Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 12.3 cheating sheet for six functors

Ref: https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/SixFunctors.pdf

$$f^{*} \rightarrow f_{*}$$

$$- \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow Hom(\mathcal{F}, -)$$

$$f^{*}(- \otimes -)$$

$$f^{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}') \cong f^{*} \otimes f^{*} \mathcal{F}'$$

$$f_{!} \rightarrow f^{!}$$

$$f^{*} \leftarrow f^{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}) \cong Hom(\mathcal{F}, f_{*} \mathcal{G})$$

$$f^{*} \leftarrow f_{!} \xrightarrow{f^{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})} \xrightarrow{f^{*}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F})} f^{!} \xrightarrow{f_{!} \rightarrow f_{!} \rightarrow f_{!}} f^{*} \xrightarrow{f_{!} \rightarrow f_{!}} f^{*} \xrightarrow{f$$

These extra formulas (compatabilities) come from the upgrade of adjunction formula to internal Hom.

To upgrade the adjunction between tensor product and internal Hom, one don't need extra formula, except the association law of tensor product.

$$\begin{array}{lll} P:X \longrightarrow pt \\ H:(X;\underline{Z}) := p_*p^*1 & H:(X;\mathcal{F}) := p_*\mathcal{F} \\ H:(X;\underline{Z}) := p_!p^*1 & H:(X;\mathcal{F}) := p_!\mathcal{F} \\ H:(X;\underline{Z}) := p_!p^*1 & H:(X;\mathcal{F}) := p_!(p^!1\otimes\mathcal{F}) & = H:(X;p^!1\otimes\mathcal{F}) \\ H:^{BM}(X;\underline{Z}) := p_*p^*1 & H:^{BM}(X;\mathcal{F}) := p_*(p^!1\otimes\mathcal{F}) & = H:(X;p^!1\otimes\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

 $H'(X, \mathbb{Z})[\omega] \cong H'(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\vee}$ reduced to: p* Hom (A. p*B @ p'1) ≥ Hom (p:A,B)

$$Z \stackrel{i}{\text{close}} X \stackrel{i}{\text{close}} U \longrightarrow D(Z) \stackrel{\text{receiventy}}{\text{received}} D(X) \stackrel{\text{receiventy}}{\text{received}} D(U)$$

$$ff. \text{ fully faithful}$$

$$pi. \text{ preserve injectives. (Apr)}$$

$$ie \text{ inj sheed}$$

$$For X \text{ mflot, odim}_{\mathbb{R}} X = n, \quad \pi_{X} \mathcal{Q} = Or_{X}[n] \stackrel{\text{torientotion}}{\longrightarrow} \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_{X}[n]$$

$$Just \text{ by checking the stalk & taking the dual, one gets}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow j!j!F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow i_{*}i^{*}F \stackrel{\text{+}1}{\longrightarrow}$$

$$i_{!}i!F \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow R_{j*}j^{*}F \stackrel{\text{+}1}{\longrightarrow}$$

$$Here, H_{-1}(S';\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{Q} \text{ for convenience of index.}$$

$$Taking R_{TX,*}$$

$$R_{T}(X,U;F) \longrightarrow R_{T}(X;F) \longrightarrow R_{T}(U;F|_{U}) \stackrel{\text{+}1}{\longrightarrow}$$

$$R_{T}(X,U;F) \longrightarrow R_{T}(X;F) \longrightarrow R_{T}(U;F|_{U}) \stackrel{\text{+}1}{\longrightarrow}$$

$$When F = \mathcal{Q}_{X}, H'(X,Z) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H'(X) \stackrel{\text{+}1}{\longrightarrow}$$

When
$$\mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_{X}$$
, $H'(X,Z) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H(Z) \xrightarrow{+1} H'(X,U) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H(U) \xrightarrow{+1} H''(X) \longrightarrow H''(X) \longrightarrow H''(X) \longrightarrow H'''(X) \xrightarrow{+1} H'''(X) \longrightarrow H'''(X)$

Taking
$$R\pi_{X,!}$$

$$R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(z; \mathcal{F}|_{z}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$R\Gamma_{c}(z, i^{!}\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(X, R_{j*}(\mathcal{F}|_{u})) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$When \mathcal{F} = Q_{X}, \quad H_{c}(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X, R_{j*}Q_{u}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$H_{c}(z, i^{!}Q_{x}) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X, R_{j*}Q_{u}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$When \mathcal{F} = D_{X}, \quad H_{c}(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$H_{c}(z) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$H_{c}(z) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H_{c}(X; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Explanation

Exactness & derived

by checking on stalks j: is exact i*,j* are exact in the category Top when ZCX is (strongly) loc. contractable. i* is exact

j* is not exact \rightarrow Rj*
i* is already derived.

Rmk: strongly loc. contractable: $\forall p \in X$, \exists a nbhd basis [Uh], of p st. Un NZ is contractable loc. contractable: $\forall p \in X$, \exists a nbhd basis $\{U_n\}_n$ of p s.t. $U_n \cap Z \subset U_n$ is contractable

E.g. | Sstrongly loc.contractable 3 = Floc.contractable 3 = Top CW-cplx, topo mflds Cantor set & algebraic varieties (Check?)

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1082601/anr-is-locally-contractible for the subtlety of these two definitions.

I don't care. In both cases, the local cohomology vanishes in higher degree, and that's what I want.

For the non-exact functors, there maybe some problems in the composition of derived functors.

https://mathoverflow.net/questions/108734/theorem-on-composition-of-derived-functors-question-about-proof https://mathoverflow.net/questions/435310/what-can-be-said-about-the-derived-functor-of-a-composition-between-unbounded-de

E.g. we need to check if $R\pi_{x,*} \circ Rj_* = R\pi_{u,*}$. Luckily, in the open-closed formalism, we won't meet these problems.

Prop1. Let e = e', assume F is exact. Then

O G preserves injective sheaves; @ RG(f) · RG(g) = RG(fog)

Proof. O. by universal property.

(2) by adjunction

Prop 2. Let $e = \underbrace{f}_{G} e' \underbrace{f'}_{G'} e''$. Suppose F or F' is exact, then $RG \circ RG'(f) = (R(G \circ G'))(f)$

RG \circ RG'(f) = (R(G \circ G'))(f) Proof. By adjunction & Grothendieck - Serve sequence. (LF' \circ LF = L(F' \circ F)) When F' is exact, can use Prop 1 \circ .

Cor $R\pi_{x,*} \circ Rj_* = R\pi_{u,*}$. Rf_* are nice in general, while f' should be a bit careful (but j', i' are nice, when z is loc contractable)