It simply means that f is an arrow with domain f and codomain f in the small category f.

One of the main ingredients of the structure defining a small category  $\mathcal C$  is the partial function  $\circ: \operatorname{Arr} \times \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Arr}$ , called the *composition operator* in the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ . The domain of this partial function is made of all ordered pairs (g, f) of arrows in  $\mathcal{C}$  for which  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$ . As already indicated in definition (1), we use the infix notation  $g \circ f$  rather than  $\circ (g, f)$  and the arrow  $g \circ f$  is called the *composition* of g and f. Once again, we should remember that the notation  $g \circ f$  does not mean that g or f are functions. They are simply arrows in the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ . One key property of the composition operator  $\circ$  is the associativity postulated by (10) of definition (1). Note that if  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: b \to c$ , then from properties (8) and (9) of definition (1) we obtain  $q \circ f: a \to c$ . Furthermore, if  $h: c \to d$  we have  $h \circ q: b \to d$  and the arrows  $(h \circ q) \circ f$  and  $h \circ (q \circ f)$  are therefore well-defined arrows with domain a and codomain d. This shows that the expression involved in the associativity condition (10) of definition (1) is always meaningful, involving terms which are well-defined provided  $g \circ f$  and  $h \circ g$  are themselves well-defined, i.e. provided cod(f) = dom(g) and cod(g) = dom(h).

Finally, as part of the structure defining the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have a function  $\mathrm{id}:\mathrm{Ob}\to\mathrm{Arr}$  called the *identity operator* on the small category  $\mathcal{C}$ . Hence, for every object a of  $\mathcal{C}$  we have an arrow  $\mathrm{id}(a)$  called the *identity at a*. Looking at property (11) of definition (1) we see that  $\mathrm{id}(a):a\to a$ . In other words, the arrow  $\mathrm{id}(a)$  has domain a and codomain a. Furthermore, looking at properties (12) and (13) of definition (1), for every arrow  $f:a\to b$ , the composition arrows  $\mathrm{id}(b)\circ f$  and  $f\circ\mathrm{id}(a)$  are well-defined and both equal to f.

## 1.2 Category

The notion of *small category* defined in definition (1) is similar to that of any other algebraic structure the reader may be familiar with. It can safely be encoded in set theory as a tuple (which is a set) containing data (which are other sets) which satisfies certain properties. In set theory, everything is a set. A small category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a set, its collection of objects Ob  $\mathcal{C}$  is a set, its arrows Arr  $\mathcal{C}$  form a set, the functions dom, cod, id and the composition operator  $\circ$  are all sets (functions are typically encoded as sets of ordered pairs).

Category theory falls outside of set theory. While the definition of a category we provide below is formally identical to that of a small category, the object we are defining can no longer be encoded in general as an object of set theory. For example, say we want to speak about the universe of all sets or the universe of all monoids. These universes which are known as classes cannot be represented as sets. They are not objects of set theory. Or say we are working within the formal framework of a proof assistant such as Coq, Agda or Lean. These tools are based on type theory and do not fall within the scope of set theory. When defining a category, we assume some form of meta-theoretic context, some form of logic, some way of reasoning about objects which may not be sets, where some meaning is attached to the words tuple, collection, equality and map. This may sound all very fuzzy, yet we cannot be more formal at this stage.

**Definition 2** We call category any tuple (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id,  $\circ$ ) such that:

```
(1)
             Ob is a collection with equality
 (2)
             Arr is a collection with equality
 (3)
             dom : Arr \rightarrow Ob \ is \ a \ map
 (4)
             \operatorname{cod}:\operatorname{Arr}\to\operatorname{Ob}\ is\ a\ map
 (5)
             id: Ob \rightarrow Arr is a map
 (6)
             \circ: Arr \times Arr \rightarrow Arr is a partial map
 (7)
             g \circ f is defined \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)
             cod(f) = dom(g) \implies dom(g \circ f) = dom(f)
 (8)
             cod(f) = dom(g) \implies cod(g \circ f) = cod(g)
 (9)
(10)
             cod(f) = dom(g) \wedge cod(g) = dom(h) \Rightarrow (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)
             dom(id(a)) = a = cod(id(a))
(11)
```

where (7) - (13) hold for all  $f, g, h \in Arr$  and  $a \in Ob$ :

 $dom(f) = a \implies f \circ id(a) = f$ 

 $cod(f) = a \implies id(a) \circ f = f$ 

(12)

(13)

So let  $\mathcal{C} = (\text{Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, }\circ)$  be a category: then  $\mathcal{C}$  is a tuple but it is no longer a tuple in a set-theoretic sense. We assume given some logical framework where the notion of tuple is clear, even if not formally defined. Furthermore, We are no longer imposing that Ob should be a set, but are instead using the phrase collection with equality, whatever this may mean in our given logical context. So we shall still make use of the notation Ob  $\mathcal{C}$  but this will now refer to the collection of all objects of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Notation 1** Let C be a category. Its collection of objects is denoted Ob C.

In fact, if a is an object of the category  $\mathcal{C}$ , we shall abuse notations somewhat by writing  $a \in \mathcal{O}$  or even simply  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  to express the fact that a is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , being understood that this use of the set membership symbol ' $\in$ ' does not mean anything is a set. Since we are stepping out of set theory, the objects of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  may not be sets themselves. They are simply members of the collection Ob  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Notation 2** Let C be a category. We write  $a \in C$  as a shortcut for  $a \in Ob C$ .

However, properties (7) - (13) of definition (2) are all referring to equalities between objects such that cod(f) = dom(g). So it must be the case that the notion of equality be meaningful on the collection Ob  $\mathcal{C}$ . This explains our use of the phrase collection with equality: given  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ , the statement a = b while not a set-theoretic equality is nonetheless assumed to be defined.

Similarly, the *collection* of arrows of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  shall still be denoted Arr  $\mathcal{C}$ , but is no longer required to be a set. If f is an arrow of the category  $\mathcal{C}$  then f itself may not be a set and we may still write ' $f \in \text{Arr } \mathcal{C}$ ' simply to indicate that f is a member of the collection Arr  $\mathcal{C}$ . Properties (10), (12) and (13) of definition (2) are all referring to equalities between arrows so the collection Arr  $\mathcal{C}$  must have some notion of equality defined on it.

**Notation 3** Let C be a category. Its collection of arrows is denoted Arr C.

Since Ob and Arr are no longer sets in general, the maps dom: Arr  $\rightarrow$  Ob,  $cod: Arr \rightarrow$  Ob,  $id: Ob \rightarrow$  Arr and the partial map  $\circ: Arr \times Arr \rightarrow$  Arr cannot possibly be functions in the set-theoretic sense. So there must be some meaning to the word map (from one collection to another) in whatever logical framework we are working in. The collection Arr  $\times$  Arr is not a set, and is simply the collection of all 2-dimensional tuples made from Arr. Our using the word map rather than function in definition (2) is simply an attempt at reminding ourselves of the fact these are not set-theoretic functions, eventhough the words map and function are perfectly interchangeable in standard (set-theoretic) mathematics. Given  $f \in Arr \mathcal{C}$ , we shall still call the object dom(f) the domain of f and the object cod(f) the codomain of f.

**Notation 4** Let C be a category. The domain of an arrow  $f \in Arr C$  is denoted dom(f), while its codomain is denoted cod(f).

**Remark**: Notation (4) is potentially ambiguous as a mathematical object f could in principle be an arrow in several categories, and the designations dom(f) and cod(f) do not specify which category is being referred to.

Given  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}$ , we shall still use the notation  $f : a \to b$  as a notational shortcut for dom(f) = a and cod(f) = b. Hence we state:

**Notation 5** Let C be a category. We write  $f: a \to b$  or  $f: a \to b @ C$  as a shortcut for  $f \in Arr C$  together with dom(f) = a and cod(f) = b.

**Remark**: The qualification @ C in notation (5) may be useful to disambiguate between several categories in a given context.

The partial map  $\circ$  is still the *composition operator* and the arrow  $g \circ f$  shall still be called the *composition* of g and f, provided it is defined.

**Notation 6** *Let* C *be a category. The composition operator on* C *is denoted*  $\circ$ , and the composition of two arrows q and f is denoted  $q \circ f$  or  $q \circ f \otimes C$ .

**Remark**:  $\circ$  may also be ambiguous in a context with several categories. It is also the common symbol to refer to standard function composition.

The map id : Ob  $\rightarrow$  Arr is still the *identity operator* on the category  $\mathcal{C}$ , and for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , the arrow  $id(a) : a \rightarrow a$  is known as the *identity at a*.

**Notation 7** Let C be a category. We write id(a) or id(a) @ C to denote the identity at a in the category C.

For all arrows  $f: a \to b$ , it is still the case that the arrows  $\mathrm{id}(b) \circ f$  and  $f \circ \mathrm{id}(a)$  are well-defined and both equal to f. Just as in the case of a small category, whenever  $f: a \to b$ ,  $g: b \to c$  and  $h: c \to d$ , all the terms involved in the equation  $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$  of definition (2) are well defined.

**Proposition 1** A small category is a category.

### Proof

When considering a small category C, we are implicitely working within a set theoretic framework in which equality between any two sets is always meaningful and elements of sets are themselves sets. So any set can be viewed as a *collection* with equality and hence a small category satisfies definition (2).  $\diamond$ 

## 1.3 Equality of categories

Whichever logical framework we are working from, we saw that when defining a category  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Arr}, \mathrm{dom}, \mathrm{cod}, \mathrm{id}, \circ)$ , some notion of equality had to be defined on the collections Ob and Arr. Now if  $\mathcal{C}' = (\mathrm{Ob}', \mathrm{Arr}', \mathrm{dom}', \mathrm{cod}', \mathrm{id}', \circ')$  is another category, the question may arise as to whether  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ . Or indeed, we may simply be asking whether the collections Ob and Ob' are the same, or whether dom = dom' etc. It is very difficult for us to carry out any sort of formal reasoning on things without equality. So having equality defined on Ob and Arr is neccessary for definition (2) to even make sense, but it is not enough for us to formally prove anything about categories. Hence we shall assume:

**Axiom 1** A notion of equality exists for collections.

It is implicit in the statement of axiom (1) that the notion of equality between collections should be reflexive, symmetric and transitive. Furthermore:

**Axiom 2** Two collections with identical members are equal.

In particular, if  $C = (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ)$  is a category and we have another partial map  $\circ' : Arr \times Arr \to Arr$  such that  $g \circ' f$  is defined if and only if  $g \circ f$  is defined, then axiom (2) allows us to argue that the domain of  $\circ'$  is the same collection as the domain of  $\circ$ .

**Axiom 3** Let A be a collection and B be a collection with equality. Then two maps  $F, G: A \to B$  are equal if and only if F(x) = G(x) for all x in A.

In particular, if  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Arr}, \mathrm{dom}, \mathrm{cod}, \mathrm{id}, \circ)$  is a category and  $\mathrm{dom}' : \mathrm{Arr} \to \mathrm{Ob}$  is another map such that  $\mathrm{dom}'(x) = \mathrm{dom}(x)$  for every object  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathrm{dom}' = \mathrm{dom}$ . Or if  $\circ' : \mathrm{Arr} \times \mathrm{Arr} \to \mathrm{Arr}$  is another partial map with the same domain as that of  $\circ$  and such that  $g \circ' f = g \circ f$  when defined, then  $\circ' = \circ$ .

Axiom 4 Two tuples with identical entries are equal.

So if  $C = (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ)$  and  $C' = (Ob', Arr', dom', cod', id', \circ')$  are two catgeories such that Ob = Ob', Arr = Arr', dom = dom', cod = cod', id = id' and o = o' then we have the equality C = C'.

**Notation 8** If  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to C$  are maps between collections, we denote  $g \circ f$  the map  $g \circ f: A \to C$  defined by  $(g \circ f)(a) = g(f(a))$  for all  $a \in A$ .

## 1.4 Category of sets

**Definition 3** We call **Set** the category  $\mathbf{Set} = (\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Arr}, \mathrm{dom}, \mathrm{cod}, \mathrm{id}, \circ)$  where

- $(1) \qquad Ob = \{ x \mid x \text{ is a set } \}$
- (2)  $Arr = \{ (a, b, f) \mid f \text{ is a function } f : a \to b \}$
- (3) dom(a, b, f) = a
- $(4) \qquad \operatorname{cod}(a, b, f) = b$
- (5) id(a) = (a, a, i(a))
- (6)  $(b, c, g) \circ (a, b, f) = (a, c, g \circ f)$

where (3) – (6) hold for all sets a,b,c and functions  $f:a \to b, g:b \to c$ ,  $i(a):a \to a$  denotes the usual identity function on a, and  $g \circ f$  denotes the usual function composition defined by  $(g \circ f)(x) = g(f(x))$ , for all  $x \in a$ .

The collection of objects of the category **Set** is defined to be the class of all sets. We are using the set comprehension notation  $\{x \mid x \text{ is a set}\}$  to denote this class, but this is an abuse of notation as Ob is not a set but a proper class. One could think of a class as a precicate P(x) of first order logic with one free variable. From this point of view Ob becomes the predicate Ob(x) = T, i.e. the predicate which returns true for all x. Every set satisfies the predicate Ob, so every set is a member of the class Ob. The class Ob is not a set because the set-theoretic statement  $\exists y, \forall z, z \in y \Leftrightarrow Ob(z)$  can be proven false. In other words, there exists no set y whose elements z are exactly the sets which satisfy the predicate Ob. There exists no set which contains all sets.

The collection of arrows of the category **Set** is defined to be the class of triples (a, b, f) where a, b are sets and f is a function  $f: a \to b$ . This last notation is a common set-theoretic shortcut to express the fact that f is a function with domain a and range which is a subset of b. A function is any set f whose elements are ordered pairs (x, y) and which is functional, i.e. for which the following implication holds for all sets x, y, y':

$$(x,y) \in f \land (x,y') \in f \Rightarrow y = y'$$

The domain of a function f is the set of all sets x for which there exists a set y with  $(x,y) \in f$ . The range of a function f is the set of all sets y for which there exists a set x with  $(x,y) \in f$ . If x belongs to the domain of a function f, the notation f(x) commonly refers to the unique set y with  $f(x,y) \in f$ .

Now, as already pointed out the notation  $f:a\to b$  only requires that the range of f should be a subset of b. There is no requirement that the range of f should be equal to b. So if  $f:a\to b$  and  $b\subseteq c$  then  $f:a\to c$ . This explains why the collection of arrows Arr is defined as a class of triples (a,b,f) rather than a class of functions f. Knowing the function f does not tell you which codomain it should have. Any set b which is a superset of its range is a possible codomain. So we keep the set b together with the function f in the triple (a,b,f) so as to remember which codomain is intended for this particular arrow of the category **Set**. Incidentally, we also keep the range a of the function f in the triple (a,b,f) but this is not necessary, as the knowledge of f does allow us to recover its domain a. However, the triple (a,b,f) is convenient, allowing us to treat domain and codomain uniformly. Once again, it should be remembered that the collection of arrows Arr is not a set but a proper class, corresponding to the predicate  $\operatorname{Arr}(x)$  defined as follows:

$$Arr(x) = \exists a \, \exists b \, \exists f, \ x = (a, b, f) \land f : a \rightarrow b$$

The maps dom: Arr  $\rightarrow$  Ob and cod: Arr  $\rightarrow$  Ob for the category **Set** are defined respectively by dom(a, b, f) = a and cod(a, b, f) = b. This looks simple enough, but for those who worry about foundational issues, we should just note that these are also proper classes which can be encoded as predicates. For example:

$$dom(x) = \exists u \,\exists v \,, \ x = (u, v) \,\land \, \operatorname{Arr}(u) \,\land \, (\, \exists a \,\exists b \,\exists f \,, \ u = (a, b, f) \,\land \, v = a \,)$$

In other words, any set x satisfies the predicate dom(x) if and only if it is an ordered pair (u, v) where u satisfies the predicate Arr(u) and for which there

exist sets a, b, f with u = (a, b, f) and v = a. In short, (u, v) satisfies the predicate dom if and only if u is an arrow u = (a, b, f) and v = a.

We defined the identity operator id by  $\mathrm{id}(a) = (a,a,i(a))$  and the composition operator  $\circ$  by  $(b,c,g)\circ(a,b,f) = (a,c,g\circ f)$  where  $g\circ f$  is the usual function composition and  $i(a):a\to a$  is the usual identity function. As before, these defined maps are not functional sets of ordered pairs but rather proper classes which we could also encode as precicates of first order logic. One important point to note is the fact that (6) of definition (3) only defines the composition arrow  $(b,c,g)\circ(a,b,f)$  where  $f:a\to b$  and  $g:b\to c$ . In other words, the composition  $(d,c,g)\circ(a,b,f)$  with  $f:a\to b$  and  $g:d\to c$  is only defined when b=d. Furthermore, the usual function composition  $g\circ f$  is a function  $g\circ f:a\to c$  which from (2) of definition (3) means that the composed arrow  $(b,c,g)\circ(a,b,f)=(a,c,g\circ f)$  is indeed a member of the collection Arr, and the partial map  $\circ$  thus defined is indeed a partial map  $\circ$ : Arr  $\times$  Arr

**Proposition 2** The category **Set** of definition (3) is a category.

#### Proof

Now that we have defined the data (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id,  $\circ$ ) of the category **Set**, it is time to check this data actually forms a category. We need to check that conditions (7) - (13) of definition (2) are satisfied.

(7): suppose  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  are two members of the collection Arr. We need to check that  $g^* \circ f^*$  is defined if and only if  $\operatorname{cod}(f^*) = \operatorname{dom}(g^*)$ . Using (2) of definition (3),  $f^*$  can be written  $f^* = (a, b, f)$  for some function  $f: a \to b$  and  $g^*$  can be written  $g^* = (d, c, g)$  for some function  $g: d \to c$ . However, from (6) of definition (3), the arrrow  $(d, c, g) \circ (a, b, f)$  is only defined in the case when b = d. Furthermore, from (4) of definition (3) we have  $\operatorname{cod}(f^*) = b$  and from (3) of definition (3) we have  $\operatorname{dom}(g^*) = d$ . We conclude that  $g^* \circ f^*$  is defined if and only if  $\operatorname{cod}(f^*) = \operatorname{dom}(g^*)$  as required.

(8): Let  $f^*, g^* \in \text{Arr}$  such that  $\text{cod}(f^*) = \text{dom}(g^*)$ . We need to show that  $\text{dom}(g^* \circ f^*) = \text{dom}(f^*)$ . As before,  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  can be written as  $f^* = (a, b, f)$  and  $g^* = (b, c, g)$  where  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: b \to c$ . We have  $g^* \circ f^* = (a, c, g \circ f)$ . Using (3) of definition (3) we obtain  $\text{dom}(g^* \circ f^*) = a = \text{dom}(f^*)$ .

(9): Let  $f^*, g^* \in \text{Arr}$  such that  $\operatorname{cod}(f^*) = \operatorname{dom}(g^*)$ . We need to show that  $\operatorname{cod}(g^* \circ f^*) = \operatorname{cod}(g^*)$ . As before, we have  $g^* \circ f^* = (a, c, g \circ f)$  and  $g^* = (b, c, g)$ . Using (4) of definition (3) we obtain  $\operatorname{cod}(g^* \circ f^*) = c = \operatorname{cod}(g^*)$ .

(10): Let  $f^*, g^*, h^* \in \text{Arr}$  with  $\text{cod}(f^*) = \text{dom}(g^*)$  and  $\text{cod}(g^*) = \text{dom}(h^*)$ . We need to show the equality:  $(h^* \circ g^*) \circ f^* = h^* \circ (g^* \circ f^*)$ . However,  $f^*, g^*, h^*$  can be decomposed as  $f^* = (a, b, f), g^* = (b, c, g)$  and  $h^* = (c, d, h)$  with  $f: a \to b, g: b \to c$ , and  $h: c \to d$ . We have:

```
(h^* \circ g^*) \circ f^* = ((c, d, h) \circ (b, c, g)) \circ (a, b, f)
(6) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (b, d, h \circ g) \circ (a, b, f)
(6) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (a, d, (h \circ g) \circ f)
assoc of usual composition \to = (a, d, h \circ (g \circ f))
(6) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (c, d, h) \circ (a, c, g \circ f)
```

(6) of Def (3) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 =  $(c, d, h) \circ ((b, c, g) \circ (a, b, f))$   
=  $h^* \circ (g^* \circ f^*)$ 

(11): Let a be a set. We need to show that dom(id(a)) = a = cod(id(a)). This follows immediately from id(a) = (a, a, i(a)) which is (5) of definition (3).

(12): Let  $f^* = (a, b, f)$  be an arrow with  $dom(f^*) = a$ . We need to show that  $f^* \circ id(a) = f^*$ , which follows from:

$$f^* \circ \mathrm{id}(a) = (a,b,f) \circ \mathrm{id}(a)$$

$$(5) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (a,b,f) \circ (a,a,i(a))$$

$$(6) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (a,b,f \circ i(a))$$
usual right-identity  $\to = (a,b,f)$ 

$$= f^*$$

(13): Let  $f^* = (b, a, f)$  be an arrow with  $cod(f^*) = a$ . We need to show that  $id(a) \circ f^* = f^*$ , which follows from:

$$\operatorname{id}(a) \circ f^* = \operatorname{id}(a) \circ (b, a, f)$$

$$(5) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (a, a, i(a)) \circ (b, a, f)$$

$$(6) \text{ of Def } (3) \to = (b, a, i(a) \circ f)$$

$$\operatorname{usual left-identity} \to = (b, a, f)$$

$$= f^*$$

This completes our proof of properties (7) - (13).  $\diamond$ 

**Notation 9** We shall denote an arrow (a, b, f) of the category **Set** simply by f.

**Remark** So on top of its usual set-theoretic meaning, the notation  $f: a \to b$  may also have its categorical meaning expressing the fact that f is an arrow of the category **Set** with domain a and codomain b, i.e. that f is really (a, b, f).

Whenever  $f^*: a \to b$  is an arrow of the category **Set** and  $x \in a$ , the notation  $f^*(x)$  is not strictly speaking meaningful since  $f^*$  is not a function but a tuple (a,b,f). However, it is natural enough to extend our notations:

**Notation 10** If  $f^*: a \to b$  is an arrow of the category **Set**, for all  $x \in a$  we shall write  $f^*(x)$  as a shortcut for f(x) where  $f^* = (a, b, f)$ .

Functions in set theory are just sets, and equality between functions is simply the standard equality between sets. As it turns out, if f and g are two functions with the same domain a, then the set equality f=g is equivalent to the *extensional* equality  $\forall x \in a$ , f(x)=g(x):

**Proposition 3** Let f, g be two functions with identical domain a. We have:

$$f = q \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in a , f(x) = q(x)$$

## Proof

 $(\Rightarrow)$ : We assume that f=g and  $x\in a$ . We need to show that f(x)=g(x). However f(x) is defined as the unique set y such that  $(x,y)\in f$ , while g(x) is the unique set y such that  $(x,y)\in g$ . Having assumed that f=g, both f(x) and g(x) are the unique set y such that  $(x,y)\in f$ . So we must have f(x)=g(x) by uniqueness.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): We assume that f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in a$ . We need to show that f = g. Hence we need to show that  $f \subseteq g$  and  $g \subseteq f$ . By symmetry, we can focus on proving  $f \subseteq g$  as the same proof will carry over for  $g \subseteq f$ . So suppose  $z \in f$ . We need to show that  $z \in g$ . Having assumed that f is a function, the element z must be an ordered pair z = (x, y). Hence we have  $(x, y) \in f$ . Having assumed that the domain of f is a, this shows that  $x \in a$ . Furthermore, from  $(x, y) \in f$  we obtain f(x) = y. Hence, by assumption we obtain g(x) = y. However g(x) is the unique set y' with  $(x, y') \in g$ . Hence, we have  $(x, y) \in g$  and finally  $z \in g$ .

An important question which will invariably arise is deciding when two arrows of the category **Set** are equal. Although we have defined an arrow to be a tuple (a,b,f), as indicated in notation (9) we will often refer to such an arrow simply as f. However, we should not forget that the equality f=g between underlying functions is not enough for two arrows (a,b,f) and (c,d,g) to be equal. The equality between functions will ensure that they have the same domain and the same range, but it does not tell us anything about the intended codomains of their respective arrows.

**Proposition 4** If two functions f, g are equal, they have the same domain.

## Proof

We assume f, g are functions and f = g. We need to show f and g have the same domain. By symmetry, it is sufficient to show that the domain of f is a subset of that of g. So let x be an element of the domain of f. There exists some y with  $(x,y) \in f$ . From f = g we obtain  $(x,y) \in g$  and consequently x is also an element of the domain of g.  $\diamond$ 

**Proposition 5** If two functions f, g are equal, they have the same range.

### Proof

We assume f,g are functions and f=g. We need to show f and g have the same range. By symmetry, it is sufficient to show that the range of f is a subset of that of g. So let g be an element of the range of g. There exists some g with g with g and consequently g is also an element of the range of g.  $\diamond$ 

**Proposition 6** Let  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: c \to d$  be two arrows of the category **Set**. Then f = g if and only if a = c, b = d and f(x) = g(x) for all  $x \in a$ .

### Proof

Let  $f^*: a \to b$  and  $g^*: c \to d$ , that is  $f^* = (a, b, f)$  (for some f) and  $g^* = (c, d, g)$ 

(for some g) be two arrows of the category **Set**. We call these arrows  $f^*$  and  $g^*$  in this proof so as to be very precise on the distinction between an arrow and its underlying function. Looking at definition (3), f and g are functions  $f:a\to b$  and  $g:c\to d$ . So the domain of f is the set f while the domain of f is the set f while the range of f is a subset of f while the range of f is a subset of f while the range of f is a subset of f. First we assume that  $f^*=g^*$ . Then we have the equality between triples f the equality between underlying functions f and f the equality between f and f the equality f the equality f and f the equality f the equality f the equality f and f the equality f the equalit

## 1.5 Opposite category

**Definition 4** Let  $C = (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ)$  be a category. We call opposite category of C, the category denoted  $C^{op}$  and defined by:

$$C^{op} = (Ob, Arr, cod, dom, id, \circ')$$

where the composition operator  $\circ'$  is defined by  $f \circ' g = g \circ f$ , for all  $f, g \in Arr$ .

So if  $C = (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ)$  is a category, the opposite category  $C^{op}$  is almost identical, except for the composition operator  $\circ'$  which is a flipped version of  $\circ$ , and for dom and cod which have been swapped with each other. The collection of objects of  $C^{op}$  is the same as that of C, giving us the equality  $C^{op} = C^{op} = C^{op}$ . Likewise, the collection of arrows of  $C^{op}$  is the same as that of C, giving us this other equality  $C^{op} = C^{op} = C^{op}$ . If we denote  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  and  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  then  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  and  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  and the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever the composition arrow  $C^{op} = C^{op}$  is defined whenever  $C^{op} = C^{op}$ 

**Proposition 7** Let C be a category. Then  $C^{op}$  of definition (4) is a category.

### Proof

We need to check that the data  $C^{op} = (Ob, Arr, cod, dom, id, \circ')$  of definition (4) forms a category, having assumed that the underlying data for C does. We have indeed two collections Ob and Arr with maps between them  $cod : Arr \to Ob$ , dom:  $Arr \to Ob$ , id:  $Ob \to Arr$  and partial map  $o' : Arr \times Arr \to Arr$ . So it remains to show that conditions (7) – (13) of definition (2) are satisfied. For the purpose of this proof, we shall denote dom' = cod and cod' = dom.

(7): We need to check that  $f \circ' g$  is defined if and only if  $\operatorname{cod}'(g) = \operatorname{dom}'(f)$  which is  $\operatorname{dom}(g) = \operatorname{cod}(f)$ . However by definition, we have set  $f \circ' g$  to be

defined whenever  $g \circ f$  is itself defined, and since C is a category, this is in turn equivalent to cod(f) = dom(g). Hence, we are done.

- (8): We need to check that  $\operatorname{dom}'(f \circ' g) = \operatorname{dom}'(g)$  which can be written as  $\operatorname{cod}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{cod}(g)$  and which is true since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category.
- (9): We need to check that  $\operatorname{cod}'(f \circ' g) = \operatorname{cod}'(f)$  which can be written as  $\operatorname{dom}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{dom}(f)$  and which is true since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category.
- (10): Given arrows h, g, f with  $\operatorname{cod}'(h) = \operatorname{dom}'(g)$  and  $\operatorname{cod}'(g) = \operatorname{dom}'(f)$ , we need to check that  $(f \circ' g) \circ' h = f \circ' (g \circ' h)$ . However, our assumption can be written as  $\operatorname{dom}(h) = \operatorname{cod}(g)$  and  $\operatorname{dom}(g) = \operatorname{cod}(f)$  and having assumed that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category, by property (10) of definition (2) we have:

$$(f \circ' g) \circ' h = h \circ (f \circ' g)$$

$$= h \circ (g \circ f)$$

$$\mathcal{C} \text{ is a category} \to = (h \circ g) \circ f$$

$$= f \circ' (h \circ g)$$

$$= f \circ' (g \circ' h)$$

- (11): We need to check that  $\operatorname{dom}'(\operatorname{id}(a)) = a = \operatorname{cod}'(\operatorname{id}(a))$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , which follows from  $\operatorname{dom}' = \operatorname{cod}$ ,  $\operatorname{cod}' = \operatorname{dom}$  and the fact that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category.
- (12): We need to check that  $f \circ' \operatorname{id}(a) = f$  whenever  $\operatorname{dom}'(f) = a$ , that is  $\operatorname{id}(a) \circ f = f$  whenever  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = a$ , which follows from  $\mathcal C$  being a category.
- (13): We need to check that  $id(a) \circ' f = f$  whenever cod'(f) = a, that is  $f \circ id(a) = f$  whenever dom(f) = a, which follows from  $\mathcal{C}$  being a category. This completes our proof of properties (7) (13).  $\diamond$

**Proposition 8** Let C be a category. Then the opposite category of  $C^{op}$  is C, i.e.

$$(\mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} = \mathcal{C}$$

### Proof

Let  $C = (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ)$  be a category. From definition (4), we have  $C^{op} = (Ob, Arr, cod, dom, id, \circ')$  and consequently:

$$(\mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} = (\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Arr}, \mathrm{dom}, \mathrm{cod}, \mathrm{id}, \circ'')$$

In order to show that  $(\mathcal{C}^{op})^{op} = \mathcal{C}$ , by virtue of axiom (4) we simply need to show that the partial maps  $\circ, \circ'' : \operatorname{Arr} \times \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Arr}$  are equal. Given two arrows f and g, the composition arrow  $g \circ'' f$  is defined if and only if  $f \circ' g$  is defined, which is itself equivalent to  $g \circ f$  being defined. By virtue of axiom (2), both  $\circ$  and  $\circ''$  are therefore defined on the same collection of arrow tuples (g, f). Furthemore, whenever  $g \circ f$  is defined, we have  $g \circ'' f = f \circ' g = g \circ f$ . Using axiom (3) we conclude that  $\circ'' = \circ$  as requested.  $\diamond$ 

## 1.6 Canonical product of categories

**Definition 5** We call canonical product of categories  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  the category denoted  $C_1 \times C_2$  and defined by  $C_1 \times C_2 = (\text{Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, } \circ)$  where:

(1) Ob = 
$$\{ (x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \in Ob \ C_1, x_2 \in Ob \ C_2 \}$$

- (2)  $\operatorname{Arr} = \{ (f_1, f_2) \mid f_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} C_1, f_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} C_2 \}$
- (3)  $dom(f_1, f_2) = (dom(f_1), dom(f_2))$
- (4)  $\operatorname{cod}(f_1, f_2) = (\operatorname{cod}(f_1), \operatorname{cod}(f_2))$
- (5)  $id(x_1, x_2) = (id(x_1), id(x_2))$
- (6)  $(g_1, g_2) \circ (f_1, f_2) = (g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2)$

where (3) and (4) hold for all  $f_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} C_1$  and  $f_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} C_2$ , (5) holds for all  $x_1 \in \operatorname{Ob} C_1$  and  $x_2 \in \operatorname{Ob} C_2$ , and (6) holds for all  $f_1, g_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} C_1$  and  $f_2, g_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} C_2$  for which  $g_1 \circ f_1$  and  $g_2 \circ f_2$  are defined.

So if  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two categories, the objects of  $C_1 \times C_2$  are the collection of all tuples  $(x_1, x_2)$  where  $x_1$  is an object of  $C_1$  and  $x_2$  is an object of  $C_2$ . The set comprehension notation  $\{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \in \text{Ob } C_1, x_2 \in \text{Ob } C_2\}$  is of course an abuse of notation as it does not in general represent a set but a collection. We could also have denoted this collection Ob  $C_1 \times \text{Ob } C_2$  using a cartesian product notation, keeping in mind that this is a product of two collections.

Similarly, the arrows of  $C_1 \times C_2$  are the collection of all tuples  $(f_1, f_2)$  where  $f_1$  is an arrow of  $C_1$  and  $f_2$  is an arrow of  $C_2$ , a collection which could reasonably be denoted Arr  $C_1 \times$  Arr  $C_2$  instead of the set-comprehension notation.

It should be clear from definition (5) that the notations dom, cod, id and  $\circ$  are overloaded, referring either to  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_2$  or  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ . Given our definitions of Ob  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$  and Arr  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$ , given that we have dom: Arr  $\mathcal{C}_1 \to \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}_1$  and dom: Arr  $\mathcal{C}_2 \to \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}_2$  it should be clear that (3) of definition (5) defines a map dom: Arr  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to \operatorname{Ob} (\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$ , and cod: Arr  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to \operatorname{Ob} (\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$  follows from (4). Furthermore from id: Ob  $\mathcal{C}_1 \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_1$  and id: Ob  $\mathcal{C}_2 \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_2$  we obtain id: Ob  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to \operatorname{Arr} (\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$  using (5). Finally using (6), given the partial maps  $\circ$ : Arr  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_1 \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\circ$ : Arr  $\mathcal{C}_2 \times \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_2 \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_2$  we obtain a partial map  $\circ$ : Arr  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to \operatorname{Arr} (\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ .

**Proposition 9** The canonical product  $C_1 \times C_2$  of definition (5) is a category.

## Proof

We need to check that the data  $C_1 \times C_2 = (\text{Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, }\circ)$  of definition (5) forms a category, having assumed  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are categories. We have established that Ob and Arr are collections, that dom, cod, id are maps with the appropriate signatures and  $\circ$  is a partial map with the appropriate signature. It remains to check properties (7) - (13) of definition (2).

(7): Let  $f,g \in \operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$ . We need to show that  $g \circ f$  is defined if and only if  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$ . Let  $f_1, g_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $f_2, g_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_2$  such that  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2)$ . Then  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = (\operatorname{cod}(f_1), \operatorname{cod}(f_2))$  and  $\operatorname{dom}(g) = (\operatorname{dom}(g_1), \operatorname{dom}(g_2))$ . So we need to show that  $g \circ f$  is defined if and only if  $\operatorname{cod}(f_1) = \operatorname{dom}(g_1)$  and  $\operatorname{cod}(f_2) = \operatorname{dom}(g_2)$ . However since  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  are categories,  $\operatorname{cod}(f_1) = \operatorname{dom}(g_1)$  is equivalent to  $g_1 \circ f_1$  being defined, and  $\operatorname{cod}(f_2) = \operatorname{dom}(g_2)$  is equivalent to  $g_2 \circ f_2$  being defined. So we need to show that  $g \circ f$  is defined if and only if both  $g_1 \circ f_1$  and  $g_2 \circ f_2$  are defined which follows exactly from definition (5).

(8): Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  be arrows in  $C_1 \times C_2$  with the equality  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$ , i.e. for which  $g_1 \circ f_1$  and  $g_2 \circ f_2$  are defined. We need to check the equality  $\operatorname{dom}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{dom}(f)$  which goes as follows:

$$dom(g \circ f) = dom((g_1, g_2) \circ (f_1, f_2))$$
(6) of def. (5)  $\to = dom((g_1 \circ f_1, g_2 \circ f_2))$ 
(3) of def. (5)  $\to = (dom(g_1 \circ f_1), dom(g_2 \circ f_2))$ 

$$C_1, C_2 \text{ categories, (8) of def. (2)} \to = (dom(f_1), dom(f_2))$$
(3) of def. (5)  $\to = dom(f_1, f_2)$ 

$$= dom(f)$$

(9): Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  be arrows in  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$  with the equality  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$ , i.e. for which  $g_1 \circ f_1$  and  $g_2 \circ f_2$  are defined. We need to check the equality  $\operatorname{cod}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{cod}(g)$  which goes as follows:

(10): Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$ ,  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  and  $h = (h_1, h_2)$  be arrows in  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$  with the equalities  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$  and  $\operatorname{cod}(g) = \operatorname{dom}(h)$ , i.e. for which the composition arrows  $g_1 \circ f_1$ ,  $g_2 \circ f_2$ ,  $h_1 \circ g_1$  and  $h_2 \circ g_2$  are defined. We need to check the equality  $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$  which goes as follows:

$$(h \circ g) \circ f = ((h_1, h_2) \circ (g_1, g_2)) \circ (f_1, f_2)$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (h_1 \circ g_1, h_2 \circ g_2) \circ (f_1, f_2)$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = ((h_1 \circ g_1) \circ f_1, (h_2 \circ g_2) \circ f_2)$$

$$C_1, C_2 \text{ categories, } (10) \text{ of def. } (2) \to = (h_1 \circ (g_1 \circ f_1), h_2 \circ (g_2 \circ f_2))$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (h_1, h_2) \circ ((g_1 \circ f_1), (g_2 \circ f_2))$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (h_1, h_2) \circ ((g_1, g_2) \circ (f_1, f_2))$$

$$= h \circ (g \circ f)$$

(11): Let  $a=(a_1,a_2)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}_1\times\mathcal{C}_2$ . We need to check that  $\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a))=a=\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a))$  which goes as follows:

$$\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a_1, a_2))$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a_1), \operatorname{id}(a_2))$$

$$(3) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a_1)), \operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a_2)))$$

$$C_1, C_2 \text{ categories, } (11) \text{ of def. } (2) \to = (a_1, a_2)$$

$$= a$$

```
\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a_1, a_2))
(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = \operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a_1), \operatorname{id}(a_2))
(4) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a_1)), \operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a_2)))
C_1, C_2 \text{ categories, } (11) \text{ of def. } (2) \to = (a_1, a_2)
= a
```

(12): Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  be an arrow and  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$  such that dom(f) = a. We need to show that  $f \circ id(a) = f$  which goes as follows: Using (3) of definition (5) and the condition dom(f) = a we obtain the equation  $(dom(f_1), dom(f_2)) = (a_1, a_2)$ . Hence, we have:

$$f \circ id(a) = (f_1, f_2) \circ id(a_1, a_2)$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (f_1, f_2) \circ (id(a_1), id(a_2))$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = (f_1 \circ id(a_1), f_2 \circ id(a_2))$$

$$C_1 \text{ category, } dom(f_1) = a_1 \to = (f_1, f_2 \circ id(a_2))$$

$$C_2 \text{ category, } dom(f_2) = a_2 \to = (f_1, f_2)$$

$$= f$$

(13): Let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  be an arrow and  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  be an object in  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$  such that  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = a$ . We need to show that  $\operatorname{id}(a) \circ f = f$  which goes as follows: Using (4) of definition (5) and the condition  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = a$  we obtain the equation  $(\operatorname{cod}(f_1), \operatorname{cod}(f_2)) = (a_1, a_2)$ . Hence, we have:

This completes our proof of properties (7) - (13).  $\diamond$ 

## 1.7 Hom-sets of a category

**Definition 6** Let C be a category and  $a, b \in C$ . We call hom-set of C associated with the ordered pair (a, b) the collection denoted C(a, b) and defined as:

$$C(a,b) = \{ f \in Arr C \mid f : a \to b \}$$

In other words the collection C(a, b) is the collection of all arrows f in C such that dom(f) = a and cod(f) = b. Note that despite being called a 'hom-set', the collection C(a, b) is generally not a set but an arbitary collection.

**Proposition 10** Let C be a category and  $a, b \in C$ . Then  $C^{op}(a, b) = C(b, a)$ .

## Proof

Denoting dom' = cod and cod' = dom we have:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{C}^{op}(a,b) & = & \{ \ f \in \operatorname{Arr} \, \mathcal{C}^{op} \mid f : a \to b \ @ \, \mathcal{C}^{op} \ \} \\ \operatorname{def.} \ (4) \to & = & \{ \ f \in \operatorname{Arr} \, \mathcal{C} \mid f : a \to b \ @ \, \mathcal{C}^{op} \ \} \\ \operatorname{def.} \ (4) \to & = & \{ \ f \in \operatorname{Arr} \, \mathcal{C} \mid \operatorname{dom}'(f) = a \ , \ \operatorname{cod}'(f) = b \ \} \\ & = & \{ \ f \in \operatorname{Arr} \, \mathcal{C} \mid \operatorname{cod}(f) = a \ , \ \operatorname{dom}(f) = b \ \} \\ & = & \{ \ f \in \operatorname{Arr} \, \mathcal{C} \mid f : b \to a \ @ \, \mathcal{C} \ \} \\ & = & \mathcal{C}(b,a) \end{array}$$

 $\Diamond$ 

**Proposition 11** Let  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  be two categories and  $a, b \in C_1 \times C_2$ . Then:

$$C_1 \times C_2(a,b) = C_1(a_1,b_1) \times C_2(a_2,b_2)$$

where it is understood that  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$ .

### Proof

Let  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  be objects in the product category  $\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ :

 $\Diamond$ 

## 1.8 Locally small category

**Definition 7** A category C is said to be locally small if and only if the hom-set C(a,b) associated with every ordered pair of objects (a,b) is actually a set.

**Proposition 12** A category C is locally small if and only if  $C^{op}$  is locally small.

## Proof

The category  $\mathcal{C}$  being locally small is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}(a,b)$  being a set for all  $a,b \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\text{Ob } \mathcal{C} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}^{op}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{op}(a,b) = \mathcal{C}(b,a)$  from proposition (10), this is in turn equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}^{op}(a,b)$  being a set for all  $a,b \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}^{op}$ . Hence, it is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}^{op}$  being locally small.  $\diamond$ 

**Proposition 13** The product  $C_1 \times C_2$  of locally small categories is locally small.

### Proof

Let  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  be two locally small categories. We need to show that the canonical product  $C_1 \times C_2$  is itself locally small. In other words, given  $a,b \in C_1 \times C_2$  we need to show that the collection  $C_1 \times C_2(a,b)$  is actually a set. However from proposition (11) we have  $C_1 \times C_2(a,b) = C_1(a_1,b_1) \times C_2(a_2,b_2)$  where  $a = (a_1,a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1,b_2)$ . So the proposition follows from the fact that both  $C_1(a_1,b_1)$  and  $C_2(a_2,b_2)$  are sets,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  being locally small.  $\diamond$ 

## Chapter 2

## **Functor**

## 2.1 Functor

**Definition 8** We call functor from categories C to D any tuple  $(F_0, F_1)$  with:

- (1)  $F_0: \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{D}$  is a map
- (2)  $F_1: \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D} \text{ is a map}$
- (3)  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b)$
- (4)  $F_1(id(a)) = id(F_0(a))$
- $(5) F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$

where (3) – (5) hold for all  $a, b, c \in C$ ,  $f : a \to b$  and  $g : b \to c$ .

**Notation 11** We shall use  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  as a notational shortcut for the statement that F is a functor from the category  $\mathcal{C}$  to the category  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Notation 12** If  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  if a functor from C to D, we shall also commonly denote  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  simply by F.

So if F is a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  we effectively have a map  $F: \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{D}$  acting on objects, and a map  $F: \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D}$  acting on arrows. These two maps satisfy the consistency condition (3) of definition (8) i.e. that if f is an arrow  $f: a \to b$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then F(f) must be an arrow  $F(f): F(a) \to F(b)$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Furthermore, the functor F must preserve the identity operators on  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  which is condition (4) of definition (8): for all objects  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , we must have  $F(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(F(a))$ . Note that since  $\operatorname{id}(a): a \to a$ , by consistency we have  $F(\operatorname{id}(a)): F(a) \to F(a)$ , and since  $\operatorname{id}(F(a)): F(a) \to F(a)$  the equality makes sense. Another way to express the preservation of identity operators by F is simply  $F \circ \operatorname{id} = \operatorname{id} \circ F$  or  $F_1 \circ \operatorname{id} = \operatorname{id} \circ F_0$  to be more explicit. However, we should remember that the notation ' $\circ$ ' in these equality does not refer to the

composition operator  $\circ$  of either  $\mathcal{C}$  or  $\mathcal{D}$ , nor does it in general refer to the usual function composition since id,  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are maps between collections and not functions between sets. Now going back to our functor F, it must also preserve the composition operators on  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , which is condition (5) of definition (8): For all objects  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{C}$  and arrows  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: b \to c$ , we must have  $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$ . Note that given these assumptions, the composition arrow  $g \circ f$  is well-defined, and by consistency we have  $F(f): F(a) \to F(b)$ and  $F(g): F(b) \to F(c)$ , so  $F(g) \circ F(f)$  is also well-defined. Furthermore, since  $g \circ f : a \to c$  by consistency we have  $F(g \circ f) : F(a) \to F(c)$  and since  $F(g) \circ F(f) : F(a) \to F(c)$ , the equality  $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$  makes sense.

#### **Identity functor** 2.2

**Definition 9** Let C be a category. We call identity functor on C the functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$  defined by  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  with:

(1) 
$$F_0(a) = a$$

(1) 
$$F_0(a) = a$$
  
(2)  $F_1(f) = f$ 

where (1) holds for all  $a \in Ob \mathcal{C}$  and (2) holds for all  $f \in Arr \mathcal{C}$ .

**Notation 13** The identity functor on a category C is denoted  $I_C$ .

We shall now check our definition is indeed that of a functor.

**Proposition 14** The identity functor on a category C is a functor  $I_C : C \to C$ .

### Proof

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category and  $I_{\mathcal{C}} = (F_0, F_1)$  be the identity functor on  $\mathcal{C}$ . We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied:

- (1):  $F_0$  is indeed a map  $F_0$ : Ob  $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$  from (1) of definition (9).
- (2):  $F_1$  is indeed a map  $F_1$ : Arr  $\mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}$  from (2) of definition (9).
- (3): We have  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$ , since:

$$F_1(f) = f$$
,  $F_0(a) = a$ ,  $F_0(b) = b$ 

(4): We have  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(F_0(a))$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , since:

$$F_1(id(a)) = id(a), F_0(a) = a$$

(5):  $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: b \to c$ , since:

$$F_1(g \circ f) = g \circ f, \ F_1(g) = g, \ F_1(f) = f$$

0

## 2.3 Constant functor

**Definition 10** Let C be a category and  $c \in C$ . We call constant functor on C at c the functor  $F: C \to C$  defined by  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  with:

$$(1) F_0(a) = c$$

(2) 
$$F_1(f) = id(c)$$

where (1) holds for all  $a \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$  and (2) holds for all  $f \in \text{Arr } \mathcal{C}$ .

**Notation 14** The constant functor on a category C at  $c \in C$  is denoted  $K_c$ .

We shall now check our definition is indeed that of a functor.

**Proposition 15** Given a category C and  $c \in C$ ,  $K_c$  is a functor  $K_c : C \to C$ .

#### Proof

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category,  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $K_c = (F_0, F_1)$  be the constant functor on  $\mathcal{C}$  at c. We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied:

- (1):  $F_0$  is indeed a map  $F_0$ : Ob  $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$  from (1) of definition (10).
- (2):  $F_1$  is indeed a map  $F_1$ : Arr  $\mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}$  from (2) of definition (10).
- (3): We have  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$ , since:

$$F_1(f) = id(c), F_0(a) = c, F_0(b) = c$$

(4): We have  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(F_0(a))$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , since:

$$F_1(id(a)) = id(c), F_0(a) = c$$

(5):  $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: b \to c$ , since:

$$F_1(g \circ f) = id(c), \ F_1(g) = id(c), \ F_1(f) = id(c)$$

and  $id(c) = id(c) \circ id(c)$  from (12) (or (13)) of definition (2).  $\diamond$ 

## 2.4 Functor and opposite category

**Proposition 16** Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  be a functor where  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are categories. Then F is also a functor  $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}^{op}$ .

### Proof

We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied:

- (1):  $F_0$  is indeed a map  $F_0$ : Ob  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}^{op}$  since F is a functor and  $F_0$  is therefore a map  $F_0$ : Ob  $\mathcal{C} \to \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$ . Furthermore, we have Ob  $\mathcal{C}^{op} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$  and Ob  $\mathcal{D}^{op} = \text{Ob } \mathcal{D}$  by virtue of definition (4).
- (2):  $F_1$  is indeed a map  $F_1$ : Arr  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D}^{op}$  since Arr  $\mathcal{C}^{op} = \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}$  and Arr  $\mathcal{D}^{op} = \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D}$  from definition (4), and  $F_1$  is a map  $F_1$ : Arr  $\mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D}$ .

- (3): We have  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b) @ \mathcal{D}^{op}$  whenever  $f: a \to b @ \mathcal{C}^{op}$ . Indeed, the assumption  $f: a \to b @ \mathcal{C}^{op}$  is equivalent to  $f: b \to a @ \mathcal{C}$ . F being a functor, this implies  $F_1(f): F_0(b) \to F_0(a) @ \mathcal{D}$  which is equivalent to  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b) @ \mathcal{D}^{op}$ .
- (4): We have  $F_1(\mathrm{id}(a) @ \mathcal{C}^{op}) = \mathrm{id}(F_0(a)) @ \mathcal{D}^{op}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}^{op}$ . This follows from the equality  $F_1(\mathrm{id}(a) @ \mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{id}(F_0(a)) @ \mathcal{D}$  and the fact that the notions of objects and identity coincide on a category and its opposite.
- (5): We have  $F_1(g \circ f @ \mathcal{C}^{op}) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f) @ \mathcal{D}^{op}$  when  $f: a \to b @ \mathcal{C}^{op}$  and  $g: b \to c @ \mathcal{C}^{op}$ . Indeed, the assumptions  $f: a \to b @ \mathcal{C}^{op}$  and  $g: b \to c @ \mathcal{C}^{op}$  are equivalent to  $f: b \to a @ \mathcal{C}$  and  $g: c \to b @ \mathcal{C}$ . Hence we have:

$$F_{1}(g \circ f @ \mathcal{C}^{op}) = F_{1}(f \circ g @ \mathcal{C}) \leftarrow \text{def. (4)}$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. (8)} \rightarrow = F_{1}(f) \circ F_{1}(g) @ \mathcal{D}$$

$$\text{def. (4)} \rightarrow = F_{1}(g) \circ F_{1}(f) @ \mathcal{D}^{op}$$

 $\Diamond$ 

## 2.5 Hom-functor of a locally small category

**Definition 11** Let C be a locally small category. We call hom-functor associated with C the functor  $F: C^{op} \times C \to \mathbf{Set}$  defined by  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  with:

(1) 
$$F_0(a_1, a_2) = \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$$

(2) 
$$F_1(f_1, f_2)(h) = f_2 \circ h \circ f_1$$

where (2) hold for  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in C$ ,  $f_1: b_1 \to a_1$ ,  $h: a_1 \to a_2$  and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$ .

**Notation 15** Given a locally small category C, the hom-functor  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  associated with C is denoted  $C = (C_0, C_1)$ .

**Remark**: Using the notation  $\mathcal{C}$  to denote both the locally small category  $\mathcal{C}$  and its associated hom-functor may appear confusing, but the notation actally makes sense since the equation  $F_0(a_1, a_2) = \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$  simply becomes the tautology  $\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2) = \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$ . In other words, using  $\mathcal{C}$  to denote the hom-functor makes it very easy to remember that when applied to the object  $(a_1, a_2)$  of the category  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ , we simply obtain the hom-set  $\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$  of the locally small category  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Given a locally small category  $\mathcal{C}$ , definition (11) defines a tuple  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  where  $F_0$  appears to be a map defined on Ob  $\mathcal{C} \times$  Ob  $\mathcal{C}$  with values in **Set**, and  $F_1$  appears to be a map defined on Arr  $\mathcal{C} \times$  Arr  $\mathcal{C}$  with values in some functional space (since it takes an h as argument). Looking at this, it is far from obvious that definition (11) defines a functor  $F: \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{Set}$ . Hence we state:

**Proposition 17** Let C be a locally small category. Then the hom-functor F associated with C is indeed a functor  $F: C^{op} \times C \to \mathbf{Set}$ .

## Proof

We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied:

(1): We need to show that  $F_0$  is a map  $F_0$ : Ob  $(C^{op} \times C) \to Ob$  **Set**. Having defined  $F_0(a_1, a_2) = C(a_1, a_2)$  and the category C being locally small, we see that  $F_0(a_1, a_2)$  is a set for all  $a_1, a_2 \in C$ . So  $F_0$  is defined as a map  $F_0$ : (Ob C)  $\times$  (Ob C)  $\to$  Ob **Set**, and it remains to check that the collections (Ob C)  $\times$  (Ob C) and Ob  $(C^{op} \times C)$  actually coincide, which goes as follows:

$$(\operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}) \times (\operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}) = \{ (a_1, a_2) \mid a_1 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}, \ a_2 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C} \}$$

$$\operatorname{def.} (4) \to = \{ (a_1, a_2) \mid a_1 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}^{op}, \ a_2 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C} \}$$

$$(1) \text{ of def.} (5) \to = \operatorname{Ob} (\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C})$$

(2): We need to show that  $F_1$  is a map  $F_1$ : Arr  $(\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}) \to \text{Arr } \mathbf{Set}$ . Having defined  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  for any  $f_1 : b_1 \to a_1$  and  $f_2 : a_2 \to b_2$  where  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  are arbitrary objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we see that  $F_1$  is a map defined on  $(\text{Arr } \mathcal{C}) \times (\text{Arr } \mathcal{C})$ . So we need to check that the collections  $(\text{Arr } \mathcal{C}) \times (\text{Arr } \mathcal{C})$  and  $\text{Arr } (\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C})$  actually coincide, which goes as follows:

$$(\operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}) \times (\operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}) = \{ (f_1, f_2) \mid f_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}, f_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C} \}$$
  

$$\operatorname{def.} (4) \to = \{ (f_1, f_2) \mid f_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}^{op}, f_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C} \}$$
  

$$(2) \text{ of def.} (5) \to = \operatorname{Arr} (\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C})$$

However, given arrows  $f_1, f_2$  in C, we still need to check that  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is a member of the collection Arr **Set**. In other words, we need to check that  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$ is a function. Introducing the notations  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  such that  $f_1: b_1 \rightarrow a_1$ and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$ , our definition states that  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(h)$  is defined for any  $h: a_1 \to a_2$ . In other words,  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(h)$  is defined for any h which belongs to the hom-set  $\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$ . Having assumed that  $\mathcal{C}$  is a locally small category, the collection  $C(a_1, a_2)$  is in fact a set, and  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is therefore a function with domain  $C(a_1, a_2)$ , defined by  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(h) = f_2 \circ h \circ f_1$ . Note that since  $f_1: b_1 \to a_1$ and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$ , the composition  $f_2 \circ h \circ f_1$  is a well-defined arrow in  $\mathcal{C}$  whenever  $h \in \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$ . This arrow has domain  $b_1$  and codomain  $b_2$  and we see that  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(h)$  is in fact an element of the hom-set  $C(b_1, b_2)$ . So  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is actually a function  $F_1(f_1, f_2) : \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_1) \to \mathcal{C}(b_1, b_2)$ . Now looking at definition (3), an arrow of the category **Set** is a triple (a, b, f) where a and b are sets and f is a function  $f: a \to b$ . Hence, strictly speaking our definition of  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is not a member of Arr Set but simply a function  $F_1(f_1, f_2) : \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2) \to \mathcal{C}(b_1, b_2)$ . However, the triple  $(C(a_1, a_2), C(b_1, b_2), F_1(f_1, f_2))$  is an arrow of the category **Set** and we have agreed in notation (9) to simply refer to this arrow as  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$ , as the domain  $\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$  and intended codomain  $\mathcal{C}(b_1, b_2)$  can easily be inferred from the formula  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(h) = f_2 \circ h \circ f_1$  for all  $h: a_1 \to a_2$ . This completes our proof that  $F_1$  is a map  $F_1 : Arr (\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}) \to Arr \mathbf{Set}$ .

(3): We need to check that  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b)$  whenever  $a, b \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$  and  $f: a \to b$ . So let  $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ ,  $b = (b_1, b_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$  and let f be an arrow  $f = (f_1, f_2): (a_1, a_2) \to (b_1, b_2) @ \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ . From the equalities  $F_0(a) = \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$  and  $F_0(b) = \mathcal{C}(b_1, b_2)$ , it is clear that we simply need to check

 $F_1(f_1, f_2): \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2) \to \mathcal{C}(b_1, b_2)$ . However, we have already established this fact in part (2) of this proof, provided we show that  $f_1: b_1 \to a_1 @ \mathcal{C}$  together with  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2 @ \mathcal{C}$ . Hence we need  $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{C}(b_1, a_1) \times \mathcal{C}(a_2, b_2)$ , knowing that  $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}[(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)]$  by assumption. It is therefore sufficient to prove that the two collections  $\mathcal{C}(b_1, a_1) \times \mathcal{C}(a_2, b_2)$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}[(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)]$  coincide, which goes as follows:

$$C^{op} \times C[(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)] = C^{op}(a_1, b_1) \times C(a_2, b_2) \leftarrow \text{prop. (11)}$$
  
 $\text{prop. (10)} \rightarrow = C(b_1, a_1) \times C(a_2, b_2)$ 

(4): We need to check that  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(F_0(a))$  whenever  $a \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ . So let  $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $F_0(a) = \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$ , we need to check that  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2))$ . This is an equality between two arrows of the category **Set**, with identical domain and codomain, namely the set  $\mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$ . Given  $h \in \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$ , from proposition (6) it is sufficient to check that  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a))(h) = h$ :

$$F_{1}(\mathrm{id}(a))(h) = F_{1}(\mathrm{id}(a_{1}, a_{2}))(h)$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = F_{1}(\mathrm{id}(a_{1}) @ \mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathrm{id}(a_{2}))(h)$$

$$\mathrm{def. } (4) \to = F_{1}(\mathrm{id}(a_{1}) @ \mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id}(a_{2}))(h)$$

$$(2) \text{ of def. } (11) \to = \mathrm{id}(a_{2}) \circ h \circ \mathrm{id}(a_{1})$$

$$(12) \text{ of def. } (2) \to = \mathrm{id}(a_{2}) \circ h$$

$$(13) \text{ of def. } (2) \to = h$$

(5): We need to check that  $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$ ,  $g: b \to c$  and  $a, b, c \in \mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ . So let  $a = (a_1, a_2), b = (b_1, b_2), c = (c_1, c_2)$  be objects in  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{C}$ , and  $f = (f_1, f_1): a \to b$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2): b \to c$ . We have  $g \circ f: a \to c$  and consequently  $F_1(g \circ f): F_0(a) \to F_0(c)$ . Hence the arrows  $F_1(g \circ f)$  and  $F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  are two arrows in **Set**, with domain  $F_0(a) = \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$  and codomain  $F_0(c) = \mathcal{C}(c_1, c_2)$ . From proposition (6), in order to prove the equality  $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  it is therefore sufficient to show that the underlying functions coincide for all  $h \in \mathcal{C}(a_1, a_2)$  which goes as follows:

```
F_{1}(g \circ f)(h) = F_{1}((g_{1}, g_{2}) \circ (f_{1}, f_{2}))(h)
(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \to = F_{1}(g_{1} \circ f_{1} @ \mathcal{C}^{op}, g_{2} \circ f_{2})(h)
\text{crucially, def. } (4) \to = F_{1}(f_{1} \circ g_{1}, g_{2} \circ f_{2})(h)
(2) \text{ of def. } (11) \to = (g_{2} \circ f_{2}) \circ h \circ (f_{1} \circ g_{1})
\text{associativity of } \circ \text{ in } \mathcal{C} \to = g_{2} \circ (f_{2} \circ h \circ f_{1}) \circ g_{1}
(2) \text{ of def. } (11) \to = g_{2} \circ F_{1}(f_{1}, f_{2})(h) \circ g_{1}
(2) \text{ of def. } (11) \to = F_{1}(g_{1}, g_{2})(F_{1}(f_{1}, f_{2})(h))
= F_{1}(g)(F_{1}(f)(h))
= (F_{1}(g) \circ F_{1}(f)(h))
```

 $\Diamond$ 

## 2.6 Composition of functors

**Definition 12** Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  and  $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$  be functors where  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  are categories. We call composition of G and F the functor  $H: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}$  defined by:

- (1)  $H_0(a) = G_0(F_0(a))$
- (2)  $H_1(f) = G_1(F_1(f))$

where (1) holds for all  $a \in Ob \mathcal{C}$  and (2) holds for all  $f \in Arr \mathcal{C}$ .

**Notation 16** The composition of two functors G and F is denoted GF or  $G \circ F$ .

**Remark**: In view of notation (8), (1) and (2) of definition (12) could equally have been written  $H_0 = G_0 \circ F_0$  and  $H_1 = G_1 \circ F_1$ . Note that the overloaded symbol 'o' has many possible meanings: it is the usual symbol for set-theoretic function composition, it also refers to composition of maps between collections as per notation (8), it is the generic symbol for the composition operator in an arbitrary category as per notation (6), and finally it is also used to denote composition of functors as per notation (16).

**Proposition 18** Let  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  and  $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{E}$  be functors where  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$  are categories. Then  $G \circ F$  is indeed a functor  $G \circ F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}$ .

### Proof

We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied:

(1):  $(GF)_0 = G_0 \circ F_0$  is indeed a map  $(GF)_0 : Ob \mathcal{C} \to Ob \mathcal{E}$ , since:

$$F_0: \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{C} \to \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{D},\ G_0: \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{D} \to \mathrm{Ob}\ \mathcal{E}$$

(2):  $(GF)_1 = G_1 \circ F_1$  is indeed a map  $(GF)_1 : Arr \mathcal{C} \to Arr \mathcal{E}$ , since:

$$F_1: \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D}, \ G_1: \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{D} \to \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{E}$$

(3): We have  $(GF)_1(f): (GF)_0(a) \to (GF)_0(b)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$ , since:

$$f: a \to b \implies F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b) \leftarrow (3) \text{ of def. (8)}$$
  
(3) of def. (8)  $\to G_1(F_1(f)): G_0(F_0(a)) \to G_0(F_0(b))$ 

(1) and (2) of def. (12) 
$$\rightarrow \Leftrightarrow (GF)_1(f): (GF)_0(a) \rightarrow (GF)_0(b)$$

(4): We have  $(GF)_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}((GF)_0(a))$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{C}$ , since:

$$(GF)_1(\mathrm{id}(a)) = G_1(F_1(\mathrm{id}(a))) \leftarrow (2) \text{ of def. } (12)$$

(4) of def. (8)  $\rightarrow = G_1(id(F_0(a)))$ 

(4) of def. (8) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 = id( $G_0(F_0(a))$ )

(1) of def. (12) 
$$\rightarrow$$
 = id(( $GF$ )<sub>0</sub>( $a$ ))

(5):  $(GF)_1(g \circ f) = (GF)_1(g) \circ (GF)_1(f)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$  and  $g: b \to c$ :

$$(GF)_1(g \circ f) = G_1(F_1(g \circ f)) \leftarrow (2) \text{ of def. } (12)$$

- (5) of def. (8)  $\rightarrow = G_1(F_1(g) \circ F_1(f))$
- (5) of def. (8)  $\rightarrow G_1(F_1(g)) \circ G_1(F_1(f))$
- (2) of def. (12)  $\to = (GF)_1(g) \circ (GF)_1(f)$

 $\Diamond$ 

## 2.7 Canonical product of functors

**Definition 13** We call canonical product of two functors  $F_1 : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $F_2 : \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{D}_2$  the functor  $G : \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$  defined by  $G = (G_0, G_1)$  with:

- (1)  $G_0(a_1, a_2) = (F_1(a_1), F_2(a_2))$
- (2)  $G_1(f_1, f_2) = (F_1(f_1), F_2(f_2))$

where  $C_1, C_2, D_1, D_2$  are arbitrary categories, (1) holds for all  $a_1 \in Ob C_1$  and  $a_2 \in Ob C_2$ , and (2) holds for all  $f_1 \in Arr C_1$  and  $f_2 \in Arr C_2$ .

**Remark**: In accordance with notation (12), we are using the same notations  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  in definition (13) to describe the actions of these functors both on objects and on arrows. The alternative would be to use the notations  $(F_1)_0$ ,  $(F_1)_1$ ,  $(F_2)_0$  and  $(F_2)_1$  which would arguably be harder to read.

**Notation 17** The canonical product of functors  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is denoted  $F_1 \times F_2$ .

**Proposition 19** Let  $F_1: \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $F_2: \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{D}_2$  be two functors. Then the product of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  is indeed a functor  $F_1 \times F_2: \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2$ .

#### Proof

Let  $G = (G_0, G_1)$  denote the product functor  $F_1 \times F_2$ . We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied, which goes as follows:

- (1):  $G_0$  is indeed a map  $G_0$ : Ob  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2) \to \operatorname{Ob} (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)$ : firstly,  $G_0$  is defined on the collection of all  $(a_1, a_2)$  where  $a_1 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $a_2 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}_2$ . According to definition (5), this is precisely the collection Ob  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$ . Furthermore  $G_0(a_1, a_2)$  is defined as  $(F_1(a_1), F_2(a_2))$  and since  $F_1 : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{D}_1$  while  $F_2 : \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathcal{D}_2$ , we have  $F_1(a_1) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{D}_1$  together with  $F_2(a_2) \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{D}_2$ . Hence we see that  $G_0(a_1, a_2)$  is indeed a member of the collection Ob  $(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)$ .
- (2):  $G_1$  is indeed a map  $G_1$ : Arr  $(C_1 \times C_2) \to \text{Arr } (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)$ :  $G_1$  is defined on the collection of all  $(f_1, f_2)$  where  $f_1 \in \text{Arr } C_1$  and  $f_2 \in \text{Arr } C_2$ . According to definition (5), this is precisely the collection  $\text{Arr } (C_1 \times C_2)$ . Furthermore  $G_1(f_1, f_2)$  is defined as  $(F_1(f_1), F_2(f_2))$  and since  $F_1 : C_1 \to \mathcal{D}_1$  while we have  $F_2 : C_2 \to \mathcal{D}_2$ , we see that  $F_1(f_1) \in \text{Arr } \mathcal{D}_1$  and  $F_2(f_2) \in \text{Arr } \mathcal{D}_2$ . Hence we conclude that  $G_1(f_1, f_2)$  is indeed a member of the collection  $\text{Arr } (\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)$ .

(3): We need to show that  $G_1(f): G_0(a) \to G_0(b)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$ : let  $f \in \operatorname{Arr} (\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$  such that  $\operatorname{dom}(f) = a$  and  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = b$ . Then  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  for some  $f_1 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $f_2 \in \operatorname{Arr} \mathcal{C}_2$ . Furthermore, since  $a, b \in \operatorname{Ob} (\mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2)$ , we have  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  for some  $a_1, b_1 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $a_2, b_2 \in \operatorname{Ob} \mathcal{C}_2$ :

$$(a_1, a_2) = a$$
  
=  $dom(f)$   
=  $dom(f_1, f_2)$   
(3) of def. (5)  $\rightarrow$  =  $(dom(f_1), dom(f_2))$ 

Hence we have  $a_1 = \text{dom}(f_1)$  and  $a_2 = \text{dom}(f_2)$  and similarly:

$$(b_1, b_2) = b$$
  
=  $\cot(f)$   
=  $\cot(f_1, f_2)$   
(4) of def. (5)  $\rightarrow$  =  $(\cot(f_1), \cot(f_2))$ 

from which we conclude that  $b_1 = \operatorname{cod}(f_1)$  and  $b_2 = \operatorname{cod}(f_2)$ . Hence we see that  $f_1: a_1 \to b_1 @ \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2 @ \mathcal{C}_2$ . Since  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are functors, using (3) of definition (8) we obtain  $F_1(f_1): F_1(a_1) \to F_1(b_1) @ \mathcal{D}_1$  and likewise  $F_2(f_2): F_2(a_2) \to F_2(b_2) @ \mathcal{D}_2$ . In order to show that  $G_1(f): G_0(a) \to G_0(b)$ , since we already know that  $G_1(f) \in \operatorname{Arr}(\mathcal{D}_1 \times \mathcal{D}_2)$ , it remains to show that  $\operatorname{dom}(G_1(f)) = G_0(a)$  and  $\operatorname{cod}(G_1(f)) = G_0(b)$ , which goes as follows:

```
dom (G_1(f)) = dom (G_1(f_1, f_2)) 

(2) of def. (13) <math>\rightarrow = dom (F_1(f_1), F_2(f_2)) 

(3) of def. (5) <math>\rightarrow = (dom (F_1(f_1)), dom (F_2(f_2))) 

F_1(f_1) : F_1(a_1) \rightarrow F_1(b_1) \rightarrow = (F_1(a_1), dom (F_2(f_2))) 

F_2(f_2) : F_2(a_2) \rightarrow F_2(b_2) \rightarrow = (F_1(a_1), F_2(a_2)) 

(1) of def. (13) <math>\rightarrow = G_0(a_1, a_2) 

= G_0(a)

cod (G_1(f)) = cod (G_1(f_1, f_2)) 

(2) of def. (13) <math>\rightarrow = cod (F_1(f_1), F_2(f_2)) 

(4) of def. (5) <math>\rightarrow = (cod (F_1(f_1)), cod (F_2(f_2))) 

F_1(f_1) : F_1(a_1) \rightarrow F_1(b_1) \rightarrow = (F_1(b_1), cod (F_2(f_2))) 

F_2(f_2) : F_2(a_2) \rightarrow F_2(b_2) \rightarrow = (F_1(b_1), F_2(b_2)) 

(1) of def. (13) <math>\rightarrow = G_0(b_1, b_2) 

= G_0(b)
```

(4): We have  $G_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(G_0(a))$  for all  $a = (a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ :

$$G_{1}(id(a)) = G_{1}(id(a_{1}, a_{2}))$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \rightarrow = G_{1}(id(a_{1}), id(a_{2}))$$

$$(2) \text{ of def. } (13) \rightarrow = (F_{1}(id(a_{1})), F_{2}(id(a_{2})))$$

$$(4) \text{ of def. } (8) \rightarrow = (id(F_{1}(a_{1})), id(F_{2}(a_{2})))$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \rightarrow = id(F_{1}(a_{1}), F_{2}(a_{2}))$$

$$(1) \text{ of def. } (13) \rightarrow = id(G_{0}(a_{1}, a_{2}))$$

$$= id(G_{0}(a))$$

(5): We need to show that  $G_1(g \circ f) = G_1(g) \circ G_1(f)$  for all  $f: a \to b @ \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$  and  $g: b \to c @ \mathcal{C}_1 \times \mathcal{C}_2$ . So let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  with  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  and  $c = (c_1, c_2)$ . Following the same details as in (3), we have  $f_1: a_1 \to b_1$  and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$  and similarly  $g_1: b_1 \to c_1$  and  $g_2: b_2 \to c_2$ :

$$G_{1}(g \circ f) = G_{1}((g_{1}, g_{2}) \circ (f_{1}, f_{2}))$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \rightarrow = G_{1}(g_{1} \circ f_{1}, g_{2} \circ f_{2})$$

$$(2) \text{ of def. } (13) \rightarrow = (F_{1}(g_{1} \circ f_{1}), F_{2}(g_{2} \circ f_{2}))$$

$$(5) \text{ of def. } (8) \rightarrow = (F_{1}(g_{1}) \circ F_{1}(f_{1}), F_{2}(g_{2}) \circ F_{2}(f_{2}))$$

$$(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \rightarrow = (F_{1}(g_{1}), F_{2}(g_{2})) \circ (F_{1}(f_{1}), F_{2}(f_{2}))$$

$$(2) \text{ of def. } (13) \rightarrow = G_{1}(g_{1}, g_{2}) \circ G_{1}(f_{1}, f_{2})$$

$$= G_{1}(g) \circ G_{1}(f)$$

 $\Diamond$ 

## 2.8 Cartesian Functor

**Definition 14** The cartesian functor  $F : \mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$  is defined by:

(1) 
$$F_0(a_1, a_2) = a_1 \times a_2$$

(2) 
$$F_1(f_1, f_2)(x_1, x_2) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$$

where (1) holds for all sets  $a_1, a_2$ , and (2) holds for all sets  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  as well as  $f_1: a_1 \to b_1$ ,  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$  together with  $x_1 \in a_1$  and  $x_2 \in a_2$ .

**Remark**: Recall that given two sets  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ , the cartesian product  $a_1 \times a_2$  of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  is the set of all ordered pairs  $(x_1, x_2)$  where  $x_1 \in a_1$  and  $x_2 \in a_2$ :

$$a_1 \times a_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \mid x_1 \in a_1, \ x_2 \in a_2\}$$

**Notation 18** The cartesian functor is denoted  $(\times)$  as an infix operator.

**Remark**: So if  $F : \mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$  is the cartesian functor, we shall typicallly write  $a_1 \times a_2$  and  $f_1 \times f_2$  instead of  $F_0(a_1, a_2)$  and  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  respectively.

**Remark**: If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are arrows of the category **Set**, strictly speaking from definition (3)  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are tuples (a, b, f) where  $f: a \to b$ . In particular  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are sets and the cartesian product  $f_1 \times f_2$  is meaningful, so we have a notational conflict with  $f_1 \times f_2$ , the cartesian functor evaluated at  $(f_1, f_2)$ . Similarly to the composition  $\circ$ , the symbol  $\times$  is highly overloaded and may also be used to denote the canonical product of two categories as in definition (5), or the canonical product of two functors as in notation (17).

**Proposition 20** The cartesian functor  $(\times)$  is a functor  $(\times)$ :  $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set} \to \mathbf{Set}$ .

### Proof

Let  $F = (F_0, F_1)$  denote the cartesian functor (×). We need to check that properties (1) - (5) of definition (8) are satisfied:

- (1):  $F_0$  is indeed a map  $F_0$ : Ob  $(\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set}) \to \mathbf{Ob} \ \mathbf{Set}$ , since  $F_0$  is defined on the collection of all  $(a_1, a_2)$  where  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are sets and this collection is indeed the collection of all objects of  $\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set}$ . Furthemore  $F_0(a_1, a_2) = a_1 \times a_2 \in \mathbf{Set}$ .
- (2):  $F_1$  is indeed a map  $F_1$ : Arr  $(\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set}) \to \mathbf{Arr} \ \mathbf{Set}$ :  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is defined on the collection of all  $(f_1, f_2)$  where  $f_1 : a_1 \to b_1$  and  $f_2 : a_2 \to b_2$  for arbitrary  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ . So it is defined on the collection of all  $(f_1, f_2)$  where  $f_1, f_2$  are arrows in  $\mathbf{Set}$ , and this collection is indeed  $\mathbf{Arr} \ (\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set})$ . Furthermore, if we have  $f_1 : a_1 \to b_1$  and  $f_2 : a_2 \to b_2$ , then  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(x_1, x_2)$  is defined for all  $(x_1, x_2)$  where  $x_1 \in a_1$  and  $x_2 \in a_2$ . So  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is a function defined on the cartesian product  $a_1 \times a_2$ . Since  $F_1(f_1, f_2)(x_1, x_2)$  is defined as  $(f_1(x_1), f_2(x_2))$  we see that  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$  is in fact a function  $F_1(f_1, f_2) : a_1 \times a_2 \to b_1 \times b_2$ . However, definition (14) does not spell out the fact that  $b_1 \times b_2$  is the intended codomain of  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$ , but this is pretty clear from the context. Furthermore, definition (14) does not explicitly define an arrow  $(a_1 \times a_2, b_1 \times b_2, F_1(f_1, f_2))$  of  $\mathbf{Set}$ , but only its underlying function  $F_1(f_1, f_2)$ . This is also fair enough given the context and in line with notation (9). We conclude that  $F_1(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbf{Arr} \ \mathbf{Set}$ .
- (3): We need to show that  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b)$  whenever  $f: a \to b$ : let  $f \in \operatorname{Arr} (\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{Set})$  such that  $\operatorname{dom}(f) = a$  and  $\operatorname{cod}(f) = b$ . Then  $a = (a_1, a_2)$  for some sets  $a_1, a_2, b = (b_1, b_2)$  for some sets  $b_1, b_2$  and  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  for some arrows  $f_1: a_1 \to b_1$  and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$ . We just established in (2) the fact that  $F_1(f_1, f_2): a_1 \times a_2 \to b_1 \times b_2$ , which is  $F_1(f): F_0(a) \to F_0(b)$  as requested.
- (4): We need to show that  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(F_0(a))$  for all  $a \in \operatorname{\mathbf{Set}} \times \operatorname{\mathbf{Set}}$ . So let  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ . We need to show that  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) = \operatorname{id}(a_1 \times a_2)$ . We already know that  $F_1(\operatorname{id}(a)) : a_1 \times a_2 \to a_1 \times a_2$ . So we simply need to check that the underlying function is the usual identity on the set  $a_1 \times a_2$ . Let  $(x_1, x_2) \in a_1 \times a_2$ :

```
F_{1}(id(a))(x_{1},x_{2}) = F_{1}(id(a_{1},a_{2}))(x_{1},x_{2})
(5) \text{ of def. } (5) \rightarrow = F_{1}(id(a_{1}), id(a_{2}))(x_{1},x_{2})
(2) \text{ of def. } (14) \rightarrow = (id(a_{1})(x_{1}), id(a_{2})(x_{2}))
(5) \text{ of def. } (3) \rightarrow = ((a_{1},a_{1},i(a_{1}))(x_{1}), (a_{2},a_{2},i(a_{2}))(x_{2}))
\text{notation } (10) \rightarrow = (i(a_{1})(x_{1}), i(a_{2})(x_{2}))
i(a)(x) = x \rightarrow = (x_{1},x_{2})
```

(5): We need to show that  $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  for  $f: a \to b @$  **Set** × **Set** and  $g: b \to c @$  **Set** × **Set**. So let  $f = (f_1, f_2)$  and  $g = (g_1, g_2)$  with  $a = (a_1, a_2)$ ,  $b = (b_1, b_2)$  and  $c = (c_1, c_2)$ . Then we have  $f_1: a_1 \to b_1$  and  $f_2: a_2 \to b_2$  and similarly  $g_1: b_1 \to c_1$  and  $g_2: b_2 \to c_2$ . We know that  $F_1(f): a_1 \times a_2 \to b_1 \times b_2$  and  $F_1(g): b_1 \times b_2 \to c_1 \times c_2$ . Hence using proposition (6), in order to show that  $F_1(g \circ f) = F_1(g) \circ F_1(f)$  it is sufficient to prove that the underlying functions coincide on the set  $a_1 \times a_2$ . Given  $(x_1, x_2) \in a_1 \times a_2$ , we have:

```
F_{1}(g \circ f)(x_{1}, x_{2}) = F_{1}((g_{1}, g_{2}) \circ (f_{1}, f_{2}))(x_{1}, x_{2})
(6) \text{ of def. } (5) \rightarrow = F_{1}(g_{1} \circ f_{1}, g_{2} \circ f_{2})(x_{1}, x_{2})
(2) \text{ of def. } (14) \rightarrow = ((g_{1} \circ f_{1})(x_{1}), (g_{2} \circ f_{2})(x_{2}))
\circ \text{ in } \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow = (g_{1}(f_{1}(x_{1})), g_{2}(f_{2}(x_{2})))
(2) \text{ of def. } (14) \rightarrow = F_{1}(g_{1}, g_{2})(f_{1}(x_{1}), f_{2}(x_{2}))
(2) \text{ of def. } (14) \rightarrow = F_{1}(g_{1}, g_{2})(F_{1}(f_{1}, f_{2})(x_{1}, x_{2}))
\circ \text{ in } \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow = (F_{1}(g_{1}, g_{2}) \circ F_{1}(f_{1}, f_{2}))(x_{1}, x_{2})
= (F_{1}(g) \circ F_{1}(f))(x_{1}, x_{2})
```

 $\Diamond$ 

## Chapter 3

## **Natural Transformation**

## 3.1 Natural Transformation

**Definition 15** Let  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  be functors where  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are categories. We call natural transformation from F to G any map  $\alpha$  defined on Ob  $\mathcal{C}$  with:

(1) 
$$\alpha(a): F(a) \to G(a)$$

(2) 
$$G(f) \circ \alpha(a) = \alpha(b) \circ F(f)$$

where (1) holds for all  $a \in Ob \mathcal{C}$  and (2) holds for all  $a, b \in Ob \mathcal{C}$  and  $f : a \to b$ .

**Notation 19** We shall use  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  as a notational shortcut for the statement that  $\alpha$  is a natural transformation from the functor F to the functor G.

**Definition 16** Given  $F, G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  and  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$ , given  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  we call component at a of the natural transformation  $\alpha$ , the arrow  $\alpha(a) : F(a) \to G(a)$ .

**Remark**: The component  $\alpha(a)$  of  $\alpha$  at  $a \in \mathcal{C}$  is an arrow in the category  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Notation 20** The component  $\alpha(a)$  of  $\alpha$  at a is denoted  $\alpha_a$ .

**Remark**: Given  $F, G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  and  $\alpha: F \Rightarrow G$ , given  $a, b \in \text{Ob } \mathcal{C}$  and  $f: a \to b$ , we have  $F(f): F(a) \to F(b)$  and  $G(f): G(a) \to G(b)$ . Furthemore, from (1) of definition (15), we have  $\alpha_a: F(a) \to G(a)$  and  $\alpha_b: F(b) \to G(b)$ . It follows that both arrows  $G(f) \circ \alpha_a$  and  $\alpha_b \circ F(f)$  are well defined arrows in  $\mathcal{D}$  (from F(a) to G(b)), and the equality (2) of definition (15) is always meaningful.

# Chapter 4

# Adjunction

## 4.1 Definition

**Definition 17** We call adjunction an ordered pair (F,G) where F is a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$  and G is a functor  $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$  while  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are two locally-small categories for which there exists a natural isomorphism:

$$\alpha : \mathcal{D} \circ (F \times I_{\mathcal{D}}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \circ (I_{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \times G)$$

in the functor category  $[\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathbf{Set}]$ , where F also denotes  $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}^{op}$ .