Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 4.13 lattices defining abelian variety

Ref:

[Deb99]: Complex tori and abelian varieties
[Mum74]: Mumford, David, Abelian varieties. Oxford university press Oxford, 1974.
[LR22]: Herbert Lange and Rubí E. Rodríguez. Decomposition of Jacobians by Prym Varieties. 2310.
[BL04]: Christina Birkenhake, and Herbert Lange. Complex Abelian Varieties. 2nd augmented ed. Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Berlin: Springer, 2004.

This document try to work out [Deb99, p28, Ex (3)]

Claim 1 [Mum 74, p35, (1) \Leftrightarrow (4)] Let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{C}^g$ be a full lattice. Then

 \mathbb{C}^g/Δ is an abelian variety \Leftrightarrow \exists an IR-bilinear alternating form $W: \mathbb{C}^g \times \mathbb{C}^g \longrightarrow IR$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} \omega(\Lambda \times \Delta) \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \\ \omega(x, ix) > 0 \quad \forall x \neq 0 \\ \omega(ix, iy) = \omega(x, y) \end{cases}$$
 (*)

lie. an integral Kähler form
$$\omega(u,v) = \operatorname{Re} h(iu,v) = \operatorname{Im} h(u,v) \qquad h(au,v) = \overline{a}h(u,v)$$

$$= -\operatorname{Re} h(u,iv)$$

From now on. suppose $\Lambda = \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2g} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$, we denote

$$T := (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{2g} := (\lambda_1^*, \dots, \lambda_{2g}^*)^T \Rightarrow \lambda_i^* = \sum \alpha_{ij} e_j^*$$

The matrix TT encodes all information of the lattice (add a basis)

Q: For what kind of conditions of TI, can we find w satisfying (+)?

Let
$$W = \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} \lambda_i^* \wedge \lambda_j^*$$
, then

$$W(\Lambda \times \Lambda) \subset \mathbb{Z} \iff C_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad \forall i,j.$$

Write $X = \sum_{i \in i} X_i e_i$, $Y = \sum_{i \in j} Y_i e_i$, we get

$$W(X,Y) = \sum_{k,l} X_k y_l w(e_k,e_l)$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} X_k y_l \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} \lambda_i^* \wedge \lambda_j^* (e_k,e_l)$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} X_k y_l \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} (a_{ik} a_{jl} - a_{jk} a_{il})$$

$$W(X,iy) = \sum_{k,l} X_k y_{l-g} \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} (a_{ik} a_{jl} - a_{jk} a_{il})$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} X_k y_l \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} (a_{ik} a_{jl} - a_{jk} a_{il})$$

$$W(X,iy) = \sum_{k,l} X_{k-g} y_{l-g} \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} (a_{ik} a_{jl} - a_{jk} a_{il})$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} X_k y_l \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} (a_{ik} a_{jl} - a_{jk} a_{il})$$

$$= \sum_{k,l} X_k y_l \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} (a_{ik} a_{jl} - a_{jk} a_{il})$$

Therefore, $\omega(x,ix) > 0$ is an open condition on T.

$$\omega(i\times,iy) = \omega(\times,y) \iff \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} \left(\begin{vmatrix} a_{ik} & a_{il} \\ a_{jk} & a_{jl} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} a_{i(k+g)} & a_{i(l+g)} \\ a_{j(k+g)} & a_{j(l+g)} \end{vmatrix} \right) = 0$$

$$\forall k, l \in \{1,...,2g\}$$

Claim 2.
$$\mathbb{C}^{g}/\Lambda$$
 is an abelian variety

$$A = \langle \lambda_{1}, \dots, \lambda_{2g} \rangle Z$$

$$\exists C_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for all } i,j \in \{1,\dots,2g\} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$0 \quad \sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} \left(\begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{ik} & \alpha_{il} \\ \alpha_{jk} & \alpha_{jl} \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_{i(k+g)} & \alpha_{i(l+g)} \\ \alpha_{j(k+g)} & \alpha_{j(l+g)} \end{vmatrix} \right) = 0 \quad \forall k,l \in \{1,\dots,2g\}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \left(\sum_{i \in j} C_{ij} \left(\alpha_{ik} \alpha_{j(l+g)} - \alpha_{jk} \alpha_{i(l+g)} \right) \right)_{k,l=1}^{2g} \text{ is def positive.}$$

Rmk The equations in $\mathbb O$ are not linear independent. There are at most $\binom{9}{2}$ $\frac{4\cdot 2}{4} = g(g-1)$ equations

Reason. When l=k or l=k+g, we get o. e.p. when g=1, we get no condition.

When $l \neq k$, & $l \neq k + g$, denote $\{k, l, k + g, (+g)\} = \{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4\}$,

$$a_{ik}$$
, a_{jk} , $-a_{ik}$, a_{jk} , $-a_{ik}$, a_{jk_4} + a_{ik_4} a_{jk_3}

$$k_1 \longleftrightarrow k_2$$
 $k_3 \longleftrightarrow k_4$ neg
 $k_1 \longleftrightarrow k_3$ $k_2 \longleftrightarrow k_4$ neg
 $k_1 \longleftrightarrow k_4$ $k_2 \longleftrightarrow k_3$ same

Cor Since

$$A_g \subseteq \{C/A \text{ a.v.}\} \cong \{T \in \mathbb{R}^{2g \times 2g} \mid T \text{ satisfies } OO\}/GL_g(\mathbb{C})$$

We know

dim
$$A_g = \frac{1}{2}((2g)^2 - g(g-1)) - g^2 = \frac{1}{2}g(g+1)$$
.

We are actually reconstructing the Riemann relations.

Let
$$X = \mathbb{C}^{9} / \Lambda$$
, $\Lambda = \langle \lambda_{1}, ..., \lambda_{2g} \rangle$, $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}^{9}$
 $\pi = (\lambda_{1}, ..., \lambda_{2g})_{g \times 2g} \in M^{9 \times 2g}(\mathbb{C})$
For $\omega: \mathbb{C}^{9} \times \mathbb{C}^{9} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an nondeg alternating form, denote $\Lambda: = (\omega(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}))_{2g \times 2g} \in M^{2g \times 2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.
Then,

① $\omega(ix, iy) = \omega(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \pi \Lambda^{-1} \pi^{+} = 0$
② $\omega(x, ix) > 0 \quad \forall x \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow i \pi \Lambda^{-1} \pi^{+} > 0$

With the symplectic basis, $L=L(H,\chi)$ is of type D the Riemann relations become:

For $(\Pi_1, \Pi_2) = (Z, D)$, the Riemann relations become: