File System Reliability and Journaling File System

ECE 469, April 05

Aravind Machiry



Preview: File System Abstraction





Preview: File Allocation Table (FAT)

- Simple.
- Easy to implement.
- Still used in Phones and Thumb drives.

- Key data structure: File Allocation Table
  - List of all disk blocks.
  - File: Linked list of blocks.


File Allocation Table
Disk
Directory
Name
Start sector
A,10
B,2
C,20
*,4
File A
File B
File C
Free space
0 x
1 x
2 11
3 12
4 5
5 8
6 0
7 6
8 9
9 15
10 3
11 19
12 0
13 14
14 7
15 16
16 17
17 21
18 0
19 13
20 28
21 22
22 23
23 24
24 25
25 29
26 18
27 26
28 27
29 30
30 31
31 0

Preview: FAT

- Pros: Simple
  - Easy to find free block.
  - Easy to append file.
  - Easy to delete a file.

- Cons:
  - Small file access is slow.
  - Random file access is very slow.
  - Fragmentation:
    - Blocks of a small file could be heavily scattered.
    - Problem becomes worse as the usage increases.

Preview: EXT2 File System



Preview: EXT2 File System : inode



File System Reliability



- Loss of data in a file system can have catastrophic effect
  - How does it compare to hardware (DRAM) failure?
  - Need to ensure safety against data loss

- Reasons for loss of data:
  - Accidental or malicious deletion of data
  - Media (disk) failure
  - System crash during file system modifications

Handling loss of data

- Accidental or malicious deletion of data?
- Media (disk) failure?
- System crash during file system modifications?

Handling loss of data

- Accidental or malicious deletion of data?
  - **Backup**
- Media (disk) failure?
- System crash during file system modifications?

Backup

- Copy entire file system onto low-cost media (tape), at regular intervals (e.g. once a day).
  - Backup storage (cold storage)
- In the event of a disk failure, replace disk and restore from backup media
- Amount of loss is limited to modifications occurred since last backup

Handling loss of data

- Accidental or malicious deletion of data?
  - Backup
- Media (disk) failure?
  - **Data Replication (e.g., RAID)**
- System crash during file system modifications?

Data Replication

- Full replication
  - Mirroring across disks
  - Full replication to different machines (more next week)
- RAID (next lecture)
- Erasure Coding
  - Like RAID, use parity, but saves more space

Handling loss of data

- Accidental or malicious deletion of data?
  - Backup
- Media (disk) failure?
  - Data Replication (e.g., RAID)
- System crash during file system modifications?
  - **Crash Recovery**

Crash Recovery

- After a system crash in the middle of a file system operation, file system metadata may be in an *inconsistent state*
    - Can we recover from this inconsistent state!?

File Persistence under Buffer Cache/Page Cache

- Problem: fast cache memory is volatile, but users expect disk files to be persistent
  - In the event of a system crash, dirty blocks in the page cache are lost !
  - Example 1: creating “/dir/a”
    - Allocate inode (from free inode list) for “a”
    - Update parent dir content – add (“a”, inode#) to “dir”

File Persistence under Buffer Cache/Page Cache

- Solution 1: use write-through cache
  - Modifications are written to disk immediately
    - (minimize “window of opportunities”)
  - No performance advantage for disk writes

File Persistence under Buffer Cache/Page Cache

- Solution 2: write back cache
  - Gather (buffer) writes in memory and then write all buffered data back to storage devices
  - e.g., write back dirty blocks after no more than 30 seconds
  - e.g., write back all dirty blocks during file close

- Problem with this?

Many “dirty” blocks in memory: What order to write to disk?



- Example: Appending a new block to existing file
  - Write data bitmap B (for new data block),
    write inode I of file (to add new pointer, update time),
    write new data block D



Problem

- One file operation may involve modifying multiple disk blocks (and hence multiple disk I/Os)

- After crashing, do we know which blocks were involved at the moment of crashing?

Crash after Bitmap

- Write Ordering: Bitmap (B), Inode (I), Data (D)
  - But CRASH after B has reached disk, before I or D
- Result?



Crash after inode

- Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)
  - But CRASH after I has reached disk, before B or D
- Result?



Crash after bitmap and inode

- Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)
  - CRASH after I AND B have reached disk, before D
- Result?



Crash after Data

- Write Ordering: Data (D) , Bitmap (B), Inode (I)
  - CRASH after D has reached disk, before I or B
- Result?



Traditional Solution: fsck

- FSCK: “file system checker”
- When system boots:
  - Make multiple passes over file system, looking for inconsistencies
    - e.g., inode pointers and bitmaps, directory entries and inode reference counts
  - Either fix automatically or punt to admin
  - How to recover?

Crash after Bitmap: Can we recover?

- Write Ordering: Bitmap (B), Inode (I), Data (D)
  - But CRASH after B has reached disk, before I or D
- Result?



Crash after inode: Can we recover?

- Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)
  - But CRASH after I has reached disk, before B or D
- Result?



Crash after bitmap and inode Can we recover?

- Write Ordering: Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)
  - CRASH after I AND B have reached disk, before D
- Result?



Traditional Solution: fsck

- Main problem with fsck: Performance
  - Sometimes takes hours to run on large disk volumes

Solution 2: Logging file system updates

- We need to ensure a “copy” of consistent state can always be recovered

- Either the old consistent state (before updates)
- Undo Log
  - Make a copy of the old state to a different place
  - Update the current place

- Or the new consistent state (after updates)
- Redo Log
  - Write to a new place, leave the old place intact

Redo Log

- Idea: Write something down to disk at a different location from the data location
  - Called the “write ahead log” or “journal”
- When all data is written to redo log, write it back to the data location, and then delete the data on redo log

- When crash occurs, look through the redo log and see what was going on
  - Replay complete data, discard incomplete data
  - The process is called “recovery”

Journaling File Systems

- Basic idea
  - update metadata, or all data, *transactionally*
    - *“all or nothing”*
    - *Failure atomicity*
  - if a crash occurs, you may lose a bit of work, but the disk will be in a consistent state
    - more precisely, you will be able to quickly get it to a consistent state by using the transaction log/journal – rather than scanning every disk block and checking sanity conditions

Journaling File Systems

- Idea
  - update metadata, or all data, *transactionally*
    - *“all or nothing”*
    - *Failure atomicity*
  - if a crash occurs, you may lose a bit of work, but the disk will be in a consistent state
    - more precisely, you will be able to quickly get it to a consistent state by using the transaction log/journal – rather than scanning every disk block and checking sanity conditions

Journaling File Systems



- In file systems with page cache, the data is in two places:
  - On disk
  - In in-memory caches
- The basic idea of the solution:
  - Always leave "home copy" of data in a consistent state
  - Make updates persistent by writing them to a sequential (chronological) journal partition/file
  - At your leisure, push the updates (in order) to the home copies and reclaim the journal space
  - Or, make sure log is written before updates

Journal

- Journal: an append-only file containing log records
  - <start t>
    - transaction t has begun

Journal

- Journal: an append-only file containing log records
  - <start t>
    - transaction t has begun
  - <t,x,v>
    - transaction t has updated block x and its new value is v
      - Can log block “diffs” instead of full blocks
      - Can log *operations* instead of data (operations must be idempotent and undoable)

Journal

- Journal: an append-only file containing log records
  - <start t>
    - transaction t has begun
  - <t,x,v>
    - transaction t has updated block x and its new value is v
      - Can log block "diffs" instead of full blocks
      - Can log *operations* instead of data (operations must be idempotent and undoable)
  - <commit t>
    - transaction t has committed – updates will survive a crash
    - Only after the commit block is written is the transaction final
    - The commit block is a single block of data on the disk
- Committing involves writing the records – **the home data doesn't need to be updated at this time**

How does data get out of the journal?

- After a commit the new data is in the journal – it needs to be written back to its home location on the disk
- Cannot reclaim that journal space until we resync the data to disk

Journal Checkpointing

- A cleaner thread walks the journal in order, updating the home locations (on disk, not the cache!) of updates in each transaction
- Once a transaction has been reflected to the home locations, it can be deleted from the journal

Crash Recovery

- Only completed updates have been committed
  - During reboot, the recovery mechanism reapplies the committed transactions in the journal
- The old and updated data are each stored separately, until the commit block is written

If a crash occurs

- Open the log and parse
  - <start>, data, <commit> => committed transactions
  - <start>, ~~no <commit>~~ => uncommitted transactions
- Redo committed transactions
  - Re-execute updates from all committed transactions
  - Aside: note that update (write) is *idempotent*: can be done any positive number of times with the same result.
- Undo uncommitted transactions
  - Undo updates from all uncommitted transactions
  - Write “compensating log records” to avoid work in case we crash during the undo phase

Journal

- Journal: an append-only file containing log records
  - <start t>
    - transaction t has begun
  - <t,x,v>
    - transaction t has updated block x and its new value is v
      - Can log block “diffs” instead of full blocks
      - Can log *operations* instead of data (operations must be idempotent and undoable)
  - <commit t>
    - transaction t has committed – updates will survive a crash
    - Only after the commit block is written is the transaction final
    - The commit block is a single block of data on the disk

Case Study: Ext3

- Ext3: roughly ext2+journaling

- Ext3 grew out of ext2
- Exact same code base
- Completely backwards compatible *(if you have a clean reboot)*

Ext3 and Journaling

- Two separate layers
  - /fs/ext3 – just the filesystem with transactions
  - /fs/jdb – just the journaling stuff

- ext3 calls jbd as needed
  - Start/stop transaction
  - Ask for a journal recovery after unclean reboot

Ext3 and Journaling

- Journal location
  - EITHER on a separate device partition
  - OR just a “special” file within ext2

- Three separate modes of operation:
  - Data: All data is journaled
  - Ordered, Writeback: Just metadata is journaled

Data Journaling Mode

- Same example: Update Inode (I), Bitmap (B), Data (D)
- First, write to journal:
  - Transaction begin (Tx begin)
  - Transaction descriptor (info about this Tx)
  - I, B, and D blocks (in this example)
  - Transaction end (Tx end)
- Then, “checkpoint” data to fixed ext2 structures
  - Copy I, B, and D to their fixed file system locations
- Finally, free Tx in journal
  - Journal is fixed-sized circular buffer, entries must be periodically freed

When crash occurs…

- Recovery: Go through log and “redo” operations that have been successfully committed to log

- What if …
  - Tx begin but not Tx end in log?
  - Tx begin through Tx end are in log, but I, B, and D have not yet been checkpointed?
  - What if Tx is in log, I, B, D have been checkpointed, but Tx has not been freed from log?

- Performance? (As compared to fsck?)

Problem with Data Journaling

- Data journaling: Lots of extra writes
  - All data committed to disk twice (once in journal, once to final location)
- Overkill if only goal is to keep metadata consistent

Metadata only journaling: Writeback mode

- Writeback mode
  - Just journals metadata
  - Data is not journaled. Writes data to final location directly
  - Better performance than data journaling (data written once)
  - The contents might be written at any time (before or after the journal is updated)
- Problems?
  - If a crash happens, metadata can point to old or even garbage data!

Metadata only journaling: Ordered mode

- Ordered mode
  - Only metadata is journaled, file contents are not (like writeback mode)
  - But file contents guaranteed to be written to disk before associated metadata is marked as committed in the journal
  - Default ext3 journaling mode

When crash occurs…

- Metadata will only point to correct data (no stale data can be reached after reboot).
- But there *may be data that is not pointed to by any metadata*.
- How is this better than writeback in terms of consistency guarantees?

Conclusions

- Journaling
  - Almost all modern file systems use journaling to reduce recovery time during startup (e.g., Linux ext3/ext4, ReiserFS, SGI XFS, IBM JFS, NTFS)
  - Simple idea: Use write-ahead log to record some info about what you are going to do before doing it
  - Turns multi-write update sequence into a single atomic update (“all or nothing”)
  - Some performance overhead: Extra writes to journal
    - Worth the cost?