Eine Woche, ein Beispiel 12.3. cheating sheet for six functors

Ref: https://people.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/scholze/SixFunctors.pdf

 $f'(F \otimes F') \cong f' \otimes f' F'$ $f_!(f''F \otimes G) \cong F \otimes f_!G$ $f_!(f''F \otimes G) \cong F \otimes f_!G$ $f_!H_{om}(G,f'F) \cong H_{om}(f_!G,F)$ $f' = f_!H_{om}(F,F') \cong H_{om}(f''F,f''F')$ $f' = f_!H_{om}(F,F') \cong H_{om}(f''F,f''F')$ $g' = f_!G''$

These extra formulas (compatabilities) come from the upgrade of adjunction formula to internal Hom. To upgrade the adjunction between tensor product and internal Hom, one don't need extra formula, except the association law of tensor product.

$$H'(X; \mathbf{Z}) = p_* p^* \mathbf{1}$$

$$H'(X; \mathbf{F}) = p_* \mathbf{F}$$

$$H_c(X; \mathbf{Z}) = p_! p^* \mathbf{1}$$

$$H_c(X; \mathbf{F}) = p_! \mathbf{F}$$

$$H_c(X; \mathbf{F}) = p_! \mathbf{F}$$

$$H_c(X; \mathbf{F}) = p_! \mathbf{F}$$

$$H_c(X; \mathbf{F}) = p_! (p^! \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{F})$$

 $H'(X; \underline{Z})[d] \cong H'(X; \underline{Z})^{\vee}$ reduced to: $p_* \xrightarrow{Hom} (A, p^*B \otimes p!1) \cong \xrightarrow{Hom} (p_!A, B)$

$$Z \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{j} U \longrightarrow D(z) \xrightarrow{\text{pi i} = i! f f} D(x) \xrightarrow{\text{pi j} = i} D(u) \xrightarrow{\text{ix j} \times 1d} D(u)$$

ff. fully faithful pi: preserve injectives. (A) ie inj sheaf ~ inj sheaf

For X mfld,
$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X = n$$
, $\pi_{X} Z = O_{r_{X}}[n] \xrightarrow{\text{torientation}} Z_{X}[n]$

Just by checking the stalk & taking the dual, one gets

$$0 \longrightarrow j!j^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i!i^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$i_*i^!\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow Rj_*j^!\mathcal{F} \stackrel{+1}{\longrightarrow}$$

Here, H-1 (S';Q) = Q for convenience of index.

Taking
$$R\pi_{X,*}$$

$$R\Gamma(X,Z;F) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(X;F) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(Z;F|_{Z}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$R\Gamma(X,U;F) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(X;F) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(U;F|_{U}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$R\Gamma(X,U;\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(X;\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma(u;\mathcal{F}|_{u}) \xrightarrow{+1}$$
 $R\Gamma_{z}(X;\mathcal{F})$
 $H'(X,Z) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H'(Z) \xrightarrow{+1}$

When
$$\mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathcal{O}}_{X}$$
, $H'(X,Z) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H'(Z) \xrightarrow{+1} H'(X,U) \longrightarrow H'(X) \longrightarrow H'(U) \xrightarrow{+1} H''(X) \longrightarrow H''(X) \longrightarrow H''(X) \longrightarrow H''(X) \longrightarrow H'''(X) \longrightarrow H$

$$H^{BM}(Z) \longrightarrow H^{BM}(X) \longrightarrow H^{BM}(U) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Taking RTIX. $R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(\mathcal{Z}; \mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{Z}}) \xrightarrow{+1}$ $R\Gamma_{c}(Z, i'T) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(X, T) \longrightarrow R\Gamma_{c}(X, R_{j*}(T|u)) \xrightarrow{+1}$

When
$$\mathcal{F} = \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_{X}$$
, $H_{c}(\mathcal{U})$ \longrightarrow $H_{c}(X)$ \longrightarrow $H_{c}(X)$ \longrightarrow $H_{c}(X)$ $\xrightarrow{+1}$ $\xrightarrow{+1}$

When
$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{D}_{X}$$
, $H_{\cdot}(\mathcal{U})$ \longrightarrow $H_{\cdot}(X)$ \longrightarrow $H_{\cdot}(X,\mathcal{U})$ $\xrightarrow{+1}$ \longrightarrow $H_{\cdot}(X,\mathcal{Z})$ $\xrightarrow{+1}$

H& H, are invariant under homotopy equivalence, while Ho & H. & M are better for assembly.

$$j:\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow R_{j*}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i_{!}i^{*}R_{j*}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$$
 $i!\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i^{*}\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i^{*}R_{j*}j^{*}\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{+1}$

local cohomology compares the difference between stalks and costalks.

$$i'j'j''F \longrightarrow i'F \longrightarrow i^*F \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$i_*i'j''F \longrightarrow j''F \longrightarrow Rj_*F \xrightarrow{+1}$$

$$Cor. i^*Rj_* \cong i'j'[1].$$

$$i_*R\underline{Hom}(i^*F, i'G) \longrightarrow R\underline{Hom}(F,G) \longrightarrow Rj_*R\underline{Hom}(j^*F,j''G) \xrightarrow{+1}$$

Quick reminder.

	f*	f!	Rf!	Rf*
$\pi: Y \longrightarrow \{*\}$	$\underline{\mathscr{Q}}_{Y}$	Orr[dimRY]	$H_{c}(Y,G)$	H(Y,G)
Lp: fp3 cm X	F,	Fp. costalk	skyp(Q)	
$i: Z \hookrightarrow X$	Flz	i'F=RG(F)	skyz(G)	
$j: \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow X$		Flu	j: G	$R_{j*}G$
$f X \sqcup X \longrightarrow X$	$(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$		j. G Rj*G F, & F,	
f. C Z C		$Q_{\mathbb{C}}$	۵, ح	(2) Q
F= G= Qc		<u> </u>	(2)
C 0 2 ³			, ('0	(1°1) (Q'
$f: \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{z^3} \mathbb{C}$ $F: G = \mathbb{Q}_{r}$		Q_{c}	Q (\supseteq Q^{\prime}
7-4- Wa				

Application One point compactification

The rigorous name is "Alexandroff extension". Just be careful that we may not be able to define f_{-} ! for general topological spaces.

$$X \stackrel{\iota}{\longleftrightarrow} \overline{X}$$

$$\pi \setminus \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\{*\}$$

$$H_{c}(X) = R\pi_{!}\pi^{*}Z$$

$$= R\pi_{!} l_{!} l^{*}\pi^{*}Z$$

$$= R\pi_{*} (l_{!} l^{!}Z_{X})$$

$$= H(X, S_{\infty}), Z)$$

$$H^{BM}(X) = R\pi_{*} \pi^{!} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$= R\pi_{*} \iota_{*} \iota_{*} \pi^{!} \mathbb{Z}$$

$$= R\pi_{*} (\iota_{*} \iota^{*} \pi^{!} \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= cone (R\pi_{*} \iota_{!} \iota_{!} \pi^{!} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow R\pi_{*} \pi^{!} \mathbb{Z})$$

$$= H(X, \omega_{*}, \mathbb{Z})$$

Originally, this is another def of cpt supp coh & BM homology.

Vector bundle with 6-functors

Goal: Define Thom class & Euler class as in [GTM82, §6]

[GTM82]: Raoul Bott , Loring W. Tu, Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology, 1982 https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-1-4757-3951-0

 $\begin{array}{c|c}
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\$

Setting
$$\pi: E \longrightarrow B$$
 oriented v.b. with fiber $F \cong \mathbb{R}^r$ β_F : one point compactification $(\mathbb{R}^n \subset S^n)$ β_E : fiberwise compactification $\pi_X: X \longrightarrow \{*\}$

Def
$$H_{cv}(E) \triangleq H(\overline{E}, \overline{E} - E)$$

$$= R_{\pi_{\overline{E},*}} \beta_{E,!} \beta_{E}^{!} \overline{Z}_{\overline{E}}$$

$$= R_{\pi_{B,*}} (R_{\pi_{!}} \beta_{E,!}) (\beta_{E}^{*} \overline{Z}_{\overline{E}})$$

$$= R_{\pi_{B,*}} R_{\pi_{!}} \overline{Z}_{E}$$

Ex. Construct the following canonical maps by six functors.

This page works on the details of the last exercise. If you did that exercise or don't care the details, then skip this page. Notice that we ignore the difference between the complex and cohomology, which is just for the convenience of presentation.

Lemma.
$$R\pi_! Z_E \cong Z_B[-r]$$
. As a result, $H_{cv}(E) \cong H^{-r}(B)$.

Proof. $R\pi_! Z_E = R\pi_! \pi^* Z_B$ expand

 $\cong R\pi_! \pi^! Z_B[-r]$ Verdier duality, π is a v.b.

 $\cong Z_B[-r]$ adjunction, iso comes from $H_*(IR^r; Z) \cong Z_*(check\ locally)$

strictly speaking, $H_{cv}^{i}(E) = \mathcal{H}^{i}(\pi_{B,*} R \pi_{I} \underline{Q}_{E})$, but we're lazy to write \mathcal{H}

H_c(F)
$$\stackrel{\text{trick!}}{=}$$
 $(R\pi_! \mathbb{Z}_E)_P$
 $= \iota_p^* R\pi_! \mathbb{Z}_E$
 $= \pi_{B,*} \iota_{p,*} \iota_p^* R\pi_! \mathbb{Z}_E \leftarrow \pi_{B,*} R\pi_! \mathbb{Z}_E = H_{cv}(E)$
Compare with the first row:
$$R\pi_{\bar{F},*} \beta_{\bar{F},!} \mathbb{Z}_F = R\pi_{\bar{E},*} \iota_{\bar{F},*} \iota_{\bar{F}} \beta_{\bar{E},!} \mathbb{Z}_E \leftarrow R\pi_{\bar{E},*} \beta_{\bar{E},!} \mathbb{Z}_E$$
 $= R\pi_{\bar{E},*} \iota_{\bar{F},*} \iota_{\bar{F},*} \iota_{\bar{F},*} \mathcal{Z}_E \leftarrow R\pi_{\bar{E},*} \beta_{\bar{E},!} \mathbb{Z}_E$

Q. How to show that
$$H^{\circ}(B) \longrightarrow H^{r}_{c}(F)$$
 $1 \longmapsto \text{generator}?$

A: $H^{\circ r}(B) = R \pi_{B,*} \mathbb{Z}_{B}[-r] \longrightarrow R \pi_{B,*} \mathbb{I}_{p,*} \mathbb{I}_{p}^{*} \mathbb{Z}_{B}[-r]$

$$= \mathbb{I}_{p}^{*} \mathbb{Z}_{B}[-r] = \mathbb{Z}_{p}[-r]$$

$$\stackrel{\cong}{=} \mathbb{I}_{p}^{*} R \pi_{!} \mathbb{Z}_{E}$$

$$\stackrel{\cong}{=} R \pi_{F,!} \mathbb{I}_{p}^{*} \mathbb{Z}_{E}$$

$$= R \pi_{F,!} \mathbb{Z}_{F} = H^{\circ}_{c}(F)$$

Euler class of v.b.

People are lazy to write all contravarient functors induced by s as s^*. It may be not the pullback in the 6-functor formalism. These may cause confusion, and I hope it is fine for you.

construct E_{x}

$$s^*$$
 $H_{cv}(E) \longrightarrow H(B)$ $\Phi \longmapsto eu_E$

$$R\pi_{B,*}R\pi_! \underline{Z}_E \qquad R\pi_{B,*}\underline{Z}_E$$

 $R\pi_{B,*}R\pi_! \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_E$ $R\pi_{B,*}\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_B$ After this exercise, we get euler class $eu_E \in H^r(B)$.

A for
$$Ex: \pi \circ S = Id$$
, so
$$R_{\pi_B,*} R_{\pi_!} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_E \longrightarrow R_{\pi_B,*} (R_{\pi_!} S_*) S^* \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_E = R_{\pi_B,*} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_B.$$

Ex. Find a way to define eu E without using ₱, i.e. construct

$$H^{'-r}(B) \longrightarrow H^{'}(B)$$
 $1 \longmapsto eu_{E}$ $\mathbb{R}_{\pi_{B,*}} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{B}[-r]$ $\mathbb{R}_{\pi_{B,*}} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{B}$

Hint. Induced by
$$\mathbb{Z}_{B}[-r] \cong \mathbb{R}\pi_{!} \, \mathbb{Z}_{E} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} (\mathbb{R}\pi_{!} \, S_{*}) \, s^{*} \mathbb{Z}_{E} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{B}$$
 in fact, use $\mathbb{Z}_{E} \longrightarrow S_{*} \mathbb{Z}_{B}$

Relation with tubular nbhd

Let
$$j: E - B \longrightarrow E$$
, $T:$ tubular nbhd of B in E,

s(B), if you prefer rigorous notation

by applying $R\pi_{B,*}R\pi_!$ to

$$o \longrightarrow j!j! \ \underline{Z}_E \longrightarrow \underline{Z}_E \longrightarrow s_*s^*\underline{Z}_E \longrightarrow 0$$

we get LES: $R_{\pi_{B,*}} R_{\pi_{|_{E \setminus B},!}} \underline{\mathbb{Z}}_{E \cdot B} \longrightarrow H_{cv}^{\cdot}(E)$ $H^{\cdot - r}(B)$ $\|S$ H'-'(əT)

Euler class of sphere bundle

Ex: Use the similar method, construct the Gysin sequence.

$$H^{n}(B) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} H^{n}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi_{*}} H^{n-k}(B) \xrightarrow{eu_{\pi} \wedge} \int_{+1}^{k} \int_{-1}^{k} \int_{-1$$

Hint Apply the fctor RAB, + to

Therefore, euler class roughly describes the connecting map $\mathbb{Q}_{B}[-k] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{B}[1] \quad \text{after applying } R\pi_{B,*}$ It works as an obstruction for "the triangle to be exact".

It's constructed by truncations.

Hard Exercise

- 1. When the S^k -bundle $\pi: E \longrightarrow B$ has a section, show that $eV_E = 0$. Do we have $R\pi_! \pi^! \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_B \cong \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_B \oplus \underline{\mathcal{Q}}_B[-k] ?$ I think so.
- 2. For a rk r v.b. $\pi:E\longrightarrow B$ and the crspd S^{r-1} -bundle $\widehat{\pi}:\widehat{E}\longrightarrow B$, show that they define the same Euler class. How are their Gysin sequences related?
- 3. Discuss the maps $Vect_{B}^{vk} \xrightarrow{} S^{v-1}\text{-bundle over }B^{?} \xrightarrow{} H^{r}(B; \mathbb{Z})$ $E \xrightarrow{} E \xrightarrow{} eV_{E}^{*}$ Ave they sury? inj? $Here, E \cong E' \text{ iff } \exists E \xrightarrow{\pi} E'$ $\widehat{E} \cong \widehat{E}' \text{ iff } \exists E \xrightarrow{\pi} E'$ $B \xrightarrow{\pi} E'$
- 4. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chern_class#Via_an_Euler_class
 Can we directly define Chern class by 6-fctors?
 How to define other characteristic classes by 6-fctors?

Explanation

Exactness & derived

by checking on stalks j: is exact i*,j* are exact in the category Top when ZCX is (strongly) loc. contractable. i* is exact

1* is not exact \rightarrow Rj*
i' is already derived.

Rmk: strongly loc. contractable: $\forall p \in X$, \exists a nbhd basis [Uh], of p st. Un NZ is contractable loc. contractable: $\forall p \in X$, \exists a nbhd basis $\{U_n\}_n$ of p s.t. $U_n \cap Z \subset U_n$ is contractable

E.g. | Sstrongly loc.contractable 3 = Floc.contractable 3 = Top CW-cplx, topo mflds Cantor set & algebraic varieties (Check?)

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1082601/anr-is-locally-contractible for the subtlety of these two definitions.

I don't care. In both cases, the local cohomology vanishes in higher degree, and that's what I want.

For the non-exact functors, there maybe some problems in the composition of derived functors.

https://mathoverflow.net/questions/108734/theorem-on-composition-of-derived-functors-question-about-proof https://mathoverflow.net/questions/435310/what-can-be-said-about-the-derived-functor-of-a-composition-between-unbounded-de

E.g. we need to check if $R\pi_{x,*} \circ Rj_* = R\pi_{u,*}$. Luckily, in the open-closed formalism, we won't meet these problems.

Prop1. Let e = e', assume F is exact. Then

Proof. O. by universal property.

(2) by adjunction

Prop 2. Let $e = \frac{F}{G} \cdot e' \cdot e''$. Suppose F or F' is exact, then $RG \circ RG'(f) = (R(G \circ G'))(f)$ Proof. By adjunction & Grothendieck-Serre sequence. $(LF' \circ LF = L(F' \circ F))$ When F' is exact, can use P rop $1 \circ O$.

Cor $R\pi_{x,*} \circ Rj_* = R\pi_{u,*}$. $Rf_* \& Rf_*$ are nice in general.

Reason: f_! sends skyscraper sheaf to skyscraper sheaf, and in general preserve injective sheaves. (need double check)

Local properties

1. They can be checked locally on the target.

 f^* composition f' composition + $j^* = j'$ Rf! proper bc Rf_* adjunction of proper bc

2. Stalk:

 f^* composition f^* for i^* , use triangles $\longrightarrow R\Gamma(x, U; F)$ for j^* , $j^* = j^*$ for π^* , $\pi^! \sim Or[n]$ Rf! proper $bc \longrightarrow local$ (proper) cohomology Rf_* : (ocal cohomology by definition

$$Y_{p} \xrightarrow{l_{p}, Y} Y$$
 $f|_{Y_{p}} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$
 $f|_{Y_{p}} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$

proper bc to compute stalk of $Rf_{!}$