Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 7.10 Non-Archimedean valued field

See [https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/186326/non-archimedean-fields] for definition and examples. However, in this document, we only care about field extensions of NA local fields.

In this document, E,F are field extensions over Op or (Fp((t)) with extended valuation E/F is usually an alg field extension. Those results can be generalized to NA valued field where the valuation is of rank 1.

Goal.

- 1 Basic informations
- 2. Completion
- 3 Perfection
- 4. Tilting

three operators which do not change the Galois group

1 Basic informations

(F, v): NA valued field

$$\sim O = \{x \in F \mid v(x) \ge 0\}$$

 $\beta = \{x \in F \mid v(x) \ge 0\}$
 $k = O/\beta \quad p = \text{char } k$
 $u = u^{(0)} = O^* = O - \beta = \{x \in F \mid v(x) = 0\}$

Prop. (still true)

- · (O, p) is still a local ring, O is integral closed.
- · F is totally disconnected, <
- Every open ball $B_x(< r)$ is closed | for is closed but not open in Q_p , and every closed ball $B_x(r)$ is open | Q_p for is open but not closed in Q_p . VOpen ball may be not closed ball! Vice versa. (We never define "ball" alone)

Prop. (New Phenomenon) compared with NA local field

- · It's possible that p=p, so the uniformizer π may be not picked. Luckily have topological uniformizer TEF. eg $K = Q_p(p^{pr})$, $O = \mathbb{Z}_p(p^{\frac{1}{pro}})$, $\pi = p \in p = p^*$
- · k may be not finite
- · O may be not DVR (Noetherian & Flocal field, not din 1)
 https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/363166/examples-of-non-noetherian-valuation-rings

- \cdot O may be not cpt O^{\times} neither
- · No classification and good enough understanding of the structure (for me)!

2 Completion

Ref: https://math.mit.edu/classes/18.785/2017fa/LectureNotes8.pdf

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1176495/the-maximal-unramified-extension-of-a-local-field-may-not-be-complete

A lot of NA valued fields are not complete:

Lemma E/F an alg extension, FNA local field. Then

 $E \text{ is complete } \iff [E:F] < +\infty$ $Proof : (E:F] < +\infty \implies E \text{ NA local field } \implies E \text{ is complete}$ $E = \bigcup_{F/F \text{ finite}} F' \xrightarrow{E:F] = +\infty \implies F \neq F} E \subset E \text{ is of second category}$ $E \text{ is complete} \xrightarrow{\text{Baire}} E \subset E \text{ is of first category}$ $E \text{ is complete} \xrightarrow{\text{Baire}} E \subset E \text{ is of first category}$

We usually have 3 ways to complete $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_F$: $\mathcal{O}_{\pi}^{\vee} := \lim_{n} \mathcal{O}/(\pi^n) \qquad \pi \text{-adic completion}$ $\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\vee} := \lim_{n} \mathcal{O}/(p^n) \qquad \beta \text{-adic completion}$ $\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\vee} := \text{completion w.v.t.} \quad \|\cdot\|_{F}$

[Prop 8.11, https://math.mit.edu/classes/18.785/2017fa/LectureNotes8.pdf] tells us, when F is a NA local field, these three completions are equivalent.

Universal property

Define

(Db (FieldNa.)) = { (F, v. F → PUFO) is a NA valued field}/ $Mor(F, E) = f f F \longrightarrow E \mid f cont field embedding }$ Cpl Field NAN. full subcategory consisting of complete objects.

We get adjoint fctors

Cp(Field NAU Field NAU Field NAU

i.e. $\forall f : F \rightarrow E$ cont field embedding, E : cpl, $\exists ! \hat{f} : \hat{F} \rightarrow E$ st $f : \hat{f} : ol$.

F - F = 13!f

Cor. Ê= É.

Krasner's lemma

We would like to recall the Krasner's lemma which is a key lemma in the theory of NA completed field.

Thm (Krasner's lemma)

K: NA complete field

$$a \in K^{sep}/K$$
, $Gal(K^{sep}/K) a = \{a, = a, a_1, ..., a_n\}$ $n \ge 2$
 $\beta \in K^{sep}$.

• If $\lambda \notin K(\beta)$, then $|\lambda - \beta| \ge \min_{2 \le i \le n} |\lambda - \lambda_i|$ Two useful cases:

dist (d, (<) ≥ min | d-di | > 0

- For F/k sep ext, d&F, we have dist (a, F) > min | a-di | >0 => 2 \$ F ie FAFSEP = F

• If $|a-\beta| < \min_{2 \le i \le n} |a-a_i|$, then $a \in K(\beta)$ Combined with Lemma 1, this version is usually used for approximation. E = min | 2 - 21 when applied

Lemma 1. K. NA complete field,

Let $f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in K[x]$ in sep, $\lambda \in K^{\text{sep}}$ be a root of f. $\forall \, E > 0$, $\exists \, \delta > 0$ s.t. $\forall \, g(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i x^i \in K[x]$ with $||f - g|| = \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |a_i - b_i| < \delta$, $\exists \, \beta \in K^{\text{sep}}$ be a root of g, with $|a - \beta| < \varepsilon$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $C_0 = (\max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |a_i|^{\frac{n-1}{n-1}}) + 2$.

 $d^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -\alpha_{i} d^{i} \implies |a|^{n} \in \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |\alpha_{i}| |a|^{i}$ $\implies |a| \in \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |\alpha_{i}|^{\frac{1}{n-i}} < C_{0}$ $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists S := \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{C_{0}^{n}} > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall g(x) = x^{n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i} x^{i} \in k[x] \quad \text{with } ||f-g|| < \delta,$ $(\beta_{i} : \text{roots of } g) \quad (\min_{i} |a - \beta_{i}|)^{n} \in \exists |a - \beta_{i}| = |g(a)| = |f(a) - g(a)|$ $\leqslant \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |\alpha_{i} - b_{i}| |a|^{i}$ < max lai-billali
< 8 Co = E

⇒ min la-βil ≤ ε

Rmk Since Lita, for it; we can set & small enough st. Bit By for itj. In this case, we can require that $\beta \in K^{sep}$.

We can enhance Lemma 1 to stronger version by Krasner's Lemma. Lemma 2. K: NA complete field.

Let $f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in K[x]$ in sep, $\begin{cases} a_i \sum_{i=1}^n \subseteq K^{sep} \text{ be roots of } f. \end{cases}$ $\forall E > 0$, $\exists S > 0$, $\forall g(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i \in K[x]$ with $||f - g||_{\cdot} = \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |a_i - b_i| < S$, $\exists a \text{ ordering } \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \text{ of roots of } g, s.t$ $0 \text{ Id.} (-\beta_i) < E$ $0 \text{ K.} (a_i) = K(\beta_i)$ 0 g is irreducible.[Idea of proof. Reset $E' = \min_{0 \le i \le n} \{E \in K(a_i) \subseteq K(\beta_i)\}$ 0 deg most n 0 deg most n

Galois with completion

All the arguments work if you replace up by IFp ((t)); however, some technical conditions (sep) can be removed if you focus on Qp.

F. alg sep ext of Qp C = F sep = Qp is alg closed by S29722/Krasner In this section, Every field is considered in a fixed C.

Corl from Krasner's lemma. $\widehat{F} \cap F^{sep} = F$

When F is perfect (all fin ext are sep), this is equivalent to \widehat{F}/F is purely transcendental.

Q: If F is not perfect, is \widehat{F}/F still purely transcendental?

Main theorem We have the iso of Galois gp $Gal(\hat{F}^{sep}/\hat{F}) \cong Gal(\hat{F}^{sep}/\hat{F})$

Equivalently, we have the canonical one-to-one correspondense E/F fin sep ext E/F fin sep ext E/F E/

Proof. $-\overline{F}^{\hat{E}} = \overline{E}^{\hat{E}} \xrightarrow{Cov 1} E$ - For E/f fin sep ext, let E = FE. Want. Ê = E. · E/f fin sep ⇒ IE is complete → Ê ⊆ IE

• $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}$, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$. want to find $y \in E$ s.t $|x-y| < \varepsilon$. (Thus $E \subseteq \widehat{E}$) $\exists a_i \in \widehat{F} , x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x^i = 0$ $\exists y \in F^{sep} \exists b_i \in F, y^n + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i y^i = 0 \quad \text{s.t.}$ $|x-y| < \varepsilon$ $|\hat{F}(y)| = \hat{F}(x) \subseteq E \Rightarrow y \in F^{sep} \cap E = E$

口

3. Perfection

Ref: wiki:perfect field I should also find something with Witt vector in this section.