# Eine Woche, ein Beispiel

## 4.10 non-Archimedean local field F

wiki: local field

See https://mathoverflow.net/questions/17061/locally-profinite-fields for different definition of local fields. We follow wiki instead.

## Classification,

- finite extension of Qp
- (T) (9=p\*)

### Process:

- 1. Basic structures and results.
- 2. Topological results.
- 3. Haar measure
- 4. Representation of (F,+) and Fx (next week)
- 1. Basic structures and results
  - 1.1. None of them is alg closed.
  - 1.2. The natural valuation  $v: F \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} v \{+\infty\}$  is defined. Then  $0, \beta, k = 0/p \qquad p = \text{char} \ k, \ q = |k| = p^r$   $u = u^{(n)} = 0^r = 0 p = \{x \in F | v(x) = 0\} \qquad u^{(n)} = 1 + p^n \qquad n > 1$ are defined, and  $\pi \in pO^{x} \subseteq p - p^{2}$  is picked.

Moreover, 
$$O$$
 is DVR,  $K$  is finite,
$$U^{(0)}/U^{(1)} \overset{\text{polit iso}}{\underset{\text{formula}}{\cong}} K^{\times} \qquad U^{(n)}/U^{(n+1)} \overset{\text{hon-canonical}}{\underset{\text{formula}}{\cong}} K \qquad \text{and } 1$$

$$U^{(0)}/U^{(n)} \overset{\text{formula}}{\underset{\text{formula}}{\cong}} (O/2^n)^{\times} \underset{\text{formula}}{\underset{\text{formula}}{\cong}} 0/2^{m-n} \qquad \text{and } n \geq 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}^{(1)} \longrightarrow 0^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}^{\times} \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\mu_{q-1} = \text{facf} \mid \lambda^{q-1} = 1\text{ } \text{} \text{} \text{ } \text{the Teichmüller lift}$$

- $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\times} \cong \mathcal{U}^{(i)} \times \mu_{q-1}$ 1.3.  $F^{\times} \cong \langle \pi \rangle \times \mathcal{O}^{\times} \cong \langle \pi \rangle \times \mu_{q-1} \times \mathcal{U}^{(i)}$ e.g. when  $F=Q_p$ ,  $Q_p^* \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_{-1}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p & p \neq 2 \\ \mathbb{Z} \oplus 0 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/27 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) & p=2 \end{cases}$ Thm When  $p \ge 3$ ,  $(p\mathbb{Z}_p, +) \xrightarrow{e \times p} (1+p\mathbb{Z}_p, \cdot)$  is an iso as topological gps.
  - 7) The topology of  $F^{\times}$  is not the same as the subspace topology  $F^{\times} \subset F$ , but is the same as the subspace topology of  $F^{\times} \subseteq GL_1(F) \cong f(x,x') \in F^{\times} \cap F$ (Maybe that is the origin of the topology of the Weil group)

2. Topological results.

 $O = \lim_{n \to \infty} O/\mu^n$  is opt and profinite group, while F is loc. opt and loc. profinite group  $O = \lim_{n \to \infty} O/u^n$  is opt and profinite group, while  $F^{\times}$  is loc. opt and loc. profinite group.

Cpt open subgps of (F,+) are  $f|_{J^k}$ .

Cpt open subgps of  $F^x$  are not restricted in  $\{U^{(k)}\}$ , but  $\{U^{(k)}\}$  is a nbhol system of  $F^x$ , i.e.,  $\{aU^{(k)}\}_{a\in F^x}$  is a topological basis of  $F^x$ .

Fopen subgps  $] \subseteq \text{fclosed subgps} ]$  for (F, +) and  $F^{\times}$ .  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Are there any other opt closed subgp? A. Yes. e.g.  $\text{fol} \subseteq (F, +)$  file  $F^{\times}$ .  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Can we classify all opt closed subgp?

E.g.  $Q_{pr}$  = the splitting field of  $X^9-X$  over  $Q_p$  = the unique unramified extension of  $Q_p$  of degree r

Gal (Opr/Op) = Gal (IFpr/IFp) = Z//rZ

#### 3. Haar measure

Main reference: The Local Langlands Conjecture for GL(2) by Colin J. Bushnell Guy Henniart. [https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/3-540-31511-X] Ref: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Haar\_measure

G. loc profinite gp

$$C^{\infty}(G) := \{f, G \rightarrow C \mid f \text{ is loc const}\}$$
 $C^{\infty}_{c}(G) := \{f \in C^{\infty}(G) \mid \text{supp } f \in G \text{ is } \text{cpt}\}$ 

Rmk. G has topo basis fgk ] geg cpt open.

$$\forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$$
,  $\exists k \leq G$  opt open, s.t. 
$$f = \sum_{g \in G} a_g \ 1_{k_g k} \qquad a_g \in C \qquad \# \{g \in G \mid a_g \neq o\} < +\infty$$

e.g. When 
$$G = (F, +)$$
,  $C_c^{\infty}(F) = \langle a + F^k \rangle_{\substack{a \in F \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}'}}$   
when  $G = F^{\times}$ ,  $C_c^{\infty}(F^{\times}) = \langle a \cup C^{(k)} \rangle_{\substack{a \in F^{\times} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}' > a}}$ 

Def (Left Haar integral & Left Haar measure) integral: I.  $C_c^{\infty}(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  st

· (left invarient) 
$$I(f(g-)) = I(f(-))$$
· (positive) 
$$I(f) \ge 0$$

measure: 
$$M_{G}: L(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Lebesque **σ**-algebra, see https://math.stackexchange.com/question s/3117419/lebesgue-sigma-algebra Vfe C.°(G) ge G ∀f e C.°(G) f≥0

 $S \subset G$  open  $\mapsto I(1_s)$ 

The domain of  $\mathbf{I}$  is not extended, so here it is not perfect.

relation/notation: 
$$I(f) = \int_G f(g) d\mu_G(g)$$

Kmk. Left Haar measure exists and is unique(up to scalar) on every loc. cpt gp G, see https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1564300/FULLTEXT01.pdf

Later on, Haar measure = left + right Haar measure.

E.g. Let 
$$\mu$$
 be the Haar measure on  $F$ , then  $\mu^{\times}$  is a Haar mesure on  $F$ , and  $(d\mu^{\times}(x) = \frac{d\mu(x)}{||x||})$ 

$$\int_{F^{\times}} f(x) d\mu^{\times}(x) = \int_{F} f(x) \frac{d\mu(x)}{||x||} \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(F^{\times}) \subset C^{\infty}(F)$$

Let 
$$\mu$$
 be the Haar measure on  $A:=M_{n\times n}(F)$ , then  $\mu^{\times}$  is a Haar measure on  $G:=GL_n(F)$ , and  $(d\mu^{*}(g)=\frac{d\mu(g)}{\|det g\|^n})$ 

$$\int_{G} f(g) d\mu^{*}(g) = \int_{A} f(g) \frac{d\mu(g)}{\|det g\|^n} \quad \forall f \in C^{\infty}(G) \subset C^{\infty}_{c}(A)$$

Def Unimodular. left Haar measure = right Haar measure Rmk. G is  $cpt \Rightarrow G$  is unimodular  $\Leftrightarrow \delta_G = 1$  G is abelian  $\Rightarrow G/Z(G)$  is unimodular where  $\delta_G : G \longrightarrow C^\times$  is determined by  $d\mu_G(q^{-1}xg) \stackrel{left inv}{=} d\mu_G(xg) = \delta_G(g) d\mu_G(x)$ . Actually,  $\forall \ K \leq G$  opt open ,  $\delta_G|_K = 1_K$ . e.g.  $(F, +), (O, +), F^\times$ .  $O^\times$  are all unimodular. e.g.  $G = GL_2(Q_p)$  is unimodular, while  $B = \binom{**}{0*} M = \binom{*}{0*} 1$  are not unimodular. It's claimed that every reductive gp over non-archi local field is unimodular, but I don't know the reference.

Any compact, discrete or Abelian locally compact group, as well as any connected reductive or nilpotent Lie group, is unimodular. from [https://encyclopediaofmath.org/wiki/Unimodular\_group]

https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/323017/are-nilpotent-lie-groups-unimodular https://mathoverflow.net/questions/114704/is-every-subgroup-of-a-connected-unimodular-matrix-lie-group-also-unimodular https://mathoverflow.net/questions/267592/simple-proof-that-a-reductive-group-is-unimodular