## Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 6.4. Grothendieck topology, site and topos

A dictionary for myself:  $SU_i \rightarrow U_{i\in\Lambda}$  sieve  $SU_i \rightarrow U_{i\in\Lambda}$  sieve SU

is fully faithful and a discrete fibration. Viewing T as a full subcotegory of C/c, this is equivalent to A sieve on c is a subset  $T \subseteq Ob(\ell/c)$  st.  $(f \circ g : e \rightarrow c) \in T$  for any  $e, d \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $(f, d \rightarrow c) \in T$ ,  $g \in Mor(e, d)$ . Def. Now & can be any category, ce &. A sieve on c is a subclass T=Ob(e/c) st.

(fog. e → c) ∈T for any e, d∈ l, (f, d → c) ∈T, g∈Mor(e, d).  $e \xrightarrow{9} d$ 

fogeT & LfET

Q. How to get a correct statement for this theorem when e is large?

## Grothendieck topology, site and topos

On set theoretic issues: https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/tag/ooVI Ironnically, even though what I can actually understand is the Grothendieck topology over a small category, nearly all the applications I need is the Grothendieck topology over a large category.

Def. A Grothendieck topology 
$$T$$
 on a category  $C$  is an assignment  $T(-)$ .  $C \longrightarrow T(c) \subseteq f$  sieves on  $c \in C$  for some  $c \in C$  or  $C \subseteq C$  sieves on  $C \subseteq C$  (Base change)  $\forall g \in More(d, c), T \in T(c) \Rightarrow g^*T \in T(d)$ 

2) (Local character) Let  $T$  be a sieve on  $C \in C$ . If  $[\exists S \in T(c) \text{ st } \forall (g,d \rightarrow c) \in S, g^*T \in T(d)]$  then  $T \in T(c)$ 

3)  $h_C \in T(c)$ 

Def. A site C = (C, T) is a category equipped with a Grothendieck topology. A topos is a category equivalent to Sh(C), where C is a site.

	Category Groth cover	space	continuous map	Covering of	2.4	cohomology
_	site	Object	Morphism	Grothendieck Top.	topos	new cohomology
_	X <sub>zav</sub> Sch <sub>zav</sub>	open immersion over X Ob(Sch)	full sub of Sch/x Mor (Sch)			Н
	Xét Schét	étale + l.f.p over X Ob(Sch)	full sub of Sch/X Mor (Sch)	ét + l.f.p ét + l.f.p		Hét
	Schom	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	smooth+l.f.p		
	Schfppf	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	f.flat + l.f.p		
	Schfpge	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	f flat +f. (q.o) locally qc		
X/k Mn:=Wn(k)	Cris (X/wn)	{(U,V,i.s)   U \le X open } S.PD-thickening of U	$\begin{cases} (\iota,f) & \text{l. } \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{\text{open}} \mathcal{U}' \\ f. & \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}' \\ \text{competible with PD} \end{cases}$	$ \begin{cases} (u, v, i, s,) & \text{fui} cover \\ (u, v, i, s) & \text{of } u \end{cases} $		Hicris (Wwn,-)

(recommended)https://sites.math.washington.edu/~jarod/moduli.pdf https://pbelmans.ncag.info/notes/etale-cohomology.pdf http://homepage.sns.it/vistoli/descent.pdf (crystalline site)http://page.mi.fu-berlin.de/castillejo/docs/crystalline\_cohomology.pdf

(60) [Hilbert's theorem 90 ( no non-trivial line bundle on speck

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1424102/relationship-between-galois-cohomology-and-etale-cohomology

it tells us why we don't have small site for most condition:
https://mathoverflow.net/questions/247044/small-fppf-syntomic-smooth-sites
Here you can find some informations about comparison between fppf and fpqc topologys:
https://mathoverflow.net/questions/361664/some-basic-questions-on-quotient-of-group-schemes

Thm.  $\bigcirc$  equiv. of categories  $Sets((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ G_{K}-Set \ (Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \Leftrightarrow G_{K}-Set$   $Ab((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ Mod G_{K} \ (\textcircled{a})$   $\textcircled{b}(\textcircled{b}) \text{ preserve cohomology} \ H'((Spec(K))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathcal{F}) = H_{cont}^{1}(G_{K}, \mathcal{F}_{K})$   $Ex \ describe \text{ sheaf on } (Spec \ C)_{\acute{e}t} \ (\text{Verify}; \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is decided by } \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C))$   $Ex \ describe \text{ sheaf on } (Spec \ C)_{\acute{e}t} \ (\text{Verify}; \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is decided by } \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C))$   $F(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$   $\mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$   $\mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$ 

```
Sub Ex. \mathcal{F} is shouf \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

Gal:=Gal(\mathbb{C}/R)

Partial \mathcal{F} is seperated \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) inj

Penults: C_{omm} diagram \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

F sheef: O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1)

in this case O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L2: S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

Abuse \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L1: \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)
```

Ex. describe the global section of sheaf under the equivalence 
$$\Gamma(S_{pec} \ K, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ K) = \mathcal{F}_{k^{sep}} \qquad \mathcal{F}_{k^{sep}} = \lim_{\substack{l \neq l \\ finite}} \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ L)$$

Ex describe the stalk & fiber at 
$$p \in Speck$$

$$F_{p} := \underbrace{\lim_{p \in V} F(U)} = F_{k}^{rep} \qquad F|_{p} := F_{p} \otimes_{Speck, p} k(p) = F_{p} = F_{k}^{sep}$$

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2856987/computing-%C3%A9tale-cohomology-group-h1-textspeck-mu-n-and-h1-texts