Local Langlands Correspondence for GLn

As modifying files in the sciebo folder is prohibited, the corrected version of my portion (with the typo rectified) will be available in the Github directories:

 $https://github.com/ramified/personal_handwritten_collection/raw/main/weeklyupdate/2023.04.23_(non-split)_reductive_group.pdf$

https://github.com/ramified/personal_handwritten_collection/raw/main/Langlands/GL_case.pdf

$$\Gamma_{F} := Gal(F^{sep}/F)$$
 $W_{F} := Weil group of F NA case: $W_{F} = \Gamma_{F} \times_{2} \mathbb{Z}$$

NA case:
$$W_F = \Gamma_F \times_{\widehat{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$$

 \mathbb{C} case: $W_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^{\times}$

Rep =
$$sm$$
 rep For Archimedean case, we only have continuous condition.
 $Irr = irr sm$ rep $\Phi = adm$ $irr sm$ rep

WDrep = Weil-Deligne rep

1. GLn(F) for F NA local 2 GLn(F) for F=C or IR 3. G nonsplit torus over IR

1. GLn(F) for F NA local

Let us first state the GLn case for a NA local field F.

Thm (LLC for GLn(F), Harris-Taylor, Henniart, Scholze) We have a natural bijection

Let us try to work out
$$n = 1$$
 case. In that case,
 $RHS = \{p: W_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}\}\$

$$= \{p: W_F^{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}\}\$$

$$\xrightarrow{Artin} \{p: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}\}\$$

$$= LHS$$

Rem The key argument is the Artin map $W_F^{ab} \cong F^*$

For n=2 case, we still have nice descriptions on both side. However, it would already take the content of a whole book for us to comprehend the details of this case.

Thm (Langlands classification for Irr(GLz(F)))

We have a classification of $Irr_{\mathbb{C}}(GL_{2}(F))$. $\chi: K^{\times} \to \mathbb{C}$ 1) 1-dim $\chi \circ det$ 2) principal series $n-Ind_{B}^{GL_{2}}(\chi_{1},\chi_{2})$ $\chi: \chi_{1}^{\times} \neq 11$

x x⁻¹ ≠ 11·11±¹

3) a twist of St by X St \otimes ($X \cdot \text{odet}$) 4) supercuspidal rep $c - \text{Ind}_{ZZ} p$ for some $p \in \text{Irr}(XZ)$

111. (possiblely)

unitary?

Tdef & results?

Irr (GLz(F))	.0	
tempered	$ \chi_1 = \chi_2 = 1$	1////
disc series/square int	3)	
(super) cuspidal	4)	4/1/4

2. GLn(F) for F=C or IR

For the Archimedean case, we also want to construct such a correspondence. In this case, we have a relatively explicit description on both sides, since the structure of the Weyl gp is easier. Also, we don't need to worry about cuspidal reps here.

For avoiding technical conditions. We only state the LLC for GLn(F).

F=IR or C. Thm (LLC for GL,(F))

We have a 1-to-1 correspondence

where

$$K = O(n)$$
 or $U(n)$
 \sim up to infinitesimally equivalence
i.e. induce the same (y, K) -modules

For letting n=1 case to be true, we have to ask at least $W_F^{ab}\cong F^\times$ Also, W_K should be related to Γ_F .

Def (Weil gp for
$$F=IR, \mathbb{C}$$
)
 $W_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C}^{\times}$
 $W_{IR} := \mathbb{C}^{\times} \sqcup_{j} \mathbb{C}^{\times} \subset IH^{\times}$

$$E_{x}. \qquad 1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 1$$

$$j^{2} = -1 \qquad j \not z j^{-1} = \overline{z} \qquad \forall \not z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$$

$$\Rightarrow [W_{IR}, W_{IR}] = S'$$

By this iso $(W_F^{ab} \cong \digamma^*)$, we have shown the LLC for n=1 case abstractly. To understand more, we must discuss this case in more detail.

<u> </u>	IR	<u> </u>
n = 1	$\mathbb{C} \times \{\pm 1\}$ $\frac{1}{2} \times \{\pm 1\}$	C × Z iR × Z
n=2	C × N>0	
N > 2	ø	

···: written as direct sum of lower dim reps. orange: unitary representations. for L-parameters side

E.g.
$$n=1$$
, $F=IR$

$$\begin{cases} \rho: IR^{\times} \longrightarrow C^{\times} \end{cases} \cong C \times \{\pm 1\}$$

$$\begin{cases} x \longmapsto x^{t} \\ -1 \longmapsto \pm 1 \end{cases} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} \rho_{tviv} \otimes 1 \cdot 1^{t} \\ \rho_{sign} \otimes 1 \cdot 1^{t} \end{cases}$$

The characters of WIR are given by

e.p. the unitary reps are parameterized by ilR x stl].

E.g.
$$n=1$$
, $F=C$

$$\begin{cases} \rho: C^{\times} \longrightarrow C^{\times} \end{cases} \cong C \times Z \\ \mathbb{R}_{0} \times S^{1} \\ \mathbb{Z} = r e^{i\theta} \longmapsto r^{+} e^{i\theta} \end{cases}$$

$$z \mapsto z^{M} \overline{z}^{\gamma} \qquad \begin{cases} (\mu, \gamma) \in C \times C \mid \mu - \gamma \in Z \end{cases}$$
e.p. the unitary reps are parameterized by $i\mathbb{R} \times Z$.

E.g.
$$n=2$$
, $F=IR$

$$\begin{cases} \rho: \bigvee_{\substack{V \mid C \\ C \end{pmatrix}}} & \longrightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{C}) \end{cases} / C$$

$$Z \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{M} \overline{z}^{Y} & & \\ & Z \end{pmatrix} / \begin{pmatrix} z^{$$

$$O: \rho = \chi . \oplus \chi_1 \quad \text{dim } \chi_i = 1$$

subquotient of
$$n-Ind_B^G(X_1,X_2)$$

quotient, when Re $t_1 \ge Re t_2$
 FD & principal series
finite dim reps.

②. p irreducible.

By linear algebra arguments, i.e. choose a good basis

$$\begin{cases} \rho: \ W_{IR} \longrightarrow GL_{2}(\mathbb{C}) \ \text{irr} \end{cases} / \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{N}_{>0} \\ z \longmapsto \left(z^{\mu} \overline{z}^{\gamma} \atop z^{\gamma} \overline{z}^{\mu} \right) \qquad (\pm, 1) \\ j \longmapsto \left((-1)^{\mu \gamma} \right) \end{cases}$$

Rem. In Prof. Caraiani's course, we did the classification of irr adm (glz, R, O(2)) -modules.

We reproduce it by the LLC!

Details about linear algebras should be put in this page.

Ref here: [Knapp91, Sec 3]: https://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~aknapp/pdf-files/motives.pdf

Step 1. Analyze plax

Step 2. Remove decomposable cases:

When
$$\mu = \mu'$$
, $\chi = \chi'$. (same eigenvalues)

 $\rho(j)$ is diagonalizable $\chi \Rightarrow \rho \cong \chi_{,\oplus} \chi_{,\downarrow}$
 $\rho(\mathbb{C}^{\times}) \subset Z(GL_{2}(\mathbb{C}))$

Assume $\mu \neq \mu'$ or $\chi \neq \chi'$ now.

 $\rho(z) \rho(j) u = \rho(j) \rho(\overline{z}) u = z^{\chi} \overline{z}^{\mu} \rho(j) u$
 $\Rightarrow \rho(j) u$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $z^{\chi} \overline{z}^{\mu}$

$$\rho(z) \rho(j) u = \rho(j) \rho(\bar{z}) u = Z^{\gamma} \bar{z}^{\mu} \rho(j) u$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho(j) u \text{ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue } z^{\gamma} \bar{z}^{\mu}$$

By the symmetry, we can assume that $\mu-\nu>0$, under the basis $\{\rho(j)u, (-1)^{\mu-\nu}u^{\gamma}\}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{der the basis} & p(j)u, (-1) & b \\
p & z & \longrightarrow & \left(z^{\gamma}\bar{z}^{\mu} \\
\bar{z}^{\mu}\bar{z}^{\gamma}\right) \\
j & \longmapsto & \left(1\right)^{\gamma-\mu}
\end{array}$$

Rmk. By the similar linear algebra argument, one can show
$$\rho \in Irr_{\mathbb{C}}(W_{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow dim_{\mathbb{C}}\rho = 1 \text{ or } 2$$
 $\rho \in Irr_{\mathbb{C}}(W_{\mathbb{C}}) \longrightarrow dim_{\mathbb{C}}\rho = 1$

By the correspondence, we get classifications of GLn(F)-reps explicitly:

[Knapp91, p400]: https://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~aknapp/pdf-files/motives.pdf

Theorem 1. For $G = GL_n(\mathbb{R})$,

(a) if the parameters $n_i^{-1}t_i$ of $(\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_r)$ satisfy

$$n_1^{-1} \operatorname{Re} t_1 \ge n_2^{-1} \operatorname{Re} t_2 \ge \dots \ge n_r^{-1} \operatorname{Re} t_r,$$
 (2.5)

then $I(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$,

- (b) the representations $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$ exhaust the irreducible admissible representations of G, up to infinitesimal equivalence,
- (c) two such representations $J(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r)$ and $J(\sigma'_1, \ldots, \sigma'_r)$ are infinitesimally equivalent if and only if r' = r and there exists a permutation j(i) of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $\sigma'_i = \sigma_{j(i)}$ for $1 \le i \le r$.

Q. Find a reference for the statement of GLn(C).

G nonsplit torus over IR

We can also state (and even prove) LLC for nonsplit torus over IR.

I saw the result here [Part V, section 30]: Bill Casselman, Representations of $SL_2(R)$ https://personal.math.ubc.ca/~cass/research/pdf/Irr.pdf

For the examples, I try to do computations in a more natural way.

Thm (LLC for G/IR torus) e.p. G = GmiR, SOziR, Resc/IR GmiC We have a 1-to-1 correspondence

$$\Phi\left(G(\mathbb{R})\right)/\sim$$

$$\iff \begin{cases} \downarrow p: W_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \downarrow G \\ \text{cont gp homo "sec"} \end{cases} / \widehat{G}(G) - \text{conj}$$

where "sec" means, the following diagram commutes:

$$W_{IR} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{P}} C_{IR} = \widehat{G}(\underline{\omega}) \rtimes \Gamma_{IR}$$

Q. How could we state LLC for reductive gp over C or IR rigorously? See [BVA92, Theorem 1.18]

E.g. For
$$G = G_{m,IR}$$
, \rtimes becomes \times , and $RHS = \int \rho: W_{IR} \longrightarrow C^{\times}$ = $\Gamma \rho: IR^{\times} \longrightarrow C^{\times}$ = LHS .

E.g. For $C = SO_{2,|R|}$, we get $\widehat{C}(C) = C^{\times}$ $C = C^{\times} \times \Gamma_{|R|}$

where Γ_{IR} acts on \mathbb{C}^{\times} by $\Gamma_{IR} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ $(\sigma, z) \longmapsto {}^{\sigma}z = z^{-1}$

 \square

By mimicking the proof in split torus case, one computes $\rho(\bar{z}) = \rho(jzj^{-1}) = \rho(j) \tilde{\rho}(z) \rho(j)^{-1} = \tilde{\rho}(z) = \rho(z)^{-1}$ $\Rightarrow \rho(|z|^{2}) = \rho(jzj^{-1}z) = 1$ $\Rightarrow \rho(|z|^{2}) = \rho(|z|^{2}) = 1$]]]

Therefore,

RHS =
$$\int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot W_{R} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont "sec"} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{C^{*}-\text{conj}} = \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont "sec"} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{C^{*}-\text{conj}} = \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont "sec"} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{C^{*}-\text{conj}} = \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{"sec"} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{C^{*}-\text{conj}} = \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{"sec} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{sec} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{sec} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{sec} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{sec} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \times f_{R} \quad \text{cont} \quad \text{sec} \int_{-1}^{1} f_{1} \cdot S^{*} \longrightarrow C^{*} \longrightarrow C$$

Fun game: you have already some examples of LLC. (GL_n + torus) Try to make some comparisons and find some functoriality results!

e.g.
$$G_{m,R} \hookrightarrow GL_{z,R} \Rightarrow \Phi(R^{x}) \leftarrow \Phi(GL_{z}R)$$

 $SO_{z,R} \longrightarrow \Phi(S') \swarrow$
 $\Phi(S') \bigvee$
 $\Phi($