

Eine Woche, ein Beispiel

7.13. stability manifold of \mathbb{P}^1

Ref:

[Okada05]: So Okada, Stability Manifold of \mathbb{P}^1

[GKR03]: A. Gorodentscev, S. Kuleshov, A. Rudakov, t-stabilities and t-structures on triangulated categories, <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0312442>

[Huy23]: Huybrechts, Daniel. The Geometry of Cubic Hypersurfaces.

[Huy06]: Huybrechts, D. Fourier-Mukai Transforms in Algebraic Geometry. Oxford Math. Monogr. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 2006

Goal: understand the Bridgeland stability and wall crossing in this toy example.

1. equivalent definitions of stability conditions
2. structure of $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$

1. equivalent definitions of stability conditions

Def (locally finite stability condition)

Fix a triangular category \mathcal{T} , and denote $K(\mathcal{T})$ as the Grothendieck gp of \mathcal{T} .

The set of locally finite stability conditions is defined as

$$\text{Stab}(\mathcal{T}) = \left\{ (Z, \mathcal{P}) \left| \begin{array}{l} Z: K(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \text{(central charge)} \\ \mathcal{P}: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \{\text{full additive subcategories of } \mathcal{T}\} \\ \phi \longmapsto \mathcal{P}(\phi) \quad \text{(slicing)} \\ \text{s.t. (a)(b)(c)(d) + (e)} \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

(a) (slicing compatible with central charge)

if $E \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$ then $\frac{Z(E)}{e^{i\pi\phi}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$;

(b) (slicing with shift)

$$\mathcal{P}(\phi+1) = \mathcal{P}(\phi)[1]$$

(c) (inverse order vanishing)

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A_1, A_2) = 0 \quad \text{for } A_j \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_j), \phi_1 > \phi_2$$

(d) (HN filtration)

HN = Harder-Narasimhan

$\forall E \in \mathcal{T}$, \exists finite seq of real numbers
 $\phi_1 > \phi_2 > \dots > \phi_n$

and a filtration

$$0 = E_0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_{n-1} \longrightarrow E_n = E$$

$\nwarrow +1$
 A_1

$\nwarrow +1$
 A_n

s.t. $A_j \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_j) \quad \forall j$.

we define $\phi(E) = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$.

(e) (loc finite) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exists I = (t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$\forall E \in \mathcal{P}(I)$, \exists a Jordan-Hölder filtration with finite length.

$$\mathcal{P}(I) := \langle \mathcal{P}(\phi) \mid \phi \in I \rangle_{\text{extension-closed}}$$

Rmk. For $E \in \mathcal{T}$, $E \neq 0$,

$E \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$ for some $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$

\Leftrightarrow the HN filtration of E has length 1

$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} E$ is semistable

When E is semistable, define $\phi(E) = \phi$ when $E \in \mathcal{P}(\phi)$.

Lemma 1.1. $\mathcal{P}(\phi)$ is closed under extension.

Proof. Suppose one has one triangle

$$A_1 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A_2 \xrightarrow{+1} \quad (1.1)$$
 where $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_0)$, we want to show $E \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_0)$.

Suppose $\phi(E) = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$, $\phi_1 > \dots > \phi_n$, $n \geq 1$,
 then

$$\phi_0 > \phi_n \quad \text{or} \quad \phi_1 > \phi_0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\phi_1 = \phi_0, n=1)$$

\downarrow
 $E \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_0) \quad \checkmark$

w.l.o.g. assume $\phi_0 > \phi_n$, then \exists triangle

$$B_1 \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{u} B_2 \xrightarrow{+1}$$

where $u \neq 0$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_n)$.

Apply $\text{Hom}(-, B_2)$ to (1.1), we get

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Hom}(A_1[-1], B_2) \longleftarrow \text{Hom}(E[-1], B_2) \longleftarrow \text{Hom}(A_2[-1], B_2) \\ \text{Hom}(A_1, B_2) \longleftarrow \text{Hom}(E, B_2) \longleftarrow \text{Hom}(A_2, B_2) \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \parallel & \psi & \parallel \\ 0 & u \neq 0 & 0 \end{array}$

Contradiction!

The next lemma conclude the behavior of triangles with stability conditions.

Lemma 1.2.

Suppose

$$A_1 \xrightarrow{u_1} E \xrightarrow{u_2} A_2 \xrightarrow[u_3]{+1} \quad (1.2)$$

is a triangle, where $\phi(A_1) = \phi_0$, $\phi(A_2) = \phi'_0$.

(1) If $\phi_0 > \phi'_0$, then

(1.2) is the HN-filtration, so E is not semistable;

(2) If $\phi_0 = \phi'_0$, then $E \in \mathcal{P}(\phi_0)$ by Lemma 1.1;

(3) If $u_3 \neq 0$, then $\widehat{\phi}_0 \leq \phi_0 + 1$.

Rmk.

$$\text{Stab}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \left\{ (Z, \phi) \left| \begin{array}{l} Z: k(\mathcal{T}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \phi: \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \{ \text{finite subsets of } \mathbb{R} \} \\ E \longmapsto \{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \} \end{array} \right. \right\} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(central charge)} \\ \text{(slicing)} \end{array}$$

$$E \in \mathcal{T} \text{ is semistable} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \# \phi(E) = 1$$

(a) (slicing compatible with central charge)

$$\text{For } E \text{ semistable, } \frac{Z(E)}{e^{i\pi\phi(E)}} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0};$$

(b) (slicing with shift)

$$\phi(E[1]) = \phi(E) + 1$$

(c) (inverse order vanishing)

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A_1, A_2) = 0 \quad \text{for } \phi(A_1) > \phi(A_2), A_1, A_2 \text{ semistable}$$

(d) (HN filtration)

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{T}, \text{ denote } \phi(E) = \{ \phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \}, \phi_1 < \dots < \phi_n,$$

$\exists!$ filtration

$$0 = E_0 \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_{n-1} \longrightarrow E_n = E$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \nearrow +1 \\ A_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \nearrow +1 \\ A_n \end{array}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \phi(A_i) = \phi_i \quad \forall i.$$

(e) (loc finite) $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \exists I = (t-\varepsilon, t+\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ s.t.

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{T} \text{ with } \phi(E) \subset I,$$

\exists a Jordan-Hölder filtration with finite length.

Prop [Okada 05, Prop 2.3]

$$\text{Stab}(\mathcal{T}) \cong \left\{ (A, Z) \mid \begin{array}{l} A: \text{heart of } \mathcal{T} \\ Z: K(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ \text{centered slope-function} \\ \text{with HN property} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Z, \mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\mathcal{P}((0,1]), Z) \\ (Z, \mathcal{P}) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (A, Z) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\phi) = \{ E \in A \text{ semistable} \mid \tilde{\phi}(E) = \phi \}$ $\forall \phi \in (0,1]$
 $\tilde{\phi}(E) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arg Z(E) \in (0,1]$

$E \in A$ semistable: \nexists dec $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow 0$ s.t.
 $\phi(A_1) > \phi(E) > \phi(A_2)$

2. structure of $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$

Lemma 2.1. On \mathbb{P}^1 , we have SESs

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\times x} \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n)^{\oplus n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n+1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad n \geq 0 \quad (2.1) \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\oplus n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow 0 \quad n \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which induces triangles

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(k+1) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_x \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(k)[1] \xrightarrow{+1} \\ \mathcal{O}(k+1)^{\oplus k-n}[-1] &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(k)^{\oplus k-n+1} \xrightarrow{+1} \quad n \leq k \quad (2.2) \\ \mathcal{O}(k+1)^{\oplus n-k} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(k)^{\oplus n-k+1}[1] \xrightarrow{+1} \quad n \geq k \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. On \mathbb{P}^1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(n)) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, & n \geq -1 \\ \mathbb{C}^{-n-1}[-1], & n \leq -1 \end{cases} \\ \text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}, \kappa_p) &= \mathbb{C} \\ \text{RHom}(\kappa_p, \mathcal{O}) &= \mathbb{C}[-1] \\ \text{RHom}(\kappa_p, \kappa_q) &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}[-1], & p = q \\ 0, & p \neq q \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Sketch of proof

$$\text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}(n)) = H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(n)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, & n \geq -1 \\ \mathbb{C}^{-n-1}[-1], & n \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

Then apply $\text{RHom}(\mathcal{O}, -)$, $\text{RHom}(-, \mathcal{O})$, $\text{RHom}(-, \kappa_q)$ to

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(1) \longrightarrow \kappa_p \longrightarrow 0$$

□

The next two lemmas tell us the structure of $\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$.

Lemma 2.3. [GKR03, last line in p16]

$$\forall \mathcal{F} \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1), \quad \mathcal{F} = \left(\bigoplus_p \mathcal{K}_p \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}(n_i) \right)$$

finite many

Lemma 2.4. [GKR03, Prop 6.3]

$$\forall \mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)), \quad \mathcal{F}^\bullet = \bigoplus_i A_i[-i] \quad A_i \in \text{Coh}(\mathbb{P}^1)$$

It also works for $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbb{A})$ where $\text{gldim } \mathbb{A} = 1$.

E.g. Since $\text{Ext}^1(k_p, \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n)) \cong \text{Ext}^1(k_p, \mathcal{O}) \oplus \text{Ext}^1(k_p, \mathcal{O}(n)) \cong \mathbb{C}^2$, let us describe the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow k_p \longrightarrow 0$$

crspd to $(k_1, k_2) \in \text{Ext}^1(k_p, \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n))$.

For simplicity, assume that $n > 0$ & $k_1, k_2 \neq 0$.

It is defined as pulling back SES:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n) & \longrightarrow & E & \longrightarrow & k_p \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n) & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} k_1 \tau & k_2 \tau \end{pmatrix}} & \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n+1) & \longrightarrow & k_p \oplus k_p \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2.3)$$

Since $\deg E = n+1$, $\text{rank } E = 2$, by Lemma 2.4. we get

$$E = \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n+1), \quad \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n) \oplus k_p$$

but which?

We apply $\text{RHom}(-, \mathcal{O})$ to (2.3):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \leftarrow & \overset{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}{\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n), \mathcal{O})} & \leftarrow & \text{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{O}) & \leftarrow & \overset{\mathbb{C}}{\text{Ext}^1(k_p, \mathcal{O})} \leftarrow k_1 \\ & & \underbrace{\hspace{10em}} & & & & \\ & & \overset{\mathbb{C}}{\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{O}(n), \mathcal{O})} & \leftarrow & \text{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}) & \leftarrow & \overset{\mathbb{C}}{\text{Hom}(k_p, \mathcal{O})} \leftarrow 0 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{RHom}(E, \mathcal{O}) = \mathbb{C}^{n-1}[-1]$$

$$\Rightarrow E \cong \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(n).$$