Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 6.4. Grothendieck topology, site and topos should be read after 2022.65 category

A dictionary for myself: $SU_i \rightarrow U_{i\in\Lambda}$ sieve

topology Crothendieck topology

topological space site Sh(X) topos

sheaf sheaf irr closed set/pts points

Discrete fibration

Ref:[https://www.illc.uva.nl/Research/Publications/Dissertations/DS-2021-09.text.pdf], begin from 3.1.8

Def A fctor $F: C \longrightarrow B$ is a discrete fibration if $\forall c \in C, b \in B, g \in Mor(b, F(c)),$ $\exists : c' \in C, h \in Mor(c',c) \text{ s.t. } F(h) = g.$ A fctor $F: C \longrightarrow B$ is a discrete optibration if $F^{op}: C^{op} \longrightarrow B^{op}$ is a discrete fibration.

From[https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.06129]: The left-handed version, now opfibrations, was originally called cofibrations, though this name was rejected to avoid confusing topologists.

E.g. For any category ℓ & $\times \in \mathcal{O}_b(\ell)$, the forgetful fctor $\ell/\ell \longrightarrow \ell$

is a discrete fibration (not fully faithful)

We will later see that this discrete fibration corresponds to the presheaf h_x . Prop. (Equivalent def of discrete fibration) Let ℓ, \mathcal{B} be categories. $F: \ell \to \mathcal{B}$ be a foctor. F is discrete fibration $\iff \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$, $F/c: \mathcal{C}/c \to \mathcal{B}/F(c)$ is iso.

i.e. DFiby is a full submeta category of Cathin/3.

When restrict everything to small categories, one can define DFiby as a full subcategory of Cat/8

```
Def (sieve in small category)
       Let e be a small category, S∈ Cat/e.
            S is a sieve in e if the fctor s \longrightarrow e
            is fully faithful and a discrete fibration.
      For cee, TeCat/(e/c),
             T is a sieve on c if the fctor
                                    T -> 1/c
             is fully faithful and a discrete fibration.
      Viewing Tas a full subcotegory of C/c, this is equivalent to
              A sieve on c is a subset T=Ob(e/c) st.
              (fog. e → c) ∈T for any e, d∈ l, (f, d → c) ∈T, g ∈Mor(e, d).
Def. Now & can be any category, ce &.
              A sieve on c is a subclass T=Ob(e/c) st.
              (fog. e → c) ∈T for any e, d∈ l, (f. d → c) ∈T, g ∈Mor(e, d).
                                                                                 e \stackrel{9}{\rightarrow} d
                                                                            foget & LfET
Let hc:= More(-, c): Cop -> Set be a presheaf on C.
                            c' -> More (c',c)
Thm. When t is small, There is a bijection between Sets
             sieves on c∈e } ← subfctors of hc?
                                  → F<sub>T</sub>, e<sup>op</sup> → Set
                                    d \qquad \qquad \left\{ (d \rightarrow c) \in T \right\}
d \in Mor_{e}(d \cdot d') \quad a \downarrow \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \uparrow \ a \circ -
                                                  ď {(ď→c)eT}
                T_{F} = \coprod_{d \in \mathcal{O}_{h}(\mathcal{C})} F(d) \iff F \subset h_{c}
Q. How to get a correct statement for this theorem when e is large?
```

Sieve

Grothendieck topology, site and topos

On set theoretic issues: https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/tag/ooVI Ironnically, even though what I can actually understand is the Grothendieck topology over a small category, nearly all the applications I need is the Grothendieck topology over a large category.

Def. A Grothendieck topology
$$T$$
 on a category C is an assignment $T(-)$. $C \longrightarrow T(c) \subseteq f$ sieves on $c \in C$ for some $c \in C$ or $C \subseteq C$ sieves on $C \subseteq C$ (Base change) $\forall g \in More(d, c), T \in T(c) \Rightarrow g^*T \in T(d)$

2) (Local character) Let T be a sieve on $C \in C$. If $[\exists S \in T(c) \text{ st } \forall (g,d \rightarrow c) \in S, g^*T \in T(d)]$ then $T \in T(c)$

3) $h_C \in T(c)$

Def. A site C = (C, T) is a category equipped with a Grothendieck topology. A topos is a category equivalent to Sh(C), where C is a site.

	Category Groth cover	space	continuous map	Covering of	2.4	cohomology
_	site	Object	Morphism	Grothendieck Top.	topos	new cohomology
_	X _{zav} Sch _{zav}	open immersion over X Ob(Sch)	full sub of Sch/x Mor (Sch)			Н
	Xét Schét	étale + l.f.p over X Ob(Sch)	full sub of Sch/X Mor (Sch)	ét + l.f.p ét + l.f.p		Hét
	Schom	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	smooth+l.f.p		
	Schfppf	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	f.flat + l.f.p		
	Schfpge	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	f flat +f. (q.o) locally qc		
X/k Mn:=Wn(k)	Cris (X/wn)	{(U,V,i.s) U \le X open } S.PD-thickening of U	$\begin{cases} (\iota,f) & \text{l. } \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\text{open}} \mathcal{U}' \\ f. & \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}' \\ \text{competible with PD} \end{cases}$	$ \begin{cases} (u, v, i, s,) & \text{fui} cover \\ (u, v, i, s) & \text{of } u \end{cases} $		Hicris (Wwn,-)

(recommended)https://sites.math.washington.edu/~jarod/moduli.pdf https://pbelmans.ncag.info/notes/etale-cohomology.pdf http://homepage.sns.it/vistoli/descent.pdf (crystalline site)http://page.mi.fu-berlin.de/castillejo/docs/crystalline_cohomology.pdf

(60) [Hilbert's theorem 90 (no non-trivial line bundle on speck

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1424102/relationship-between-galois-cohomology-and-etale-cohomology

it tells us why we don't have small site for most condition:
https://mathoverflow.net/questions/247044/small-fppf-syntomic-smooth-sites
Here you can find some informations about comparison between fppf and fpqc topologys:
https://mathoverflow.net/questions/361664/some-basic-questions-on-quotient-of-group-schemes

Thm. \bigcirc equiv. of categories $Sets((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ G_{K}-Set \ (Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \Leftrightarrow G_{K}-Set$ $Ab((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ Mod G_{K} \ (\textcircled{a})$ $\textcircled{b}(\textcircled{b}) \text{ preserve cohomology} \ H'((Spec (K))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathcal{F}) = H_{cont}^{1}(G_{K}, \mathcal{F}_{K})$ $Ex \ describe \text{ sheaf on } (Spec \ C)_{\acute{e}t} \ (\text{Verify}; \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is decided by } \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C))$ $Ex \ describe \text{ sheaf on } (Spec \ C)_{\acute{e}t} \ (\text{Verify}; \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is decided by } \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C))$ $F(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$ $\mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$ $\mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$

```
Sub Ex. \mathcal{F} is shouf \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

Gal:=Gal(\mathbb{C}/R)

Partial \mathcal{F} is seperated \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) inj

Penults: C_{omm} diagram \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

F sheef: O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1)

in this case O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L2: S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

Abuse \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L1: \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)
```

Ex. describe the global section of sheaf under the equivalence
$$\Gamma(S_{pec} \ K, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ K) = \mathcal{F}_{k^{sep}} \qquad \mathcal{F}_{k^{sep}} = \lim_{\substack{l \neq l \\ finite}} \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ L)$$

Ex describe the stalk & fiber at
$$p \in Speck$$

$$F_{p} := \underbrace{\lim_{p \in V} F(U)} = F_{k}^{rep} \qquad F|_{p} := F_{p} \otimes_{Speck, p} k(p) = F_{p} = F_{k}^{sep}$$

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2856987/computing-%C3%A9tale-cohomology-group-h1-textspeck-mu-n-and-h1-texts