

§4.2. Modular form

https://github.com/ramified/personal_handwritten_collection/tree/main/modular_form

https://github.com/ramified/personal_tex_collection/blob/main/KleinAG_2023Sep_Talk2/KleinAG_talk2_LC_XiaoxiangZhou.pdf

I only add some left materials here. If needed, the content here will move to other documents.

Shimura

$$Sh_G(\mathcal{U}_{fin})(\mathbb{C}) = G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}, fin}) / \mathcal{U}_{fin} \mathcal{U}_{\infty}$$

$$A_{cusp}(GL_2, \omega) = \text{space of cusp auto forms on } GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ \text{with central char } \omega.$$

Rmk. When ω is unitary, i.e., $\omega: \mathbb{Q}^{\times} \backslash \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times} \rightarrow S^1$,

$$A_{cusp}(GL_2, \omega) \subseteq L^2_{cusp}(GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}); \omega) \\ \text{has dense degree, where} \\ \langle \phi, \phi' \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{GL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash GL_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})} \phi(g) \overline{\phi'(g)} dg$$

Hierarchy:

$$\text{Siegel} \Rightarrow \text{PEL} \Rightarrow \text{Hodge} \Rightarrow \text{abelian}$$

Rmk.

$$\begin{aligned}
 GL_2(\mathbb{R}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \{\text{lattice in } \mathbb{C}\} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \text{Im } \frac{z_1}{z_2} \neq 0\} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{H}^+ \times \mathbb{C}^\times \\
 \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & x_1 \\ y_2 & x_2 \end{pmatrix} &\xleftrightarrow{\text{Id}} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \xleftrightarrow{\quad} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 i \\ x_2 + y_2 i \end{pmatrix} \\
 (z_1, z_2) &\longmapsto \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, z_2\right) \\
 (\tau z_1, z_2) &\longleftarrow (\tau, z_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

Why confusion?

- Reason:
1. We want to normalize z_2 to 1, focusing on the first variable.
 2. When we write a basis, for the most time we writing 1 in the beginning.

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\text{Id}} GL_2(\mathbb{R}) &= \langle \partial_{x_\tau}, \partial_{y_\tau}, \partial_{x_z}, \partial_{y_z} \rangle \\
 &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\
 &\quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \langle \partial_\tau, \bar{\partial}_\tau, \partial_z, \bar{\partial}_z \rangle \\
 &\quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \quad \parallel \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \langle \partial_\tau, \partial_z \rangle \oplus \langle \bar{\partial}_\tau, \bar{\partial}_z \rangle \\
 &= \langle E, Z-H \rangle \oplus \langle F, Z+H \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i \\ i & -1 \end{pmatrix} & e &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 F &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & -1 \end{pmatrix} & f &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 H &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & h &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 Z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 E &= \gamma e \gamma^{-1} \quad \text{where } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

Why choosing γ : $\begin{pmatrix} \cos t & \sin t \\ -\sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} e^{it} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-it} \end{pmatrix} \gamma^{-1}$

Rmk. There are three different definitions for fcts over lattices, which confused me several times.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F: GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \cong \{\text{lattices in } \mathbb{C}\} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\
 (z_1, z_2)^T & \longmapsto & F(z_1, z_2) \\
 g & \longmapsto & F(g)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{llll}
 F_1(z_1, z_2) = F_1(g) = f(g(i)) & = & f\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) & \text{Naive def} \\
 F_2(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{-k} F_2\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}, 1\right) & = & z_2^{-k} f\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) & \text{My def} \\
 F_3(z_1, z_2) = F_3(g) & = & \det(g)^{k-1} z_2^{-k} f\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) & \text{Hecke alg def}
 \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{H} = SL_2/SO_2$
 hol fcts on lattice
 for Shimura data

Their behavior is concluded in the following table:

	$F(\gamma(z_1, z_2))$	$F(g\begin{pmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{pmatrix})$	$F(tz_1, tz_2)$
F_1	$j(\gamma, g(i))^k F(z_1, z_2)$	invariant	invariant
F_2	invariant	$e^{-ik\theta} F(g)$ $(c+si)^{-k} F(g)$	$t^{-k} F(z_1, z_2)$
F_3	invariant ($\det \gamma = 1$)	$e^{-ik\theta} F(g)$ $(c+si)^{-k} F(g)$	$t^{k-2} F(z_1, z_2)$

$$\gamma \in \Gamma(N)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c & -s \\ s & c \end{pmatrix} \in SO_2$$

$$t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$