

90.
75.

Springer Fibers for $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$

Xiaoxiang Zhou

Universität Bonn

June 14, 2021

flat
quiver Variety
flag. G

Recap: representation theory of finite groups

Restrict to **complex** representations, we have a **nice theory**:

- Any representation can be written as a direct sum of **irreducible representation**;
- We can extract information of irreducible representations from the **character table**:

$$\#\{\text{irreducible representations}\} = \#\{\text{conjugation classes}\}$$
$$\sum_{\chi:\text{irr}} (\dim \chi)^2 = \#G$$

However, in general,

- NO **standard way finding an explicit construction** of all irreducible representations;
- NO **one-to-one correspondence** between irreducible representations and conjugation classes.

In this talk, we use two methods to understand representations of S_n , and find connections/analogies between them.

| methods | objects |
|---------------|---|
| combinatorial | Young diagram, Young tableau |
| geometrical | Springer fiber of $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$, irreducible components |

Goal of the Part I

- Explicitly **construct irreducible representations** of S_n by **Young diagram**;
- Compute the character table;
 - **$\dim \chi_i$** by recursion / Hook length formula
 - character by Frobenius formula
- Compute other representations.
 - e.g. \otimes , Sym^m , Λ^m ;
 - e.g. M_λ .
 - restriction and induced representation


Notation

For boxes:

(Young) diagram



filling



standard filling



tableau



standard tableau




Order of Young diagram:


inclusion




dominance




Lexicographic ordering



tree of Young diagram




tree of Young diagram



Order

 \subseteq inclusion

▷ dominance

 \leq Lexicographic ordering

S_n & Young diagram

Proposition

We have the one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagram} \\ \text{of } n \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow[\lambda = \lambda_1^{v_1} \dots \lambda_k^{v_k}]{\text{partition of } n} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Conjugation class} \\ \text{of } S_n \end{array} \right\}$$

X

S_n & Young diagram


Proposition

We have the one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagram} \\ \text{of } n \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{partition of } n} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda = \lambda_1^{v_1} \dots \lambda_k^{v_k}} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Conjugation class} \\ \text{of } S_n \end{array} \right\}$$

Example ↴

$n = 11$.



$$\begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{11=5+4+1+1} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda=5 \cdot 4 \cdot 1^2} \end{array} (12345)(6789)(10)(11)$$

S_n & Young diagram

Proposition

We have the one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagram} \\ \text{of } n \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{partition of } n} \\ \xrightarrow{\lambda = \lambda_1^{v_1} \dots \lambda_k^{v_k}} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Conjugation class} \\ \text{of } S_n \end{array} \right\}$$

Claim

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagram} \\ \text{of } n \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{?} \\ \xrightarrow{?} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Irreducible rep} \\ \text{of } S_n \end{array} \right\}$$

S_n & Young diagram

Claim

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Young diagram} \\ \text{of } n \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{\quad ? \quad} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Irreducible rep} \\ \text{of } S_n \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark

Reduced to: for each Young diagram λ ,
construct an irreducible representation S^λ , and
prove $S^\lambda = S^{\lambda'} \Rightarrow \lambda = \lambda'$.

The construction of $S^\lambda \subseteq M^\lambda$

Tabloid: equivalence class of standard filling

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \hline 1 & 2 & \\ \hline \end{array} := \{345/12\}$$

The construction of $S^\lambda \subseteq M^\lambda$

Tabloid: equivalence class of standard filling

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 1 | 2 | |

=


| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 2 | 1 | |

=

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 2 | |

:=

$\{345/12\}$



$\mathcal{T}^\lambda := \{\text{Young tabloid}\} = \{\text{standard filling of shape } \lambda\} / \sim$

$$M^\lambda := \langle \{T\} \in \mathcal{T}^\lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

▷ choose a standard filling T

$C(T) := \{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma \text{ permutes numbers in one column}\}$

↓ ↓ ↓

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 3 | 5 | 4 |
| 1 | 2 | |

$T =$

▷ preserves numbers each.

$$v_T := \sum_{\sigma \in C(T)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \{\sigma \cdot T\} \in M^\lambda$$

$$\sigma \cdot v_T = v_{\sigma(T)}$$

N_T

$$S^\lambda := \mathbb{C}[S_n] \cdot v_T \subseteq M^\lambda$$

invariant subspace of M^λ

The construction of $S^\lambda \subseteq M^\lambda$

$$\mathcal{T}^\lambda := \{\text{Young tabloid}\} = \{\text{standard filling } \{T\}\}$$

$$M^\lambda := \left\langle \{T\} \in \mathcal{T}^\lambda \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$v_T := \sum_{\sigma \in C(T)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \{\sigma \cdot T\} \in M^\lambda$$

$$S^\lambda := \mathbb{C}[S_n] \cdot v_T \subseteq M^\lambda$$

invariant subspace of M^λ

Example ($\lambda = 3 \cdot 2$)



$$\mathcal{T}^\lambda = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{123/45\}, \{124/35\}, \{125/34\}, \{134/25\}, \{135/24\}, \\ \{145/23\}, \{234/15\}, \{235/14\}, \{245/13\}, \{345/12\} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$M^\lambda = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} \{123/45\}, \{124/35\}, \{125/34\}, \{134/25\}, \{135/24\}, \\ \{145/23\}, \{234/15\}, \{235/14\}, \{245/13\}, \{345/12\} \end{array} \right\rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

The construction of $S^\lambda \subseteq M^\lambda$

$$v_T := \sum_{\sigma \in C(T)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \{\sigma \cdot T\} \in M^\lambda$$

$$\sigma v_T = v_{\sigma T}$$

$$S^\lambda := \mathbb{C}[S_n] \cdot v_T \subseteq M^\lambda$$

invariant subspace of M^λ

Example ($\lambda = 3 \cdot 2$)

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline \downarrow & & \\ \hline 3 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$C(T) = \{\text{Id}, (23), (15), (23)(15)\}$$

$$v_T = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 5 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 1 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} - \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 1 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\} + \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 & 4 \\ \hline 3 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \{345/12\} - \{245/13\} - \{134/25\} + \{124/35\} \in M^\lambda$$

$$S^\lambda = \langle v_T \rangle_{\mathbb{C}[S_n]} = \langle v_{T'} | T' : \text{standard tableau} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

Main theorem of S^λ

quicker

Theorem

Fix the Young diagram λ , the corresponding representation S^λ has the following properties:

- 1 the linear space S^λ has a **basis** $\{v_{T'} | T' : \text{standard tableau}\}$, especially, $\dim S^\lambda = \#\{\text{standard tableau}\}$;
- 2 the representation S^λ is **irreducible**;
- 3 for the Young diagram λ' , $S^{\lambda'} \cong S^\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda$.

Proof: basis

Theorem

- 1 the linear space S^λ has a basis $\{v_{T'} | T' : \text{standard tableau}\}$, especially, $\dim S^\lambda = \#\{\text{standard tableau}\}$;

I want to explain why

Idea of the proof

- S^λ is generated by $\{v_{T'} | T' : \text{standard filling}\}$,

It's not an easy task to represent $v_{T'}$ by linear combinations.

e.g.
$$v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 3 & 5 & 4 & \\ \hline 2 & 1 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} \xrightarrow{\text{column}} v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 2 & 1 & 4 & \\ \hline 3 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} \xrightarrow{\text{row}} v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & \\ \hline 3 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} - v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & \\ \hline 2 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}}$$

- $\{v_{T'} | T' : \text{standard tableau}\}$ are linear independent.

e.g.
$$x_1 v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 & \\ \hline 4 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} + x_2 v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 4 & \\ \hline 3 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} + x_3 v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 & \\ \hline 2 & 5 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} + x_4 v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 5 & \\ \hline 3 & 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} + x_5 v_{\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 5 & \\ \hline 2 & 4 & & \\ \hline \end{array}} = 0 \quad x_i \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$\{123/45\} \rightarrow x_1 = 0$$

$$\{134/25\} \rightarrow x_3 = 0$$

$$\{135/24\} \rightarrow x_5 = 0$$

$$\{124/35\} \rightarrow x_2 = 0$$

$$\{125/34\} \rightarrow x_4 = 0$$

coefficient comparison.

upper.

linear ordering

We use a linear ordering of standard fillings by

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline 4 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \longrightarrow 54321$$

\vee $\parallel \vee$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 3 & 4 \\ \hline 2 & 5 & \\ \hline \end{array} \longrightarrow 52431$$

In the proof, we knock out the biggest one.

~~X Del.~~

→ Example ((2,2,2) case)

$\sim_{T'}$

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |

654321

>

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 5 | 6 |

654231

>

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 5 |
| 4 | 6 |

645321

>

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 4 | 6 |

645231

>

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 6 |

635241

Proof: part 2&3

Theorem

- ② the representation S^λ is irreducible;
- ③ for the Young diagram λ' , $S^{\lambda'} \cong S^\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda$.

We have to introduce element b_T in $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ by $\tau \in \lambda$

$$b_T := \sum_{q \in C(T)} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma$$

then

- $v_T = b_T \cdot \{T\}$;
- $\tau(b_T) = \text{sgn}(\tau) b_T$ for any $\tau \in C(T)$;
- $b_T \cdot b_T = \#C(T) \cdot b_T$;
- ~~$b_T M^\lambda =$~~ $b_T S^\lambda = \mathbb{C} v_T \neq 0$;
- ~~$b_T M^{\lambda'} =$~~ $b_T S^{\lambda'} = 0$ for $\lambda' > \lambda$.

It's a easy argument.

Proof: part 2&3

Theorem

- ② the representation S^λ is irreducible;
- ③ for the Young diagram λ' , $S^{\lambda'} \cong S^\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda$.

$$\begin{aligned} b_T M^\lambda &= b_T S^\lambda = \mathbb{C} v_T \neq 0 ; \\ b_T M^{\lambda'} &= b_T S^{\lambda'} = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda' > \lambda \end{aligned}$$

✱To show S^λ is irreducible: only need to show indecomposability.
If $S^\lambda = V \oplus W$ as $\mathbb{C}[S_n]$ -module, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} v_T &= b_T S^\lambda = b_T V \oplus b_T W \\ \Rightarrow b_T V &= \mathbb{C} v_T \quad (\text{or } b_T W = \mathbb{C} v_T) \\ \Rightarrow S^\lambda &= \mathbb{C}[S_n] \cdot v_T = \mathbb{C}[S_n] \cdot \mathbb{C} v_T = \mathbb{C}[S_n] \cdot b_T V \subseteq V \end{aligned}$$

Proof: part 2&3

Theorem

- ② *the representation S^λ is irreducible;*
- ③ *for the Young diagram λ' , $S^{\lambda'} \cong S^\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda$.*

$$\begin{aligned} b_T M^\lambda &= b_T S^\lambda = \mathbb{C}v_T \neq 0 ; \\ b_T M^{\lambda'} &= b_T S^{\lambda'} = 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda' > \lambda \end{aligned}$$


*To show $S^{\lambda'} \cong S^\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda' = \lambda$:

If not w.l.o.g. suppose $\lambda' > \lambda$. Then


$$b_T S^{\lambda'} = b_T S^\lambda \implies \mathbb{C}v_T \cong 0,$$

contradiction!

Example



Example



Example: trivial representation

$$\lambda = \square\square\square = 3^1$$

$$M^\lambda = \langle \{123\} \rangle = \mathbb{C}$$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$C(T) = \text{Id}$$

$$v_T = \left\{ \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \right\}$$

$$S^\lambda = \mathbb{C}[S_3] \cdot v_T = \mathbb{C}v_T$$

Example: alternating representation

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} = 1^3$$

$$M^\lambda = \langle \{1/2/3\}, \{1/3/2\}, \{2/1/3\}, \{2/3/1\}, \{3/1/2\}, \{3/2/1\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$C(T) = S_3$$

$$v_T = \{1/2/3\} - \{1/3/2\} - \{2/1/3\} \\ + \{2/3/1\} + \{3/1/2\} - \{3/2/1\}$$

$$S^\lambda = \mathbb{C}[S_3] \cdot v_T = \mathbb{C}v_T$$

$$(23)v_T = \{1/3/2\} - \{1/2/3\} - \{3/1/2\} \\ + \{3/2/1\} + \{2/1/3\} - \{2/3/1\} = -v_T$$

Example: standard representation

$$\lambda = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} = 2 \cdot 1$$

$$M^\lambda = \langle \{12/3\}, \{13/2\}, \{23/1\} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$T = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$C(T) = \{\text{Id}, (13)\}$$

$$v_T = \{12/3\} - \{23/1\}$$

$$S^\lambda = \mathbb{C}[S_3] \cdot v_T \cong \mathbb{C}^2$$

$$(12)\underline{v_T} = \{12/3\} - \{13/2\}$$

$$\underline{(13)v_T} = \underbrace{\{23/1\} - \{12/3\}} = -v_T$$


Goal of the Part 1

- Explicitly construct irreducible representations of S_n by Young diagram;
- Compute the character table;
 - $\dim \chi$ ~~$\times S^\lambda$~~ by recursion / Hook length formula
 - character by Frobenius formula
- Compute other representations.
 - e.g. \otimes , Sym^m , Λ^m ;
 - e.g. M_λ .
 - restriction and induced representation

Example: dimension of irreducible representation

$$\dim S^\lambda = \#\{\text{standard tableau of } \lambda\} = ?$$


Example



Example: dimension of irreducible representation


$$\dim S^\lambda = \#\{\text{standard tableau of } \lambda\} = ?$$

Example




$$\underline{\dim S^\lambda} = \sum_{\substack{\lambda' \subseteq \lambda \\ |\lambda'| = n-1}} \underline{\dim S^{\lambda'}}$$

Example: dimension of irreducible representation




Example: dimension of irreducible representation



Hook length formula

It helps us compute the dimension of S^λ without induction.

Step 1: count the length of hook.



Step 2: $\dim S^\lambda = \frac{n!}{\prod(\text{hook lengths})}$

Special case: (m, l)


Ballot problem

In an election where candidate **A** receives m votes and candidate **B** receives l votes with $m \geq l$, what is the probability that **A** will be (non-strictly) ahead of **B** throughout the count?

Proposition

Each *process* of the count corresponds to each *standard tableau* of form (m, l) .

Example



Special case: (m, m)

Corollary

$$\dim \underline{S^{(m,m)}} = C_m = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m}.$$

where C_m is the n -th Catalan number.

Special case: (m, m)

Corollary

$$\dim S^{(m,m)} = C_m = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m}.$$


where C_m is the n -th Catalan number.

Catalan number has many interpretations. For example, it counts the number of crossingless matchings of $2n$ points.

Ex. $m=3$

crossingless matchings

of 6 points



Special case: (m, m)

Corollary

$$\dim S^{(m,m)} = C_m = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m}.$$

where C_m is the n -th Catalan number.

Catalan number has many interpretations. For example, it counts the number of crossingless matchings of $2n$ points.

Ex. $m=3$

1 2 3 4 5 6
() ())

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 | 6 |

crossingless matchings
of 6 points

1 2 3 4 5 6

1 2 3 4 5 6

1 2 3 4 5 6

1 2 3 4 5 6

1 2 3 4 5 6

Young tableau
of $(3,3)$ type

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 | 6 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 3 | 4 | 6 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 5 | 6 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 6 |

starting pt
ending. of an
of arc

47

Goal of the Part II

- Definition of Springer fiber;
- Some examples of Springer fiber;
- Properties: (closely connected with combinatorics)
 - irreducible component?
 - dimension?
 - affine paving? – CW complex?
 - cohomology? – ring structure?
 - smooth?
 - explicit description?
- Weyl group action on top homology.

Goal of the Part II

- **Definition** of Springer fiber;
- Some **examples** of Springer fiber;
- **Properties:** (closely connected with combinatorics)
 - **irreducible component?**
 - **dimension?**
 - affine paving? – **CW complex?**
 - **cohomology?** – ring structure?
 - **smooth?**
 - **explicit description?**
- Weyl group action on top homology.

Definition

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq \mathfrak{g} \times \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}, & \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{F}(n) & \widetilde{\mathcal{N}} \\
 \downarrow \mu & \rightsquigarrow & \downarrow \mu|_{\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{B}} \\
 \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) & & \mathcal{N} \\
 & & \text{resolution of nilpotent cone}
 \end{array}$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent element. The Springer fiber B_X over X is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathfrak{B}_X &:= \mu^{-1}(X) \\
 &= \{B \in \mathfrak{B} \mid X \in B\} \\
 &= \{0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_n = \mathbb{C}^n \mid \underbrace{XV_i \subseteq V_{i-1}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Springer condition}}}\} \quad \dim V_i = i
 \end{aligned}$$

By the Jordan normal form, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Nilpotent element} \\ \text{in } \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\} / \text{conj} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{Young diagram} \\ \text{of } n \text{ boxes} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\underline{X_\lambda} = \text{diag}(\underbrace{J_{\lambda_1}, \dots, J_{\lambda_1}}_{v_1}, J_{\lambda_2}, \dots, J_{\lambda_k}) \longleftrightarrow \lambda = \lambda_1^{v_1} \cdots \lambda_k^{v_k}$$

$$J_{\lambda_i} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\lambda_i \times \lambda_i}$$

Denote $B_\lambda := B_{X_\lambda}$. $B_X \cong B_{gXg^{-1}}$ for any $g \in G$

Theorem (we will not give the proof.)

As S_n -representation, $S^\lambda \cong H_{\text{top}}(B_\lambda)$.


Corollary

$$\#\{\text{irreducible component of } B_\lambda\} = \dim S^\lambda$$

Goal of the Part II

- Definition of Springer fiber;
- Some **examples** of Springer fiber;
- **Properties:** (closely connected with combinatorics)
 - irreducible component?
 - dimension?
 - affine paving? – CW complex?
 - cohomology? – ring structure?
 - smooth?
 - explicit description?
- Weyl group action on top homology.

tree of Young diagram



Example: $\lambda = 3$



$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_\lambda = \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle \overset{e_1}{?} \rangle \subseteq \langle \overset{e_1}{?}, \overset{e_2}{?} \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda = \{*\}$$

In general, $B_\lambda = \{*\}$ when λ has only one row.

Example: $\lambda = (1, 1, 1)$



$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_\lambda = \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda = \mathcal{Fl}(3)$$

In general, $B_\lambda = \mathcal{Fl}(n)$ when $\lambda = 1^n$.

Properties of $B_\lambda = \mathcal{F}\ell(n)$

- irreducible: ✓
- $\dim B_\lambda = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$
- CW complex: Schubert cell.
- cohomology group: ✓
- smooth: ✓
- explicit description: ✓ *local chart*
- Weyl group action on $H_{\text{top}}(B_\lambda) \cong \mathbb{C}$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{F}\ell(n+1) - \mathcal{F}\ell(n) \\ | \\ \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \end{array}$$

Example: $\lambda = (2, 1)$



$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$B_\lambda = \left\{ 0 \subseteq \underbrace{\langle ? \rangle}_{ae_1+e_2} \subseteq \underbrace{\langle ?, ? \rangle}_{e_1, e_2} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda = \mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{P}^1$$

$$B_\lambda = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{0 \subseteq \langle ae_1+e_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3\} \longrightarrow \text{blue circle} \\ \{0 \subseteq \langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle e_1, be_2+ce_3 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3\} \longrightarrow \text{red circle} \end{array} \right. \quad \text{with a red dot at the intersection and a blue arrow pointing to the red circle labeled } \{0 \subseteq \langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3\}$$

In general, $B_\lambda = \underbrace{\mathbb{P}^1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{P}^1}_{n-1}$ when $\lambda = (n-1, 1)$.



Properties of $B_\lambda = \underbrace{\mathbb{P}^1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{P}^1}_{n-1}$

- irreducible component: $n-1$
- $\dim B_\lambda = 1$
- affine paving: 
- cohomology group:
- smooth: ✓
- explicit description: ✓
- Weyl group action on $H_{\text{top}}(B_\lambda) \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}}$:

Tool: stratification/cellular fibration/affine paving

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{l} \phi \rightarrow \\ B_\lambda \rightarrow \\ \vdots \\ B_{\lambda''} \rightarrow \end{array} & B_\lambda & \{0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n\}^{\hookrightarrow X_\lambda} \\
 & \downarrow \pi & \downarrow \\
 & \mathbb{P}^{n-1} & [V_1]
 \end{array}$$

Remark

In general, ~~We don't have a natural CW complex structure.~~

We don't understand the ring structure.

Return!

For $\lambda = 1^3$, $B_\lambda \cong \mathcal{F}\ell(3)$ can be viewed as $\mathcal{F}\ell(2)$ -bundle over \mathbb{P}^2 .


$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{H}(1) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{F}\ell(2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}\ell(3) & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \\
 \downarrow \{ \} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \\
 & & \mathbb{P}^1 & & \mathbb{P}^2 & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\pi^{-1}([v]) = \{0 \subseteq \langle v \rangle \subseteq \langle v, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3\} \cong \mathcal{F}\ell(2)$$

Return!

For $\lambda = (2, 1)$, $B_\lambda \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & = & B_{\lambda_1} \longrightarrow \\ \{*\} & = & B_{\lambda_2} \longrightarrow \end{array} \quad B_{\lambda} \quad \downarrow \quad \mathbb{P}^1$$



$$\pi^{-1}([e_1]) = \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle e_1 \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cong \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2 \right\} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B_{1,1}$$

$$\pi^{-1}([e_3]) = \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle e_3 \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\cong \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^2 \right\} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} = B_2$$

$$\pi^{-1}([e_2]) = \emptyset$$

Return!

For $\lambda = (2, 1)$, $B_\lambda \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{P}^1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1 & = B_{\lambda_1} & \longrightarrow B_{\lambda_1,1} \\ \{*\} & = B_{\lambda_2} & \longrightarrow B_{\lambda_2,1} \\ \emptyset & & \searrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^2 \end{array}$$




$$\pi^{-1}([e_1]) \cong B_{1,1} \quad \pi^{-1}([e_3]) \cong B_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}([ae_1 + e_3]) &= \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle ae_1 + e_3 \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{(f_1, f_2, f_3) = (e_1, e_2, ae_1 + e_3)}{\cong} \left\{ 0 \subseteq \langle f_3 \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3 \right\} \curvearrowright \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \\ & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

By this way, $\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{[e_1]\}) \cong B_2 \times \mathbb{C}$ induces an affine paving.


Example: $\lambda = (2, 1, 1)$




$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_\lambda = \{0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda$$

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \mathcal{F}(3) = B_{1,1,1} & \longrightarrow & B_{1,1,1} \\ \mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{P}^1 = B_{2,1} & \longrightarrow & B_{2,1} \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & \mathbb{P}^3 \end{array}$$




Example: $\lambda = (2, 1, 1)$



$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_\lambda = \{0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda$$



Example: $\lambda = (2, 2)$




$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_\lambda = \{0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{P}^1 = B_{2,1} \longrightarrow B_{2,2}$$

$$\downarrow \pi$$

$$\mathbb{P}^1$$



Example: $\lambda = (2, 2)$




$$X_\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_\lambda = \{0 \subseteq \langle ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \langle ?, ?, ? \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{C}^4\} \curvearrowright X_\lambda$$

in the same way
the same recursion

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}^1 \vee \mathbb{P}^1 = B_{2,1} & \longrightarrow & B_{2,2} & B_{2,1} \times \mathbb{P}^1 & B_{2,1} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}^1 = \{*\} & & \{*\} & \mathbb{C} & \\ [e_1] & & [e_1] & [ae_1 + e_2] & \end{array}$$




Using the same technique, we can get

- B_λ has an affine paving \rightsquigarrow cohomology;
- Each irreducible component in B_λ has same dimension;
- It's easy to compute the dimension and the number of irreducible component.


Game: compute!

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | | | |


$$4 \times 1 + 6 + 3 = 13$$



Answer: dimension



Answer: the number of irreducible component



Smooth problem

Results

- Not all the the irreducible components of B_λ are smooth; For example, one component of $B_{2,2,1,1}$ is not smooth.
- All the components of B_λ are nonsingular iff

$$\lambda \in \{(\lambda_1, 1, 1, \dots), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 1), (2, 2, 2)\}$$





(m, m) case

We have an explicit description in the 2-row case when we forget the variety structure. Use this description, we can get the cohomology group structure.

Definition and Theorem

Let α be a crossingless matching, define

$$\tilde{B}_{\alpha; m, m} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m} \mid x_i = x_j \text{ if } (i, j) \in \alpha \right\} \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{m, m} := \bigcup_{\alpha} \tilde{B}_{\alpha; m, m} \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}$$

then we have a homeomorphism

$$B_{m, m} \cong \tilde{B}_{m, m}$$

(m, m) case

Definition and Theorem

Let α be a crossingless matching, define

$$\tilde{B}_{\alpha; m, m} := \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{2m}) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m} \mid x_i = x_j \text{ if } (i, j) \in \alpha \right\} \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}$$

$$\tilde{B}_{m, m} := \bigcup_{\alpha} \tilde{B}_{\alpha; m, m} \subseteq (\mathbb{P}^1)^{2m}$$

then we have a homeomorphism

$$B_{m, m} \cong \tilde{B}_{m, m}$$

Example ($m=2$)

$$\alpha = \{(1, 2), (3, 4)\} \quad \tilde{B}_{\alpha; 2, 2} = \left\{ (x_1, x_1, x_2, x_2) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^4 \right\} \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$$

$$\beta = \{(1, 4), (2, 3)\} \quad \tilde{B}_{\beta; 2, 2} = \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_2, x_1) \in (\mathbb{P}^1)^4 \right\} \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$$



$$\underbrace{B_{2, 2}}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \cup \mathbb{P}^1} \cong \tilde{B}_{2, 2} \cong (\mathbb{P}^1)^2 \bigvee_{\mathbb{P}^1} (\mathbb{P}^1)^2$$

THANKS

Thank you for listening!

Thank Rui Xiong for providing the package of Young diagram,

Thank my roommate David Cueto for pointing out typos,

Thank Prof. Eberhart for offering valuable materials and advice!