**Username:** Pralay Patoria **Book:** The C++ Standard Library: A Tutorial and Reference, Second Edition. No part of any chapter or book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means without the prior written permission for reprints and excerpts from the publisher of the book or chapter. Redistribution or other use that violates the fair use privilege under U.S. copyright laws (see 17 USC107) or that otherwise violates these Terms of Service is strictly prohibited. Violators will be prosecuted to the full extent of U.S. Federal and Massachusetts laws.

#### 11.4. The for each() Algorithm

The for\_each() algorithm is very flexible because it allows you to access, process, and modify each element in many different ways. Note, however, that since C++11, the range-based for loop provides this behavior more conveniently and more naturally (see Section 3.1.4, page 17, and Section 6.2.1, page 174). Thus, for\_each() might lose its importance over time.

#### UnaryProc

- Returns the (internally modified) copy of op. Since C++11, the returned op is moved.
- op might modify the elements. However, see Section 11.2.2, page 509, for a comparison with the transform() algorithm, which is able to do the same thing in a slightly different way.
- Any return value of op is ignored.
- See Section 6.10.1, page 235, for the implementation of the for\_each() algorithm.
- Complexity: linear (numElems calls of op () ).

The following example of for\_each() passes each element to a lambda that prints the passed element. Thus, the call prints each element:

# Click here to view code image

The program has the following output:

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
```

Instead of a lambda, you could also pass an ordinary function, which is called for each element:

# Click here to view code image

But note again that since C++11, using a range-based for loop is often more convenient:

```
for (auto elem : coll) {
    cout << elem << ' ';
}</pre>
```

The following example demonstrates how to modify each element:

# Click here to view code image

```
// algo/foreach2.cpp
#include "algostuff.hpp"
using namespace std;
int main()
    vector<int> coll;
    INSERT ELEMENTS(coll,1,9);
    // add 10 to each element
                                                   // range
    for each (coll.begin(), coll.end(),
                                                   // operation
               [](int& elem){
                    elem += 10;
    PRINT ELEMENTS (coll);
    // add value of first element to each element
                                                   // range
    for each (coll.begin(), coll.end(),
               [=] (int& elem) {
                                                   // operation
                    elem += *coll.begin();
    PRINT ELEMENTS (coll);
}
```

The program has the following output:

```
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
```

As you can see, you have to declare the elem to be a reference in order to modify it and to define a capture, such as [=], to be able to add a copy of the first element:

#### Click here to view code image

If instead you passed a reference to the first element with the second call of for\_each() :

# Click here to view code image

the value to add would change while the elements are processed, which would result in the following output:

```
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
22 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41
```

You could also define an ordinary function object:

techbus.safaribooksonline.com/print?xmlid=9780132978286%2Fch11lev1sec4

and pass it to for\_each() :

# Click here to view code image

The AddValue<> class defines function objects that add a value to each element that is passed to the constructor. <u>See Section</u> 6.10.1, page 237, for more details about this example.

Note also that you can do the same by using the transform() algorithm (see Section 11.6.3, page 563) in the following way:

# Click here to view code image

```
// add 10 to each element
                                                      // source range
transform (coll.cbegin(), coll.cend(),
                                                       // destination range
             coll.begin(),
             [](int elem){
                                                     // operation
                 return elem + 10;
             });
// add value of first element to each element
transform (coll.cbegin(), coll.cend(),
                                                      // source range
            coll.begin(),
                                                      // destination range
                                                       // operation
             [=] (int elem) {
                 return elem + *coll.begin();
             });
```

See Section 11.2.2, page 509, for a general comparison between for each() and transform() .

A third example demonstrates how to use the return value of the for\_each() algorithm. Because for\_each() has the special property that it returns its operation, you can process and return a result inside the operation:

# Click here to view code image

```
// algo/foreach3.cpp
#include "algostuff.hpp"
using namespace std;
// function object to process the mean value
class MeanValue
  private:
                  // number of elements
    long num;
    long sum;
                  // sum of all element values
  public:
    // constructor
    MeanValue (): num(0), sum(0) {
    // function call
// - process one more element of the sequence
    // add value
        sum += elem;
    // return mean value (implicit type conversion)
    operator double()
        return static_cast<double>(sum) / static cast<double>(num);
};
int main()
    vector<int> coll;
    INSERT ELEMENTS (coll, 1, 8);
    // process and print mean value
    double mv = for_each (coll.begin(), coll.end(),
                                                           // range
                                                           // operation
                            MeanValue());
    cout << "mean value: " << mv << endl;</pre>
}
```

The program has the following output:

mean value: 4.5

You could also use a lambda and pass the value to return by reference. However, in this scenario, a lambda is not necessarily better, because a function object really encapsulates both Sum as internal state and the final division of dividing the sum by the number of elements. See Section 10.1.3, page 482, for details.