Eine Woche, ein Beispiel

6.4. Cirothendieck topology, site and topos					
Top. space	Space	continuous map	Covering of	24	cohomology
			open sets		new
site = Category	Object	Morphism	Grothendieck Top.	topos	cohomology
Groth cover	,	,	FU; find ist infield		
Xzav	open immersion over X	<i>O</i> , → <i>O</i> ,	_		
(Sh/X)Zar	Ob(Sch/X)	Mor (Ŝch/x)	_		
Xét	étale + lfp ove, X	full sub of Sch/X	ét + 1.f.p		
(Sch/X)ét	Ob(Sch/x)	Mor (Sch/X)	ét + 1.f.p		
(Sch/X)sm	Ob(Sch/X)	Mor (Sch/X)	• u.lf		
CONSM	00(30(7X)	77107 (3611/7/)	smooth+l.f.p		
(Sch/X) fret	Ob(Sch/X)	Mor (Sch/X)	cu, if		
(JCNX) fpf		(o, C.)	fflat + lfp		
(Sch/X) fpgc	Ob(Sch/X)	Mor (Sch/X)	f.flat+f; (q.o) locally qc		
していハノナト		1 222 4777	1 1 1 tut 15 (4.5) tocally qc		I

 $https://pbelmans.ncag.info/notes/etale-cohomology.pdf \\ http://homepage.sns.it/vistoli/descent.pdf$

(80) [Hilbert's theorem 90 (no non-trivial line bundle]

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1424102/relationship-between-galois-cohomology-and-etale-cohomology

it tells us why we don't have small site for most condition:

https://mathoverflow.net/questions/247044/small-fppf-syntomic-smooth-sites

Here you can find some informations about comparison between fppf and fpqc topologys:

https://mathoverflow.net/questions/361664/some-basic-questions-on-quotient-of-group-schemes

Thm.
$$O$$
 equiv. of categories
$$Sets((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ G_{K}-Set \ (Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \longleftrightarrow G_{K}-Set$$

$$Ab((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ ModG_{K} \ (Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \longleftrightarrow G_{K}-Set$$

$$Ab((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ ModG_{K} \ (Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \longleftrightarrow G_{K}-Set$$

$$O(Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \longleftrightarrow O(Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \longleftrightarrow O$$

```
Sub Ex. \mathcal{F} is shouf \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

Gal:=Gal(\mathbb{C}/R)

Partial \mathcal{F} is seperated \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) inj

Penults: C_{omm} diagram \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

F sheef: O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1)

in this case O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L2: S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

Abuse \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L1: \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)
```

Ex. describe the global section of sheaf under the equivalence
$$\Gamma(S_{pec} \ K, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ K) = \mathcal{F}_{k}^{sep}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}^{sep} = \lim_{\substack{l \neq l \\ finite}} \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ L)$$

Ex describe the stalk & fiber at
$$p \in Speck$$

$$F_{p} := \underbrace{\lim_{p \in V} F(U)} = F_{k}^{rep} \qquad F|_{p} := F_{p} \otimes_{Speck, p} k(p) = F_{p} = F_{k}^{sep}$$

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2856987/computing-%C3%A9tale-cohomology-group-h1-textspeck-mu-n-and-h1-texts