## CALDERÓN'S COMPLEX INTERPOLATION METHOD

## EMPTY

## Contents

- 1. Calderón's complex interpolation method (Due to Alberto Calderón)
  - 1. CALDERÓN'S COMPLEX INTERPOLATION METHOD (DUE TO ALBERTO CALDERÓN)

In this section, we will try to show that

$$\mathcal{F}(L^p(\Omega)) \subseteq L^q(\Omega) \quad \text{for } 1 \le p \le 2, \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$$
 (1.1)

1

under the help of complex interpolation method. Surprisingly, this method stems from a theorem in complex analysis, call the three-lines theorem.

**Theorem 1.1** (Three lines theorem, due to Hadamard). Let

$$\Omega := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Re} < 1 \}$$

 $E:Banach\ space$ 

 $f:\overline{\Omega}\longrightarrow E$  is bounded, continuous, and  $f|_{\Omega}$  is holomorphic.

For  $0 < \theta < 1$ , define

$$M_{\theta}(f) := \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \|f(r+it)\| < +\infty,$$

then

$$M_{\theta}(f) \leq \left(M_0(f)\right)^{\theta} \left(M_1(f)\right)^{1-\theta}.$$

This is equivalent to say, the function

$$[0,1] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \qquad \theta \longmapsto \log M_{\theta}(f)$$

is convex.

Remark 1.2. We say  $f: \Omega \longrightarrow E$  is **holomorphic** if f satisfies Riemann-Cauchy equation. Equivalently,  $f: \Omega \longrightarrow E$  is holomorphic if for any  $\phi \in E'$ , the composition

$$\Omega \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} E \stackrel{\phi}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{C}$$

is holomorphic.

The proof use the Phragmén–Lindelöf method. Before the proof, let me recall the maximum principle.

**Theorem 1.3** (Maximum principle for holomorphic functions). Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $f:\overline{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be continuous and holomorphic (in  $\Omega$ ). Then for any  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,

$$||f(z)||_E \le \sup_{w \in \partial\Omega} |f(w)|.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, by Phragmén-Lindelöf method.

Date: May 21, 2023.

2 EMPTY

<u>Step 1</u>. Prove the theorem for  $E = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $M_0(f) = M_1(f) = 1$  case. In this case, we need to show  $|f(z)| \le 1$  for any  $z \in \Omega$ .

<u>Idea</u>: introduce a multiplicative factor  $e^{\frac{z^2-1}{n}}$  to "subdue" the growth of f, so that we can use maximal principle to get the bound.

Let  $f_n(z) := e^{\frac{z^2-1}{n}} f(z)$ , then there exists R > 0 (depend on n), such that

$$|f_n(z)| \le 1$$
 for  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im} z| \ge R$ .

By the maximal principle for  $\{z \in \overline{\Omega} : |\operatorname{Im} z| \leq R\},\$ 

$$|f_n(z)| \le 1$$
 for  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ ,  $|\operatorname{Im} z| \le R$ .

Therefore,  $||f_n|| \le 1$ . As a result,

$$|f(z)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |f_n(z)| \le 1.$$

**Step 2**. Prove the theorem for  $E = \mathbb{C}$  case.

Define

$$g: \overline{\Omega} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$
  $g(z) := M_0(f)^{z-1} M_1(f)^{-z} f(z),$ 

and apply g to Step 1.

Step 3. General case.

For  $\phi \in E'$ ,  $\|\phi\|_{E'} \leq 1$ , define  $h_{\phi} := \phi \circ f$ :

$$h_{\phi}: \overline{\Omega} \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{C},$$

then

$$|h_{\phi}(z)| = |\phi(f(z))| \le ||\phi||_{E'} ||f(z)||_E \le ||f(z)||_E.$$

Apply  $h_{\phi}$  to Step 2, we get

$$|h_{\phi}(z)| \le M_0(h_{\phi})^{\theta} M_1(h_{\phi})^{1-\theta} \le M_0(f)^{\theta} M_1(f)^{1-\theta}$$
 for any  $z \in \overline{\Omega}$ , Re  $z = \theta$ ,

so

$$||f(z)||_E = \sup_{\substack{\phi \in E' \\ ||\phi|| \le 1}} |\langle \phi, f(z) \rangle| = \sup_{\substack{\phi \in E' \\ ||\phi|| \le 1}} |h_{\phi}(z)| \le M_0(f)^{\theta} M_1(f)^{1-\theta}.$$

Somewhat surprising, Theorem 1.1 offers us a way to construct "a continuous deformation between two Banach spaces". Intuitively, these intermediate spaces must lie in the sum of these two Banach spaces. First, we try to give a norm to this ambiance space.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let  $E_0$ ,  $E_1$  be two Banach spaces contained in some topological vector space V.??? Then  $E_0 \oplus E_1$  is a Banach space with norm

$$||(x,y)|| = ||x||_{E_0} + ||y||_{E_1},$$

 $E_0 \oplus E_1$  is a Banach space with norm

$$||x+y|| = \inf_{\xi \in E_0 \cap E_1} \{ ||x+\xi||_{E_0} + ||y-\xi||_{E_1} \}.$$

*Proof.* Conditions on norm are relatively easy to check, but I don't know how to show completeness.

**Lemma 1.5.** The injection  $j: E_0 \hookrightarrow E_0 + E_1$  is continuous of norm  $\leq 1$ .

*Proof.* We have the estimation

$$||x+0||_{E_0+E_1} = \inf_{\xi \in E_0 \cap E_1} \{||x+\xi||_{E_0} + ||-\xi||_{E_1}\} \le ||x||_{E_0}.$$

**Warning 1.6.** The injection j may be not topological embedding, i.e.,  $E_0 \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Im} j$  may be not homeomorphism.

**Definition 1.7** (Interpolation spaces). For two Banach spaces  $E_0$ ,  $E_1$  contained in some vector

$$\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}(E_0, E_1) := \left\{ f : \overline{\Omega} \longrightarrow E_0 + E_1 \middle| \begin{array}{l} f \ \textit{is continuous and bounded} \\ f|_{\Omega} \ \textit{is holomorphic} \\ f(\textit{it}) \in E_0, \ f(1+\textit{it}) \in E_1, \ \textit{for any } t \in \mathbb{R} \end{array} \right\}$$

to be the Banach space with norm

$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}} := \max(M_0(f), M_1(f)) = |||f|||_{\infty}.$$

For  $0 < \theta < 1$ , define the interpolation space

$$E_{\theta} := [E_0, E_1]_{\theta} := \mathcal{H}(E_0, E_1) / \{ f \in \mathcal{H} : f(\theta) = 0 \},$$

i.e., the image of the map

$$\operatorname{ev}_{\theta}: \mathcal{H}(E_0, E_1) \longrightarrow E_0 + E_1 \qquad f \longmapsto f(\theta).$$

Notice that we only take the norm of  $E_{\theta}$  as the residue norm of  $\mathcal{H}$ , instead of the subspace norm of  $E_0 + E_1$ .

Question 1.8. Are these two norms the same norm?

Remark 1.9. It is natural to set  $\theta = 0$ , and guess  $[E_0, E_1]_0 = E_0$ , but this is false in general. Consider  $E_0 = \mathbb{C}, E_1 = 0$ , then

$$\mathcal{H}(E_0, E_1) = 0 \implies [E_0, E_1]_{\theta} = 0 \text{ for any } \theta.$$

Here we list some immediate properties of the interpolation spaces.

**Lemma 1.10.**  $[E_0, E_1]_{\theta} = [E_1, E_0]_{1-\theta}$ .

**Lemma 1.11.** For  $\xi \in [E_0, E_1]_{\theta}$ ,

$$\|\xi\|_{\theta} = \inf_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H} \\ \bar{f} = \varepsilon}} \left\{ M_0(f)^{1-\theta} M_1(f)^{\theta} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* For the easy direction,

LHS = 
$$\inf_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H} \\ \bar{f} = \xi}} ||f||_{\mathcal{H}} = \inf_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{H} \\ \bar{f} = \xi}} \left\{ \max \left( M_0(f), M_1(f) \right) \right\} \ge \text{RHS}.$$

To show RHS < LHS, one needs to show that

For  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ , there exists  $g \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $g(\theta) = f(\theta)$ , such that  $||g||_{\mathcal{H}} \leq M_0(f)^{1-\theta} M_1(f)^{\theta}$ .

Then 
$$q(z) := M_0(f)^{z-1} M_1(f)^{-z} f(z)$$
 satisfy the condition. ???

The next theorem gives us a perfect example.

**Theorem 1.12** (Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem). Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite space,  $1 \leq p < 1$  $q \leq \infty, \ 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \ p', \ q'$  be the conjugate indices of  $p, \ q$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{q}$ , then

$$[L^p(X), L^q(X)]_{\theta} \cong L^r(X)$$

as Banach spaces.

## Example 1.13.

When  $q = \infty$ ,  $r = \frac{1}{1-\theta} \cdot p$ . When  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{2}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ , (0, p, r, q) is a harmonic range.

*Proof.* We do the case  $q < +\infty$ . Let

$$L^0(X) := \{ \text{ measurable functions } \} / \text{ null functions }$$

be an ambiance space, and  $f \in L^r(X)$  be a representative (i.e., a function). We need three steps:

4 EMPTY

**Step 1.** Let  $f \in L^r(X)$ , construct  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(L^p(X), L^q(X))$  such that  $\phi(\theta) = f$ .

For this, define

$$\phi:\overline{\Omega}\longrightarrow L^p(X)+L^q(X) \qquad \phi(z)=\frac{f(-)}{|f(-)|}|f(-)|^{r\left(\frac{1-z}{p}+\frac{z}{q}\right)}\,\mathbb{1}_{\{|f|>0\}}\,.$$

We need to verify:

- For a fixed  $z, \phi(z) \in L^p(X) + L^q(X)$ ;
- $\phi \in \mathcal{H}\left(L^p(X), L^q(X)\right)$ ;
- $\phi(\theta) = f$ .

Step 2. For  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}(L^p(X), L^q(X))$ , show that  $\phi(\theta) \in L^r(X)$ .

For proving this, we need a fact from the duality theory:

**Fact 1.14.** Given  $h \in L^0(X)$  and r, r' as conjugate indices. If for all simple functions g we have

$$h\cdot g\in L^1,\qquad \int |h\cdot g|d\mu\leq C\cdot \|g\|_{r'},$$

then  $h \in L^r$  and  $||h||_{L^r} \leq C$ .

From this fact, one needs to estimate

$$\int |\phi(\theta) \cdot g| d\mu \le C \cdot ||g||_{r'}$$

for any simple function g. Now fix g, define

$$\begin{split} \psi: \overline{\Omega} &\longrightarrow L^{p'}(X) + L^{q'}(X) \qquad \psi(z) = \frac{g(-)}{|g(-)|} |g(-)|^{r'} \left(\frac{1-z}{p'} + \frac{z}{q'}\right) \mathbbm{1}_{\{|g| > 0\}} \\ H: \overline{\Omega} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \qquad \qquad H(z) := \int_{L^1} \phi(z) \psi(z) d\mu. \end{split}$$

???

Step 3. For 
$$\xi \in [L^p(X), L^q(X)]_{\theta}$$
, show that  $\|\xi\|_{\theta} = \|\xi\|_{L^r}$ .

Finally, we state the main theorem of this section. The inclusion 1.1 is a natural corollary of Theorem 1.15.

**Theorem 1.15** (Abstract Riesz-Thorin). Given  $E_0$ ,  $E_1$ ;  $F_0$ ,  $F_1$  two pairs of Banach spaces as before????,  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Suppose  $T : E_0 + E_1 \longrightarrow F_0 + F_1$  is linear with

$$T(E_0) \subseteq F_0, \quad T(E_1) \subseteq F_1,$$

then

$$T([E_0, E_1]_{\theta}) \subseteq [F_0, F_1]_{\theta}.$$

Moreover, if  $T|_{E_0}$ ,  $T|_{E_1}$  are bounded, then  $T|_{E_{\theta}}$  is bounded, and

$$||T||_{\theta} \le ||T||_{0}^{1-\theta} ||T||_{1}^{\theta}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $xi \in [E_0, E_1]_{\theta}$ , we need to show  $T(\xi) \in [F_0, F_1]_{\theta}$ , and give an estimation of  $T(\xi)$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $f \in \mathcal{H}(E_0, E_1)$ ,  $\bar{f} = \xi$  such that

$$\|\xi\|_{\theta} \le \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \|\xi\|_{\theta} + \varepsilon.$$

$$\sum_{C} a_i \, \mathbb{1}_{A_i}$$

where all  $A_i$  are measurable sets with finite measure.

 $<sup>^{1}\</sup>mathrm{For}$  simple functions, we mean the function of form

Then 
$$T(f) \in \mathcal{H}(F_0, F_1) \ (\Rightarrow T(\xi) \in [F_0, F_1]_{\theta})$$
, and 
$$M_0(T(f)) \leq \|T\|_0 M_0(f) \qquad M_1(T(f)) \leq \|T\|_1 M_1(f)$$
$$\Longrightarrow M_{\theta}(T(f)) \leq \|T\|_0^{1-\theta} \|T\|_1^{\theta} M_0(f)^{1-\theta} M_1(f)^{\theta}$$
$$\leq \|T\|_0^{1-\theta} \|T\|_1^{\theta} (\|\xi\|_{\theta} + \varepsilon)$$

Let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we get the bound.

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bonn, Bonn, 53115, Germany,  $Email\ address:$ email:xx352229@mail.ustc.edu.cn