Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 6.18 diagram chasing

Coal: Let's play the game of diagram chasing!

basic: five lemma, snake lemma, SES of complex => LES of homology

[Vakil] "where there is universal property, there is diagram chasing"
e.p. Chap 1 Category + Adjoints + Spectral sequences
Chap 2 Sheaf on topology space
Please convert everything to Grothendieck topo!
Chap 23 Devived functors

Chap 28 Base change
[J. S. Milne, étale cohomology] Prop II.1.5. one description of the étale sheaf

References for the spectral sequence:

Best naive introduction by Prof. Vakil:

https://www.3blue1brown.com/content/blog/exact-sequence-picturebook/PuzzlingThroughExactSequences.pdf

applications of snake lemma and five lemma: [The rising sea2016, 1.7] applications for algebraic geometry: [The rising sea2016, 23.3] applications for cohomology group and homotopy group: [GTM82], [Hatcher], [2021.12.12]

ppt with nice pictures: https://github.com/CubicBear/SpectralSequences/tree/main

Now: "Fancy objects require a lot of diagram-chasing technique"

- Infinite category
- Stack related
- Condensed objects
- Triangular category, derived category and six-fctors formalism

```
Extension group
   1. Def of Ext_{A}^{*}(M,N)

E_{A}(M,N) = \{0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0\}/equivalence
                                      = fproj resolution P., H" (Homa (P.N)) 3/resolution
           devi derived
                                      = fing resolution I', H" (Homa (M, I')) 1/resolution
                                        = Sderivation I linner derivation
                                         = Hom_{D(A-mod)} (M, N[1])
   2. Special module/ring interact with Ext?
                  P \text{ proj} \Leftrightarrow E \times t_A^{(P,-)} = 0 \quad \forall n \ge 1 \iff E \times t_A^{(P,-)} = 0
                                ⇔ proj dim P =0
                  I proj \Leftrightarrow Ext_A^n(-,I)=0 \forall n>1 \Leftrightarrow Ext_A^1(-,I)=0
            A find alg \dim_k \operatorname{Ext}_A^1(S(i), S(j)) = \dim_k \operatorname{Hom}_A(\operatorname{rad}(P(i)), S(j))
= |Sae(U_1|S(a)=i, t(a)=j]|
Second level of detail

equivalent of SES = || = | = | = |
                                                                        \uparrow \times . \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/_{P\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2:\pi} \mathbb{Z}/_{P\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow 0
      isomorphic 3 + 1 + 1 = 1
 pushout
                                                             pullback
                                                                                 \Rightarrow E_A(M,N). Def, Difunctor and K-linear space structure O\Rightarrow O\Rightarrow O
f. \sim g. \Rightarrow H_n(f.) = H_n(g.)

g.f. \sim Id f.g. \sim Id \Rightarrow H_n(C.) = H_n(C.)

\Rightarrow Ext_n^2(M,N). Def, bifunctor and K-linear space structure 0 \Rightarrow 3
\Rightarrow E_A(M,N) \rightarrow Ext_A^2(M,N) @ well-defined by resolution & lift dequiv
                                                          2 bifunctor
                                                           3) K-linear map
                                      o → U → P → M → o

o → U' → P' → M → o

P, P' Proj 

⇒ U⊕P'≅ U'⊕P
   Schanuel's lemma
 \int_{0}^{\infty} 0 \to U \to X \to V \to 0 \text{ Fnon-split} \Rightarrow \dim_{k} End_{A}(X) < \dim_{k} End_{A}(U \oplus V)
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dA - mod \quad 0 \to V \to X \to V \to 0 \text{ split} \iff X \cong U \oplus V \text{ as } A - module
```

Motivated:

https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0001045.pdf

Standard reference:

S. Gelfand and Yu. Manin, Methods of Homological Algebra, Springer, 1996

we refer this without mention!


Newly recommanded references:

https://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/sosna/triangcat-lect.pdf

This notes shows all the details of the definition of triangular categories and derived categories, and shows even more informations of derived categories.

https://guests.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/gallauer/docs/m6ff.pdf

It introduce the six-functors formalism in detail, even though the exercises are pretty hard.



Remark. 1. For most time we view the category equivalence as "equal".

However, the category defined by universal property is unique under isomorphism.

$$Ob(Kom(A)) = Ob(K(A)) = Ob(D(A))$$

2. localizing category B[S-1] does not always have a good description e.g. $D(A) := \text{Kom}(A)[\text{quasi-iso}^{-1}]$

However, when S is a localizing class, then we have a good description $\frac{demna}{dl} = R(A) [quasi-iso]$

Those two definitions define the same category D(A).

3. D(A) is a triangulated cotegory.

To define a distinguished triangle, we denote

$f : K \rightarrow L$	K, L. complexes	Kodk K' dk = dk = d
Cyl(f): = K. + KO] + L.	$d_{cyllf} = \begin{bmatrix} d & -1 \\ -d & f \end{bmatrix}$	K°@K'GL'
C(f) = K[1] @L		$K' \oplus L^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\left[-d_{k}^{i} \right]} K' \oplus L^{\circ}$

Then we have O SES on row (Lemma II 3.3) ② 2, \beta. quasi-iso

distinguished triangle:

SES. What's your favorate SES?

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \oplus B \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow I \cap J \rightarrow I \oplus J \rightarrow I + J \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow R/I \cap J) \rightarrow R/I \oplus R/J \rightarrow R/I+J) \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow K_X \rightarrow \underset{x \in X \text{ closed}}{\bigoplus} \overrightarrow{I}_X \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \to I/I^{2} \to \mathcal{O}_{x \times x}/I^{2} \to \mathcal{O}_{x \times x}/I \to 0$$

$$\Delta_{x}^{1} \Omega_{x} \qquad \Delta_{x}^{1} \mathcal{O}_{x}$$

https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/g martin/UebungenAGWS20/AGExer12.pd

https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/gm artin/UebungenAGWS20/AGExer8.pdf

$$1 \rightarrow Z(G) \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{conj} Aut(G) \longrightarrow Out(G) \longrightarrow 1$$

exponential
$$0 \rightarrow \underline{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M}^{\times} \rightarrow 1$$

generalization:https://ncatlab.org/nlab/sh ow/exponential+exact+sequence

Euler 0 ->
$$\Omega_{P_A^n/A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_A^n}(-1)^{\Theta(n+1)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_A^n} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$1 \longrightarrow G_m \longrightarrow {}^{u}_{\uparrow *}G_{m, \uparrow} \longrightarrow Div(X) \longrightarrow 1 \qquad {}^{u}_{\uparrow : \uparrow} \underset{S_{pec}(k(X))}{\longrightarrow} X$$

$$\circ -- \ni f^* \Omega_{X/k} \to \Omega_{Y/k} \to \Omega_{Y/X} \to 0$$

$$f: Y \longrightarrow X$$

$$0 \longrightarrow I/I^2 \longrightarrow i^* \Omega_{\chi/k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{Z/k} \longrightarrow 0$$

 $Z \xrightarrow{i}_{\text{close}} X \xrightarrow{i} U \longrightarrow D(Sh(Z_{\acute{e}t})) \xrightarrow{F_{i}}_{\text{in}} \xrightarrow{f_{i}}_{\text{loc}} D(Sh(X_{\acute{e}t})) \xrightarrow{F_{i}}_{\text{in}} \xrightarrow{f_{i}}_{\text{loc}} D(Sh(U_{\acute{e}t}))$ L: left exact (others are exact) ff. fully faithful https://math.stackexchange.com/questions /2208354/faithful-direct-image-functor pi. preserve injectives. (A) ie inj sheaf ~ inj sheaf $F_{i} \stackrel{i^{*}}{\longleftarrow} (F_{i}, F_{2}, \lambda)$ $(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathcal{F}_2$ $kerd \xleftarrow{i^*} (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{A})$ $0 \rightarrow I \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow i_*\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 1 \longrightarrow o \rightarrow \widetilde{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X\times X} \rightarrow \Delta_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 1$ $\rightarrow \circ \rightarrow \Omega_{\times/L} \rightarrow \Delta^* \mathcal{O}_{x \times X} \rightarrow \Delta^* \Delta_* \mathcal{O}_{x} \rightarrow 1$ $i_{!}i^{!}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow Rj_{*}j^{*}\mathcal{F} \stackrel{+_{!}}{\longrightarrow}$ For supported on $Z \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_2(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{T} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_3 \neq \mathcal{T} = 0$? it is only defined on derived category?

O $\longrightarrow j_! j_! \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow i_* i_* \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0$ | https://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/gm artin/UebungenAGWS20/AGExer3.pdf

For Zariski: $j^*=j^{-1}$, $i^*\mapsto i^{-1}$

https://mathoverflow.net/questions/38168/is-the-category-of-commutative-group-schemes-abelian

Kummer sequence
$$1 \xrightarrow{} \mu_{n} \xrightarrow{} G_{m} \xrightarrow{(-)^{n}} G_{m} \xrightarrow{} 1$$

$$0 \xleftarrow{} k [k]/(x^{n}-1)} \xleftarrow{} k [k] \times^{-1} 1 \xrightarrow{} k [k] \times^{-1} 1$$

$$0 \xleftarrow{} k [k]/(x^{n}) \xleftarrow{} k [k] \xleftarrow{} k [k] \xrightarrow{} 1$$

$$0 \xleftarrow{} k [k]/(x^{n}) \xleftarrow{} k [k] \xrightarrow{} G_{a} \xrightarrow{} 1$$

$$0 \xleftarrow{} k [k]/(x^{n}-x) \xleftarrow{} k [k] \xleftarrow{} k [k]$$

$$0 \xleftarrow{} k [k]/(x^{n}-x) \xleftarrow{} k [k] \xleftarrow{} k [k]$$