Lecture Notes in Category Theory

Paul Ossientis

December 15, 2019

Contents

1	Category	2
	1.1 Small Category	2
	1.2 Category	
2	Functor	5
3	Natural Transformation	6
	Adjunction 4.1 Definition	7

Category

1.1 Small Category

Before we define a category in full generality, we shall focus our attention on the notion of *small category*. This notion is interesting to us because while it essentially describes the notion of *category* itself, it remains simple enough to be compared with various other algebraic structures. For example, consider the case of a monoid: a monoid is essentially a set M together with a binary relation \circ defined on M which is associative, and an element e of M which acts as an identity element for \circ . In short a monoid is a tuple (M, \circ, e) containing some data, and which satisfy certain properties. The same is true of a *small category*: it is also a tuple containing some data, and which satisfy certain properties:

Definition 1 We call small category any tuple (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ) with:

- (1) Ob $is \ a \ set$
- (2) Arr is a set
- (3) $\operatorname{dom}:\operatorname{Arr}\to\operatorname{Ob}\ is\ a\ function$
- (4) $\operatorname{cod}: \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Ob} \ is \ a \ function$
- (5) $id : Ob \rightarrow Arr is a function$
- (6) $\circ : Arr \times Arr \rightarrow Arr \text{ is a partial function}$
- (7) $g \circ f \text{ is defined } \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$
- (8) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \Rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{dom}(f)$
- (9) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \implies \operatorname{cod}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{cod}(g)$
- (10) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \wedge \operatorname{cod}(g) = \operatorname{dom}(h) \Rightarrow (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$
- (11) $\operatorname{dom}\left(\operatorname{id}(a)\right) = a = \operatorname{cod}\left(\operatorname{id}(a)\right)$
- (12) $\operatorname{dom}(f) = a \implies f \circ \operatorname{id}(a) = f$
- (13) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = a \Rightarrow \operatorname{id}(a) \circ f = f$

where (7) - (13) hold for all $f, g, h \in Arr$ and $a \in Ob$:

So if $\mathcal{C} = (\mathrm{Ob}, \mathrm{Arr}, \mathrm{dom}, \mathrm{cod}, \mathrm{id}, \circ)$ is a small category, we have two sets Ob and Arr together with some structure defined on these sets. This feels very much like a monoid, except that we have two sets instead of one and it all looks more complicated. The set Ob is called the *set of objects* of the small category \mathcal{C} and is denoted Ob \mathcal{C} , while the set Arr is called the *set of arrows* of the small category \mathcal{C} and is denoted Arr \mathcal{C} . An element $x \in \mathrm{Ob} \ \mathcal{C}$ is called an *object* of \mathcal{C} , while an element $f \in \mathrm{Arr} \ \mathcal{C}$ is called an *arrow* of \mathcal{C} .

As part of the structure defined on the small category \mathcal{C} , we have two functions dom: Arr \to Ob and cod: Arr \to Ob. Hence, given an arrow f of the small category \mathcal{C} , we have two objects $\mathrm{dom}(f)$ and $\mathrm{cod}(f)$ of the small category \mathcal{C} . The object $\mathrm{dom}(f)$ is called the domain of f. The object $\mathrm{cod}(f)$ is called the codomain of f. Note that an arrow f of the small category \mathcal{C} is simply an element of the set Arr \mathcal{C} . So it is itself a set but it may not be a function. The words domain and codomain are therefore overloaded as we are using them in relation to a set f which is possibly not a function. Whenever f is an arrow of the small category \mathcal{C} and f are objets, it is common to use the notation f: f and f are a an arrow domain and f are objects, it is important to guard against the possible confusion induced by the notation f: f and f which does not mean that f is function. It simply means that f is an arrow with domain f and codomain f in the small category f.

One of the main ingredients of the structure defining a small category $\mathcal C$ is the partial function $\circ: \operatorname{Arr} \times \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Arr}$, called the *composition operator* in the small category \mathcal{C} . The domain of this partial function is made of all ordered pairs (g, f) of arrows in \mathcal{C} for which $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$. As already indicated in definition (1), we use the infix notation $g \circ f$ rather than $\circ (g, f)$ and the arrow $g \circ f$ is called the *composition* of g and f. Once again, we should remember that the notation $g \circ f$ does not mean that g or f are functions. They are simply arrows in the small category \mathcal{C} . One key property of the composition operator \circ is the associativity postulated by (10) of definition (1). Note that if $f: a \to b$ and $g: b \to c$, then from properties (8) and (9) of definition (1) we obtain $q \circ f: a \to c$. Furthermore, if $h: c \to d$ we have $h \circ q: b \to d$ and the arrows $(h \circ q) \circ f$ and $h \circ (q \circ f)$ are therefore well-defined arrows with domain a and codomain d. This shows that the expression involved in the associativity condition (10) of definition (1) is always meaningful, involving terms which are well-defined provided $g \circ f$ and $h \circ g$ are themselves well-defined, i.e. provided cod(f) = dom(g) and cod(g) = dom(h).

Finally, as part of the structure defining the small category C, we have a function $id : \mathrm{Ob} \to \mathrm{Arr}$ called the *identity operator* on the small category C. Hence, for every object a of C we have an arrow id(a) called the *identity at a*. Looking at property (11) of definition (1) we see that $id(a) : a \to a$. In other words, the arrow id(a) has domain a and codomain a. Furthermore, looking at properties (12) and (13) of definition (1), for every arrow $f : a \to b$, the composition arrows $id(b) \circ f$ and $f \circ id(a)$ are well-defined and both equal to f.

1.2 Category

The notion of *small category* defined in definition (1) is similar to that of any other algebraic structure the reader may be familiar with. It can safely be encoded in set theory as a tuple (which is a set) containing data (which are other sets) which satisfies certain properties. In set theory, everything is a set. A small category \mathcal{C} is a set, its collection of objects Ob \mathcal{C} is a set, its arrows Arr \mathcal{C} form a set, the functions dom, cod, id and the composition operator \circ are all sets (functions are typically encoded as sets of ordered pairs).

Category theory falls outside of set theory. While the definition of a category we provide below is formally identical to that of a small category, the object we are defining can no longer be encoded in general as an object of set theory. For example, say we want to speak about the universe of all sets or the universe of all monoids. These universes which are known as classes cannot be represented as sets. They are not objects of set theory. Or say we are working within the formal framework of a proof assistant such as Coq, Agda or Lean. These tools are based on type theory and do not fall within the scope of set theory. When defining a category, we assume some form of meta-theoretic context, some form of logic, some way of reasoning about objects which may not be sets, where some meaning is attached to the words tuple, collection, equality and map. This may sound all very fuzzy, yet we cannot be more formal at this stage.

Definition 2 We call category any tuple (Ob, Arr, dom, cod, id, \circ) such that:

```
(1) Ob is a collection with equality
```

- (2) Arr is a collection with equality
- (3) $\operatorname{dom}: \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Ob} \ is \ a \ map$
- (4) $\operatorname{cod}: \operatorname{Arr} \to \operatorname{Ob} \ is \ a \ map$
- (5) $id : Ob \rightarrow Arr \ is \ a \ map$
- (6) $\circ : Arr \times Arr \rightarrow Arr \text{ is a partial map}$
- (7) $g \circ f \text{ is defined } \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g)$
- (8) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \Rightarrow \operatorname{dom}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{dom}(f)$
- (9) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \Rightarrow \operatorname{cod}(g \circ f) = \operatorname{cod}(g)$
- (10) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = \operatorname{dom}(g) \wedge \operatorname{cod}(g) = \operatorname{dom}(h) \Rightarrow (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$
- (11) $\operatorname{dom}(\operatorname{id}(a)) = a = \operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{id}(a))$
- (12) $\operatorname{dom}(f) = a \implies f \circ \operatorname{id}(a) = f$
- (13) $\operatorname{cod}(f) = a \Rightarrow \operatorname{id}(a) \circ f = f$

where (7) - (13) hold for all $f, g, h \in Arr$ and $a \in Ob$:

So here we are.

Functor

Natural Transformation

Adjunction

4.1 Definition

Definition 3 We call adjunction an ordered pair (F,G) where F is a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ and G is a functor $G: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C}$ while \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are two locally-small categories for which there exists a natural isomorphism:

$$\alpha : \mathcal{D} \circ (F \times I_{\mathcal{D}}) \Rightarrow \mathcal{C} \circ (I_{\mathcal{C}^{op}} \times G)$$

in the functor category $[\mathcal{C}^{op} \times \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{S}et]$, where F also denotes $F : \mathcal{C}^{op} \to \mathcal{D}^{op}$.