

Un exemple par jour

4.3. the (primary) Hopf surface  $X := \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\} / \mathbb{Z} \gamma$

$$\gamma(z_1, z_2) = (\alpha z_1 + \lambda z_2', \beta z_2) \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} & n' \in \mathbb{N}^+ \\ 0 < |\alpha| \leq |\beta| < 1 \\ \lambda = 0 \text{ or } \alpha = \beta^{n'} \end{cases}$$

Today:  $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \lambda = 0$  applies well for ①  $\lambda = 0, \alpha^n \neq \beta^m \ (\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+)$

We also want to discuss the condition ②  $\lambda = 0, \alpha^n = \beta^m \ (\exists n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+)$

e.g.  $\alpha = \frac{1}{8}, \beta = \frac{1}{4} \quad n=2 \quad m=3$

Rmk. normally  $\alpha^t, \beta^t \ (t \in \mathbb{R})$  is not well-defined.  
here we fix one value of  $\ln \alpha, \ln \beta$  (and in ②,  $n \ln \alpha = m \ln \beta$ ) and define  
 $\alpha^t := e^{t \ln \alpha} \quad \beta^t := e^{t \ln \beta}$

1. We still have  $\pi^* \rightarrow X \quad [\text{IRG } \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\} : t(z_1, z_2) := (\alpha^t z_1, \beta^t z_2)]$

$$\downarrow$$

and

$$X \stackrel{\text{diff eo}}{\cong} S^3 \times S^1$$

$$[\alpha^{t_1} w_1, \beta^{t_2} w_2] \longleftarrow ((w_1, w_2), \bar{t} \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$$

One sidemark for  $b^+, b^-$ : "new" numerical invariant.

Def. Suppose  $X$  is a cpt complex surface,  $\leftarrow$  assumption in this orange block  
then the symmetric bilinear form

$$U: H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \times H^2(X, \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R} \text{ is non-degenerate}$$

$$[U: H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Tor} \times H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) / \text{Tor} \longrightarrow H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \text{ is a perfect pairing (iso)}]$$

$b^+/b^-$ : numbers of positive/negative eigenvalues.

result: [Kodaira I, Thm 3]

$$b_1 \text{ even} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} & 1 & \\ & 9 & 9 \\ p_9 & h^{i,j} & p_9 \\ & 9 & 9 \\ & 1 & \end{matrix} \quad \& \quad \begin{cases} b^+ = 2p_9 + 1 \\ b^- = h^{i,j} - 1 \end{cases}$$

$$b_1 \text{ odd} \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} & 1 & \\ & 9 & 9 \\ p_9 & h^{i,j} & p_9 \\ & 9 & 9 \\ & 1 & \end{matrix} \quad \& \quad \begin{cases} b^+ = 2p_9 \\ b^- = h^{i,j} \end{cases}$$

(but  $K(X)$  is not a topo invariant)

Cor. for cpt cplx surface, its Hodge diamond is totally decided by its topo properties.

Q: Are there two cpt complex mfld  $X_1, X_2$ , s.t.  $X_1 \stackrel{\text{homeo}}{\cong} X_2$  but  
 $h^{i,j}(X_1) \neq h^{i,j}(X_2) \ ? \ (\exists i, j \in \mathbb{N}^+)$

Sketch of the result:

① Hirzebruch signature theorem (a special case of Atiyah-Singer index thm)  
for the surface: for the signature operator

$$b^+ - b^- = \frac{1}{3} p_1 = \frac{1}{3} (c_1^2 - 2c_2)$$



**THEOREM 4.** If there exist on  $S$  two algebraically independent meromorphic functions, then  $S$  is an algebraic surface. If there exists on  $S$  one and only one algebraically independent meromorphic function, then  $S$  is an elliptic surface (see Kodaira [8], Chow and Kodaira [3]).

Cor.  $\text{tr. dim } \mathcal{M}(X) = 0$  in condition ②  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X) = \mathbb{C}$

Q: In condition ②, can we show it directly?

Q:  $\text{Aut}(X)$ ?

4. curves in condition ②

We have only  $C$  &  $C'$ . Is it true? Why?