

Many software libraries contain **no provision** for Gauss Elimination — WHY NOT?

if you solve $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}_1$ ($\approx \frac{1}{3}n^3$ operations) and, some time later, solve $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}_2$ ($\approx \frac{1}{3}n^3$ operations) most of these operations have been done before! (all but n^2 operations)

⇒ try to store information so you don't waste this effort.

In practice, we store the multipliers l_{ij} in a lower triangular matrix

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & 1 & 0 \\ l_{41} & l_{42} & l_{43} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{cases} l_{ij} & i > j \\ 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i < j \end{cases}$$

LU factorization

Then, if no pivoting was required

$A = LU$
Assignment Project Exam Help
the **LU factorization of A**

<https://powcoder.com>

where **U** is the upper triangular matrix resulting from Gauss Elimination

Proof.

Add WeChat powcoder

Use elementary matrices representing each row op ☐

Note: the LU factorization is not unique, since

$$LU = (LD)(D^{-1}U) = \bar{L}\bar{U}$$

where **D** is any nonsingular diagonal matrix.

Using the LU factors

Now our strategy becomes to solve $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}_1$

- 1 factorize $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{LU}$ ($\approx \frac{1}{3}n^3$ operations)
- 2 to solve $\mathbf{LUx} = \mathbf{b}_1$; solve $\mathbf{Ly} = \mathbf{b}_1$ by forward substitution ($\approx \frac{1}{2}n^2$ operations) where $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Ux}$
- 3 solve $\mathbf{Ux} = \mathbf{y}$ by back substitution ($\approx \frac{1}{2}n^2$ operations)

Total: $\approx \frac{1}{3}n^3 + n^2$ operations
as before!

Re-using the LU factors

then, to solve $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}_2$ (same \mathbf{A} , different RHS)

- 1 \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{U} known, so don't factorize again
- 2 solve $\mathbf{Ly} = \mathbf{b}_2$ by forward substitution ($\approx \frac{1}{2}n^2$ operations)
- 3 solve $\mathbf{Ux} = \mathbf{y}$ by back substitution ($\approx \frac{1}{2}n^2$ operations)

\implies next system with same \mathbf{A} takes $\approx n^2$ operations

This is why most libraries have an LU factorization procedure plus an LU solve procedure instead of Gauss elimination

If row interchanges are required

$$\mathbf{PA} = \mathbf{LU}$$

the **LU factorization of row-permuted \mathbf{A}**

where **\mathbf{P}** is a permutation matrix describing the row interchanges

Example

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is a matrix that swaps the 1st and 3rd rows of another matrix.

i.e. **\mathbf{PA}** is **\mathbf{A}** but with row interchanges required during Gauss Elimination done in advance

Using permuted LU

then to solve $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$:

1

Assignment Project Exam Help

$$\mathbf{PAx} = \mathbf{Pb}$$

so that

<https://powcoder.com>

$$\mathbf{LUx} = \mathbf{Pb}$$

2 solve $\mathbf{Ly} = \mathbf{Pb}$

Add WeChat powcoder

3 solve $\mathbf{Ux} = \mathbf{y}$

Must permute RHS as well!

LU factorization + PP does the same as GEPP, just with steps re-organized

- **Demo**

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder

Matrices with special structure

Gauss Elimination and LU factorization apply to general dense matrices. If the matrix has any special structure, it's often possible to exploit this.

We touch on 2 examples

1. **Positive definite matrices**

Definition

A is **positive definite** iff

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0$$

usually consider only symmetric positive definite matrices

- arise naturally in some applications e.g. Least Squares fitting
- same as having all positive eigenvalues

Choleski factorization

Theorem

A is **symmetric positive definite** is equivalent to

A has a symmetric triangular factorization

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R}$$

where **R** is a nonsingular upper (right) triangular matrix.

Called the **Choleski factorization** of **A** after Choleski: †1918

\mathbf{R}^T plays the same role as **L**; **R** plays the same role as **U**.

The Choleski factorization is unique.

Proof.

To show $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} \implies \mathbf{A}$ is positive definite:

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{R} \mathbf{x})^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{R} \mathbf{x}\|^2 > 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0$$

To show $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} \implies \mathbf{A}$ is symmetric:

$$\mathbf{A}^T = (\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R})^T = \mathbf{R}^T (\mathbf{R}^T)^T = \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{A}$$

To show converse: by induction. □

This factorization takes $\approx \frac{1}{6} n^3 \times / \div + n \sqrt{}$ operations

Moral: If you know \mathbf{A} is symmetric positive definite, can save 50% of work by Choleski factorization.

Turns out that Choleski factorization is not vulnerable to subtractive cancellation, so don't need to pivot.

Banded matrices

A matrix is banded if it has nonzeros only near the main diagonal:

Assignment Project Exam Help
 $A_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } |i - j| > k$

bandwidth of matrix $= 2k + 1$
i.e. a regular pattern of zeroes, a generalization of diagonal matrices.

<https://powcoder.com>
Add WeChat powcoder

Example

- $k = 1$ **tridiagonal matrices**
- $k = 2$ **pentadiagonal matrices**

Fast factorization

If no pivoting is required, the **LU** factors inherit this banded structure
→ can be factored very fast

Assignment Project Exam Help

Example

the LU factors of a tridiagonal matrix are bidiagonal
hence a tridiagonal matrix can be factored without pivoting in $\approx 2n \times / \div$ operations

<https://powcoder.com>
Add WeChat powcoder

VERY FAST!

Use any special structure of the matrix to save time/storage.

If pivoting is required, it destroys the banded structure, so the factors are not banded!

What does MATLAB's backslash do?

To solve the system $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, in MATLAB just type
`x=A\b;`

to remember this, think of it as premultiplying by the inverse: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$

This **backslash** command (amongst other things):

- solves by substitution if \mathbf{A} is triangular
- attempts Cholesky factorization if \mathbf{A} is symmetric
- does GEPP by LU factorization if \mathbf{A} is a general dense matrix

So, easiest way to solve with LU if you want to keep the LU factors is
`[L,U,P]=lu(A); x=U\(L\(P*b))`

similarly for Cholesky:

`R=chol(A); x=R\'(R\' \b)`

Assignment Project Exam Help

End of Week 6

<https://powcoder.com>

Add WeChat powcoder