

Eine Woche, ein Beispiel

9.3. field extension with RS

Goal: construct an equivalence between two categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{c} \text{cpt conn} \\ \downarrow \\ RS^{cc} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Obj: cpt conn RS} \\ \text{Mor: non-const holo morphisms} \end{array} \right\} \end{array} & \longleftrightarrow & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Obj: } F/\mathbb{C} \text{ field ext st.} \\ \text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} F = 1 \\ F/\mathbb{C} \text{ f.g. as a field} \\ \text{Mor: morphism as fields}/\mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}^{\text{op}} = \text{field}_{\mathbb{C}(t)/\mathbb{C}}^{\text{op}} \\
 \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \downarrow f \\ X \end{array} & \implies & \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}(Y) \\ \uparrow f^* \\ \mathcal{M}(X) \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

which obeys the following slogan:

(ramified) covering \approx (function) field extension

- Rmk.
- For requiring F/\mathbb{C} f.g. as a field, we avoid examples like $\overline{\mathbb{C}(t)}$.
 Do they corresponds to some non-cpt Riemann surface?
 If so, how to enlarge the category RS^{cc} ?
 - $\text{field}_{\mathbb{C}(t)/\mathbb{C}}$ means fields over \mathbb{C} which are fin ext of $\mathbb{C}(t)$ abstractly;
 morphisms don't need to fix $\mathbb{C}(t)$.
 Do you have a better name for RS^{cc} and $\text{field}_{\mathbb{C}(t)/\mathbb{C}}$?

<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/633628/threefold-category-equivalence-algebraic-curves-riemann-surfaces-and-fields-of>
<https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1286286/link-between-riemann-surfaces-and-galois-theory>

- field of meromorphic functions
- Galois covering
- valuations
- quadratic extension of $\mathbb{C}(x)$: hyperelliptic curve
- miscellaneous.

1. field of meromorphic functions

Def. For $X \in RS$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(X) &:= \{\text{meromorphic fcts on } X\} \\ &= \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \text{ holomorphic}\} - \{1_\infty\} \\ &\stackrel{\substack{X \text{ cpt} \\ \text{conn}}}{=} \{\text{rational fcts on } X\} \end{aligned}$$

Ex. Verify that

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{CP}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}(z)$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}[i]) \cong \text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[x,y]/(y^2 - x(x+1)(x-1)))$$

Later we will show that, for $X \in RS^{cc}$,

$$\exists \mathbb{C}(x) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}(X) \text{ st. } [\mathcal{M}(X) : \mathbb{C}(x)] < +\infty$$

Ex. For

$$f: \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \quad z \mapsto z^3,$$

compute

$$1) f^*: \mathbb{C}(T) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}(S) \quad [\mathbb{C}(S) : \mathbb{C}(T)] \text{ \& a } \mathbb{C}(T)\text{-basis}$$

$$2) \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(S)/\mathbb{C}(T))$$

$$3) \mathbb{C}(S)^{2/\mathbb{Z}}$$

$$4) \text{Aut}_f(\mathbb{CP}^1)$$

Ex. For

$$f: \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \quad z \mapsto z + \frac{1}{z},$$

do the same work.

Ex. For

$$f: \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \quad z \mapsto z^3 - 3z,$$

compute the same stuff.

Why isn't $\mathbb{C}(S)/\mathbb{C}(T)$ Galois this time?

Hint.

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \hline 4 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \hline 5 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 6 \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Prop. For $d \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, $f: Y \rightarrow X$ proper holo morphism between conn RSs,
 $[M(Y): f^*M(X)] = d$.

Cor. For X cpt conn,

$$\exists \mathbb{C}(X) \hookrightarrow M(X) \text{ s.t. } [M(X): \mathbb{C}(X)] < +\infty$$

In ptc, F/\mathbb{C} f.g as a field, $\text{trdeg}_{\mathbb{C}} F = 1$.

To show the proposition, one need the following black box to find a basis.
 Black box (meromorphic fcts separate points)

$X: RS$, $x, y \in X$ $x \neq y$, then

$$\exists g \in M(X) \text{ s.t. } g(x) \neq g(y) \quad g(x), g(y) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

(stronger) $\exists g \in M(X) \text{ s.t. } \text{ord}_x g = -1, \quad g(y) = 0.$

I prefer using Riemann-Roch when X is cpt, and Stein manifold when X is not.

Ex. Using the black box, show that,

for $X: RS$, $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq X$, $\exists g \in M(X)$ s.t.

$$\text{ord}_{x_i} g = -1, \quad g(x_i) \in \mathbb{C} \quad \forall i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$$

$$g(x_i) \neq g(x_j) \quad \forall i \neq j, \quad i, j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$$

Proof of prop

$[M(Y): f^*M(X)] \geq d$: Fix $x_0 \in X$ s.t. $\#f^{-1}(x_0) = d$. Denote $f^{-1}(x_0) = \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$.

For each i , let $g_i \in M(Y)$ be a meromorphic fct s.t.

$$\text{ord}_{x_i} g_i = -1 \quad g_i(y_j) \in \mathbb{C} \quad \forall j \neq i,$$

then $\{g_1, \dots, g_d\} \subseteq M(Y)$ are $f^*M(X)$ -linear independent.

Check: $\text{ord}_{y_i} (\sum f_j g_j) \approx \text{ord}_{y_i} f_i$

$$[M(Y): f^*M(X)] \leq d:$$

$\forall g \in M(Y)$, need to find $a_i \in f^*M(X)$ s.t.

$$g^d + a_{d-1} g^{d-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } M(Y)$$

The fcts

$$a_i(z) = (-1)^i \sum_{\{k_1, \dots, k_i\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}} g(z_{k_1}) \dots g(z_{k_d})$$

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = \{z_1, \dots, z_d\}, \text{ multiplicity is counted}$$

satisfy the conditions.

Use Riemann extension theorem to show $a_i(z) \in f^*M(X)$, see [Donaldson, p148].

By primitive element theorem, $[M(Y): f^*M(X)] \leq d$.