Username: Pralay Patoria **Book:** A Programmer's Guide to C# 5.0, Fourth Edition. No part of any chapter or book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means without the prior written permission for reprints and excerpts from the publisher of the book or chapter. Redistribution or other use that violates the fair use privilege under U.S. copyright laws (see 17 USC107) or that otherwise violates these Terms of Service is strictly prohibited. Violators will be prosecuted to the full extent of U.S. Federal and Massachusetts laws.

Covariance and Contravariance

Covariance and contravariance are big terms that describe how conversions are performed between types.⁶ Consider the following:

```
class Auto
{
}
class Sedan: Auto
{
}
void ReferenceCovariance()
{
    Sedan dodgeDart = new Sedan();
    Auto currentCar = dodgeDart;
}
```

This works exactly as you would expect; because Sedan is derived from Auto, every Sedan is an Auto, and therefore you can safely make this assignment

When you extend this to arrays of reference types, it gets more interesting.

```
void ArrayCovariance()
{
    Sedan[] sedans = new Sedan[1];
    sedans[0] = new Sedan();
    Auto[] autos = sedans;
    autos[0] = new Roadster();
}
```

It is useful to be able to assign an array of <code>Sedan</code> instances to an array of <code>Auto</code> instances; this allows you to write methods that take an array of <code>Auto</code> instances as a parameter. Unfortunately, it isn't typesafe; the last statement in the method assigns a <code>Roadster</code> instance to the <code>autos</code> array. That would be fine if the <code>autos</code> array was actually of type <code>Auto[]</code>, but it is in fact of type <code>Sedan[]</code>, and the assignment fails at runtime.

This behavior is a bit unfortunate. It would be nice if generics provided a better solution. Consider the following example:

```
interface IFirstItem <T>
{
    T GetFirstItem();
}
class MyFirstList <T> : List <T>, IFirstItem <T>
{
    public MyFirstList () { }
    public T GetFirstItem()
    {
        return this[0];
    }
}
Here you define an interface named IFirstItem < T > and a list class that implements it. You then write some code to use it.
void TestService()
{
    MyFirstList <Sedan> sedans = new MyFirstList <Sedan> ();
    sedans.Add(new Sedan());
    PerformService(sedans);
```

```
}
void PerformService(IFirstItem <Auto> autos)
{
}
```

You are passing an IFirstItem <Sedan> to a function that takes an IFirstItem <Auto>, and that's not allowed. The compiler is worried that PerformService() will lose the fact that the Auto is really a Sedan and try to do something that will generate an exception. It's the same situation you had with the array.

If you examine the IFirstItem <T> interface, you will realize that there is no issue; the only thing that it does is pull an instance of type ${\tt T}$ out, and there is no way for that to cause an issue. What you need is a way to tell the compiler that the type parameter ${\tt T}$ is used only as output.

You can do that through the following:

```
interface IFirstItem <out T>
{
    T GetFirstItem();
}
```

The code now works. This is an example of generic covariance; the compiler now knows that it is safe to convert from the type of \mathbb{T} to a less-derived type, so it allows you to do the conversion.

You now try to extend the interface by adding an additional method.

```
interface IFirstItem <out T>
{
    T GetFirstItem();
    void NotLegal(T parameter);
}
```

This generates an error. 8 You said that you are going to use the generic parameter ${\tt T}$ only for output, but the ${\tt NotLegal}$ () method uses it for input.

Contravariance

Contravariance applies in a different case. Consider the following:

```
interface IEqual <in T>
{
    bool IsEqual(T x, T y);
}
class Comparer : IEqual <object>
{
    public bool IsEqual(object x, object y)
    {
       return true;
    }
}
class GenericContravariance
{
    void Example()
    {
       Comparer comparer = new Comparer();
       TestEquality(comparer);
    }
    void TestEquality(IEqual <Auto> equalizer)
    {
     }
}
```

In this case, instances of type T flow only into the interface and are never visible outside of the interface. That allows you to do something that seems a bit surprising; you can pass an IEqual <object> for use as an IEqual <Auto>. That just seems wrong. However, if you look a bit closer, you will figure out that if you have an IEqual <Auto>, you will want to use it in code such as this:

```
Auto auto1 = ...;
```

```
Auto auto2 = ...;
bool equal = equalizer.IsEqual(auto1, auto2);
```

In that situation, it is perfectly safe to use an ${\tt IEqual}$ splect>, since you can safely convert the Auto arguments into object arguments. You indicate this situation by adding the in keyword to the type parameter.