



# **Competitor's Guide: Prizes and Rules**

## Contents

The Pr	izes	-
1.	Three Major Prizes	2
2.	Student Prize	:
3.	Full Reproducibility Prize	4
	Prediction Intervals Prize	
Foreca	sting Horizons	
	etaset	
	enchmarks	
Factor	s Affecting Forecasting Accuracy	-







### The Prizes

There will be six Prizes awarded to the winners of the M4 Competition. The exact cash amounts to be granted (at present standing at 27,000€) will depend on securing additional sponsors, announced later. Proportionally, the total amount of 20,000€ generously provided by the University of Nicosia will be distributed as follows:

Prize	Description	Percentage (%)	
1 <sup>st</sup> Prize	Best performing method according to OWA	45	
2 <sup>nd</sup> Prize	Second-best performing method according to OWA	20	
3 <sup>rd</sup> Prize	Third-best performing method according to OWA	10	
Prediction Intervals Prize	Best performing method according to MSIS	25	
The UBER Student Prize	Best performing method among student	5,000€	
THE OBEN Student Filze	competitors according to OWA	3,000€	
The Amazon Prize	The best reproducible forecasting method	2 000£	
THE AHIAZOH PHZE	according to OWA	2,000€	

Additionally, the global taxi technology company UBER will generously award a special Student Prize of 5,000€ to the student with the most accurate forecasting method according to OWA and Amazon will generously award 2,000€ for the best Reproducible forecasting method.

There are no restrictions in collecting more than one prize.

### 1. Three Major Prizes

There will be three major Prizes for the First, Second and Third winner of the competition who will be selected based on the performance of the participating methods according to the *Overall Weighted Average (OWA)* of two accuracy measures: the Mean Absolute Scaled Error (*MASE*<sup>1</sup>) and the symmetric Mean Absolute Percentage Error (*sMAPE*<sup>2</sup>). The individual measures are calculated as follows:

$$sMAPE = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{t=1}^{h} \frac{2|Y_t - \widehat{Y}_t|}{|Y_t| + |\widehat{Y}_t|}$$

$$MASE = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{h} |Y_{t} - \widehat{Y}_{t}|}{\frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{t=m+1}^{n} |Y_{t} - Y_{t-m}|}$$

Where  $Y_t$  is the post sample value of the time series at point t,  $\hat{Y_t}$  the estimated forecast, h the forecasting horizon and m the frequency of the data (i.e., 12 for monthly series).

An example for computing the OWA is presented below using the MASE and sMAPE of the M3 Competition methods:

Divide all Errors by that of Naïve 2 to obtain the Relative MASE and the Relative sMAPE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> S. Makridakis, M. Hibon (2000). The M3-Competition: results, conclusions and implications. International Journal of Forecasting, 16 (4), 451-476





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> R. J. Hyndman, A. B. Koehler (2006). Another look at measures of forecast accuracy. International Journal of Forecasting 22(4), 679-688



Compute the OWA by averaging the Relative MASE and the Relative sMAPE as it is shown in the table below

Forecasting Method	MASE	Rank (MASE)	Relative MASE	sMAPE	Rank (sMAPE)	Relative sMAPE	OWA	Rank (OWA)
THETA	1.395	1	0.827	12.762	1	0.840	0.834	1
ForecastPro	1.422	2	0.844	13.088	3	0.861	0.852	2
ForcX	1.441	3	0.855	13.130	4	0.864	0.859	3
Comb S-H-D	1.467	6	0.870	13.056	2	0.859	0.865	4
DAMPEN	1.466	5	0.870	13.279	5	0.874	0.872	5
AutoBox2	1.484	7	0.881	13.284	6	0.874	0.877	6
PP-Autocast	1.523	10	0.904	13.600	7	0.895	0.899	7
HOLT	1.507	8	0.894	13.777	9	0.906	0.900	8
B-J auto	1.512	9	0.897	13.819	10	0.909	0.903	9
WINTER	1.544	15	0.916	13.719	8	0.903	0.909	10
Auto-ANN	1.530	11	0.908	13.921	12	0.916	0.912	11
ARARMA	1.531	12	0.909	13.981	14	0.920	0.914	12
Flors-Pearc1	1.549	16	0.919	13.963	13	0.919	0.919	13
ROBUST- Trend	1.537	13	0.912	14.098	15	0.927	0.920	14
SMARTFCS	1.457	4	0.864	15.390	21	1.012	0.938	15
AutoBox3	1.633	19	0.969	13.913	11	0.915	0.942	16
THETAsm	1.594	18	0.946	14.286	16	0.940	0.943	17
AutoBox1	1.540	14	0.914	14.843	18	0.976	0.945	18
RBF	1.574	17	0.934	15.464	22	1.017	0.976	19
Flors-Pearc2	1.665	21	0.988	14.742	17	0.970	0.979	20
Single	1.659	20	0.985	14.881	19	0.979	0.982	21
Naïve 2	1.685	22	1.000	15.201	20	1.000	1.000	22
Naïve 1	1.787	23	1.060	15.701	23	1.033	1.047	23

Note that MASE and sMAPE are first estimated per series by averaging the error computed per forecasting horizon and then averaged again across the 3003 time series to compute their value for the whole dataset. On the other hand, OWA is computed only once at the end for the whole sample, as shown in the Table above.

In the above example, the most accurate method with the smallest OWA, that would have won the first prize, is Theta; the second most accurate one is ForecastPro, that would have won the second prize, while the third most accurate one is ForcX, that would have won the third prize.

The code for computing the OWA is available on GitHub.

#### 2. Student Prize

A prize will be awarded to the student of the best performing method according to OWA.







### 3. Full Reproducibility Prize

The prerequisite for the Full Reproducibility Prize will be that the code used for generating the forecasts, with the exception of companies providing forecasting services and those claiming proprietary software, will be put on <u>GitHub</u>, not later than 10 days after the end of the competition (i.e., the 10<sup>th</sup> of June, 2018). In addition, there must be instructions on how to exactly reproduce the M4 submitted forecasts. In this regard, individuals and companies will be able to use the code and the instructions provided, crediting the person/group that has developed them, to improve their organizational forecasts.

Companies providing forecasting services and those claiming proprietary software will have to provide the organizers with a detailed description of how their forecasts were made and a source, or execution file for reproducing their forecasts for 100 randomly selected series. Given the critical importance of objectivity and replicability, such description and file will be mandatory for participating in the Competition. An execution file can be submitted in case that the source program needs to be kept confidential, or, alternatively, a source program with a termination date for running it.

The code for reproducing the results of the 4Theta method, submitted by the Forecasting & Strategy Unit, was put on GitHub on 21-12-2017. This method will not be considered for any of the Prizes.

#### 4. Prediction Intervals Prize

The M4 Competition adopts a 95% Prediction Interval (PI) for estimating the uncertainty around the point forecasts. The performance of the generated PI will be evaluated using the Mean Scaled Interval Score (MSIS³) as follows:

$$\mathbf{MSIS} = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{h} (U_t - L_t) + \frac{2}{a} (L_t - Y_t) \mathbf{1} \{Y_t < L_t\} + \frac{2}{a} (Y_t - U_t) \mathbf{1} \{Y_t > U_t\}}{\frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{t=m+1}^{n} |Y_t - Y_{t-m}|}$$

Where L and U are the Lower and Upper bounds of the prediction intervals, Y are the future observations of the series,  $\alpha$  is the significance level and  $\mathbf{1}$  is the indicator function (being 1 if Y is within the postulated interval and 0 otherwise). Given that forecasters will be asked to generate 95% prediction intervals,  $\alpha$  is set to 0.05.

An example for computing the MSIS is presented below using the prediction intervals generated by two different methods for 18-step-ahead forecasts:

- > A penalty is calculated for each method at the points where the future values are outside the specified bounds
- The width of the prediction interval adds up to the penalty, if any, to get the IS.
- > The IS estimated at the individual points are averaged to get the MIS value.
- MIS is scaled by dividing its value with the mean absolute seasonal difference of the series (here 200).
- After estimating MSIS for all the M4 Competition series, its average value is computed to evaluate the total performance of the method.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> T. Gneiting, A. E. Raftery (2007). Strictly Proper Scoring Rules, Prediction, and Estimation. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 102 (477), 359-378.







Forecasting Horizon	L <sub>1</sub>	Uı	L <sub>2</sub>	U <sub>2</sub>	Υ	Penalty <sub>1</sub>	Penalty <sub>2</sub>	IS <sub>1</sub>	IS <sub>2</sub>
1	289	938	297	865	654	0	0	649	568
2	266	923	304	873	492	0	0	657	569
3	313	992	312	880	171	5680	5640	6359	6208
4	238	949	319	888	342	0	0	711	569
5	224	1008	327	895	591	0	0	784	568
6	209	1014	334	903	672	0	0	805	569
7	206	1040	342	910	465	0	0	834	568
8	175	1041	349	918	255	0	3760	866	4329
9	164	1067	357	926	864	0	0	903	569
10	150	1078	364	933	768	0	0	928	569
11	138	1094	372	941	672	0	0	956	569
12	120	1104	379	948	519	0	0	984	569
13	109	1121	387	956	519	0	0	1012	569
14	96	1133	395	963	591	0	0	1037	568
15	83	1146	402	971	480	0	0	1063	569
16	70	1157	410	978	564	0	0	1087	568
17	58	1170	417	986	579	0	0	1112	569
18	46	1182	425	993	423	0	80	1136	648
							MIS	1216	1095
							MSIS	6.08	5.48

# Forecasting Horizons

The number of forecasts required by each method is 6 for yearly data, 8 for quarterly, 18 for monthly, 13 for weekly, 14 for daily and 48 for hourly. The accuracy measures are computed for each horizon separately and then combined to cover, in a weighted fashion, all horizons together for each of the two accuracy measures (MASE and sMAPE).

## The dataset

The M4 consists of 100,000 time series of Yearly, Quarterly, Monthly and Other (Weekly, Daily and Hourly) data. The minimum number of observations is 13 for yearly, 16 for quarterly, 42 for monthly, 80 for weekly, 93 for daily and 700 for hourly series.

The 100,000 time series of the dataset come mainly from the Economic, Finance, Demographics and Industry areas, while also including data from Tourism, Trade, Labor and Wage, Real Estate, Transportation, Natural Resources and the Environment.

The M4 Competition series, as those of the M-1 and M-3, aim at representing the real world as much as possible. The series were selected randomly from a database of 900,000 ones on December 28, 2017.







Professor Makridakis chose the seed number for generating the random sample that determined the M4 Competition data. Some pre-defined filters were applied beforehand to achieve some desired characteristics, such as the length of the series, the percentage of Yearly, Quarterly, Monthly, Weekly, Daily, and Hourly data, as well as their type (Micro, Macro, Finance, Industry, Demographic, Other).

Below is the number of time series based on their frequency and type:

Frequency	Demographic	Finance	Industry	Macro	Micro	Other	Total
Yearly	1,088	6,519	3,716	3,903	6,538	1,236	23,000
Quarterly	1,858	5,305	4,637	5,315	6,020	865	24,000
Monthly	5,728	10,987	10,017	10,016	10,975	277	48,000
Weekly	24	164	6	41	112	12	359
Daily	10	1,559	422	127	1,476	633	4,227
Hourly	0	0	0	0	0	414	414
Total	8,708	24,534	18,798	19,402	25,121	3,437	100,000

You can download the dataset <u>here</u>. There you may also find additional information regarding the type, the frequency and the number of forecasts required per series.

In brief, the **M4-Info.csv** file provides the following information:

- **M4id**: The id of the time series. This is used as a reference. For instance, "Y100" corresponds to the 100th series of the Yearly data.
- Category: The type of the time series (e.g. Macro, Micro, Financial etc.)
- **Frequency**: The frequency of the time series considered. This corresponds to the *m* value used for estimating MASE. Note that this does not mean that different or multiple seasonality cannot be considered by the competitors.
- **Horizon**: The forecasting horizon, i.e., the number of periods ahead for which the competitors need to generate forecasts.
- **SP**: The Seasonal Period (e.g. Yearly, Monthly, Weekly etc.)

The **M4DataSet.rar** file contains the historical data for training a forecasting model. A separate file is given per data frequency. The first row displays the M4id, while the rest contain the historical data. No timestamp is provided.

#### The Benchmarks

There will be ten benchmark methods, eight used in the M3 Competition and two extra ones based on ML concepts. As these methods are well known, readily available and straightforward to apply, the accuracy of the new ones proposed in the M4 Competition must provide superior accuracy in order to be adopted and used in practice (taking also into account the computational time it would be required to utilize a more accurate method versus the benchmarks whose computational requirements are minimal).

- 1. *Naïve* 1  $F_{t+1} = Y_t i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m$
- 2. Seasonal Naïve Forecasts are equal to the last known observation of the same period.
- 3. **Naïve 2** like *Naïve 1* but the data is seasonally adjusted, if needed, by applying classical multiplicative decomposition (R stats package). A 90% autocorrelation test is performed, when using the R package, to decide whether the data is seasonal.
- 4. **Simple Exponential Smoothing (S)** (ses() function from v8.2 of the forecast package for R ). Seasonality is considered like in Naïve 2.







- 5. *Holt's Exponential Smoothing (H)* (holt() function from v8.2 of the forecast package for R ). Seasonality is considered like in Naïve 2.
- 6. **Dampen Exponential Smoothing (D)** (holt() function from v8.2 of the forecast package for R ). Seasonality is considered like in Naïve 2.
- 7. **Combining S-H-D** The arithmetic average of methods 4, 5 and 6.
- 8. **Theta** As applied to the M3 competition data. ( $\theta$ =2, seasonal adjustments like in Naïve 2, and SES applied using the ses() function from v8.2 of the forecast package for R).
- 9. **MLP** A perceptron of a very basic architecture and parameterization (developed in Python using the Scikit library v0.19.1 available on GitHub)
- 10. **RNN** A recurrent network of a very basic architecture and parameterization (developed in Python using the Keras v2.0.9 and TensorFlow v1.4.0 libraries available on GitHub)

The code for generating the forecasts of the benchmarks mentioned above is available on GitHub.

Note that the benchmarks are not eligible for a prize, meaning that the total amount of prizes will be distributed among the competing participants even if some benchmark could perform better than the forecasts submitted by the participants.

# **Factors Affecting Forecasting Accuracy**

The M4 would provide a unique opportunity to identify the factors affecting forecasting accuracy. Having 100,000 series, with an average of 12 forecasts for each, more than 100 forecasting methods and 2 accuracy measures would result in about a quarter of a billion data points. Data analytics will be applied to discover patterns and relationships, exploiting the findings to enrich our understanding of forecasting accuracy and the factors that affect it.



