Copyright and License: This document is (c) Copyright 2021, Edward C. Zimmermann.

It is provided under the "Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) <u>License</u>". This means that you are free to share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and/or adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially) this handbook under the terms that you give fair attribution. You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made. You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

The basis of the parser is to support the paradigm defined within ISO-8601:2019 and EDTF (the Extended Date Time Format) through a number of common date expressions including national expressions and those frequently found in emails and other electronic documents.

Introduction to the formats

ISO 8601 (various editions), RFC 2822 and RFC 3339 are standards for date and time representation covering the formatting of date and time (with or without possible fractional seconds) and timezone info. They are widely used.

NOTE: ISO 8601:2004 has been superseded by ISO 8601:2019. It is curently in the process of being adopted by a number of national standardards organizations (and has already been adopted by, among others, DIN, BSI)

ISO-8601:2019

Predecessors:

- ISO 2014:1976 (all-numeric dates)
- ISO 2015:1976 (week numbering)
- ISO 2711:1973 (ordinal date numbering)
- ISO 3307:1975 (representations of time of the day)
- ISO 4031:1978 (time differentials)

These standards were all superseded by the first ISO 8601, ISO 8601:1988.

And subsequently ISO 8601 has been updated multiple times:

- ISO 8601:1988
- ISO 8601:1988/Cor 1:1991
- ISO 8601:2000
- ISO 8601:2004

ISO 8601:2004 was in need of revision and improvement. A group centered around the US Library of Congress (including the author) worked over a period of serveral years to develop a date/time standard that filled in some of the features that many within, especially the bibliographic community, felt were sorely needed such as years beyond 4-digits, negative years, specifying moving days, recurring events, seasons, uncertainty and precision. That word the EDTF (Extended Date Time Format) came to form the basis of the new standard.

ISO 8601:2019

Of particular significance the new editions (which is effectively a new standard) contains:

- a concept of precision
- uncertain or approximate dates, or dates with portions unspecified;
- extended time intervals;

- divisions of a year;
- sets and choices of calendar dates;
- grouped time scale units;
- repeat rules for recurring time intervals; and
- date and time arithmetic.

It is now in two parts. Part 1 specifies basic rules. It functionally more or less corresponds the the previous standard but has been heavily rewritten (>80%). Part 2 (wholly new) specifies extensions, including community profiles to specify conformance levels for use of which rules.

Fundamentally from the perspective of activities such as search where data comparison is required, it represents a paradigm shift. The W3C, OASIS and their ilk view of dates are really timestamps assuming a common reference written in a consistent manner following a specific ISO-8601 expression cookie-cut. This is wholly ill-suited to task. The new 8601 revision now views dates and times as having an implicit (or explicit) accuracy, precision and readability just as the other standards of weights and measures. It also includes vagueness, uncertainty, wildcards and missing information.

Part 1 Basic Rules

The main changes of interest are representing positive leap seconds as [23:59:60Z] and disallowing the value "24" for hour, so that there is no "end of day" overlap with the beginning of the day [00:00.00Z]. The extended format date times have separators (YYYY-MM-DDThh:mm:ss.i). The basic format date times are without separators, except that basic times always start with "T". Year is ordinarily an ordinal between 0000 and 9999, however expanded representations allow larger and negative years by mutual agreement. A time may include a decimal fraction (seperated using comma or period) in the lowest order time scale component.

A complete representation of duration can be expressed as a combination of units with designators starting with "P": PYYMMDDTHHMMSS. By mutual agreement the basic and extended formats prefixed with "P" can be used.

In Part 2 Extensions many extensions are provided. EDTF functionality has now been integrated into ISO 8601-2019. For level 2, It adds the ablity to express years with exponents, and to specify number of significant digits; divisions of a year into seasons, quarters, semestrals and quadrimesters; and the ability to specify multiple dates with {braces}, one of a set with [square brackers], or a time interval with an unknown (blank) or open (double dot ..) start or end.

The code fragement does not support the full ISO-8601:2019 including extensions but does include large bits as well as its own extensions—many from the involvement of it's main author in the creation of the EDTF standardized that formed the 2019 revision of the 8601 standard. Of significant is the algorithm for matching dates (and times). While timestamps can be easily extended to assumed precision (and accuracy can be rationalized out of the equation) for general date and time expressions this is not possible. Observing that the range of timezones alone throughout the word surpasses the 24 hours in a day means that without an expression of time against a standard or geospatial location two expressions of date with an expression of day may refer to the same instant

despite being on different days. The Line Islands (part of Kiribati) is UTC+14. The timezone of Baker and Howland Islands is UTC-12. Wall clocks in Kiritimati and Howland always read 2 hours apart but on two distant separate days—they are 26 hours apart!

A common error is ETL pipelines is to try to resolve date expressions as-if they were timestamps. All too often they get resolved to the local time where the pipeline runs. A date such as 22 August 2021 would get encoded according to the local time, e.g. 20:19 (Munich) or resolved in UTC as 18:20. As one can see, however, an expression of 20210822T18:20:20Z for an event that gets processed on a machine in Tokyo using the same algorithm would get 20210823T03:20:20Z. As timestamps they clearly don't match. Even as a range, the one processed in Munich gets 2021-08-22 while the Tokyo process gets 2021-08-23. The process of "cleaning" the data in the pipeline did not clean anything but added noise and error. What date did the US land on the moon? Ask any schoolchild? 20. July 1969. 20. Juli 1969, 20:17:40 UTC. But that was 21th July in Tokyo! The moral of this story is that we can't add information we don't have. If we don't know or are uncertain of the time we can't just fill in. ISO-8601:2019 goes even a step further as it even allows for dates to have uncertain or even unknown values—values that may be corrected in the future or filled-in once additional information is available.

ISO-8601:2019 Part-2 extensions:

In ISO-8601:2019 extensions there are two levels defined: 1 and 2.

Approximate (~)

An estimate that is assumed to be possibly correct, or close to correct, where "closeness" may be dependent on specific application.

Uncertain (?)

We are not sure of the value of the variable (in our case date or time). Uncertainty is independent of precision. The source of the information may itself not be reliable, or we may face several values and not enough information to discern between them. For example we may be uncertain as to the year, or month, or day of an event etc.

The character '%' (per-cent) is used to mean both uncertain and approximate.

Level 1: '?,' '~', or '%' may only occur at the end of the date string, and it applies to the entire date. Level 2: '?', '~', and '%' may be used to qualify a portion of the date

Unspecified (X) – in earlier versions of EDTF the character 'u'

The value is not stated. The point in time may be unspecified because it did not occur yet, because it is classified, unknown or for any other reason.

Level 1: 'X' may be substituted for the right-most digits, e.g. day, day and month, ...

Level 2: 'X' may be used as a replacement for any character in the string.

The X does not alter the precision of the expression. It remains the same. The symbol is just a placeholder. Expressions, for example, '19XX' represents a year: an unspecified year within the range of years 1900 to 1999. Note this is slightly different from a year within the 20th century as that expression has a century precision and may contains dates outside of that range.

The expression may be combined with an expression of approximate and/or uncertainty as well.

Before and/or after (..)

Level 1: Not available

Level 2: .." (two dots) may be used before a date to denote "before or on the date" or after a date to denote "on this date or after".

Extended Year Formats (beyond year 9999)

'Y' may be used at the beginning of the date string to signify that the date is a year, when (and only when) the year exceeds four digits, i.e. for years later than 9999 or earlier than -9999.

Significant Digits (available only in Level-2)

A year is followed by 'S', followed by a positive integer, the integer indicates the number of significant digits for the expressed year.

Example: "1950S2" := Some year between 1900 and 1999, estimated to be 1950.

Seasons (Year Divisions)

Level 1: The values 21, 22, 23, 24 may be used to signify 'Spring', 'Summer', 'Autumn', 'Winter', respectively. Example: 2020-21 for Spring 2020

These values are from the perspective of the "reporter" and don't respect their location. But since Summer is the Southern Hemisphere maps to Winter in the Northern we have in Level-2 additionally:

- 25-28 = Spring Northern Hemisphere, Summer- Northern Hemisphere, Autumn Northern Hemisphere, Winter Northern Hemisphere
- 29-32 = Spring Southern Hemisphere, Summer– Southern Hemisphere, Autumn Southern Hemisphere
- 33-36 = Quarter 1, Quarter 2, Quarter 3, Quarter 4 (3 months each)
- 37-39 = Quadrimester 1, Quadrimester 2, Quadrimester 3 (4 months each)

Decade (available in Level-2 only)

Format: YYY Example: 196 (1960-1969) Example: 196~ (approximate decade)

Note: the expression 196 expresses what is commonly called the "1960s". It does not refer to an event within the interval defined from 1 Jan 1960 to 31 Dec 1969 but refers to a singular event viewed with decade precision.

Century (YY)

The ISO-8601:2019 specification DOES NOT address named centuries such as the "18th century" nor does it take a position on the meaning of a named century. Generally in ISO-8601 "century" is an expression limited to date ranges and is not applied to dates (only day, year and decade precision is explicitly defined by the standard). An expression YY refers to the hundred year time interval consisting of years beginning with those two digits.

In the parser we have two classes. One for dates and one for date ranges. In contrast to ISO-8601:2019 we also support YY as an explicit expression of year in the current, previous of next century depending upon a business logic that uses the value and the current year to resolve to a "best guess" of the intended year. For the expression of an interval century we instead expect expressions such as '1900/1999' or an expression explicitly passed to the date range parser.

To express centuries (our extension) we use expressions such as 18th century, 18 j.h (German for century), 18ème siècle etc. Analogous to year, century does not refer to an event within the 100 year interval defined from 1 Jan of 00 but refers to a singular event viewed with the precision associated with century (currently year but set to change to century once agreement is reached on what it means).

NOTE: the parser currently views centuries with year precision as this was the convention agreed among the EDTF community—and ISO-8601:2019 completely evaded the discussion.

RFC-3339

The RFC is the IETF standard for "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps".

Is a profile (subset) of ISO 8601:1988. Its only "extension" is that it permits the space separator for time, e.g. 2021-10-09 01:23:00Z

Many products that claim ISO-8601 (typically without an edition listed) don't but are more or less based on this RFC.

RFC-2822/RFC-822 Dates

The RFC is the IETF standard for "Internet Message Format".

Date and time occur in several email header fields. This section specifies the syntax for a full date and time specification.

```
date-time = [ day-of-week "," ] date FWS time [CFWS]
day-of-week = ([FWS] day-name) / obs-day-of-week
day-name = "Mon" / "Tue" / "Wed" / "Thu" /
    "Fri" / "Sat" / "Sun"
date = day month year
year = 4*DIGIT / obs-year
month = (FWS month-name FWS) / obs-month
```

```
"Jan" / "Feb" / "Mar" / "Apr" /
month-name
                         "May" / "Jun" / "Jul" / "Aug" /
                         "Sep" / "Oct" / "Nov" / "Dec"
day
                         ([FWS] 1*2DIGIT) / obs-day
                         time-of-day FWS zone
time
time-of-dav
                        hour ":" minute [ ":" second ]
hour
                         2DIGIT / obs-hour
minute
                        2DIGIT / obs-minute
                         2DIGIT / obs-second
second
                         (( "+" / "-" ) 4DIGIT) / obs-zone
zone
```

For month-name we support a number of other languages.

Parser

The date parser is relatively complicated and less than straightfoward due to a great extent due to its ambition to handle the large range of date formats and semantics in widespread use. Internally the parser attempts to store dates in a manner consistent with ISO-8601:2019 (as well as some features of EDTF that did not make it into the ISO standard). Signifcant date formats that are parsed are, among others, RFC-2822 dates (and some derivates) as commonly used in electronic mails as well as "common" (and typically not well defined and/or ambiguous formats are found in many countries such as M/D/[YY]YY, DD/MM/[YY]YY, [YY]YY-MM-DD, DD.MM.[YY]YY etc.

- The date parser for long date names understand only the following languages: English, French, German (and Austrian variants), Spanish, Italian and Polish. Adding additional languages is straightfoward: see date.cxx
- Valid dates included are also the national numerical only (non-ISO) standards using the '-'. '.' and '/' styles of notation. Dates are either intrinsically resolved or should they be ambiguous using the locale. In a date specified as 03/28/2019, its clear that the 28 refers to day of the month, while an expression such as 03-03-23 is ambiguous. Sometimes the use of a dash, slash or period has a semantic difference but sometimes they are used interchangeably. In Sweden for instance the '-' notation is generally used as Year Month Day (e.g. 99-12-31) while in the US it is written as Month Day Year and in much of the European continent its Day Month Year. In Britain both Month Day Year and from the end of the 19th Century Day Month Year are, aligning with the Continent, commonly encountered. In the UK, in fact, all of the following forms 31/12/99, 31.12.99, 31.xii.99 and 1999-12-31 are encountered. With years in YYYY notation or with NN > 31 its clear that it can't be day of the month just as NN > 12 can't be the number of the month.
- In two digit YY specified years the year is resolved as following:

Current Year	Two Digit Year	Year RR Format
Last Two Digits	Specified	Returns
0-49	0-49	Current Century
50-99	0-49	One Century after current
0-49	50-99	One Century before current

50-99 Current Century

Unix Model: Year starts at 70 for 1970

00-68 2000-2068 69-99 1969-1999

- The parser understands precision of day, month, year
- The parser understands BC and BCE dates, e.g. "12th century b.c."

The parser supports number of special reserved terms for dates such as "Today", "Now", "Yesterday", "Last Week", "Past Month", "14 days ago" etc. Inputs such as 2/10/2010 are ambiguous and should they be desired added as local formats (See next section).

Relative dates controlled vocabulary

"Today" := the LOCAL date (of the server) without time (day precision).

"Yester[day|week|month|year]" := the past X (X precision) from the point of view of the local date/time where X is one of day, week, month or year (e.g. Yesterday is the day before today in day precision)

"Tomorrow" := the day after today (day precision)

"This day" := Today

"This Month" := the current month (LOCAL) in month precision

"This year" :? the current year (LOCAL) in year precision.

Now or Present -- the date/time at the moment the word is parsed.

[Last|Past] [NNN] Sec[onds]|Min[utes]|H[ours]|Day[s]|Week[s]|Month[s]|Year[s]|Decade[s]| Millennium [Ago|Past]

Last|Past&nsp;Sun[day]|Mon[day]|Tue[sday]|Wed[nesday]|Thu[rsday]|Fri[day]|Sat[urday]

Examples: "14 hours ago", "6 days past", "Last year", "Past month", "200 minutes ago", "Last Monday"

Note: "Yesterday" is date precision while "Past Day" is date/time precision. The two also might refer to two different days due to the time difference between local time (from the perspective of the server) and UTC/GMT: "Past Day" is based upon the date/time as per UTC/GMT while Today, Yesterday and Tomorrow are based upon "local" time.

Note also that "14 days ago" defines a date as well as method of comparison based upon day precision---thus something different from 335 hours ago or 2 weeks ago. Last year, resp. month etc., each define a precision of year, resp. month etc.

The prefix "*End of*" is interpreted as the end of the period.

The sequel in date ranges: NNNNs (as in "1950s") NNNN century (as in "19th century", "19 Jh." etc) Past|Last [NNN] Seconds|Minutes|Hours|Days|Weeks|Months|Years|Decades|Millennium Last|Past Sun[day]|Mon[day]|Tue[sday]|Wed[nesday]|Thu[rsday]|Fri[day]|Sat[urday]

Examples: "Past 14 days", "Past 24 hours", "Past month", "Since last Friday" NOTE: The prefix words "Within", "during" and "the" are skipped, e.g. "Within the past day" is reduced to "Past day".

Since means from then until now. Since last week means from the start of last week to now. "Last week, by contrast, means only the days of last week (Sunday through Sat.). Date ranges, in contrast to dates, are precise to time but start at the beginning and end at the end of their respective unit, e.g. last month starts at midnight of the first and ends just as midnight strikes on the last day of the month (in Oct. its the 31th day).

Local Extensions to handle "ambiguous" national formats

A number of local formats can be easily added via a "datemsk.ini" file. That file is quite simple and uses host-platform STRPTIME(3) function.

Precision

Precision is a measure of a range or interval within which the 'true' value exists. Precision is explicit in the date or date/time expression; if an event occurred in the year 1318, the precision is one year (it could occur at any time within this year). If we specify 1945-09-15, the precision is one day, etc. In EDTF we can extend this definition to a specify a decade or century precision using the X symbol - see discussion of masked precision above. In Level-2 of ISO-8601 we have an extention that explicitly expresses decade precision.

```
enum Date_Precision {
   UNKNOWN_DATE=0, BAD_DATE, CURRENT_DATE, MILENIUM_PREC, CENTURY_PREC, YEAR_PREC,
MONTH_PREC, DAY_PREC, HOUR_PREC, MIN_PREC, SEC_PREC
};
```

Storage Model

Internally dates are stored in two 32-bit words.

The d_date stores YYYY, YYYYMM or YYYYMMDD while d_rest stores HHMMSS, in FFFFF We store the d_prec (precision) in the upper FFF

```
d_prec = (d_rest & 0xFFF00000) >> 20;
d_time = (d_rest & 0x000FFFFF);
```

In the future to support extended year ranges (beyond +-9999) we'll move to 64-bit words for d date.

We store the dates as integers DDMMYYYY. So the date 20 Jan 2021 is stored as 20012021. Since the max. year in this model is 9999 we can calculate by 9999+1 or modulo 10000 for year.

From this its easy to strip out the elements from a date x:

Year := x%10000
Month := (x/1000)%100
Day:= (x/1000000)%100
Wday:= (_to_julian (x) + 2) % 7)
where _to_julian(x) returns the conversion to Julian date.
Triming precision is thus easy:

Iriming precision is thus easy:

MonthPrecision := ((x) - Day*1000000)

YearPrecision:= Year

Date Comparisons/Matching

Central to the code is the problem: When do dates/times match?

Dates follow the rules of least precision comparison. A date query for 2001 matches, for example, 20010911 (11 Sept. 2001) since the event encoded by "2001" can be any instant within the whole year (as measured with date precision). It could technically even match 20020101.

While >19 as a string is equivalent to 19* and matches 199 or even 19x as a numerical field all values > 19, e.g. 20, 21, 22, 23 etc.

The function is handled in the parser by the Compare method which trims to the least precise of two dates that are compared and returns:

```
enum Date_Match {
   MATCH_ERROR=-1, BEFORE, BEFORE_DURING, DURING_EQUALS, DURING_AFTER, AFTER
};
```

Central to understanding matching is the idea of precision to try to answer the question: Can the two date expressions refer to the same event but by different measurements?

To better explain the concept of precision (as introduced in ISO-8601:2019) I wrote in the EDTF list:

```
On Thu, 2 Dec 2010 15:53:40 -0500, Ray Denenberg wrote

> Ed - Your argument for 196u in favor of [1060....1969] certainly

> convinces me that I would rather use an analog than digital

> thermometer if I am seeking accuracy and repeatability. And that I

Digital display technology makes them nearly always more readable than analog. This is the case for not just measure of temperature, weights and lengths but also time.

Early mass market battery powered digital clocks from Asia were notoriously inaccurate. While cheap mains powered clocks used the line frequency (50Hz or 60-Hz) these used little more than a simple RC-oscillator--- the first LED watch, the Pulsar, used a 32.768 Khz crystal or 2^15 but cost $1500 USD in 1970--- and a counter. LCD made it possible for cheap driver circuits so
```

there were even some cheap LCD stop-watches sold in the early 1980s that even offered 1/10th second readability. If anyone here recalls them they were extremely cheap (under \$1 USD in Asia) but often lost (I seem to remember them always running slow) as much as 5 min. day (despite xtal).

Compare now to the readability, repeatability and accuracy of a COSC certified chronometer: it can have, at best, 1 second readability but repeatability of better than +-5 seconds/day and accuracy better than +-10 seconds/day (which in the extreme case adds up 1 hour/year 365*10 sec = 3650/60 min).

Many wristwatches don't have seconds hands and thus provide readability of only 1 minute. Some of these have good higher frequency xtal controlled (CMOS low power) movements and are are thus much more accurate than any COSC certified chronometer: accuracy up to +-1 min/year (in other words accuracy and precision within a year are in the same units).

In 1967 the General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM) adopted the atomic definition of the second as the unit of time interval. The second is defined as the duration of 9192631770 cycles of radiation corresponding to the transition between two energy levels of the caesium-133 atom.

There used to be something called "Normed Railway Time" (Normalzeit). In Germany the national railway operated a system of clocks built around Lavet stepping motors (today the system is still in operation but is now driven by DCF77 radio, e.g. national time reference). These clocks were readable to 1 second. They were accurate (against their own reference) and repeatable to the speed to electricity to transfer the pulses which put it at under 1 second.

I've mentioned the Hebrew date/time system. Its based upon an astronomical model: the motion of the Sun. While the Babylonians had a concept of "seconds" and sub-seconds (dividing things) the Babylonians measured (and reported time) using a double-hour lasting 120 modern minutes, a time-degree lasting four modern minutes, and a barleycorn lasting 3 1/3 modern seconds. One could talk about 1/60th of a barleycorn but there was no means to measure it.

This brings us back to the origin of precise and accurate modern second measurements. This can be credited to Huygens who first suggested the use of a pendulum, viz. the linkage of time with the force of gravity (constant at any given spot on the planet).

What we have now is gravity, decay of caesium-133 and the motion of the Sun across the Meridan.. AND we have measurement of time given my people against some reference clock near an event.. e.g. 1865-05-15T7:22 Washington DC time for the death of Lincoln.

In Judaism there is even geospatial issues for some date/events. Purim, for example, is celebrated in all places that were surrounded by walls at the time of Yehoshua as in Shushan (Susa, Iran) on 14 Adar and elsewhere on the 15 Adar. Thus in Jerusalem Purim is 14 but elsewhere the 15th. To distinguish the two one can speak of Purim De'Prazot and Purim De'Mukafot but generally the indication of day, 14 or 15, is implicitly set by the location of either the speaker or listener in Jerusalem.

I've been talking about measuring the current time. Going the other way.. We often measure the age of things in the lab using Radiocarbon dating. The interesting thing about carbon dating is that its level of uncertainty is relatively low and does not increase linearly in time. The precision of a radiocarbon date is within a few hundred years.

Like the doctors noting what they assume to be the date/time of of Lincoln's death we also have for Biblical events a chronology specified in the Torah. The Torah gives us dates with much higher precision than Radiocarbon dating and the Torah itself can be calculated as being "given" on Mount Sinai 3323 years ago.

We have another form of dating which I'll call empirical dating. Its relevant to bibliographic recording. One does not know when, in fact, something was published but makes a good "educated guess" with a scientific consensus. These dates are not "questionable".. but they may change (in contrast to dates determined by Biblical chronology) as new states of information and knowledge emerge.

We will now agree that also for time systems we have differences in readability, repeatability and accuracy and that higher readability does not mean higher repeatability nor repeatability mean accuracy-- which itself is really a measure against a reference (and includes its own model or paradigm)--- etc.