## § 3.1 Galois representation

- 1. Galois rep
- 2. Weil-Deligne rep
- 3. connections
- 4. L-fct
- 5. density theorem

## 1. Galois rep

Setting G: arbitrary gp e.g. G any Galvis gp

If G profinite 
$$\Rightarrow$$
 open subgps are finite index subgps.

A top field e.g.  $\overline{F}_p$ ,  $\overline{Q}_p$ ,  $C$ , don't want to mention  $\overline{Z}_p$  now.

Def (cont Galois rep) 
$$(p, V) \in \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda, \operatorname{cont}} (G)$$
  
 $V \in \operatorname{vect}_{\Lambda} + p : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$  cont

$$\nabla$$
  $\rho(G)$  can be infinite! for  $Galgp$ 

E.g. When char  $F \neq p$ , we have  $p$ -adic cyclotomic character

 $\mathcal{E}_p : Gal(F^{sel}_{F}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_p(F)$  satisfying

 $\sigma(S) = S^{\mathcal{E}_p(F)} \qquad \forall S \in \mathcal{H}_{p^\infty}$ 

This is cont by def. (Take usual topo.)

Notice the following two definitions don't depend on the topo of  $\Lambda$ .

Def (sm Galois rep) 
$$(p, V) \in \operatorname{rep}_{\Delta, \operatorname{sm}}(G)$$
  
 $V \in \operatorname{vect}_{\Delta} + p : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$  with open stabilizer.

Def (fin image Galois rep) 
$$(\rho, V) \in \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda, f_i}(G)$$
 finite image / finite index  $V \in \operatorname{vect}_{\Lambda} + \rho: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(V)$  with finite image

Rmk. 
$$\operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{cont}}(G) \longleftarrow \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{fi}}(G) \longleftarrow \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{disc.}\operatorname{cont}}(G) = \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{sm}}(G)$$
 $\operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{sm}}(G) = \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{disc.}\operatorname{cont}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{fi}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{vep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{cont}}(G)$ 
 $\operatorname{Rep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{sm}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{disc.}\operatorname{cont}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{fi}}(G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Rep}_{\Lambda,\operatorname{cont}}(G)$ 
 $\rightarrow : \text{ if } G: \operatorname{profinite } \operatorname{qp} \quad (\operatorname{Only need}: \operatorname{open} \Rightarrow \operatorname{fin index})$ 
 $\rightarrow : \operatorname{Artin } \operatorname{vep} (\operatorname{of } \operatorname{profinite } \operatorname{qp})$ 

Artin  $\operatorname{vep} : \Lambda = (\mathbb{C}, \operatorname{euclidean } \operatorname{topo}) \cap \operatorname{Cappointe}(G)$ 

Lemma 1 (No small gp argument)  $\exists \ \mathcal{U} \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ open } \text{ s.t.}$   $\forall H \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ , } H \subseteq \mathcal{U} \qquad \Rightarrow H = \{\text{Id}\}.$ "Proof." Take  $\mathcal{U} = \{A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \|A - I\|_{\text{max}} < \frac{1}{3}\}$ Only need to show,  $\forall A \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $A \neq \text{Id}$ ,  $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \text{s.t.} A^n \notin \mathcal{U}$ .
Consider the Jordan form of A. Case 1. A unipotent. Case 2. A not unipotent.  $\text{Problem. } \|gA_g^{-1}\|_{\text{max}} \neq \|A\|_{\text{max.}}$ 

Prop. For 
$$(\rho, V) \in \operatorname{rep}_{\mathbb{C}, \operatorname{cont}}(G)$$
,  $\rho(G)$  is finite. G profinite Proof. Take  $\mathcal{U}$  in Lemma 1. then 
$$\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \text{ is open } \Rightarrow \exists I \in G_F \text{ finite index }, \rho(I) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \rho(I) = Id$$

$$\Longrightarrow \rho(G_F) \text{ is finite}$$

Rmk. For Artin rep we can speak more:

1. p is conj to a rep valued in  $GLn(\overline{Q})$  p can be viewed as cplx rep of fin gp, so p is semisimple. Since classifications of irr reps for C &  $\overline{Q}$  are the same, every irr rep is conj to a rep valued in  $GLn(\overline{Q})$ .

2. #{ fin subgps in GL\_n(C) of "exponent m" } is bounded, see: https://mathoverflow.net/questions/24764/finite-subgroups-of-gl-nc

2. Weil-Deligne rep

Now we work over "the skeloton of the Galois gp" in general.

Finite field

Task. For  $\Lambda$  NA local field with char  $K_{\Lambda} = l$ , compare  $rep_{\Lambda,cont}(\widehat{Z}) \longleftrightarrow rep_{\Lambda,m}(Z) + extra informations/conditions$