## Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 6.4. Grothendieck topology, site and topos should be read after 2022.65 category

A dictionary for myself:  $Sui \rightarrow U_{i\in A}$  sieve  $Sui \rightarrow U_{i\in A}$  sieve Su

## Discrete fibration

Ref:[https://www.illc.uva.nl/Research/Publications/Dissertations/DS-2021-09.text.pdf], begin from 3.1.8

Def A factor  $F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a discrete fibration if  $\forall c \in \mathcal{C}, b \in \mathcal{B}, g \in Mor(b, F(c)),$   $\exists : c' \in \mathcal{C}, h \in Mor(c', c) \text{ s.t. } F(h) = g.$ A factor  $F: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a discrete optibration if  $F^{op}: \mathcal{C}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}^{op}$  is a discrete fibration.

From[https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.06129]: The left-handed version, now opfibrations, was originally called cofibrations, though this name was rejected to avoid confusing topologists.

E.g. For any category  $\ell$  &  $\times \in \mathcal{O}_b(\ell)$ , the forgetful fctor  $\ell/\ell \longrightarrow \ell$ 

is a discrete fibration (not fully faithful)

We will later see that this discrete fibration corresponds to the presheaf  $h_x$ . Prop. (Equivalent def of discrete fibration) Let  $\ell$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  be categories. F.  $\ell \to \mathcal{B}$  be a factor. F is discrete fibration  $\iff \forall c \in \mathcal{C}$ , F/c.  $C/c \to \mathcal{B}/F(c)$  is iso.

Let  $DFib_{\mathcal{B}}$  be the metacategory of discrete fibrations. To be exact,  $Ob(DFib_{\mathcal{B}}) = \begin{cases} (e, p, e \rightarrow \mathcal{B}) & e \in Lat_{big} \\ p \text{ is a discrete fibration} \end{cases}$   $Mor(p, p') = \begin{cases} f: e \rightarrow e' & e \xrightarrow{f} e' \\ f \downarrow_{\mathcal{B}} f' \text{ commutes} \end{cases}$ 

i.e. DFiby is a full submeta category of Cathin/3.

When restrict everything to small categories, one can define DFiby as a full subcategory of Cat/8

Let  $PSh(e) = Fun(e^{op}, Set)$ ,  $hc := Move(-, c) : e^{op} \longrightarrow Set$  be a preshed on e. for  $c \in e$   $c' \longmapsto Move(c',c)$   $he : e \longrightarrow PSh(e)$   $c \longmapsto hc$ Prop. For e e Cat, we have an equivalence of categories.  $f_{e} : PSh(e) \longrightarrow PFib_{e}$   $f_{e} : PSh(e) \longrightarrow PFib_{e}$  $f_{e} : PSh(e) \longrightarrow PSh(e)$ 

```
Def (sieve in small category)
       Let e be a small category, S∈ Cat/e.
            S is a sieve in e if the fctor s \longrightarrow e
            is fully faithful and a discrete fibration.
      For cee, TeCat/(e/c).
             T is a sieve on c if the fctor
                                    T -> 1/c
             is fully faithful and a discrete fibration.
      Viewing Tas a full subcotegory of C/c, this is equivalent to
              A sieve on c is a subset T=Ob(e/c) st.
              (fog. e → c) ∈T for any e, d∈ l, (f, d → c) ∈T, g ∈Mor(e, d).
Def. Now & can be any category, ce &.
              A sieve on c is a subclass T=Ob(e/c) st.
              (fog. e → c) ∈T for any e, d∈ l, (f. d → c) ∈T, g ∈Mor(e, d).
                                                                                 e \stackrel{9}{\rightarrow} d
                                                                            foget & LfET
Let hc:= More(-, c): Cop -> Set be a presheaf on C.
                            c' -> More (c',c)
Thm. When t is small, There is a bijection between Sets
             sieves on c∈e } ← subfctors of hc?
                                  → F<sub>T</sub>, e<sup>op</sup> → Set
                                    d \qquad \qquad \left\{ (d \rightarrow c) \in T \right\}
d \in Mor_{e}(d \cdot d') \quad a \downarrow \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \uparrow \ a \circ -
                                                  ď {(ď→c)eT}
                T_{F} = \coprod_{d \in \mathcal{O}_{h}(\mathcal{C})} F(d) \iff F \subset h_{c}
Q. How to get a correct statement for this theorem when e is large?
```

Sieve

## Grothendieck topology, site and topos

On set theoretic issues: https://stacks.math.columbia.edu/tag/ooVI Ironnically, even though what I can actually understand is the Grothendieck topology over a small category, nearly all the applications I need is the Grothendieck topology over a large category.

Def. A Grothendieck topology 
$$T$$
 on a category  $C$  is an assignment  $T(-)$ .  $C \longrightarrow T(c) \subseteq f$  sieves on  $c \in C$  for some  $c \in C$  or  $C \subseteq C$  sieves on  $C \subseteq C$  (Base change)  $\forall g \in More(d, c), T \in T(c) \Rightarrow g^*T \in T(d)$ 

2) (Local character) Let  $T$  be a sieve on  $C \in C$ . If  $[\exists S \in T(c) \text{ st } \forall (g,d \rightarrow c) \in S, g^*T \in T(d)]$  then  $T \in T(c)$ 

3)  $h_C \in T(c)$ 

Def. A site C = (C,T) is a category equipped with a Grothendieck topology. A topos is a category equivalent to Sh(E), where E is a site.

	Category Groth cover	space	continuous map	Covering of	5.Y	cohomology
_	site	Object	Morphism	Grothendieck Top.	topos	new cohomology
_	X <sub>zav</sub> Sch <sub>zav</sub>	open immersion over X Ob(Sch)	full sub of Sch/x Mor (Sch)			Н
	Xét Schét	étale + lfp over X Ob(Sch)	full sub of Sch/X Mor (Sch)	ét + l.f.p ét + l.f.p		Hét
	Schsm	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	Smooth+l.f.p		
	Schfipf	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	f.flat + l.f.p		
	Schfpqc	Ob(Sch)	Mor (Sch)	f.flot+f; (q.e) locally qc		
X/k Mn:=Wn(k)	Cris (X/wn)	Spothickening of U	$\begin{cases} (i,f) & \text{i. } U \xrightarrow{\text{open}} U' \\ f & V \rightarrow V' \\ \text{compatible with PD} \end{cases}$	$\begin{bmatrix} (u, v, i, s,) &   fui \} \text{ cover} \\ (u, v, i, s) & \text{of } u \end{bmatrix}$		Hicris (X/Wn,-)
		,				
				l		

(recommended)https://sites.math.washington.edu/~jarod/moduli.pdf https://pbelmans.ncag.info/notes/etale-cohomology.pdf http://homepage.sns.it/vistoli/descent.pdf (crystalline site)http://page.mi.fu-berlin.de/castillejo/docs/crystalline\_cohomology.pdf

(66) [Hilbert's theorem 90 ( no non-trivial line bundle on speck

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1424102/relationship-between-galois-cohomology-and-etale-cohomology

it tells us why we don't have small site for most condition:
https://mathoverflow.net/questions/247044/small-fppf-syntomic-smooth-sites
Here you can find some informations about comparison between fppf and fpqc topologys:
https://mathoverflow.net/questions/361664/some-basic-questions-on-quotient-of-group-schemes

Thm.  $\bigcirc$  equiv. of categories  $Sets((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ G_{K}-Set \ (Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t} \Leftrightarrow G_{K}-Set$   $Ab((Spec \ K)_{\acute{e}t}) \longleftrightarrow Disc \ Mod G_{K} \ (\textcircled{a})$   $\textcircled{b}(\textcircled{b}) \text{ preserve cohomology} \ H'((Spec(K))_{\acute{e}t}, \mathcal{F}) = H_{cont}^{1}(G_{K}, \mathcal{F}_{K})$   $Ex \ describe \text{ sheaf on } (Spec \ C)_{\acute{e}t} \ (\text{Verify}; \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is decided by } \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C))$   $Ex \ describe \text{ sheaf on } (Spec \ C)_{\acute{e}t} \ (\text{Verify}; \ \mathcal{F} \text{ is decided by } \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C))$   $F(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$   $\mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$   $\mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C) \ \mathcal{F}(Spec \ C)$ 

```
Sub Ex. \mathcal{F} is shouf \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) = \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

Gal:=Gal(\mathbb{C}/R)

Partial \mathcal{F} is seperated \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) inj

Penults: C_{omm} diagram \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(C)^{Gal}

F sheef: O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{F}(U, 1)

in this case O \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}R) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

IIS

F(Spec C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \Longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L2: S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \coprod S_{pec}C \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

Abuse \mathcal{F}(C) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)

L1: \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C) \cong \mathcal{F}(S_{pec}C)
```

Ex. describe the global section of sheaf under the equivalence 
$$\Gamma(S_{pec} \ K, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ K) = \mathcal{F}_{k^{sep}} \qquad \mathcal{F}_{k^{sep}} = \lim_{\substack{l \neq l \\ finite}} \mathcal{F}(S_{pec} \ L)$$

Ex describe the stalk & fiber at 
$$p \in Speck$$

$$F_{p} := \underbrace{\lim_{p \in V} F(U)} = F_{k}^{sep} \qquad F|_{p} := F_{p} \otimes_{S_{pec} k, p} k(p) = F_{p} = F_{k}^{sep}$$

## Nbhd category, stalks and points

For defining pts & stalks, we need an index category which corresponds to the nbhd of a pt (realzed as a fct, e.g. the "skyscraper sheaf")

Def. (Neighborhood category Nbhdp)

Let  $\ell$  be a site,  $p: \ell \longrightarrow Set$  be a covariant fctor.

Ob(Nbhdp) =  $\int (u, x) | u \in Ob(\ell), x \in p(u)$ Mor((v, y), (u, x)) =  $\int a: V \longrightarrow u$  morphism s.t. x = p(a)(y)

Def (Stalk at p)

Let e be a site, p. e - Set be a covariant fctor.

The set

$$T_p = \lim_{(u,x) \in Nbhd^{op}} F(u)$$

is called the stalk at p.

Here, the concept of pt generalizes the "skyscraper sheaf". Def. [00Y3] Let C = (C, Cov(C)) be a site.

A fctor  $p \in C \longrightarrow Set$  is called a point of C, if (i)  $\forall \exists u \in Cov(u), \coprod p(u_i) \longrightarrow p(u)$  is surj;

(2)  $\forall f U_i \longrightarrow U_j^* \in C_{ov}(U), \ \forall V \longrightarrow U \text{ morphism in } e, \ \forall i,$   $p(U_i \times_{u} V) \longrightarrow p(U_i) \times_{p(U)} p(V)$ are bijection.

(3) The fctor  $Sh(\mathcal{E}) \longrightarrow Set$ 

 $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}_{p}$ 

is left exact.

Rmk. The usual skyscraper sheaf is

 $i_{p,*}A : O_{pen}(x)^{op} \longrightarrow Set$ 

ip,\*A(U) = {A, peU [\*], p∉U

Here, the skyscraper point is  $u_p$ : Open(X)  $\longrightarrow$  Set

 $u_{p}(u) = \begin{cases} f * \end{cases}, p \in U$   $\phi, p \notin U$ 

We use the initial/final object of the category Set, thus switch the direction of arrows.

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/2856987/computing-%C3%A9tale-cohomology-group-h1-textspeck-mu-n-and-h1-texts