## Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 7.10 Non-Archimedean valued field

See [https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/186326/non-archimedean-fields] for definition and examples. However, in this document, we only care about field extensions of NA local fields.

In this document, E, F are field extensions over  $\mathcal{Q}_p$  or  $(F_p((t)))$  with extended valuation. E/F is usually an alg field extension. Those results can be generalized to NA valued field where the valuation is of rank 1.

Goal.

- 1 Basic informations
- 2. Perfection
- 3. Completion
- 4. Tilting

three operators which do not change the Galois group

Perfection Completion Tilting effective structure field topology (mixed) character

main tool
Galois theory
Krasner's lemma
almost mathematics

Prop. (still true)

- · (O, p) is still a local ring, O is integral closed.
- · F is totally disconnected, <
- · Every open ball  $B_{x}(< r)$  is closed | for is closed but not open in  $Q_{p}$ , and every closed ball  $B_{x}(r)$  is open |  $Q_{p}$  for is open but not closed in  $Q_{p}$ . Vopen ball may be not closed ball! Vice versa. (We never define "ball" alone)

Prop. (New Phenomenon) compared with NA local field

• It's possible that p=p, so the uniformizer  $\pi$  may be not picked. Luckily have topological uniformizer  $\pi \in p$ .

e.g  $K = Q_p(p^{pn})$ ,  $O = \mathbb{Z}_p(p^{\frac{1}{pn}})$ ,  $\pi = p \in p = p^*$ 

- · k may be not finite
- · O may be not DVR (Noetherian = Flocal field, not dim 1)

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/363166/examples-of-non-noetherian-valuation-rings

- $\cdot$  O may be not cpt  $O^{\times}$  neither.
- · No classification and good enough understanding of the structure (for me)!

## 2. Perfection

Ref: wiki:perfect field I should also find something with Witt vector in this section.

#### 3 Completion

Ref: https://math.mit.edu/classes/18.785/2017fa/LectureNotes8.pdf

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1176495/the-maximal-unramified-extension-of-a-local-field-may-not-be-complete

A lot of NA valued fields are not complete:

Lemma E/F an alg extension, FNA local field. Then

 $E \text{ is complete } \iff [E:F] < +\infty$   $Proof : (E:F] < +\infty \implies E \text{ NA local field } \implies E \text{ is complete}$   $E = \bigcup_{F/F \text{ finite}} F' \xrightarrow{E:F] = +\infty \implies F \neq F} E \subset E \text{ is of second category}$   $E \text{ is complete} \xrightarrow{\text{Baire}} E \subset E \text{ is of first category}$   $E \text{ is complete} \xrightarrow{\text{Baire}} E \subset E \text{ is of first category}$ 

We usually have 3 ways to complete  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_F$ :  $\mathcal{O}_{\pi}^{\vee} := \lim_{n} \mathcal{O}/(\pi^n) \qquad \pi \text{-adic completion}$   $\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\vee} := \lim_{n} \mathcal{O}/(p^n) \qquad \beta \text{-adic completion}$   $\mathcal{O}_{p}^{\vee} := \text{completion w.v.t.} \quad \|\cdot\|_{F}$ 

[Prop 8.11, https://math.mit.edu/classes/18.785/2017fa/LectureNotes8.pdf] tells us, when F is a NA local field, these three completions are equivalent.

#### Universal property

Define

(Db (FieldNa.)) = { (F, v. F → PUFO) is a NA valued field}/  $Mor(F, E) = f f F \longrightarrow E \mid f cont field embedding }$ 

Cpl Field NAN. full subcategory consisting of complete objects.

We get adjoint fctors

i.e.  $\forall f : F \rightarrow E$  cont field embedding, E : cpl,  $\exists ! \hat{f} : \hat{F} \rightarrow E$  st  $f : \hat{f} : ol$ .

Cor. Ê= É.

Krasner's lemma

We would like to recall the Krasner's lemma which is a key lemma in the theory of NA completed field.

Thm (Krasner's lemma)

K: NA complete field  

$$a \in K^{sep}/K$$
,  $Gal(K^{sep}/K) a = \{a, = a, a_1, ..., a_n\}$   $n \ge 2$   
 $\beta \in K^{sep}$ .

- If  $\lambda \notin K(\beta)$ , then  $|\lambda \beta| \ge \min_{2 \le i \le n} |\lambda \lambda_i|$ Two useful cases:
  - dist (d, (<) ≥ min | d-di | > 0
  - For F/k sep ext, d&F, we have dist (a, F) > min | a-di | >0 => 2 \$ F ie FAFSEP = F
- If  $|a-\beta| < \min_{2 \le i \le n} |a-a_i|$ , then  $a \in K(\beta)$ Combined with Lemma 1, this version is usually used for approximation. E = min | 2 - 21 when applied

Lemma 1. K. NA complete field,

Let  $f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^i \in K[x]$  in sep,  $\lambda \in K^{\text{sep}}$  be a root of f.  $\forall \, E > 0$ ,  $\exists \, \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall \, g(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i x^i \in K[x]$  with  $||f - g|| = \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |a_i - b_i| < \delta$ ,  $\exists \, \beta \in K^{\text{sep}}$  be a root of g, with  $|a - \beta| < \varepsilon$ .

Proof of Lemma 1. Let  $C_0 = (\max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |a_i|^{\frac{n-1}{n-1}}) + 2$ .

$$d^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -a_{i} d^{i} \Rightarrow |d|^{n} \in \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |a_{i}| |d|^{i}$$

$$\Rightarrow |d| \in \max_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} |a_{i}|^{\frac{i}{n-1}} < C_{0}$$

 $d^{n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} -\alpha_{i} d^{i} \implies |a|^{n} \in \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |\alpha_{i}| |a|^{i}$   $\implies |a| \in \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |\alpha_{i}|^{\frac{1}{n-i}} < C_{0}$   $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta := \frac{\varepsilon^{n}}{C_{0}^{n}} > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \forall g(x) = x^{n} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i} x^{i} \in k[x] \quad \text{with } ||f-g|| < \delta,$   $(\beta_{i} : \text{roots of } g) \quad (\min_{i} |a - \beta_{i}|)^{n} \in \exists |a - \beta_{i}| = |g(a)| = |f(a) - g(a)|$   $\leqslant \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |\alpha_{i} - b_{i}| |a|^{i}$ < max lai-billali
< 8 Co = E

⇒ min la-βil ≤ ε

Rmk Since Lita, for it; we can set & small enough st. Bit By for itj. In this case, we can require that  $\beta \in K^{sep}$ .

We can enhance Lemma 1 to stronger version by Krasner's Lemma. Lemma 2. K: NA complete field.

Let  $f(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in K[x]$  in sep,  $\begin{cases} a_i \sum_{i=1}^n \subseteq K^{sep} \text{ be roots of } f. \end{cases}$   $\forall E > 0$ ,  $\exists S > 0$ ,  $\forall g(x) = x^n + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i x^i \in K[x]$  with  $||f - g||_{\cdot} = \max_{0 \le i \le n-1} |a_i - b_i| < S$ ,  $\exists a \text{ ordering } \{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \text{ of roots of } g, s.t$   $0 \text{ Id.} - \beta_i | < E$   $0 \text{ K.} (a_i) = K(\beta_i)$  0 g is irreducible.[Idea of proof. Reset  $E' = \min_{0 \le i \le n} \{E \in K(a_i) \le K(\beta_i)\} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} K(a_i) = K(\beta_i) \\ g \text{ is irreducible.} \end{cases}$ 

# 4. Tilting

There is no need to write anything new for the Prof. Peter Scholze's work. I cannot do better, of course :-> So here I just collect everything I think worthwhile to cite:

 $https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SA1lkTuESco&list=PLx5f8IelFRgEZ-Qk\_SGo3n5jE-ykcAfZXhttps://www.math.uni-bonn.de/people/scholze/PerfectoidSpaces.pdfhttps://mathoverflow.net/questions/65729/what-are-perfectoid-spaces$ 

Maybe here is also a good place to remind me of some mathematical videos to see?

Galois with completion

All the arguments work if you replace up by IFp((t)); however, some technical conditions (sep) can be removed if you focus on Qp.

In this section, F alg sep ext of  $Q_p$   $C = F^{sep} = Q_p^{sep}$  is alg closed by  $S_{29722}/K_{rasner}$ Every field is considered in a fixed C.

 $\hat{\mathsf{F}} \cap \mathsf{F}^{\mathsf{sep}} = \mathsf{F}$ Corl from Krasner's <u>lemma</u>.

When F is perfect (all fin ext are sep), this is equivalent to

F/F is purely transcendental.

Q: If F is not perfect, is F/F still purely transcendental?

Q. How much do we know about the transcendental degree?

Fun fact:  $Q_p(S_p, p^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}})$  is not dense in  $Q_p$  since Qp (300, p for) is not alg closed (in poly, xp-x+p-1)

Main theorem We have the iso of Galois gp  $Gal(\hat{F}^{sep}/\hat{F}) \cong Gal(\hat{F}^{sep}/\hat{F})$ 

Equivalently, we have the canonical one-to-one correspondense 

Proof.  $-\overline{F}^{\hat{E}} = \overline{E}^{\hat{E}} \xrightarrow{Cov 1} E$ 

- For  $E/\hat{F}$  fin sep ext, let  $E = \overline{F}^E$ . Want.  $\hat{E} = E$ .

· E/F fin sep ⇒ E is complete → Ê ⊆ E

•  $\forall x \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ . want to find  $y \in E$  s.t  $|x-y| < \varepsilon$ . (Thus  $E \subseteq \widehat{E}$ )

Lemma 2  $\exists y \in F$   $\exists b_i \in F$ ,  $y^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i = 0$   $\exists y \in F$   $\exists b_i \in F$ ,  $y^n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i y^i = 0$  s.t.

 $f(y) = \hat{F}(x) \subseteq E \Rightarrow y \in F^{sep} \cap E = E$ 

口