

Preview of semisimple

Semisimple modules: best modules

Theorem 16.1. For a module V the following are equivalent:

- Verify & structure \leftarrow
- (i) V is semisimple; \nearrow Zorn
 - (ii) V is a sum of simple modules; (not direct sum) \nearrow
 - (iii) Every submodule of V is a direct summand.
 - (iv) $\text{soc}(V) = V$ (iv)* $\text{rad}(V) = 0$ i.e. $\text{top}(V) = V$

for SES: $2 \rightarrow 1, 3$

Semisimple algebras: "best" algebras

Theorem 16.6. Let A be a K -algebra. Then the following are equivalent:

- Verify def Structure \leftarrow
- (i) The regular representation ${}_A A$ is semisimple; easier to verify, $\{A\}_A$ is direct sum of simple modules
 - (ii) Every A -module is semisimple; def.
 - (iii) There exist K -skew fields D_i and natural numbers n_i where $1 \leq i \leq s$ such that

$$A \cong \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(D_i).$$

(iv) Any A -module is proj (iv)* injective $\Leftrightarrow \text{gl dim}(A) = 0$

Remark. (iii) \Rightarrow $\begin{cases} (-)^{\text{op}}, \text{ finite prod keeps semisimple ring (not infinite prod)} \\ \text{classification of simple } A\text{-modules.} \end{cases}$

$$(iii) \xRightarrow{k=\bar{k}} A \cong \prod_{i=1}^s M_{n_i}(k)$$

E.g. $K[G]$ is semisimple when $k \nmid |G|$. **Theorem 16.12 (Maschke).**

Semisimple Lie alg

In mathematics, a Lie algebra is **semisimple** if it is a direct sum of simple Lie algebras (non-abelian Lie algebras without any non-zero proper ideals).

Throughout the article, unless otherwise stated, a Lie algebra is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0. For such a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , if nonzero, the following conditions are equivalent:

- \mathfrak{g} is semisimple;
- the Killing form, $\kappa(x, y) = \text{tr}(\text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(y))$, is non-degenerate;
- \mathfrak{g} has no non-zero abelian ideals;
- \mathfrak{g} has no non-zero solvable ideals;
- the radical (maximal solvable ideal) of \mathfrak{g} is zero. (iv)*

socle & radical: approximation of semisimple modules

$$\begin{aligned} \text{soc}(V) &= \sum \mathfrak{S}_i = \sum_{i \text{ semi}} \mathfrak{S}_i = \bigcap_{\substack{U \subseteq V \\ U \text{ large}}} U && \text{maximal semisimple submodule} \\ \text{rad}(V) &= \bigcap_{U: V/U \text{ simple}} U = \bigcap_{U: V/U \text{ semi}} U = \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq V \\ U \text{ small}}} U && \text{top}(V): \text{maximal "semisimple" factor module.} \\ && \text{ } \nearrow \text{fl } \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

Rmk. soc & rad are both neither left adjoints nor right adjoints but still we have:

$$\begin{aligned} - f: V \rightarrow W &\rightsquigarrow f(\text{soc}(V)) \subseteq \text{soc}(W) & f(\text{rad}(V)) &\subseteq \text{rad}(W) \\ - V = \bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i &\rightsquigarrow \text{soc}(V) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{soc}(V_i) & \text{rad}(V) &= \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{rad}(V_i) \end{aligned}$$

A submodule U of a module V is **large** in V if
 $U \cap U' \neq 0$
 for all **non-zero submodules** U' of V . $\Rightarrow U \supset \text{soc}(V) \supset \text{semisimple} \supset \text{simple}$

$U_1 \cap U_2$ still large

A submodule U of a module V is **small** in V if
 $U + U' \neq V$
 for all proper submodules U' of V . $\Rightarrow U \subseteq \text{rad}(V) \subseteq \text{maximal}$

$U_1 + U_2$ still small

$\forall U \subseteq V, \exists U' \subseteq V$ s.t. $U \oplus U' \subseteq V$ is large.

$\forall U \subseteq V, U$ is small $\xleftrightarrow{\text{V.f.g. / U cyclic / A f.d. k-alg}} U \subseteq \text{rad}(V)$

Corollary 17.16. For a **finitely generated module** V the following hold:

- ✓ (i) $\text{rad}(V)$ is small in V ; \Rightarrow small modules are $\{U \mid U \subseteq \text{rad}(V)\}$
- ✓ (ii) If $V \neq 0$, then $\text{rad}(V) \subset V$;
- ✓ (iii) If $V \neq 0$, then V has a maximal submodule. for non f.g. modules, ^{max} has no maximal submodule...
e.g. \mathbb{Z}

Lemma 17.22. For a module V of **finite length**, the socle series and the radical series of V are **both finite**, and the factors $\text{soc}_i(V)/\text{soc}_{i-1}(V)$ and $\text{rad}^i(V)/\text{rad}^{i+1}(V)$ are **semisimple** for all $i \geq 0$.

Jacobson radical: $J(A) := \text{rad}({}_A A) = \bigcap_{S \text{ simple}} \text{Ann}_A(S)$ $A/J(A)$ is semi when A is f.l.
is an two-sided ideal.

Lemma 18.10. Let $x \in A$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $x \in J(A)$;
- (ii) For all $a_1, a_2 \in A$, the element $1 + a_1 x a_2$ has an inverse;
- (iii) For all $a \in A$, the element $1 + ax$ has a left inverse;

$$\Rightarrow \text{Nil}(A) \subseteq J(A)$$

Corollary 18.8 (Nakayama Lemma). If V is a finitely generated A -module such that $J(A)V = V$, then $V = 0$.

$$J(eAe) = eJ(A)e = J(A) \cap eAe. \text{ used to simplify calculation}$$

E.g. For a module V , we want to find out:

- Is V semisimple (best). If not,
- $\text{soc}(V)$, $\text{rad}(V)$, $\text{top}(V)$ $\rightsquigarrow \text{rad}^i(V)$ & $\text{soc}^i(V)$
- small & large module
- $\text{End}_A(V)$, Is cl_A decomposable, and so on. -

For an alg A , we want $J(A)$.

E.g. 1. $k[G]$ generally semisimple
 2. quiver

★ 17.6.1. Let Q be a quiver without oriented cycles, and let $V = (V_i, V_a)$ be a representation of Q . Show that

$$\text{soc}(V) = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \left(\bigcap_{\substack{a \in Q_1 \\ s(a)=i}} \text{Ker}(V_a) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rad}(V) = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} \left(\sum_{\substack{a \in Q_1 \\ t(a)=i}} \text{Im}(V_a) \right).$$

Convention: If $\{a \in Q_1 \mid s(a) = i\} = \emptyset$, then

$$\bigcap_{\substack{a \in Q_1 \\ s(a)=i}} \text{Ker}(V_a) = V_i$$

18.6.1. Let Q be a quiver, and let $A = KQ$. Show that $J(A)$ has as a K -basis the set of all paths from i to j such that there is no path from j to i , where i and j run through the set of vertices of Q .

module
 3. $N(\infty)$ & $k[T]$
 4. upper triangle matrix.

alg