

Assignment for Lecture 6

Zhihan Li

1600010653

May 12, 2018

Question 1

Proof Consider

$$\widehat{x} = x/\|x\|_p, \quad (1)$$

$$\widehat{y} = y/\|y\|_q, \quad (2)$$

which satisfies $\|\widehat{x}\|_p = 1$, $\|\widehat{y}\|_q = 1$. Because of the Young's inequality, we have

$$|\widehat{x}^T \widehat{y}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\widehat{x}_i \widehat{y}_i| \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^n |\widehat{x}_i|^p + \frac{1}{q} \sum_{i=1}^n |\widehat{y}_i|^q = \frac{1}{p} \|\widehat{x}\|_p + \frac{1}{q} \|\widehat{y}\|_q = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \quad (3)$$

which consequently gives

$$|x^T y| = |\widehat{x}^T \widehat{y}| \|x\|_p \|y\|_q \leq \|x\|_p \|y\|_q. \quad (4)$$

□

Question 2

Proof (1) Positive definiteness: because $\|Ax\| \geq 0$ for all x , therefore $\|A\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\| \geq 0$. If $\|A\| = 0$, then for all $x \neq 0$, $\|Ax\| = \|A(x/\|x\|)\| \|x\| = 0$ and $Ax = 0$, which means $A = 0$.

(2) Absolute homogeneity:

$$\|aA\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|aAx\| = |a| \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\| = |a| \|A\|. \quad (5)$$

(3) Triangle inequality:

$$\|A\| + \|B\| = \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\| + \max_{\|x\|=1} \|Bx\| \geq \max_{\|x\|=1} (\|Ax\| + \|Bx\|) \geq \max_{\|x\|=1} \|(A+B)x\| = \|A+B\|. \quad (6)$$

Combining these condition, $\|\cdot\| : A \mapsto \|A\|$ is indeed a norm.

□

Question 3

Proof Choose some x such that $\|x\| \neq 0$. Because A is invertible,

$$\kappa(A) \|x\| = \|A\| \|A^{-1}\| \|x\| \geq \|A\| \|A^{-1}x\| \geq \|AA^{-1}x\| \geq \|x\|, \quad (7)$$

which means

$$\kappa(A) \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

□

Question 4

Answer Analytical solution of the equation is

$$x^* = \frac{1}{(3+\epsilon)(1+\epsilon)} \begin{bmatrix} -4-\epsilon \\ -1-\epsilon \\ 5+2\epsilon \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The result is shown in Table 1.

Table 1 Number of Gauss-Seidel iterations for different ϵ

ϵ	iterations
1.0e+00	11
1.0e-01	77
1.0e-02	730
1.0e-03	7262
1.0e-04	72581
1.0e-05	725774
1.0e-06	7257699
1.0e-07	72577097

Source codes are given in Python in Problem4.ipynb.

Question 5

Proof It suffices to prove that $\rho(H) < 1$. If λ is an eigenvalue of H and v is the corresponding eigenvector, then (note that H is real)

$$0 < v^* B v = v^* P v - v^* H^* P H v = (1 - |\lambda|^2) v^* P v. \quad (10)$$

Because $v^* P v > 0$ also holds, therefore $1 - |\lambda|^2 > 0$, which implies that $|\lambda| < 1$ and $\rho(H) < 1$. \square

Question 6

Proof Proof by contradiction. That A is singular leads to the existence of non-zero v such that $Av = 0$.

Consider the case that A is diagonally dominant. Suppose $|v_i| = \max_{j=1}^n |v_j|$ (which is greater than zero according to the hypothesis), and therefore

$$0 = |(Av)_i| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} v_j \right| \geq |A_{ii}| |v_i| - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |A_{ij}| |v_j| \geq \left(|A_{ii}| - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |A_{ij}| \right) |v_i| > 0, \quad (11)$$

which leads to contradiction.

Consider the case that A is irreducibly diagonally dominant. Let $S = \{i : |v_i| = \max_{j=1}^n |v_j|\}$ and $T = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus S$. Because A is irreducible, therefore there exists $s \in S, t \in T$ such that $A_{st} \neq 0$. Consequently,

$$0 = |(Av)_s| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n A_{sj} v_j \right| \geq |A_{ss}| |v_s| - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^n |A_{sj}| |v_j| > \left(|A_{ss}| - \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq s}}^n |A_{sj}| \right) |v_s| \geq 0, \quad (12)$$

which also leads to contradiction. \square