Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 5.12 sheaf version of & & Hom

sheaf version of Tensor-Hom adjunction is left in the next document.

Compared with &. Hom is more delicated, and it is harder than you expected.

1 def of sheaf Hom

$$Hom_{A}(-,-): (A-Mod)^{op} \times A-Mod \longrightarrow A-Mod A. comm ring$$
 $Hom_{Sh(x)}(-,-): Sh(x)^{op} \times Sh(x) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}-Mod$
 $Hom_{Sh(x)}(-,-): Sh(x)^{op} \times Sh(x) \longrightarrow Sh(x)$
 $RHom_{\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}(x)}(-,-): \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}(x)^{op} \times \mathcal{D}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(x)$

non-derived sheaf Hom

Def [Vakil, 2.3.1] For $F, G \in Sh(X)$, a morphism of sheave $\phi: F \longrightarrow G$

is the data of maps $\phi(\mathcal{U}).\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U}) \quad \text{for all } \mathcal{U} \subseteq X \text{ open.}$ which is compatible with restriction.

We write

Similarly, one can define $Hom_{\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{X})}\left(\mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{L}},\mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{L}}\right)$ as the set of morphisms in $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{X})$.

Def [Vakil, 2.3.C] (Sheaf Hom/Internal Hom)
For $F.G \in Sh(X)$, one gets a sheaf $Hom(F,G) \in Sh(X)$ given by $\left(\underline{Hom}(F,G)\right)(u) = Hom(Flu,Glu)$

Cor $Hom = \Gamma \circ \underline{Hom} : Sh(x)^{op} \times Sh(x) \xrightarrow{\underline{Hom}} Sh(x) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} Abel$

 ∇ Even though $(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hom does not commute with taking stalks.

 $(\underline{Hom}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}))_{p} \xrightarrow{\sharp} Hom(\mathcal{F}_{p},\mathcal{G}_{p})$

It's neither inj nor surj. [Left adj comm with limit, ⊗ + Hom]

Ex. Try to compute coefficient Q

 $\frac{\text{Hom}_{Sh(X)}(Q_X, \mathcal{F})}{\text{Hom}_{Sh(X)}(g_!Q_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{F})} \cong \mathcal{F}$ $\frac{\text{Hom}_{Sh(X)}(g_!Q_{\mathcal{U}}, \mathcal{F})}{\text{Hom}_{Sh(C)}(sky_o(Q), Q_c)} \cong 0$

derived sheaf Hom

Def. For
$$\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}\in Sh(X)$$
, the derived internal Hom in general, $\mathcal{F}\in \mathcal{D}(X)^{-}$, $\mathcal{G}\in \mathcal{D}^{+}(X)$

$$R\underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{+}(X)}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})\in \mathcal{D}^{+}(X)$$
is given by
$$Hom_{\mathcal{C}(X)}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{I})\quad \text{when } \mathcal{G}\stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{I}\quad \text{inj}\quad \text{resolution} \\ Hom_{\mathcal{C}(X)}(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{G})\quad \text{when } \mathcal{F}\stackrel{\cong}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{P}\quad \text{proj}\quad \text{resolution}$$

Here,

Hom:
$$Sh(x)^{op} \times Sh(x) \longrightarrow Sh(x)$$

is extended to the double complex
 $C(x) := complex \text{ of sheaves on } X$, temperate notation
 $\underline{Hom}_{C(x)} : C(x)^{op} \times C(x) \longrightarrow C(x)$

Other versions of sheaf Hom

$$Hom \, \sigma(x) \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right) = R^{\circ} Hom_{\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}(x)} \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right)$$
 $Hom \, \sigma_{\lambda}(x) \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right) = Hom_{\sigma(x)} \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right) = R^{\circ} Hom_{\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}(x)} \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right)$
 $RHom \, \sigma^{\dagger}(x) \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right) = R\mathcal{F} \circ R \underline{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}(x)} \left(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \right)$

2 def of sheaf ⊗

non-derived sheaf &

Def [Vakil 2.6.J] For
$$F, G \in Sh(X)$$
, $F \in G$ $F \otimes G \in Sh(X)$ is given by sheafification of $(F \otimes^{pre} G)(U) = F(U) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} G(U)$, this defines a bifctor $- \otimes - : Sh(X) \times Sh(X) \longrightarrow Sh(X)$

Cor.
$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})_p = \lim_{p \in \mathcal{U}} (\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{U})) = \mathcal{F}_p \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{G}_p$$

i.e., \otimes commutes with taking stalks.

Ex. Verify that
$$(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U}) = (\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{U}})(\mathcal{U})$$

 $= \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{U}})$
and compare it with the formula $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G})(\mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}},\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{U}})$
 $= \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}},\mathcal{G}|_{\mathcal{U}})$
Can't define \otimes in this way though.

In general, one has formula
$$f^*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}') \cong f^*\mathcal{F} \otimes f^*\mathcal{F}'.$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G} & \mathcal{F} & \mathcal{F}' \\
1 & 1 \\
f: Y \longrightarrow X
\end{array}$$

Combined with formulas

$$f^*Q_X = Q_Y$$

 $Q_X \otimes F = F$

it means that

it means that
$$f^*: (Sh(x), \otimes_{Sh(x)}) \longrightarrow (Sh(Y), \otimes_{Sh(Y)})$$
 is a (strong) monoidal fctor.

Ex. Try to compute

In general, one has projection formula

$$f_{!}(f^{*} \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \stackrel{\cong}{\longleftarrow} \mathcal{F} \otimes f_{!} \mathcal{G}$$
 when \mathcal{F} is flat.

So this formula doesn't cover the Ex. ("~") Even so, their proofs are similar: checking stalkwise.