

Eine Woche, ein Beispiel

11.19. Basic sheaf calculation

Goal: Motivate f_* , f^* , $f_!$, f' , by connecting them with (co)homology theory

- After story:
- ~~> calculation of $\text{Perv}_{\Delta}(\text{CIP}')$
 - ~~> generalize Morse theory
 - ~~> Characteristic classes / cycles
 - ~~> index theorem

Minor advantages from my talk:

- offers examples for derived category.
(more geometrical compared with examples about quiver reps)
- the first step toward 6-factor formalism.
 - formal nonsense: adjointness, open-closed, SES(triangles)
 - application: Riemann-Roch, Serre duality, index theorem (guess)
~~ understand cpt RS, Weil conj, ...
 - glue: open-closed, cellular fibration, Morse theory, ...
covering: (étale) descent, ramification, ...

Three types: closed immersion, submersion, covering.

Usual setting: $X \in \text{Top}$

$$\text{Ob}(\text{Sh}(X)) = \{\text{sheaves of abelian qps}\}$$

$$\text{e.p. } \text{Sh}(f_*) = \text{Abel}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\text{perf}} \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

0. sheaf

- 1. f_* , skyscraper sheaf & global sections
- 2. f^* , constant sheaf & stalks
- 3. Rf_* & cohomology
- 4. $f_!$ & global sections with cpt supp
- 5. $Rf_!$ & cohomology with cpt supp
- 6. f' & homology
 - \otimes -
 - $\text{Hom}(-, -)$ & product structure on cohomology
 - & Poincaré duality.

Ref:

[Vakil] Vakil, The Rising Sea: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry, 2016

[IHPS] Laurențiu G. Maxim, Intersection Homology & Perverse Sheaves with Applications to Singularities, 2019

[BI86] Birger Iversen, Cohomology of Sheaves, 1986

<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-642-82783-9>

0. Sheaf

Recall the definition of

- | | |
|------------------|---|
| • presheaf | \mathcal{F} |
| • sheaf | \mathcal{F} |
| • stalk | \mathcal{F}_x |
| • global section | $\Gamma(X; \mathcal{F}) = H^0(X; \mathcal{F})$ |
| • cohomology | $R^n\Gamma(X; \mathcal{F}) = H^n(X; \mathcal{F})$ |

<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/4214/equivalence-of-grothendieck-style-versus-cech-style-sheaf-cohomology>
If X is paracompact and Hausdorff, Čech cohomology coincides with Grothendieck cohomology for ALL SHEAVES

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1794725/detail-in-the-proof-that-sheaf-cohomology-singular-cohomology>

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/3305512/cech-cohomology-and-the-simplicial-cohomology-of-the-nerve-of-an-open-cover>

Recall examples of sheaves:

- complicated
- | |
|---|
| • \mathcal{E}_X : sheaf of cont fcts on X |
| • \mathcal{O}_X : structure sheaf on X e.g., X : (cplx) mfld, scheme, ... |
| • $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X$: constant sheaf on X |
| • $\text{sky}_p(\mathbb{Q})$: skyscraper sheaf of $p \in X$ on X . |

Ex. For $X = \mathbb{C}$ as cplx mfld, $x=0$, compute

$$(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X)_x \subseteq (\mathcal{O}_X)_x \subseteq (\mathcal{E}_X)_x \quad \& \quad (\text{sky}_p(\mathbb{Q}))_x.$$

1. f_* , skyscraper sheaf & global sections

Setting $X, Y \in \text{Top}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(Y)$, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ cont

Def. $f_* \mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(X)$ is given by

$$f_* \mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U))$$

This defines a factor

$$f_*: \text{Sh}(Y) \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(X)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & & f_* \mathcal{F} \\ | & & | \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ | & & | \\ U & & U \end{array}$$

E.g. For $p \in X$, $i_p: \{p\} \hookrightarrow X$, $i_{p*} \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{p\}} = \text{sky}_p(\mathbb{Q})$
 For $\pi: Y \rightarrow \{*\}$, $\pi_* \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(Y) = \Gamma(Y; \mathcal{F})$

Ex (hard?)

For $j: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$, compute $j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

- It is a constant sheaf on \mathbb{CP}^1 .
- It is not a constant sheaf on \mathbb{CP}^1 , and $(j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathbb{Q}$.
- It is not a constant sheaf on \mathbb{CP}^1 , and $(j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = 0$.
- All the above is wrong.
- I don't know, but I don't want to make a wrong choice.

2. f^* , constant sheaf & stalks

In [Vakil, Chapter 2], it is f^{-1} , the inverse image functor.

Setting $X, Y \in \text{Top}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(X)$, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ cont

Def. $f^*\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(Y)$ is given by sheafification of

$$f^{*,\text{pre}}\mathcal{F}(U) = \varinjlim_{f(u) \in V} \mathcal{F}(V)$$

This defines a factor

$$f^*: \text{Sh}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Sh}(Y)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^*\mathcal{F} & & \mathcal{F} \\ | & & | \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \cup & & \cup \\ U & & \mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

Recall:


$$\mathcal{F}^{\text{sh}}(U) = \left\{ (x_p)_p \in \prod_{p \in U} \mathcal{F}_p \mid \begin{array}{l} \forall x_0 \in U, \exists U_{x_0} \subseteq U \text{ nbhd of } x_0, \\ s \in \mathcal{F}(U) \text{ st. } \\ s_p = x_p \quad \forall p \in U_{x_0} \end{array} \right\}$$

By definition, $(\mathcal{F}^{\text{sh}})_p = \mathcal{F}_p$.

Universal property:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\text{fcMor}_{\text{PSH}}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \text{sh} \downarrow & \text{G} \nearrow & \text{G: sheaf} \\ \mathcal{F}^{\text{sh}} & \dashv \exists! f^{\text{sh}} \in \text{Mor}_{\text{Sh}} & \end{array}$$

Ex. For $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \{\ast\}$, $U = B_1(0) \cup B_1(3)$, which one is correct:



$$\downarrow \pi$$

$(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad (\pi^*\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}.$

$(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}^2, \quad (\pi^*\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}.$

$(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}, \quad (\pi^*\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}^2.$

$(\pi^{*,\text{pre}}\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}^2, \quad (\pi^*\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{\ast\}})(U) = \mathbb{Q}^2.$

All the above is wrong.

E.g. For $p \in X$,

For

For $U \subset X$ open,

People generalize the last notation to arbitrary subset:

For $Y \subset X$,

$$l_p : \{p\} \hookrightarrow X, \quad l_p^* \mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathcal{F}_p}$$

$$\pi : Y \longrightarrow \{\ast\}, \quad \pi^* \underline{\mathcal{Q}_{\{\ast\}}} = \underline{\mathcal{Q}_Y}$$

$$j : U \longrightarrow X, \quad j^* \mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathcal{F}|_U}$$

Q: For $U \subset X$ open, how to express $\mathcal{F}(U)$ by factors?

A:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xhookrightarrow{l_U} & X \\ \pi_U \downarrow & \swarrow \pi_X & \\ \{\ast\} & & \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \pi_{U,\ast} \underbrace{(l_U^* \mathcal{F})}_{\mathcal{F}|_U}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Prop. One has the adjunction $f^* \dashv f_*$, i.e.,

$$\text{Mor}_{\text{Sh}(Y)}(f^*F, G) \cong \text{Mor}_{\text{Sh}(X)}(F, f_*G) \quad + \text{naturality}$$

[Hint. [Vakil, 2.7.B] Show that both side give the same information, i.e.,

$$\phi_{uv} \in \text{Mor}_{\text{Ab}}(F(U), G(V)) \quad \text{for each pair } (V, U) \\ \text{s.t. } f(V) \subset U \\ + \text{compatibility}$$

Cor. f^* is right exact, f_* is left exact.

Rmk. f^* is an exact functor.

Hint: exactness can be checked on stalks!

⚠ After "polished" (because of the structure sheaf), f^* is again only right adjoint.

Application.

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(X; \mathbb{Q})}(\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X, F) \cong \Gamma(X; F)$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(X; \mathbb{Q})}(F, \iota_{p,*}\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-v.s.}}(F_p, \mathbb{Q}) \cong F_p^*$$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(U; \mathbb{Q})}(F|_U, G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}(X; \mathbb{Q})}(F, \iota_{U,*}G)$$

where $\text{Sh}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \subset \text{Sh}(X)$: sheaves of \mathbb{Q} -v.s.

$\text{Sh}(X; \mathbb{F}_4) \subset \text{Sh}(X; \mathbb{F}_2)$ is not f .faithful

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & & F \\ \downarrow & \swarrow^{\iota_U} & \downarrow \\ U & \xrightarrow{f_U} & X \end{array}$$

3. Rf_* & cohomology

Recall that cohomology is usually a derived object:

- It is (often) computed by resolutions;
- Input \mathcal{F} , output a complex (before Ker/Im procedure)
- SES induces LES: for

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow 0$$

one has

$$\rightarrow H^2(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \dots$$

$$\rightarrow H^1(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^1(X; \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow H^1(X; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(X; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^0(X; \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow H^0(X; \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow$$

$$\pi''_{*\mathcal{F}}$$

$$\pi''_{*\mathcal{G}}$$

$$\pi''_{*\mathcal{H}}$$

$$\pi: X \rightarrow \{*\}$$

- can be viewed as right derived factor of

$$H^0(X, -) = \Gamma(X, -) = \pi_*$$

one gets

$$H^n(X, -) = R^n \Gamma(X, -) = R^n \pi_*$$

We denote the complex (before the Ker/Im procedure) as

$$R\Gamma(X, -) = R\pi_*$$

up to homotopy equiv & quasi-iso, i.e., in the derived category of $\{*\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(X) = \mathcal{D}(\text{Sh}(X)) &= \text{"derived category of sheaves over } X \text{"} \\ &= \text{"complexes of sheaves over } X, \text{ up to ...}" \\ &= \{ \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots \} \hat{=} \{\mathcal{F}^i\} \end{aligned}$$

Setting $X, Y \in \text{Top}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(Y)$, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ cont

Def. $Rf_* \mathcal{F}$ = "derived pushforward of \mathcal{F} "
 $= f_* \mathcal{I}'$

[Here, \mathcal{I}' is the injective resolution of \mathcal{F} .
 $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}' \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow \dots$
 $(\Rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\text{quasi-iso}} \mathcal{I}')$]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & Rf_* \mathcal{F} \\ | & | \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & & \cup \\ & & U \end{array}$$

This defines a functor

$$Rf_*: \mathcal{D}^+(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^+(X)$$

The derived pushforward is hard to compute.

just like cohomology, and even worse, since we need more information
 Luckily, the following proposition helps us to cheat a little bit.

Prop. [Vakil, 18.8, p497]

$$R^n f_* \mathcal{F} \text{ is given by the sheafification of } (R^n f_* \mathcal{F})^{\text{pre}}(U) = H^n(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(U)})$$

\uparrow sometimes omit

e.p. one can compute the stalk

$$(R^n f_* \mathcal{F})_x = \varprojlim_{x \in U} H^n(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{F}|_{f^{-1}(U)})$$

\mathcal{F}

|

Cor For $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^*$,

$$R^n \pi_* \mathcal{F} = H^n(X; \mathcal{F})$$

E.g. For $\pi: \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$,

$$R^n \pi_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1} = H^n(\mathbb{CP}^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & n = 0, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, [all objects in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ "split", we work over \mathbb{Q}]

$$R \pi_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-2]$$

$$= \left[\underset{-1}{0} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \underset{0}{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \underset{1}{0} \rightarrow \underset{2}{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \underset{3}{0} \rightarrow \underset{4}{\dots} \right]$$

Ex. For $j : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$, what is true about $Rj_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}$?

$(R^1 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = 0, \quad (R^2 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathbb{Q}.$

$(R^1 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathbb{Q}, \quad (R^2 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = 0.$

$(R^1 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = 0, \quad (R^2 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = 0.$

$(R^1 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathbb{Q}, \quad (R^2 j_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathbb{Q}.$

What the hell is that?

In fact, $(Rj_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_\infty = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-1]$.

$i : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ is exact, so $Ri_* = i_*$.

Upgrade formulas to derived version
 $f^* g_! \cong g_! f'^*$ $\xrightarrow{f^*, f'^* \text{ exact}}$ $f^* Rg_! \cong Rg_! f'^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(f^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) &\cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, f_* \mathcal{G}) \\ \rightsquigarrow \text{Hom}(f^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) &\cong \text{Hom}(f^* \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{I}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, f_* \mathcal{I}) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, Rf_* \mathcal{G}) \end{aligned}$$

Is this argument correct?

4. $f_!$, extension by zeros & global sections with cpt supp

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & & f_! \mathcal{F} \\ | & & | \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & & \cup \\ & & U \end{array}$$

Setting $X, Y \in \text{Top}$, $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(Y)$, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ cont

X, Y loc. cpt of fin coh dim [IHPS, P81] $\rightsquigarrow f_! \mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf

Def. $f_! \mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}(X)$ is given by

$$f_! \mathcal{F}(U) = \left\{ s \in \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U)) \mid \begin{array}{l} f|_{\text{supp}(s)}: \text{supp}(s) \rightarrow U \text{ is proper} \\ (f_* \mathcal{F})(U) \end{array} \right\}$$

This defines a functor

$$f_!: \text{Sh}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X)$$


$$\text{Recall: } \text{supp}(s) = \overline{\{x \in f^{-1}(U) \mid s_x \neq 0\}}$$

proper: preimage of cpt set is cpt.

Rmk. By def. $(f_! \mathcal{F})(U) \subseteq (f_* \mathcal{F})(U)$, one has natural transformation $f_! \rightarrow f_*$.
When f is proper, $f_! = f_*$.

E.g. For $p \in X$, $\iota_p: \{p\} \hookrightarrow X$, $\iota_{p,!} \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{p\}} = \iota_{p,*} \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\{p\}} = \text{skyp}_p(\mathbb{Q})$
 For $\pi: Y \rightarrow \{*\}$, $\pi_* \mathcal{F} = \Gamma_c(Y, \mathcal{F}) = H^0_c(Y, \mathcal{F})$

$\stackrel{\text{cpt supp facts on } Y}{\uparrow}$



Ex.

Do you know what is $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})$ and $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{CP}^1, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1})$?

$\Gamma_c(\mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{Q}$, $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{CP}^1, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}) = \mathbb{Q}$.

$\Gamma_c(\mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \mathbb{Q}$, $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{CP}^1, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}) = 0$.

$\Gamma_c(\mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$, $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{CP}^1, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}) = \mathbb{Q}$.

$\Gamma_c(\mathbb{C}, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$, $\Gamma_c(\mathbb{CP}^1, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}) = 0$.

Could you explain the notation again?

E.g. 4.3. For $U \xrightarrow{j} X$ open, $j_! F$ is the classical "extension by zero":

$$(j_! F)^{\text{pre}}(V) = \begin{cases} F(U) & V \subseteq U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

e.p. $(j_! F)_p = \begin{cases} F_p & p \in U \\ 0 & p \notin U \end{cases}$

In general, [IHPS, p82]

$$(f_! F)_p = \Gamma_c(f^{-1}(p); F|_{f^{-1}(p)})$$

This comes from the proper base change formula:

$$l_p^* f_! F \cong \pi_* l_p^* F$$

Prove it?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(p) & \xrightarrow{l_p} & Y \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \{p\} & \xrightarrow{l_p} & X \end{array}$$

Rmk. In E.g. 4.3, $j_!$ is exact. (Check the stalks!)

In general, $f_!$ is only left adjoint.

e.p. when $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is proper, then $f_! = f_*$ is usually not right adjoint.
 Notice that $Rf_! \dashv f^!$, and we don't have $f_! \dashv f^!$.

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/3132036/direct-image-functor-f-left-exact>
 the same method here argues why $f_!$ is left exact.

Sidemark:

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/4671873/compare-two-definition-of-rf-derived-pushforward-with-proper-support>
 it gives another definition of $f_!$ in étale case.

5. $Rf_!$ & cohomology with cpt supp

Just like Rf_* , we derive the factor

$$H_c^0(X, -) = \Gamma_c(X, -) = \pi_!$$

to get

$$H_c^n(X, -) = R^n \Gamma_c(X, -) = R^n \pi_!$$

X
 $\downarrow \pi$
 $\{*\}$

Def. $Rf_! \mathcal{F} =$ "derived proper pushforward of \mathcal{F} "

$$= f_! \mathcal{I}^*$$

Here, \mathcal{I}^* is the injective resolution of \mathcal{F} .

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

(⇒ $\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\text{quasi-iso}} \mathcal{I}^*$)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & Rf_! \mathcal{F} \\ | & | \\ Y & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ \cup & & \cup \\ U & & U \end{array}$$

This defines a factor

$$Rf_! : \mathcal{D}^+(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^+(X)$$

\mathcal{F}

|

Cor For $\pi: X \rightarrow \{*\}$,

$$R^n \pi_! \mathcal{F} = H_c^n(X; \mathcal{F})$$

E.g. For $\pi: \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \{*\}$,

$$R^n \pi_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} = H_c^n(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & n = 0, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, [all objects in $\mathcal{D}(*)$ are proj, we work over \mathbb{Q}]

$$R \pi_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}[-2]$$

$$= \left[\underset{-1}{0} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \underset{0}{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \underset{1}{0} \rightarrow \underset{2}{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \underset{3}{0} \rightarrow \underset{4}{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \dots \right]$$

$\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{C}$, what would happen?

For $j : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$, what is true about $Rj_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}}$?

$(R^0 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = 0, \quad (R^1 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = \mathbb{Q}.$

$(R^0 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = \mathbb{Q}, \quad (R^1 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = 0.$

$(R^0 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = 0, \quad (R^1 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = 0.$

$(R^0 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = \mathbb{Q}, \quad (R^1 j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = \mathbb{Q}.$

This question is too easy for me. Ask more difficult questions next time!

In fact, $j_!$ is exact, so $(Rj_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = (j_! \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{C}})_{\infty} = 0$.

6. $f^!$ & homology

The correct title should actually be:
 $f^!$, orientation sheaf & costalks
 homology is just some special (sheaf) cohomology.

Now we come to the hard part, since $f^!$ can only be defined over the derived category. That's why there's no derived symbol for $f^!$.

"Def" $f^!$, if exists, should be given by
 the right adjoint of $Rf_!$:

$$Rf_! \dashv f^!$$

Remember:

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &\dashv Rf_* \\ Rf_! &\dashv f^! \\ M^L \otimes - &\dashv R\text{Hom}(M, -) \end{aligned}$$

Hard exercise: for $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \{\ast\}$, shows that
 $\pi^! \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\mathbb{R}^n}[n]$

by using the def of $\pi^!$.

Black box: (reduced from the Hard exercise)

For M n-dim mfld (without boundary), $\pi: M \rightarrow \{\ast\}$,

$$\pi^! \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathcal{O}_M[n] \quad \mathcal{O}_M: \underline{\mathbb{Q}}\text{-orientation sheaf of } M$$

e.g. when M is oriented, fixing an orientation $\mathcal{O}_M \cong \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_M$, one gets
 $\pi^! \underline{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_M[n]$.

What does the adjunction tell us?

<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/404706/how-duality-follows-from-a-six-functor-formalism>

Poincaré duality, version 1

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(Y, \mathbb{Q})}(Rf_! \mathcal{F}, G) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(X, \mathbb{Q})}(\mathcal{F}, f^! G)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & & G \\ | & & | \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Now, take $Y = \{\ast\}$, $G = \mathbb{Q}$, X : n-dim mfld, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}\text{-vs.}}(R\Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{F}), \mathbb{Q}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(X, \mathbb{Q})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X[n]) \\ R\Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{F})^* &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sh}(X, \mathbb{Q})}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)[n] \end{aligned}$$

Take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$, one gets

$$R\Gamma_c(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong R\Gamma(X; \mathcal{O}_X)[n]$$

Take $(-i)$'s cohomology, one gets

$$H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{n-i}(X; \mathcal{O}_X)$$

E.g. $n=3$ $i=1$ $X=S^2 \times \mathbb{R}$

	$R\Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{O}_X)^*$	$H^{-i}(R\Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{O}_X)^*)$
	$R\Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{O}_X)$	$H_c^i(X; \mathcal{O}_X)^*$ S
	$R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{Q})$	$H^{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ S PD
	$R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{Q})[n]$	$H^{-i}(R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{Q})[n])$ S

-3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3

An illusion for index shift

Take $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_c(X; \mathcal{O}_X)^* &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbb{Q})}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)[n] \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(X, \mathbb{Q})}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathcal{O}_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)[n] \\ &\cong R\Gamma(X; \mathbb{Q})[n] \end{aligned}$$

Take $(-i)$'s cohomology, one gets

$$(H_c^i(X; \mathcal{O}_X))^* \cong H^{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q})$$

These are the Poincaré duality.

Rmk. 1. When X is cpt, one gets $R\pi_! = R\pi_*$, $H^i = H_c^i$,
 $(H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}))^{**} \cong (H^{n-i}(X; \mathcal{O}_{\nu_X}))^* \cong H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$
So $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) < +\infty$.

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/35779/what-can-be-said-about-the-dual-space-of-an-infinite-dimensional-real-vector-spa>

$H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ has an upper bound given by the triangulation of X .

2. In fact, these isos come from the non-degenerate
bilinear pairing maps (why?)

$$\begin{aligned} U: H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \times H^{n-i}(X; \mathcal{O}_{\nu_X}) &\longrightarrow H_c^n(X; \mathcal{O}_{\nu_X}) \cong \bigoplus_{\text{comp}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} \mathbb{Q} \\ U: H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \times H_c^{n-i}(X; \mathcal{O}_{\nu_X}) &\longrightarrow H_c^n(X; \mathcal{O}_{\nu_X}) \cong \bigoplus_{\text{comp}} \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} \mathbb{Q} \end{aligned}$$

Poincaré duality, version 2 & 3

Def. Let X be a mfld of dim n , the homology of X are defined as

$$H_{-i}^{BM}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = (R\pi_* \pi^! \mathbb{Q})_i = H^i(X; \mathcal{O}_X[n]) = H^{n+i}(X; \mathcal{O}_X)$$

$$H_{-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = (R\pi_* \pi^! \mathbb{Q})_i = H_c^i(X; \mathcal{O}_X[n]) = H_c^{n+i}(X; \mathcal{O}_X)$$

This is Poincaré duality, version 2.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Borel-Moore_homology

Definitions are not equivalent when $X = \mathbb{Z}$, but fine for good spaces

<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/277069/what-is-homology-anyway>

<https://mathoverflow.net/questions/249342/two-points-of-view-about-borel-moore-homology>

Ex. Do you know what is $H_*(C; \mathbb{Q})$ & $H_*^{BM}(C; \mathbb{Q})$?

	-3	-2	-1	0	1	2	3	
A	0	\mathbb{Q}	0	0	0	0	0	$R\pi_* \pi^! \mathbb{Q}$
B	0	0	0	\mathbb{Q}	0	0	0	$H_*(C; \mathbb{Q})$
C	0	0	0	0	0	\mathbb{Q}	0	$H_*^{BM}(C; \mathbb{Q})$

Combining two versions, one gets Poincaré duality, version 3: (UCT)

$$\begin{aligned} (H_{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}))^* &\cong H^{n-i}(X; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}X}) = H_i^{BM}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\ (H_{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}))^* &= (H_c^i(X; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}X}))^* \cong H^{n-i}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & H^i(X; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}X}) & & H_c^i(X; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}X}) & \\ & \parallel & \nearrow n-i,* & \parallel & \\ H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \parallel & \nearrow n-i,* & \parallel & H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\ & \parallel & & \parallel & \\ & n-i & & n-i & \\ & \parallel & & \parallel & \\ & H_i^{BM}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \nearrow n-i & & H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\ & \parallel & & \parallel & \\ H_i^{BM}(X; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}X}) & & & & H_i(X; \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}X}) \end{array}$$



- \leftrightarrow : compact
- \swarrow : oriented
- \Downarrow : def ($n-i$ -shift)

- \square : cohomological guy
(six functor formalism)
- $\boxed{\textcolor{red}{\checkmark}}$: topology guy
(no sheaf cohomology)

e.g. in oriented mfld case, it becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{n-i,*} & H_c^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \\ n-i \parallel & & n-i \parallel \\ H_i^{BM}(X; \mathbb{Q}) & & H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

in cpt oriented mfld case, it becomes

$$\begin{array}{c} H^i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{n-i,*} \\ \parallel n-i \\ H_i(X; \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

Poincaré duality for non-mflds

Def. For $X \in \text{Top}$, $\pi: X \rightarrow \{\ast\}$, if $\pi^!Q$ is well-defined, then the homology of X are defined as

$$H_{-}^{BM}(X; Q) = R\pi_* \pi^! Q = H^i(X; \pi^! Q)$$

$$H_{-}(X; Q) = R\pi_* \pi^! Q = H_c^i(X; \pi^! Q)$$

We will compute $f^{\wedge}!$ for many cases next time.

Remember, when we compute new $f^{\wedge}!$'s, we discover new versions of Poincaré duality.

E.g. In [BI86, V.2.8, Example V.2.9., VI 3.2], it claims that

For $(X, \partial X)$ a mfld with boundary, $\partial X \xrightarrow{i_{\partial X}} X \xleftarrow{i_u} U$

$U := X - \partial X$, one has

$$\pi_X^! Q = i_{U,!} \pi_U^! Q$$

With this in hand, one derives the Poincaré-Lefschetz duality:

$$H_c^i(X; Q)^* \cong \underline{H^{n-i}(X, \partial X; i_{U,*} \Omega_U)}$$

when X is oriented

$$\underline{H^{n-i}(X, \partial X; Q)}$$

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2212857/poincare-lefschetz-duality-from-poincare-lefschetz-alexander-duality>
I include this link just for remembering the name of these dualities.