# MiniSail

Mark P. Wassell, University of Cambridge

February 12, 2021

# Contents

1	Intr	roduction	5
2	Prel 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8	Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs Freshness via equivariance Additional simplification rules Additional equivariance lemmas Freshness lemmas Freshness and support for subsets of variables The set of free variables of an expression Other useful lemmas	66 77 88 88 10 111 113
3	<b>Synt</b> 3.1	tax Program Syntax	<b>19</b>
	5.1	3.1.1 Datatypes	19
		3.1.2 Lemmas	22
	3.2	Context Syntax	32
		3.2.1 Datatypes	32
		3.2.2 Functions and Lemmas	32
		3.2.3 Immutable Variable Context Lemmas	35
		3.2.4 Mutable Variable Context Lemmas	39
		3.2.5 Lookup Functions	39
4	Imn	nutable Variable Substitution	42
	4.1	Class	42
	4.2	Values	43
	4.3	Expressions	44
	4.4	Expressions in Constraints	47
	4.5	Constraints	49
	4.6	Variable Context	52
	4.7	Types	53
	4.8 4.9	Mutable Variable Context	60 62
	4.9		67
		Variable Context	71
		Lookup	71

<b>5</b>	Basic Type Variable Substitution 73					
	5.1	Class				
	5.2	Base Type				
	5.3	Value				
	5.4	Constraints Expressions				
	5.5	Constraints				
	5.6	Types				
	5.7	Expressions				
	5.8	Statements				
	5.9	Function Type				
	5.10	Contexts				
		5.10.1 Immutable Variables				
		5.10.2 Mutable Variables				
6	Wol	lformed Terms 107				
U	6.1	Definitions				
	0.1	Definitions				
7	Refi	nement Constraint Logic 119				
	7.1	Evaluation and Satisfiability				
		7.1.1 Valuation				
		7.1.2 Evaluation base-types				
		7.1.3 Wellformed Evaluation				
		7.1.4 Evaluating Terms				
		7.1.5 Satisfiability				
	7.2	Validity				
	7.3	Lemmas				
	7.4	Syntax Lemmas				
	7.5	Type Definitions				
	7.6	Function Definitions				
8	Wal	Iformedness Lemmas 133				
0	8.1	Prelude				
	8.2	Strong Elimination				
	8.3	Context Extension				
	8.4	Context Extension				
	8.5	Converting between wb forms				
	8.6	Support				
	8.7	Freshness				
	8.8	Misc				
	8.9	Context Strengthening				
		Type Definitions				
	0.10	8.10.1 Simple				
		8.10.1 Simple				
	Q 11	•				
		Equivariance Lemmas				
		Lookup				
		Weakening 109				

	8.15	Forms	203
	8.16	Replacing	205
	8.17	Substitution	213
9	Typ	e System	233
	9.1	Subtyping	
	9.2	Literals	
	9.3	Values	
	9.4	Introductions	
	9.5	Expressions	
	9.6	Statements	
	9.7	Programs	
10	One	erational Semantics	245
10	_	Reduction Rules	
		Reduction Typing	
	10.2	Reduction Typing	240
11			<b>251</b>
		Lemmas	
		Existence of evaluation	
		Satisfiability	
		Substitution for Evaluation	
	11.5	Validity	
		11.5.1 Weakening and Strengthening	
		11.5.2 Updating valuation	
		Base Type Substitution	
	11.7	Expression Operator Lemmas	294
f 12	Тур	ing Lemmas	308
	12.1	Subtyping	308
	12.2	Literals	324
	12.3	Values	326
	12.4	Expressions	335
	12.5	Statements	341
		Replacing Variables	
	12.7	Additional Elimination and Intros	353
		12.7.1 Values	353
		12.7.2 Expressions	355
	12.8	Weakening	
		12.8.1 Weakening Immutable Variable Context	364
13	Con	text Subtyping Lemmas	370
10		• • •	370
		Validity and Subtyping	
		Literals	
		Values	
		Expressions	
	10.0		· · ·

	13.6 Statements	387
14	Immutable Variable Substitution Lemmas	394
	14.1 Proof Methods	394
	14.2 Misc	394
	14.3 Context	395
	14.4 Satisfiability	396
	14.5 Validity	397
	14.6 Subtyping	
	14.7 Values	403
	14.8 Expressions	407
	14.9 Statements	418
15	Base Type Variable Substitition Lemmas	430
16	Safety	445
	16.1 Operational Semantics	445
	16.2 Preservation	
	16.2.1 Function Application	
	16.2.2 Operators	
	16.2.3 Let Statements	
	16.2.4 Other Statements	
	16.2.5 Main Lemma	
	16.3 Progress	
		-4/8

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

Syntax and Semantics of MiniSail. This is a kernel language for Sail, an instruction set architecture specification language. The idea behind this language is to capture the key and novel features of Sail in terms of their syntax, typing rules and operational semantics and to confirm that they work together by proving progress and preservation lemmas. We use the Nominal2 library to handle binding.

### Chapter 2

### Prelude

Some useful generic lemmas. Many of these are from Launchbury. Nominal-Utils.

#### 2.1 Lemmas helping with equivariance proofs

```
lemma perm-rel-lemma:
  assumes \bigwedge \pi x y. r(\pi \cdot x)(\pi \cdot y) \Longrightarrow r x y
 shows r (\pi \cdot x) (\pi \cdot y) \longleftrightarrow r x y (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
by (metis (full-types) assms permute-minus-cancel(2))
lemma perm-rel-lemma2:
 assumes \bigwedge \pi \ x \ y. \ r \ x \ y \Longrightarrow r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y)
 shows r \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow r \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot y) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
by (metis\ (full-types)\ assms\ permute-minus-cancel(2))
lemma fun-eqvtI:
 assumes f-eqvt[eqvt]: (\bigwedge p \ x. \ p \cdot (f \ x) = f \ (p \cdot x))
 shows p \cdot f = f by perm-simp rule
lemma eqvt-at-apply:
 assumes eqvt-at f x
 shows (p \cdot f) x = f x
by (metis\ (hide-lams,\ no-types)\ assms\ eqvt-at-def\ permute-fun-def\ permute-minus-cancel(1))
lemma eqvt-at-apply':
 assumes eqvt-at f x
 shows p \cdot f x = f (p \cdot x)
by (metis (hide-lams, no-types) assms eqvt-at-def)
lemma eqvt-at-apply ":
 assumes eqvt-at f x
 shows (p \cdot f) (p \cdot x) = f (p \cdot x)
by (metis\ (hide-lams,\ no-types)\ assms\ eqvt-at-def\ permute-fun-def\ permute-minus-cancel(1))
lemma size-list-eqvt[eqvt]: p \cdot \text{size-list } f x = \text{size-list } (p \cdot f) (p \cdot x)
proof (induction x)
```

```
case (Cons x xs)
have f x = p \cdot (f x) by (simp add: permute-pure)
also have ... = (p \cdot f) (p \cdot x) by simp
with Cons
show ?case by (auto simp add: permute-pure)
qed simp
```

#### 2.2 Freshness via equivariance

```
lemma eqvt-fresh-cong1: (\bigwedge p \ x. \ p \cdot (f \ x) = f \ (p \cdot x)) \Longrightarrow a \ \sharp \ x \Longrightarrow a \ \sharp \ f \ x
 apply (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app[of f])
 apply (rule eqvtI)
 apply (rule eq-reflection)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (metis permute-fun-def permute-minus-cancel(1))
 apply assumption
  done
lemma eqvt-fresh-cong2:
 assumes eqvt: (\bigwedge p \ x \ y. \ p \cdot (f \ x \ y) = f \ (p \cdot x) \ (p \cdot y))
 and fresh1: a \sharp x and fresh2: a \sharp y
 shows a \sharp f x y
proof-
 have eqvt (\lambda (x,y). f x y)
   using eqvt
   apply -
   apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
   apply (rule ext)
   apply auto
   by (metis\ permute-minus-cancel(1))
  moreover
  have a \sharp (x, y) using fresh1 fresh2 by auto
  ultimately
 have a \sharp (\lambda (x,y). f x y) (x, y) by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong1:
  assumes eqvt: (\bigwedge p \ x. \ p \cdot (f \ x) = f \ (p \cdot x))
  and fresh1: a \sharp * x
 shows a \sharp * f x
 by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong1 assms)
lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong2:
 assumes eqvt: (\bigwedge p \ x \ y. \ p \cdot (f \ x \ y) = f \ (p \cdot x) \ (p \cdot y))
 and fresh1: a \sharp * x and fresh2: a \sharp * y
 shows a \sharp * f x y
 by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong2 assms)
lemma eqvt-fresh-cong3:
 assumes eqvt: (\bigwedge p \ x \ y \ z. \ p \cdot (f \ x \ y \ z) = f \ (p \cdot x) \ (p \cdot y) \ (p \cdot z))
  and fresh1: a \sharp x and fresh2: a \sharp y and fresh3: a \sharp z
```

```
shows a \sharp f x y z
proof-
  have eqvt (\lambda (x,y,z). f x y z)
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{eqvt}
   apply -
   apply (auto simp add: eqvt-def)
   apply (rule ext)
   apply auto
   by (metis\ permute-minus-cancel(1))
  moreover
  have a \sharp (x, y, z) using fresh1 fresh2 fresh3 by auto
 ultimately
 have a \sharp (\lambda (x,y,z). f x y z) (x, y, z) by (rule fresh-fun-eqvt-app)
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma eqvt-fresh-star-cong3:
  assumes eqvt: (\bigwedge p \ x \ y \ z. \ p \cdot (f \ x \ y \ z) = f \ (p \cdot x) \ (p \cdot y) \ (p \cdot z))
  and fresh1: a \sharp * x and fresh2: a \sharp * y and fresh3: a \sharp * z
 shows a \sharp * f x y z
 by (metis fresh-star-def eqvt-fresh-cong3 assms)
```

#### 2.3 Additional simplification rules

```
lemma not-self-fresh[simp]: atom x \sharp x \longleftrightarrow False

by (metis fresh-at-base(2))

lemma fresh-star-singleton: \{x\} \sharp * e \longleftrightarrow x \sharp e

by (simp add: fresh-star-def)
```

### 2.4 Additional equivariance lemmas

```
lemma eqvt-cases:
 fixes f x \pi
 assumes eqvt: \bigwedge x. \pi \cdot f x = f (\pi \cdot x)
 obtains f x f (\pi \cdot x) \mid \neg f x \neg f (\pi \cdot x)
  using assms[symmetric]
  by (cases f x) auto
lemma range-eqvt: \pi \cdot range \ Y = range \ (\pi \cdot Y)
  unfolding image-eqvt UNIV-eqvt ..
lemma case-option-eqvt[eqvt]:
  \pi \cdot case-option d f x = case-option (\pi \cdot d) (\pi \cdot f) (\pi \cdot x)
 \mathbf{by}(cases\ x)(simp-all)
lemma supp-option-eqvt:
  supp\ (case-option\ d\ f\ x) \subseteq supp\ d\ \cup\ supp\ f\ \cup\ supp\ x
 apply (cases x)
 apply (auto simp add: supp-Some)
 \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{metis} \ (\mathit{mono-tags}) \ \mathit{Un-iff} \ \mathit{subsetCE} \ \mathit{supp-fun-app})
```

#### done

```
lemma funpow-eqvt[simp,eqvt]:
 \pi \cdot ((f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a :: pt) \hat{ } \hat{ } n) = (\pi \cdot f) \hat{ } \hat{ } (\pi \cdot n)
 apply (induct \ n)
 apply simp
 apply (rule ext)
 apply simp
 apply perm-simp
 apply simp
 done
lemma delete-eqvt[eqvt]:
 \pi \cdot AList.delete \ x \ \Gamma = AList.delete \ (\pi \cdot x) \ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)
by (induct \Gamma, auto)
lemma restrict-eqvt[eqvt]:
  \pi \cdot AList.restrict \ S \ \Gamma = AList.restrict \ (\pi \cdot S) \ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)
unfolding AList.restrict-eq by perm-simp rule
lemma supp-restrict:
 supp \ (AList.restrict \ S \ \Gamma) \subseteq supp \ \Gamma
by (induction \Gamma) (auto simp add: supp-Pair supp-Cons)
lemma clearjunk-eqvt[eqvt]:
 \pi \cdot AList.clearjunk \ \Gamma = AList.clearjunk \ (\pi \cdot \Gamma)
 by (induction \Gamma rule: clearjunk.induct) auto
lemma map-ran-eqvt[eqvt]:
 \pi \cdot map\text{-}ran f \Gamma = map\text{-}ran (\pi \cdot f) (\pi \cdot \Gamma)
by (induct \ \Gamma, \ auto)
lemma dom-perm:
  dom \ (\pi \cdot f) = \pi \cdot (dom \ f)
 unfolding dom-def by (perm-simp) (simp)
lemmas dom\text{-}perm\text{-}rev[simp,eqvt] = dom\text{-}perm[symmetric]
lemma ran-perm[simp]:
 \pi \cdot (ran f) = ran (\pi \cdot f)
 unfolding ran-def by (perm-simp) (simp)
lemma map-add-eqvt[eqvt]:
 \pi \cdot (m1 ++ m2) = (\pi \cdot m1) ++ (\pi \cdot m2)
  unfolding map-add-def
 by (perm-simp, rule)
lemma map-of-eqvt[eqvt]:
  \pi \cdot map\text{-}of \ l = map\text{-}of \ (\pi \cdot l)
 apply (induct l)
 apply (simp add: permute-fun-def)
 apply \ simp
```

```
apply perm-simp
 apply auto
 done
lemma concat-eqvt[eqvt]: \pi \cdot concat \ l = concat \ (\pi \cdot l)
  by (induction \ l)(auto \ simp \ add: \ append-eqvt)
lemma tranclp-eqvt[eqvt]: \pi \cdot tranclp \ P \ v_1 \ v_2 = tranclp \ (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot v_1) \ (\pi \cdot v_2)
  unfolding tranclp-def by perm-simp rule
lemma rtranclp-eqvt[eqvt]: \pi \cdot rtranclp \ P \ v_1 \ v_2 = rtranclp \ (\pi \cdot P) \ (\pi \cdot v_1) \ (\pi \cdot v_2)
  unfolding rtranclp-def by perm-simp rule
lemma Set-filter-eqvt[eqvt]: \pi \cdot Set.filter P S = Set.filter (\pi \cdot P) (\pi \cdot S)
  unfolding Set. filter-def
 by perm-simp rule
lemma Sigma-eqvt'[eqvt]: \pi \cdot Sigma = Sigma
  apply (rule ext)
 apply (rule ext)
 apply (subst permute-fun-def)
 apply (subst permute-fun-def)
  unfolding Sigma-def
 apply perm-simp
 apply (simp add: permute-self)
  done
lemma override-on-eqvt[eqvt]:
 \pi \cdot (override - on \ m1 \ m2 \ S) = override - on \ (\pi \cdot m1) \ (\pi \cdot m2) \ (\pi \cdot S)
 by (auto simp add: override-on-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{card}\text{-}\mathit{eqvt}[\mathit{eqvt}]\text{:}
  \pi \cdot (card S) = card (\pi \cdot S)
by (cases finite S, induct rule: finite-induct) (auto simp add: card-insert-if mem-permute-iff permute-pure)
lemma Projl-permute:
 assumes a: \exists y. f = Inl y
 shows (p \cdot (Sum\text{-}Type.projl\ f)) = Sum\text{-}Type.projl\ (p \cdot f)
using a by auto
lemma Projr-permute:
 assumes a: \exists y. f = Inr y
 shows (p \cdot (Sum\text{-}Type.projr f)) = Sum\text{-}Type.projr (p \cdot f)
using a by auto
         Freshness lemmas
2.5
```

```
lemma fresh-list-elem:
assumes a \sharp \Gamma
and e \in set \Gamma
```

```
shows a \sharp e
using assms
by(induct \ \Gamma)(auto \ simp \ add: fresh-Cons)
lemma set-not-fresh:
 x \in set L \Longrightarrow \neg(atom \ x \ \sharp \ L)
  by (metis fresh-list-elem not-self-fresh)
lemma pure-fresh-star[simp]: a \sharp * (x :: 'a :: pure)
  by (simp add: fresh-star-def pure-fresh)
lemma supp-set-mem: x \in set L \Longrightarrow supp x \subseteq supp L
 by (induct L) (auto simp add: supp-Cons)
lemma set-supp-mono: set L \subseteq set L2 \Longrightarrow supp L \subseteq supp L2
  by (induct L)(auto simp add: supp-Cons supp-Nil dest:supp-set-mem)
lemma fresh-star-at-base:
  fixes x :: 'a :: at\text{-}base
 shows S \sharp * x \longleftrightarrow atom \ x \notin S
 by (metis\ fresh-at-base(2)\ fresh-star-def)
2.6
          Freshness and support for subsets of variables
lemma supp-mono: finite (B::'a::fs\ set) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow supp\ A \subseteq supp\ B
 by (metis infinite-super subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union)
lemma fresh-subset:
 finite B \Longrightarrow x \sharp (B :: 'a :: at\text{-}base \ set) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow x \sharp A
 by (auto dest:supp-mono simp add: fresh-def)
lemma fresh-star-subset:
 finite B \Longrightarrow x \sharp * (B :: 'a :: at\text{-base set}) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow x \sharp * A
 by (metis fresh-star-def fresh-subset)
lemma fresh-star-set-subset:
  x \sharp * (B :: 'a :: at\text{-}base \ list) \Longrightarrow set \ A \subseteq set \ B \Longrightarrow x \sharp * A
 by (metis fresh-star-set fresh-star-subset[OF finite-set])
2.7
          The set of free variables of an expression
definition fv :: 'a::pt \Rightarrow 'b::at\text{-}base \ set
 where fv \ e = \{v. \ atom \ v \in supp \ e\}
lemma fv\text{-}eqvt[simp,eqvt]: \pi \cdot (fv \ e) = fv \ (\pi \cdot e)
 unfolding fv-def by simp
lemma fv-Nil[simp]: fv [] = {}
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Nil)
lemma fv-Cons[simp]: fv(x \# xs) = fv x \cup fv xs
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Cons)
```

```
lemma fv-Pair[simp]: fv(x, y) = fv x \cup fv y
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-Pair)
lemma fv-append[simp]: fv(x @ y) = fv x \cup fv y
  by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-append)
lemma fv-at-base[simp]: fv a = \{a::'a::at-base\}
 by (auto simp add: fv-def supp-at-base)
lemma fv-pure[simp]: fv(a::'a::pure) = \{\}
 by (auto simp add: fv-def pure-supp)
lemma fv\text{-}set\text{-}at\text{-}base[simp]: fv\ (l::('a::at\text{-}base)\ list) = set\ l
 by (induction l) auto
lemma flip-not-fv: a \notin fv \ x \Longrightarrow b \notin fv \ x \Longrightarrow (a \leftrightarrow b) \cdot x = x
  by (metis flip-def fresh-def fv-def mem-Collect-eq swap-fresh-fresh)
lemma fv-not-fresh: atom x \not \parallel e \longleftrightarrow x \not \in fv \ e
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def by blast
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{fresh-fv: finite} \ (\textit{fv} \ e \ :: \ 'a \ set) \implies \ \textit{atom} \ (x \ :: \ ('a :: at-base)) \ \sharp \ (\textit{fv} \ e \ :: \ 'a \ set) \longleftrightarrow \ \textit{atom} \ x \ \sharp \ e
  unfolding fv-def fresh-def
 by (auto simp add: supp-finite-set-at-base)
lemma finite-fv[simp]: finite (fv (e::'a::fs) :: ('b::at-base) set)
proof-
  have finite (supp \ e) by (metis \ finite-supp)
  hence finite (atom - `supp e :: 'b set)
    apply (rule finite-vimageI)
    apply (rule\ inj\text{-}onI)
    apply (simp)
    done
 moreover
 have (atom - `supp e :: 'b set) = fv e  unfolding fv-def by auto
 ultimately
 show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
definition fv-list :: 'a::fs \Rightarrow 'b::at-base list
 where fv-list e = (SOME \ l. \ set \ l = fv \ e)
lemma set-fv-list[simp]: set (fv-list e) = (fv e :: ('b::at-base) set)
proof-
 have finite (fv e :: 'b set) by (rule finite-fv)
  from finite-list[OF finite-fv]
  obtain l where set l = (fv e :: 'b set)...
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding fv-list-def by (rule someI)
qed
lemma fresh-fv-list[simp]:
  a \sharp (\mathit{fv-list}\ e :: 'b::at\text{-}base\ \mathit{list}) \longleftrightarrow a \sharp (\mathit{fv}\ e :: 'b::at\text{-}base\ \mathit{set})
proof-
 have a \sharp (\mathit{fv-list}\ e :: 'b::at\text{-}base\ list) \longleftrightarrow a \sharp \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{fv-list}\ e :: 'b::at\text{-}base\ list)
```

```
by (rule fresh-set[symmetric]) also have ... \longleftrightarrow a \sharp (fv \ e :: 'b :: at\text{-}base \ set) by simp finally show ?thesis. qed
```

#### 2.8 Other useful lemmas

```
lemma pure-permute-id: permute p = (\lambda \ x. \ (x::'a::pure))
 by rule (simp add: permute-pure)
lemma supp-set-elem-finite:
 assumes finite S
 and (m::'a::fs) \in S
 and y \in supp \ m
 shows y \in supp S
 using assms supp-of-finite-sets
 by auto
lemmas fresh-star-Cons = fresh-star-list(2)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mem-permute-set}\colon
 shows x \in p \cdot S \longleftrightarrow (-p \cdot x) \in S
 by (metis mem-permute-iff permute-minus-cancel(2))
lemma flip-set-both-not-in:
 assumes x \notin S and x' \notin S
 shows ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot S) = S
 unfolding permute-set-def
 by (auto) (metis assms flip-at-base-simps(3))+
lemma inj-atom: inj atom by (metis atom-eq-iff injI)
lemmas image-Int[OF inj-atom, simp]
lemma eqvt-uncurry: eqvt f \implies eqvt \ (case-prod \ f)
 unfolding eqvt-def
 by perm-simp simp
lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt2:
 assumes a: eqvt f
 shows supp (f x y) \subseteq supp x \cup supp y
proof-
 from supp-fun-app-eqvt[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]
 have supp (case-prod f(x,y)) \subseteq supp (x,y).
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: supp-Pair)
qed
lemma supp-fun-app-eqvt3:
 assumes a: eqvt f
 shows supp (f x y z) \subseteq supp x \cup supp y \cup supp z
proof-
 from supp-fun-app-eqvt2[OF eqvt-uncurry [OF a]]
```

```
have supp (case-prod f(x,y) z) \subseteq supp(x,y) \cup supp z.
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: supp-Pair)
qed
lemma permute-\theta[simp]: permute \theta = (\lambda x. x)
 by auto
lemma permute-comp[simp]: permute x \circ permute \ y = permute \ (x + y) by auto
lemma map-permute: map (permute p) = permute p
 apply rule
 apply (induct-tac \ x)
 apply auto
 done
lemma fresh-star-restrictA[intro]: a \sharp * \Gamma \Longrightarrow a \sharp * AList.restrict V \Gamma
 by (induction \Gamma) (auto simp add: fresh-star-Cons)
lemma Abs-lst-Nil-eq[simp]: [[]] lst. (x::'a::fs) = [xs] lst. x' \longleftrightarrow (([],x) = (xs, x'))
 apply rule
 apply (frule Abs-lst-fcb2[where f = \lambda x y - . (x,y) and as = [] and bs = xs and c = ()])
 apply (auto simp add: fresh-star-def)
 done
lemma Abs-lst-Nil-eq2[simp]: [xs]lst. (x::'a::fs) = [[]]lst. x' \longleftrightarrow ((xs,x) = ([], x'))
 by (subst eq-commute) auto
lemma prod-cases8 [cases type]:
 obtains (fields) a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h where y = (a, \ b, \ c, \ d, \ e, f, \ g, h)
 by (cases y, case-tac g) blast
lemma prod-induct8 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h. \ P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)) \Longrightarrow P \ x
 by (cases \ x) \ blast
lemma prod-cases9 [cases type]:
 obtains (fields) a b c d e f g h i where y = (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)
 by (cases y, case-tac h) blast
lemma prod-induct9 [case-names fields, induct type]:
 (\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ d \ e \ f \ g \ h \ i. \ P \ (a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i)) \Longrightarrow P \ x
 by (cases x) blast
named-theorems nominal-prod-simps
named-theorems ms-fresh Facts for helping with freshness proofs
lemma fresh-prod2[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b)
```

```
using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod3[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c)
  using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod4 [nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c \land x \sharp d)
  using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod5 [nominal-prod-simps, ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c \land x \sharp d \land
x \ddagger e
 using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod6[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e,f) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c \land x \sharp d
\wedge x \sharp e \wedge x \sharp f
 using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod7[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e,f,g) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c \land x \sharp
d \wedge x \sharp e \wedge x \sharp f \wedge x \sharp g
 using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod8[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c \land x
\sharp d \wedge x \sharp e \wedge x \sharp f \wedge x \sharp g \wedge x \sharp h
  using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod9[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c \land
x \sharp d \wedge x \sharp e \wedge x \sharp f \wedge x \sharp g \wedge x \sharp h \wedge x \sharp i)
 using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod10[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp c
\wedge x \sharp d \wedge x \sharp e \wedge x \sharp f \wedge x \sharp g \wedge x \sharp h \wedge x \sharp i \wedge x \sharp j)
 using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
lemma fresh-prod12[nominal-prod-simps,ms-fresh]: x \sharp (a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,i,j,k,l) = (x \sharp a \land x \sharp b \land x \sharp
c \wedge x \sharp d \wedge x \sharp e \wedge x \sharp f \wedge x \sharp g \wedge x \sharp h \wedge x \sharp i \wedge x \sharp j \wedge x \sharp k \wedge x \sharp l)
 using fresh-def supp-Pair by fastforce
\mathbf{lemmas}\ fresh-prod N = fresh-prod 3\ fresh-prod 4\ fresh-prod 5\ fresh-prod 6\ fresh-prod 7\ fresh-prod 8
fresh-prod9 fresh-prod10 fresh-prod12
lemma fresh-prod2I:
 fixes x and x1 and x2
  assumes x \sharp x1 and x \sharp x2
 shows x \sharp (x1,x2) using fresh-prod2 assms by auto
lemma fresh-prod3I:
  fixes x and x1 and x2 and x3
  assumes x \sharp x1 and x \sharp x2 and x \sharp x3
 shows x \sharp (x1,x2,x3) using fresh-prod3 assms by auto
lemma fresh-prod4I:
```

```
fixes x and x1 and x2 and x3 and x4
  assumes x \sharp x1 and x \sharp x2 and x \sharp x3 and x \sharp x4
 shows x \sharp (x1,x2,x3,x4) using fresh-prod4 assms by auto
lemma fresh-prod 5I:
  fixes x and x1 and x2 and x3 and x4 and x5
  assumes x \sharp x1 and x \sharp x2 and x \sharp x3 and x \sharp x4 and x \sharp x5
 shows x \sharp (x1,x2,x3,x4,x5) using fresh-prod5 assms by auto
lemma flip-collapse[simp]:
  fixes b1::'a::pt and bv1::'b::at and bv2::'b::at
 assumes atom bv2 \sharp b1 and atom \ c \sharp (bv1,bv2,b1) and bv1 \neq bv2
  shows (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot b1 = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1
proof -
 have c \neq bv1 and bv2 \neq bv1 using assms by auto+
 hence (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) + (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) + (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) using flip-triple [of c bv1 bv2] flip-commute
by metis
 hence (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 using permute-plus by metis
 thus ?thesis using assms flip-fresh-fresh by force
qed
lemma triple-eqvt[simp]:
 p \cdot (x, b, c) = (p \cdot x, p \cdot b, p \cdot c)
proof -
 have (x,b,c) = (x,(b,c)) by simp
  thus ?thesis using Pair-eqvt by simp
qed
lemma lst-fst:
  fixes x::'a::at and t1::'b::fs and x'::'a::at and t2::'c::fs
  assumes ([[atom x]]lst. (t1,t2) = [[atom x']]lst. (t1',t2'))
  shows ([[atom \ x]] lst. \ t1 = [[atom \ x']] lst. \ t1')
  have (\forall c. \ atom \ c \ \sharp \ (t2,t2') \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \sharp \ (x,\,x',\,t1,\,t1') \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1')
  \mathbf{proof}(rule, rule, rule)
    fix c::'a
    assume atom c \sharp (t2,t2') and atom c \sharp (x, x', t1, t1')
    hence atom c \sharp (x, x', (t1,t2), (t1',t2')) using fresh-prod2 by simp
    thus (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t1' using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) Pair-eqvt by simp
  thus ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of x t1 x' t1' (t2,t2')] by simp
ged
lemma lst-snd:
  fixes x::'a::at and t1::'b::fs and x'::'a::at and t2::'c::fs
  assumes ([[atom \ x]]lst. (t1,t2) = [[atom \ x']]lst. (t1',t2'))
 shows ([[atom x]]lst. t2 = [[atom x']]lst. t2')
proof -
  have (\forall c. \ atom \ c \ \sharp \ (t1,t1') \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \sharp \ (x,x',\ t2,\ t2') \longrightarrow (x\leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2 = (x'\leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2')
```

```
proof(rule, rule, rule)
   fix c::'a
   assume atom c \sharp (t1,t1') and atom c \sharp (x, x', t2, t2')
   hence atom c \sharp (x, x', (t1,t2), (t1',t2')) using fresh-prod2 by simp
   thus (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2 = (x' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot t2' using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) Pair-eqvt by simp
 thus ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of x t2 x' t2' (t1,t1')] by simp
qed
lemma lst-head-cons-pair:
 fixes y1::'a::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
 assumes [[atom \ y1]]lst. (x1 \# xs1) = [[atom \ y2]]lst. (x2 \# xs2)
 shows [[atom \ y1]]lst. \ (x1,xs1) = [[atom \ y2]]lst. \ (x2,xs2)
\mathbf{proof}(subst\ Abs1-eq\text{-}iff\text{-}all(3)[of\ y1\ (x1,xs1)\ y2\ (x2,xs2)],rule,rule,rule)
 fix c::'a
 assume atom c \sharp (x1 \# xs1, x2 \# xs2) and atom c \sharp (y1, y2, (x1, xs1), x2, xs2)
 thus (y1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (x1, xs1) = (y2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (x2, xs2) using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by auto
qed
lemma lst-head-cons-neq-nil:
 fixes y1::'a::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
 assumes [[atom \ y1]]lst. (x1 \ \# \ xs1) = [[atom \ y2]]lst. (xs2)
 shows xs2 \neq []
proof
 assume as:xs2 = []
 thus False using Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of y1 x1#xs1 y2 Nil] assms as by auto
lemma lst-head-cons:
 fixes y1::'a::at and y2::'a::at and x1::'b::fs and x2::'b::fs and xs1::('b::fs) list and xs2::('b::fs) list
 assumes [[atom \ y1]]lst. (x1 \# xs1) = [[atom \ y2]]lst. (x2 \# xs2)
 shows [[atom\ y1]]lst.\ x1\ = [[atom\ y2]]lst.\ x2\ and\ [[atom\ y1]]lst.\ xs1\ = [[atom\ y2]]lst.\ xs2
 using lst-head-cons-pair lst-fst lst-snd assms by metis+
lemma lst-pure:
 fixes x1::'a ::at and t1::'b::pure and x2::'a ::at and t2::'b::pure
 assumes [[atom \ x1]]lst. \ t1 = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ t2
 shows t1=t2
 using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) pure-fresh flip-fresh-fresh
 by (metis\ Abs1-eq(3)\ permute-pure)
sledgehammer-params[debug=true,timeout=600]
lemma lst-supp:
assumes [[atom \ x1]]lst. \ t1 = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ t2
shows supp \ t1 - \{atom \ x1\} = supp \ t2 - \{atom \ x2\}
proof -
have supp ([[atom \ x1]]lst.t1) = supp ([[atom \ x2]]lst.t2) using assms by auto
thus ?thesis using Abs-finite-supp
  by (metis assms empty-set list.simps(15) supp-lst.simps)
```

#### $\mathbf{qed}$

```
lemma lst-supp-subset:
   assumes [[atom\ x1]]lst. t1 = [[atom\ x2]]lst. t2 and supp\ t1 \subseteq \{atom\ x1\} \cup B shows supp\ t2 \subseteq \{atom\ x2\} \cup B using assms\ lst-supp by fast

lemma projl-inl-eqvt:
   fixes \pi :: perm
   shows \pi \cdot (projl\ (Inl\ x)) = projl\ (Inl\ (\pi \cdot x))
unfolding projl-def\ Inl-eqvt by simp
```

 $\mathbf{sledgehammer\text{-}params}[\textit{debug=true}, \textit{timeout=600}, \textit{provers=cvc4} \textit{spass} \textit{evampire z3}, \textit{isar-proofs=true}, \textit{smt-proofs=false}, \textit{smt-proofs=f$ 

## Chapter 3

# Syntax

Syntax of MiniSail and contexts

### 3.1 Program Syntax

#### 3.1.1 Datatypes

| L-bitvec bit list

```
type-synonym num-nat = nat
atom-declx
atom-declu
atom-decl bv
type-synonym f = string
type-synonym dc = string
type-synonym tyid = string
Basic types
nominal-datatype b =
  B-int
 | B-bool
  B-id tyid
  B-pair b b ([-,-]^b)
  B	ext{-}unit
 B	ext{-}bitvec
 B-var\ bv
| B-app tyid b
nominal-datatype bit = BitOne \mid BitZero
Literals
nominal-datatype l =
  L-num\ int
 | L-true
 L-false
 L-unit
```

Values. We include a type identifier, tyid, in the constructors to make typing and well-formedness checking easier

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textbf{nominal-datatype} & v = \\ & V\text{-}lit \ l & \left( \ [ \ - \ ]^v \right) \\ | \ V\text{-}var \ x & \left( \ [ \ - \ ]^v \right) \\ | \ V\text{-}pair \ v \ v & \left( \ [ \ - \ , \ - \ ]^v \right) \\ | \ V\text{-}cons \ tyid \ dc \ v \\ | \ V\text{-}consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ v \end{array}
```

**Binary Operations** 

```
\textbf{nominal-datatype} \ opp = Plus \ ( \ plus) \ | \ LEq \ (leq) \ | \ Eq \ (eq)
```

#### Expressions

```
nominal-datatype e =
```

#### Expressions for Constraints

```
nominal-datatype ce =
```

#### Constraints

```
nominal-datatype c =
```

#### Refined type

```
nominal-datatype \tau =
```

```
T-refined-type x::x \ b \ c::c binds x \ in \ c \ (\{-:-|-|\} \ [50, 50] \ 1000)
```

#### Statements

#### nominal-datatype

```
s =
 AS-val v
                                    ([-]^s)
                                        ((LET - = -IN -))
 AS-let x::x e s::s binds x in s
 AS\text{-}let2\ x{::}x\ \tau\ s\ s{::}s\ \mathbf{binds}\ x\ \mathbf{in}\ s\ \left(\ (LET\ \hbox{-}:\ \hbox{-}=\ \hbox{-}\ IN\ \hbox{-})\right)
 AS-if v s s
                                   ((IF - THEN - ELSE -) [0, 61, 0] 61)
 AS-var u::u \tau v s::s  binds u in s ((VAR -: - = -IN -))
 AS-assign u v
                                     ((-::=-))
 AS\text{-}match\ v\ branch\text{-}list
                                       ((MATCH - WITH \{-\}))
 AS-while s s
                                     ((WHILE - DO \{-\}) [0, 0] 61)
 AS-seq s s
                                    ((-;;-) [1000, 61] 61)
AS-assert c s
                                     ((ASSERT - IN -))
and branch-s =
 AS-branch dc x::x s::s binds x in s ( (-- \Rightarrow -))
and branch-list =
                                      ( { - } )
( ( - | - ))
 AS-final branch-s
| AS-cons branch-s branch-list
Function and union type definitions
nominal-datatype fun-typ =
    AF-fun-typ x::x \ b \ c::c \ \tau::\tau \ s::s \ \mathbf{binds} \ x \ \mathbf{in} \ c \ \tau \ s
nominal-datatype fun-typ-q =
    AF-fun-typ-some bv::bv ft::fun-typ binds bv in ft
  \mid AF-fun-typ-none fun-typ
nominal-datatype fun-def =
    AF-fundef f fun-typ-q
nominal-datatype type-def =
   AF-typedef string (string *\tau) list
   AF-typedef-poly string bv::bv \ dclist::(string * \tau) \ list \ binds \ bv \ in \ dclist
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{check-typedef-poly}\colon
 AF-typedef-poly "option" bv [ ("None", \{ zz : B-unit | TRUE \}), ("Some", \{ zz : B-var bv | TRUE \}
    AF-typedef-poly "option" bv2 [ ("None", { zz : B-unit | TRUE }), ("Some", { zz : B-var bv2 |
TRUE \}) ]
 by auto
nominal-datatype var-def =
   AV-def u \tau v
Programs
nominal-datatype p =
  AP-prog type-def list fun-def list var-def list s (PROG - - - -)
declare l.supp [simp] v.supp [simp] e.supp [simp] s-branch-s-branch-list.supp [simp] \tau.supp [simp]
c.supp [simp] b.supp [simp]
```

#### 3.1.2 Lemmas

#### Atoms

```
lemma x-not-in-u-atoms[simp]:
 fixes u::u and x::x and us::u set
 shows atom x \notin atom'us
 by (simp add: image-iff)
lemma x-fresh-u[simp]:
 fixes u::u and x::x
 shows atom x \sharp u
 by auto
lemma x-not-in-b-set[simp]:
 fixes x::x and bs::bv fset
 shows atom x \notin supp bs
 by(induct bs,auto, simp add: supp-finsert supp-at-base)
lemma x-fresh-b[simp]:
 fixes x::x and b::b
 shows atom x \, \, \sharp \, \, b
apply (induct b rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp)
 using pure-supp fresh-def by blast+
lemma x-fresh-bv[simp]:
 fixes x::x and bv::bv
 shows atom x \sharp bv
using fresh-def supp-at-base by auto
lemma u-not-in-x-atoms[simp]:
 fixes u::u and x::x and xs::x set
 shows atom u \notin atom `xs
 by (simp add: image-iff)
lemma bv-not-in-x-atoms[simp]:
 fixes bv::bv and x::x and xs::x set
 shows atom bv \notin atom`xs
 by (simp add: image-iff)
lemma u-not-in-b-atoms[simp]:
 fixes b :: b and u :: u
 shows atom u \notin supp b
 by (induct b rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp supp-at-base)
lemma u-not-in-b-set[simp]:
 fixes u::u and bs::bv fset
```

```
shows atom u \notin supp \ bs
by(induct bs, auto simp add: supp-at-base supp-finsert)
lemma u-fresh-b[simp]:
 fixes x::u and b::b
 shows atom x \sharp b
by(induct b rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-fresh)
\mathbf{lemma}\ supp-b-v-disjoint:
 fixes x::x and bv::bv
 shows supp (V-var x) \cap supp (B-var bv) = \{\}
 by (simp add: supp-at-base)
lemma supp-b-u-disjoint[simp]:
 fixes b::b and u::u
 shows supp \ u \cap supp \ b = \{\}
by(nominal-induct b rule:b.strong-induct,(auto simp add: pure-supp b.supp supp-at-base)+)
lemma u-fresh-bv[simp]:
 fixes u::u and b::bv
 shows atom u \sharp b
 using fresh-at-base by simp
Base Types
nominal-function b\text{-}of :: \tau \Rightarrow b where
  b\text{-}of \{ z : b \mid c \} = b
apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def b-of-graph-aux-def)
by (meson \ \tau.exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma supp-b-empty[simp]:
 fixes b :: b and x :: x
 shows atom x \notin supp b
 by (induct b rule: b.induct, auto simp: pure-supp supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set)
lemma flip-b-id[simp]:
 fixes x::x and b::b
 shows (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot b = b
 by(rule flip-fresh-fresh, auto simp add: fresh-def)
lemma flip-x-b-cancel[simp]:
 fixes x::x and y::x and b::b and bv::bv
 shows (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot b = b and (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot bv = bv
 using flip-b-id apply simp
 by (metis\ b.eq-iff(7)\ b.perm-simps(7)\ flip-b-id)
lemma flip-bv-x-cancel[simp]:
 fixes bv::bv and z::bv and x::x
 shows (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x = x using flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x z] fresh-at-base by auto
```

```
lemma flip-bv-u-cancel[simp]:
 fixes bv::bv and z::bv and x::u
 shows (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x = x using flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x z] fresh-at-base by auto
Literals
lemma supp-bitvec-empty:
 fixes bv::bit list
 shows supp \ bv = \{\}
proof(induct \ bv)
 case Nil
 then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
next
 case (Cons\ a\ bv)
 then show ?case using supp-Cons bit.supp
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) bit.strong-exhaust l.supp(5) sup-bot.right-neutral)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma bitvec-pure[simp]:
fixes bv::bit\ list\ and\ x::x
 shows atom x \sharp bv using fresh-def supp-bitvec-empty by auto
lemma supp-l-empty[simp]:
 fixes l:: l
 shows supp (V-lit l) = \{\}
 \mathbf{by}(nominal\text{-}induct\ l\ rule:\ l.strong\text{-}induct,
    auto simp add: l.strong-exhaust pure-supp v.fv-defs supp-bitvec-empty)
lemma type-l-nosupp[simp]:
 fixes x::x and l::l
 shows atom x \notin supp (\{ z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \})
 using supp-at-base supp-l-empty ce.supp(1) c.supp \tau.supp by force
lemma flip-bitvec\theta:
 fixes x::bit list
 assumes atom c \sharp (z, x, z')
 shows (z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x
proof -
 have atom z \sharp x and atom z' \sharp x
   using flip-fresh-fresh assms supp-bitvec-empty fresh-def by blast+
 moreover have atom c \sharp x using supp-bitvec-empty fresh-def by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms flip-fresh-fresh by metis
qed
lemma flip-bitvec:
 assumes atom c \sharp (z, L\text{-bitvec } x, z')
 shows (z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x
proof -
 have atom z \sharp x and atom z' \sharp x
   using flip-fresh-fresh assms supp-bitvec-empty fresh-def by blast+
 moreover have atom c \sharp x using supp-bitvec-empty fresh-def by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms flip-fresh-fresh by metis
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma type-l-eq:
 shows \{z:b\mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ l]^{ce}\} = (\{z':b\mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == [V-lit\ l]^{ce}\})
 by(auto,nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct,auto, metis permute-pure, auto simp add: flip-bitvec)
lemma flip-l-eq:
 fixes x::l
 shows (z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x
proof -
 have atom z \sharp x and atom c \sharp x and atom z' \sharp x
   using flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def supp-l-empty by fastforce+
  thus ?thesis using flip-fresh-fresh by metis
qed
lemma flip-l-eq1:
  fixes x::l
 assumes (z \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x = (z' \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x'
 shows x' = x
proof -
  have atom \ z \ \sharp \ x and atom \ c \ \sharp \ x' and atom \ c \ \sharp \ x and atom \ z' \ \sharp \ x'
   using flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def supp-l-empty by fastforce+
 thus ?thesis using flip-fresh-fresh assms by metis
qed
Types
lemma flip-base-eq:
 fixes b::b and x::x and y::x
 shows (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot b = b
 using b.fresh by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def)
Obtain an alpha-equivalent type where the bound variable is fresh in some term t
lemma has-fresh-z0:
fixes t::'b::fs
shows \exists z. \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (c',t) \land (\{z': b \mid c'\}\}) = (\{z: b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c'\}\})
proof -
  obtain z::x where fr: atom z \sharp (c',t) using obtain-fresh by blast
 moreover hence (\{ z' : b \mid c' \}) = (\{ z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \})
   using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff
   by (metis flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
lemma has-fresh-z:
fixes t::'b::fs
shows \exists z \ b \ c. \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ t \land \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} 
proof -
  obtain z' and b and c' where teq: \tau = (\{ z' : b \mid c' \}) using \tau.exhaust by blast
 obtain z::x where fr: atom z \sharp (t,c') using obtain-fresh by blast
  hence (\{ z' : b \mid c' \}) = (\{ z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \}) using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff
    flip\text{-}commute\ flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh\ fresh\text{-}PairD(1)\ } by (metis\ fresh\text{-}PairD(2))
 hence atom z \sharp t \wedge \tau = (\{ z : b \mid (z \leftrightarrow z') \cdot c' \}) using fr teq by force
```

```
thus ?thesis using teq fr by fast
\mathbf{lemma} obtain-fresh-z:
fixes t::'b::fs
obtains z and b and c where atom z \sharp t \land \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \}
 using has-fresh-z by blast
lemma has-fresh-z2:
fixes t::'b::fs
shows \exists z \ c. \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ t \land \tau = \{\!\!\{\ z : b \text{-} of \ \tau \mid c\ \!\!\}
proof -
 obtain z and b and c where atom z \sharp t \wedge \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \}  using obtain-fresh-z by metis
 moreover then have b-of \tau = b using \tau.eq-iff by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis using obtain-fresh-z \tau.eq-iff by auto
qed
lemma obtain-fresh-z2:
fixes t::'b::fs
obtains z and c where atom z \sharp t \land \tau = \{ z : b\text{-}of \tau \mid c \} \}
 using has-fresh-z2 by blast
Values
\mathbf{lemma}\ u\text{-}notin\text{-}supp\text{-}v[simp]\text{:}
 fixes u::u and v::v
 shows atom u \notin supp \ v
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ v\ rule:\ v.strong\text{-}induct)
 case (V-lit\ l)
 then show ?case using supp-l-empty by auto
next
 case (V - var x)
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: supp-at-base)
next
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (V-cons tyid list v)
 then show ?case using pure-supp by auto
next
 case (V-consp\ tyid\ list\ b\ v)
 then show ?case using pure-supp by auto
qed
lemma u-fresh-xv[simp]:
 fixes u::u and x::x and v::v
 shows atom u \sharp (x,v)
proof -
 have atom u \sharp x using fresh-def by fastforce
 moreover have atom u \sharp v using fresh-def u-notin-supp-v by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-prod2 by auto
```

#### qed

Part of effort to make the proofs across cases more uniform by distilling the non-uniform parts into lemmas like this

```
lemma v-flip-eq:
     fixes v::v and va::v and x::x and c::x
     assumes atom c \sharp (v, va) and atom c \sharp (x, xa, v, va) and (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va
     shows ((v = V - lit \ l \longrightarrow (\exists \ l'. \ va = V - lit \ l' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot l = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot l'))) \land
                        ((v = V - var y \longrightarrow (\exists y'. va = V - var y' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot y = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot y'))) \land
                       ((v = V - pair \ vone \ vtwo \longrightarrow (\exists v1' \ v2'. \ va = V - pair \ v1' \ v2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')
\wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2'))) \wedge
                        ((v = V - cons \ tyid \ dc \ vone \longrightarrow (\exists \ v1'. \ va = V - cons \ tyid \ dc \ v1' \land \ (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone)
v1'))) \wedge
                           ((v = V - consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ vone \longrightarrow (\exists \ v1'. \ va = V - consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa)
\leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1'))
using assms proof(nominal-induct v rule:v.strong-induct)
     case (V-lit\ l)
  then show ?case using assms v.perm-simps
           empty\mbox{-}i\!f\!f\mbox{-}l\!f\!e\!f\mbox{-}l\!e\!f\!f\!r\!e\!s\!h\!-\!d\!e\!f\mbox{-}f\!r\!e\!s\!h\!-\!p\!e\!r\!mute\!-\!i\!f\!f\mbox{-}s\!upp\!-\!l\!-\!empty\mbox{-}s\!wap\!-\!f\!r\!e\!s\!h\!-\!f\!r\!e\!s\!h\mbox{-}v.f\!r\!e\!s\!h
          by (metis permute-swap-cancel2 v.distinct)
      case (V-var x)
     then show ?case using assms v.perm-simps
            empty-iff flip-def fresh-def fresh-permute-iff supp-l-empty swap-fresh-fresh v. fresh
          by (metis permute-swap-cancel2 v.distinct)
\mathbf{next}
     case (V-pair v1 v2)
     have (V\text{-pair }v1 \ v2 = V\text{-pair }vone \ vtwo \longrightarrow (\exists v1' \ v2', \ va = V\text{-pair }v1' \ v2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa)
\leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2') proof
          assume V-pair v1 v2 = V-pair vone vtwo
          thus (\exists v1'v2'. va = V - pair v1'v2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vtwo = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1' \land
\leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2'
                using V-pair assms
                by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) flip-def permute-swap-cancel v.perm-simps(3))
     ged
     thus ?case using V-pair by auto
      case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
     have (V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ dc\ v1 = V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ dc\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists\ v1'.\ va = V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ dc\ v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c).
vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') proof
          assume as: V-cons tyid dc v1 = V-cons tyid dc vone
          hence (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (V\text{-}cons \ tyid \ dc \ vone) = V\text{-}cons \ tyid \ dc \ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone) \ \mathbf{proof} \ -
                have (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dc = dc using pure-permute-id by metis
                moreover have (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot tyid = tyid using pure-permute-id by metis
                ultimately show ?thesis using v.perm-simps(4) by simp
          then obtain v1' where (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va = V-cons tyid dc v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1' using assms
 V-cons
                using as by fastforce
            hence va = V-cons tyid dc ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1' using permute-flip-cancel
empty-iff flip-def fresh-def supp-b-empty swap-fresh-fresh
                by (metis pure-fresh v.perm-simps(4))
```

```
thus (\exists v1'. va = V - cons tyid dc v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')
      using V-cons assms by simp
  qed
  thus ?case using V-cons by auto
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b v1)
 have (V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v1 = V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ vone \longrightarrow (\exists\ v1'.\ va = V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v1' \land (x
\leftrightarrow c) · vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')) proof
    assume as: V-consp tyid dc b v1 = V-consp tyid dc b vone
    hence (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (V\text{-}consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ vone) = V\text{-}consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone) \ \mathbf{proof} \ -
      have (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dc = dc using pure-permute-id by metis
      moreover have (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot tyid = tyid using pure-permute-id by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using v.perm-simps(4) by simp
    qed
    then obtain v1' where (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va = V-consp tyid dc b v1' \wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1' using
assms V-consp
      using as by fastforce
    hence va = V-consp tyid dc b ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1') \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = v1' using permute-flip-cancel
empty-iff\ flip-def\ fresh-def\ supp-b-empty\ swap-fresh-fresh
      pure-fresh\ v.perm-simps
      by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams))
    thus (\exists v1'. va = V - consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ v1' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot vone = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')
      using V-consp assms by simp
  thus ?case using V-consp by auto
qed
lemma flip-eq:
 fixes x::x and xa::x and s::'a::fs and sa::'a::fs
 assumes (\forall c. atom \ c \ \sharp \ (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \sharp \ (x, xa, s, sa) \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa) and x
\neq xa
 shows (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot s = sa
proof -
 have ([[atom \ x]] lst. \ s = [[atom \ xa]] lst. \ sa) using assms Abs1-eq-iff-all by simp
 hence (xa = x \land sa = s \lor xa \neq x \land sa = (xa \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s \land atom \ xa \ \sharp \ s) using assms Abs1-eq-iff [of
xa \ sa \ x \ s] by simp
  thus ?thesis using assms
    by (metis flip-commute)
qed
lemma swap-v-supp:
 fixes v::v and d::x and z::x
 assumes atom d \not \!\! \perp v
 shows supp ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v) \subseteq supp v - \{ atom z \} \cup \{ atom d \}
  using assms
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ v\ rule:v.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (V-lit\ l)
  then show ?case using l.supp by (metis supp-l-empty empty-subset I l.strong-exhaust pure-supp
supp-eqvt \ v.supp)
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (V-var x)
 hence d \neq x using fresh-def by fastforce
  thus ?case apply(cases z = x) using supp-at-base V-var \langle d \neq x \rangle by fastforce+
next
  case (V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ dc\ v)
  show ?case using v.supp(4) pure-supp
   using V-cons.hyps V-cons.prems fresh-def by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v)
  show ?case using v.supp(4) pure-supp
   using V-consp.hyps V-consp.prems fresh-def by auto
qed(force+)
Expressions
lemma swap-e-supp:
  fixes e::e and d::x and z::x
  assumes atom d \sharp e
 shows supp ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot e) \subseteq supp e - \{ atom z \} \cup \{ atom d \}
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct e rule:e.strong-induct)
  case (AE-val v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by simp
next
  case (AE-app f v)
 then show ?case using swap-v-supp by (simp add: pure-supp)
next
  case (AE-appP \ b \ f \ v)
  hence df: atom d \sharp v using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have supp\ ((z \leftrightarrow d\ ) \cdot (AE\text{-}appP\ b\ f\ v)) = supp\ (AE\text{-}appP\ b\ f\ ((z \leftrightarrow d\ ) \cdot v)) using e.supp
   by (metis\ b.eq-iff(3)\ b.perm-simps(3)\ e.perm-simps(3)\ flip-b-id)
  also have ... = supp \ b \cup supp \ f \cup supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v) using e.supp by auto
  also have ... \subseteq supp b \cup supp \ f \cup supp \ v - \{ atom \ z \} \cup \{ atom \ d \} using swap-v-supp [OF \ df]
pure-supp by auto
  finally show ?case using e.supp by auto
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
  hence df: atom d \sharp v1 \land atom d \sharp v2 using fresh-def e.supp by force
  have ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot (AE \text{-}op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) = AE \text{-}op \ opp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2) using
   e.perm-simps flip-commute opp.perm-simps AE-op opp.strong-exhaust pure-supp
   by (metis (full-types))
 hence supp\ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot AE\text{-}op\ opp\ v1\ v2) = supp\ (AE\text{-}op\ opp\ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1)\ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2)) by simp\ simp\ supp\ (z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2
  also have ... = supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) \cup supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2) \ using \ e.supp
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) opp.strong-exhaust opp.supp sup-bot.left-neutral)
 also have ... \subseteq (supp \ v1 - \{ atom \ z \} \cup \{ atom \ d \}) \cup (supp \ v2 - \{ atom \ z \} \cup \{ atom \ d \}) using
swap-v-supp AE-op df by blast
  finally show ?case using e.supp opp.supp by blast
next
  case (AE-fst v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
```

```
case (AE-snd v)
then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
 case (AE-mvar u)
 then show ?case using
   Diff-empty Diff-insert0 Un-upper1 atom-x-sort flip-def flip-fresh-fresh-def set-eq-subset supp-eqvt
swap-set-in-eq
   by (metis sort-of-atom-eq)
next
 case (AE-len v)
 then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
next
 case (AE-concat v1 v2)
 then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
 case (AE-split v1 v2)
 then show ?case using swap-v-supp by auto
qed
lemma swap-ce-supp:
 fixes e::ce and d::x and z::x
 assumes atom d \sharp e
 shows supp ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot e) \subseteq supp e - \{ atom z \} \cup \{ atom d \}
 using assms
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ e\ rule:ce.strong\text{-}induct)
 case (CE\text{-}val\ v)
  then show ?case using swap-v-supp ce.fresh ce.supp by simp
next
  case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
 hence df: atom d \sharp v1 \land atom d \sharp v2 using fresh-def e.supp by force
 have ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot (CE\text{-op opp } v1 \ v2)) = CE\text{-op opp } ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2) using
  ce.perm-simps flip-commute opp.perm-simps CE-op opp.stronq-exhaust x-fresh-b pure-supp
   by (metis (full-types))
 hence supp\ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot CE-op opp\ v1\ v2) = supp\ (CE-op opp\ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1)\ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2)) by simp\ v1\ v2
 also have ... = supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v1) \cup supp \ ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot v2) \ using \ ce.supp
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) opp.strong-exhaust opp.supp sup-bot.left-neutral)
 also have ... \subseteq (supp \ v1 - \{ atom \ z \} \cup \{ atom \ d \}) \cup (supp \ v2 - \{ atom \ z \} \cup \{ atom \ d \}) using
swap-v-supp CE-op df by blast
 finally show ?case using ce.supp opp.supp by blast
next
 case (CE-fst v)
 then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto
next
 case (CE-snd v)
then show ?case
                     using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto
next
 case (CE-len v)
then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp by auto
next
```

```
case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then show ?case using ce.supp ce.fresh swap-v-supp ce.perm-simps
  proof -
    have \forall x \ v \ xa. \ \neg \ atom \ (x::x) \ \sharp \ (v::v) \ \lor \ supp \ ((xa \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v) \subseteq \ supp \ v - \{atom \ xa\} \cup \{atom \ x\}
       by (meson\ swap-v-supp)
    then show ?thesis
       using CE-concat ce.supp by auto
  qed
qed
lemma swap-c-supp:
  fixes c::c and d::x and z::x
  assumes atom d \sharp c
  shows supp ((z \leftrightarrow d) \cdot c) \subseteq supp c - \{ atom z \} \cup \{ atom d \}
  using assms
proof(nominal-induct c rule:c.strong-induct)
  case (C-eq e1 e2)
  then show ?case using swap-ce-supp by auto
qed(auto+)
lemma type-e-eq:
  assumes atom z \sharp e and atom z' \sharp e
  shows \{z:b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == e \} = (\{z':b \mid [[z']^v]^{ce} == e \})
  by (auto, metis\ (full-types)\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ flip-fresh-fresh\ fresh-PairD(1)\ fresh-PairD(2))
lemma type-e-eq2:
  assumes atom z \sharp e and atom z' \sharp e and b=b'
  \mathbf{shows} \; \{\!\!\{ \; z:b \; \mid \; [[z]^v]^{ce} == e \; \}\!\!\} = (\{\!\!\{ \; z':b' \; \mid \; [[z']^v]^{ce} == e \; \}\!\!\})
  using assms type-e-eq by fast
lemma e-flip-eq:
  fixes e::e and ea::e
  assumes atom c \sharp (e, ea) and atom c \sharp (x, xa, e, ea) and (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea
  shows (e = AE \text{-}val \ w \longrightarrow (\exists \ w'. \ ea = AE \text{-}val \ w' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w')) \lor
           (e = AE \text{-op opp } v1 \ v2 \longrightarrow (\exists \ v1' \ v2'. \ ea = AS \text{-op opp } v1' \ v2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1
v1') \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2') <math>\lor
          (e = AE - fst \ v \longrightarrow (\exists \ v'. \ ea = AE - fst \ v' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \lor
          (e = AE - snd \ v \longrightarrow (\exists \ v'. \ ea = AE - snd \ v' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \lor
          (e = AE - len \ v \longrightarrow (\exists \ v'. \ ea = AE - len \ v' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')) \lor
         (e = AE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2 \longrightarrow (\exists \ v1' \ v2'. \ ea = AS\text{-}concat \ v1' \ v2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v1')
\wedge (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v2') \vee 
          (e = AE - app \ f \ v \longrightarrow (\exists \ v'. \ ea = AE - app \ f \ v' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v'))
by (metis assms e.perm-simps permute-flip-cancel2)
lemma fresh-opp-all:
  fixes opp::opp
  shows z \sharp opp
 using e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh by metis
lemma fresh-e-opp-all:
  shows (z \sharp v1 \land z \sharp v2) = z \sharp AE-op opp v1 v2
```

```
using e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh fresh-opp-all by simp
```

```
lemma fresh-e-opp:

fixes z::x

assumes atom \ z \ \sharp \ v1 \ \land \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ v2

shows atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE-op opp v1 \ v2

using e.fresh opp.exhaust opp.fresh opp.supp by (metis assms)
```

#### **Statements**

```
lemma branch-s-flip-eq: fixes v::v and va::v assumes atom c \sharp (v, va) and atom c \sharp (x, xa, v, va) and (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa shows (s = AS-val \ w \longrightarrow (\exists \ w'. \ sa = AS-val \ w' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot w')) \lor (s = AS-seq \ s1 \ s2 \longrightarrow (\exists \ s1' \ s2'. \ sa = AS-seq \ s1' \ s2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1') \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2') \lor (s = AS-if \ v \ s1 \ s2 \longrightarrow (\exists \ v' \ s1' \ s2'. \ sa = AS-if \ seq \ s1' \ s2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1') \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2 = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2' \land (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v') by (metis \ assms \ s\text{-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps permute-flip-cancel2})
```

#### 3.2 Context Syntax

#### 3.2.1 Datatypes

```
Type and function/type definition contexts
```

```
type-synonym \Phi = fun\text{-}def\ list

type-synonym \Theta = type\text{-}def\ list

type-synonym \mathcal{B} = bv\ fset

datatype \Gamma = GNil \mid GCons\ x*b*c\ \Gamma\ (infixr\ \#_{\Gamma}\ 65)

datatype \Delta = DNil\ ([]_{\Delta}) \mid DCons\ u*\tau\ \Delta\ (infixr\ \#_{\Delta}\ 65)
```

#### 3.2.2 Functions and Lemmas

```
lemma \Gamma-induct [case-names GNil GCons] : P GNil \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x b c \Gamma'. P \Gamma' \Longrightarrow P ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')) \Longrightarrow P \Gamma proof(induct \Gamma rule:\Gamma.induct) case GNil then show ?case by auto next case (GCons x1 x2) then obtain x and b and c where x1=(x,b,c) using prod-cases3 by blast then show ?case using GCons by presburger qed
```

```
instantiation \Delta :: pt
begin
primrec permute-\Delta
where
  DNil\text{-}eqvt: p \cdot DNil = DNil
| DCons-eqvt: p \cdot (x \#_{\Delta} xs) = p \cdot x \#_{\Delta} p \cdot (xs::\Delta)
instance by standard (induct-tac [!] x, simp-all)
end
lemmas [eqvt] = permute-\Delta.simps
lemma \Delta-induct [case-names DNil DCons] : P DNil \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge u \ t \ \Delta' . \ P \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow P \ ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \ \Delta')) \Longrightarrow
\mathbf{proof}(induct \ \Delta \ rule: \ \Delta.induct)
case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (DCons \ x1 \ x2)
  then obtain u and t where x1=(u,t) by fastforce
  then show ?case using DCons by presburger
qed
lemma \Phi-induct [case-names PNil PConsNone PConsSome] : P [] \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge f \ x \ b \ c \ 	au \ s' \ \Phi'. P \Phi' \Longrightarrow P
((AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s')))\ \#\ \Phi'))\Longrightarrow
(\bigwedge f \ bv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s' \ \Phi'. \ P \ \Phi' \Longrightarrow P \ ((AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some \ bv \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s'))) \ \# \ \Phi')) \ \Longrightarrow P \ \Phi
\mathbf{proof}(induct \ \Phi \ rule: list.induct)
case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Cons x1 x2)
  then obtain f and t where ft: x1 = (AF-fundef f t)
    by (meson fun-def.exhaust)
  then show ?case proof(nominal-induct t rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
    case (AF-fun-typ-some by ft)
    then show ?case using Cons ft
      by (metis\ fun-typ.exhaust)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (AF-fun-typ-none ft)
 then show ?case using Cons ft
      by (metis fun-typ.exhaust)
qed
qed
lemma \Theta-induct [case-names TNil AF-typedef AF-typedef-poly] : P \mid \implies (\bigwedge tid \ dclist \ \Theta'. \ P \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow P
((AF-typedef\ tid\ dclist)\ \#\ \Theta')) \Longrightarrow
                                                                        (\wedge tid\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta'.\ P\ \Theta' \Longrightarrow P\ ((AF-typedef-poly))
tid\ bv\ dclist\ )\ \#\ \Theta'))\ \Longrightarrow P\ \Theta
proof(induct \Theta rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
```

```
then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons td T)
  show ?case by(cases td rule: type-def.exhaust, (simp add: Cons)+)
instantiation \Gamma :: pt
begin
primrec permute-\Gamma
where
  GNil-eqvt: p \cdot GNil = GNil
| GCons-eqvt: p \cdot (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) = p \cdot x \#_{\Gamma} p \cdot (xs::\Gamma)
instance by standard (induct-tac [!] x, simp-all)
end
lemmas [eqvt] = permute-\Gamma.simps
lemma G-cons-eqvt[simp]:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  shows p \cdot ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((p \cdot x, p \cdot b, p \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} (p \cdot \Gamma)) (is ?A = ?B)
using Cons-equt triple-equt supp-b-empty by simp
lemma G-cons-flip[simp]:
  fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
  shows (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x'', b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = (((x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot x'', b, (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot \Gamma))
\mathbf{using} \ \mathit{Cons-eqvt} \ \mathit{triple-eqvt} \ \mathit{supp-b-empty} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{G-cons-flip-fresh}[simp] :
  fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes atom \ x \ \sharp \ (c,\Gamma) and atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (c,\Gamma)
  shows (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force
lemma G-cons-flip-fresh2[simp]:
  fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes atom \ x \ \sharp \ (c,\Gamma) and atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (c,\Gamma)
  shows (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x', b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force
lemma G-cons-flip-fresh3[simp]:
  fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ {\bf and} \ atom \ x' \ \sharp \ \Gamma
  shows (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot ((x',b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = ((x, b, (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
using G-cons-flip flip-fresh-fresh assms by force
lemma neq-GNil-conv: (xs \neq GNil) = (\exists y \ ys. \ xs = y \ \#_{\Gamma} \ ys)
by (induct xs) auto
```

```
nominal-function toList :: \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*b*c) \ list \ \mathbf{where}
 toList\ GNil = []
| toList (GCons xbc G) = xbc\#(toList G)
apply (auto, simp add: eqvt-def toList-graph-aux-def)
using neq-GNil-conv surj-pair by metis
nominal-termination (eqvt)
by lexicographic-order
nominal-function toSet :: \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*b*c) \ set \ where
 toSet\ GNil = \{\}
| toSet (GCons xbc G) = \{xbc\} \cup (toSet G)
apply (auto, simp add: eqvt-def toSet-graph-aux-def)
using neg-GNil-conv surj-pair by metis
nominal-termination (eqvt)
by lexicographic-order
nominal-function append-g :: \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \text{ (infixr } @ 65) \text{ where}
 append-g \ GNil \ g = g
| append-g (xbc \#_{\Gamma} g1) g2 = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (g1@g2))
apply (auto, simp add: eqvt-def append-g-graph-aux-def)
using neq-GNil-conv surj-pair by metis
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function dom :: \Gamma \Rightarrow x \ set \  where
dom \Gamma = (fst' (toSet \Gamma))
 apply auto
 unfolding eqvt-def dom-graph-aux-def lfp-eqvt toSet.eqvt by simp
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
Use of this is sometimes mixed in with use of freshness and support for the context however it
makes it clear that for immutable variables, the context is 'self-supporting'
nominal-function atom-dom :: \Gamma \Rightarrow atom \ set where
atom-dom \Gamma = atom'(dom \Gamma)
 apply auto
 unfolding eqvt-def atom-dom-graph-aux-def lfp-eqvt toSet.eqvt by simp
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
3.2.3
         Immutable Variable Context Lemmas
\mathbf{lemma}\ append\text{-}GNil[simp]:
 GNil @ G = G
 by simp
lemma append-g-toSetU [simp]: toSet (G1@G2) = toSet G1 \cup toSet G2
 \mathbf{by}(induct\ G1,\ auto+)
lemma supp-GNil:
 shows supp\ GNil = \{\}
 by (simp add: supp-def)
```

```
lemma supp-GCons:
  fixes xs::\Gamma
  shows supp (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) = supp x \cup supp xs
by (simp add: supp-def Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)
lemma atom-dom-eq[simp]:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 shows atom-dom ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) = atom-dom ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G)
using atom-dom.simps toSet.simps by simp
lemma dom-append[simp]:
  atom\text{-}dom\ (\Gamma@\Gamma') = atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma'
  using image-Un append-g-toSetU atom-dom.simps dom.simps by metis
lemma dom\text{-}cons[simp]:
  atom-dom\ ((x,b,c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ G)=\{\ atom\ x\ \}\cup\ atom-dom\ G
 using image-Un append-g-toSetU atom-dom.simps by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh}\text{-}\mathit{GNil}[\mathit{ms}\text{-}\mathit{fresh}]:
  shows a \sharp GNil
 by (simp add: fresh-def supp-GNil)
lemma fresh-GCons[ms-fresh]:
  fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows a \sharp (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) \longleftrightarrow a \sharp x \land a \sharp xs
 by (simp add: fresh-def supp-GCons)
lemma dom-supp-g[simp]:
  atom\text{-}dom\ G\subseteq supp\ G
  apply(induct \ G \ rule: \Gamma - induct, simp)
  using supp-at-base supp-Pair atom-dom.simps supp-GCons by fastforce
lemma fresh-append-g[ms-fresh]:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows a \sharp (xs @ ys) \longleftrightarrow a \sharp xs \land a \sharp ys
 by (induct xs) (simp-all add: fresh-GNil fresh-GCons)
lemma append-g-assoc:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows (xs @ ys) @ zs = xs @ (ys @ zs)
 by (induct xs) simp-all
lemma append-g-inside:
  fixes xs::\Gamma
  shows xs @ (x \#_{\Gamma} ys) = (xs @ (x \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ ys
\mathbf{by}(induct\ xs, auto+)
lemma finite-\Gamma:
 finite (toSet \Gamma)
by(induct \ \Gamma \ rule: \Gamma - induct, auto)
```

```
lemma supp-\Gamma:
  supp \Gamma = supp (toSet \Gamma)
\mathbf{proof}(induct \ \Gamma \ rule: \Gamma \text{-}induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using supp-GNil toSet.simps
   by (simp add: supp-set-empty)
next
 case (GCons x \ b \ c \ \Gamma')
 then show ?case using supp-GCons\ toSet.simps\ finite-\Gamma\ supp-of-finite-union
   using supp-of-finite-insert by fastforce
qed
lemma supp-of-subset:
 fixes G::('a::fs\ set)
 assumes finite G and finite G' and G \subseteq G'
 shows supp G \subseteq supp G'
 using supp-of-finite-sets assms by (metis subset-Un-eq supp-of-finite-union)
lemma supp-weakening:
 assumes toSet G \subseteq toSet G'
 shows supp G \subseteq supp G'
 using supp-\Gamma finite-\Gamma by (simp add: supp-of-subset assms)
lemma fresh-weakening[ms-fresh]:
 assumes toSet\ G \subseteq toSet\ G' and x \ \sharp\ G'
 shows x \sharp G
proof(rule ccontr)
 assume \neg x \sharp G
 hence x \in supp \ G using fresh-def by auto
 hence x \in supp \ G' using supp-weakening assms by auto
 thus False using fresh-def assms by auto
qed
instance \Gamma :: fs
 by (standard, induct-tac x, simp-all add: supp-GNil supp-GCons finite-supp)
lemma fresh-gamma-elem:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes a \sharp \Gamma
 and e \in toSet \Gamma
 shows a \sharp e
using assms by (induct \Gamma, auto simp add: fresh-GCons)
lemma fresh-gamma-append:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows a \sharp (xs @ ys) \longleftrightarrow a \sharp xs \land a \sharp ys
by (induct xs, simp-all add: fresh-GNil fresh-GCons)
lemma supp-triple[simp]:
 shows supp(x, y, z) = supp(x \cup supp(y \cup supp(z)))
proof -
 have supp (x,y,z) = supp (x,(y,z)) by auto
```

```
hence supp\ (x,y,z) = supp\ x \cup (supp\ y\ \cup supp\ z) using supp\ Pair\ by metis
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma supp-append-g:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows supp (xs @ ys) = supp xs \cup supp ys
by(induct xs, auto simp add: supp-GNil supp-GCons)
lemma fresh-in-g[simp]:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and x' :: x
 shows atom x' \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (atom \ x' \notin supp \ \Gamma' \cup supp \ x \cup supp \ b\theta \cup supp \ c\theta \cup supp
\Gamma
proof -
  have atom x' \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (atom \ x' \notin supp \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)))
    using fresh-def by auto
   also have ... = (atom \ x' \notin supp \ \Gamma' \cup supp \ ((x,b\theta,c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)) using supp-append-q by fast
   also have ... = (atom \ x' \notin supp \ \Gamma' \cup supp \ x \cup supp \ b\theta \cup supp \ c\theta \cup supp \ \Gamma) using supp\text{-}GCons
supp-append-g supp-triple by auto
  finally show ?thesis by fast
 qed
lemma fresh-suffix[ms-fresh]:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma'@\Gamma
 shows atom x \sharp \Gamma
  using assms by (induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct, auto simp add: append-g.simps fresh-GCons)
lemma not-GCons-self [simp]:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows xs \neq x \#_{\Gamma} xs
by (induct xs) auto
lemma not-GCons-self2 [simp]:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows x \#_{\Gamma} xs \neq xs
by (rule not-GCons-self [symmetric])
lemma fresh-restrict:
 fixes y::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes atom y \sharp (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
 shows atom y \sharp (\Gamma'@\Gamma)
  using assms by (induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct, auto simp add: fresh-GCons fresh-GNil )
lemma fresh-dom-free:
  assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma
 shows (x,b,c) \notin toSet \Gamma
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
```

```
case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
 hence x \neq x' using fresh-def fresh-GCons fresh-Pair supp-at-base by blast
 moreover have atom x \sharp \Gamma' using fresh-GCons GCons by auto
 ultimately show ?case using toSet.simps GCons by auto
qed
lemma \Gamma-set-intros: x \in toSet (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) and y \in toSet xs \Longrightarrow y \in toSet (x \#_{\Gamma} xs)
 by simp+
lemma fresh-dom-free2:
 assumes atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom \Gamma
 shows (x,b,c) \notin toSet \Gamma
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
 hence x \neq x' using fresh-def fresh-GCons fresh-Pair supp-at-base by auto
 moreover have atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom \Gamma' using fresh-GCons GCons by auto
 ultimately show ?case using toSet.simps GCons by auto
qed
3.2.4
          Mutable Variable Context Lemmas
lemma supp-DNil:
 shows supp\ DNil = \{\}
 by (simp add: supp-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ supp-DCons:
 fixes xs::\Delta
 shows supp (x \#_{\Delta} xs) = supp x \cup supp xs
 by (simp add: supp-def Collect-imp-eq Collect-neg-eq)
lemma fresh-DNil[ms-fresh]:
 shows a \sharp DNil
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add: \mathit{fresh-def}\ supp\text{-}DNil)
lemma fresh-DCons[ms-fresh]:
 fixes xs::\Delta
 shows a \sharp (x \#_{\Delta} xs) \longleftrightarrow a \sharp x \land a \sharp xs
 by (simp add: fresh-def supp-DCons)
instance \Delta :: fs
by (standard, induct-tac x, simp-all add: supp-DNil supp-DCons finite-supp)
```

#### 3.2.5 Lookup Functions

**nominal-function** lookup ::  $\Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow (b*c)$  option where

```
lookup\ GNil\ x=None
| lookup ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G) y = (if x=y then Some (b,c) else lookup G y)
  apply(auto)
  apply (simp add: eqvt-def lookup-graph-aux-def)
by (metis neg-GNil-conv surj-pair)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function replace-in-g :: \Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow \Gamma \ (-[- \mapsto -] \ [1000, 0, 0] \ 200) where
  replace-in-g \ GNil - - = GNil
| replace-in-g ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G) x' c' = (if x=x' then ((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}G) else (x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}(replace-in-g G x' c'))
apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def replace-in-g-graph-aux-def)
using surj-pair \Gamma.exhaust by metis
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
Functions for looking up data-constructors in the Pi context
nominal-function lookup-fun :: \Phi \Rightarrow f \Rightarrow fun\text{-}def option where
  lookup-fun [] g = None
 | lookup-fun ((AF\text{-fundef }f\ ft)\#\Pi)\ g=(if\ (f=g)\ then\ Some\ (AF\text{-fundef }f\ ft)\ else\ lookup-fun\ \Pi\ g)
 apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def lookup-fun-graph-aux-def)
 by (metis fun-def.exhaust neg-Nil-conv)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function lookup-td :: \Theta \Rightarrow string \Rightarrow type-def option where
  lookup-td [] q = None
| lookup-td ((AF-typedef s lst ) \# (\Theta::\Theta)) g = (if (s = g) then Some (AF-typedef s lst ) else lookup-td
\Theta(g)
 lookup-td ((AF-typedef-poly\ s\ bv\ lst\ ) # (\Theta::\Theta)) q=(if\ (s=q)\ then\ Some\ (AF-typedef-poly\ s\ bv\ lst
) else lookup-td \Theta g)
 apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def lookup-td-graph-aux-def)
 by (metis type-def.exhaust neq-Nil-conv)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function name-of-type ::type-def \Rightarrow f where
  name-of-type (AF-typedef f - ) = f
| name-of-type (AF-typedef-poly f - -) = f
apply(auto,simp add: eqvt-def name-of-type-graph-aux-def )
using type-def.exhaust by blast
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function name-of-fun ::fun-def \Rightarrow f where
  name-of-fun \ (AF-fundef f f t) = f
apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def name-of-fun-graph-aux-def)
using fun-def.exhaust by blast
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function remove2:: 'a::pt \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list where
remove2 \ x \ [] = [] \ []
remove2 \ x \ (y \# xs) = (if \ x = y \ then \ xs \ else \ y \# \ remove2 \ x \ xs)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def remove2-graph-aux-def)
apply auto+
```

```
by (meson list.exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function base-for-lit :: l \Rightarrow b where
  base-for-lit (L-true) = B-bool
 base-for-lit (L-false) = B-bool
 base-for-lit (L-num \ n) = B-int
 base-for-lit (L-unit) = B-unit
 base-for-lit (L-bitvec v) = B-bitvec
apply (auto simp: eqvt-def base-for-lit-graph-aux-def )
using l.strong-exhaust by blast
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma neq-DNil-conv: (xs \neq DNil) = (\exists y \ ys. \ xs = y \#_{\Delta} \ ys)
  by (induct xs) auto
nominal-function setD :: \Delta \Rightarrow (u * \tau) set where
  setD\ DNil = \{\}
| setD (DCons xbc G) = \{xbc\} \cup (setD G)
apply (auto, simp add: eqvt-def setD-graph-aux-def)
using neq-DNil-conv surj-pair by metis
nominal-termination (eqvt)
 by lexicographic-order
lemma eqvt-triple:
  fixes y::'a::at and ya::'a::at and xa::'c::at and va::'d::fs and sa::s and f::s*'c*'d \Rightarrow s
  assumes atom y \sharp (xa, va) and atom ya \sharp (xa, va) and
          \forall c. \ atom \ c \ \sharp \ (s, \ sa) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \sharp \ (y, \ ya, \ s, \ sa) \longrightarrow (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa
          and eqvt-at f(s,xa,va) and eqvt-at f(sa,xa,va) and
            atom c \sharp (s, va, xa, sa) and atom c \sharp (y, ya, f (s, xa, va), f (sa, xa, va))
          shows (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f(s, xa, va) = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f(sa, xa, va)
proof -
  have (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot f(s, xa, va) = f((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (s, xa, va)) using assms equt-at-def by metis
  also have ... = f((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s, (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa, (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va) by auto
  also have ... = f(ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa, (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa, (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va) proof –
   have (y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa \text{ using } assms Abs1-eq-iff-all by auto
      moreover have ((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) = ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) using assms flip-fresh-fresh-fresh-prodN by
metis
      moreover have ((y \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va) = ((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot va) using assms flip-fresh-fresh-fresh-prodN by
metis
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 also have ... = f((ya \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (sa,xa,va)) by auto
 finally show ?thesis using assms eqvt-at-def by metis
qed
```

end

# Chapter 4

# Immutable Variable Substitution

#### 4.1 Class

```
class has-subst-v = fs +
 fixes subst-v :: 'a::fs \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow 'a::fs (-[-::=-]_v [1000,50,50] 1000)
  assumes fresh-subst-v-if: y \sharp (subst-v \ a \ x \ v) \longleftrightarrow (atom \ x \sharp \ a \land y \sharp \ a) \lor (y \sharp \ v \land (y \sharp \ a \lor y = v))
atom \ x))
           forget-subst-v[simp]: atom x \sharp a \Longrightarrow subst-v \ a \ x \ v = a
  and
                                    subst-v \ a \ x \ (V-var \ x) = a
  and
           subst-v-id[simp]:
  and
           eqvt[simp,eqvt]:
                                      (p::perm) \cdot (subst-v \ a \ x \ v) = (subst-v \ (p \cdot a) \ (p \cdot x) \ (p \cdot v))
  and
           flip-subst-v[simp]:
                                   atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z := [x]^v]_v
  and
           subst-v-simple-commute[simp]: atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \Longrightarrow (c[z::=[x]^v]_v)[x::=b]_v = c[z::=b]_v
begin
lemma subst-v-flip-eq-one:
  fixes z1::x and z2::x and x1::x and x2::x
  assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
     and atom x1 \sharp (z1,z2,c1,c2)
   shows (c1[z1::=[x1]^v]_v) = (c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_v)
proof -
 have (c1[z1:=[x1]^v]_v) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 using assms flip-subst-v by auto
 moreover have (c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_v) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2 using assms flip-subst-v by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of z1 c1 z2 c2 z1] assms
   by (metis\ Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3)\ flip-commute)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-v-flip-eq-two:
 fixes z1::x and z2::x and x1::x and x2::x
 assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
 shows (c1[z1:=b]_v) = (c2[z2:=b]_v)
proof -
  obtain x::x where *:atom x \sharp (z1,z2,c1,c2) using obtain-fresh by metis
 hence (c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = (c2[z2::=[x]^v]_v) using subst-v-flip-eq-one [OF\ assms,\ of\ x] by metis
 hence (c1[z1:=[x]^v]_v)[x:=b]_v = (c2[z2:=[x]^v]_v)[x:=b]_v by auto
  thus ?thesis using subst-v-simple-commute * fresh-prod4 by metis
qed
```

```
lemma subst-v-flip-eq-three:
 assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z1']]lst. c1' and atom x \sharp c1 and atom x' \sharp (x,z1,z1', c1, c1')
 shows (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot (c1[z1::=[x]^v]_v) = c1'[z1'::=[x']^v]_v
proof -
 have atom x' \sharp c1[z1:=[x]^v]_v using assms fresh-subst-v-if by simp
 hence (x \leftrightarrow x') \cdot (c1[z1:=[x]^v]_v) = c1[z1:=[x]^v]_v[x:=[x']^v]_v using flip-subst-v[of x' c1[z1:=[x]^v]_v
x | flip-commute by metis
 also have ... = c1[z1:=[x^{\prime}]^v]_v using subst-v-simple-commute fresh-prod4 assms by auto
 also have ... = c1'[z1'::=[x']^v]_v using subst-v-flip-eq-one of z1 c1 z1' c1' x' using assms by auto
 finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
end
4.2
         Values
nominal-function
  subst-vv :: v \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v where
  subst-vv \ (V-lit \ l) \ x \ v = V-lit \ l
 | subst-vv (V-var y) x v = (if x = y then v else V-var y)
  subst-vv \ (V-cons \ tyid \ c \ v') \ x \ v = V-cons \ tyid \ c \ (subst-vv \ v' \ x \ v)
  subst-vv \ (V-consp\ tyid\ c\ b\ v')\ x\ v\ =\ V-consp\ tyid\ c\ b\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v)
 | subst-vv (V-pair v1 v2) x v = V-pair (subst-vv v1 x v) (subst-vv v2 x v)
apply(auto simp: eqvt-def subst-vv-graph-aux-def)
\mathbf{by}(metis\ v.strong\text{-}exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-vv-abbrev :: v \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow v (-[-::=-]_{vv} [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
 v[x:=v']_{vv} \equiv subst-vv \ v \ x \ v'
lemma fresh-subst-vv-if [simp]:
 j \sharp t[i::=x]_{vv} = ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i)))
 using supp-l-empty apply (induct t rule: v.induct, auto simp add: subst-vv.simps fresh-def, auto)
 apply (simp add: supp-at-base | metis b.supp supp-b-empty )+
 done
lemma forget-subst-vv [simp]: atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow tm[a::=x]_{vv} = tm
 by (induct tm rule: v.induct) (simp-all add: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-vv-id [simp]: tm[a:=V-var\ a]_{vv}=tm
 by (induct tm rule: v.induct) simp-all
lemma subst-vv-commute [simp]:
  atom j \sharp tm \Longrightarrow tm[i::=t]_{vv}[j::=u]_{vv} = tm[i::=t[j::=u]_{vv}]_{vv}
 by (induct tm rule: v.induct) (auto simp: fresh-Pair)
```

```
lemma subst-vv-commute-full [simp]:
  atom \ j \ \sharp \ t \Longrightarrow atom \ i \ \sharp \ u \Longrightarrow i \neq j \Longrightarrow tm[i::=t]_{vv}[j::=u]_{vv} = tm[j::=u]_{vv}[i::=t]_{vv}
 by (induct tm rule: v.induct) auto
lemma subst-vv-var-flip[simp]:
 fixes v::v
 assumes atom y \sharp v
 shows (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v = v [x := V - var y]_{vv}
 using assms apply(induct v rule:v.induct)
 apply auto
  using l.fresh l.perm-simps l.strong-exhaust supp-l-empty permute-pure permute-list.simps fresh-def
flip-fresh-fresh apply fastforce
 using permute-pure apply blast+
 done
instantiation v :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}v
begin
definition
 subst-v = subst-vv
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::v
 show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   using fresh-subst-vv-if[of j t i x] subst-v-v-def by metis
 fix a::x and tm::v and x::v
 show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   using forget-subst-vv subst-v-v-def by simp
 fix a::x and tm::v
 show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-vv-id subst-v-v-def by simp
 fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::v
 show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   using subst-v-v-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::v and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z := [x]^v]_v
   using subst-v-v-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::v and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   using subst-v-v-def by simp
qed
end
```

### 4.3 Expressions

nominal-function  $subst-ev :: e \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow e$  where

```
subst-ev \ ((AE-val\ v'))\ x\ v = ((AE-val\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v)))
 subst-ev ( (AE-app f v') ) x v = ((AE-app f (subst-vv v' x v)))
 subst-ev ( (AE-appP f b v') ) x v = ((AE-appP f b (subst-vv v' x v)) )
 subst-ev ( (AE-op\ opp\ v1\ v2) ) x\ v\ =\ ((AE-op\ opp\ (subst-vv\ v1\ x\ v\ )\ (subst-vv\ v2\ x\ v\ )) )
 subst-ev \ [\#1 \ v']^e \ x \ v = [\#1 \ (subst-vv \ v' \ x \ v)]^e
 subst-ev \ [\#2\ v']^e\ x\ v = [\#2\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v\ )]^e
 subst-ev ( (AE-mvar\ u)) x\ v=AE-mvar\ u
 subst-ev \mid \mid v' \mid \mid^e x v = \mid \mid (subst-vv \mid v' \mid x \mid v) \mid \mid^e
 subst-ev ( AE-concat v1 v2) x v = AE-concat (subst-vv v1 x v ) (subst-vv v2 x v )
 subst-ev ( AE-split v1 v2) x v = AE-split (subst-vv v1 x v ) (subst-vv v2 x v
by(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ev-graph-aux-def, auto)(meson e.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-ev-abbrev :: e \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow e (-[-::=-]_{ev} [1000,50,50] 500)
 e[x:=v']_{ev} \equiv subst-ev \ e \ x \ v'
lemma size-subst-ev [simp]: size (subst-ev A i x) = size A
 apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: e.strong-induct)
 apply auto
done
lemma forget-subst-ev [simp]: atom a \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-ev A \ a \ x = A
 apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: e.strong-induct)
 apply(auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done
lemma subst-ev-id [simp]: subst-ev A a (V-var a) = A
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: e.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma fresh-subst-ev-if [simp]:
 j \sharp (subst-ev \ A \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp A \land j \sharp A) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp A \lor j = atom \ i)))
 apply (induct A rule: e.induct)
 unfolding subst-ev.simps fresh-subst-vv-if apply auto+
 using pure-fresh fresh-opp-all apply metis+
 done
lemma subst-ev-commute [simp]:
  atom j \sharp A \Longrightarrow (A[i::=t]_{ev})[j::=u]_{ev} = A[i::=t[j::=u]_{vv}]_{ev}
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: e.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-ev-var-flip[simp]:
 fixes e::e and y::x and x::x
 assumes atom y \sharp e
 shows (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e = e [x := V - var y]_{ev}
 using assms apply(nominal-induct e rule:e.strong-induct)
 apply (simp add: subst-v-v-def)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) b.eq-iff b.perm-simps e.fresh e.perm-simps flip-b-id subst-ev.simps
subst-vv-var-flip)
```

```
apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) b.eq-iff b.perm-simps e.fresh e.perm-simps flip-b-id subst-ev.simps
subst-vv-var-flip)
 apply(rule-tac\ y=x1a\ in\ opp.strong-exhaust)
 using subst-vv-var-flip flip-def apply (simp add: flip-def permute-pure)+
done
lemma subst-ev-flip:
 fixes e::e and ea::e and c::x
 assumes atom c \sharp (e, ea) and atom c \sharp (x, xa, e, ea) and (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea
 shows e[x:=v']_{ev} = ea[xa:=v']_{ev}
proof -
 have e[x:=v']_{ev} = (e[x:=V-var\ c]_{ev})[c:=v']_{ev} using subst-ev-commute assms by simp
 also have ... = ((c \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e)[c := v']_{ev} using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
 also have ... = ((c \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ea)[c := v']_{ev} using assms flip-commute by metis
 also have ... = ea[xa::=v']_{ev} using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
 finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma subst-ev-var[simp]:
 (AE-val\ (V-var\ x))[x::=[z]^v]_{ev} = AE-val\ (V-var\ z)
by auto
instantiation e :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}v
begin
definition
 subst-v = subst-ev
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::e
 show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp \ t \land j \sharp \ t) \lor (j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   using fresh-subst-ev-if [of j t i x] subst-v-e-def by metis
 fix a::x and tm::e and x::v
 show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   using forget-subst-ev subst-v-e-def by simp
 fix a::x and tm::e
 show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-ev-id subst-v-e-def by simp
 fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::e
 show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   using subst-ev-commute subst-v-e-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::e and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v
  using subst-v-e-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::e and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   using subst-v-e-def by simp
```

```
qed
end
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-ev\text{-}commute\text{-}full:
 fixes e::e and w::v and v::v
 assumes atom z \sharp v and atom x \sharp w and x \neq z
 shows subst-ev (e[z::=w]_{ev}) x v = subst-ev (e[x::=v]_{ev}) z w
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule: e.strong-induct,simp+)
lemma subst-ev-v-flip1 [simp]:
 fixes e::e
 assumes atom z1 \sharp (z,e) and atom z1' \sharp (z,e)
 \mathbf{shows}(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot e[z:=v]_{ev} = e[z:=((z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot v)]_{ev}
 using assms proof(nominal-induct e rule:e.strong-induct)
qed (simp add: flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh)+
4.4
         Expressions in Constraints
nominal-function subst-cev :: ce \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow ce where
  subst-cev ((CE-val v')) x v = ((CE-val (subst-vv v' x v)))
 subst-cev ((CE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ x \ v = ((CE-op \ opp \ (subst-cev \ v1 \ x \ v \ ) \ (subst-cev \ v2 \ x \ v \ )))
 subst-cev ((CE-fst v')) x v = CE-fst (subst-cev v' x v)
 subst-cev ((CE-snd v')) x v = CE-snd (subst-cev v' x v)
 subst-cev ((CE-len v')) x v = CE-len (subst-cev v' x v)
\mid subst\text{-}cev \ (CE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2) \ x \ v = CE\text{-}concat \ (subst\text{-}cev \ v1 \ x \ v \ ) \ (subst\text{-}cev \ v2 \ x \ v \ )
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cev-graph-aux-def, auto)
by (meson ce.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-cev-abbrev :: ce \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow ce (-[-::=-]_{cev} [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  e[x::=v']_{cev} \equiv subst-cev \ e \ x \ v'
lemma size-subst-cev [simp]: size (subst-cev A i x ) = size A
by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: ce.strong-induct, auto)
lemma forget-subst-cev [simp]: atom a \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-cev A \ a \ x = A
by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: ce.strong-induct, auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-cev-id [simp]: subst-cev A a (V-var a) = A
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}cev\text{-}if}\ [\mathit{simp}]:
 j \sharp (subst\text{-}cev \ A \ i \ x \ ) = ((atom \ i \sharp A \land j \sharp A) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp A \lor j = atom \ i)))
proof(nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: ce.strong-induct)
 case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
 then show ?case using fresh-subst-vv-if subst-ev.simps e.supp pure-fresh opp.fresh
```

```
fresh-e-opp
   using fresh-opp-all by auto
qed(auto)+
lemma subst-cev-commute [simp]:
  atom \ j \ \sharp \ A \Longrightarrow (subst-cev \ (subst-cev \ A \ i \ t \ ) \ j \ u) = subst-cev \ A \ i \ (subst-vv \ t \ j \ u \ )
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-cev-var-flip[simp]:
 fixes e::ce and y::x and x::x
 assumes atom y \sharp e
 shows (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e = e [x := V - var y]_{cev}
 using assms proof(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)
case (CE\text{-}val\ v)
  then show ?case using subst-vv-var-flip by auto
next
 case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
 hence yf: atom y \sharp v1 \wedge atom y \sharp v2 using ce.fresh by blast
 have (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (CE\text{-}op \ opp \ v1 \ v2) = CE\text{-}op \ ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot opp) \ (\ (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v1) \ (\ (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v2)
   using opp.perm-simps ce.perm-simps permute-pure ce.fresh opp.strong-exhaust by presburger
 also have ... = CE-op ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot opp) (v1[x::=V-var\ y]_{cev}) (v2\ [x::=V-var\ y]_{cev}) using yf
   by (simp\ add:\ CE\text{-}op.hyps(1)\ CE\text{-}op.hyps(2))
 finally show ?case using subst-cev.simps opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust
   by (metis (full-types))
qed( (auto simp add: permute-pure subst-vv-var-flip)+)
lemma subst-cev-flip:
 fixes e::ce and ea::ce and c::x
 assumes atom c \sharp (e, ea) and atom c \sharp (x, xa, e, ea) and (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot e = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot ea
 shows e[x:=v']_{cev} = ea[xa:=v']_{cev}
proof -
 have e[x:=v']_{cev} = (e[x:=V-var\ c]_{cev})[c:=v']_{cev} using subst-ev-commute assms by simp
 also have ... = ((c \leftrightarrow x) \cdot e)[c := v']_{cev} using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
 also have ... = ((c \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ea)[c := v']_{cev} using assms flip-commute by metis
 also have ... = ea[xa::=v']_{cev} using subst-ev-var-flip assms by simp
 finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma subst-cev-var[simp]:
 fixes z::x and x::x
 shows [[x]^v]^{ce} [x:=[z]^v]_{cev} = [[z]^v]^{ce}
by auto
instantiation ce :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}v
begin
definition
 subst-v = subst-cev
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::ce
```

```
show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   using fresh-subst-cev-if [of j t i x] subst-v-ce-def by metis
  fix a::x and tm::ce and x::v
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   using forget-subst-cev subst-v-ce-def by simp
 fix a::x and tm::ce
  show subst-v tm \ a \ (V-var \ a) = tm \ using \ subst-cev-id \ subst-v-ce-def \ by \ simp
  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::ce
  show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   using subst-cev-commute subst-v-ce-def by simp
  fix x::x and c::ce and z::x
  show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c \ [z := V \text{-}var \ x]_v
  using subst-v-ce-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::ce and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c [z::=V - var x]_v [x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   using subst-v-ce-def by simp
ged
end
{f lemma}\ subst-cev-commute-full:
  fixes e::ce and w::v and v::v
 assumes atom z \sharp v and atom x \sharp w and x \neq z
  shows subst-cev (e[z::=w]_{cev}) x v = subst-cev (e[x::=v]_{cev}) z w
using assms by(nominal-induct e rule: ce.strong-induct,simp+)
lemma subst-cev-v-flip1[simp]:
 fixes e::ce
 assumes atom z1 \sharp (z,e) and atom z1' \sharp (z,e)
 \mathbf{shows}(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot e[z:=v]_{cev} = e[z:=((z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot v)]_{cev}
 using assms proof(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct)
qed (simp add: flip-def fresh-Pair swap-fresh-fresh)+
```

#### 4.5 Constraints

```
using c.strong-exhaust apply metis
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-cv-abbrev :: c \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow c (-[-::=-]_{cv} [1000,50,50] 1000)
 c[x::=v']_{cv} \equiv subst-cv \ c \ x \ v'
lemma size-subst-cv [simp]: size ( subst-cv A i x ) = size A
 apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: c.strong-induct)
   apply auto
done
lemma forget-subst-cv [simp]: atom a \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-cv A \ a \ x = A
 apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: c.strong-induct)
 apply(auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done
lemma subst-cv-id [simp]: subst-cv A a (V-var a) = A
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma fresh-subst-cv-if [simp]:
 j \sharp (subst-cv \ A \ i \ x) \longleftrightarrow (atom \ i \sharp A \land j \sharp A) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp A \lor j = atom \ i))
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: c.strong-induct, (auto simp add: pure-fresh)+)
lemma subst-cv-commute [simp]:
  atom \ j \ \sharp \ A \Longrightarrow (subst-cv \ (subst-cv \ A \ i \ t \ ) \ j \ u \ ) = subst-cv \ A \ i \ (subst-vv \ t \ j \ u \ )
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma let-s-size [simp]: size s < size (AS-let x e s)
 apply (nominal-induct s avoiding: e \times rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct(1))
 apply auto
 done
lemma subst-cv-var-flip[simp]:
 fixes c::c
 assumes atom y \sharp c
 shows (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c = c[x := V - var y]_{cv}
 using \ assms \ by (nominal-induct \ c \ rule: c.strong-induct, (simp \ add: flip-subst-v \ subst-v-ce-def) +)
instantiation c :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}v
begin
definition
 subst-v = subst-cv
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::c
```

```
show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp \ t \land j \sharp \ t) \lor (j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   using fresh-subst-cv-if[of j t i x] subst-v-c-def by metis
  fix a::x and tm::c and x::v
 show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   using forget-subst-cv subst-v-c-def by simp
 fix a::x and tm::c
 show subst-v \ tm \ a \ (V-var \ a) = tm \ using \ subst-cv-id \ subst-v-c-def \ by \ simp
 fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::c
 show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   using subst-cv-commute subst-v-c-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::c and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z := [x]^v]_v
  using subst-cv-var-flip subst-v-c-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::c and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   using subst-cv-var-flip subst-v-c-def by simp
qed
\quad \mathbf{end} \quad
lemma subst-cv-var-flip1[simp]:
 fixes c::c
  assumes atom y \sharp c
 shows (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c = c[x := V - var y]_{cv}
 using subst-cv-var-flip flip-commute
 by (metis assms)
lemma subst-cv-v-flip3[simp]:
 fixes c::c
 assumes atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ c and atom \ z1' \ \sharp \ c
 \mathbf{shows}(z1 \leftrightarrow z1') \cdot c[z::=[z1]^v]_{cv} = c[z::=[z1']^v]_{cv}
  consider z1' = z \mid z1 = z \mid atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ z \land atom \ z1' \ \sharp \ z by force
  then show ?thesis proof(cases)
   case 1
   then show ?thesis using 1 assms by auto
  next
   case 2
    then show ?thesis using 2 assms by auto
  next
   then show ?thesis using assms by auto
 qed
qed
```

```
lemma subst-cv-v-flip[simp]:
 fixes c::c
 assumes atom x \sharp c
 shows ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[x:=v]_{cv} = c [z:=v]_{cv}
 using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-cv\text{-}commute	ext{-}full:
 fixes c::c
 assumes atom z \sharp v and atom x \sharp w and x \neq z
 shows (c[z:=w]_{cv})[x:=v]_{cv} = (c[x:=v]_{cv})[z:=w]_{cv}
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
 case (C-eq e1 e2)
 then show ?case using subst-cev-commute-full by simp
qed(force+)
lemma subst-cv-eq[simp]:
 assumes atom z1 \sharp e1
 shows (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z1) == e1\ )[z1::=[x]^v]_{cv} = (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1\ ) (is ?A = ?B)
proof -
 have ?A = (((CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z1))[z1::=[x]^v]_{cev}) == e1) using subst\text{-}cv.simps\ assms by simp
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
4.6
         Variable Context
nominal-function subst-gv:: \Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Gamma where
  subst-gv \ GNil \ x \ v = GNil
| subst-gv ((y,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x v = (if x = y then \Gamma else ((y,b,c[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (subst-gv \Gamma x v)))
proof(goal\text{-}cases)
 case 1
 then show ?case by(simp add: eqvt-def subst-gv-graph-aux-def)
 case (3 P x)
 then show ?case by (metis neq-GNil-conv prod-cases3)
qed(fast+)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-gv-abbrev :: \Gamma \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Gamma \left( -[-::=-]_{\Gamma v} \left[ 1000, 50, 50 \right] 1000 \right)
where
 g[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} \equiv subst-gv \ g \ x \ v
lemma size-subst-gv [simp]: size ( subst-gv G i x ) \leq size G
 by (induct \ G, auto)
lemma forget-subst-gv [simp]: atom a \sharp G \Longrightarrow subst-gv G \ a \ x = G
 apply (induct G, auto)
 using fresh-GCons fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh apply blast
 apply (simp add: fresh-GCons)+
```

```
done
```

assume  $a10:cb \neq xa$ 

```
lemma fresh-subst-qv: atom a \sharp G \Longrightarrow atom \ a \sharp v \Longrightarrow atom \ a \sharp subst-qv \ G \ x \ v
\mathbf{proof}(induct\ G)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ xbc \ G)
  obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
  show ?case proof(cases x=x')
   case True
   have atom a \sharp G using GCons fresh-GCons by blast
   thus ?thesis using subst-gv.simps(2)[of x'b'c'G] GCons xbc True by presburger
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis using subst-gv.simps(2)[of x' b' c' G] GCons xbc False fresh-GCons by simp
qed
lemma subst-gv-flip:
 fixes x::x and xa::x and z::x and c::c and b::b and \Gamma::\Gamma
 assumes atom xa \sharp ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and atom xa \sharp \Gamma and atom x \sharp \Gamma and atom x \sharp (z, b)
c) and atom xa \sharp (z, c)
 shows (x \leftrightarrow xa) · ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = (xa, b, c[z::=V-var \ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
proof -
 have (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot ((x, b, c[z:=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = (((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot x, b, (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c[z:=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma}
((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma))
   using subst Cons-eqvt flip-fresh-fresh using G-cons-flip by simp
  also have ... = ((xa, b, (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c[z:=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma)) using assms by fastforce
  also have ... = ((xa, b, c[z:=V-var \ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Gamma)) using assms subst-cv-var-flip by
fast force
  also have ... = ((xa, b, c[z:=V-var\ xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using assms flip-fresh-fresh by blast
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
4.7
          Types
nominal-function \mathit{subst-tv} :: \tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau where
  atom z \sharp (x,v) \Longrightarrow subst-tv \{ z : b \mid c \} x v = \{ z : b \mid c[x:=v]_{cv} \}
  apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-tv-graph-aux-def )
 apply auto
  apply(rule-tac y=a and c=(aa,b) in \tau.strong-exhaust)
 apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
 apply blast
proof -
  fix z :: x and c :: c and za :: x and xa :: x and va :: v and ca :: c and cb :: x
 assume a1: atom za \sharp va and a2: atom z \sharp va and a3: \forall cb. atom cb \sharp c \wedge atom cb \sharp ca \longrightarrow cb \neq
z \wedge cb \neq za \longrightarrow c[z::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv} = ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}
  assume a4: atom cb \sharp c and a5: atom cb \sharp ca and a6: cb \neq z and a7: cb \neq za and atom cb \sharp va
and a8: za \neq xa and a9: z \neq xa
```

```
note assms = a10 \ a9 \ a8 \ a7 \ a6 \ a5 \ a4 \ a3 \ a2 \ a1
 have c[z::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}=ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv} using assms by auto
 hence c[z::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv}=ca[za::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} by simp
 \mathbf{moreover\ have}\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = c[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}\ \mathbf{using}\ \ subst-cv\text{-}commute\text{-}full[of]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv}
z va xa V-var cb | assms fresh-def v.supp by fastforce
  {\bf moreover}\ \ {\bf have}\ \ ca[za::=V-var\ cb]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv}\ =\ ca[xa::=va]_{cv}[za::=V-var\ cb]_{cv}
       using subst-cv-commute-full[of za va xa V-var cb ] assms fresh-def v.supp by fastforce
  ultimately show c[xa::=va]_{cv}[z::=V-var\ cb]_{cv}=ca[xa::=va]_{cv}[za::=V-var\ cb]_{cv} by simp
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-tv-abbrev :: \tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau (-[-::=-]_{\tau v} [1000,50,50] 1000)
  t[x:=v]_{\tau v} \equiv subst-tv \ t \ x \ v
lemma size-subst-tv [simp]: size (subst-tv A i x ) = size A
proof (nominal-induct A avoiding: i \times rule: \tau.strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x' b' c')
 then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma forget-subst-tv [simp]: atom a \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-tv A \ a \ x = A
 apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: \tau.strong-induct)
 apply(auto simp: fresh-at-base)
done
lemma subst-tv-id [simp]: subst-tv A a (V-var a) = A
  by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: \tau.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma fresh-subst-tv-if [simp]:
 j \sharp (subst-tv \ A \ i \ x) \longleftrightarrow (atom \ i \sharp A \land j \sharp A) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp A \lor j = atom \ i))
 apply (nominal-induct A avoiding: i x rule: \tau.strong-induct)
 using fresh-def supp-b-empty x-fresh-b by auto
lemma subst-tv-commute [simp]:
  atom \ y \ \sharp \ \tau \Longrightarrow (\tau[x::=t]_{\tau v})[y::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=t[y::=v]_{vv}]_{\tau v}
  by (nominal-induct \tau avoiding: x \ y \ t \ v \ rule: \tau.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-tv-var-flip [simp]:
  fixes x::x and xa::x and \tau::\tau
 assumes atom xa \ \sharp \ \tau
 shows (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \tau = \tau [x := V - var \ xa]_{\tau v}
  obtain z::x and b and c where zbc: atom z \sharp (x,xa, V-var xa) \land \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \}
   using obtain-fresh-z by (metis prod.inject subst-tv.cases)
  hence atom xa \notin supp \ c - \{ atom \ z \} using \tau.supp[of \ z \ b \ c] fresh-def supp-b-empty assms
 moreover have xa \neq z using zbc fresh-prod3 by force
```

```
ultimately have xaf: atom xa \sharp c using fresh-def by auto
  have (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \tau = \{ z : b \mid (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot c \}
   \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ \tau. \textit{perm-simps} \ \textit{empty-iff} \ \textit{flip-at-base-simps}(3) \ \textit{flip-fresh-fresh} \ \textit{fresh-PairD}(1) \ \textit{fresh-PairD}(2)
fresh-def not-self-fresh supp-b-empty v.fresh(2) zbc)
  also have ... = \{z: b \mid c[x:=V-var \ xa]_{cv}\} using subst-cv-v-flip xaf
   by (metis permute-flip-cancel permute-flip-cancel2 subst-cv-var-flip)
  finally show ?thesis using subst-tv.simps zbc
   using fresh-PairD(1) not-self-fresh by force
qed
instantiation \tau :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}v
begin
definition
  subst-v = subst-tv
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::\tau
 show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp \ t \land j \sharp \ t) \lor (j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ t \lor j = atom \ i)))
  \mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ t\ avoiding:\ i\ x\ rule:\tau.strong\text{-}induct)
   case (T-refined-type z \ b \ c)
    hence j \sharp \{ z : b \mid c \} [i ::= x]_v = j \sharp \{ z : b \mid c [i ::= x]_{cv} \} using subst-tv.simps subst-v-\tau-def
fresh-Pair by simp
   also have ... = (atom\ i \sharp \{ z:b \mid c \} \land j \sharp \{ z:b \mid c \} \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp \{ z:b \mid c \} \lor j = atom)
i))
      unfolding \tau.fresh using subst-v-c-def fresh-subst-v-if
      using T-refined-type.hyps(1) T-refined-type.hyps(2) x-fresh-b by auto
   finally show ?case by auto
  qed
 fix a::x and tm::\tau and x::v
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   apply(nominal-induct\ tm\ avoiding:\ a\ x\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
   using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv simps subst-v-τ-def fresh-Pair by simp
  fix a::x and tm::\tau
  show subst-v \ tm \ a \ (V-var \ a) = tm
   apply(nominal-induct\ tm\ avoiding:\ a\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
   using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv simps subst-v-τ-def fresh-Pair by simp
  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::\tau
  show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   apply(nominal-induct\ tm\ avoiding:\ a\ x\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
   using subst-v-c-def forget-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v-\tau-def fresh-Pair by simp
  fix x::x and c::\tau and z::x
  show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=[x]^v]_v
   apply(nominal-induct\ c\ avoiding:\ z\ x\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
   using subst-v-c-def flip-subst-v subst-tv.simps subst-v-τ-def fresh-Pair by auto
 fix x::x and c::\tau and z::x
```

```
show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   apply(nominal-induct\ c\ avoiding:\ x\ v\ z\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
   using subst-v-c-def subst-tv.simps subst-v-\tau-def fresh-Pair
   by (metis flip-commute subst-tv-commute subst-tv-var-flip subst-v-\tau-def subst-vv.simps(2))
qed
end
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-tv-commute-full:
 fixes c::\tau
 assumes atom z \sharp v and atom x \sharp w and x \neq z
 shows (c[z:=w]_{\tau v})[x:=v]_{\tau v} = (c[x:=v]_{\tau v})[z:=w]_{\tau v}
using assms proof(nominal-induct\ c\ avoiding:\ x\ v\ z\ w\ rule:\ \tau.strong-induct)
 case (T-refined-type x1a \ x2a \ x3a)
 then show ?case using subst-cv-commute-full by simp
qed
lemma type-eq-subst-eq:
 fixes v::v and c1::c
 assumes \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} = \{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \}
 shows c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv}
 using subst-v-flip-eq-two of z1 c1 z2 c2 v \tau.eq-iff assms subst-v-c-def by simp
nominal-function c\text{-}of :: \tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow c where
  atom z \sharp x \Longrightarrow c-of (T-refined-type z \ b \ c) x = c[z:=[x]^v]_{cv}
\mathbf{proof}(goal\text{-}cases)
 case 1
 then show ?case using eqvt-def c-of-graph-aux-def by force
next
 case (2 \ x \ y)
 then show ?case using eqvt-def c-of-graph-aux-def by force
next
 case (3 P x)
 then obtain x1::\tau and x2::x where *:x = (x1,x2) by force
 obtain z' and b' and c' where x1 = \{ z' : b' \mid c' \} \land atom z' \not \parallel x2 \text{ using } obtain-fresh-z \text{ by } metis
 then show ?case using 3 * by auto
next
  case (4 z1 x1 b1 c1 z2 x2 b2 c2)
 then show ?case using subst-v-flip-eq-two \tau-eq-iff by (metis prod.inject type-eq-subst-eq)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma c-of-eq:
 shows c-of \{x:b\mid c\} x=c
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \{ \ x : b \mid c \ \} \ avoiding: x \ rule: \tau.strong\text{-}induct)
 case (T-refined-type x' c')
 moreover hence c\text{-of} \{ x' : b \mid c' \} x = c'[x' := V\text{-}var \ x]_{cv} \text{ using } c\text{-}of.simps \text{ by } auto
 moreover have \{x': b \mid c'\} = \{x: b \mid c\} using T-refined-type \tau-eq-iff by metis
```

```
moreover have c'[x':=V-var\ x]_{cv}=c using T-refined-type Abs1-eq-iff flip-subst-v subst-v-c-def
   by (metis subst-cv-id)
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
lemma obtain-fresh-z-c-of:
 fixes t::'b::fs
 obtains z where atom z \sharp t \wedge \tau = \{ z : b \text{-} of \ \tau \mid c \text{-} of \ \tau z \}
proof -
  obtain z and c where atom z \sharp t \wedge \tau = \{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c \} using obtain-fresh-z2 by metis
 moreover hence c = c-of \tau z using c-of.simps using c-of-eq by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using that by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma c-of-fresh:
 fixes x::x
  assumes atom x \sharp (t,z)
 shows atom x \sharp c-of t z
 obtain z' and c' where z:t=\{ z': b\text{-}of\ t\mid c'\} \land atom\ z'\ \sharp\ (x,z)\ using\ obtain\text{-}fresh\text{-}z\text{-}c\text{-}of\ by\ metis
 hence *:c-of t z = c'[z'::=V-var z]_{cv} using c-of.simps fresh-Pair by metis
 have (atom\ x\ \sharp\ c'\lor\ atom\ x\in set\ [atom\ z'])\land\ atom\ x\ \sharp\ b\text{-}of\ t\ using\ \tau.fresh\ assms\ z\ fresh\text{-}Pair\ by
  hence atom x \sharp c' using fresh-Pair z fresh-at-base(2) by fastforce
  moreover have atom x \not\equiv V-var z using assms fresh-Pair v-fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms fresh-subst-v-if [of atom x c' z' V-var z] subst-v-c-def * by
metis
qed
lemma c-of-switch:
 fixes z::x
  assumes atom z \sharp t
 shows (c \text{-} of \ t \ z)[z := V \text{-} var \ x]_{cv} = c \text{-} of \ t \ x
 obtain z' and c' where z:t=\{ z': b\text{-}of\ t\mid c'\} \land atom\ z'\ \sharp\ (x,z)\ using\ obtain\text{-}fresh\text{-}z\text{-}c\text{-}of\ by\ metis
 hence (atom\ z\ \sharp\ c'\lor\ atom\ z\in set\ [atom\ z'])\land\ atom\ z\ \sharp\ b\text{-}of\ t\ using\ \tau.fresh[of\ atom\ z\ z'\ b\text{-}of\ t\ c']
assms by metis
 moreover have atom z \notin set [atom \ z'] using z fresh-Pair by force
  ultimately have **:atom z \sharp c' using fresh-Pair z fresh-at-base(2) by metis
 have (c 	ext{-of } t z)[z := V 	ext{-var } x]_{cv} = c'[z' := V 	ext{-var } z]_{cv}[z := V 	ext{-var } x]_{cv} using c 	ext{-of } .simps fresh-Pair z by
 also have ... = c'[z':=V-var x]_{cv} using subst-v-simple-commute subst-v-c-def assms c-of.simps z **
by metis
 finally show ?thesis using c-of.simps[of z' x b-of t c'] fresh-Pair z by metis
thm type-eq-subst-eq
lemma type-eq-subst-eq1:
```

```
fixes v::v and c1::c
 assumes \{z1:b1 \mid c1\} = (\{z2:b2 \mid c2\}) and atom z1 \sharp c2
 shows c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv} and b1=b2 and c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2
 show c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv} using type-eq-subst-eq assms by blast
 show b1=b2 using \tau.eq-iff assms by blast
 have z1 = z2 \land c1 = c2 \lor z1 \neq z2 \land c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2 \land atom z1 \sharp c2
   using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff [of z1 c1 z2 c2] assms by blast
 thus c1 = (z1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2 by auto
qed
lemma type-eq-subst-eq2:
 fixes v::v and c1::c
 assumes \{z1:b1\mid c1\}=(\{z2:b2\mid c2\})
 shows c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv} and b1=b2 and [[atom\ z1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ z2]]lst.\ c2
 show c1[z1::=v]_{cv} = c2[z2::=v]_{cv} using type-eq-subst-eq assms by blast
 show b1=b2 using \tau.eq-iff assms by blast
 show [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
   using \tau.eq-iff assms by auto
qed
lemma type-eq-subst-eq3:
 fixes v::v and c1::c
 assumes { z1:b1 \mid c1 } = ({ z2:b2 \mid c2 }) and atom z1 \sharp c2
 shows c1 = c2[z2:=V-var z1]_{cv} and b1=b2
 using type-eq-subst-eq1 assms subst-v-c-def
 by (metis\ subst-cv-var-flip)+
lemma type-eq-flip:
 assumes atom x \sharp c
 shows \{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ x : b \mid (x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c \}
 using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff assms
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) flip-fresh-fresh)
lemma c-of-true:
  c\text{-}of \{ z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} \} x = C\text{-}true
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \{\ z': B\text{-}bool\ \mid\ TRUE\ \}\ avoiding:\ x\ rule:\tau.strong\text{-}induct)
 case (T-refined-type x1a \ x3a)
 hence \{z': B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} = \{x1a: B\text{-bool} \mid x3a \} \text{ using } \tau.eq\text{-iff by } metis
 then show ?case using subst-cv.simps c-of.simps T-refined-type
  tupe-eq-subst-eq3
   by (metis\ type-eq-subst-eq)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ type\text{-}eq\text{-}subst:
 assumes atom x \sharp c
 shows \{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ x : b \mid c[z := [x]^v]_{cv} \}
```

```
using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff assms
    using subst-cv-var-flip type-eq-flip by auto
lemma type-e-subst-fresh:
    fixes x::x and z::x
    assumes atom z \sharp (x,v) and atom x \sharp e
    shows \{z: b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == e\ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \{z: b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == e\ \}
    using assms subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps forget-subst-cev by simp
lemma type-v-subst-fresh:
    fixes x::x and z::x
    assumes atom z \sharp (x,v) and atom x \sharp v'
     shows \{z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)=CE\text{-}val\ v'\ \{x:=v\}_{\tau v}=\{z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)=CE\text{-}val\ v'\ \{z:v\}_{\tau v}=\{z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)=CE\text{-}val\ v'\ \{z:v\}_{\tau v}=\{z:v\}_{\tau v}=\{z:
CE-val v' \}
    using assms subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps by simp
lemma subst-tbase-eq:
    b\text{-}of \ \tau = b\text{-}of \ \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
    obtain z and b and c where zbc: \tau = \{ z:b|c \} \land atom z \sharp (x,v) \text{ using } \tau.exhaust
        by (metis prod.inject subst-tv.cases)
    hence b-of \{z:b|c\} = b-of \{z:b|c\}[x:=v]_{\tau v} using subst-tv.simps by simp
    thus ?thesis using zbc by blast
qed
lemma subst-tv-if:
    assumes atom z1 \sharp (x,v) and atom z' \sharp (x,v)
    shows \{z1: b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \quad IMP\ (c'[x::=v]_{cv})[z'::=[z1]^v]_{cv}\ \} =
                    \{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ v' = CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ IMP \ c'[z'::=[z1]^v]_{cv} \ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}
 \textbf{using} \ \ \textit{subst-cv-commute-full} [\textit{of} \ \textit{z'} \ \textit{v} \ \textit{x} \ \textit{V-var} \ \textit{z1} \ \textit{c'}] \quad \textit{subst-tv.simps} \ \ \textit{subst-vv.simps}(1) \ \ \textit{subst-ev.simps} 
subst-cv.simps\ assms
by simp
lemma subst-tv-tid:
    assumes atom za \sharp (x,v)
    shows \{ za : B \text{-} id \ tid \mid TRUE \} = \{ za : B \text{-} id \ tid \mid TRUE \} [x := v]_{\tau v} \}
using assms subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps by presburger
lemma b-of-subst:
    b\text{-}of\ (\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) = b\text{-}of\ \tau
proof -
    obtain z b c where *:\tau = \{ \ z : b \mid c \ \} \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ (x,v) \ \text{using} \ obtain\ fresh\ z \ \text{by} \ met is
    thus ?thesis using subst-tv.simps * by auto
qed
lemma subst-tv-flip:
    assumes \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau and atom \ x \ \sharp \ (v,\tau) and atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (v,\tau)
    shows ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[x' := v]_{\tau v} = \tau
proof -
    have (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot v = v \wedge (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau = \tau using assms flip-fresh-fresh by auto
    thus ?thesis using subst-tv.eqvt[of (x' \leftrightarrow x) \ \tau' \ x \ v] assms by auto
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma subst-cv-true:
  \{z: B\text{-}id\ tid\ \mid\ TRUE\ \} = \{z: B\text{-}id\ tid\ \mid\ TRUE\ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
 obtain za::x where atom za \sharp (x,v) using obtain-fresh by auto
 \mathbf{hence} \ \{ \ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \ \mid \ TRUE \ \} \ \mathbf{using} \ \tau.eq\text{-}iff \ Abs1\text{-}eq\text{-}iff \ \mathbf{by} \ fastforce \}
 moreover have \{ za : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} = \{ za : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \}
   using subst-cv.simps subst-tv.simps by (simp add: \langle atom \ za \ \sharp \ (x, \ v) \rangle)
  ultimately show ?thesis by argo
qed
lemma t-eq-supp:
  assumes (\{ z : b \mid c \}) = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \})
 shows supp c - \{ atom z \} = supp c1 - \{ atom z1 \}
  have supp \ c - \{ atom \ z \} \cup supp \ b = supp \ c1 - \{ atom \ z1 \} \cup supp \ b1 \ using \ \tau.supp \ assms
   by (metis list.set(1) list.simps(15) sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty)
 moreover have supp b = supp \ b1 using assms \tau.eq.iff by simp
 moreover have atom z1 \notin supp \ b1 \land atom \ z \notin supp \ b using supp-b-empty by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
   by (metis \ \tau.eq.iff \ \tau.supp \ assms \ b.supp(1) \ list.set(1) \ list.set(2) \ sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed
lemma fresh-t-eq:
  fixes x::x
 assumes (\{ z : b \mid c \}) = (\{ zz : b \mid cc \}) and atom x \sharp c and x \neq zz
  shows atom x \sharp cc
proof -
  thm \tau.supp
  have supp c - \{atom\ z\} \cup supp\ b = supp\ cc - \{atom\ zz\} \cup supp\ b\ using\ \tau.supp\ assms
   by (metis\ list.set(1)\ list.simps(15)\ sup-bot.right-neutral\ supp-b-empty)
 moreover have atom x \notin supp \ c using assms fresh-def by blast
  ultimately have atom x \notin supp \ cc - \{ atom \ zz \} \cup supp \ b \ by force
 hence atom x \notin supp \ cc \ using \ assms \ by \ simp
 thus ?thesis using fresh-def by auto
qed
4.8
          Mutable Variable Context
nominal-function subst-dv :: \Delta \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Delta where
  subst-dv \ DNil \ x \ v = DNil
| subst-dv ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) x v = ((u,t[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} (subst-dv \Delta x v))
```

```
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-dv-graph-aux-def, auto )
 using delete-aux.elims by (metis \Delta.exhaust surj-pair)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-dv-abbrev :: \Delta \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \Delta (-[-::=-]_{\Delta v} [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
```

```
\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \equiv subst-dv \ \Delta \ x \ v
nominal-function dmap :: (u*\tau \Rightarrow u*\tau) \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \Delta where
  dmap\ f\ DNil\ =\ DNil
|dmap\ f\ ((u,t)\#_{\Delta}\Delta)\ = (f\ (u,t)\ \#_{\Delta}\ (dmap\ f\ \Delta\ ))
  apply (simp add: eqvt-def dmap-graph-aux-def, auto )
  using delete-aux.elims by (metis \Delta.exhaust surj-pair)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma subst-dv-iff:
  \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \operatorname{dmap} (\lambda(u,t). (u, t[x::=v]_{\tau v})) \Delta
by(induct \Delta, auto)
lemma size-subst-dv [simp]: size ( subst-dv G i x) \leq size G
 by (induct G, auto)
lemma forget-subst-dv [simp]: atom a \sharp G \Longrightarrow subst-dv G \ a \ x = G
  apply (induct \ G \ , auto)
  using fresh-DCons\ fresh-PairD(1)\ not-self-fresh\ apply\ fastforce
 apply (simp add: fresh-DCons)+
 done
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-dv-member:
  assumes (u,\tau) \in setD \ \Delta
  shows (u, \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \ (\Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v})
using assms by (induct \Delta rule: \Delta-induct, auto)
lemma fresh-subst-dv:
 fixes x::x
 assumes atom xa \ \sharp \ \Delta and atom xa \ \sharp \ v
 shows atom xa \sharp \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v}
using assms proof(induct \Delta rule: \Delta - induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons\ u\ t\ \Delta)
 then show ?case using subst-dv.simps subst-v-\tau-def fresh-DCons fresh-Pair by simp
qed
lemma fresh-subst-dv-if:
 fixes j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::\Delta
 assumes j \sharp t \wedge j \sharp x
 shows (j \sharp subst-dv \ t \ i \ x)
using assms proof(induct t rule: \Delta-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps fresh-GNil by auto
next
  case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ D')
  then show ?case unfolding subst-dv.simps using fresh-DCons fresh-subst-tv-if fresh-Pair by metis
```

#### 4.9 Statements

Using ideas from proof at top of AFP/Launchbury/Substitution.thy. Chunks borrowed from there; hence the apply style proofs.

```
nominal-function (default case-sum (\lambda x. Inl undefined) (case-sum (\lambda x. Inl undefined) (\lambda x. Inr undefined)
fined)))
subst-sv :: s \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow s
and subst-branchv :: branch-s \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow branch-s
and subst-branchly :: branch-list \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow branch-list where
   subst-sv ((AS-val v')) x v = (AS-val (subst-vv v' x v))
 | atom \ y \ \sharp \ (x,v) \Longrightarrow subst-sv \ (AS-let \ y \ e \ s) \ x \ v = (AS-let \ y \ (e[x:=v]_{ev}) \ (subst-sv \ s \ x \ v \ ))
  atom y \sharp (x,v) \Longrightarrow subst-sv \ (AS-let2 \ y \ t \ s1 \ s2) \ x \ v = (AS-let2 \ y \ (t[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \ (subst-sv \ s1 \ x \ v \ )
(subst-sv \ s2 \ x \ v))
   subst-sv\ (AS-match\ v'\ cs)\ x\ v=AS-match\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ (subst-branchlv\ cs\ x\ v\ )
  subst-sv \ (AS-assign \ y \ v') \ x \ v = AS-assign \ y \ (subst-vv \ v' \ x \ v \ )
  subst-sv ( (AS-if\ v'\ s1\ s2) ) x\ v=(AS-if\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v)\ (subst-sv\ s1\ x\ v)\ (subst-sv\ s2\ x\ v) )
  atom\ u\ \sharp\ (x,v) \Longrightarrow subst-sv\ (AS-var\ u\ \tau\ v'\ s)\ x\ v = AS-var\ u\ (subst-tv\ \tau\ x\ v\ )\ (subst-vv\ v'\ x\ v\ )
(subst-sv \ s \ x \ v)
  subst-sv (AS-while s1 s2) x v = AS-while (subst-sv s1 x v ) (subst-sv s2 x v )
  subst-sv \ (AS-seq \ s1 \ s2) \ x \ v = AS-seq \ (subst-sv \ s1 \ x \ v \ ) \ (subst-sv \ s2 \ x \ v \ )
  subst-sv \ (AS-assert \ c \ s) \ x \ v = AS-assert \ (subst-cv \ c \ x \ v) \ (subst-sv \ s \ x \ v)
 \mid atom \ x1 \ \sharp \ (x,v) \Longrightarrow \ subst-branchv \ (AS-branch \ dc \ x1 \ s1 \ ) \ x \ v \ = AS-branch \ dc \ x1 \ (subst-sv \ s1 \ x \ v \ )
 | subst-branchlv (AS-final cs) x v = AS-final (subst-branchv cs x v) |
 | subst-branchlv (AS-cons \ cs \ css) \ x \ v = AS-cons \ (subst-branchv \ cs \ x \ v) \ (subst-branchlv \ css \ x \ v)
apply (auto, simp add: eqvt-def subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph-aux-def)
\mathbf{proof}(goal\text{-}cases)
 have eqvt-at-proj: \bigwedge s xa va . eqvt-at subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC (Int (s, xa, va)) \Longrightarrow
           eqvt-at (\lambda a. projl (subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sum C (Inl a))) (s, xa, va)
  apply(simp \ add: \ eqvt-at-def)
  apply(rule)
  apply(subst Projl-permute)
  apply(thin-tac -)+
  apply (simp add: subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-sumC-def)
  apply (simp add: THE-default-def)
  apply (case-tac Ex1 (subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph (Inl (s,xa,va))))
  apply simp
  apply(auto)[1]
  apply (erule-tac \ x=x \ in \ all E)
  apply \ simp
  apply(cases\ rule:\ subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv-graph.cases)
 apply(assumption)
 apply(rule-tac\ x=Sum-Type.proil\ x\ in\ exI, clarify, rule\ the 1-equality, blast, simp\ (no-asm)\ only:\ sum.sel)+
 apply blast +
 apply(simp) +
  done
```

```
{
   case (1 P x')
   then show ?case proof(cases x')
     case (Inl\ a) thus P
     \mathbf{proof}(cases\ a)
      case (fields aa bb cc)
      thus P using Inl 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by metis
     qed
   next
     case (Inr\ b) thus P
     proof(cases \ b)
      case (Inl\ a) thus P proof(cases\ a)
        case (fields aa bb cc)
         then show ?thesis using Inr Inl 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by
metis
       qed
     \mathbf{next}
       case Inr2: (Inr b) thus P proof(cases b)
       case (fields aa bb cc)
        then show ?thesis using Inr Inr2 1 s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust fresh-star-insert by
metis
     qed
   qed
 qed
next
 case (2 \ y \ s \ ya \ xa \ va \ sa \ c)
 thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
  case (3 y s2 ya xa va s1a s2a c)
  thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
next
 case (4 u s ua xa va sa c)
 moreover have atom u \sharp (xa, va) \wedge atom ua \sharp (xa, va) using fresh-Pair u-fresh-xv by auto
 ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple[of u xa va ua s sa] subst-sv-def eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
 case (5 x1 s1 x1a xa va s1a c)
  thus ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by blast
}
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-sv-abbrev :: <math>s \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow s (-[-::=-]_{sv} [1000,50,50] 1000)
 s[x:=v]_{sv} \equiv subst-sv \ s \ x \ v
abbreviation
  subst-branchv-abbrev :: branch-s \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow branch-s (-[-::=-]_{sv} [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
 s[x:=v]_{sv} \equiv subst-branchv \ s \ x \ v
```

```
and size (subst-branchly C i x) = size C
 by (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct, auto)
lemma forget-subst-sv [simp]: shows atom a \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-sv A \ a \ x = A \ and \ atom \ a \ \sharp B \Longrightarrow
subst-branchv \ B \ a \ x = B \ and \ atom \ a \ \sharp \ C \Longrightarrow subst-branchlv \ C \ a \ x = C
 by (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct, auto simp:
fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-sv-id [simp]: subst-sv A a (V-var a) = A and subst-branchv B a (V-var a) = B and
subst-branchlv \ C \ a \ (V-var \ a) = C
proof(nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-let x option e s)
  then show ?case
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) fresh-Pair not-None-eq subst-ev-id subst-sv.simps(2) subst-sv.simps(3)
subst-tv-id \ v.fresh(2))
next
  case (AS\text{-}match\ v\ branch-s)
  then show ?case using fresh-Pair not-None-eq subst-ev-id subst-sv.simps subst-sv.simps subst-tv-id
v.fresh\ subst-vv-id
   by metis
qed(auto)+
lemma fresh-subst-sv-if-rl:
 shows
       (atom\ x\ \sharp\ s\land j\ \sharp\ s)\lor(j\ \sharp\ v\land(j\ \sharp\ s\lor j=atom\ x))\Longrightarrow j\ \sharp\ (subst-sv\ s\ x\ v\ ) and
        (atom \ x \sharp cs \land j \sharp cs) \lor (j \sharp v \land (j \sharp cs \lor j = atom \ x)) \Longrightarrow j \sharp (subst-branchv \ cs \ x \ v) and
        (atom \ x \ \sharp \ css \land j \ \sharp \ css) \lor (j \ \sharp \ v \land (j \ \sharp \ css \lor j = atom \ x)) \Longrightarrow j \ \sharp \ (subst-branchlv \ css \ x \ v)
  apply(nominal-induct s and cs and css avoiding: v x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  using pure-fresh by force+
lemma fresh-subst-sv-if-lr:
  shows j \sharp (subst-sv \ s \ x \ v) \Longrightarrow (atom \ x \sharp s \land j \sharp s) \lor (j \sharp v \land (j \sharp s \lor j = atom \ x)) and
       j \sharp (subst-branchv \ cs \ x \ v) \Longrightarrow (atom \ x \sharp \ cs \land j \sharp \ cs) \lor (j \sharp \ v \land (j \sharp \ cs \lor j = atom \ x)) and
        j \sharp (subst-branchlv\ css\ x\ v\ ) \Longrightarrow (atom\ x \sharp \ css\ \land j \sharp \ css) \lor (j \sharp \ v \land (j \sharp \ css\ \lor j = atom\ x))
proof(nominal-induct s and cs avoiding: v x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch list x s)
 then show ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-Pair list.distinct(1) list.set-cases pure-fresh
set-ConsD subst-branchv.simps by metis
next
  case (AS\text{-}let\ y\ e\ s')
  thus ?case proof(cases atom x \sharp (AS-let y e s'))
   hence subst-sv (AS-let y e s') x v = (AS-let y e s') using forget-subst-sv by simp
   hence j \sharp (AS\text{-}let \ y \ e \ s') using AS\text{-}let by argo
   then show ?thesis using True by blast
  next
   case False
     have subst-sv (AS-let y e s') x v = AS-let y (e[x::=v]_{ev}) (s'[x::=v]_{sv}) using subst-sv.simps(2)
```

**lemma** size-subst-sv [simp]: size  $(subst-sv \ A \ i \ x) = size \ A \ and \ size <math>(subst-branchv \ B \ i \ x) = size \ B$ 

```
AS-let by force
    hence ((j \sharp s'[x::=v]_{sv} \lor j \in set [atom y]) \land j \sharp None \land j \sharp e[x::=v]_{ev}) using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
AS-let
        by (simp add: fresh-None)
    then show ?thesis using AS-let fresh-None fresh-subst-ev-if list.discI list.set-cases s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
set-ConsD
        by metis
 qed
next
    case (AS-let2 y \tau s1 s2)
    thus ?case proof(cases atom x \sharp (AS\text{-let2 } y \tau s1 s2))
      case True
      hence subst-sv (AS-let2 y \tau s1 s2) x v = (AS-let2 y \tau s1 s2) using forget-subst-sv by simp
      hence j \sharp (AS-let2 \ y \ \tau \ s1 \ s2) using AS-let2 by argo
      then show ?thesis using True by blast
    next
      case False
     have subst-sv (AS-let2\ y\ \tau\ s1\ s2)\ x\ v\ = AS-let2\ y\ (\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v})\ (s1[x::=v]_{sv})\ (s2[x::=v]_{sv}) using
subst-sv.simps AS-let2 by force
      then show ?thesis using AS-let2
        fresh-subst-tv-if list.discI list.set-cases s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(4) set-ConsD by auto
    ged
qed(auto)+
lemma fresh-subst-sv-if [simp]:
  fixes x::x and v::v
 shows j \sharp (subst-sv \ s \ x \ v) \longleftrightarrow (atom \ x \sharp s \land j \sharp s) \lor (j \sharp v \land (j \sharp s \lor j = atom \ x)) and
 j \sharp (subst-branchv\ cs\ x\ v) \longleftrightarrow (atom\ x \sharp cs \land j \sharp cs) \lor (j \sharp v \land (j \sharp cs \lor j = atom\ x))
  using fresh-subst-sv-if-lr fresh-subst-sv-if-rl by metis+
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-sv-commute\ [simp]:
  fixes A::s and t::v and j::x and i::x
  shows atom j \sharp A \Longrightarrow (subst-sv\ (subst-sv\ A\ i\ t)\ j\ u\ ) = subst-sv\ A\ i\ (subst-vv\ t\ j\ u\ ) and
        atom \ j \ \sharp \ B \Longrightarrow (subst-branchv \ (subst-branchv \ B \ i \ t \ ) \ j \ u \ ) = subst-branchv \ B \ i \ (subst-vv \ t \ j \ u \ )
and
         atom j \ \sharp \ C \Longrightarrow (subst-branchlv \ (subst-branchlv \ C \ i \ t) \ j \ u \ ) = subst-branchlv \ C \ i \ (subst-vv \ t \ j \ u \ )
)
 apply(nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i j t u rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
             apply(auto\ simp:\ fresh-at-base)
  done
lemma c-eq-perm:
  assumes ((atom z) \rightleftharpoons (atom z')) \cdot c = c' \text{ and } atom z' \sharp c
 shows \{ z : b \mid c \} = \{ z' : b \mid c' \}
  using \tau. eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3)
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{Nominal2-Base}.\mathit{swap-commute}\ \mathit{assms}(1)\ \mathit{assms}(2)\ \mathit{flip-def}\ \mathit{swap-fresh-fresh})
lemma subst-sv-flip:
  fixes s::s and sa::s and v'::v
  assumes atom c \sharp (s, sa) and atom c \sharp (v', x, xa, s, sa) atom x \sharp v' and atom xa \sharp v' and (x \leftrightarrow c)
\cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa
 shows s[x:=v']_{sv} = sa[xa:=v']_{sv}
```

```
proof -
     have atom x \sharp (s[x:=v']_{sv}) and xafr: atom xa \sharp (sa[xa:=v']_{sv})
                and atom \ c \ \sharp \ (s[x::=v']_{sv}, \ sa[xa::=v']_{sv}) using assms using fresh-subst-sv-if assms by (blast+
,force)
     hence s[x::=v']_{sv} = (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (s[x::=v']_{sv}) by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-Pair)
    \textbf{also have} \ \dots = ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s)[\ ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x) ::= ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')\ ]_{sv} \ \textbf{using } \textit{subst-sv-subst-branch} \textit{v-subst-branch} \textit{v-s
by blast
     also have ... = ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)[((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x) := ((x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv} using assms by presburger
     also have ... = ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)[((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot xa) ::= ((xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot v')]_{sv} using assms
          by (metis flip-at-simps(1) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD(1))
       also have ... = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot (sa[xa:=v']_{sv}) using subst-sv-subst-branchv-subst-branchlv.eqvt by
presburger
     also have ... = sa[xa::=v']_{sv} using xafr assms by (simp \ add: flip-fresh-fresh \ fresh-Pair)
     finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma if-type-eq:
     fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and v :: v and z1 :: x
     assumes atom z1' \sharp (v, ca, (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll)\ IMP\ ca[za:=[z1]^v]_{cv}
)) and atom z1 \sharp v
             and atom z1 \sharp (za,ca) and atom z1' \sharp (za,ca)
    shows (\{z1': ba \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \mid IMP\ ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}\}) = \{\{z1: ba \mid CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-
v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}
proof -
          have atom z1' \sharp (CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za:=[z1]^v]_{cv}) using assms fresh-prod4
         moreover hence (CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za::=[z1']^v]_{cv}) = (z1' \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot (CE-val
v == CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za:=[z1]^v]_{cv})
          proof -
                 \mathbf{have}\ (z1'\leftrightarrow z1)\cdot (\mathit{CE-val}\ v\ ==\ \mathit{CE-val}\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ \mathit{ll})\quad \mathit{IMP}\ \mathit{ca}[\mathit{za}::=[\mathit{z1}]^v]_{\mathit{cv}}\ )=(\ (\mathit{z1'}\leftrightarrow \mathit{z1})\cdot \mathit{val})\cdot \mathit{val}
(CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll))\ IMP\ ((z1'\leftrightarrow z1)\cdot ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}))
                also have ... = ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll)) \quad IMP\ ((z1'\leftrightarrow z1)\cdot ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}))
                     using \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ v \rangle \ assms
               by (metis\ (mono-tags)\ (atom\ z1'\ \sharp\ (CE-val\ v==CE-val\ (V-lit\ ll)\ IMP\ ca[za:=[z1]^v]_{cv}\ ) \land c.fresh(6)
c.fresh(7) ce.fresh(1) flip-at-simps(2) flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base-permute-iff fresh-def supp-l-empty
v.fresh(1)
                also have ... = ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll)) \quad IMP\ (ca[za:=[z1']^v]_{cv}))
                     using assms by fastforce
                finally show ?thesis by auto
          ultimately show ?thesis
                using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of z1' CE-val v = CE-val (V-lit ll) IMP ca[za:=[z1']^v]_{cv}
                   z1 \ CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit ll) \ IMP \ ca[za::=[z1]^v]_{cv}] by blast
qed
lemma subst-sv-var-flip:
     fixes x::x and s::s and z::x
     shows atom x \sharp s \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot s) = s[z := [x]^v]_{sv} and
```

atom  $x \sharp cs \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot cs) = subst-branchv \ cs \ z \ [x]^v$  and

```
atom \ x \ \sharp \ css \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot css) = subst-branchlv \ css \ z \ [x]^v
   apply(nominal-induct\ s\ and\ cs\ avoiding:\ z\ rule:\ s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
using [[simproc del: alpha-lst]]
  apply (auto )
  \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathit{subst-tv-var-flip} \ \ \mathit{flip-fresh-fresh} \ \ v.\mathit{fresh} \ \ \mathit{s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh}
   subst-v-\tau-def subst-v-v-def subst-vv-var-flip subst-v-e-def subst-ev-var-flip pure-fresh apply auto
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u apply blast
  defer 1
  using x-fresh-u apply blast
  defer 1
 using x-fresh-u Abs1-eq-iff '(3) flip-fresh-fresh
 apply (simp add: subst-v-c-def)
 using x-fresh-u Abs1-eq-iff '(3) flip-fresh-fresh
 by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
instantiation s :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}v
begin
definition
  subst-v = subst-sv
instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::s
 show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   using fresh-subst-sv-if subst-v-s-def by auto
  fix a::x and tm::s and x::v
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   using forget-subst-sv subst-v-s-def by simp
 fix a::x and tm::s
 show subst-v tm a (V-var a) = tm using subst-sv-id subst-v-s-def by simp
 fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::s
  show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   using subst-sv-commute subst-v-s-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::s and z::x
  show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=[x]^v]_v
  using subst-sv-var-flip subst-v-s-def by simp
 fix x::x and c::s and z::x
 show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   using subst-sv-var-flip subst-v-s-def by simp
qed
end
```

## 4.10 Type Definition

**nominal-function** subst-ft-v :: fun-typ  $\Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow fun$ -typ where

```
atom\ z\ \sharp\ (x,v) \Longrightarrow subst-ft-v\ (\ AF-fun-typ\ z\ b\ c\ t\ (s::s))\ x\ v = AF-fun-typ\ z\ b\ c[x::=v]_{cv}\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}
s[x:=v]_{sv}
  apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ft-v-graph-aux-def)
  apply(simp add:fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule-tac\ y=a\ and\ c=(aa,b)\ in\ fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
  apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
  apply blast
proof(goal\text{-}cases)
 case (1 z c t s za xa va ca ta sa cb)
 hence c[z::=[cb]^v]_{cv} = ca[za::=[cb]^v]_{cv}
   by (metis flip-commute subst-cv-var-flip)
 hence c[z::=[cb]^v]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} = ca[za::=[cb]^v]_{cv}[xa::=va]_{cv} by auto
 \textbf{then show ?} case \textbf{ using } \textit{subst-cv-commute atom-eq-iff fresh-atom fresh-atom-at-base } \textit{subst-cv-commute-full}
v.fresh
   using 1 subst-cv-var-flip flip-commute by metis
next
 case (2 z c t s za xa va ca ta sa cb)
 hence t[z::=[cb]^v]_{\tau v} = ta[za::=[cb]^v]_{\tau v} by metis
 hence t[z:=[cb]^v]_{\tau v}[xa:=va]_{\tau v} = ta[za:=[cb]^v]_{\tau v}[xa:=va]_{\tau v} by auto
 then show ?case using subst-tv-commute-full 2
   by (metis atom-eq-iff fresh-atom fresh-atom-at-base v.fresh(2))
 qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function subst-ftq-v :: fun-typ-q \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow fun-typ-q where
atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (x,v) \Longrightarrow subst-ftq-v\ (AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ ft)\ x\ v = (AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ (subst-ft-v\ ft\ x\ v))
|subst-ftq-v|(AF-fun-typ-none |ft|) |x|v| = (AF-fun-typ-none |(subst-ft-v|ft|x|v|)
  apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ftq-v-graph-aux-def)
  apply(simp\ add:fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust\ )
  apply(auto)
  apply(rule-tac\ y=a\ and\ c=(aa,b)\ in\ fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust)
   apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
\mathbf{proof}(goal\text{-}cases)
 case (1 bv ft bva fta xa va c)
 then show ?case using subst-ft-v.simps by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma size-subst-ft[simp]: size (subst-ft-v A x v) = size A
 \mathbf{by}(nominal\text{-}induct\ A\ avoiding:\ x\ v\ rule:\ fun-typ.strong\text{-}induct,auto)
lemma forget-subst-ft [simp]: shows atom x \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-ft-v A x a = A
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct, auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-ft-id [simp]: subst-ft-v \ A \ a \ (V-var \ a) = A
```

```
by(nominal-induct A avoiding: a rule: fun-typ.strong-induct, auto)
instantiation fun-typ :: has-subst-v
begin
definition
   subst-v = subst-ft-v
instance proof
   fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::fun-typ
   show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
       apply(simp only: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-ft-v.simps)
       using fun-typ.fresh fresh-subst-v-if apply simp
            by auto
   fix a::x and tm::fun-typ and x::v
   show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-v tm \ a \ x = tm
   proof(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
       case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
       then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v-\tau-def \mathbf{by} fast force
   qed
   fix a::x and tm::fun-typ
   show subst-v \ tm \ a \ (V-var \ a) = tm
   proof(nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
       case (AF-fun-typ x1a \ x2a \ x3a \ x4a \ x5a)
       \textbf{then show} \ ? case \ \textbf{unfolding} \ subst-ft-v. simps \ subst-v-fun-typ-def \ fun-typ. fresh \ \ \textbf{using} \ forget-subst-v-fun-typ-def \ fun-typ-fresh \ \ \textbf{using} \ forget-subst-v-fun-typ-def \ fun-typ-fresh \ \ \textbf{using} \ forget-subst-v-fun-typ-def \ fun-typ-fresh \ \ \textbf{using} \ forget-subst-v-fun-typ-fresh \ \ \textbf{using} \
subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v-\tau-def by fastforce
   qed
   fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::fun-typ
   show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   proof(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: x1 v rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
       case (AF-fun-typ x1a x2a x3a x4a x5a)
       then show ?case unfolding subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-fun-typ-def fun-typ.fresh using forget-subst-v
subst-ft-v.simps subst-v-c-def forget-subst-sv subst-v-\tau-def by fastforce
   fix x::x and c::fun-typ and z::x
   show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=[x]^v]_v
       apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: x z rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
       by (auto simp add: subst-v-c-def subst-v-s-def subst-v-τ-def subst-v-fun-typ-def)
    fix x::x and c::fun-typ and z::x
    show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
       apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z x v rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
       apply auto
       by (auto simp add: subst-v-c-def subst-v-s-def subst-v-\tau-def subst-v-fun-typ-def )
```

```
qed
end
instantiation fun-typ-q :: has-subst-v
begin
definition
  \mathit{subst-v} = \mathit{subst-ftq-v}
instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::fun-typ-q
  show (j \sharp subst-v \ t \ i \ x) = ((atom \ i \sharp \ t \land j \sharp \ t) \lor (j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
  \mathbf{apply}(\textit{auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if}
)
   by (metis (no-types) fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-fun-typ-def)+
 fix i::x and t::fun-typ-q and x::v
 show atom i \sharp t \Longrightarrow subst-v \ t \ i \ x = t
   apply(nominal-induct\ t\ avoiding:\ i\ x\ rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
   \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp\ add:\ subst-v-fun-typ-def\ subst-v-s-def\ subst-v-\tau-def\ subst-v-fun-typ-q-def\ fresh-subst-v-if
)
  fix i::x and t::fun-typ-q
  show subst-v \ t \ i \ (V-var \ i) = t \ using \ subst-cv-id \ subst-v-fun-typ-def
   apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
   \mathbf{by}(\textit{auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v-} \tau - \textit{def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if})
)
  fix p::perm and x1::x and v::v and t1::fun-typ-q
  show p \cdot subst-v \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-v \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   apply(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: v x1 rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
   \mathbf{by}(auto\ simp\ add:\ subst-v-fun-typ-def\ subst-v-s-def\ subst-v-\tau-def\ subst-v-fun-typ-q-def\ fresh-subst-v-if
)
  fix x::x and c::fun-typ-q and z::x
  show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((x \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=[x]^v]_v
   \mathbf{apply}(nominal\text{-}induct\ c\ avoiding:\ x\ z\ rule: fun-typ-q. strong\text{-}induct, auto)
   \mathbf{by}(\textit{auto simp add: subst-v-fun-typ-def subst-v-s-def subst-v-\tau-def subst-v-fun-typ-q-def fresh-subst-v-if}
)
 fix x::x and c::fun-typ-q and z::x
  show atom x \sharp c \Longrightarrow c[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_v = c[z::=v]_v
   apply(nominal-induct c avoiding: z x v rule:fun-typ-q.strong-induct,auto)
   apply(auto\ simp\ add:\ subst-v-fun-typ-def\ subst-v-s-def\ subst-v-fun-typ-q-def\ fresh-subst-v-if
)
     \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis subst-v-fun-typ-def flip-bv-x-cancel subst-ft-v.eqvt subst-v-simple-commute } v.perm-simps
)+
qed
```

end

### 4.11 Variable Context

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-dv-fst-eq}\colon
  fst \cdot setD \ (\Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v}) = fst \cdot setD \ \Delta
by (induct \Delta rule: \Delta-induct, simp, force)
lemma subst-gv-member-iff:
 fixes x'::x and x::x and v::v and c'::c
 assumes (x',b',c') \in toSet \Gamma and atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma
 shows (x',b',c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \in toSet \ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}
proof -
 have x' \neq x using assms fresh-dom-free2 by metis
 then show ?thesis using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
   then show ?case by auto
 next
   case (GCons x1 b1 c1 \Gamma')
   show ?case proof(cases (x',b',c') = (x1,b1,c1))
     case True
    hence ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = ((x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})) using subst-gv.simps
\langle x' \neq x \rangle by auto
     then show ?thesis using True by auto
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
     have x1 \neq x using fresh-def fresh-GCons fresh-Pair supp-at-base GCons fresh-dom-free2 by auto
     hence (x', b', c') \in toSet \Gamma' using GCons False toSet.simps by auto
      moreover have atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma' using fresh-GCons GCons dom.simps toSet.simps by
simp
     ultimately have (x', b', c'[x:=v]_{cv}) \in toSet \Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} using GCons by auto
     hence (x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \in toSet((x1, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma}(\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})) by auto
     then show ?thesis using subst-gv.simps \langle x1 \neq x \rangle by auto
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma fresh-subst-qv-if:
 fixes j::atom and i::x and x::v and t::\Gamma
 assumes j \sharp t \wedge j \sharp x
 shows (j \sharp subst-gv \ t \ i \ x)
using assms proof(induct t rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using subst-gv.simps fresh-GNil by auto
 case (GCons x' b' c' \Gamma')
 then show ?case unfolding subst-qv.simps using fresh-GCons fresh-subst-cv-if by auto
qed
```

## 4.12 Lookup

```
lemma set-GConsD: y \in toSet (x \#_{\Gamma} xs) \Longrightarrow y=x \lor y \in toSet xs by auto
```

```
lemma subst-g-assoc-cons: assumes x \neq x' shows (((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) = ((x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G)) using subst-gv.simps append-g.simps assms by auto
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

## Chapter 5

# Basic Type Variable Substitution

#### 5.1 Class

```
class has-subst-b = fs +
 fixes subst-b :: 'a::fs \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow 'a::fs (-[-::=-]<sub>b</sub> [1000,50,50] 1000)
  assumes fresh-subst-if: j \sharp (t[i::=x]_b) \longleftrightarrow (atom\ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom\ i))
            forget-subst[simp]: atom \ a \ \sharp \ tm \Longrightarrow tm[a::=x]_b = tm
  and
  and
            subst-id[simp]:
                                   tm[a::=(B-var\ a)]_b = tm
  and
            eqvt[simp,eqvt]:
                                        (p::perm) \cdot (subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v \ ) = (subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v) \ )
  and
            flip-subst[simp]:
                                   atom\ bv\ \sharp\ c \Longrightarrow ((bv\leftrightarrow z)\cdot c) = c[z::=B-var\ bv]_b
           flip\text{-}subst\text{-}subst[simp]: atom \ bv \ \sharp \ c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv ::= v]_b = c[z ::= v]_b
  and
begin
lemmas flip-subst-b = flip-subst-subst
{f lemma}\ subst-b-simple-commute:
  fixes x::bv
  assumes atom x \sharp c
 shows (c[z::=B-var \ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = c[z::=b]_b
 have (c[z::=B-var\ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = ((x\leftrightarrow z)\cdot c)[x::=b]_b using flip-subst assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using flip-subst-subst assms by simp
qed
lemma subst-b-flip-eq-one:
 fixes z1::bv and z2::bv and x1::bv and x2::bv
  assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
      and atom x1 \sharp (z1,z2,c1,c2)
   shows (c1[z1:=B-var x1]_b) = (c2[z2:=B-var x1]_b)
  have (c1[z1::=B-var \ x1]_b)=(x1\leftrightarrow z1)\cdot c1 using assms flip-subst by auto
  moreover have (c2[z2::=B-var \ x1]_b) = (x1 \leftrightarrow z2) \cdot c2 using assms flip-subst by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of z1 c1 z2 c2 z1] assms
   by (metis\ Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3)\ flip-commute)
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-b-flip-eq-two:
 fixes z1::bv and z2::bv and x1::bv and x2::bv
 assumes [[atom z1]]lst. c1 = [[atom z2]]lst. c2
 shows (c1[z1:=b]_b) = (c2[z2:=b]_b)
proof -
 obtain x::bv where *:atom x \sharp (z1,z2,c1,c2) using obtain-fresh by metis
 hence (c1[z1::=B-var\ x]_b) = (c2[z2::=B-var\ x]_b) using subst-b-flip-eq-one[OF assms, of x] by metis
 hence (c1[z1::=B-var \ x]_b)[x::=b]_b = (c2[z2::=B-var \ x]_b)[x::=b]_b by auto
 thus ?thesis using subst-b-simple-commute * fresh-prod4 by metis
qed
lemma subst-b-fresh-x:
 fixes tm::'a::fs and x::x
 shows atom x \sharp tm = atom x \sharp tm[bv:=b']_b
 using fresh-subst-if of atom x tm bv b' using x-fresh-b by auto
lemma subst-b-x-flip[simp]:
 fixes x'::x and x::x and bv::bv
 shows ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot tm)[bv := b']_b = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (tm[bv := b']_b)
proof -
 have (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot bv = bv using pure-supp flip-fresh-fresh by force
 moreover have (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot b' = b' using x-fresh-b flip-fresh-fresh by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using eqvt by simp
qed
end
5.2
        Base Type
nominal-function subst-bb :: b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b where
  subst-bb (B-var bv2) bv1 b = (if bv1 = bv2 then b else (B-var bv2))
 subst-bb B-int bv1 b = B-int
  subst-bb B-bool bv1 b = B-bool
  subst-bb (B-id s) bv1 b = B-id s
  subst-bb (B-pair b1 b2) bv1 b = B-pair (subst-bb b1 bv1 b) (subst-bb b2 bv1 b)
  subst-bb B-unit bv1 b = B-unit
  subst-bb B-bitvec bv1 b = B-bitvec
 | subst-bb (B-app \ s \ b2) \ bv1 \ b = B-app \ s \ (subst-bb \ b2 \ bv1 \ b)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-bb-graph-aux-def)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-bb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
```

```
abbreviation
```

done

**apply** (meson b.strong-exhaust)

```
subst-bb-abbrev :: b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow b \ (-[-::=-]_{bb} \ [1000,50,50] \ 1000) where
```

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```
b[bv:=b']_{bb} \equiv subst-bb\ b\ bv\ b'
instantiation b :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-bb
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::b
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp \ t \land j \sharp \ t \lor j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ t \lor j = atom \ i))
  proof (induct t rule: b.induct)
   case (B-id x)
   then show ?case using subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-def by auto
   case (B\text{-}var\ x)
   then show ?case using subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def by auto
  next
  case (B-app \ x1 \ x2)
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def by auto
  qed(auto simp add: subst-bb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-b-def)+
  fix a::bv and tm::b and x::b
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow tm[a::=x]_b = tm
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{induct}\ \mathit{tm}\ \mathit{rule}\colon \mathit{b.induct},\ \mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{fresh-at-base}\ \mathit{subst-bb.simps}\ \mathit{subst-b-b-def})
  fix a::bv and tm::b
  show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
  by (induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def)
  fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::b
 show p \cdot subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p \cdot t1) (p \cdot x1) (p \cdot v)
   by (induct tm rule: b.induct, auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def)
 fix bv::bv and c::b and z::bv
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
   by (induct c rule: b.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
 fix bv::bv and c::b and z::bv and v::b
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
   by (induct c rule: b.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
qed
end
lemma subst-bb-inject:
  assumes b1 = b2[bv:=b]_{bb} and b2 \neq B-var bv
 shows
   b1 = B\text{-}int \implies b2 = B\text{-}int \text{ and }
   b1 = B\text{-}bool \implies b2 = B\text{-}bool and
```

```
b1 = B-unit \Longrightarrow b2 = B-unit and
   b1 = B\text{-}bitvec \implies b2 = B\text{-}bitvec and
   b1 = B-pair b11 \ b12 \Longrightarrow (\exists \ b11' \ b12' \ . \ b11 = b11' [bv::=b]_{bb} \land b12 = b12' [bv::=b]_{bb} \land b2 = B-pair
b11' b12') and
   b1 = B\text{-}var\ bv' \Longrightarrow b2 = B\text{-}var\ bv' and
   b1 = B\text{-}id \ tyid \implies b2 = B\text{-}id \ tyid \ \text{and}
   b1 = B-app tyid b11 \Longrightarrow (\exists b11'. b11 = b11'[bv::=b]_{bb} \land b2 = B-app tyid b11')
 using assms by (nominal-induct b2 rule:b.strong-induct,auto+)
lemma flip-b-subst4:
 fixes b1::b and bv1::bv and c::bv and b::b
 assumes atom c \sharp (b1,bv1)
 shows b1[bv1:=b]_{bb} = ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1)[c := b]_{bb}
using assms proof(nominal-induct b1 rule: b.strong-induct)
 case B-int
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
 case B-bool
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
 hence atom bv1 \sharp x \land atom c \sharp x using fresh-def pure-supp by auto
 hence ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot B - id \ x) = B - id \ x  using fresh-Pair \ b. fresh(3) flip-fresh-fresh \ b. perm-simps fresh-def
pure-supp by metis
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps by simp
next
 case (B\text{-}pair\ x1\ x2)
  hence x1[bv1:=b]_{bb} = ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x1)[c:=b]_{bb} using b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4) fresh-Pair by
  moreover have x2[bv1:=b]_{bb} = ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x2)[c:=b]_{bb} using b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4)
fresh-Pair B-pair by metis
 ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps(5) b.perm-simps(4) b.fresh(4) fresh-Pair by auto
next
 case B-unit
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
 case B-bitvec
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by auto
next
 case (B\text{-}var\ x)
 then show ?case proof(cases x=bv1)
   then show ?thesis using B-var subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps by simp
 next
   case False
   moreover have x\neq c using B-var b.fresh fresh-def supp-at-base fresh-Pair by fastforce
   ultimately show ?thesis using B-var subst-bb.simps(1) b.perm-simps(7) by simp
 qed
next
 case (B-app \ x1 \ x2)
 hence x2[bv1:=b]_{bb} = ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot x2)[c:=b]_{bb} using b.perm-simps b.fresh fresh-Pair by metis
 thus ?case using subst-bb.simps b.perm-simps b.fresh fresh-Pair B-app
```

```
by (simp add: permute-pure)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-bb-flip-sym}\colon
 fixes b1::b and b2::b
 assumes atom c \sharp b and atom c \sharp (bv1,bv2,\ b1,\ b2) and (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2
 shows b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} = b2[bv2::=b]_{bb}
 using assms flip-b-subst4 [of c b1 bv1 b] flip-b-subst4 [of c b2 bv2 b] fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair by simp
5.3
         Value
nominal-function subst-vb :: v \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow v where
  subst-vb (V-lit l) x v = V-lit l
  subst-vb \ (V-var \ y) \ x \ v = V-var \ y
  subst-vb (V-cons tyid c v') x v = V-cons tyid c (subst-vb v' x v)
  subst-vb (V-consp tyid c b v') x v = V-consp tyid c (subst-bb b x v) (subst-vb v' x v)
 subst-vb (V-pair v1 v2) x v = V-pair (subst-vb v1 x v ) (subst-vb v2 x v )
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-vb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
using v.strong-exhaust by meson
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-vb-abbrev :: v \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow v (-[-::=-]_{vb} [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  e[bv:=b]_{vb} \equiv subst-vb \ e \ bv \ b
instantiation v :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-vb
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::v
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
 proof (induct t rule: v.induct)
   case (V-lit\ l)
   have j \sharp subst-b \ (V-lit \ l) \ i \ x = j \sharp \ (V-lit \ l) using subst-vb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh
        subst-b-v-def v.supp v.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def by auto
   also have ... = True using fresh-at-base v.fresh l.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def by metis
   moreover have (atom\ i\ \sharp\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \land j\ \sharp\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \lor j\ \sharp\ x \land (j\ \sharp\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \lor j = atom\ i)) = True
using fresh-at-base v.fresh l.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def by metis
   ultimately show ?case by simp
 next
   case (V-var y)
   then show ?case using subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh by force
 next
   case (V-pair x1a \ x2a)
   then show ?case using subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps by auto
 next
```

```
case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
   then show ?case using V-cons subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh by force
 next
   case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
     then show ?case using subst-b-v-def subst-vb.simps pure-fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-def subst-b-v-def by fastforce
 qed
 fix a::bv and tm::v and x::b
 show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
   apply(induct tm rule: v.induct)
   {\bf apply}(\ auto\ simp\ add:\ fresh-at-base\ subst-vb.simps\ subst-b-v-def)
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{has}\text{-}\mathit{subst-b-class}.\mathit{fresh-subst-if}\ \mathit{subst-b-b-def}\ e.\mathit{fresh}
   using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst by fastforce
 fix a::bv and tm::v
 show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
   apply (induct tm rule: v.induct)
           apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def)
\mathbf{using}\ \mathit{has}\text{-}\mathit{subst-b-class}.\mathit{fresh-subst-if}\ \mathit{subst-b-def}\ e.\mathit{fresh}
   using has-subst-b-class.subst-id by metis
 fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::v
 show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   apply(induct tm rule: v.induct)
           apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-def e.fresh
   using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
   by (simp\ add:\ subst-b-v-def)+
 fix bv::bv and c::v and z::bv
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
  apply (induct c rule: v.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
     apply (metis flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2)
   using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh [of bv x z]
    apply (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
   using subst-b-def by argo
 fix bv::bv and c::v and z::bv and v::b
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
   apply (induct c rule: v.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-vb.simps subst-b-v-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
     apply (metis flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2)
   using fresh-at-base flip-fresh[of bv x z]
    \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{simp} \ \mathit{add} \colon \mathit{flip\text{-}fresh\text{-}fresh})
   using
               subst-b-def flip-subst-subst by fastforce
qed
```

end

### 5.4 Constraints Expressions

```
nominal-function subst-ceb :: ce \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow ce where
  subst-ceb ( (CE-val v') ) bv b = (CE-val (subst-vb <math>v' bv b))
 subst-ceb ((CE-op opp v1 v2)) bv b = ((CE-op opp (subst-ceb v1 bv b)(subst-ceb v2 bv b)))
 subst-ceb \ (\ (CE-fst\ v'))\ bv\ b = CE-fst\ (subst-ceb\ v'\ bv\ b)
 subst-ceb \ (\ (CE-snd\ v'))\ bv\ b=CE-snd\ (subst-ceb\ v'\ bv\ b)
 subst-ceb \ (\ (CE-len\ v'))\ bv\ b=CE-len\ (subst-ceb\ v'\ bv\ b)
\mid subst\text{-}ceb \mid (CE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2) \ bv \ b = CE\text{-}concat \ (subst\text{-}ceb \ v1 \ bv \ b) \ (subst\text{-}ceb \ v2 \ bv \ b)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-ceb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
by (meson ce.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-ceb-abbrev :: ce \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow ce (-[-::=-]_{ceb} [1000,50,50] 500)
  ce[bv:=b]_{ceb} \equiv subst-ceb \ ce \ bv \ b
instantiation ce :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ceb
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::ce
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
 proof (induct t rule: ce.induct)
  case (CE\text{-}val\ v)
    then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-ce-def ce.supp v.supp ce.fresh
has\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}class.fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}if\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}b\text{-}def\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}v\text{-}def
     by metis
  next
   case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
   \mathbf{have}\ (j \sharp v1[i::=x]_{ceb} \land j \sharp v2[i::=x]_{ceb}) = ((atom\ i \sharp v1 \land atom\ i \sharp v2) \land j \sharp v1 \land j \sharp v2 \lor j \sharp x
\land (j \sharp v1 \land j \sharp v2 \lor j = atom i))
     using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
     using CE-op.hyps(1) CE-op.hyps(2) subst-b-ce-def by auto
   thus ?case unfolding subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def ce.fresh
     using fresh-def pure-fresh opp.fresh subst-b-v-def opp.exhaust fresh-e-opp-all
     by (metis (full-types))
   case (CE-concat x1a x2)
   then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by force
   case (CE-fst x)
   then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
 next
   case (CE\text{-}snd\ x)
```

```
then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
 next
   case (CE-len x)
   then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def ce.fresh by metis
 qed
 fix a::bv and tm::ce and x::b
 show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
   apply(induct tm rule: ce.induct)
   apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def)
   using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-def e.fresh
    using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def apply metis+
   done
 fix a::bv and tm::ce
 show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
   apply (induct tm rule: ce.induct)
   apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def)
   using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-def e.fresh
     using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-v-def apply metis+
 done
 fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::ce
 show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
  apply(induct tm rule: ce.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def )
  using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-def ce.fresh
   using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
   by (simp\ add:\ subst-b-ce-def)+
 fix bv::bv and c::ce and z::bv
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
     apply (induct c rule: ce.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def
permute-pure pure-supp )+)
    using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def apply
metis
   using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def
   by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-opp-all)
 fix bv::bv and c::ce and z::bv and v::b
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
proof (induct c rule: ce.induct)
 case (CE\text{-}val\ x)
 then show ?case using flip-subst-subst-subst-b-v-def subst-ceb.simps using subst-b-ce-def by fastforce
next
 case (CE-op x1a x2 x3)
 then show ?case unfolding subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def ce.perm-simps using flip-subst-subst-subst-b-v-def
```

#### 5.5 Constraints

```
nominal-function subst-cb :: c \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c where
  subst-cb (C-true) x v = C-true
  subst-cb (C-false) x v = C-false
  subst-cb (C-conj c1 c2) x v = C-conj (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
  subst-cb (C-disj c1 c2) x v = C-disj (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
  subst-cb (C-imp c1 c2) x v = C-imp (subst-cb c1 x v) (subst-cb c2 x v)
  subst-cb (C-eq e1 e2) x v = C-eq (subst-ceb e1 x v) (subst-ceb e2 x v)
  subst-cb (C-not c) x v = C-not (subst-cb c x v )
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-cb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
using c.strong-exhaust apply metis
done
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-cb-abbrev :: c \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow c (-[-::=-]_{cb} [1000,50,50] 500)
where
  c[bv:=b]_{cb} \equiv subst-cb \ c \ bv \ b
instantiation c :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-cb
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::c
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
   by (induct t rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
      (metis\ has\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}class.fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}if\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}ce\text{-}def\ c.fresh)+
```

```
fix a::bv and tm::c and x::b
    show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
        by(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
              (metis\ has\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}class.forget\text{-}subst\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}ce\text{-}def)+)
    fix a::bv and tm::c
    show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-c-def
        by(induct tm rule: c.induct, unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh,
              (metis\ has-subst-b-class.subst-id\ subst-b-ce-def)+)
    fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::c
    show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
     apply(induct tm rule: c.induct,unfold subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def c.fresh)
     by( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def )
   fix bv::bv and c::c and z::bv
   show atom by \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
     apply (induct c rule: c.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
         using flip-fresh-fresh flip-leq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def apply
        using flip-fresh-fresh flip-leq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
              apply (metis\ opp.perm-simps(2)\ opp.strong-exhaust)+
    done
    fix bv::bv and c::c and z::bv and v::b
    show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
     apply (induct c rule: c.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-cb.simps subst-b-c-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
        \mathbf{using} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{flip-fresh-fresh} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{flip-l-eq} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{permute-flip-cancel2} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{has-subst-b-class.flip-subst} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{subst-b-ce-def} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{as-subst-b-class.flip-subst} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{subst-b-ce-def} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{as-subst-b-ce-def} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{as-su
        using flip-subst-subst apply fastforce
using flip-fresh-fresh flip-l-eq permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-ce-def
                 opp.perm\text{-}simps(2) \ opp.strong\text{-}exhaust
proof -
fix x1a :: ce and x2 :: ce
   assume a1: atom bv \sharp x2
   then have ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2)[bv := v]_b = x2[z := v]_b
by (metis flip-subst-subst)
   then show x2[z::=B-var\ bv]_b[bv::=v]_{ceb} = x2[z::=v]_{ceb}
using a1 by (simp add: subst-b-ce-def)
qed
qed
end
5.6
                     Types
nominal-function subst-tb :: \tau \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \tau where
    subst-tb \ (\{ z : b2 \mid c \} ) \ bv1 \ b1 = \{ z : b2[bv1::=b1]_{bb} \mid c[bv1::=b1]_{cb} \}
proof(goal-cases)
    case 1
```

```
then show ?case using eqvt-def subst-tb-graph-aux-def by force
next
      case (2 x y)
      then show ?case by auto
next
      case (3 P x)
      then show ?case using eqvt-def subst-tb-graph-aux-def \tau.strong-exhaust
           by (metis b-of.cases prod-cases3)
next
      case (4 z' b2' c' bv1' b1' z b2 c bv1 b1)
      show ?case unfolding \tau.eq-iff proof
           have *:[[atom\ z']]lst.\ c' = [[atom\ z]]lst.\ c\ using\ \tau.eq-iff\ 4\ by\ auto
       show [[atom\ z']] lst. c'[bv1'::=b1']_{cb} = [[atom\ z]] lst. c[bv1::=b1]_{cb} proof (subst\ Abs1-eq-iff-all(3), rule, rule, rule)
                 assume atom ca \sharp z and 1:atom ca \sharp (z', z, c'[bv1'::=b1']_{cb}, c[bv1::=b1]_{cb})
                      hence 2: atom\ ca\ \sharp\ (c',c) using fresh-subst-if subst-b-c-def fresh-Pair fresh-prod4 fresh-at-base
subst-b-fresh-x by metis
                 hence (z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c' = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c using 1 \ 2 * Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by auto
                 hence ((z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c')[bv1':=b1']_{cb} = ((z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c)[bv1':=b1']_{cb} by auto
                \mathbf{hence}\ (z'\leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c'[(z'\leftrightarrow ca)\cdot bv1'::=(z'\leftrightarrow ca)\cdot b1']_{cb} = (z\leftrightarrow ca)\cdot c[(z\leftrightarrow ca)\cdot bv1'::=(z\leftrightarrow ca)\cdot bv1':=(z\leftrightarrow 
ca) \cdot b1'|_{cb} by auto
                 thus (z' \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c'[bv1'::=b1']_{cb} = (z \leftrightarrow ca) \cdot c[bv1::=b1]_{cb} using 4 flip-x-b-cancel by simp
           show b2'[bv1'::=b1']_{bb} = b2[bv1::=b1]_{bb} using 4 by simp
      qed
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
      subst-tb-abbrev :: \tau \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \tau (-[-::=-]_{\tau b} [1000,50,50] 1000)
      t[bv:=b']_{\tau b} \equiv subst-tb \ t \ bv \ b'
instantiation \tau :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-tb
instance proof
      fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::\tau
      show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
      proof (nominal-induct t avoiding: i \times j rule: \tau.strong-induct)
           case (T-refined-type z \ b \ c)
           then show ?case
                 unfolding subst-b-\tau-def subst-tb.simps \tau.fresh
                 using fresh-subst-if[of j b i x] subst-b-def subst-b-c-def
                 by (metis has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct(1) list.set-cases not-self-fresh set-ConsD)
      qed
     fix a::bv and tm::\tau and x::b
```

```
show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
   proof (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule: \tau.strong-induct)
     case (T-refined-type xx \ bb \ cc)
     moreover hence atom a \sharp bb \wedge atom \ a \sharp cc using \tau.fresh by auto
     ultimately show ?case
       unfolding subst-b-\tau-def subst-tb.simps
       using forget-subst subst-b-def subst-b-c-def forget-subst \tau.fresh by metis
  qed
 fix a::bv and tm::\tau
  show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  proof (nominal-induct tm rule: \tau.strong-induct)
     case (T-refined-type xx \ bb \ cc)
     thus ?case
       unfolding subst-b-\tau-def subst-tb.simps
       using subst-id subst-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
 qed
 fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::\tau
 show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   by (induct tm\ rule: \tau.induct,\ auto\ simp\ add:\ fresh-at-base\ subst-tb.simps\ subst-b-\tau-def\ subst-bb.simps
subst-b-b-def)
  fix bv::bv and c::\tau and z::bv
  show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
  apply (induct c rule: \tau.induct, (auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-ceb.simps subst-b-ce-def permute-pure
pure-supp )+)
    using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-b-def
    by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-\tau-def)
  fix bv::bv and c::\tau and z::bv and v::b
  show atom by \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
  proof (induct c rule: \tau.induct)
    case (T-refined-type x1a \ x2a \ x3a)
    hence atom bv \sharp x2a \wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp x3a \wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp x1a \ using \ fresh-at-base \ \tau. fresh \ by \ simp
    then show ?case
      unfolding subst-tb.simps subst-b-\tau-def \tau.perm-simps
    using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh of by x1a z] flip-subst-subst-subst-b-def subst-b-c-def T-refined-type
    proof -
      have atom z \sharp x1a
        by (metis\ b.fresh(7)\ fresh-at-base(2)\ x-fresh-b)
      \textbf{then show} ~ \{ (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x \\ 1a : ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x \\ 2a)[bv ::= v]_{bb} \mid ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x \\ 3a)[bv ::= v]_{cb} ~ \} = \{ x \\ 1a : (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x \\ 2a)[bv ::= v]_{cb} ~ \}
: x2a[z:=v]_{bb} \mid x3a[z:=v]_{cb} \}
        by (metis \langle \llbracket atom\ bv\ \sharp\ x1a;\ atom\ z\ \sharp\ x1a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (bv\leftrightarrow z)\cdot x1a = x1a \rangle \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ x2a \wedge atom\ bv
\sharp x3a \wedge atom \ bv \ \sharp x1a \land flip-subst-subst \ subst-b-def \ subst-b-c-def)
    qed
 qed
qed
end
```

```
lemma subst-bb-commute [simp]:
  atom \ j \ \sharp \ A \Longrightarrow (subst-bb \ (subst-bb \ A \ i \ t \ ) \ j \ u \ ) = subst-bb \ A \ i \ (subst-bb \ t \ j \ u)
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: b.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-vb-commute [simp]:
  atom \ j \ \sharp \ A \Longrightarrow (subst-vb \ (subst-vb \ A \ i \ t \ )) \ j \ u = subst-vb \ A \ i \ (subst-bb \ t \ j \ u \ )
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: v.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-ceb-commute [simp]:
  atom j \sharp A \Longrightarrow (subst-ceb \ (subst-ceb \ A \ i \ t)) \ j \ u = subst-ceb \ A \ i \ (subst-bb \ t \ j \ u)
   by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: ce.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-cb-commute [simp]:
  atom j \sharp A \Longrightarrow (subst-cb \ (subst-cb \ A \ i \ t)) \ j \ u = subst-cb \ A \ i \ (subst-bb \ t \ j \ u)
 by (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: c.strong-induct) (auto simp: fresh-at-base)
lemma subst-tb-commute [simp]:
  atom \ j \ \sharp \ A \Longrightarrow (subst-tb \ (subst-tb \ A \ i \ t)) \ j \ u = subst-tb \ A \ i \ (subst-bb \ t \ j \ u)
proof (nominal-induct A avoiding: i j t u rule: \tau.strong-induct)
 case (T-refined-type z \ b \ c)
 then show ?case using subst-tb.simps subst-bb-commute subst-cb-commute by simp
qed
5.7
         Expressions
nominal-function subst-eb :: e \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow e where
  subst-eb ( (AE-val\ v')) bv\ b = (AE-val\ (subst-vb\ v'\ bv\ b))
 subst-eb ( (AE-app f v') ) bv b = ((AE-app f (subst-vb v' bv b)))
 subst-eb \ ((AE-appP \ f \ b' \ v')) \ bv \ b = ((AE-appP \ f \ (b'[bv::=b]_{bb}) \ (subst-vb \ v' \ bv \ b)))
 subst-eb ( (AE-op opp v1 v2) ) bv b = ( (AE-op opp (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)) )
 subst-eb ( (AE-fst v')) bv b = AE-fst (subst-vb v' bv b)
 subst-eb ( (AE-snd v')) bv b = AE-snd (subst-vb v' bv b)
 subst-eb ( (AE-mvar\ u)) bv\ b=AE-mvar\ u
 subst-eb ( (AE-len v')) bv b = AE-len (subst-vb v' bv b)
 subst-eb ( AE-concat v1 v2) bv b = AE-concat (subst-vb v1 bv b) (subst-vb v2 bv b)
\mid subst-eb \ (AE-split \ v1 \ v2) \ bv \ b = AE-split \ (subst-vb \ v1 \ bv \ b) \ (subst-vb \ v2 \ bv \ b)
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-eb-graph-aux-def)
apply auto
by (meson e.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
 subst-eb-abbrev :: e \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow e \left(-[-::=-]_{eb} [1000,50,50] 500\right)
  e[bv:=b]_{eb} \equiv subst-eb \ e \ bv \ b
instantiation e :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
```

begin

```
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::e
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
 proof (induct t rule: e.induct)
   case (AE-val v)
   then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
          e.fresh\ has\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}class.fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}if\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}e\text{-}def\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}v\text{-}def
     by metis
 next
   case (AE-app f v)
   then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def
     e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
     by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) e.fresh(2))
 \mathbf{next}
   case (AE-appP f b' v)
   then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def e.fresh using
fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
   e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-def subst-vb-def by (metis subst-b-v-def)
 next
case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
 then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def e.fresh using
fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp fresh-e-opp-all
   e.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-b-def subst-vb-def by (metis subst-b-v-def)
next
 case (AE\text{-}concat x1a x2)
 then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
   has\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}class.fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}if\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}v\text{-}def
   by (metis\ subst-vb.simps(5))
next
 case (AE-split x1a x2)
 then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp
   has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def
   by (metis\ subst-vb.simps(5))
next
 case (AE-fst x)
 then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
case (AE-snd x)
 then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp using has-subst-b-class.fresh-sub
subst-b-v-def by metis
 case (AE-mvar x)
 then show ?case using subst-eb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-e-def e.supp v.supp by auto
next
 case (AE-len x)
 subst-b-v-def by metis
qed
```

**definition** subst-b = subst-eb

```
fix a::bv and tm::e and x::b
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b \ tm \ a \ x = tm
   apply(induct tm rule: e.induct)
   apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def)
   using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-def e.fresh
   using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def apply metis+
   done
  fix a::bv and tm::e
  show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm using subst-bb.simps subst-b-b-def
   apply (induct tm rule: e.induct)
   \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{auto}\;\mathit{simp}\;\mathit{add}\colon\mathit{fresh-at-base}\;\mathit{subst-eb.simps}\;\mathit{subst-b-e-def})
   using has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-def e.fresh
   using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-v-def apply metis+
  done
  fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::e
  show p \cdot subst-b t1 x1 v = subst-b (p \cdot t1) (p \cdot x1) (p \cdot v)
   apply(induct tm rule: e.induct)
  apply( auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-bb.simps subst-b-def )
   using has-subst-b-class.eqvt subst-b-def e.fresh
   using has-subst-b-class.eqvt
   by (simp\ add:\ subst-b-e-def)+
  fix bv::bv and c::e and z::bv
  show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
   apply (induct c rule: e.induct)
  apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def permute-pure pure-supp
   \mathbf{using} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{flip-fresh-fresh} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{permute-flip-cancel2} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{has-subst-b-class.flip-subst} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{subst-b-v-def} \hspace{0.2cm} \textit{subst-b-b-def} \hspace{0.2cm}
    flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-\tau-def apply metis
   apply (metis (full-types) opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust)
   done
  fix bv::bv and c::e and z::bv and v::b
  show atom by \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
   apply (induct c rule: e.induct)
   apply(auto simp add: fresh-at-base subst-eb.simps subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def permute-pure pure-supp
   using flip-fresh-fresh permute-flip-cancel2 has-subst-b-class.flip-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-def
    flip-fresh-fresh subst-b-\tau-def apply simp
  apply (metis (full-types) opp.perm-simps opp.strong-exhaust)
   done
qed
end
```

#### 5.8 Statements

)

**nominal-function** (default case-sum ( $\lambda x$ . Inl undefined) (case-sum ( $\lambda x$ . Inl undefined) ( $\lambda x$ . Inr undefined) fined)))

```
subst-sb :: s \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow s
and subst-branchb :: branch-s \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow branch-s
and subst-branchlb :: branch-list \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow branch-list
where
    subst-sb (AS-val v') bv b
                                                          = (AS-val (subst-vb v' bv b))
   subst-sb (AS-let\ y\ e\ s)\ bv\ b=(AS-let\ y\ (e[bv::=b]_{eb})\ (subst-sb\ s\ bv\ b\ ))
   subst-sb (AS-let2\ y\ t\ s1\ s2)\ bv\ b=(AS-let2\ y\ (subst-tb\ t\ bv\ b)\ (subst-sb\ s1\ bv\ b)\ (subst-sb\ s2\ bv\ b))
   subst-sb (AS-match v' cs) bv b = AS-match (subst-vb v' bv b) (subst-branchlb cs bv b)
   subst-sb (AS-assign y v') bv b = AS-assign y (subst-vb v' bv b)
   subst-sb (AS-if\ v'\ s1\ s2)\ bv\ b = (AS-if\ (subst-vb\ v'\ bv\ b)\ (subst-sb\ s1\ bv\ b)\ (subst-sb\ s2\ bv\ b)\ )
   subst-sb (AS-var\ u\ \tau\ v'\ s) bv\ b\ =\ AS-var\ u\ (subst-tb\ \tau\ bv\ b)\ (subst-vb\ v'\ bv\ b)\ (subst-sb\ s\ bv\ b\ )
   subst-sb (AS-while s1\ s2) bv\ b = AS-while (subst-sb\ s1\ bv\ b) (subst-sb\ s2\ bv\ b)
   subst-sb (AS-seq s1 s2) bv b = AS-seq (subst-sb s1 bv b ) (subst-sb s2 bv b )
  \mid subst-sb (AS-assert c s) by b = AS-assert (subst-cb c by b ) <math>(subst-sb s by b
| subst-branchb (AS-branch dc x1 s') bv b = AS-branch dc x1 (subst-sb s' bv b)
| subst-branchlb (AS-final sb) by b
                                                                  = AS-final (subst-branchb sb bv b)
\mid subst-branchlb \ (AS-cons\ sb\ ssb)\ bv\ b = AS-cons\ (subst-branchb\ sb\ bv\ b)\ (subst-branchlb\ ssb\ bv\ b)
                                      apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph-aux-def )
                                   apply (auto, metis s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust (2)
old.sum.exhaust surj-pair)
proof(goal-cases)
have eqvt-at-proj: \bigwedge s xa va . eqvt-at subst-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-subst-s
                eqvt-at (\lambda a. projl (subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sum C (Inl a))) (s, xa, va)
  apply(simp\ only:\ eqvt-at-def)
  apply(rule)
  \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{subst\ Projl-permute})
     apply(thin-tac -)+
     apply(simp add: subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-sumC-def)
     apply(simp add: THE-default-def)
     apply(case-tac\ Ex1\ (subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb-graph\ (Inl\ (s,xa,va))))
     apply simp
     apply(auto)[1]
     apply(erule-tac \ x=x \ in \ all E)
     apply simp
     apply(cases\ rule:\ subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchlb-graph.cases)
     apply(assumption)
    apply(rule-tac\ x=Sum-Type.projl\ x\ in\ exI, clarify, rule\ the 1-equality, blast, simp\ (no-asm)\ only:\ sum.sel)+
     apply blast +
     apply(simp) +
  done
  case (1 \ y \ s \ ya \ sa \ bva \ ba \ c)
  moreover have atom y \sharp (bva, ba) \land atom \ ya \sharp (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
simp
  ultimately show ?case
```

```
using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
  case (2 y s2 ya s1a s2a bva ba c)
 moreover have atom\ y \ \sharp \ (bva,\ ba) \land atom\ ya \ \sharp \ (bva,\ ba) using x-fresh-bv fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
simp
  ultimately show ?case
    using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
  case (3 u s ua sa bva ba c)
 moreover have atom\ u\ \sharp\ (bva,\ ba)\ \wedge\ atom\ ua\ \sharp\ (bva,\ ba) using x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair by
  ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
next
  case (4 x1 s' x1a s'a bva ba c)
 moreover have atom x1 \ \sharp \ (bva, ba) \land atom x1a \ \sharp \ (bva, ba) using x-fresh-b x-fresh-bv fresh-Pair
by sim p
  ultimately show ?case using eqvt-triple eqvt-at-proj by metis
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-sb-abbrev :: <math>s \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow s (-[-::=-]_{sb} [1000,50,50] 1000)
where
  b[bv:=b']_{sb} \equiv subst-sb\ b\ bv\ b'
lemma fresh-subst-sb-if [simp]:
         (j \sharp (subst-sb \ A \ i \ x)) = ((atom \ i \sharp A \land j \sharp A) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp A \lor j = atom \ i))) and
         (j \sharp (subst-branchb \ B \ i \ x)) = ((atom \ i \sharp B \land j \sharp B) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp B \lor j = atom \ i))) and
         (j \sharp (subst-branchlb \ C \ i \ x \ )) = ((atom \ i \sharp \ C \land j \sharp \ C) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp \ C \lor j = atom \ i)))
proof (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: i x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3)
  have (j \sharp subst-branchb (AS-branch x1 x2 x3) i x) = (j \sharp (AS-branch x1 x2 (subst-sb x3 i x))) by
 also have ... = ((j \sharp x3[i::=x]_{sb} \lor j \in set [atom x2]) \land j \sharp x1) using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by
  also have ... = ((atom\ i\ \sharp\ AS-branch\ x1\ x2\ x3)\land j\ \sharp\ AS-branch\ x1\ x2\ x3)\lor j\ \sharp\ x\land (j\ \sharp\ AS-branch\ x1\ x2\ x3)\lor j
x1 \ x2 \ x3 \ \lor j = atom \ i)
  \mathbf{using}\ subst-branch simps(1)\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1)\ fresh-at-base\ has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct\ list.set\text{-}cases\ set\text{-}ConsD\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}\tau\text{-}def
        v.fresh AS-branch
   proof -
      have f1: \forall cs \ b. \ atom \ (b::bv) \ \sharp \ (cs::char \ list) using pure-fresh by auto
      then have j \sharp x \land atom \ i = j \longrightarrow ((j \sharp x3[i::=x]_{sb} \lor j \in set \ [atom \ x2]) \land j \sharp x1) = (atom \ i \sharp x)
AS-branch x1 x2 x3 \land j \sharp AS-branch x1 x2 x3 \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp AS-branch x1 x2 x3 \lor j = atom i))
       by (metis (full-types) AS-branch.hyps(3))
      then have j \sharp x \longrightarrow ((j \sharp x3[i::=x]_{sb} \lor j \in set [atom \ x2]) \land j \sharp x1) = (atom \ i \sharp AS-branch \ x1)
x2 \ x3 \land j \ \sharp \ AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3 \lor j \ \sharp \ x \land (j \ \sharp \ AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3 \lor j = atom \ i))
        using AS-branch.hyps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by metis
      moreover
```

```
{ assume \neg j \sharp x
       have ?thesis
         using f1 AS-branch.hyps(2) AS-branch.hyps(3) by force }
      ultimately show ?thesis
       by satx
   qed
  finally show ?case by auto
next
 case (AS-cons cs css i x)
 show ?case
   unfolding subst-branchlb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
   using AS-cons by auto
next
  case (AS-val\ xx)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps(1) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
subst-b-def subst-b-v-def by metis
next
 case (AS-let x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct\ list.set{-}cases\ set{-}ConsD\ subst{-}b{-}e{-}def
   by fastforce
next
  case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
list.distinct\ list.set\text{-}cases\ set\text{-}ConsD\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}\tau\text{-}def
   by fastforce
next
  case (AS-if x1 \ x2 \ x3)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh using
  has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by metis
  case (AS-var u t v s)
   have (((atom\ i\ \sharp\ s\land j\ \sharp\ s\lor j\ \sharp\ x\land (j\ \sharp\ s\lor j=atom\ i))\lor j\in set\ [atom\ u])\land j\ \sharp\ t[i::=x]_{\tau b}\land j
\sharp v[i::=x]_{vb}) =
         (((atom\ i \sharp s \land j \sharp s \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp s \lor j = atom\ i)) \lor j \in set\ [atom\ u]) \land
                   ((atom\ i\ \sharp\ t\ \land\ j\ \sharp\ t\ \lor\ j\ \sharp\ x\ \land\ (j\ \sharp\ t\ \lor\ j\ =\ atom\ i)))\ \land
                   ((atom\ i\ \sharp\ v\ \land\ j\ \sharp\ v\ \lor\ j\ \sharp\ x\ \land\ (j\ \sharp\ v\ \lor\ j\ =\ atom\ i))))
                has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def subst-b-\tau-def by metis
   also have ... = (((atom\ i\ \sharp\ s\lor\ atom\ i\in set\ [atom\ u])\land\ atom\ i\ \sharp\ t\land\ atom\ i\ \sharp\ v)\land
              (j \sharp s \lor j \in set [atom \ u]) \land j \sharp t \land j \sharp v \lor j \sharp x \land ((j \sharp s \lor j \in set [atom \ u]) \land j \sharp t \land j
\sharp v \vee j = atom i)
      using u-fresh-b by auto
   finally show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh AS-var
      by simp
next
 case (AS-assign u v)
 then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-is-branch-list.fresh using
   has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def by force
next
```

```
case (AS\text{-}match\ v\ cs)
   have j \sharp (AS\text{-}match\ v\ cs)[i::=x]_{sb} = j \sharp (AS\text{-}match\ (subst-vb\ v\ i\ x)\ (subst-branchlb\ cs\ i\ x)) using
subst-sb.simps by auto
   also have ... = (j \sharp (subst-vb \ v \ i \ x) \land j \sharp (subst-branchlb \ cs \ i \ x)) using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
by simp
  also have ... = (j \sharp (subst-vb \ v \ i \ x) \land ((atom \ i \sharp cs \land j \sharp cs) \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp cs \lor j = atom \ i))) using
AS-match[of i x] by auto
   also have ... = (atom \ i \ \sharp \ AS-match \ v \ cs \land j \ \sharp \ AS-match \ v \ cs \lor j \ \sharp \ x \land (j \ \sharp \ AS-match \ v \ cs \lor j =
atom i))
           by (metis (no-types) s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def)
   finally show ?case by auto
next
   case (AS-while x1 \ x2)
   then show ?case by auto
next
   case (AS\text{-}seq\ x1\ x2)
   then show ?case by auto
next
   case (AS-assert x1 x2)
   then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh
      using fresh-at-base has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if list.distinct list.set-cases set-ConsD subst-b-e-def
      by (metis subst-b-c-def)
qed(auto+)
lemma
   forget-subst-sb[simp]: atom a \sharp A \Longrightarrow subst-sb A \ a \ x = A \ and
   forget-subst-branchb [simp]: atom a \sharp B \Longrightarrow subst-branchb B \ a \ x = B and
   forget-subst-branchlb[simp]: atom\ a\ \sharp\ C \Longrightarrow subst-branchlbC\ a\ x=C
proof (nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a x rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
   case (AS-let x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
   case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  \textbf{then show } ? case \textbf{ using } subst-sb.simps \textit{ s-branch-s-branch-list.} fresh \textit{ subst-b-e-def } has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-\tau-def by force
next
   case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
  \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~subst-sb.simps~s-branch-s-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def
subst-b-v-def using subst-b-\tau-def
   proof -
      have f1: (atom \ a \ \sharp \ x4 \lor atom \ a \in set \ [atom \ x1]) \land atom \ a \ \sharp \ x2 \land atom \ a \ \sharp \ x3
          \mathbf{using}\ AS\text{-}var.prems\ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.fresh\ }\mathbf{by}\ simp
      then have atom a \sharp x4
           by (metis (no-types) Nominal-Utils.fresh-star-singleton AS-var.hyps(1) empty-set fresh-star-def
list.simps(15) not-self-fresh)
      then show ?thesis
       using f1 by (metis AS-var.hyps(3) has-subst-b-class.forget-subst-subst-b-\tau-def subst-b-v-def subst-sb.simps(7))
```

```
qed
next
 case (AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~subst-sb.simps~s-branch-s-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-cons x1 \ x2 \ x3 \ x4)
 \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~subst-sb.simps~s-branch-s-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-val\ x)
 \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~subst-sb.simps~s-branch-s-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-if x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~subst-sb.simps~s-branch-s-branch-list. fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-assign x1 \ x2)
 \textbf{then show } ? case \textbf{ using } subst-sb.simps \textit{ s-branch-s-branch-list.} fresh \textit{ subst-b-e-def } has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-match x1 \ x2)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-while x1 \ x2)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-seq x1 x2)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forqet-subst
subst-b-v-def by force
next
 case (AS-assert c s)
 then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using
      s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-e-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-v-def subst-b-c-def
subst-cb.simps by force
qed(auto+)
lemma subst-sb-id: subst-sb \ A \ a \ (B-var \ a) = A \ and
       subst-branchb-id [simp]: subst-branchb B a (B-var a) = B and
       subst-branchlb-id: subst-branchlb \ C \ a \ (B-var \ a) = C
proof(nominal-induct A and B and C avoiding: a rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
 case (AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-\tau-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def
   by simp
```

 $\mathbf{next}$ 

```
case (AS-cons x1 \ x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-\tau-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
   case (AS-val\ x)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-\tau-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
   case (AS-if x1 \ x2 \ x3)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh\ subst-b-	au-def\ has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
   case (AS-assign x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-\tau-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
\mathbf{next}
   case (AS-match x1 x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh\ subst-b-	au-def\ has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
\mathbf{next}
   case (AS-while x1 \ x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh\ subst-b-	au-def\ has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
   case (AS\text{-}seq\ x1\ x2)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh\ subst-b-	au-def\ has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
   case (AS-let x1 \ x2 \ x3)
  \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~subst-sb.simps~s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class.subst-id~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class.subst-id~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class.subst-id~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-class.subst-id~subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b-e-def~has-subst-b
by metis
next
   case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh subst-b-\tau-def has-subst-b-class.subst-id
by metis
next
   case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-sb.simps\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh\ subst-b-\tau-def\ has-subst-b-class.subst-id
subst-b-v-def by metis
next
   case (AS-assert c s )
  \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{unfolding}~subst-sb.simps~\textbf{using}~s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.fresh~subst-b\text{-}c\text{-}def~has\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}class.subst\text{-}id
by metis
qed (auto)
lemma flip-subst-s:
   fixes bv::bv and s::s and cs::branch-s and z::bv
   shows atom bv \sharp s \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot s) = s[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_{sb} and
                 atom\ bv\ \sharp\ cs \Longrightarrow ((bv\leftrightarrow z)\cdot cs) = subst-branchb\ cs\ z\ (B-var\ bv) and
                 atom\ bv\ \sharp\ css \Longrightarrow ((bv\leftrightarrow z)\cdot css) = subst-branchlb\ css\ z\ (B-var\ bv)
```

**proof**(nominal-induct s and cs and cs rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)

```
case (AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1 using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh by metis
 moreover have ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2) = x2 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh of by x2 z AS-branch by
auto
  ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-branch by auto
next
 case (AS-cons x1 x2)
 hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = subst-branchb \ x1 \ z \ (B-var \ bv) using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(13) by metis
 moreover have ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2) = subst-branchlb x2 z (B-var bv) using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
bv x2 z AS-cons s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-cons by auto
next
 case (AS-val\ x)
 then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using flip-subst
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
 case (AS-let x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 moreover hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
 ultimately show ?case
    {\bf unfolding} \ \ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.perm\text{-}simps \ subst\text{-}sb.simps \\
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
 moreover hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
  ultimately show ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(5) subst-b-\tau-def by auto
next
  case (AS-if x1 x2 x3)
 thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
 case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
     using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-	au-def s-branch-s-branch-list fresh fresh-at-base
flip-fresh-fresh[of\ bv\ x1\ z]\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
next
 case (AS-assign x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of
by x1 \ z by auto
next
 case (AS-match x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
```

```
next
case (AS-while x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   {\bf unfolding} \ \ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.perm\text{-}simps \ subst\text{-}sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-seq x1 x2)
thus ?case
   {\bf unfolding} \ \ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.perm\text{-}simps \ subst\text{-}sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-assert x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by simp
qed(auto)
lemma flip-subst-subst-s:
 fixes bv::bv and s::s and cs::branch-s and z::bv
 shows atom bv \sharp s \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot s)[bv := v]_{sb} = s[z := v]_{sb}
         atom\ bv\ \sharp\ cs \Longrightarrow subst-branchb\ ((bv\leftrightarrow z)\cdot cs)\ bv\ v = subst-branchb\ cs\ z\ v and
         atom\ bv\ \sharp\ css \Longrightarrow subst-branchlb\ ((bv\leftrightarrow z)\cdot css)\ bv\ v = subst-branchlb\ css\ z\ v
proof(nominal-induct s and cs rule: s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (AS-branch x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1 using pure-fresh fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh by metis
 moreover have ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x2) = x2 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh of by x2 z AS-branch by
  ultimately show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using
s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-branch by auto
next
 case (AS-cons x1 x2)
 thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchlb.simps
   using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(1) AS-cons by auto
next
 case (AS-val\ x)
 then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-branchb.simps using flip-subst
subst-b-v-def by simp
next
 case (AS-let x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 moreover hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh[of bv x1 z] by auto
 ultimately show ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst-subst subst-b-e-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by force
next
case (AS-let2 x1 x2 x3 x4)
  moreover hence ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot x1) = x1 using fresh-at-base flip-fresh-fresh of bv x1 z by auto
 ultimately show ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(5) subst-b-\tau-def by auto
next
```

```
case (AS-if x1 \ x2 \ x3)
 thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
 case (AS-var x1 x2 x3 x4)
thus ?case
   {\bf unfolding} \ \ s\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}branch\text{-}list.perm\text{-}simps \ subst\text{-}sb.simps
     using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-	au-def s-branch-s-branch-list fresh fresh-at-base
flip-fresh-fresh[of\ bv\ x1\ z]\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
next
 case (AS-assign x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   \textbf{using} \ flip-subst \ subst-b-e-def \ subst-b-v-def \ s-branch-s-branch-list. fresh \ fresh-at-base \ flip-fresh-fresh \ [of
bv x1 z] by auto
next
 case (AS-match x1 x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS-while x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-v-def s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
next
case (AS\text{-}seq\ x1\ x2)
thus ?case
   {\bf unfolding} \ \ s\text{-}branch\text{-}lst.perm\text{-}simps \ subst\text{-}sb.simps
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{flip-subst}\ \mathit{subst-b-e-def}\ \mathit{subst-b-v-def}\ \mathit{s-branch-list.fresh}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
case (AS-assert x1 \ x2)
thus ?case
   unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.perm-simps subst-sb.simps
   using flip-subst subst-b-e-def subst-b-c-def s-branch-s-branch-list fresh by auto
qed(auto)
instantiation s :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
definition subst-b = (\lambda s \ bv \ b. \ subst-sb \ s \ bv \ b)
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::s
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i)))
   using fresh-subst-sb-if subst-b-s-def by metis
 fix a::bv and tm::s and x::b
 show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm a x = tm using subst-b-s-def forget-subst-sb by metis
 fix a::bv and tm::s
 show subst-b tm \ a \ (B-var \ a) = tm \ using \ subst-b-s-def \ subst-sb-id by metis
```

```
fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::s
 show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v) using subst-b-s-def subst-sb-subst-branchb-subst-branchb equt
by metis
 fix bv::bv and c::s and z::bv
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
   using subst-b-s-def flip-subst-s by metis
 fix bv::bv and c::s and z::bv and v::b
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
   using flip-subst-subst-s subst-b-s-def by metis
qed
end
         Function Type
5.9
nominal-function subst-ft-b :: fun-typ \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow fun-typ where
subst-ft-b (AF-fun-typ z b c t (s::s)) x y = AF-fun-typ z (subst-bb b x v) (subst-cb c x v) t[x::=v]_{\tau b}
s[x::=v]_{sb}
 apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ft-b-graph-aux-def)
   apply(simp\ add:fun-typ.strong-exhaust,auto\ )
 apply(rule-tac\ y=a\ and\ c=(aa,b)\ in\ fun-typ.strong-exhaust)
   apply (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
 by blast
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function subst-ftq-b :: fun-typ-q \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow fun-typ-q where
atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (x,v) \Longrightarrow\ subst-\mathit{ftq-b}\ (AF\mathit{-fun-typ-some}\ bv\ \mathit{ft})\ x\ v = (AF\mathit{-fun-typ-some}\ bv\ (subst-\mathit{ft-b}\ \mathit{ft}\ x\ v))
subst-ftq-b \ (AF-fun-typ-none \ ft) \ x \ v = (AF-fun-typ-none \ (subst-ft-b \ ft \ x \ v))
 apply(simp add: eqvt-def subst-ftq-b-graph-aux-def)
     apply(simp\ add:fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust,auto\ )
 apply(rule-tac\ y=a\ and\ c=(aa,b)\ in\ fun-typ-q.strong-exhaust)
 by (auto simp: eqvt-at-def fresh-star-def fresh-Pair fresh-at-base)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
instantiation fun-typ :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ft-b
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::fun-typ
 show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
   apply(nominal-induct t avoiding: i x rule:fun-typ.strong-induct)
   apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def)
   \mathbf{by}(\textit{metis fresh-subst-if subst-b-s-def subst-b-r-def subst-b-c-def}) +
```

```
fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ and x::b
    show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
        apply (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
        apply(simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff')
        using subst-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-\tau-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
                    forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
                    subst-ft-b.simps by metis
    fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ
    show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
        apply (nominal-induct tm rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
            apply(simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff',auto)
        using subst-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-\tau-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
                    forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
                    subst-ft-b.simps
        by (metis has-subst-b-class.subst-id)+
    fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::fun-typ
    show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
        apply (nominal-induct t1 avoiding: x1 v rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
        by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff ' fun-typ.perm-simps)
    fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ and z::bv
    show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
        apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z bv rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
           by(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-def subst-b-c-def
subst-b-\tau-def subst-b-s-def)
    fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ and z::bv and v::b
    show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
        apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: bv v z rule: fun-typ.strong-induct)
        apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-def subst-b-c-def
subst-b-\tau-def subst-b-s-def flip-subst-subst flip-subst)
        \textbf{using} \quad \textit{subst-b-fun-typ-def Abs1-eq-iff' fun-typ.perm-simps subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def} \quad \textit{subst-b-r-def} \quad \textit{subst-b-
subst-b-s-def flip-subst-subst flip-subst
        using flip-subst-s(1) flip-subst-subst-s(1) by auto
qed
end
instantiation fun-typ-q :: has-subst-b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-ftq-b
instance proof
    fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::fun-typ-q
    show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
     apply (nominal-induct\ t\ avoiding:\ i\ x\ j\ rule:\ fun-typ-q.strong-induct, auto\ simp\ add:\ subst-b-fun-typ-q-def
```

```
subst-ftq-b.simps)
  \textbf{using} \ \textit{fresh-subst-if} \ \textit{subst-b-fun-typ-q-def} \ \textit{subst-b-s-def} \ \textit{subst-b-r-def} \ \textit{subst-b-b-def} \ \textit{subst-b-c-def} \ \textit{subst-b-fun-typ-def}
apply metis+
 done
 fix a::bv and t::fun-typ-q and x::b
 show atom a \sharp t \Longrightarrow subst-b \ t \ a \ x = t
   apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: a x rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
   apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftq-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
 \textbf{using} \ \textit{forget-subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-t-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def}
eqvt by metis+
 fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t::fun-typ-q
  show p \cdot subst-b \ t \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
   apply (nominal-induct t avoiding: x1 v rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
   by (auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftq-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
 fix a::bv and tm::fun-typ-q
 show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
   apply (nominal-induct tm avoiding: a rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
     apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftq-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
   using subst-id subst-b-def subst-b-fun-typ-def subst-b-τ-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-s-def
         forget-subst fresh-at-base list.set-cases neq-Nil-conv set-ConsD
         subst-ft-b.simps by metis+
 fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ-q and z::bv
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
   apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z bv rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
   apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftq-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
 \textbf{using} \ \textit{forget-subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-t-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def}
eqvt by metis+
 fix bv::bv and c::fun-typ-q and z::bv and v::b
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
   apply (nominal-induct c avoiding: z v bv rule: fun-typ-q.strong-induct)
   apply(auto simp add: subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-ftq-b.simps Abs1-eq-iff')
 using flip-subst flip-subst-subst forget-subst subst-b-fun-typ-q-def subst-b-s-def subst-b-\tau-def subst-b-b-def
subst-b-c-def subst-b-fun-typ-def eqvt by metis+
qed
end
```

#### 5.10 Contexts

#### 5.10.1 Immutable Variables

```
nominal-function subst-gb :: \Gamma \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Gamma where subst-gb \ GNil - - = GNil  | subst-gb \ ((y,b',c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \ bv \ b = ((y,b'[bv::=b]_{bb},c[bv::=b]_{cb})\#_{\Gamma} \ (subst-gb \ \Gamma \ bv \ b)) apply (simp \ add: \ eqvt-def \ subst-gb-graph-aux-def \ )+ apply auto
```

```
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 P a1 a2 b)
  then show ?case using \Gamma.exhaust neq-GNil-conv by force
qed
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-gb-abbrev :: \Gamma \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Gamma \left( -[-::=-]_{\Gamma b} \left[ 1000, 50, 50 \right] 1000 \right)
  g[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} \equiv subst-gb \ g \ bv \ b'
instantiation \Gamma :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-qb
instance proof
  fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::\Gamma
  show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))
  \mathbf{proof}(induct\ t\ rule:\ \Gamma\text{-}induct)
   then show ?case using fresh-GNil subst-qb.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-\Gamma-def has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
fresh-GNil fresh-GCons by metis
  next
    case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
    have *: atom i \sharp x' using fresh-at-base by simp
    have j \sharp subst-b ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') i x = j \sharp ((x', b'[i::=x]_{bb}, c'[i::=x]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} (subst-b \Gamma' i x)) using
subst-gb.simps subst-b-\Gamma-def by auto
     also have ... = (j \sharp ((x', b'[i::=x]_{bb}, c'[i::=x]_{cb})) \land (j \sharp (subst-b \Gamma' i x))) using fresh-GCons by
auto
    also have ... = (((j \sharp x') \land (j \sharp b'[i::=x]_{bb}) \land (j \sharp c'[i::=x]_{cb})) \land (j \sharp (subst-b \Gamma' i x))) by auto
    also have ... = (((j \sharp x') \land ((atom \ i \sharp b' \land j \sharp b' \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp b' \lor j = atom \ i))) \land
                                      ((atom \ i \sharp \ c' \land j \sharp \ c' \lor j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ c' \lor j = atom \ i))) \land
                                      ((atom \ i \sharp \Gamma' \land j \sharp \Gamma' \lor j \sharp x \land (j \sharp \Gamma' \lor j = atom \ i)))))
     using fresh-subst-if[of j b' i x] fresh-subst-if[of j c' i x] GCons subst-b-def subst-b-c-def by simp
    also have ... = ((atom\ i\ \sharp\ (x',\ b',\ c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma' \land j\ \sharp\ (x',\ b',\ c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma') \lor (j\ \sharp\ x \land (j\ \sharp\ (x',\ b',\ c')\ \#_{\Gamma}
\Gamma' \vee j = atom \ i)) using * fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 by metis
    finally show ?case by auto
  qed
  fix a::bv and tm::\Gamma and x::b
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
  proof (induct tm rule: \Gamma-induct)
    case GNil
    then show ?case using subst-gb.simps subst-b-\Gamma-def by auto
  next
    case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
    have *:b'[a::=x]_{bb} = b' \land c'[a::=x]_{cb} = c' using GCons\ fresh\text{-}GCons[of\ atom\ a]\ fresh\text{-}prod3[of\ atom\ a]
```

```
a] has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
    have subst-b ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') a x = ((x', b'|a:=x|_{bb}, c'|a:=x|_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} (subst-b \Gamma' a x)) using
subst-b-\Gamma-def\ subst-gb.simps\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
   also have ... = ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using * GCons fresh-GCons[of atom a] by auto
  finally show ?case using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 GCons subst-b-Γ-def
has-subst-b-class.forget-subst[of a b' x] fresh-prod3[of atom a] by argo
  qed
  fix a::bv and tm::\Gamma
  show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  proof(induct \ tm \ rule: \Gamma - induct)
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{GNil}
   then show ?case using subst-gb.simps subst-b-\Gamma-def by auto
  next
   case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
  then show ? case using has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-\Gamma-def subst-b-c-def subst-b-c-def subst-g-simps
by metis
  qed
 fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::\Gamma
  show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
  proof (induct tm rule: \Gamma-induct)
   case GNil
   then show ?case using subst-b-\Gamma-def subst-gb.simps by simp
   case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
   then show ?case using subst-b-\Gamma-def subst-gb.simps has-subst-b-class.eqvt by argo
  qed
  fix bv::bv and c::\Gamma and z::bv
 show atom bv \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z::=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
  proof (induct c rule: \Gamma-induct)
   case GNil
   then show ?case using subst-b-\Gamma-def subst-qb.simps by auto
  next
   case (GCons x \ b \ c \ \Gamma')
   have *:(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot (x, b, c) = (x, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot b, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) using flip-bv-x-cancel by auto
   then show ?case
     unfolding subst-gb.simps\ subst-b-\Gamma-def\ permute-\Gamma.simps\ *
     using GCons\ subst-b-\Gamma-def subst-gb.simps\ flip-subst\ subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def fresh-GCons\ by auto
  qed
  fix bv::bv and c::\Gamma and z::bv and v::b
  show atom by \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
  proof (induct c rule: \Gamma-induct)
   case GNil
   then show ?case using subst-b-\Gamma-def subst-gb.simps by auto
  next
   case (GCons x \ b \ c \ \Gamma')
   have *:(bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot (x, b, c) = (x, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot b, (bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) using flip-bv-x-cancel by auto
   then show ?case
     unfolding subst-gb.simps\ subst-b-\Gamma-def\ permute-\Gamma.simps\ *
```

```
using GCons subst-b-Γ-def subst-gb.simps flip-subst subst-b-b-def subst-b-c-def fresh-GCons by auto
 qed
qed
end
lemma subst-b-base-for-lit:
   (base-for-lit\ l)[bv:=b]_{bb}=base-for-lit\ l
using base-for-lit.simps\ l.strong-exhaust
 by (metis\ subst-bb.simps(2)\ subst-bb.simps(3)\ subst-bb.simps(6)\ subst-bb.simps(7))
lemma subst-b-lookup:
 assumes Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma x
 shows Some (b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) = lookup \Gamma[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} x
  using assms by (induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct, auto)
lemma subst-g-b-x-fresh:
  fixes x::x and b::b and \Gamma::\Gamma and bv::bv
  assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma
 shows atom x \sharp \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b}
 using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-\Gamma-def assms by metis
            Mutable Variables
5.10.2
nominal-function subst-db :: \Delta \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Delta where
  subst-db \mid \mid_{\Delta} - - = \mid \mid_{\Delta}
|subst-db| ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) bv b = ((u,t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \#_{\Delta} (subst-db \Delta bv b))
apply (simp add: eqvt-def subst-db-graph-aux-def, auto )
using list.exhaust delete-aux.elims
  using neq-DNil-conv by fastforce
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
abbreviation
  subst-db-abbrev :: \Delta \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow \Delta (-[-::=-]_{\Delta b} [1000,50,50] 1000)
  \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} \equiv subst-db \ \Delta \ bv \ b
instantiation \Delta :: has\text{-}subst\text{-}b
begin
definition subst-b = subst-db
instance proof
 fix j::atom and i::bv and x::b and t::\Delta
  show j \sharp subst-b \ t \ i \ x = (atom \ i \sharp \ t \land j \sharp \ t \lor j \sharp \ x \land (j \sharp \ t \lor j = atom \ i))
  \mathbf{proof}(induct\ t\ rule:\ \Delta\text{-}induct)
    case DNil
  then show ?case using fresh-DNil subst-db.simps fresh-def pure-fresh subst-b-\Delta-def has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if
fresh-DNil fresh-DCons by metis
  next
    case (DCons\ u\ t\ \Gamma')
    have j \sharp subst-b \ ((u,\ t) \#_{\Delta} \Gamma') \ i \ x = j \sharp \ ((u,\ t[i::=x]_{\tau b}) \#_{\Delta} \ (subst-b\ \Gamma' \ i \ x)) using subst-db.simps
subst-b-\Delta-def by auto
```

```
also have ... = (j \sharp ((u, t[i::=x]_{\tau b})) \land (j \sharp (subst-b \Gamma' i x))) using fresh-DCons by auto
    also have ... = (((j \sharp u) \land (j \sharp t[i::=x]_{\tau b})) \land (j \sharp (subst-b \Gamma' i x))) by auto
    also have ... = ((j \sharp u) \land ((atom \ i \sharp t \land j \sharp t) \lor (j \sharp x \land (j \sharp t \lor j = atom \ i))) \land (atom \ i \sharp \Gamma')
\wedge j \sharp \Gamma' \vee j \sharp x \wedge (j \sharp \Gamma' \vee j = atom \ i)))
      using has-subst-b-class fresh-subst-if [of j t i x] subst-b-\tau-def DCons subst-b-\Delta-def by auto
    also have ... = (atom \ i \ \sharp \ (u, \ t) \ \#_{\Delta} \ \Gamma' \land j \ \sharp \ (u, \ t) \ \#_{\Delta} \ \Gamma' \lor j \ \sharp \ x \land (j \ \sharp \ (u, \ t) \ \#_{\Delta} \ \Gamma' \lor j = atom
i))
    using DCons subst-db.simps(2) has-subst-b-class.fresh-subst-if fresh-DCons subst-b-\Delta-def pure-fresh
fresh-at-base by auto
    finally show ?case by auto
  qed
 fix a::bv and tm::\Delta and x::b
  show atom a \sharp tm \Longrightarrow subst-b tm \ a \ x = tm
  proof (induct tm rule: \Delta-induct)
    case DNil
    then show ?case using subst-db.simps subst-b-\Delta-def by auto
  next
    case (DCons\ u\ t\ \Gamma')
  \mathbf{have} *: t[a::=x]_{\tau b} = t \ \mathbf{using} \ DCons \ fresh-DCons[of \ atom \ a] \ fresh-prod2[of \ atom \ a] \ has-subst-b-class. forget-subst
subst-b-\tau-def by metis
     have subst-b ((u,t) \#_{\Delta} \Gamma') a x = ((u,t[a::=x]_{\tau b}) \#_{\Delta} (subst-b \Gamma' a x)) using subst-b-\Delta-def
subst-db.simps by auto
    also have ... = ((u, t) \#_{\Delta} \Gamma') using * DCons fresh-DCons[of atom a] by auto
    finally show ?case using
      has-subst-b-class.forget-subst fresh-DCons fresh-prod3
      DCons\ subst-b-\Delta-def has-subst-b-class.forget-subst[of a t x] fresh-prod3[of atom a] by argo
  qed
  fix a::bv and tm::\Delta
 show subst-b tm a (B-var a) = tm
  \mathbf{proof}(induct\ tm\ rule:\ \Delta\text{-}induct)
    case DNil
    then show ?case using subst-db.simps subst-b-\Delta-def by auto
 next
    case (DCons u t \Gamma')
    then show ?case using
                                       has-subst-b-class.subst-id subst-b-\Delta-def subst-b-\tau-def subst-db.simps by
metis
  qed
 fix p::perm and x1::bv and v::b and t1::\Delta
  show p \cdot subst-b \ t1 \ x1 \ v = subst-b \ (p \cdot t1) \ (p \cdot x1) \ (p \cdot v)
  proof (induct tm rule: \Delta-induct)
    case DNil
    then show ?case using subst-b-\Delta-def subst-db.simps by simp
  next
    case (DCons \ x' \ b' \ \Gamma')
    then show ?case by argo
  qed
 fix bv::bv and c::\Delta and z::bv
  show atom by \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c) = c[z:=B\text{-}var\ bv]_b
```

```
proof (induct c rule: \Delta-induct)
       case DNil
       then show ?case using subst-b-\Delta-def subst-db.simps by auto
   next
       case (DCons\ u\ t')
       then show ?case
           unfolding subst-db.simps subst-b-\Delta-def permute-\Delta.simps
               using DCons\ subst-b-\Delta-def\ subst-db.simps\ flip-subst\ subst-b-\tau-def\ flip-fresh-fresh\ fresh-at-base
fresh-DCons flip-bv-u-cancel by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
   fix bv::bv and c::\Delta and z::bv and v::b
   show atom by \sharp c \Longrightarrow ((bv \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c)[bv := v]_b = c[z := v]_b
     proof (induct c rule: \Delta-induct)
       case DNil
       then show ?case using subst-b-\Delta-def subst-db.simps by auto
       case (DCons\ u\ t')
       then show ?case
           unfolding subst-db.simps subst-b-\Delta-def permute-\Delta.simps
               using DCons subst-b-\Delta-def subst-db.simps flip-subst subst-b-\tau-def flip-fresh-fresh fresh-at-base
fresh-DCons flip-bv-u-cancel by simp
   qed
qed
end
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-d-b-member:
   assumes (u, \tau) \in setD \Delta
   shows (u, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}
   using assms by (induct \Delta, auto)
lemmas ms-fresh-all = e.fresh s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh \tau.fresh c.fresh c.fresh v.fresh l.fresh fresh-at-base
opp.fresh pure-fresh ms-fresh
\mathbf{lemmas}\ fresh-intros[intro] = fresh-GNil\ x-not-in-b-set\ x-not-in-u-atoms\ x-fresh-b\ u-not-in-x-atoms\ bv-not-in-x-atoms\ bv-not-in-x-atoms
u-not-in-b-atoms
{\bf lemmas}\ subst-b-simps\ subst-tb.simps\ subst-cb.simps\ subst-ceb.simps\ subst-vb.simps\ subst-bb.simps
subst-eb.simps\ subst-branchb.simps\ subst-sb.simps
\mathbf{ML} \ \langle \mathit{Ctr-Sugar.ctr-sugar-of} \ @\{\mathit{context}\} \ @\{\mathit{type-name} \ b\} \ | > \ \mathit{Option.map} \ \#\mathit{ctrs} \rangle
lemma subst-d-b-x-fresh:
   fixes x::x and b::b and \Delta::\Delta and bv::bv
   assumes atom x \sharp \Delta
   shows atom x \sharp \Delta[bv := b]_{\Delta b}
   using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-\Delta-def assms by metis
lemma subst-b-fresh-x:
   fixes x::x
```

```
shows atom x \sharp v \Longrightarrow atom x \sharp v[bv:=b']_{vb} and
                             atom \ x \ \sharp \ ce \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ ce[bv::=b']_{ceb} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ e \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ e[bv::=b']_{eb} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ c[bv::=b']_{cb} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ t \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ t[bv::=b']_{\tau b} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ d \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ d[bv::=b']_{\Delta b} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ g \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ g[bv::=b']_{\Gamma b} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ s \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ s[bv::=b']_{sb}
     \textbf{using} \ \textit{fresh-subst-if} \ \textit{x-fresh-b} \ \textit{subst-b-v-def} \ \textit{subst-b-c-def} \ \textit{s
subst-g-b-x-fresh\ subst-d-b-x-fresh
       by metis+
lemma subst-b-fresh-u-cls:
       fixes tm::'a::has-subst-b and x::u
      shows atom x \sharp tm = atom x \sharp tm[bv:=b']_b
       using fresh-subst-if [of atom x tm bv b'] using u-fresh-b by auto
lemma subst-g-b-u-fresh:
       fixes x::u and b::b and \Gamma::\Gamma and bv::bv
      assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma
      shows atom x \sharp \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b}
       using subst-b-fresh-u-cls subst-b-\Gamma-def assms by metis
lemma subst-d-b-u-fresh:
       fixes x::u and b::b and \Gamma::\Delta and bv::bv
       assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma
      shows atom x \sharp \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Delta b}
       using subst-b-fresh-u-cls subst-b-\Delta-def assms by metis
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-b-fresh-u}:
      fixes x::u
       shows atom x \sharp v \Longrightarrow atom x \sharp v[bv:=b']_{vb} and
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ ce \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ ce[bv::=b']_{ceb} \ and
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ e \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ e[bv::=b']_{eb} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ c[bv::=b']_{cb} and
                             atom \ x \ \sharp \ t \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ t[bv::=b']_{\tau b} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ d \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ d[bv::=b']_{\Delta b} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ g \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ g[bv::=b']_{\Gamma b} \ \mathbf{and}
                            atom \ x \ \sharp \ s \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ s[bv::=b']_{sb}
     \textbf{using} \ \textit{fresh-subst-if} \ \textit{u-fresh-b} \ \textit{subst-b-v-def} \ \textit{subst-b-c-def} \ \textit{s
subst-g-b-u-fresh subst-d-b-u-fresh
      by metis+
lemma subst-db-u-fresh:
       fixes u::u and b::b and D::\Delta
       assumes atom \ u \ \sharp \ D
       shows atom u \sharp D[bv := b]_{\Delta b}
       using assms proof(induct D rule: \Delta-induct)
       case DNil
       then show ?case by auto
next
       case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ D')
```

end

## Chapter 6

## Wellformed Terms

We require that expressions and values are well-sorted. We identify sort with base. Define a large cluster of mutually recursive inductive predicates. Some of the proofs are across all of the predicates and although they seemed at first to be daunting they have all worked out well with only the cases where you think something special needs to be done having some non-uniform part of the proof.

named-theorems ms-wb Facts for helping with well-sortedness

#### 6.1 Definitions

```
inductive wfV :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\ ; -\vdash_{wf} -: -\ [50,50,50]\ 50) and wfC :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\ ; -\vdash_{wf} -\ [50,50]\ 50) and wfG :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\cdot ; -\vdash_{wf} -\ [50,50]\ 50) and wfT :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\cdot ; -\vdash_{wf} -\ [50,50]\ 50) and wfTs :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow (string*\tau)\ bist \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\cdot ; -\vdash_{wf} -\ [50,50]\ 50) and wfTh :: \Theta \Rightarrow bool\ (\ \vdash_{wf} -\ [50]\ 50) and wfB :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\cdot ; -\vdash_{wf} -\ [50,50]\ 50) and wfCE :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ ; -\cdot ; -\vdash_{wf} -: -\ [50,50,50]\ 50) and wfTD :: \Theta \Rightarrow type\text{-}def \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\vdash_{wf} -\ [50,50]\ 50) where
```

```
 \begin{aligned} & wfB\text{-}intI\colon \vdash_{wf}\Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}B\text{-}int \\ | \ wfB\text{-}boolI\colon \vdash_{wf}\Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}B\text{-}bool \\ | \ wfB\text{-}unitI\colon \vdash_{wf}\Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}B\text{-}unit \\ | \ wfB\text{-}bitvecI\colon \vdash_{wf}\Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf}B\text{-}bitvec \\ | \ wfB\text{-}pairI\colon \left[\!\!\left[\ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1\ ; \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b2\ \right]\!\!\right] \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}pair \ b1\ b2 \\ | \ wfB\text{-}consI\colon \left[\!\!\left[\ \vdash_{wf}\Theta; \\ (AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist) \in set\ \Theta \right]\!\!\right] \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}id\ s \\ | \ wfB\text{-}appI\colon \left[\!\!\left[\ \vdash_{wf}\Theta; \\ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b; \right.\right] \end{aligned}
```

```
(AF-typedef-poly s by dclist) \in set \Theta
    \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B-app s \ b
| wfV\text{-}varI: [\![ \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma; Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ \Gamma\ x\ ]\!] \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-}var\ x: b
| wfV\text{-}litI: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-}lit \ l: base\text{-}for\text{-}lit \ l
\mid wfV-pairI:
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-pair } v1 \ v2) : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2
| wfV\text{-}consI:
     AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta;
     (dc, \{x: b' \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist;
     \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-cons s \ dc \ v : B-id s
| wfV\text{-}conspI:
       AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta;
      (\mathit{dc}, \{\!\!\{\ x : \mathit{b'}\ |\ \mathit{c}\ \!\!\}) \in \mathit{set\ dclist}\ ;
      \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; \vdash_{wf} \; b;
      atom bv \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v);
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b]_{bb}
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-consp s \ dc \ b \ v : B-app s \ b
| wfCE-valI : [
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val\ v : b
| wfCE-plusI: [
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int;
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op Plus v1 v2 : B-int
\mid wfCE\text{-}leqI:[\![
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int;
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op LEq v1 v2 : B-bool
\mid wfCE\text{-}eqI:[\![
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b;
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b
```

```
\mid wfCE\text{-}fstI \colon \llbracket
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-fst v1:b1
\mid wfCE\text{-}sndI \colon \llbracket
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } v1:b2
\mid wfCE\text{-}concatI : \llbracket
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}bitvec
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2 : B\text{-}bitvec
\mid wfCE-lenI : \llbracket
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \mathit{CE-len} v1 : \mathit{B-int}
\mid wfTI : \llbracket
        atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma);
        \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (z,b,C\text{-}true) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \; c
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \}
\mid wfC\text{-}eqI \colon \llbracket
                               \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e1 : b ;
                                \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b ] \Longrightarrow
                              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C-eq e1 e2
| wfC\text{-}trueI: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-}true
| wfC\text{-}falseI: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-}false
   wfC\text{-}conjI: \llbracket \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ; \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-}conj c1 c2 \rrbracket
    \textit{wfC-disjI} \colon \llbracket \; \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \; c1 \; ; \; \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \; c2 \; \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \; \textit{C-disj} \; c1 \; c2
   wfC\text{-}notI: \llbracket \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-}not c1
| wfC\text{-}impI: [ \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 ;
                              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-imp } c1 \ c2
| wfG\text{-}nilI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil
\mid wfG\text{-}cons1I: [ c \notin \{ TRUE, FALSE \} ;
                                  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma;
                                  atom x \sharp \Gamma;
                                  \Theta \; ; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (x,b,C\text{-}true) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \; ; \; wfB \; \Theta \; \mathcal{B} \; b
                             \rrbracket \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
| wfG\text{-}cons2I: [ c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \} ;
                                  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma;
```

 $\Theta$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE$ -op  $Eq\ v1\ v2: B$ -bool

```
atom x \sharp \Gamma;
                            wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b
                         ] \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
\mid wfTh\text{-}emptyI: \vdash_{wf} []
| wfTh\text{-}consI: [
            (name-of-type\ tdef) \notin name-of-type\ `set\ \Theta";
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} tdef \ ] \implies \vdash_{wf} tdef \#\Theta
| wfTD\text{-}simpleI: [
            \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst
            \Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}typedef \ s \ lst \ )
\mid wfTD\text{-}poly: [
            \Theta; \{|bv|\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst
         \Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ lst)
\mid wfTs\text{-}nil: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} []::(string*\tau) \ list
| wfTs\text{-}cons: [\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ;
                         dc \notin fst \text{ '} set ts;
                         \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts::(string*\tau) \ list <math>\rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ((dc,\tau)\#ts)
inductive-cases wfC-elims:
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-true}
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C-false
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C-eq e1 e2
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C-conj c1 c2
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C-disj c1 c2
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C-not c1
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} C\text{-imp } c1 \ c2
inductive-cases wfV-elims:
 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-var x : b
 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-lit l:b
 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-pair v1 v2 : b
 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-cons tyid dc v : b
 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V-consp tyid dc b v : b'
inductive-cases wfCE-elims:
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val\ v:b
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op Plus v1 v2 : b
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op LEq v1 v2 : b
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-fst v1 : b
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd } v1:b
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}concat v1 v2 : b
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-len v1:b
```

```
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op opp v1 v2 : b
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op Eq \ v1 \ v2 : b
inductive-cases wfT-elims:
 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau :: \tau
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{\!\!\{ z: b \mid c \,\!\!\} \}
inductive-cases wfG-elims:
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x,b,TRUE)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x,b,FALSE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
inductive-cases wfTh-elims:
  \vdash_{wf} []
 \vdash_{wf} td\#\Pi
inductive-cases wfTD-elims:
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef } s \ lst \ )
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef-poly } s \ bv \ lst \ )
inductive-cases wfTs-elims:
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} ([]::((string*\tau) \ list))
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} ((t\#ts)::((string*\tau) \ list))
inductive-cases wfB-elims:
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B-pair b1 b2
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}id s
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-}app \ s \ b
equivariance wfV
This is by no means complete as we have for some of lemmas like weakening done it the hard
nominal-inductive wfV
avoids wfV-conspI: bv \mid wfTI: z
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v)
  moreover hence atom by \sharp V-consp s dc b v using v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh by metis
  moreover have atom by \sharp B-app s b using b.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh 1 by metis
  ultimately show ?case using b.fresh v.fresh pure-fresh fresh-star-def fresh-prodN by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
  case (2 \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (3 z \Gamma \Theta \mathcal{B} b c)
  then show ?case using \tau.fresh fresh-star-def fresh-prodN by fastforce
```

```
next
     case (4 \ z \ \Gamma \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ c)
      then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive
                           wfE :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool(-;-;-;-;-;-\vdash_{wf}-:-[50,50,50]50) and
                           wfS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool(-;-;-;-;-;-\vdash_{wf}-:-[50,50,50]50) and
                            -; -; -; - \vdash_{wf} -: -[50,50,50,50,50] 50) and
                           wfCSS :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow tyid \Rightarrow (string * \tau) list \Rightarrow branch-list \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool ( - ; - tyid = t
; -; -; -; -; -\vdash_{wf} -: - [50,50,50,50,50] 50) and
                            wfPhi :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash_{wf} - [50,50] 50) and
                           wfD :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow bool(-; -; -; -\vdash_{wf} - [50,50] 50) and
                           wfFTQ :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow fun\text{-}typ\text{-}q \Rightarrow bool \ (-; -\vdash_{wf} - [50] 50) \text{ and } wfFT :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow fun\text{-}typ \Rightarrow bool \ (-; -; -\vdash_{wf} - [50] 50) \text{ where}
      wfE-valI: [ (
        \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi);
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}val\ v : b
| wfE-plusI: [
        \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-int}
        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op Plus v1 v2 : B-int
| wfE-leqI:[
        \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int
        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op LEq\ v1\ v2: B-bool
| wfE-eqI: [
        \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
         \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b;
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b;
         b \in \{B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit\}
        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op Eq \ v1 \ v2 : B-bool
\mid wfE\text{-}fstI \colon \llbracket
        \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
```

```
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2
    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-fst v1 : b1
\mid wfE\text{-}sndI \colon \llbracket
    \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2
    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-snd v1 : b2
| wfE\text{-}concatI: [
    \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}bitvec
    \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}concat v1 v2 : B\text{-}bitvec
| wfE-splitI: [
    \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int
    \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-split v1 v2 : B-pair B-bitvec B-bitvec
\mid wfE-lenI:
    \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec
    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-len v1 : B-int
| wfE-appI: [
    \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
     Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f;
    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-app f v : b-of \tau
| wfE-appPI:
      \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
      \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b';
      atom bv \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-}of \tau)[bv::=b']_b);
      Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f;
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv:=b']_b)
      \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'\ v) : ((b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b)
```

```
\mid wfE\text{-}mvarI : \llbracket
     \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;
     \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
     (u,\tau) \in setD \Delta
     \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-mvar u : b-of \tau
\mid wfS\text{-}valI : \llbracket
       \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;
       \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \; ;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta
       \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (AS\text{-}val\ v) : b
\mid wfS\text{-}letI: [
        wfE \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b';
       \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b',C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
       atom x \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, b)
       \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} LET x = e IN s : b
| wfS-assertI: [
       \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
       atom x \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s)
       \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} ASSERT\ c\ IN\ s:b
\mid \mathit{wfS-let2I} \colon \llbracket \; \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma; \; \Delta \; \vdash_{\mathit{wf}} \; \mathit{s1} \; : \; \mathit{b-of} \; \; \tau \; \; ;
                          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau;
                           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b\text{-}of \ \tau,C\text{-}true) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b ;
                          atom x \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, s1, b, \tau)
]\!] \Longrightarrow
                       \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} LET \ x : \tau = s1 \ IN \ s2 : b
| wfS-ifI: [ \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-bool;
                              \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b;
                              \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} \mathit{IF} \ v \ \mathit{THEN} \ \mathit{s1} \ \mathit{ELSE} \ \mathit{s2} \ : \ \mathit{b}
| wfS-varI : [ wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau ;
                              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \ \tau;
                              atom u \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau, v, b);
                               \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ] \Longrightarrow
                               \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} VAR \ u : \tau = v \ IN \ s : b
| wfS-assignI: [(u,\tau) \in setD \ \Delta ;
                                 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
                                 \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
                                 \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \tau ] \Longrightarrow
```

```
\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} u ::= v : B\text{-unit}
| wfS-whileI: [\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : B-bool;
                           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b] \Longrightarrow
                          \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} WHILE s1 DO { s2 } : b
| wfS\text{-}seqI: [ \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : B\text{-}unit ;
    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b ] \Longrightarrow
                        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1;; s2 : b
| wfS\text{-}matchI: | wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v (B\text{-}id tid) ;
                           (AF-typedef tid dclist ) \in set \Theta;
                              wfD \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta;
                             \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
                              \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-match } v cs : b
| wfS-branchI: \llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x,b\text{-of }\tau,C\text{-true}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b ;
                         atom x \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \tau);
                        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta
                       ] \implies
                      \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; \tau \vdash_{wf} dc x \Rightarrow s : b
\mid wfS-finalI:
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; [(dc,t)] \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-final } cs : b
\mid wfS\text{-}cons: \llbracket
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b;
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b
 ] \Longrightarrow
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; (dc,t) \# dclist \vdash_{wf} AS\text{-}cons \ css : b
| wfD\text{-}emptyI: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}
| wfD\text{-}cons: [\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta :: \Delta ;
                        \Theta \; ; \mathcal{B} \; ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau ;
                        u \notin fst \cdot setD \Delta \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ((u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta)
\mid wfPhi\text{-}emptyI: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash_{wf} []
| wfPhi\text{-}consI: [
            f \notin name\text{-}of\text{-}fun \text{ '} set \Phi;
           \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ft;
              \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi
    \mathbb{I} \Longrightarrow
             \Theta \vdash_{wf} ((AF\text{-}fundef f ft)\#\Phi)
| wfFTNone: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-none ft
| wfFTSome: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | bv | \} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some bv ft}
\mid wfFTI: \llbracket
            \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b;
            supp \ s \subseteq \{atom \ x\} \cup supp \ B \ ;
            supp \ c \subseteq \{ atom \ x \} ;
```

```
inductive-cases wfE-elims:
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}val\ v: b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op Plus v1 v2 : b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op LEq v1 v2 : b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-fst v1:b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-snd v1:b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}concat v1 v2 : b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-len v1:b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op opp v1 v2 : b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-app f v: b
 \Theta;\ \Phi;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma;\ \Delta\vdash_{wf} \mathit{AE-appP}\ \mathit{f}\ \mathit{b'}\ \mathit{v}\colon \mathit{b}
 \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}mvar \; u \; : \; b
 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-op Eq \ v1 \ v2 : b
inductive-cases wfCS-elims:
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} (cs::branch-s) : b
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc \vdash_{wf} (cs::branch-list) : b
inductive-cases wfPhi-elims:
  \Theta \vdash_{wf} []
  \Theta \; \vdash_{wf} ((\mathit{AF-fundef} \: f \: \mathit{ft}) \# \Pi)
  \Theta \vdash_{wf} (fd\#\Phi::\Phi)
declare[[ simproc del: alpha-lst]]
inductive-cases wfFTQ-elims:
  \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-none ft
  \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some bv ft
  \Theta; \Phi \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)
inductive-cases wfFT-elims:
  \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s
declare[[ simproc add: alpha-lst]]
inductive-cases wfD-elims:
  \Pi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (\Gamma :: \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta}
  \Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma :: \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta :: \Delta
equivariance wfE
nominal-inductive wfE
\textbf{avoids} \quad \textit{wfE-appPI: bv} \mid \textit{wfS-varI: u} \mid \textit{wfS-letI: x} \mid \textit{wfS-let2I: x} \mid \textit{wfS-branchI: x} \mid \textit{wfS-assertI: x}
```

 $\Theta ; B ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau;$ 

 $\Theta ; \Phi ; B \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)$ 

 $\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi$ 

```
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
 moreover hence atom by \sharp AE-appP f b' v using pure-fresh fresh-prodN e.fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-star-def by fastforce
  case (2 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (3 \Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b)
 moreover hence atom x \sharp LET x = e IN s using fresh-prodN by auto
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def by fastforce
  case (4 \Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e b' x s b)
 then show ?case by auto
  case (5 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b)
 hence atom x \sharp ASSERT\ c\ IN\ s\ using\ s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
  then show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def 5 by fastforce
  case (6 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x c \Gamma \Delta s b)
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (7 \Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
 hence atom x \sharp \tau \wedge atom \ x \sharp s1 using fresh-prodN by metis
 moreover hence atom x \sharp LET x : \tau = s1 \ IN \ s2
   using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(3)[of atom x \ x \ \tau \ s1 \ s2] fresh-prodN by simp
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def 7 by fastforce
  case (8 \Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (9 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s)
 moreover hence atom u \sharp AS-var u \tau v s using fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list fresh by simp
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-star-def fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by fastforce
next
  case (10 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s)
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (11 \Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
 moreover have atom x \sharp (dc \ x \Rightarrow s) using pure-fresh s-branch-s-branch-list fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN fresh-star-def pure-fresh by fastforce
  case (12 \Phi \Theta \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive wfVDs :: var\text{-}def \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
wfVDs-nilI: wfVDs []
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mid wfVDs\text{-}consI\colon \llbracket \\ atom \ u \ \sharp \ ts; \\ wfV \ ([]::\Theta) \ \{\mid\mid\} \ \ GNil \ \ v \ \ (b\text{-}of \ \tau); \\ wfT \ ([]::\Theta) \ \ \{\mid\mid\} \ \ GNil \ \ \tau; \\ wfVDs \ ts \\ \rrbracket \implies wfVDs \ \ ((AV\text{-}def \ u \ \tau \ v)\#ts) \end{array}
```

equivariance wfVDs nominal-inductive wfVDs .

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 ${\bf hide\text{-}const}\ \mathit{Syntax.dom}$ 

## Chapter 7

# Refinement Constraint Logic

Semantics for the logic we use in the refinement constraints. It is a multi-sorted, quantifier free logic with polymorphic datatypes and linear arithmetic. We could have modelled by using one of the encodings to FOL however we wanted to explore using a more direct model.

### 7.1 Evaluation and Satisfiability

#### 7.1.1 Valuation

RCL values. This is our universe. SUt is a value for uninterpreted sort that corresponds to base type variables. For now we only need one of these universes. We wrap an smt\_val inside it during a process we call 'boxing' that is introduced in the RCLModelLemmass theory

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{nominal-datatype} & \textit{rcl-val} = \textit{SBitvec} & \textit{bit list} \mid \textit{SNum int} \mid \textit{SBool bool} \mid \textit{SPair rcl-val rcl-val} \mid \\ & \textit{SCons tyid string rcl-val} \mid \textit{SConsp tyid string b rcl-val} \mid \\ & \textit{SUnit} \mid \textit{SUt rcl-val} \\ \end{tabular}
```

RCL sorts. Represent our domains. The universe is the union of all of the these. S\_Ut is the single uninterpreted sort. Map almost directly to base type but should have them to clearly distinguish syntax (base types) and semantics (RCL sorts)

 $\label{eq:cont_sort} \textbf{nominal-datatype} \ \textit{rcl-sort} = \textit{S-bool} \mid \textit{S-int} \mid \textit{S-unit} \mid \textit{S-pair} \ \textit{rcl-sort} \ | \ \textit{S-id} \ \textit{tyid} \mid \textit{S-app} \ \textit{tyid} \ | \ \textit{S-bitvec} \mid \textit{S-ut} \ | \ \textit{S-bitvec} \mid \textit{S-ut} \ | \ \textit{S-bitvec} \ | \ \textit{S-unit} \ | \ \textit{S-bitvec} \ | \ \textit{S-unit} \ | \ \textit{S-unit}$ 

```
type-synonym valuation = (x,rcl\text{-}val) \ map

type-synonym type\text{-}valuation = (bv,rcl\text{-}sort) \ map

inductive wfRCV:: \Theta \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bool \ ( - \vdash - : - [50,50] \ 50) where wfRCV\text{-}BBitvecI: \ P \vdash (SBitvec \ bv) : B\text{-}bitvec

| \ wfRCV\text{-}BIntI: \ P \vdash (SNum \ n) : B\text{-}int

| \ wfRCV\text{-}BBoolI: \ P \vdash (SBool \ b) : B\text{-}bool

| \ wfRCV\text{-}BPairI: \ [ \ P \vdash s1 : b1 ; \ P \vdash s2 : b2 \ ] \implies P \vdash (SPair \ s1 \ s2) : (B\text{-}pair \ b1 \ b2)

| \ wfRCV\text{-}BConsI: \ [ \ AF\text{-}typedef \ s \ dclist \ \in set \ \Theta;

(dc, \ \{ \ x : b \mid c \ \}) \in set \ dclist ;

\Theta \vdash s1 : b \ ] \implies \Theta \vdash (SCons \ s \ dc \ s1) : (B\text{-}id \ s)

| \ wfRCV\text{-}BConsPI: \ [ \ AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \in set \ \Theta;
```

```
(dc, \{x: b \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist;
      atom by \sharp (\Theta, SConsp s dc b' s1, B-app s b');
    \Theta \vdash s1 : b[bv := b']_{bb} ] \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash (SConsp \ s \ dc \ b' \ s1) : (B-app \ s \ b')
 wfRCV-BUnitI: P \vdash SUnit: B-unit
 wfRCV-BVarI: P \vdash (SUt \ n) : (B-var \ bv)
equivariance wfRCV
nominal-inductive wfRCV
 avoids wfRCV-BConsPI: bv
proof(goal\text{-}cases)
 case (1 \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b \ c \ b' \ s1)
 then show ?case using fresh-star-def by auto
 case (2 s bv dclist \Theta dc x b c s1 b')
 then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive-cases wfRCV-elims:
wfRCVPs B-bitvec
wfRCV P s (B-pair b1 b2)
wfRCV P s (B-int)
wfRCV P s (B-bool)
wfRCVPs (B-id ss)
wfRCV P s (B-var bv)
wfRCV P s (B-unit)
wfRCV P s (B-app tyid b)
wfRCV P (SBitvec \ bv) \ b
wfRCV P (SNum n) b
wfRCV P (SBool n) b
wfRCV P (SPair s1 s2) b
wfRCV P (SCons s dc s1) b
wfRCV P (SConsp s dc b' s1) b
wfRCV \ P \ SUnit \ b
wfRCVP(SUts1)b
thm wfRCV-elims(9)
```

Sometimes we want to do  $P \vdash s \sim b[bv=b']$  and we want to know what b is however substitution is not injective so we can't write this in terms of wfRCV. So we define a relation that makes the variable and thing being substituted in explicit.

```
inductive wfRCV-subst:: \Theta \Rightarrow rcl-val \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow (bv*b) option \Rightarrow bool where wfRCV-subst-BBitvecI: wfRCV-subst P (SBitvec bv) B-bitvec sub | wfRCV-subst-BIntI: wfRCV-subst P (SNum n) B-int sub | wfRCV-subst-BBoolI: wfRCV-subst P (SBool b) B-bool sub | wfRCV-subst-BPairI: [ wfRCV-subst P s1 b1 sub; wfRCV-subst P s2 b2 sub [ \implies wfRCV-subst P (SPair s1 s2) (B-pair b1 b2) sub | wfRCV-subst-BConsI: [ AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta; (dc, \{ x:b \mid c \} ) \in set dclist ; wfRCV-subst \Theta s1 b None [] \implies wfRCV-subst \Theta (SCons s dc s1) (B-id s) sub | wfRCV-subst-BConspI: [ AF-typedef-poly s bv dclist <math>\in set \Theta; (dc, \{ x:b \mid c \} ) \in set dclist ; wfRCV-subst \Theta s1 (b[bv::=b']_{bb}) sub [] \implies wfRCV-subst \Theta (SConsp s dc b' s1) (B-app s b') sub | wfRCV-subst-BUnitI: wfRCV-subst P SUnit B-unit sub
```

```
| wfRCV-subst-BVar1I: bvar \neq bv \implies wfRCV-subst P(SUt \ n) \ (B-var bv) \ (Some \ (bvar, bin))
 wfRCV-subst-BVar2I: \llbracket bvar = bv; wfRCV-subst P s bin None \rrbracket \implies wfRCV-subst P s (B-var bv)
(Some (bvar, bin))
| wfRCV-subst-BVar3I: wfRCV-subst P (SUt n) (B-var bv) None
equivariance wfRCV-subst
nominal-inductive wfRCV-subst.
```

#### 7.1.2Evaluation base-types

```
inductive eval-b :: type-valuation \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow rcl-sort \Rightarrow bool ( - [-] ~ - ) where
v \parallel B-bool \parallel \sim S-bool
\mid v \parallel B\text{-}int \parallel \sim S\text{-}int
| Some \ s = v \ bv \Longrightarrow v \| B\text{-}var \ bv \| ^{\sim} s
equivariance eval-b
nominal-inductive eval-b.
```

#### 7.1.3 Wellformed Evaluation

```
definition wfI :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow valuation \Rightarrow bool( -; -\vdash -) where
  \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i = (\forall (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma. \ \exists s. \ Some \ s = i \ x \land \Theta \vdash s : b)
```

### 7.1.4 Evaluating Terms

```
nominal-function \mathit{eval-l} :: l \Rightarrow \mathit{rcl-val} \ ( \ \llbracket \ - \ \rrbracket \ ) where
   [\![ L\text{-true} ]\!] = SBool\ True
| [L-false]| = SBool\ False
| [L-num \ n] = SNum \ n
| [L-unit]| = SUnit
 [ L-bitvec \ n ] = SBitvec \ n 
apply(auto simp: eqvt-def eval-l-graph-aux-def)
by (metis\ l.exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
inductive eval-v :: valuation \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow rcl-val \Rightarrow bool ( - [ - ] ^ - ) where
eval-v-litI: i \parallel V-lit \mid l \parallel \sim \parallel l \parallel
   eval-v-varI: Some sv = i x \implies i V-var x ^{\sim} sv
   eval\text{-}v\text{-}pairI: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket ^{\sim} s1 ; i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket ^{\sim} s2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i \llbracket V\text{-}pair v1 v2 \rrbracket ^{\sim} SPair s1 s2
   eval\text{-}v\text{-}consI: i \parallel v \parallel ^{\sim} s \Longrightarrow i \parallel V\text{-}cons \ tyid \ dc \ v \parallel ^{\sim} SCons \ tyid \ dc \ s
 | eval-v-conspI: i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \Longrightarrow i \llbracket V-consp tyid dc b v \rrbracket \sim SConsp tyid dc b s
equivariance eval-v
nominal-inductive eval-v.
inductive-cases eval-v-elims:
  i \parallel V-lit l \parallel \sim s
```

```
i \ [\![ V-var \ \bar{x} \ ]\!] \sim s
i \ \overline{\parallel} \ V-pair v \overline{1} \ v 2 \ \underline{\parallel} \ ^{\sim} \ s
i \ [\![ \ V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ dc\ v\ ]\!] \ ^{\sim}\ s
i \ \llbracket V \text{-}consp \ tyid \ dc \ b \ v \ \rrbracket ^{\sim} s
```

```
inductive eval-e::valuation \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow rcl-val \Rightarrow bool ( - [ - ] ^ - ) where
   eval\text{-}e\text{-}valI\text{: }i~\llbracket~v~\rrbracket~^{\sim}~sv \Longrightarrow i~\llbracket~CE\text{-}val~v~\rrbracket~^{\sim}~sv
```

```
\mid eval\text{-}e\text{-}plusI : \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket ^{\sim} SNum \ n1; \ i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket ^{\sim} SNum \ n2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) \rrbracket ^{\sim} (SNum \ n2) \rrbracket ^{\sim} (SNum \ n2)
(n1+n2)
 [eval-e-leqI: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim (SNum \ n1); i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim (SNum \ n2) \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (CE-op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (SBool)
(n1 \leq n2)
  eval\text{-}e\text{-}eqI: \llbracket i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s1; i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim s2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (CE\text{-}op \ Eq \ v1 \ v2) \rrbracket \sim (SBool \ (s1 = s2))
   eval\text{-}e\text{-}fstI: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket ^{\sim} SPair v1 v2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (CE\text{-}fst v) \rrbracket ^{\sim} v1
   eval\text{-}e\text{-}sndI: \llbracket i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SPair\ v1\ v2\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i \llbracket (CE\text{-}snd\ v)\ \rrbracket \sim v2
   eval\text{-}e\text{-}concatI: [ i [ v1 ] ^ (SBitvec bv1); i [ v2 ] ^ (SBitvec bv2) ] \implies i [ (CE\text{-}concat v1 v2) ] ^ 
(SBitvec \ (bv1@bv2))
| eval\text{-}e\text{-}lenI: [ i [ v ] ^ \sim (SBitvec\ bv) ] \implies i [ (CE\text{-}len\ v) ] ^ \sim (SNum\ (int\ (List.length\ bv)))
equivariance eval-e
nominal-inductive eval-e.
thm eval-e.induct
inductive-cases eval-e-elims:
 i \ [\![ (CE\text{-}val\ v)\ ]\!] \sim s
 i \parallel (CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) \parallel \sim s
 i \ [ (CE-op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2) \ ] \sim s
 i \ [ (CE-op \ Eq \ v1 \ v2) \ ] ^ s
 i \ [\![ \ (\mathit{CE-fst}\ v)\ ]\!] \ ^{\sim}\ s
 i \ \llbracket \ (\mathit{CE}\text{-}\mathit{snd}\ v) \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim}\ s
 i \parallel (CE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2) \parallel \sim s
 i \ \llbracket \ (\textit{CE-len} \ v) \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s
inductive eval-c :: valuation \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow bool + bool + c = - - -  where
   eval\text{-}c\text{-}trueI: i \parallel C\text{-}true \parallel ^{\sim} True
   eval\text{-}c\text{-}conjI: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket ^{\sim} b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket ^{\sim} b2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i \llbracket (C\text{-}conj c1 c2) \rrbracket ^{\sim} (b1 \wedge b2)
   eval\text{-}c\text{-}disjI: \llbracket i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (C\text{-}disj c1 c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \lor b2)
   eval\text{-}c\text{-}impI: \llbracket i \rrbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 ; i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2 \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (C\text{-}imp\ c1\ c2) \rrbracket \sim (b1 \longrightarrow b2)
   eval\text{-}c\text{-}notI: \llbracket i \llbracket c \rrbracket \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} b \rrbracket \implies i \llbracket (C\text{-}not \ c) \rrbracket \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} (\neg \ b)
  eval\text{-}c\text{-}eqI: \llbracket i \llbracket e1 \rrbracket \sim sv1; i \llbracket e2 \rrbracket \sim sv2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i \llbracket (C\text{-}eq\ e1\ e2) \rrbracket \sim (sv1\text{=}sv2)
equivariance eval-c
nominal-inductive eval-c.
{\bf inductive\text{-}cases}\ \textit{eval-c-elims}:
 \begin{array}{cccc} i \ \llbracket \ \textit{C-true} \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} & \textit{True} \\ i \ \llbracket \ \textit{C-false} \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} & \textit{False} \end{array}
 i \ [ (C\text{-}conj \ c1 \ c2) ] ^ \sim s
 i \parallel (C-disj \ c1 \ c2) \parallel \sim s
```

### 7.1.5 Satisfiability

 $\begin{array}{l} i \; \llbracket \; (\textit{C-imp c1 c2}) \rrbracket \; {}^{\sim} \; s \\ i \; \llbracket \; (\textit{C-not c}) \; \rrbracket \; {}^{\sim} \; s \\ i \; \llbracket \; (\textit{C-eq e1 e2}) \rrbracket \; {}^{\sim} \; s \\ i \; \llbracket \; \textit{C-true} \; \rrbracket \; {}^{\sim} \; s \\ i \; \llbracket \; \textit{C-false} \; \rrbracket \; {}^{\sim} \; s \end{array}$ 

```
inductive is\text{-}satis :: valuation \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow bool ( - \models - ) where i \ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ True \Longrightarrow i \models c equivariance is\text{-}satis
```

```
nominal-inductive is-satis.
```

```
nominal-function is-satis-g :: valuation \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow bool\ ( \ - \models - \ ) where i \models GNil = True \mid i \models ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G) = (\ i \models c \land \ i \models G) apply(auto\ simp:\ eqvt-def\ is-satis-g-graph-aux-def) by (metis\ \Gamma.exhaust\ old.prod.exhaust) nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
```

### 7.2 Validity

```
nominal-function valid :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow c \Rightarrow bool\ (-; -; - \models - [50, 50] 50) where P ; B ; G \models c = ((P ; B ; G \vdash_{wf} c) \land (\forall i. (P ; G \vdash i) \land i \models G \longrightarrow i \models c)) by (auto simp: eqvt-def wfI-def valid-graph-aux-def) nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
```

### 7.3 Lemmas

shows  $0 \le n \land n \le int (length v)$ 

```
Lemmas needed for Examples
lemma valid-trueI [intro]:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes P ; B \vdash_{wf} G
 shows P ; B ; G \models C\text{-}true
proof -
 have \forall i. i \models C\text{-true using } is\text{-satis.simps } eval\text{-}c\text{-true}I \text{ by } simp
 moreover have P ; B ; G \vdash_{wf} C-true using wfC-trueI assms by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by simp
inductive split :: int \Rightarrow bit \ list \Rightarrow bit \ list * bit \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
 split \theta xs ([], xs)
| split m \ xs \ (ys,zs) \Longrightarrow split \ (m+1) \ (x\#xs) \ ((x\ \#\ ys),\ zs)
equivariance split
nominal-inductive split.
lemma split-concat:
assumes split n \ v \ (v1,v2)
shows v = append v1 v2
using assms proof(induct (v1,v2) arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: split.inducts)
 case 1
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (2 m xs ys zs x)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma split-n:
  assumes split \ n \ v \ (v1,v2)
```

```
using assms proof(induct rule: split.inducts)
 case (1 xs)
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (2 m xs ys zs x)
 then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma split-length:
 assumes split \ n \ v \ (v1,v2)
 shows n = int (length v1)
using assms proof(induct (v1,v2) arbitrary: v1 v2 rule: split.inducts)
 case (1 xs)
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (2 m xs ys zs x)
 then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma obtain-split:
 assumes 0 \le n and n \le int (length bv)
 shows \exists bv1 bv2. split n bv (bv1, bv2)
using assms proof(induct bv arbitrary: n)
 case Nil
 then show ?case using split.intros by auto
next
 case (Cons \ b \ bv)
 show ?case proof(cases n = \theta)
   then show ?thesis using split.intros by auto
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   then obtain m where m:n=m+1 using Cons
    by (metis add.commute add-minus-cancel)
   moreover have 0 \le m using False m Cons by linarith
   then obtain bv1 and bv2 where split m bv (bv1, bv2) using Cons m by force
   hence split n (b \# bv) ((b\#bv1), bv2) using m split.intros by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
 qed
qed
```

end

### 7.4 Syntax Lemmas

```
lemma supp\text{-}v\text{-}tau\ [simp]:
assumes atom\ z\ \sharp\ v
shows supp\ (\{\!\{\ z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ v\ \}\!) = supp\ v\cup supp\ b
using assms\ \tau.supp\ c.supp\ ce.supp
by (simp\ add:\ fresh\text{-}def\ supp\text{-}at\text{-}base)
```

```
lemma supp-v-var-tau [simp]:
    assumes z \neq x
    shows supp (\{ z : b \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) = CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) \}) = \{ atom x \} \cup supp b \}
    using supp-v-tau assms
    using supp-at-base by fastforce
Sometimes we need to work with a version of a binder where the variable is fresh in something
else, such as a bigger context. I think these could be generated automatically
lemma obtain-fresh-fun-def:
    fixes t::'b::fs
      shows \exists y::x. \ atom \ y \ \sharp \ (s,c,\tau,t) \land (AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-none \ (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)) =
AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ y b ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s)))
proof
    obtain y::x where y: atom y \sharp (s,c,\tau,t) using obtain-fresh by blast
    moreover have AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ y b ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x)
(x \cdot s) = (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)))
    \mathbf{proof}(cases\ x=y)
        case True
       then show ?thesis using fun-def.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3) flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh-fresh-PairD by
auto
    next
        case False
        thm fun-typ.eq-iff
      have (AF-fun-typ y b ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau) ((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s)) = (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s) proof(subst
fun-typ.eq-iff, subst Abs1-eq-iff(3))
             show \forall (y = x \land (((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c, (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau), (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s) = ((c, \tau), s) \lor
                    y \neq x \land (((y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c, (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau), (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s) = (y \leftrightarrow x) \cdot ((c, \tau), s) \land atom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ \sharp ((c, \tau), s) \land tom \ y \ tom \ y \ tom \ y \ tom \ y \ tom
s)) \wedge
                   b = b using False flip-commute flip-fresh-fresh fresh-PairD y by auto
        qed
        thus ?thesis by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using y fresh-Pair by metis
qed
lemma lookup-fun-member:
    assumes Some (AF-fundef f ft) = lookup-fun \Phi f
    shows AF-fundef f ft \in set \Phi
using assms proof (induct \Phi)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by auto
next
    case (Cons a \Phi)
    then show ?case using lookup-fun.simps
        by (metis fun-def.exhaust insert-iff list.simps(15) option.inject)
qed
lemma rig-dom-eq:
  dom (G[x \longmapsto c]) = dom G
```

```
proof(induct \ G \ rule: \Gamma.induct)
 case GNil
  then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by presburger
next
 case (GCons xbc \Gamma')
 obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc=(x',b',c') using prod-cases by blast
 then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps GCons by simp
qed
lemma lookup-in-rig-eq:
 assumes Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x
 shows Some (b,c') = lookup (\Gamma[x \mapsto c']) x
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma')
 then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps lookup.simps by auto
qed
lemma lookup-in-rig-neq:
 assumes Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma y and x \neq y
 shows Some (b,c) = lookup (\Gamma[x \mapsto c']) y
using assms proof(induct \ \Gamma \ rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
 then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps lookup.simps by auto
qed
lemma lookup-in-rig:
 assumes Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma y
 shows \exists c''. Some (b,c'') = lookup (\Gamma[x \mapsto c']) y
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ x=y)
 case True
 then show ?thesis using lookup-in-rig-eq using assms by blast
\mathbf{next}
  case False
  then show ?thesis using lookup-in-rig-neq using assms by blast
qed
lemma lookup-inside[simp]:
 assumes x \notin \mathit{fst} ' toSet \Gamma'
 shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup (\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) x
 using assms by (induct \Gamma', auto)
lemma lookup-inside2:
 assumes Some (b1,c1) = lookup (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) y and x\neq y
 shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup (\Gamma'@((x,b0,c0')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) y
 using assms by (induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma.induct, auto+)
```

```
fun tail:: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where
    tail [] = []
| tail (x \# xs) = xs
lemma lookup-options:
    assumes Some (b,c) = lookup (xt \#_{\Gamma} G) x
    shows ((x,b,c) = xt) \lor (Some (b,c) = lookup G x)
by (metis\ assms\ lookup.simps(2)\ option.inject\ surj-pair)
lemma lookup-x:
    assumes Some (b,c) = lookup G x
    shows x \in \mathit{fst} ' toSet G
    using assms
    by(induct G rule: \Gamma.induct ,auto+)
lemma GCons-eq-appendI:
    fixes xs1::\Gamma
    shows ||x \#_{\Gamma} xs1 = ys; xs = xs1 @ zs|| ==> x \#_{\Gamma} xs = ys @ zs
by (drule sym) simp
lemma split-G: x: toSet \ xs \Longrightarrow \exists \ ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ x \#_{\Gamma} \ zs
proof (induct xs)
    case GNil thus ?case by simp
next
    case GCons thus ?case using GCons-eq-appendI
        by (metis\ Un-iff\ append-g.simps(1)\ singletonD\ toSet.simps(2))
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lookup-not-empty:
    assumes Some \ \tau = lookup \ G \ x
    \mathbf{shows}\ G \neq \mathit{GNil}
    using assms by auto
lemma lookup-in-q:
 assumes Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x
 shows (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma
using assms apply(induct \Gamma, simp)
using lookup-options by fastforce
lemma lookup-split:
    fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
    assumes Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x
    shows \exists G G'. \Gamma = G'@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G
    by (meson \ assms(1) \ lookup-in-g \ split-G)
lemma toSet-splitU[simp]:
     (x',b',c') \in toSet \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longleftrightarrow (x',b',c') \in (toSet \ \Gamma' \cup \{(x, b, c)\} \cup toSet \ \Gamma)
    using append-g-toSetU toSet.simps by auto
lemma toSet-splitP[simp]:
  (\forall (x', b', c') \in toSet \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma). \ P \ x' \ b' \ c') \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ (x', b', c') \in toSet \ \Gamma'. \ P \ x' \ b' \ c') \land P \ x' \ b' \ c' \ b' \ c' \ b' \ c') \land P \ x' \ b' \ c') \land P \ x' \ b' \ c' \ b' \ c'
b \ c \land (\forall (x', b', c') \in toSet \ \Gamma. \ P \ x' \ b' \ c') \ (is ?A \longleftrightarrow ?B)
```

```
using toSet-splitU by force
lemma lookup-restrict:
 assumes Some (b',c') = lookup (\Gamma'@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) y and x \neq y
 shows Some (b',c') = lookup (\Gamma'@\Gamma) y
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule:\Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons x1 b1 c1 \Gamma')
 then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma supp-list-member:
 fixes x::'a::fs and l::'a list
 assumes x \in set l
 shows supp x \subseteq supp l
 using assms apply(induct \ l, \ auto)
 using supp-Cons by auto
lemma GNil-append:
 assumes GNil = G1@G2
 shows G1 = GNil \land G2 = GNil
proof(rule\ ccontr)
 assume \neg (G1 = GNil \land G2 = GNil)
 hence G1@G2 \neq GNil using append-g.simps by (metis \Gamma.distinct(1) \Gamma.exhaust)
 thus False using assms by auto
qed
{\bf lemma} \ \ GCons\text{-}eq\text{-}append\text{-}conv:
 fixes xs::\Gamma
 shows x\#_{\Gamma}xs = ys@zs = (ys = GNil \land x\#_{\Gamma}xs = zs \lor (\exists ys'. x\#_{\Gamma}ys' = ys \land xs = ys'@zs))
\mathbf{by}(cases\ ys)\ auto
lemma dclist-distinct-unique:
  assumes (dc, const) \in set \ dclist2 and (cons, const1) \in set \ dclist2 and dc=cons and distinct
(List.map\ fst\ dclist2)
 shows (const) = const1
proof -
 have (cons, const) = (dc, const1)
                   by (metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)\ distinct.simps(1)\ distinct.simps(2)
   using assms
empty-iff insert-iff list.set(1) list.simps(15) list.simps(8) list.simps(9) map-of-eq-Some-iff)
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma fresh-d-fst-d:
 assumes atom u \sharp \delta
 shows u \notin fst 'set \delta
using assms proof(induct \delta)
```

```
case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons ut \delta')
 obtain u' and t' where *:ut = (u',t') by fastforce
 hence atom u \sharp ut \wedge atom u \sharp \delta' using fresh-Cons Cons by auto
 moreover hence atom u \sharp fst \ ut \ using * fresh-Pair[of \ atom \ u \ u' \ t'] \ Cons \ by \ auto
 ultimately show ?case using Cons by auto
lemma bv-not-in-bset-supp:
 fixes bv::bv
 assumes bv \notin B
 shows atom by \notin supp B
proof -
 have *:supp B = fset (fimage atom B)
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{fimage.rep-eq}\ \mathit{finite-fset}\ \mathit{supp-finite-set-at-base}\ \mathit{supp-fset})
 thus ?thesis using assms
   using notin-fset by fastforce
qed
lemma u-fresh-d:
 assumes atom u \sharp D
 shows u \notin fst ' setD D
 using assms proof(induct D rule: \Delta-induct)
case DNil
 then show ?case by auto
 case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ \Delta')
 then show ?case unfolding setD.simps
   using fresh-DCons fresh-Pair by (simp add: fresh-Pair fresh-at-base(2))
qed
         Type Definitions
7.5
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{exist-fresh-bv}:
 fixes tm::'a::fs
 shows \exists bva2 \ dclist2. \ AF-typedef-poly \ tyid \ bva \ dclist = AF-typedef-poly \ tyid \ bva2 \ dclist2 \ \land
            atom bva2 ♯ tm
proof -
 obtain bva2::bv where *:atom bva2 \pm (bva, dclist,tyid,tm) using obtain-fresh by metis
 moreover hence bva2 \neq bva using fresh-at-base by auto
 moreover have dclist = (bva \leftrightarrow bva2) \cdot (bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot dclist by simp
 moreover have atom bva \sharp (bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot dclist proof -
   have atom bva2 \sharp dclist using * fresh-prodN by auto
   hence atom ((bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot bva2) \sharp (bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot dclist using fresh-eqvt True-eqvt
   proof -
     have (bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot atom \ bva2 \ \sharp \ (bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) \cdot dclist
       by (metis True-eqvt (atom bva2 \pm dclist) fresh-eqvt)
     then show ?thesis
```

by simp

```
qed
   thus ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately have AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 ((bva2 \leftrightarrow bva) · dclist)
   unfolding type-def.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff by metis
 thus ?thesis using * fresh-prodN by metis
qed
lemma obtain-fresh-bv:
 fixes tm::'a::fs
  obtains bva2::bv and dclist2 where AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2
dclist2 \wedge
           atom bva2 \pm tm
 using exist-fresh-by by metis
7.6
         Function Definitions
lemma fun-typ-flip:
 fixes bv1::bv and c::bv
 shows (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1 = AF-fun-typ x1 ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1)
((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau 1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1)
using fun-typ.perm-simps flip-fresh-fresh supp-at-base fresh-def
 flip	ext{-}fresh	ext{-}fresh	ext{-}def \ supp	ext{-}at	ext{-}base
 by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
lemma fun-def-eq:
 assumes AF-fundef fa (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ xa ba ca \tau a sa)) = AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none
(AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))
 shows f = fa and b = ba and [[atom \ xa]]lst. sa = [[atom \ x]]lst. s and [[atom \ xa]]lst. \tau a = [[atom \ x]]lst.
x]]lst. \tau  and
           [[atom\ xa]]lst.\ ca = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ c
 using fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd lst-fst using assms apply metis
 using fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd lst-fst using assms apply metis
proof -
 \mathbf{have} \ ([[atom\ xa]] \ lst.\ ((ca,\tau a),sa) = [[atom\ x]] \ lst.\ ((c,\tau),s)) \ \mathbf{using} \ assms \ fun-def.\ eq-iff fun-typ-q.\ eq-iff
fun-typ.eq-iff by auto
 thus [[atom\ xa]] sa = [[atom\ x]] sa = [[atom\ x]] sa = [[atom\ xa]] sa = [[atom\ xa]]
           [[atom\ xa]]lst.\ ca = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ c\ using\ lst-snd\ lst-fst\ by\ metis+
qed
lemma fun-arg-unique-aux:
 assumes AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1' = AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2'
 shows \{x1:b1 \mid c1\} = \{x2:b2 \mid c2\}
proof -
 have ([[atom \ x1]] lst. c1 = [[atom \ x2]] lst. c2) using fun-def-eq assms by metis
 moreover have b1 = b2 using fun-typ.eq-iff assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using \tau.eq-iff by fast
qed
```

```
lemma fresh-x-neq:
 fixes x::x and y::x
 shows atom x \sharp y = (x \neq y)
 using fresh-at-base fresh-def by auto
lemma obtain-fresh-z3:
fixes tm:: 'b:: fs
obtains z::x where \{x:b\mid c\} = \{z:b\mid c[x::=V\text{-}var\ z]_{cv}\} \land atom\ z\ \sharp\ tm\ \land\ atom\ z\ \sharp\ (x,c)
proof -
 obtain z::x and c'::c where z:\{x:b\mid c\} = \{x:b\mid c'\} \land atom z\sharp(tm,x,c) using obtain-fresh-z2
b-of.simps by metis
 hence c' = c[x := V - var z]_{cv} proof -
   have ([[atom\ z]]lst.\ c' = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ c) using z\ \tau.eq-iff by metis
   hence c' = (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c using Abs1-eq-iff [of z c' x c] fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN by fastforce
   also have ... = c[x:=V-var\ z]_{cv}
     using subst-v-c-def flip-subst-v[of\ z\ c\ x]\ z\ fresh-prod3 by metis
   finally show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 thus ?thesis using z fresh-prodN that by metis
qed
lemma u-fresh-v:
 fixes u::u and t::v
 shows atom u \sharp t
\mathbf{by}(nominal\text{-}induct\ t\ rule:v.strong\text{-}induct,auto)
lemma u-fresh-ce:
 fixes u::u and t::ce
 shows atom u \sharp t
 \mathbf{apply}(nominal\text{-}induct\ t\ rule\text{:}ce.strong\text{-}induct)
 using u-fresh-v pure-fresh
 apply (auto simp add: opp.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh opp.exhaust)
 unfolding ce.fresh opp.fresh opp.exhaust by (simp add: fresh-opp-all)
lemma u-fresh-c:
 fixes u::u and t::c
 shows atom u \sharp t
 by(nominal-induct t rule:c.strong-induct, auto simp add: c.fresh u-fresh-ce)
lemma u-fresh-g:
 fixes u::u and t::\Gamma
 shows atom u \sharp t
 by(induct t rule:Γ-induct, auto simp add: u-fresh-b u-fresh-c fresh-GCons fresh-GNil)
lemma u-fresh-t:
 fixes u::u and t::\tau
 shows atom u \sharp t
 by (nominal-induct t rule:\tau.strong-induct, auto simp add: \tau.fresh u-fresh-c u-fresh-b)
```

```
lemma b-of-c-of-eq:
  assumes atom z \sharp \tau
  shows \{z: b\text{-}of \ \tau \mid c\text{-}of \ \tau \ z\} = \tau
using assms proof(nominal-induct \tau avoiding: z rule: \tau.strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type x1a \ x2a \ x3a)
 hence \{ z : b \text{-} of \{ x1a : x2a \mid x3a \} \mid c \text{-} of \{ x1a : x2a \mid x3a \} z \} = \{ z : x2a \mid x3a[x1a ::= V \text{-} var a] \} \}
    using b-of.simps c-of.simps c-of-eq by auto
 \mathbf{moreover\ have}\ \{\!\!\{\ z:x2a\mid x3a[x1a::=V\text{-}var\ z]_{cv}\ \}\!\!\} = \{\!\!\{\ x1a:x2a\mid x3a\ \}\!\!\}\ \mathbf{using}\ T\text{-}refined\text{-}type}\ \tau\text{.}fresh
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
lemma fresh-d-not-in:
  assumes atom u2 \sharp \Delta'
  shows u2 \notin fst \cdot setD \Delta'
using assms proof(induct \Delta' rule: \Delta-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (DCons u \ t \ \Delta')
  hence *: atom \ u2 \ \sharp \ \Delta' \land \ atom \ u2 \ \sharp \ (u,t)
    by (simp add: fresh-def supp-DCons)
  hence u2 \notin fst 'setD \Delta' using DCons by auto
  moreover have u2 \neq u using * fresh-Pair
    by (metis eq-fst-iff not-self-fresh)
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed
end
```

### Chapter 8

# Wellformedness Lemmas

### 8.1 Prelude

```
lemma b-of-subst-bb-commute: (b\text{-}of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) = \ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b]_{bb} proof — obtain z' and b' and c' where \tau = \{ z': b' \mid c' \} using obtain-fresh-z by metis moreover hence (b\text{-}of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})) = b\text{-}of\ \{ z': b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c' \} using subst-tb.simps by simp ultimately show ?thesis using subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps by simp qed lemmas wf\text{-}intros = wfV\text{-}wfC\text{-}wfG\text{-}wfT\text{-}wfTs\text{-}wfTh\text{-}wfB\text{-}wfCE\text{-}wfTD\text{-}intros\ wfE\text{-}wfS\text{-}wfCS\text{-}wfCS\text{-}wfPhi\text{-}wfD\text{-}wfFTQ\text{-}wfF}
```

lemmas freshers = fresh-prodN b.fresh c.fresh v.fresh ce.fresh fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-at-base

### 8.2 Strong Elimination

```
lemma wf-strong-elim:
  fixes \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v and e::e and c::c and \tau::\tau and ts::(string*\tau) list
                 and \Delta::\Delta and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and s::s
              and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and \Theta::\Theta
   shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v): b'' \Longrightarrow (\exists\ bv\ dclist\ x\ b'\ c.\ b'' = B\text{-}app\ tyid\ b\ \land
                  \textit{AF-typedef-poly tyid bv dclist} \in \textit{set } \Theta \ \land \\
                 (dc, \{x: b' \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \land
                     \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \land atom \ bv \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b]_{bb} \land atom \ bv \ \sharp \ tm)
and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
                                                       \implies True \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
                                                        \implies True \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
               \exists \ z \ b \ c. \ \tau = \{ \ z : b \ \mid c \ \} \land \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma) \land \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ tm \ \land \} 
               \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True and
            \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies True and
            \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(\mathit{nominal-induct}
```

```
V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v\ b'' and c\ and \Gamma\ and ts\ and \Theta\ and b\ and b' and td\ avoiding\colon tm rule:wfV\text{-}wfC\text{-}wfG\text{-}wfT\text{-}wfTs\text{-}wfTh\text{-}wfB\text{-}wfCE\text{-}wfTD\text{.}strong\text{-}induct)}  \text{case}\ (wfV\text{-}conspI\ bv\ dclist\ \Theta\ x\ b'\ c\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)   \text{then show}\ ?case\ \text{by}\ force   \text{next}\   \text{case}\ (wfTI\ z\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b\ c)   \text{then show}\ ?case\ \text{by}\ force   \text{qed}(auto+)
```

### 8.3 Context Extension

```
definition wfExt :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow bool (-; - \vdash_{wf} - < - [50,50,50] 50) where wfExt \ T \ B \ G1 \ G2 = (wfG \ T \ B \ G2 \ \land \ wfG \ T \ B \ G1 \ \land \ toSet \ G1 \subseteq toSet \ G2)
```

### 8.4 Context

```
lemma wfG-cons[ms-wb]:
      fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
      assumes P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma
      shows P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land wfB \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ b
      using wfG-elims(2)[OF assms] by metis
lemma wfG-cons2[ms-wb]:
      fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
      assumes P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} zbc \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma
      shows P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
      obtain z and b and c where zbc: zbc=(z,b,c) using prod-cases3 by blast
      hence P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma using assms by auto
      thus ?thesis using zbc wfG-cons assms by simp
qed
lemma wf-g-unique:
      fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
      assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and (x,b,c) \in toSet \Gamma and (x,b',c') \in toSet \Gamma
      shows b=b' \land c=c'
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma.induct)
      case GNil
      then show ?case by simp
      case (GCons\ a\ \Gamma)
      consider (x,b,c)=a \land (x,b',c')=a \mid (x,b,c)=a \land (x,b',c')\neq a \mid (x,b,c)\neq a \land (x,b',c')=a \mid (x,b
(x,b',c')\neq a by blast
      then show ?case proof(cases)
             case 1
             then show ?thesis by auto
      next
             case 2
             hence atom x \sharp \Gamma using wfG-elims(2) GCons by blast
```

```
moreover have (x,b',c') \in toSet \Gamma using GCons 2 by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem \Gamma. distinct
subst-gv.simps 2 GCons by metis
 next
   case \beta
   hence atom x \sharp \Gamma using wfG-elims(2) GCons by blast
   moreover have (x,b,c) \in toSet \Gamma using GCons 3 by force
   {\bf ultimately \ show} \ \textit{?thesis}
            using forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem \Gamma.distinct subst-gv.simps 3
GCons by metis
 next
   case 4
   then obtain x'' and b'' and c''::c where xbc: a=(x'',b'',c'')
     using prod-cases3 by blast
   hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x'',b'',c'') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using GCons\ wfG\text{-}elims\ by\ blast
   hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land (x, b, c) \in toSet \Gamma \land (x, b', c') \in toSet \Gamma using GCons wfG-elims 4 xbc
            prod-cases3 set-GConsD using forget-subst-gv fresh-GCons fresh-GNil fresh-gamma-elem
\Gamma. distinct subst-gv. simps 4 GCons by meson
   thus ?thesis using GCons by auto
 qed
qed
lemma lookup-if1:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x
 shows (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma \land (\forall b' \ c'. \ (x,b',c') \in toSet \ \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \land c'=c)
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma.induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ xbc \ \Gamma)
 then obtain x' and b' and c'::c where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c')
   using prod-cases3 by blast
 then show ?case using wf-g-unique GCons lookup-in-g xbc
    lookup.simps\ set	ext{-}GConsD\ wfG.cases
    insertE insert-is-Un toSet.simps wfG-elims by metis
qed
lemma lookup-if2:
 assumes wfG \ P \ B \ \Gamma and (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma \land (\forall b' \ c'. \ (x,b',c') \in toSet \ \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \land c'=c)
 shows Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma.induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
  case (GCons \ xbc \ \Gamma)
 then obtain x' and b' and c'::c where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c')
   using prod-cases3 by blast
  then show ?case proof(cases x=x')
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then show ?thesis using lookup.simps GCons xbc by simp
 next
```

```
case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps GCons xbc toSet.simps Un-iff set-GConsD wfG-cons2
      by (metis\ (full-types)\ Un-iff\ set-GConsD\ toSet.simps(2)\ wfG-cons2)
  qed
qed
lemma lookup-iff:
  fixes \Theta::\Theta and \Gamma::\Gamma
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows} \ \textit{Some} \ (b,c) = \textit{lookup} \ \Gamma \ x \longleftrightarrow (x,b,c) \in \textit{toSet} \ \Gamma \ \land \ (\forall \ b' \ c'. \ (x,b',c') \in \textit{toSet} \ \Gamma \longrightarrow \textit{b'=b} \ \land \\
c'=c
 using assms lookup-if1 lookup-if2 by meson
lemma wfG-lookup-wf:
 fixes \Theta :: \Theta and \Gamma :: \Gamma and b :: b and \mathcal{B} :: \mathcal{B}
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
  then show ?case proof(cases x=x')
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps wfG-elims(2) GCons by fastforce
 next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup.simps wfG-elims(2) GCons by fastforce
 qed
qed
lemma wfG-unique:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes wfG \ B \ \Theta \ ((x, b, c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) and (x1, b1, c1) \in toSet \ ((x, b, c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) and x1=x
 shows b1 = b \wedge c1 = c
proof -
 have (x, b, c) \in toSet((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by simp
 thus ?thesis using wf-g-unique assms by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfG	ext{-}unique	ext{-}full:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes wfG \Theta B (\Gamma'@(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and (x1, b1, c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'@(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and x1=x
 shows b1 = b \wedge c1 = c
proof -
 have (x, b, c) \in toSet (\Gamma'@(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by simp
  thus ?thesis using wf-g-unique assms by blast
qed
```

### 8.5 Converting between wb forms

We cannot prove wfB properties here for expressions and statements as need some more facts about  $\Phi$  context which we can prove without this lemma. Trying to cram everything into a single large mutually recursive lemma is not a good idea

```
lemma wfX-wfY1:
  fixes \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v and e::e and c::c and \tau::\tau and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and s::s
and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s
               {\bf and} \ \mathit{css}{::} \mathit{branch-list}
  shows wfV-wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v: b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta and
            wfC-wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \vdash_{wf} \Theta and
            wfG\text{-}wf:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta \text{ and }
            wfT-wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \land \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \text{-} of \tau \text{ and}
            wfTs-wf:\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \text{ and }
            \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
            wfB-wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta \text{ and }
            \textit{wfCE-wf} : \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \quad \text{and} \quad
            wfTD-wf:\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta
\mathbf{proof}(induct \quad rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts)
  case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b\ c\ x)
  hence (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma using lookup-iff lookup-in-g by presburger
  hence b \in fst'snd'toSet \Gamma by force
  hence wfB \Theta B b using wfV-varI using wfG-lookup-wf by auto
  then show ?case using wfV-varI wfV-elims wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-litI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l)
  moreover have wfTh \Theta using wfV-litI by metis
   ultimately show ?case using wf-intros base-for-lit.simps l.exhaust by metis
  case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
  then show ?case using wfB-pairI by simp
  case (wfV-consI s dclist \Theta dc x b c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
   case (wfTI \ z \ \Gamma \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ c)
  then show ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps wfG-cons2 by metis
qed(auto)
lemma wfX-wfY2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s
               and css::branch-list
  shows
           wfE-wf:\Theta;\Phi;\mathcal{B};\Gamma;\Delta\vdash_{wf}e:b\Longrightarrow\Theta;\mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf}\Gamma\wedge\Theta;\mathcal{B};\Gamma\vdash_{wf}\Delta\wedge\vdash_{wf}\Theta\wedge\Theta\vdash_{wf}\Phi
           \textit{wfS-wf} \colon \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \; \vdash_{wf} \Theta \land \Theta \; \vdash_{wf} \Phi
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \Theta
\Phi and
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta ; tid ; delist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land G
\Phi and
            wfPhi-wf: \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta and
```

```
wfD\text{-}wf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \text{ and }
          \textit{wfFTQ-wf} \colon \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \quad \vdash_{wf} \textit{ftq} \Longrightarrow \Theta \ \vdash_{wf} \ \Phi \ \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta \ \textbf{and}
          wfFT-wf: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land \vdash_{wf} \Theta
\mathbf{proof}(induct \quad rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts)
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfS-varI} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \tau \ \mathit{v} \ \mathit{u} \ \Delta \ \Phi \ \mathit{s} \ \mathit{b})
  then show ?case using wfD-elims by auto
next
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfY1 by auto
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta \times b)
  then have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using wfX-wfY1 by auto
  moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wfS-assertI by auto
  moreover have \vdash_{wf} \Theta \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfS-assertI by auto
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed(auto)
lemmas wfX-wfY = wfX-wfY1 \ wfX-wfY2
lemma setD-ConsD:
  ut \in setD \ (ut' \#_{\Delta} D) = (ut = ut' \lor ut \in setD D)
\mathbf{proof}(induct\ D\ rule:\ \Delta\text{-}induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ x2)
  then show ?case using setD.simps by auto
qed
lemma wfD-wfT:
  fixes \Delta::\Delta and \tau::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta
  shows \forall (u,\tau) \in setD \ \Delta. \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
using assms proof(induct \Delta rule: \Delta-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ x2)
  then show ?case using wfD-elims DCons setD-ConsD
    by (metis case-prodI2 set-ConsD)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-b-lookup-d:
  assumes u \notin fst ' setD \Delta
  shows u \notin fst \cdot setD \ \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}
using assms proof(induct \Delta rule: \Delta-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ x2)
  hence u\neq u' using DCons by simp
  show ?case using DCons subst-db.simps by simp
qed
lemma wfG-cons-splitI:
  fixes \Phi::\Phi and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma and wfB \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b and
       c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \} \longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \text{ and }
       c \notin \{ \ \mathit{TRUE}, \ \mathit{FALSE} \ \} \longrightarrow \Theta \ \ ; \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \ (x,b,\mathit{C-true}) \ \ \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
    shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
  using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I assms by metis
lemma wfG-consI:
  fixes \Phi::\Phi and \Gamma::\Gamma and c::c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma and wfB \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ b and
   \Theta \; ; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (x,b,C\text{-true}) \; \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
  using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I wfG-cons-splitI wfC-trueI assms by metis
lemma wfG-elim2:
  fixes c::c
  assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
  \mathbf{shows}\ P;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ (x,\ b,\ TRUE)\quad \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma\ \vdash_{wf}\ c\ \land\ \mathit{wfB}\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ b
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})
  case True
  have P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land atom \ x \sharp \Gamma \land wfB \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ using \ wfG-elims(2)[OF \ assms] by auto
  hence P: \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \land wfB P \mathcal{B} b \text{ using } wfG\text{-}cons2I \text{ by } auto
  thus ?thesis using wfC-trueI wfC-falseI True by auto
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using wfG-elims(2)[OF assms] by auto
lemma wfG-cons-wfC:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and c :: c
  assumes \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
  shows \Theta ; B ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c
  using assms\ wfG\text{-}elim2\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
lemma wfG-wfB:
  assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} \Gamma and b \in fst'snd'toSet \Gamma
  shows wfB P \mathcal{B} b
using assms proof(induct \ \Gamma \ rule:\Gamma -induct)
case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
  show ?case proof(cases b=b')
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
```

```
then show ?thesis using wfG-elim2 GCons by auto
   case False
   hence b \in fst'snd'toSet \Gamma' using GCons by auto
   moreover have wfG P B \Gamma' using wfG-cons GCons by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using GCons by auto
 qed
qed
lemma wfG-cons-TRUE:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and b :: b
 assumes P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and atom z \sharp \Gamma and P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b
 shows P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
  using wfG-cons2I wfG-wfB assms by simp
lemma wfG-cons-TRUE2:
  assumes P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma and atom z \sharp \Gamma
 shows P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
 using wfG-cons wfG-cons2I assms by simp
lemma wfG-suffix:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@\Gamma)
 shows wfG P \mathcal{B} \Gamma
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons x b c \Gamma')
 hence P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ \Gamma using wfG\text{-}elims by auto
 then show ?case using GCons wfG-elims by auto
qed
lemma wfV-wfCE:
 fixes v::v
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val\ v: b
proof -
  have \Theta \vdash_{wf} ([]::\Phi) using wfPhi-emptyI wfV-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
 moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta} using wfD\text{-}emptyI wfV\text{-}wf wfG\text{-}wf assms by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using wfCE-valI assms by auto
qed
```

### 8.6 Support

lemma wf-supp1:

fixes  $\Gamma::\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'::\Gamma$  and v::v and e::e and c::c and  $\tau::\tau$  and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and s::s and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list

```
shows wfV-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \Longrightarrow supp \ v \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} and wfC-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow supp \ c \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} and
```

```
wfG-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow atom-dom \Gamma \subseteq supp \Gamma and
          wfT-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow supp \ \tau \subseteq atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} and
          wfTs-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow supp ts \subseteq atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B} and
          wfTh-supp: \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow supp \Theta = \{\} and
          wfB-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow supp \ b \subseteq supp \ \mathcal{B} and
          wfCE-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \Longrightarrow supp \ ce \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} and
          wfTD-supp: \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow supp \ td \subseteq \{\}
\mathbf{proof}(induct \quad rule: wfV-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts)
  case (wfB-consI \Theta s dclist \mathcal{B})
  then show ?case by(auto simp add: b.supp pure-supp)
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfB-appI} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \mathit{b} \ \mathit{s} \ \mathit{bv} \ \mathit{dclist})
  then show ?case by(auto simp add: b.supp pure-supp)
  case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b\ c\ x)
  then show ?case using v.supp \ wfV-elims
     empty-subsetI insert-subset supp-at-base
     fresh-dom-free2 lookup-if1
    by (metis sup.coboundedI1)
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfV-litI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l)
  then show ?case using supp-l-empty v.supp by simp
  case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
   then show ?case using v.supp \ wfV-elims by (metis \ Un-subset-iff)
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfV-consI} \ \mathit{s} \ \mathit{dclist} \ \Theta \ \mathit{dc} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{b} \ \mathit{c} \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \mathit{v})
  then show ?case using v.supp \ wfV-elims
    Un-commute b.supp sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty pure-supp by metis
  case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ typid \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v \ b)
  then show ?case unfolding v.supp
    using wfV-elims
    Un-commute b.supp sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty pure-supp
    by (simp add: Un-commute pure-supp sup.coboundedI1)
next
  case (wfC-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2)
  hence supp \ e1 \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using c.supp \ wfC-elims
    image-empty list.set(1) sup-bot.right-neutral by (metis IntI UnE empty-iff subsetCE subsetI)
  moreover have supp e2 \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using c.supp \ wfC\text{-}elims
    image-empty list.set(1) sup-bot.right-neutral IntI UnE empty-iff subsetCE subsetI
    by (metis\ wfC-eqI.hyps(4))
  ultimately show ?case using c.supp by auto
  case (wfG\text{-}cons1I\ c\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ x\ b)
  then show ?case using atom-dom.simps dom-supp-g supp-GCons by metis
next
  case (wfG\text{-}cons2I\ c\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ x\ b)
  then show ?case using atom-dom.simps dom-supp-g supp-GCons by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case wfTh-emptyI
```

```
then show ?case by (simp add: supp-Nil)
  case (wfTh\text{-}consI\ \Theta\ lst)
  then show ?case using supp-Cons by fast
next
  case (wfTD\text{-}simpleI\ \Theta\ lst\ s)
  then have supp\ (AF-typedef\ s\ lst\ )=supp\ lst\ \cup\ supp\ s\ using\ type-def\ .supp\ by auto
  then show ?case using wfTD-simpleI pure-supp
   by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfTD\text{-}poly\ \Theta\ bv\ lst\ s)
 then have supp\ (AF-typedef-poly\ s\ bv\ lst\ ) = supp\ lst\ -\ \{\ atom\ bv\ \}\ \cup\ supp\ s\ using\ type-def.supp
  then show ?case using wfTD-poly pure-supp
   by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfTs\text{-}nil\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfTs\text{-}cons\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau\ dc\ ts)
 then show ?case using supp-Cons supp-Pair pure-supp[of dc] by blast
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfCE-valI} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \mathit{v} \ \mathit{b})
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
 hence supp\ (CE-op Plus\ v1\ v2) \subseteq atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ using ce.supp\ pure-supp
   by (simp add: wfCE-plusI opp.supp)
  then show ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
  case (wfCE-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
 hence supp\ (CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2)\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ \ \mathbf{using}\ ce.supp\ pure\text{-}supp
   by (simp add: wfCE-plusI opp.supp)
  then show ?case using ce.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
next
  case (wfCE-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b v2 )
 hence supp (CE-op Eq v1 v2) \subseteq atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B} using ce.supp pure-supp
   by (simp add: wfCE-eqI opp.supp)
 then show ?case using ce.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by auto
next
  case (wfCE-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
 case (wfCE-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
 thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
next
  case (wfCE-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1)
  thus ?case using ce.supp wfCE-elims by simp
```

```
case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c)
  hence supp c \subseteq supp \ z \cup atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using supp-at-base dom-cons by metis
  moreover have supp \ b \subseteq supp \ \mathcal{B} using wfTI by auto
  ultimately have supp \ \{ z : b \mid c \} \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ \text{using } \tau.supp \ supp-at-base \ \text{by } force
  thus ?case by auto
qed(auto)
lemma wf-supp2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and
         ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and s::s and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and
        ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s and css ::branch-list
  shows
           wfE-supp: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e: b \Longrightarrow (supp \ e \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \cup atom \ 'fst ' setD
\Delta) and
          \textit{wfS-supp:}\ \Theta;\ \Phi;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma;\ \Delta\vdash_{\textit{wf}} s:\ b \implies \textit{supp}\ s\subseteq \textit{atom-dom}\ \Gamma\cup\textit{atom}\ \textit{`fst'}\ \textit{`setD}\ \Delta\cup\textit{supp}\ \mathcal{B}
and
         \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs: b \Longrightarrow supp \ cs \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ fst \ setD \ \Delta \cup supp
\mathcal{B} and
          \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta ; tid ; delist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow supp \ css \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ `fst \ `setD \ \Delta \cup 
supp \mathcal{B} and
          wfPhi-supp: \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi::\Phi) \Longrightarrow supp \Phi = \{\} and
          wfD-supp: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow supp \ \Delta \subseteq atom'fst'(setD \ \Delta) \cup atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} and
          \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow supp ftq = \{\} and
          \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow supp ft \subseteq supp \mathcal{B}
\mathbf{proof}(induct \quad rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts)
  case (wfE-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b)
  hence supp\ (AE\text{-}val\ v)\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\  using e.supp\ wf\text{-}supp\ 1 by simp\ 
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  hence supp (AE-op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B}
    using wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-supp1 e.supp pure-supp Un-least
    by (metis sup-bot.left-neutral)
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-legI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  hence supp\ (AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2)\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ \ \textbf{using}\ e.supp\ pure\text{-}supp\ Un\text{-}least
    sup-bot.left-neutral using opp.supp wf-supp1 by auto
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
  hence supp (AE-op Eq v1 v2) \subseteq atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B} using e.supp pure-supp Un-least
     sup-bot.left-neutral using opp.supp wf-supp1 by auto
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
 hence supp\ (AE\text{-}fst \ v1\ )\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ using\ e.supp\ pure-supp\ sup-bot.left-neutral
using opp.supp wf-supp1 by auto
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
```

```
hence supp\ (AE\text{-}snd\ v1\ )\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\  using e.supp\ pure\text{-}supp\ 
                                                                                                        wfE-plusI opp.supp
wf-supp1 by (metis Un-least)
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  hence supp\ (AE\text{-}concat\ v1\ v2) \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\  using e.supp\ pure\text{-}supp\ 
    wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-supp1 by (metis Un-least)
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  hence supp\ (AE\text{-}split\ v1\ v2)\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ \ \textbf{using}\ e.supp\ pure\text{-}supp
    wfE-plusI opp.supp wf-supp1 by (metis Un-least)
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
 hence supp\ (AE\text{-}len\ v1\ )\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ \ \mathbf{using}\ e.supp\ pure\text{-}supp
    using e.supp pure-supp sup-bot.left-neutral using opp.supp wf-supp1 by auto
  then show ?case using e.supp wfE-elims UnCI subsetCE subsetI x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v)
  then obtain b where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b using wfE-elims by metis
  hence supp \ v \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using wfE-appI \ wf-supp 1 by metis
 hence supp\ (AE\text{-}app\ f\ v)\subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ \Gamma\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ using\ e.supp\ pure\text{-}supp\ by\ fast
  then show ?case using e.supp(2) UnCI subsetCE subsetI wfE-appI using b.supp(3) pure-supp
x-not-in-b-set by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f xa ba ca s)
  then obtain b where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv:=b']_b) using wfE-elims by metis
  hence supp \ v \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using wfE-appPI wf-supp1 by auto
  moreover have supp b' \subseteq supp \ \mathcal{B} using wf-supp1(7) wfE-appPI by simp
 ultimately show ?case unfolding e.supp using wfE-appPI pure-supp by fast
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
     then obtain \tau where (u,\tau) \in setD \ \Delta \text{ using } wfE\text{-}elims(10) \text{ by } metis
 hence atom u \in atom'fst'setD \Delta by force
  hence supp\ (AE\text{-}mvar\ u\ )\subseteq atom'fst'setD\ \Delta\ using\ e.supp
    by (simp add: supp-at-base)
 \textbf{thus} ? case \ \textbf{using} \ \textit{UnCI subsetCE subsetI} \ e. supp \ \textit{wfE-mvarI supp-at-base subsetCE supp-at-base u-not-in-b-set}
    by (simp add: supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfS\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ b\ \Delta)
  then show ?case using wf-supp1
    by (metis s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(1) sup.coboundedI2 sup-assoc sup-commute)
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
 then show ?case unfolding s-branch-s-branch-list.supp (3) using wf-supp1(4)[OF wfS-let2I(3)] by
auto
next
```

```
case (wfS-ifI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2)
  then show ?case using wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-ifI(1)] by auto
next
  case (wfS-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Delta \Phi s b)
  then show ?case using wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-varI(2)] wf-supp1(4)[OF wfS-varI(1)] by auto
next
next
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
 hence supp \ u \subseteq atom \ `fst \ `setD \ \Delta \ \mathbf{proof}(induct \ \Delta \ rule: \Delta - induct)
    {\bf case}\ DNil
    then show ?case by auto
 next
    case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ \Delta')
    show ?case proof(cases u=u')
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      then show ?thesis using toSet.simps DCons supp-at-base by fastforce
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis using toSet.simps DCons supp-at-base wfS-assignI
        by (metis empty-subsetI fstI image-eqI insert-subset)
    qed
  qed
  then show ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(8) wfS-assignI wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-assignI(6)]
by auto
next
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b)
  then show ?case using wf-supp1(1)[OF wfS-matchI(1)] by auto
 case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
 moreover have supp \ s \subseteq supp \ x \cup atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ `fst \ `setD \ \Delta \cup supp \ \mathcal{B}
    \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{dom\text{-}cons}\ \mathit{supp\text{-}at\text{-}base}\ \mathit{wfS\text{-}branchI}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
  moreover hence supp \ s - set \ [atom \ x] \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \ \cup \ atom \ `fst \ `setD \ \Delta \ \cup \ supp \ \mathcal{B} \ \mathbf{using}
supp-at-base by force
  ultimately have
     (supp\ s-set\ [atom\ x])\cup (supp\ dc\ )\subseteq atom-dom\ \Gamma\cup atom\ `fst\ `setD\ \Delta\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}
     by (simp add: pure-supp)
 thus ?case using s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(2) by auto
next
  case (wfD-emptyI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma)
  then show ?case using supp-DNil by auto
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ u)
 have supp\ ((u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = supp\ u \cup supp\ \tau \cup supp\ \Delta \text{ using } supp-DCons\ supp-Pair\ \text{by } metis
  also have ... \subseteq supp u \cup atom 'fst 'setD \Delta \cup atom\text{-}dom \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B}
    using wfD-cons wf-supp1(4)[OF wfD-cons(3)] by auto
 also have ... \subseteq atom 'fst 'setD ((u, \tau) #_{\Delta} \Delta) \cup atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B} using supp-at-base by auto
  finally show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfPhi\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case using supp-Nil by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfPhi-consI f \Theta \Phi ft)
```

```
then show ?case using fun-def.supp
    by (simp add: pure-supp supp-Cons)
next
  case (wfFTI \Theta B' b s x c \tau \Phi)
  \mathbf{thm}\ \mathit{fun-typ.supp}
  have supp\ (AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s) = supp\ c\ \cup\ (supp\ \tau\ \cup\ supp\ s) - set\ [atom\ x]\ \cup\ supp\ b\ using
fun-typ.supp by auto
  thus ?case using wfFTI wf-supp1
  proof -
    have f1: supp \ \tau \subseteq \{atom \ x\} \cup atom-dom \ GNil \cup supp \ B'
      using dom-cons wfFTI.hyps wf-supp1(4) by blast
    have supp \ b \subseteq supp \ B'
      using wfFTI.hyps(1) wf-supp1(7) by blast
    then show ?thesis
      using f1 \langle supp \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) = supp \ c \cup (supp \ \tau \cup supp \ s) - set \ [atom \ x] \cup supp \ b \rangle
             wfFTI.hyps(4) wfFTI.hyps by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfFTNone \Theta \Phi ft)
  then show ?case by (simp \ add: fun-typ-q.supp(2))
  case (wfFTSome \Theta \Phi bv ft)
  then show ?case using fun-typ-q.supp
    by (simp add: supp-at-base)
next
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta s b)
  then have supp \ c \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ 'fst \ 'setD \ \Delta \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using \ wf-supp 1
    by (metis Un-assoc Un-commute le-supI2)
  moreover have supp \ s \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ 'fst \ 'setD \ \Delta \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ z
    \mathbf{assume} \, *: \! z \in \mathit{supp} \, \, s
    have **: atom x \notin supp \ s using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN fresh-def by metis
    have z \in atom-dom\ ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ using\ wfS-assertI* by
    have z \in atom-dom ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow z \in atom-dom \Gamma using *** by auto
    thus z \in atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ 'fst \ 'setD \ \Delta \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using * **
      using \langle z \in atom\text{-}dom\ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup atom\ 'fst\ 'setD\ \Delta \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\rangle by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?case by auto
qed(auto)
lemmas wf-supp = wf-supp 1 wf-supp 2
lemma wfV-supp-nil:
  fixes v::v
  assumes P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} v : b
  shows supp \ v = \{\}
  \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wfV-supp}[\mathit{of}\ P\ \ \{||\}\ \ \mathit{GNil}\ \mathit{v}\ \mathit{b}]\ \mathit{dom.simps}\ \mathit{toSet.simps}
  using assms by auto
lemma wfT-TRUE-aux:
  assumes wfG P B \Gamma and atom z \sharp (P, B, \Gamma) and wfB P B b
```

```
shows wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z : b \mid TRUE \})
proof (rule)
  show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (P, \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma) \rangle using assms by auto
 show \langle P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using assms by auto
 show \langle P; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) \rangle \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} TRUE \rangle using wfG-cons2I wfC-trueI assms by auto
qed
lemma wfT-TRUE:
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} \Gamma and wfB P \mathcal{B} b
  shows wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z : b \mid TRUE \})
proof -
  obtain z'::x where *:atom z' \sharp (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using obtain-fresh by metis
 hence \{z:b\mid TRUE\}=\{z':b\mid TRUE\} by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfT-TRUE-aux assms * by metis
qed
lemma phi-flip-eq:
 assumes wfPhi T P
 shows (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot P = P
 using wfPhi-supp[OF assms] flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by blast
lemma wfC-supp-cons:
  fixes c'::c and G::\Gamma
 assumes P; \mathcal{B}; (x', b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}G \vdash_{wf} c'
 shows supp\ c'\subseteq atom-dom\ G\cup supp\ x'\cup supp\ \mathcal{B} and supp\ c'\subseteq supp\ G\cup supp\ x'\cup supp\ \mathcal{B}
proof -
  show supp \ c' \subseteq atom-dom \ G \cup supp \ x' \cup supp \ \mathcal{B}
   using wfC-supp[OF assms] dom-cons supp-at-base by blast
  moreover have atom\text{-}dom\ G\subseteq supp\ G
   by (meson assms wfC-wf wfG-cons wfG-supp)
 ultimately show supp c' \subseteq supp \ G \cup supp \ x' \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using wfG-supp assms wfG-cons wfC-wf by
fast
qed
lemma wfG-dom-supp:
 fixes x::x
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} G
 shows atom x \in atom\text{-}dom\ G \longleftrightarrow atom\ x \in supp\ G
using assms proof(induct G rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using dom.simps supp-of-atom-list
   using supp-GNil by auto
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ G)
  thm wfG-cons
  show ?case proof(cases x' = x)
   case True
   \textbf{then show} \ ? the sis \ \textbf{using} \ dom. simps \ supp-of-atom-list \ supp-at-base
     using supp-GCons by auto
  \mathbf{next}
   case False
```

```
have (atom\ x \in atom-dom\ ((x',\ b',\ c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ G)) = (atom\ x \in atom-dom\ G) using atom-dom.simps
False by simp
   also have ... = (atom \ x \in supp \ G) using GCons \ wfG-elims by metis
   also have ... = (atom \ x \in (supp \ (x', b', c') \cup supp \ G)) proof
     show atom x \in supp \ G \Longrightarrow atom \ x \in supp \ (x', b', c') \cup supp \ G by auto
     assume atom x \in supp(x', b', c') \cup supp G
     then consider atom x \in supp (x', b', c') \mid atom x \in supp G by auto
     then show atom x \in supp \ G \ \mathbf{proof}(cases)
       case 1
       assume atom x \in supp (x', b', c')
       hence atom x \in supp \ c' using supp-triple \ False \ supp-b-empty \ supp-at-base \ by force
       moreover have P; \mathcal{B}; (x', b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}G \vdash_{wf} c' using wfG-elim2 GCons by simp
       moreover hence supp c' \subseteq supp \ G \cup supp \ x' \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using wfC-supp-cons by auto
       ultimately have atom x \in supp \ G \cup supp \ x' using x-not-in-b-set by auto
       then show ?thesis using False supp-at-base by (simp add: supp-at-base)
     next
       case 2
       then show ?thesis by simp
     qed
   qed
    also have ... = (atom \ x \in supp \ ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} G)) using supp-at-base False supp-GCons by
simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
qed
lemma wfG-atoms-supp-eq:
 fixes x::x
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} G
 shows atom x \in atom\text{-}dom\ G \longleftrightarrow atom\ x \in supp\ G
 using wfG-dom-supp assms by auto
lemma beta-flip-eq:
 fixes x::x and xa::x and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B}
 shows (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}
proof -
 thm x-not-in-b-set
 have atom x \sharp \mathcal{B} \wedge atom \ xa \sharp \mathcal{B} using x-not-in-b-set fresh-def supp-set by metis
 thus ?thesis by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def)
qed
lemma theta-flip-eq2:
 assumes \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot \Theta = \Theta
proof -
 have supp \Theta = \{\} using wfTh-supp assms by simp
 thus ?thesis
     by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def)
 qed
```

```
lemma theta-flip-eq:
 assumes wfTh \Theta
 shows (x \leftrightarrow xa) \cdot \Theta = \Theta
 using wfTh-supp flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def
 by (simp add: assms theta-flip-eq2)
lemma wfT-wfC:
 fixes c::c
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}  and atom z \sharp \Gamma
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
  TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ca
   using wfT-elims[OF assms(1)] by metis
 hence c1: [[atom \ z]] lst. c = [[atom \ za]] lst. ca using \tau.eq-iff by meson
 show ?thesis proof(cases z=za)
   case True
   hence ca = c using c1 by (simp \ add: Abs1-eq-iff(3))
   then show ?thesis using * True by simp
 next
   case False
   have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using wfT-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
   moreover have atom za \sharp \Gamma using * fresh-prodN by auto
   ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot (za, ba, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot ca
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{wfC.eqvt} \ \mathit{theta-flip-eq2} \ \ \mathit{beta-flip-eq*} \ \ \mathit{GCons-eqvt} \ \mathit{assms} \ \mathit{flip-fresh-fresh} \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis}
   moreover have atom z \sharp ca
   proof -
       have supp \ ca \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup \{ atom \ za \} \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using * wfC-supp \ atom-dom.simps
toSet.simps by fastforce
     moreover have atom z \notin atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma using assms fresh-def wfT-wf wfG-dom-supp wfC-supp
     moreover hence atom z \notin atom-dom \Gamma \cup \{atom za \} using False by simp
     moreover have atom z \notin supp \mathcal{B} using x-not-in-b-set by simp
     ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def False by fast
   moreover hence (z \leftrightarrow za) \cdot ca = c using type-eq-subst-eq1(3) * by metis
   ultimately show ?thesis using assms G-cons-flip-fresh * by auto
qed
lemma u-not-in-dom-g:
 fixes u::u
 shows atom u \notin atom\text{-}dom G
 using toSet.simps atom-dom.simps u-not-in-x-atoms by auto
lemma bv-not-in-dom-g:
 fixes bv::bv
 shows atom bv \notin atom\text{-}dom \ G
 using toSet.simps atom-dom.simps u-not-in-x-atoms by auto
```

```
lemma u-not-in-q:
 fixes u::u
 assumes wfG \Theta B G
 shows atom u \notin supp G
using assms proof(induct G rule: \Gamma-induct)
 then show ?case using supp-GNil fresh-def
   using fresh-set-empty by fastforce
next
 case (GCons x b c \Gamma')
  moreover hence atom \ u \notin supp \ b using
   wfB-supp wfC-supp u-not-in-x-atoms wfG-elims wfX-wfY by auto
  moreover hence atom u \notin supp \ x using u-not-in-x-atoms supp-at-base by blast
  moreover hence atom u \notin supp \ c \ proof -
    have \Theta; B; (x, b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c using wfG-cons-wfC GCons by simp
    hence supp \ c \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ ((x,\ b,\ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma') \cup supp\ B\ using\ wfC\text{-}supp\ by\ blast
    thus ?thesis using u-not-in-dom-g u-not-in-b-atoms
      using u-not-in-b-set by auto
  qed
  ultimately have atom u \notin supp (x,b,c) using supp-Pair by simp
  thus ?case using supp-GCons GCons wfG-elims by blast
qed
lemma u-not-in-t:
 fixes u::u
 assumes wfT \Theta B G \tau
 shows atom \ u \notin supp \ \tau
proof -
 have supp \tau \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ G \cup supp \ B \ using \ wfT-supp assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using u-not-in-dom-g u-not-in-b-set by blast
qed
lemma wfT-supp-c:
 fixes \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and z::x
 assumes wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z : b \mid c \})
 shows supp \ c - \{ atom \ z \} \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B}
 using wf-supp \tau.supp assms
 by (metis\ Un-subset-iff\ empty-set\ list.simps(15))
lemma wfG-wfC[ms-wb]:
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
 shows wfC P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c
using assms proof(cases c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})
 have atom x \sharp \Gamma \wedge wfG P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \wedge wfB P \mathcal{B} b using wfG-cons assms by auto
 hence wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ((x,b,TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \ \mathbf{using} \ wfG\text{-}cons2I \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
 then show ?thesis using wfC-trueI wfC-falseI True by auto
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using wfG-elims assms by blast
```

```
lemma wfT-wf-cons:
  assumes wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid c \}  and atom z \sharp \Gamma
  shows wfG P \mathcal{B} ((z,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
using assms proof(cases c \in \{ TRUE, FALSE \})
  then show ?thesis using wfT-wfC wfC-wf wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms wfT-wf by fastforce
next
  case False
 then show ?thesis using wfT-wfC wfC-wf wfG-wfB wfG-cons1I wfT-wf wfT-wfC assms by fastforce
lemma wfV-b-fresh:
 fixes b::b and v::v and bv::bv
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v: b and bv \notin \mathcal{B}
 shows atom by \sharp v
using wfV-supp bv-not-in-dom-g fresh-def assms bv-not-in-bset-supp by blast
lemma wfCE-b-fresh:
  fixes b::b and ce::ce and bv::bv
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce: b and bv \notin \mathcal{B}
 shows atom by \sharp ce
using bv-not-in-dom-g fresh-def assms bv-not-in-bset-supp wf-supp1(8) by fast
8.7
           Freshness
lemma wfG-fresh-x:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and z :: x
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and atom z \sharp \Gamma
 shows atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)
unfolding fresh-prodN apply(intro\ conjI)
  \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wf-supp1}\ \mathit{wfX-wfY}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{fresh-def}\ \mathit{x-not-in-b-set}\ \mathbf{by}(\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{empty-iff}) +
lemma wfG-wfT:
  assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G) and atom x \sharp c
 shows P; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \}
 have P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V\text{-}var \ x]_{cv} \land wfB \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ b \text{ using} \ assms
    using wfG-elim2 by auto
 moreover have atom x \not \parallel (P, \mathcal{B}, G) using wfG-elims assms wfG-fresh-x by metis
 ultimately have wfT P \mathcal{B} G \{ x : b \mid c[z := V - var x]_{cv} \} using wfTI \ assms by metis
 moreover have \{x:b\mid c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}\}=\{z:b\mid c\}\text{ using }type\text{-}eq\text{-}subst}\ \langle atom\ x\ \sharp\ c\rangle\text{ by }auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma wfT-wfT-if:
  assumes wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}false) \ IMP \ c[z:=V\text{-}var \ z2]_{cv} \})
and atom z2 \sharp (c,\Gamma)
  shows wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid c \}
proof -
```

```
have *: atom 22 \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY assms fresh-Pair by metis
 have wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b using assms wfT-elims by metis
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ (\mathit{GCons}\ (\mathit{z2}, b, \mathit{TRUE})\ \Gamma) \vdash_{\mathit{wf}}\ (\mathit{CE-val}\ v\ ==\ \mathit{CE-val}\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ \mathit{L-false})\ \mathit{IMP}\ \ \mathit{c[z::=V-vared]}
z2|_{cv}) using wfT-wfC assms fresh-Pair by auto
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((z2,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_{cv} using wfC\text{-}elims\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
  hence wfT \ominus \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z: b \mid c[z:=V-var\ zz]_{cv} \}) using assms fresh-Pair wfTI \langle wfB \ominus \mathcal{B} b \rangle * by
 moreover have \{z:b\mid c\} = \{z2:b\mid c[z::=V-var\ z2]_{cv}\} using type\text{-}eq\text{-}subst\ assms\ fresh\text{-}Pair
 ultimately show ?thesis using wfTI assms by argo
qed
lemma wfT-fresh-c:
  fixes x::x
 assumes wfT P B \Gamma { z : b \mid c } and atom x \sharp \Gamma and x \neq z
 shows atom x \sharp c
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume \neg atom x \sharp c
 hence *:atom x \in supp \ c \ using fresh-def \ by \ auto
 moreover have supp c - set [atom z] \cup supp b \subseteq atom-dom \Gamma \cup supp \mathcal{B}
   using assms wfT-supp \tau.supp by blast
  moreover hence atom x \in supp \ c - set \ [atom \ z] using assms * by auto
  ultimately have atom x \in atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma using x-not-in-b-set by auto
  thus False using assms wfG-atoms-supp-eq wfT-wf fresh-def by metis
qed
lemma wfG-x-fresh [simp]:
 fixes x::x
  assumes wfG P B G
 shows atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom \ G \longleftrightarrow atom \ x \sharp \ G
  using wfG-atoms-supp-eq assms fresh-def by metis
lemma wfD-x-fresh:
 fixes x::x
 assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma and wfD P B \Gamma \Delta
 shows atom x \sharp \Delta
using assms proof(induct \Delta rule: \Delta-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case using supp-DNil fresh-def by auto
  case (DCons u' t' \Delta')
 have wfg: wfG P B \Gamma using wfD-wf DCons by blast
 hence wfd: wfD P B \Gamma \Delta' using wfD-elims DCons by blast
  have supp t' \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ B using wfT-supp DCons wfD-elims by metis
  moreover have atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma using DCons(2) fresh-def wfG-supp wfg by blast
  ultimately have atom x \sharp t' using fresh-def DCons wfG-supp wfg x-not-in-b-set by blast
  moreover have atom x \not\parallel u' using supp-at-base fresh-def by fastforce
  ultimately have atom x \not\equiv (u',t') using supp-Pair by fastforce
  thus ?case using DCons fresh-DCons wfd by fast
qed
```

```
thm wf-supp2
lemma wfG-fresh-x2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and z :: x and \Delta :: \Delta and \Phi :: \Phi
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta and \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi and atom z \sharp \Gamma
  shows atom z \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta)
  unfolding fresh-prodN apply(intro conjI)
  using wfG-fresh-x assms fresh-prod3 wfX-wfY apply metis
  using wf-supp2(5) assms fresh-def apply blast
  using assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY fresh-prod3 apply metis
  using assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY fresh-prod3 apply metis
  using wf-supp2(6) assms fresh-def wfD-x-fresh by metis
lemma wfV-x-fresh:
  fixes v::v and b::b and \Gamma::\Gamma and x::x
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows atom x \sharp v
proof -
  have supp v \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using assms wfV-supp by auto
  moreover have atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma using fresh-def assms
     dom.simps\ subsetCE\ wfG-elims\ wfG-supp\ by (metis\ dom-supp-g)
  moreover have atom x \notin supp \mathcal{B} using x-not-in-b-set by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by fast
qed
lemma wfE-x-fresh:
  fixes e::e and b::b and \Gamma::\Gamma and \Delta::\Delta and \Phi::\Phi and x::x
 assumes \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows atom x \sharp e
proof -
  have wfG \Theta B \Gamma using assms wfE-wf by auto
 hence supp e \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \cup atom\text{-}fst\text{'}setD \ \Delta \text{ using } wfE\text{-}supp \ dom.simps \ assms \ by \ auto
 moreover have atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma using fresh-def assms
     dom.simps\ subsetCE\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \rangle\ \ wfG-supp\ \ \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ dom-supp-g)
 moreover have atom x \notin atom fst setD \Delta by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def x-not-in-b-set by fast
qed
lemma wfT-x-fresh:
  fixes \tau::\tau and \Gamma::\Gamma and x::x
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows atom x \sharp \tau
proof -
  have wfG \Theta B \Gamma using assms wfX-wfY by auto
 hence supp \ \tau \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using \ wfT-supp \ dom.simps \ assms \ by \ auto
  moreover have atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma using fresh-def assms
     dom.simps\ subsetCE\ \langle wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma \rangle\ wfG\text{-supp}\ \ \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ dom\text{-supp-}g)
 moreover have atom x \notin supp \mathcal{B} using x-not-in-b-set by simp
```

ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by fast

qed

```
lemma wfS-x-fresh:
  fixes s::s and \Delta::\Delta and x::x
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows atom x \sharp s
proof -
  have supp \ s \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma \cup atom \ 'fst \ 'setD \ \Delta \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using \ wf\text{-}supp \ assms \ by \ metis
 moreover have atom x \notin atom 'fst 'setD \Delta by auto
 moreover have atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma using assms fresh-def wfG-dom-supp wfX-wfY by metis
  moreover have atom x \notin supp \mathcal{B} using supp-b-empty supp-fset
   by (simp add: x-not-in-b-set)
  ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by fast
qed
lemma wfTh-fresh:
 fixes x
 \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{wfTh}\ \mathit{T}
 shows atom x \sharp T
 using wf-supp1 assms fresh-def by fastforce
lemmas wfTh-x-fresh = wfTh-fresh
lemma wfPhi-fresh:
 fixes x
 assumes wfPhi\ T\ P
 shows atom x \not\perp P
  using wf-supp assms fresh-def by fastforce
lemmas wfPhi-x-fresh = wfPhi-fresh
\mathbf{lemmas}\ wb\text{-}x\text{-}fresh\ wfT\text{-}x\text{-}fresh\ wfD\text{-}x\text{-}fresh\ wfT\text{-}x\text{-}fresh\ wfV\text{-}x\text{-}fresh
lemma wfG-inside-fresh[ms-fresh]:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and x :: x
  assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
 shows atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma'
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma1)
  moreover hence atom x \notin atom 'fst '(\{(x1,b1,c1)\}) proof –
   have *: P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using wfG-elims append-g.simps GCons by metis
   have atom x1 \sharp (\Gamma1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using GCons wfG-elims append-g.simps by metis
   hence atom x1 \notin atom-dom \ (\Gamma 1 \otimes (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using wfG-dom-supp fresh-def * by metis
   thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  \textbf{ultimately show ?} \textit{case using append-g.simps atom-dom.simps to Set.simps wfG-elims dom.simps}
   by (metis image-insert insert-iff insert-is-Un)
qed
lemma wfG-inside-x-in-atom-dom:
 fixes c::c and x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
```

```
shows atom x \in atom\text{-}dom \ (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
  by (induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct, (simp add: toSet.simps atom-dom.simps)+)
lemma wfG-inside-x-neq:
  fixes c::c and x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma and G::\Gamma and xa::x
  assumes G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V - var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and atom \ xa \ \sharp \ G and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G
  shows xa \neq x
proof -
  have atom xa \notin atom-dom\ G using fresh-def wfG-atoms-supp-eq assms by metis
  moreover have atom x \in atom-dom\ G using wfG-inside-x-in-atom-dom assms by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma wfG-inside-x-fresh:
  fixes c::c and x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma and G::\Gamma and xa::x
  assumes G=(\Gamma'@(x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and atom xa \sharp G and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G
  shows atom xa \ \sharp \ x
  using fresh-def supp-at-base wfG-inside-x-neq assms by auto
lemma wfT-nil-supp:
  fixes t::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} t
  shows supp \ t = \{\}
  using wfT-supp atom-dom.simps assms toSet.simps by force
8.8
           Misc
lemma wfG-cons-append:
  fixes b'::b
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ c)\quad \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\ \land\ atom\ x'\ \sharp\ (\Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ c)\quad \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\ \land\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf}\ b'\land x'\neq x
  have ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using
append-g.simps by auto
 hence *:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \land atom x' \sharp (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \land \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' using
assms\ wfG\text{-}cons\ \mathbf{by}\ met is
  moreover have atom x' \not\equiv x proof(rule wfG-inside-x-fresh[of (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c) \not\equiv_{\Gamma} \Gamma)))
    show \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[x:=V-var x]_{cv})
show atom x' \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma using * by auto
                                                                                            \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma by simp
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma  using * by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma flip-u-eq:
  fixes u::u and u'::u and \Theta::\Theta and \tau::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
  shows (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau = \tau and (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma and (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta = \Theta and (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}
proof -
  show (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau = \tau using wfT-supp flip-fresh-fresh
```

```
by (metis assms(1) fresh-def u-not-in-t)
  show (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma using u-not-in-q wfX-wfY assms flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by metis
  show (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta = \Theta using theta-flip-eq assms wfX-wfY by metis
  show (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} using u-not-in-b-set flip-fresh-fresh fresh-def by metis
qed
lemma wfT-wf-cons-flip:
  fixes c::c and x::x
  assumes wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma { z:b \mid c } and atom x \sharp (c,\Gamma)
  shows wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
proof -
  have \{x:b\mid c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}\}=\{z:b\mid c\} using assms freshers type-eq-subst by metis
  hence *: wfT P B \Gamma { x : b \mid c[z := V - var \ x]_{cv} } using assms by metis
  show ?thesis proof(rule wfG-consI)
    show \langle P; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \rangle using assms wfT-wf by auto
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle using assms by auto
    show \langle P; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} b \rangle using assms wfX-wfY b-of.simps by metis
    show \langle P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \mid \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z := V \text{-}var \ x]_{cv} \rangle using wfT \text{-}wfC * assms fresh-Pair by
metis
  qed
qed
```

# 8.9 Context Strengthening

Can remove an entry for a variable from the context if the variable doesn't appear in the term and the variable is not used later in the context or any other context

```
lemma fresh-restrict:
  fixes y::'a::at\text{-}base and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes atom y \sharp (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
  shows atom y \sharp (\Gamma'@\Gamma)
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
   case GNil
   then show ?case using fresh-GCons fresh-GNil by auto
   case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma'')
   then show ?case using fresh-GCons fresh-GNil by auto
qed
lemma wf-restrict1:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
           and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
                                                             \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ v \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1@\Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v: b and
                                                         \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom \ x \sharp c \Longrightarrow atom \ x \sharp \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ;
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
\mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1@\Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c and
           \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
                                                    \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \ atom \ x \sharp \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \ \text{and}
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
                                                         \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom \ x \sharp \tau \Longrightarrow atom \ x \sharp \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta;
\mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1@\Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} \tau and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \stackrel{\circ}{\Gamma} \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
```

```
\vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                   \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True and
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ ce \implies atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta;
\mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1@\Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} ce: b and
                   \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
proof(induct arbitrary: \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_2 and \Gamma_3 and \Gamma_4 and \Gamma_5 and \Gamma_6 and \Gamma_7 and \Gamma_8 and \Gamma_9 and
\Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
                                 rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts)
    case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b\ c\ y)
    hence y\neq x using v.fresh by auto
    hence Some (b, c) = lookup (\Gamma_1@\Gamma_2) y using lookup-restrict wfV-varI by metis
     then show ?case using wfV-varI wf-intros by metis
next
     case (wfV-litI \Theta \Gamma l)
    then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
    show ?case proof
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 using wfV-pairI by auto
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v2 : b2 using wfV-pairI by auto
    qed
next
    case (wfV-consI s dclist \Theta dc x b c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v)
    show ?case proof
        show AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta using wfV-consI by auto
        show (dc, \{ x: b \mid c \}) \in set \ dclist \ using \ wfV-consI \ by \ auto
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b using wfV-consI by auto
    qed
\mathbf{next}
      case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v)
        show ?case proof
        \mathbf{show}\ \mathit{AF-typedef-poly}\ \mathit{s}\ \mathit{bv}\ \mathit{dclist} \in \mathit{set}\ \Theta\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wfV-conspI}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
        show (dc, \{x: b' \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \ using \ wfV-conspI \ by \ auto
                                            \vdash_{wf} b \text{ using } wfV\text{-}conspI \text{ by } auto
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b]_{bb} using wfV-conspI by auto
          show atom by \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2, b, v) unfolding fresh-prodN fresh-append-g using wfV-conspI
fresh-prodN fresh-GCons fresh-append-g by metis
    qed
next
    case (wfCE-valI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b)
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
     case (wfCE-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
     then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
    case (wfCE-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
     then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
\mathbf{next}
     case (wfCE-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
    then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
```

```
next
  case (wfCE-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
   then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfCE-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
 then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfCE\text{-}concatI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v1\ v2)
  then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
  case (wfCE-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1)
  then show ?case using ce.fresh wf-intros by metis
  case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c)
  hence x \neq z using wfTI
  fresh-GCons fresh-prodN fresh-PairD(1) fresh-gamma-append not-self-fresh by metis
  show ?case proof
    show (atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma_1\ @\ \Gamma_2)) using wfTI fresh-restrict[of\ z] using wfG-fresh-x\ wfX-wfY\ wfTI
fresh-prodN by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfTI by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c \mathbf{proof}(rule \ wfTI(5)[of \ (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1])
      show \langle (z, b, TRUE) \mid \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((z, b, TRUE) \mid \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) \otimes (x, b', c') \mid \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 \rangle using wfTl by auto
      show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \rangle using wfTI \ \tau.fresh \ \langle x \neq z \rangle by auto
      show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (z, \ b, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma_{1} \rangle using wfTI \ \langle x \neq z \rangle fresh-GCons by simp
    thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c \rangle by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfC-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2)
  show ?case proof
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} e1 : b using wfC\text{-}eqI c.fresh fresh-Nil by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} e2 : b using wfC-eqI c.fresh fresh-Nil by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfC\text{-}trueI\ \Theta\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC\text{-}falseI\ \Theta\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
  case (wfC-conjI \Theta \Gamma c1 c2)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
  case (wfC-disjI \Theta \Gamma c1 c2)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
case (wfC-notI \Theta \Gamma c1)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC\text{-}impI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ c1\ c2)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros by metis
```

```
case (wfG\text{-}nilI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case using wfV-varI wf-intros
    by (meson\ GNil-append\ \Gamma.simps(3))
next
  case (wfG-cons1I c1 \Theta \mathcal{B} G x1 b1)
  show ?case proof(cases \Gamma_1 = GNil)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I wfG-consI by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain G'::\Gamma where *:(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma_1 using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons1I
by auto
    hence **:G = G' \otimes (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 using wfG-cons1I by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (G' @ \Gamma_2) proof(rule\ Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
      show \langle c1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle using wfG-cons11 by auto
      show \langle atom \ x1 \ \sharp \ G' \ @ \ \Gamma_2 \rangle using wfG\text{-}cons1I(4) ** fresh-restrict by metis
      have atom x \sharp G' using wfG-cons1I * using fresh-GCons by blast
      thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle using wfG\text{-}cons1I(3)[of G'] ** by auto
     have atom x \sharp c1 \wedge atom \ x \sharp (x1, \ b1, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G' \ using \ fresh-GCons \ (atom \ x \sharp \Gamma_1) * by \ auto
      thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x1, b1, TRUE) \not\#_{\Gamma} G' @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle using wfG-cons1I(6)[of (x1, b1, TRUE)]
\#_{\Gamma} G' ** * wfG-cons1I by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle using wfG\text{-}cons1I by auto
    thus ?thesis using * by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfG-cons2I \ c1 \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ x1 \ b1)
  show ?case proof(cases \Gamma_1 = GNil)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I wfG-consI by auto
    case False
    then obtain G':\Gamma where *:(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma_1 using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons2I
by auto
    hence **:G = G' \otimes (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 using wfG-cons2I by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} (G' @ \Gamma_2) proof(rule\ Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
      show \langle c1 \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle using wfG-cons2I by auto
      show \langle atom \ x1 \ \sharp \ G' \ @ \ \Gamma_2 \rangle using wfG\text{-}cons2I \ ** fresh\text{-}restrict by metis
      have atom x \sharp G' using wfG-cons2I * using fresh-GCons by blast
      thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ \Gamma_2 \rangle using wfG-cons2I ** by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle using wfG-cons2I by auto
    thus ?thesis using * by auto
  qed
qed(auto)+
lemma wf-restrict2:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and b::b and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
        and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
```

```
\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1@((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom \ x \ \sharp \ e \implies atom \ x \ \sharp
\Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow \mathit{atom}\ x \ \sharp \ \Delta \Longrightarrow \Theta;\ \Phi;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma_1@\Gamma_2\ ;\ \ \Delta \vdash_\mathit{wf}\ \ \mathit{e} : \mathit{b} \ \mathbf{and}
                    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies True and
                    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow True and
                    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow True and
                    \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow atom \ x \sharp \Gamma_1 \Longrightarrow atom \ x \sharp \Delta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2
\vdash_{wf} \Delta and
                                        \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                    \Theta ; \Phi
                    \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow True
proof(induct arbitrary: \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_2 and \Gamma_3 and \Gamma_4 and \Gamma_5 and \Gamma_6 and \Gamma_7 and \Gamma_8 and \Gamma_9 and
\Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
                                  rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts)
     case (wfE\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v\ b)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
     case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
     case (wfE-leqI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
     case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
     case (wfE\text{-}fstI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v1\ b1\ b2)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
     case (wfE\text{-}sndI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v1\ b1\ b2)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
     case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
     case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
    then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
     case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
next
     case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s' v)
     then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
     case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
     show ?case proof
         show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
         show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
         show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} b' \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
```

have atom bv  $\sharp$   $\Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2$  using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN fresh-restrict by metis

```
thus \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma_1\ @\ \Gamma_2,\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b\rangle\rangle
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto
    show \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ\text{-}some \ bv \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = lookup\text{-}fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle using
wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \otimes \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv::=b']_b \rangle using wfE-appPI wf-restrict1 by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using e.fresh wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using c.fresh wf-intros wf-restrict1 by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ u)
  show ?case proof
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wfD-cons fresh-DCons by metis
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 @ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfD-cons fresh-DCons fresh-Pair wf-restrict1 by metis
    show u \notin fst ' setD \triangle using wfD-cons by auto
  ged
next
  case (wfFTNone \Theta ft)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (wfFTSome \ \Theta \ bv \ ft)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (wfFTI \Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau)
  then show ?case by auto
qed(auto)+
lemmas wf-restrict=wf-restrict1 wf-restrict2
lemma wfT-restrict2:
  fixes \tau::\tau
  assumes wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau and atom x \sharp \tau
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
  using wf-restrict1(4)[of \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau GNil x b c \Gamma] assms fresh-GNil append-g.simps by
auto
lemma wfG-intros2:
  assumes wfC P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c
  shows wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
proof -
  have wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfC-wf assms by auto
  hence *:wfG P B \Gamma \land atom x \sharp \Gamma \land wfB P B b using wfG-elims by metis
  show ?thesis using assms proof(cases c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})
    then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I * by auto
```

```
next
   case False
   then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I * assms by auto
   qed
qed
```

## 8.10 Type Definitions

```
lemma wf-theta-weakening1:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and b::b and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
            and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and t::\tau
  \mathbf{shows} \ \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \ \vdash_{wf} v: b \Longrightarrow \ \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow \mathit{set} \ \Theta \subseteq \mathit{set} \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v: b \ \ \mathbf{and}
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \ \Theta \subseteq set \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \ \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \ \Theta \subseteq set \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \ and
            \vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow True and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \implies \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \text{ and }
            \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \ \Theta \subseteq set \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta' \vdash_{wf} td
proof(nominal-induct\ b\ and\ c\ and\ \Gamma\ and\ ts\ and\ P\ and\ b\ and\ b\ and\ td
        avoiding: \Theta'
        rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
  case (wfV-consI s dclist \Theta dc x b c \mathcal{B} \Gamma v)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta' \rangle using wfV-consI by auto
     show \langle (dc, \{x: b \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \rangle \ using \ wfV-consI \ by \ auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle using wfV-consI by auto
  qed
next
   case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v)
     show ?case proof
     show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta' \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
     show \langle (dc, \{ x : b' \mid c \} ) \in set \ dclist \rangle  using wfV-conspI by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b]_{bb} \rightarrow \mathbf{using} \ wfV\text{-}conspI \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
     show \Theta'; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfV-conspI by auto
     show atom by \sharp (\Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, b, v) using wfV-conspI fresh-prodN by auto
  \mathbf{qed}
next
  case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c)
  thus ?case using Wellformed.wfTI by auto
next
   case (wfB\text{-}consI\ \Theta\ s\ dclist)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle using wfB-consI by auto
     show \langle AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta' \rangle using wfB-consI by auto
  qed
  case (wfB-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \ b \ s \ bv \ dclist)
  show ?case proof
```

```
show \langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \rangle using wfB-appI by auto
     show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta' \rangle using wfB-appI by auto
     show \Theta'; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfB-appI by simp
   qed
qed(metis wf-intros)+
lemma wf-theta-weakening2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and b::b and B::B and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
            and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and t::\tau
  shows
            \Theta;\,\Phi;\,\mathcal{B};\,\Gamma\;\;;\,\Delta\vdash_{wf}\,e:\,b\Longrightarrow\vdash_{wf}\,\Theta'\Longrightarrow\mathit{set}\;\Theta\subseteq\mathit{set}\;\Theta'\Longrightarrow\Theta'\;;\,\Phi\;;\,\mathcal{B}\;;\,\Gamma\;;\,\Delta\vdash_{wf}\,e:\,b\;\;\text{and}\;\;
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b and
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta;
tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b  and
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta ; \mathit{tid} ; \mathit{dclist} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{css} : b \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow \mathit{set} \ \Theta \subseteq \mathit{set} \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta
; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b and
            \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \ \Theta \subseteq set \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta' \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \ \mathbf{and}
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \text{ and }
             \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ftq} \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow \mathit{set} \ \Theta \subseteq \mathit{set} \ \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta' ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ftq} \ \mathbf{and}
            \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \vdash_{wf} \Theta' \Longrightarrow set \Theta \subseteq set \Theta' \Longrightarrow \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft
\mathbf{proof}(\mathit{nominal-induct}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ \Phi\ \mathbf{and}\ \Delta\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{ftq}\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{ft}
         avoiding: \Theta'
rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
   case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
   show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
     \mathbf{show} \land \Theta' \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{wfE-appPI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} b' \rangle using wfE-appPI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta',\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b\rangle\rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
      show \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ\text{-}some \ bv \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = lookup\text{-}fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle using
wfE-appPI by auto
     show \langle \Theta'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv:=b']_b \rangle using wfE-appPI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
  qed
next
   case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b)
   show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-}id \ tid \rangle using wfS-matchI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     show \langle AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \ \Theta' \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
     show \langle \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
     show \langle \Theta'; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
   qed
next
    case (wfS\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau\ v\ u\ \Phi\ \Delta\ b\ s)
   show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-varI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle using wfS-varI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     show (atom\ u\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta',\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ \tau,\ v,\ b)) using wfS-varI by auto
```

```
show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \rangle \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', TRUE) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
     \mathbf{show} \mathrel{\land} \Theta' \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \mathrel{\land} \mathbf{using} \; \textit{wfS-letI} \; \mathbf{by} \; \textit{auto}
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta', \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ e, \ b) \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfS-let2I} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \mathit{s1} \ \tau \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{s2} \ \mathit{b})
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of \ \tau \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-let2I wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-}of \tau, TRUE) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta', \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ s1, \ b, \ \tau) \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \not\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
     show (atom x \sharp (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \Gamma, \tau)) using wfS-branchI by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
  qed
next
   case (wfPhi-consI f \Phi \Theta ft)
  show ?case proof
     show f \notin name\text{-}of\text{-}fun 'set \Phi using wfPhi-consI by auto
     show \Theta'; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ft using wfPhi-consI by auto
     show \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfPhi-consI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfFTNone \Theta ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome \Theta by ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfFTI \Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau)
  thus ?case using Wellformed.wfFTI wf-theta-weakening1 by simp
next
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta \times b)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta' ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by
auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     show \langle \Theta' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-theta-weakening1 by auto
     have atom x \not \in \Theta' using wf-supp(6)[OF \leftarrow_{wf} \Theta' \rightarrow] fresh-def by auto
     thus (atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \Theta', \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s)) using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN fresh-def by simp
qed(metis wf-intros wf-theta-weakening1)+
```

```
lemmas wf-theta-weakening = wf-theta-weakening 1 wf-theta-weakening 2
lemma lookup-wfTD:
 fixes td::type-def
 assumes td \in set \Theta and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows \Theta \vdash_{wf} td
using assms proof(induct \Theta)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons td' \Theta')
 then consider td = td' \mid td \in set \Theta' by auto
 then have \Theta' \vdash_{wf} td \mathbf{proof}(cases)
   then show ?thesis using Cons using wfTh-elims by auto
 next
   case 2
   then show ?thesis using Cons using wfTh-elims by auto
 then show ?case using wf-theta-weakening Cons by (meson set-subset-Cons)
qed
8.10.1
           Simple
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfTh\text{-}dclist\text{-}unique:
 assumes wfTh \Theta and AF-typedef tid dclist1 \in set \Theta and AF-typedef tid dclist2 \in set \Theta
 shows dclist1 = dclist2
using assms proof(induct \Theta rule: \Theta-induct)
 case TNil
 then show ?case by auto
 case (AF-typedef tid' dclist' \Theta')
 then show ?case using wfTh-elims
   by (metis\ image-eqI\ name-of-type.simps(1)\ set-ConsD\ type-def.eq-iff(1))
next
 case (AF-typedef-poly tid by dclist \Theta')
 then show ?case using wfTh-elims by auto
qed
lemma wfTs-ctor-unique:
 fixes dclist::(string*\tau) list
 assumes \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist and (c, t1) \in set dclist and (c, t2) \in set dclist
 shows t1 = t2
 using assms proof(induct dclist rule: list.inducts)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x1 \ x2)
```

**consider**  $x1 = (c,t1) | x1 = (c,t2) | x1 \neq (c,t1) \land x1 \neq (c,t2)$  by *auto* 

then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims set-ConsD

thus ?case proof(cases)

case 1

```
by (metis fst-conv image-eqI prod.inject)
 next
   case 2
     then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims set-ConsD
     by (metis fst-conv image-eqI prod.inject)
 next
   case \beta
   then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims by (metis set-ConsD)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfTD\text{-}ctor\text{-}unique:
 assumes \Theta \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-typedef tid dclist}) and (c, t1) \in set dclist and (c, t2) \in set dclist
 shows t1 = t2
 using wfTD-elims wfTs-elims assms wfTs-ctor-unique by metis
lemma wfTh-ctor-unique:
 assumes wfTh \Theta and AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta and (c, t1) \in set dclist and (c, t2) \in set dclist
 shows t1 = t2
 using lookup-wfTD wfTD-ctor-unique assms by metis
lemma wfTs-supp-t:
 fixes dclist::(string*\tau) list
 assumes (c,t) \in set \ dclist \ and \ \Theta \ ; \ B \ ; \ GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist
 shows supp \ t \subseteq supp \ B
using assms proof(induct delist arbitrary: c t rule:list.induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons ct dclist')
 then consider ct = (c,t) \mid (c,t) \in set \ dclist' by auto
 then show ?case proof(cases)
   then have \Theta; B; GNil \vdash_{wf} t using Cons\ wfTs\text{-}elims\ by\ blast
   thus ?thesis using wfT-supp atom-dom.simps by force
 next
   then show ?thesis using Cons wfTs-elims by metis
 qed
qed
lemma wfTh-lookup-supp-empty:
 fixes t::\tau
 assumes AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta and (c,t) \in set dclist and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows supp \ t = \{\}
 have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist  using assms \ lookup\text{-}wfTD \ wfTD\text{-}elims  by metis
 thus ?thesis using wfTs-supp-t assms by force
qed
```

lemma wfTh-supp-b:

```
assumes AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta and (dc, \{z : b \mid c \}) \in set dclist and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
   shows supp \ b = \{\}
   using assms wfTh-lookup-supp-empty \tau.supp by blast
lemma wfTh-b-eq-iff:
   fixes bva1::bv and bva2::bv and dc::string
   assumes (dc, \{x1:b1\mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist1 and (dc, \{x2:b2\mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2 and
    wfTs \ P \ \{|bva1|\} \ GNil \ dclist1 \ and \ wfTs \ P \ \{|bva2|\} \ GNil \ dclist2
   [[atom\ bva1]]lst.dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2
 shows [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ (dc,\{\![x1:b1\mid c1\ \!]\}) = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ (dc,\{\![x2:b2\mid c2\ \!]\})
using assms proof(induct dclist1 arbitrary: dclist2)
   case Nil
   then show ?case by auto
next
   case (Cons dct1' dclist1')
   show ?case proof(cases dclist2 = [])
      case True
      then show ?thesis using Cons by auto
   next
      then obtain dct2' and dclist2' where cons:dct2' \# dclist2' = dclist2 using list exhaust by metis
        \mathbf{hence} \ *:[[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dclist1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dct
bva2]]lst. dct2'
          using Cons lst-head-cons Cons cons by metis
      hence **: fst \ dct1' = fst \ dct2'  using lst-fst[THEN \ lst-pure]
         \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis}\ (\textit{no-types}) \lor [[\textit{atom}\ \textit{bva1}]] \\ \textit{lst.}\ \textit{dclist1'} = [[\textit{atom}\ \textit{bva2}]] \\ \textit{lst.}\ \textit{dclist2'} \land [[\textit{atom}\ \textit{bva1}]] \\ \textit{lst.}\ \textit{dct1'}
= [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ dct2'
                   \langle \bigwedge x2 \ x1 \ t2' \ t2a \ t2 \ t1. \ [[atom \ x1]] lst. \ (t1, \ t2a) = [[atom \ x2]] lst. \ (t2, \ t2') \Longrightarrow t1 = t2 \rangle \ fst-conv
surj-pair)
      show ?thesis proof (cases fst dct1' = dc)
          case True
          have dc \notin fst 'set dclist1' using wfTs-elims Cons by (metis True\ fstI)
          hence 1:(dc, \{x1:b1\mid c1\}) = dct1' using Cons by (metis fstI image-iff set-ConsD)
          have dc \notin fst 'set dclist2' using wfTs-elims Cons cons
             by (metis ** True fstI)
        hence 2:(dc, \{x2:b2 \mid c2\}) = dct2' using Cons cons by (metis fst-conv image-eqI set-ConsD)
         then show ?thesis using Cons * 12 by blast
      next
          {f case} False
          hence fst \ dct2' \neq dc \ using ** by \ auto
          hence (dc, \{x_1 : b_1 \mid c_1\}) \in set \ dclist_1' \land (dc, \{x_2 : b_2 \mid c_2\}) \in set \ dclist_2' using Cons
cons False
             by (metis fstI set-ConsD)
          moreover have [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ dclist1' = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ dclist2' using * False by metis
          ultimately show ?thesis using Cons ** *
             using cons \ wfTs\text{-}elims(2) by blast
      qed
   qed
qed
```

### 8.10.2 Polymorphic

```
lemma wfTh-wfTs-poly:
 fixes dclist::(string * \tau) list
 assumes AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist \in set\ P and \vdash_{wf} P
 shows P : \{|bva|\} : GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist
proof -
  have *:P \vdash_{wf} AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist using lookup-wfTD assms by simp
 obtain bv lst where *:P; \{|bv|\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} lst \land
       (\forall c. \ atom \ c \ \sharp \ (dclist, \ lst) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \sharp \ (bva, \ bv, \ dclist, \ lst) \longrightarrow (bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dclist = (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot
lst)
    using wfTD-elims(2)[OF *] by metis
  obtain c::bv where **:atom\ c\ \sharp\ ((dclist,\ lst),(bva,\ bv,\ dclist,\ lst)) using obtain-fresh by metis
 have P : \{|bv|\} : GNil \vdash_{wf} lst \text{ using } * \text{ by } metis
 hence wfTs((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot P)((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \{|bv|\})((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot GNil)((bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot lst) using ** wfTs.eqvt
by metis
 hence wfTs P\{|c|\} GNil ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dclist) using * theta-flip-eq fresh-GNil assms
  proof -
    have \forall b \ ba. \ (ba::bv \leftrightarrow b) \cdot P = P \ by (metis \leftarrow p) \ theta-flip-eq)
    then show ?thesis
      using * ** \langle (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot P ; (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \{|bv|\} ; (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot GNil \vdash_{wf} (bv \leftrightarrow c) \cdot lst \rangle by fastforce
  qed
  hence wfTs ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot P) ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \{|bva|\}) ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot GNil) ((bva \leftrightarrow c) \cdot dclist)
         using wfTs.eqvt fresh-GNil
         by (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ theta-flip-eq2)
 thus ?thesis using wfTs.eqvt permute-flip-cancel by metis
qed
lemma wfTh-dclist-poly-unique:
 assumes wfTh \Theta and AF-typedef-poly tid bva dclist1 \in set \Theta and AF-typedef-poly tid bva2 dclist2
\in set \Theta
  shows [[atom\ bva]]lst.\ dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2
using assms proof(induct \Theta rule: \Theta - induct)
  case TNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (AF-typedef tid' dclist' \Theta')
  then show ?case using wfTh-elims by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (AF-typedef-poly tid by dclist \Theta')
  then show ?case using wfTh-elims image-eqI name-of-type.simps set-ConsD type-def.eq-iff
    by (metis\ Abs1-eq(3))
qed
lemma wfTh-poly-lookup-supp:
 fixes t::\tau
 assumes AF-typedef-poly tid by dclist \in set \ \Theta \ and \ (c,t) \in set \ dclist \ and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows supp \ t \subseteq \{atom \ bv\}
proof -
  have supp \ dclist \subseteq \{atom \ bv\} using assms \ lookup-wfTD \ wf-supp 1 \ type-def.supp
```

```
by (metis Diff-single-insert Un-subset-iff list.simps(15) supp-Nil supp-of-atom-list)
  then show ?thesis using assms(2) proof(induct dclist)
   case Nil
   then show ?case by auto
 next
   case (Cons a dclist)
   then show ?case using supp-Pair supp-Cons
   by (metis (mono-tags, hide-lams) Un-empty-left Un-empty-right pure-supp subset-Un-eq subset-singletonD
supp-list-member)
 qed
qed
lemma wfTh-poly-supp-b:
 assumes AF-typedef-poly tid by dclist \in set \Theta and (dc, \{z : b \mid c\}\} ) \in set dclist and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows supp \ b \subseteq \{atom \ bv\}
 using assms wfTh-poly-lookup-supp \tau.supp by force
lemma subst-g-inside:
 fixes x::x and c::c and \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var x]_{cv}) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
 shows (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z:=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} = (\Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma)
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using subst-gb.simps by simp
next
 case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ G)
 hence wfg:wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (G \ @ \ (x,\ b,\ c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \land atom\ x' \ \sharp \ (G \ @ \ (x,\ b,\ c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})
\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using wfG-elims(2)
   using GCons.prems append-g.simps by metis
 hence atom x \notin atom-dom\ ((x',b',c') \#_{\Gamma}\ G) using GCons wfG-inside-fresh by fast
 hence x \neq x'
   using GCons append-Cons wfG-inside-fresh atom-dom.simps toSet.simps by simp
 hence ((GCons\ (x',\ b',\ c')\ G)\ @\ (GCons\ (x,\ b,\ c[z:=V-var\ x]_{cv})\ \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}
        (GCons\ (x',\ b',\ c')\ (G\ @\ (GCons\ (x,\ b,\ c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\ \Gamma)))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} by auto
 also have ... = GCons(x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv})((G @ (GCons(x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) \Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})
     using subst-gv.simps \langle x \neq x' \rangle by simp
 also have ... = (x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) #_{\Gamma} (G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) using GCons wfg by blast
 also have ... = ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} G)[x := v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using subst-gv.simps \langle x \neq x' \rangle by simp
 finally show ?case by auto
qed
lemma wfTh-td-eq:
 assumes td1 \in set \ (td2 \# P) and wfTh \ (td2 \# P) and name-of-type td1 = name-of-type td2
 shows td1 = td2
proof(rule\ ccontr)
 assume as: td1 \neq td2
 have name-of-type td2 \notin name-of-type 'set P using wfTh-elims(2)[OF assms(2)] by metis
 moreover have td1 \in set P using assms as by simp
 ultimately have name-of-type td1 \neq name-of-type td2
   by (metis\ rev-image-eqI)
```

```
thus False using assms by auto
qed
lemma wfTh-td-unique:
 assumes td1 \in set\ P and td2 \in set\ P and wfTh\ P and name\text{-}of\text{-}type\ td1 = name\text{-}of\text{-}type\ td2
 shows td1 = td2
using assms proof(induct P rule: list.induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons td \Theta')
 consider td = td1 \mid td = td2 \mid td \neq td1 \land td \neq td2 by auto
 then show ?case proof(cases)
   then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elims wfTh-td-eq by metis
 next
   case 2
   then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elims wfTh-td-eq by metis
 next
   case \beta
   then show ?thesis using Cons wfTh-elims by auto
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma wfTs-distinct:
fixes dclist::(string * \tau) \ list
assumes \Theta; B; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist
shows distinct (map fst dclist)
using assms proof(induct delist rule: list.induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x1 \ x2)
 then show ?case
   by (metis Cons.hyps Cons.prems distinct.simps(2) fst-conv list.set-map list.simps(9) wfTs-elims(2))
\mathbf{qed}
lemma wfTh-dclist-distinct:
 assumes AF-typedef s dclist \in set P and wfTh P
 shows distinct (map fst dclist)
proof -
 have wfTD P (AF-typedef s dclist) using assms lookup-wfTD by auto
 hence wfTs \ P \ \{||\} \ GNil \ dclist \ using \ wfTD-elims \ by \ metis
 thus ?thesis using wfTs-distinct by metis
qed
lemma wfTh-dc-t-unique2:
 assumes AF-typedef s dclist' \in set P and (dc,tc') \in set dclist' and AF-typedef s dclist \in set P and
wfTh P and
```

```
(dc, tc) \in set dclist
     shows tc = tc'
proof -
 have dclist = dclist' using assms wfTh-td-unique name-of-type.simps by force
 moreover have distinct (map fst dclist) using wfTh-dclist-distinct assms by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms
   by (meson eq-key-imp-eq-value)
qed
lemma wfTh-dc-t-unique:
 assumes AF-typedef s dclist' \in set P and (dc, \{x': b' \mid c'\}) \in set dclist' and AF-typedef s dclist
\in set \ P \ and \ wfTh \ P \ and
      (dc, \{x:b\mid c\}) \in set\ dclist
     shows \{ x' : b' \mid c' \} = \{ x : b \mid c \}
 using assms wfTh-dc-t-unique2 by metis
lemma wfTs-wfT:
 fixes dclist::(string *\tau) list and t::\tau
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist and (dc,t) \in set dclist
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} t
using assms proof(induct dclist rule:list.induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons \ x1 \ x2)
 thus ?case using wfTs-elims(2)[OF Cons(2)] by auto
qed
lemma wfTh-wfT:
 fixes t::\tau
 assumes wfTh P and AF-typedef tid dclist \in set P and (dc,t) \in set dclist
 shows P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} t
 have P \vdash_{wf} AF-typedef tid dclist using lookup-wfTD assms by auto
 hence P ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} dclist using wfTD-elims by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfTs-wfT assms by auto
qed
lemma td-lookup-eq-iff:
 fixes dc :: string  and bva1::bv  and bva2::bv 
 assumes [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ dclist2 and (dc, \{x:b\mid c\}) \in set\ dclist1
 shows \exists x2 \ b2 \ c2. \ (dc, \{ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \} ) \in set \ dclist2
using assms proof(induct dclist1 arbitrary: dclist2)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons dct1' dclist1')
 then obtain dct2' and dclist2' where cons:dct2' # dclist2' = dclist2 using lst-head-cons-neq-nil[OF
```

```
Cons(2) list.exhaust by metis
     \mathbf{hence} \ *:[[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dclist1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dclist2' \land [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ dct1' = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ dct1' = [
bva2]]lst. dct2'
      using Cons lst-head-cons Cons cons by metis
   show ?case proof(cases dc=fst \ dct1')
      hence dc = fst \ dct2' \ using * lst-fst[ THEN \ lst-pure ]
      proof -
          show ?thesis
            \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}) \ \textit{local}.* \ \textit{True} \ ( \bigwedge \textit{x2} \ \textit{x1} \ \textit{t2}' \ \textit{t2a} \ \textit{t2} \ \textit{t1}. \ [[\textit{atom} \ \textit{x1}]]] \\ \mathbf{lst}. \ (\textit{t1}, \ \textit{t2a}) = [[\textit{atom} \ \textit{x2}]] \\ \mathbf{lst}.
(t2, t2') \Longrightarrow t1 = t2 prod.exhaust-sel)
      qed
      obtain x2\ b2 and c2 where snd\ dct2' = \{ x2: b2 \mid c2 \}  using obtain\ fresh\ z by metis
      hence (dc, \{ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) = dct2' using (dc = fst \ dct2')
          by (metis prod.exhaust-sel)
      then show ?thesis using cons by force
   \mathbf{next}
      case False
      hence (dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in set \ dclist1' \ using \ Cons \ by \ auto
      then show ?thesis using Cons
          by (metis\ local.*\ cons\ list.set-intros(2))
   qed
qed
lemma lst-t-b-eq-iff:
   fixes bva1::bv and bva2::bv
   \mathbf{assumes} \ [[atom \ bva1]] lst. \ \{ \ x1 : b1 \ \mid \ c1 \ \} = [[atom \ bva2]] lst. \ \{ \ x2 : b2 \ \mid \ c2 \ \}
   shows [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ b1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.b2
proof(subst Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of bva1 b1 bva2 b2],rule,rule,rule)
   \mathbf{fix} \ c :: bv
   assume atom c \sharp (\{x_1:b_1 \mid c_1\}, \{x_2:b_2 \mid c_2\}) and atom c \sharp (bva_1, bva_2, b_1, b_2)
   show (bva1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1 = (bva2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2 using assms Abs1-eq-iff(3) assms
    by (metis\ Abs1-eq-iff-fresh(3) \land atom\ c \ \sharp\ (bva1,\ bva2,\ b1,\ b2) \land \tau.fresh\ \tau.perm-simps\ type-eq-subst-eq2(2))
qed
lemma wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff:
   assumes AF-typedef-poly tyid bva1 dclist1 \in set\ P and (dc, \{ x1 : b1 \mid c1 \} ) \in set\ dclist1
   and AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 \in set\ P and (dc, \{ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) \in set\ dclist2 and \vdash_{wf} P
shows b1[bva1::=b]_{bb} = b2[bva2::=b]_{bb}
proof -
  have [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ dclist1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.dclist2 using assms\ wfTh-dclist-poly-unique by metis
    hence [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ (dc,\{x1:b1\mid c1\}) = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.\ (dc,\{x2:b2\mid c2\}) using
wfTh-b-eq-iff assms wfTh-wfTs-poly by metis
   hence [[atom\ bva1]]lst. \{x1:b1\mid c1\}=[[atom\ bva2]]lst. \{x2:b2\mid c2\} using lst-snd by metis
   hence [[atom\ bva1]]lst.\ b1 = [[atom\ bva2]]lst.b2 using lst-t-b-eq-iff by metis
   thus ?thesis using subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-def by metis
qed
```

## 8.11 Equivariance Lemmas

```
lemma x-not-in-u-set[simp]:
              fixes x::x and us::u fset
              shows atom x \notin supp \ us
              by(induct us, auto, simp add: supp-finsert supp-at-base)
lemma wfS-flip-eq:
              fixes s1::s and x1::x and s2::s and x2::x and \Delta::\Delta
           assumes [[atom\ x1]]lst.\ s1=[[atom\ x2]]lst.\ s2 and [[atom\ x1]]lst.\ t1=[[atom\ x2]]lst.\ t2 and [[atom\ x1]]lst.
 x1]]lst. c1 = [[atom x2]]lst. c2 and atom x2 <math>\sharp \Gamma and
                                                                              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta and
                                                     \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of t1
                                               shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x2, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b\text{-}of t2
\mathbf{proof}(\mathit{cases}\ x1 = x2)
              case True
            hence s1 = s2 \wedge t1 = t2 \wedge c1 = c2 using assms Abs1-eq-iff by metis
              then show ?thesis using assms True by simp
next
              case False
            \mathbf{thm} wfD-x-fresh
            have \vdash_{wf} \Theta \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wfX-wfY assms by metis
              moreover have atom x1 \sharp \Gamma using wfX-wfY wfG-elims assms by metis
              moreover hence atom x1 \sharp \Delta \wedge atom \ x2 \sharp \Delta using wfD-x-fresh assms by auto
              ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot ((x1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma); \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 : (x2 \leftrightarrow x2) \cdot s1 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x2) \cdot s1 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x2) \cdot s2 = 
 x1) • b-of t1
                                using wfS.eqvt theta-flip-eq phi-flip-eq assms flip-base-eq beta-flip-eq flip-fresh-fresh supp-b-empty
by metis
                                                                                               \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x2, b, (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot c1) \#_{\Gamma} ((x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot \Gamma)); \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1:
            hence
 b-of ((x2 \leftrightarrow x2) \cdot t1) by fastforce
              thus ?thesis using assms Abs1-eq-iff
              proof -
                  have f1: x2 = x1 \land t2 = t1 \lor x2 \neq x1 \land t2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot t1 \land atom x2 \ \sharp \ t1
                                 by (metis\ (full-types)\ Abs1-eq-iff(3)\ \langle [[atom\ x1]]lst.\ t1=[[atom\ x2]]lst.\ t2\rangle)
                   then have x2 \neq x1 \land s2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot s1 \land atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ s1 \longrightarrow b\text{-}of \ t2 = (x2 \leftrightarrow x1) \cdot b\text{-}of \ t1
                                 by (metis\ b\text{-}of.eqvt)
                  then show ?thesis
                         using f1 by (metis (no-types) Abs1-eq-iff(3) G-cons-flip-fresh3 \langle [[atom\ x1]]lst.\ c1 = [[atom\ x2]]lst.
 c2 \rangle \ \langle [[atom \ x1]] ] lst. \ s1 = [[atom \ x2]] lst. \ s2 \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (x1, \ b, \ c1) \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of \ t1 \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ \rangle
\Phi \;\; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; ((x\mathcal{1}, \; b, \; c\mathcal{1}) \;\; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; s\mathcal{1} \; : \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (atom \; x\mathcal{1} \; \sharp \; \Gamma) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; s\mathcal{1} \; : \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (atom \; x\mathcal{1} \; \sharp \; \Gamma) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; s\mathcal{1} \; : \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (atom \; x\mathcal{1} \; \sharp \; \Gamma) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; s\mathcal{1} \; : \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (atom \; x\mathcal{1} \; \sharp \; \Gamma) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; s\mathcal{1} \; : \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (atom \; x\mathcal{1} \; \sharp \; \Gamma) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; s\mathcal{1} \; : \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; \cdot \; b\text{-}of \; t\mathcal{1} \; \land \; (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf} (x\mathcal{2} \; \leftrightarrow x\mathcal{1}) \; ; \; \Delta \vdash_{wf}
\langle atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle)
            qed
qed
```

### 8.12 Lookup

```
lemma wf-not-in-prefix:

assumes \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)

shows x \notin fst 'toSet \Gamma'

using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma.induct)

case GNil
```

```
then show ?case by simp
  case (GCons xbc \Gamma')
  then obtain x' and b' and c'::c where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c')
    using prod-cases3 by blast
  hence *:(xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = ((x',b',c') \#_{\Gamma}(\Gamma'@((x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))) by simp
  hence atom x' \sharp (\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfG\text{-}elims(2) GCons by metis
 \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \Theta \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b1, \ c1) \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{GCons} \ \mathit{wfG-elims} \ * \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis}
 ultimately have atom x' \notin atom-dom (\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfG-dom-supp GCons append-g.simps
xbc fresh-def by fast
 hence x' \neq x using GCons fresh-GCons xbc by fastforce
  then show ?case using GCons xbc toSet.simps
    using Un-commute \langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle atom-dom.simps by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lookup-inside-wf[simp]:
 assumes \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
 shows Some (b1,c1) = lookup (\Gamma'@(x,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) x
  using wf-not-in-prefix lookup-inside assms by fast
lemma lookup-weakening:
  fixes \Theta :: \Theta and \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma
 assumes Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ \Gamma\ x and toSet\ \Gamma \subseteq toSet\ \Gamma' and \Theta;\ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' and \Theta;\ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
 shows Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma' x
proof -
  have (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma \land (\forall b' \ c'. \ (x,b',c') \in toSet \ \Gamma \longrightarrow b'=b \land c'=c) using assms lookup-iff
toSet.simps by force
 hence (x,b,c) \in toSet \Gamma' using assms by auto
  moreover have (\forall b' \ c'. \ (x,b',c') \in toSet \ \Gamma' \longrightarrow b'=b \land c'=c) using assms wf-g-unique
    using calculation by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using lookup-iff
    using assms(3) by blast
qed
lemma wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique:
 fixes \Phi :: \Phi
 assumes \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi and AF-fundef ffd \in set \Phi
 shows Some (AF-fundef f f d) = lookup-fun \Phi f
using assms proof(induct \Phi rule: list.induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case using lookup-fun.simps by simp
next
  case (Cons a \Phi')
  then obtain f' and fd' where a:a = AF-fundef f' fd' using fun-def.exhaust by auto
  have wf: \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \land f' \notin name\text{-}of\text{-}fun \text{ '} set \Phi' \text{ using } wfPhi\text{-}elims Cons a by metis
  then show ?case using Cons lookup-fun.simps using Cons lookup-fun.simps wf a
      by (metis image-eqI name-of-fun.simps set-ConsD)
qed
lemma lookup-fun-weakening:
 fixes \Phi' :: \Phi
```

```
assumes Some fd = lookup-fun \Phi f and set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi' and \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'
  shows Some fd = lookup-fun \Phi' f
using assms proof(induct \Phi)
  case Nil
  then show ?case using lookup-fun.simps by simp
next
  case (Cons a \Phi'')
  then obtain f' and fd' where a: a = AF-fundef f' fd' using fun-def. exhaust by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases f=f')
    case True
    then show ?thesis using lookup-fun.simps Cons wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique a
       by (metis lookup-fun-member subset-iff)
    case False
    then show ?thesis using lookup-fun.simps Cons
       using \langle a = AF-fundef f' fd' \rangle by auto
qed
lemma fundef-poly-fresh-bv:
  assumes atom bv2 \sharp (bv1,b1,c1,\tau1,s1)
  shows *: (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x1 ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot b1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot c1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot c1)
\tau 1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot s1)) = (AF-fun-typ-some\ bv1\ (AF-fun-typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1)))
         (is (AF-fun-typ-some ?bv ?fun-typ = AF-fun-typ-some ?bva ?fun-typa))
proof -
  have 1:atom bv2 \notin set [atom \ x1] using bv-not-in-x-atoms by simp
  have 2:bv1 \neq bv2 using assms by auto
  have 3:(bv2 \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot x1 = x1 using pure-fresh flip-fresh-fresh
    by (simp add: flip-fresh-fresh)
  have AF-fun-typ x1 ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot b1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot c1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot \tau1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow bv2) \cdot s1)
= (bv2 \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1
    using 1 2 3 assms by (simp add: flip-commute)
  moreover have (atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ c1\ \land\ atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ \tau1\ \land\ atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ s1\ \lor\ atom\ bv2\in set\ [atom\ x1])\ \land
atom bv2 ♯ b1
      using 1 2 3 assms fresh-prod5 by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding fun-typ-q.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff(3) fun-typ.fresh 1 2 by fastforce
qed
lemma wb-b-weakening1:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
            and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b and
          \begin{array}{l} \Theta;\,\mathcal{B};\,\Gamma \,\vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta;\,\mathcal{B}'\,;\,\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \text{ and } \\ \Theta;\,\mathcal{B} \,\vdash_{wf} \Gamma \,\,\Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta;\,\mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \text{ and } \end{array}
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ and }
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts and
          \vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow True and
```

```
wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow wfB \Theta \mathcal{B}' b and
              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \text{ and }
              \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
\operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(\operatorname{\mathit{nominal-induct}}\ b\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ c\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ \Gamma\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ ts\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ P\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ b\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ b\ \operatorname{\mathbf{and}}\ ts
        avoiding: \mathcal{B}'
rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
   \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{wfV-conspI}\ \mathit{s}\ \mathit{bv}\ \mathit{dclist}\ \Theta\ \mathit{dc}\ \mathit{x}\ \mathit{b'}\ \mathit{c}\ \mathcal{B}\ \mathit{b}\ \Gamma\ \mathit{v})
   show ?case proof
      show (AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta) using wfV-conspI by metis
      show \langle (dc, \{ x : b' \mid c \}) \in set \ dclist \rangle  using wfV-conspI by auto
      show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
      show (atom by \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, b, v) using fresh-prodN wfV-conspI by auto
      thus (\Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b]_{bb}) using wfV-conspI by simp
   qed
\mathbf{next}
 case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c)
  show ?case proof
      show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma) using wfTI by auto
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b using wfTI by auto
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c using wfTI by auto
  ged
qed( (auto simp add: wf-intros | metis wf-intros)+ )
lemma wb-b-weakening2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and \mathit{ftq}::\mathit{fun-typ-q} and \mathit{ft}::\mathit{fun-typ} and \mathit{ce}::\mathit{ce} and \mathit{td}::\mathit{type-def}
               and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
              \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \Phi; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b and
             \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b \text{ and }
              \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma : \Delta : tid : dc : t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B}' : \Gamma : \Delta : tid : dc : t
\vdash_{wf} cs : b \text{ and }
              \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; \; ; \; \Gamma \; ; \; \Delta \; ; \; \mathit{tid} \; ; \; \mathit{dclist} \; \vdash_{wf} \; \mathit{css} \; : \; b \implies \mathcal{B} \; | \subseteq \mid \; \mathcal{B}' \implies \; \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \mathcal{B}' \; ; \; \Gamma \; ; \; \Delta \; ; \; \mathit{tid} \; ; \; \mathit{dclist} \; 
\vdash_{wf} css : b \text{ and }
             \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \text{ and }
             \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
             \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft}
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ b\ \mathbf{and}\ \Phi\ \mathbf{and}\ \Delta\ \mathbf{and}\ ftq\ \mathbf{and}\ ft
       avoiding: \mathcal{B}'
       rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b)
   then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
   case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
   then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
\mathbf{next}
   case (wfE-leqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
```

```
then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1
    by meson
next
  case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfE-fstI wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f ft v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wb-b-weakening1 by meson
next
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi B1 \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f1 x1 b1 c1 s1)
  have \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-appP f1 } b' v1 : (b\text{-of } \tau 1)[bv1 ::= b']_b
  proof
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b' using wfE-appPI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
    thus atom bv1 \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}', \Gamma, \Delta, b', v1, (b\text{-of }\tau1)[bv1::=b']_b)
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto
    show Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1))) = lookup-fun \Phi f1
using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1[bv1 ::= b']_b using wfE-appPI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
  qed
  then show ?case by auto
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfS\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ b\ \Delta)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  show ?case proof
    \mathbf{show} \ \lor \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ \ ; \ \mathcal{B'} \ ; \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta \vdash_{wf} \ e : b' \lor \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfS-letI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
    show (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}'; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b) using wfS-letI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}', \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ e, \ b) \rangle using wfS-let I by auto
```

```
qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-let2I by simp
next
  case (wfS-ifI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2)
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-ifI by simp
next
  case (wfS\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau\ v\ u\ \Delta\ \Phi\ s\ b)
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-varI by simp
next
  \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{wfS-assignI}\ u\ \tau\ \Delta\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Phi\ v)
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-assignI by simp
case (wfS-while I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b)
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-whileI by simp
  case (wfS-seqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-seqI by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b)
  then show ?case using wb-b-weakening1 Wellformed.wfS-matchI by metis
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-branchI by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-cons \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist)
 then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfPhi\text{-}consI\ f\ \Theta\ \Phi\ ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
next
  case (wfFTSome \Theta bv ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wb-b-weakening1 by metis
  case (wfFTI \Theta B b s x c \tau \Phi)
  show ?case proof
   show \Theta; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} b using wfFTI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
   show supp c \subseteq \{atom\ x\} using wfFTI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
```

```
show \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfFTI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfFTI wb-b-weakening1 by auto
    from \langle B \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \rangle have supp B \subseteq supp \mathcal{B}' proof(induct B)
      case empty
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (insert x B)
      then show ?case
        by (metis fsubset-funion-eq subset-Un-eq supp-union-fset)
    thus supp \ s \subseteq \{atom \ x\} \cup supp \ \mathcal{B}' \ using \ wfFTI \ by \ auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta \times b)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' ; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assert1 by simp
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wb-b-weakening1 wfS-assertI by simp
    have atom x \sharp B' using x-not-in-b-set fresh-def by metis
    thus (atom\ x\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B}',\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ c,\ b,\ s)) using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN by simp
  qed
qed(auto)
lemmas wb-b-weakening = wb-b-weakening 1 wb-b-weakening 2
lemma wfG-b-weakening:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
  using wb-b-weakening assms by auto
lemma wfT-b-weakening:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Theta :: \Theta and \tau :: \tau
  assumes \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
  using wb-b-weakening assms by auto
lemma wfB-subst-wfB:
  fixes \tau::\tau and b'::b and b::b
  assumes \Theta; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b[bv:=b']_{bb}
using assms proof(nominal-induct b rule:b.strong-induct)
  hence \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} B-int using wfB-intI wfX-wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
  \mathbf{case}\ B\text{-}bool
  hence \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} B\text{-bool using } wfB\text{-boolI } wfX\text{-}wfY by fast
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
```

```
case (B-id x)
 hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (B\text{-}id\ x) using wfB-consI wfB-elims wfX-wfY by metis
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps(4) by auto
next
 case (B\text{-}pair\ x1\ x2)
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps
   by (metis\ wfB-elims(1)\ wfB-pairI)
\mathbf{next}
  case B-unit
 hence \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} B-unit using wfB-unitI wfX-wfY by fast
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
 case B-bitvec
 hence \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} B-bitvec using wfB-bitvecI wfX-wfY by fast
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wb-b-weakening by fastforce
next
 case (B\text{-}var\ x)
 then show ?case
 proof -
   have False
     using B-var.prems(1) wfB.cases by fastforce
   then show ?thesis by metis
 qed
next
 case (B-app \ s \ b)
  then obtain bv' dclist where *: AF-typedef-poly s bv' dclist \in set \Theta \land \Theta; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b using
wfB-elims by metis
 thm wfB-appI
 show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof
   show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using B-app wfX-wfY by metis
   show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b[bv::=b']_{bb} using * B-app forget-subst wfB-supp fresh-def
     by (metis ex-in-conv subset-empty subst-b-def supp-empty-fset)
   show AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta using * by auto
 qed
qed
lemma wfT-subst-wfB:
 fixes \tau::\tau and b'::b
 assumes \Theta; {|bv|}; (x, b, c) #_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b'
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (b\text{-}of \ \tau)[bv::=b']_{bb}
proof -
 obtain b where \Theta; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} b \land b-of \tau = b using wfT-elims b-of simps assms by metis
 thus ?thesis using wfB-subst-wfB assms by auto
qed
lemma wfG-cons-unique:
 assumes (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and x = x1
 shows b1 = b \wedge c1 = c
proof -
 have x1 \notin \mathit{fst} ' \mathit{toSet}\ \Gamma
 proof -
   have atom x1 \sharp \Gamma using assms wfG-cons by metis
```

```
then show ?thesis
       using fresh-gamma-elem
       by (metis assms(2) atom-dom.simps dom.simps rev-image-eqI wfG-cons2 wfG-x-fresh)
  qed
  thus ?thesis using assms by force
qed
lemma wfG-member-unique:
  assumes (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and x = x1
  shows b1 = b \wedge c1 = c
  using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case using wfG-suffix wfG-cons-unique append-g.simps by metis
next
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
  moreover hence (x1, b1, c1) \in toSet (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using wf-not-in-prefix by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case using wfG-cons by fastforce
qed
8.13
                Function Definitions
lemma wb-phi-weakening:
  fixes \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v and e::e and c::c and \tau::\tau and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and s::s
and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and \mathit{ftq}::\mathit{fun-typ-q} and \mathit{ft}::\mathit{fun-typ} and \mathit{ce}::\mathit{ce} and \mathit{td}::\mathit{type-def}
           and cs::branch-s and cs::branch-list and \Phi::\Phi
  shows
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \Longrightarrow set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b
and
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b \implies \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \implies set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi' \implies \Theta; \Phi'; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b
and
          \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \Longrightarrow set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ;
\Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b  and
           \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; \; ; \; \Gamma \; ; \; \Delta \; ; \; \mathit{tid} \; ; \; \mathit{dclist} \; \vdash_{wf} \mathit{css} \; : \; b \Longrightarrow \Theta \; \; \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \Longrightarrow \mathit{set} \; \Phi \; \subseteq \mathit{set} \; \Phi' \Longrightarrow \; \Theta \; ; \; \Phi' \; ; \; \mathcal{B}
; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b and
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow True and
            \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ftq} \Longrightarrow \Theta \; \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \Longrightarrow \mathit{set} \; \Phi \; \subseteq \mathit{set} \; \Phi' \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; \Phi' \; \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ftq} \; \mathbf{and}
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \Longrightarrow set \Phi \subseteq set \Phi' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft
proof(nominal-induct
             b and b and b and b and \Phi and \Delta and ftq and ft
             avoiding: \Phi'
         rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v\ b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
   case (wfE-leqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
   then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
```

next

case  $(wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)$ 

```
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfE\text{-}fstI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v1\ b1\ b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros lookup-fun-weakening by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \ \mid_{wf} \ b' \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfE-appPI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
    show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi',\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b \rangle \rangle using wfE\text{-}appPI by auto
    show \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ\text{-}some \ bv \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = lookup\text{-}fun \ \Phi' \ f)
       using wfE-appPI lookup-fun-weakening by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv := b']_b \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ b\ \Delta)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-letI by fastforce
  case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 b' x s2 b)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-let2I by fastforce
  case (wfS-ifI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-varI by simp
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \ \tau \rangle using wfS\text{-}varI by simp
    show \langle atom \ u \ \sharp \ (\Phi', \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ \tau, \ v, \ b) \rangle using wfS-varI by simp
```

```
show \langle \Theta ; \Phi' ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \rangle \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-varI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-while I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS\text{-}seqI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfS-branchI by fastforce
next
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta s b)
  show ?case proof
  show (\Theta; \Phi'; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b) using wfS-assertI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
  have atom x \sharp \Phi' using wfS-assertI wfPhi-supp fresh-def by blast
 thus \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi', \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b, s) \rangle using fresh-prodN wfS-assertI wfPhi-supp fresh-def by auto
qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfFTI \Theta B b s x c \tau \Phi)
  show ?case proof
  show \langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfFTI by auto
next
  show \langle supp \ c \subseteq \{atom \ x\} \rangle using wfFTI by auto
  show \langle \Theta ; B ; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfFTI by auto
  show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \rangle using wfFTI by auto
  show \langle supp \ s \subseteq \{atom \ x\} \cup supp \ B \rangle using wfFTI by auto
qed(auto|metis wf-intros)+
lemma wfT-fun-return-t:
  fixes \tau a' :: \tau and \tau' :: \tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (xa, b, ca) #_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau a' and (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s') = (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c
\tau a' sa'
```

```
shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'
  obtain cb::x where xf: atom cb \ \sharp \ (c, \tau', s', sa', \tau a', ca, x, xa) using obtain-fresh by blast
  hence atom \ cb \ \sharp \ (c, \ \tau', \ s', \ sa', \ \tau a', \ ca) \land atom \ cb \ \sharp \ (x, \ xa, \ ((c, \ \tau'), \ s'), \ (ca, \ \tau a'), \ sa') using
fresh-prod6 fresh-prod4 fresh-prod8 by auto
 hence *:c[x::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv} = ca[xa::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{cv} \wedge \tau'[x::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{\tau v} = \tau a'[xa::=V\text{-}var\ cb]_{\tau v} using
assms \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff-all by auto
  have **: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (xa \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} (xa \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot \tau a' using assms True-eqvt
beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq wfG-wf
    by (metis GCons-eqvt GNil-eqvt wfT.eqvt wfT-wf)
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot \tau' proof –
    have (xa \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot xa = (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot x using xf by auto
     hence (x \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) = (xa \leftrightarrow cb) \cdot ((xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) using * ** xf
G-cons-flip fresh-GNil by simp
    thus ?thesis using ** * xf by simp
  qed
 thus ? thesis using beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq wfT-wf wfG-wf * ** True-eqvt wfT-eqvt permute-flip-cancel
qed
lemma wfFT-wf-aux:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q and s::s and \Delta :: \Delta
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; B \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-fun-typ } x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)
  shows \Theta ; B ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land supp \ s \subseteq \{ atom \ x \} \cup supp \ B
proof -
  obtain xa and ca and sa and \tau' where *:\Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b \land (\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi) \land
    supp \ sa \subseteq \{atom \ xa\} \cup supp \ B \land (\Theta; B; (xa, b, ca) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau') \land A
  AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s = AF-fun-typ xa b ca \tau' sa
    using wfFT.simps[of \Theta \Phi B AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s] assms by auto
  moreover hence **: (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s) = (AF-fun-typ x a b c a \tau' s a) by simp
  ultimately have \Theta; B; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfT-fun-return-t by metis
  moreover have (\Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi) using * by auto
  moreover have supp \ s \subseteq \{ atom \ x \} \cup supp \ B \ proof -
    \mathbf{have} \ [[\mathit{atom} \ x]] \ lst.s = [[\mathit{atom} \ xa]] \ lst.sa \ \mathbf{using} \ ** \ \mathit{fun-typ.eq-iff} \ lst-\mathit{fst} \ lst-\mathit{snd} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis}
    thus ?thesis using lst-supp-subset * by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma wfFT-simple-wf:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q and s :: s and \Delta :: \Delta
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))
  shows \Theta ; {||} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge supp \ s \subseteq \{ atom \ x \}
  have *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) using wfFTQ-elims assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfFT-wf-aux by force
```

```
lemma wfFT-poly-wf:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ftq :: fun-typ-q and s :: s and \Delta :: \Delta
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s))
  \mathbf{shows}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{|bv|\}\ ;\ (x,b,c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ GNil\ \vdash_{wf}\ \tau\ \land\ \Theta\ \vdash_{wf}\ \Phi\ \land\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ \ ;\ \{|bv|\}\ \ \vdash_{wf}\ (AF\mbox{-}fun\mbox{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s)
proof -
  obtain bv1 ft1 where *:\Theta; \Phi; \{|bv1|\} \vdash_{wf} ft1 \land [[atom\ bv1]]lst.\ ft1 = [[atom\ bv]]lst.\ AF-fun-typ
x b c \tau s
    using wfFTQ-elims(3)[OF assms] by metis
  show ?thesis proof(cases bv1 = bv)
  then show ?thesis using * fun-typ-q.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) wfFT-wf-aux)
  next
    case False
    obtain x1 b1 c1 t1 s1 where **: ft1 = AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 t1 s1 using fun-typ eq-iff
      by (meson fun-typ.exhaust)
   hence eqv: (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 t1 s1 = AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s \wedge atom bv1 \sharp AF-fun-typ
x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s \ \mathbf{using}
         Abs1-eq-iff(3) * False by metis
   have (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Theta; (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Phi; (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \{|bv1|\} \vdash_{wf} (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot ft1 using wfFT.eqvt
* by metis
    moreover have (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Phi = \Phi using phi-flip-eq wfX-wfY * by metis
    moreover have (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot \Theta = \Theta using wfX-wfY * theta-flip-eq2 by metis
    moreover have (bv \leftrightarrow bv1) \cdot ft1 = AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s using eqv ** by metis
    ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s by auto
    thus ?thesis using wfFT-wf-aux by auto
  qed
qed
lemma wfFT-poly-wfT:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))
  shows \Theta; {| bv |}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau
  using wfFT-poly-wf assms by simp
lemma b-of-supp:
  supp (b - of t) \subseteq supp t
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ t\ rule:\tau.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (T-refined-type x \ b \ c)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-simple-wf:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q and s :: s and \Phi' :: \Phi
  assumes AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)) \in set \ \Phi and \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi and set \ \Phi
\subseteq set \ \Phi' \ \mathbf{and} \ \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'
```

```
shows \Theta; \{||\}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land supp \ s \subseteq \{ atom \ x \}
using assms proof(induct \Phi rule: \Phi-induct)
 case PNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (PConsSome f1 bv x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s' \Phi'')
 hence AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)) \in set \Phi'' by auto
 moreover have \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \land set \Phi'' \subseteq set \Phi' using wfPhi\text{-}elims(3) PConsSome by auto
 ultimately show ?case using PConsSome wfPhi-elims wfFT-simple-wf by auto
 case (PConsNone f'x'b'c'\tau's'\Phi'')
 show ?case proof(cases f=f')
   case True
   have AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x' b' c' \tau' s') = AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)
   by (metis PConsNone.prems(1) PConsNone.prems(2) True fun-def.eq-iff image-eqI name-of-fun.simps
set-ConsD wfPhi-elims(2))
    hence *:\Theta; \Phi'' \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) using wfPhi-elims(2)[OF PCon-
sNone(3)] by metis
   hence \Theta; \Phi''; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) using wfFTQ-elims(1) by metis
   thus ?thesis using wfFT-simple-wf[OF *] wb-phi-weakening PConsNone by force
 next
   case False
   hence AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)) \in set \Phi'' using PConsNone by simp
   moreover have \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \land set \Phi'' \subseteq set \Phi' \text{ using } wfPhi\text{-}elims(3) PConsNone by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using PConsNone wfPhi-elims wfFT-simple-wf by auto
 qed
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-simple-wfT:
 fixes \tau::\tau and \Theta::\Theta and \Phi::\Phi and ft:: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
 shows \Theta; {||}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau
 using wfPhi-f-simple-wf assms using lookup-fun-member by blast
lemma wfPhi-f-simple-supp-b:
 fixes \tau::\tau and \Theta::\Theta and \Phi::\Phi and ft:: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
 shows supp \ b = \{\}
proof -
 have \Theta; {||}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfT-wf wfG-cons wfB-supp by fastforce
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t:
 fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
 shows supp \ \tau \subseteq \{ atom \ x \}
```

```
\textbf{lemma} \quad \textit{wfPhi-f-simple-supp-c}\colon
 fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
 shows supp \ c \subseteq \{ atom \ x \}
proof -
 have \Theta; {||}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfG-wfC wfC-supp wfT-wf by fastforce
lemma wfPhi-f-simple-supp-s:
 fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
 shows supp \ s \subseteq \{atom \ x\}
proof -
 have AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)) \in set \Phi using lookup-fun-member assms
 hence supp \ s \subseteq \{ atom \ x \} using wfPhi-f-simple-wf assms by blast
 thus ?thesis using wf-supp(3) atom-dom.simps toSet.simps x-not-in-u-set x-not-in-b-set setD.simps
   using wf-supp2(2) by fastforce
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-wf:
 fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q and s :: s and \Phi' :: \Phi
  assumes AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)) \in set \Phi and \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi and set
\Phi \subseteq set \ \Phi' \ and \ \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'
 shows \Theta; \{|bv|\}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi' \land \Theta; \Phi'; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} (AF-fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)
using assms proof(induct \Phi rule: \Phi-induct)
 case PNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (PConsNone\ f\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s'\ \Phi'')
 moreover have \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \land set \Phi'' \subseteq set \Phi' \text{ using } wfPhi-elims(3) PConsNone by auto
 ultimately show ?case using PConsNone wfPhi-elims wfFT-poly-wf by auto
 case (PConsSome f1 bv1 x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1 \Phi'')
 show ?case proof(cases f=f1)
 case True
   have AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1) = AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau
s)
      by (metis PConsSome.prems(1) PConsSome.prems(2) True fun-def.eq-iff list.set-intros(1) op-
tion.inject wfPhi-lookup-fun-unique)
    hence *:\Theta; \Phi'' \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) using wfPhi-elims PConsSome
by metis
   thus ?thesis using wfFT-poly-wf * wb-phi-weakening PConsSome
     by (meson set-subset-Cons)
```

```
next
   case False
   hence AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)) \in set \Phi'' using PConsSome
     by (meson fun-def.eq-iff set-ConsD)
   moreover have \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi'' \land set \Phi'' \subseteq set \Phi' \text{ using } wfPhi-elims(3) PConsSome
     by (meson dual-order.trans set-subset-Cons)
   \textbf{ultimately show} \quad ?thesis \ \textbf{using} \ PConsSome \ wfPhi\text{-}elims \ wfFT\text{-}poly\text{-}wf
     \mathbf{by} blast
 qed
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-wfT:
 fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
 assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
 shows \Theta; {| bv |}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau
using assms proof(induct \Phi rule: \Phi-induct)
 case PNil
 then show ?case by auto
  case (PConsSome f1 bv1 x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s' \Phi')
 then show ?case proof(cases f1=f)
   case True
   hence lookup-fun (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s')) # \Phi') f =
Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s'))) using
      lookup-fun.simps using PConsSome.prems by simp
   then show ?thesis using PConsSome.prems wfPhi-elims wfFT-poly-wfT
     by (metis option.inject)
 \mathbf{next}
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   then show ?thesis using PConsSome using lookup-fun.simps
     using wfPhi-elims(3) by auto
 qed
next
 case (PConsNone\ f'\ x'\ b'\ c'\ \tau'\ s'\ \Phi')
 then show ?case proof(cases f'=f)
   case True
    then have *:\Theta; \Phi' \vdash_{wf} AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x' b' c' \tau' s') using lookup-fun.simps
PConsNone wfPhi-elims by metis
   thus ?thesis using PConsNone wfFT-poly-wfT wfPhi-elims lookup-fun.simps
     by (metis fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.distinct(1) option.inject)
 next
   case False
   thus ?thesis using PConsNone wfPhi-elims
     by (metis\ False\ lookup-fun.simps(2))
 qed
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b:
 fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
 assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
```

```
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
  shows supp \ b \subseteq supp \ bv
proof -
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{|bv|\}\ ;\ (x,b,c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\mathit{GNil}\ \vdash_{wf}\tau\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wfPhi-f-poly-wfT}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
  thus ?thesis using wfT-wf wfG-cons wfB-supp by fastforce
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t:
  fixes \tau::\tau and \Theta::\Theta and \Phi::\Phi and ft:: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
  shows supp \ \tau \subseteq \{ atom \ x \ , atom \ bv \}
 using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT[OF assms, THEN wfT-supp] atom-dom.simps supp-at-base by auto
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b-of-t:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
  shows supp (b \text{-} of \ \tau) \subseteq \{ atom \ bv \}
proof -
  have atom x \notin supp (b\text{-}of \tau) using x-fresh-b by auto
  moreover have supp (b \text{-} of \ \tau) \subseteq \{ atom \ x \ , atom \ bv \} using wfPhi \text{-} f \text{-} poly \text{-} supp \text{-} t
    using supp-at-base\ b-of.simps\ wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t\ \tau.supp\ b-of-supp\ assms\ by\ fast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
  shows supp \ c \subseteq \{ atom \ x, atom \ bv \}
proof -
  have \Theta ; \{|bv|\} ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfG-wfC wfC-supp wfT-wf
    using supp-at-base by fastforce
qed
lemma wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s:
  fixes \tau :: \tau and \Theta :: \Theta and \Phi :: \Phi and ft :: fun-typ-q
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and \Theta
\vdash_{wf} \Phi
  shows supp \ s \subseteq \{atom \ x, \ atom \ bv\}
proof -
  have AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)) \in set \Phi using lookup-fun-member
assms by auto
  hence *:\Theta; \Phi; \{|bv|\}\vdash_{wf} (AF-fun-typ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s) using assms wfPhi-f-poly-wf by simp
```

```
qed
lemmas wfPhi-f-supp = wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b wfPhi-f-supp-b wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c
   wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t wfPhi-f-poly-wfT wfPhi-f-simple-wfT
   wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s wfPhi-f-simple-supp-s
lemma fun-typ-eq-ret-unique:
 assumes (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1') = (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2')
 shows \tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 2'[x2::=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
 have [[atom \ x1]] lst. \tau 1' = [[atom \ x2]] lst. \tau 2' using assms lst-fst fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd by metis
 thus ?thesis using subst-v-flip-eq-two[of x1 \tau1' x2 \tau2' v] subst-v-\tau-def by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fun-typ-eq-body-unique}\colon
 fixes v::v and x1::x and x2::x and s1'::s and s2'::s
 assumes (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1') = (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2')
 shows s1'[x1::=v]_{sv} = s2'[x2::=v]_{sv}
proof -
 have [[atom \ x1]]lst. \ s1' = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ s2' using assms lst-fst fun-typ.eq-iff lst-snd by metis
 thus ?thesis using subst-v-flip-eq-two[of x1 s1' x2 s2' v] subst-v-s-def by metis
qed
lemma fun-ret-unique:
  assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1')) = lookup-fun \Phi f
and Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau 2' s2'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f
 shows \tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 2'[x2::=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
 have *: (AF-fundef f(AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1'\ s1')) = (AF-fundef f(AF-fun-typ-none
(AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2')) using option.inject assms by metis
 thus ?thesis using fun-typ-eq-ret-unique fun-def.eq-iff fun-typ-q.eq-iff by metis
qed
lemma fun-poly-arg-unique:
 fixes bv1::bv and bv2::bv and b::b and \tau1::\tau and \tau2::\tau
 assumes [[atom\ bv1]]lst.\ (AF-fun-typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1) = [[atom\ bv2]]lst.\ (AF-fun-typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2\ s2)
(is [[atom ?x]]lst. ?a = [[atom ?y]]lst. ?b)
 shows \{x1:b1[bv1::=b]_{bb} \mid c1[bv1::=b]_{cb}\} = \{x2:b2[bv2::=b]_{bb} \mid c2[bv2::=b]_{cb}\}
proof -
  obtain c::bv where *:atom c \ \sharp \ (b,b1,b2,c1,c2) \land atom c \ \sharp \ (bv1,\ bv2,\ AF-fun-typ\ x1\ b1\ c1\ \tau1\ s1,
AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2 s2) using obtain-fresh fresh-Pair by metis
  hence (bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1 = (bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2 s2 using
Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[of ?x ?a ?y ?b] assms by metis
  hence AF-fun-typ x1 ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau1) ((bv1 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s1) =
AF-fun-typ x2 ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot b2) ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2) ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot \tau2) ((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s2)
   using fun-typ-flip by metis
 hence **: \{x1:((bv1\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b1)\mid ((bv1\leftrightarrow c)\cdot c1)\} = \{x2:((bv2\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b2)\mid ((bv2\leftrightarrow c)\cdot c2)\}
```

thus ?thesis using wfFT-wf-aux[OF \*] using supp-at-base by auto

```
\{ (is \{ x1 : ?b1 \mid ?c1 \} = \{ x2 : ?b2 \mid ?c2 \} )  using fun-arg-unique-aux by metis
 hence \{x1:((bv1\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b1)\mid ((bv1\leftrightarrow c)\cdot c1)\} [c:=b]_{\tau b}=\{x2:((bv2\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b2)\mid ((bv2\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b2)\}
• c2) [c:=b]_{\tau b} by metis
 hence \{x1:((bv1\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b1)[c::=b]_{bb}\mid ((bv1\leftrightarrow c)\cdot c1)[c::=b]_{cb}\}=\{x2:((bv2\leftrightarrow c)\cdot b2)[c::=b]_{bb}\}
|((bv2 \leftrightarrow c) \cdot c2)[c:=b]_{cb}| using subst-tb.simps by metis
 thus ?thesis using * flip-subst-subst subst-b-c-def subst-b-def fresh-prodN flip-commute by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fun-poly-ret-unique}\colon
 assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1'))) = lookup-fun \Phi
f and Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f
 shows \tau 1'[bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 2'[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}[x2::=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
  have *: (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1')) = (AF-fundef f
(AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2'))) using option.inject assms by metis
  hence AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1') = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2
b2 \ c2 \ \tau 2' \ s2'
     (is AF-fun-typ-some bv1 ?ft1 = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 ?ft2) using fun-def.eq-iff by metis
 hence **:[[atom\ bv1]]]lst.\ ?ft1 = [[atom\ bv2]]lst.\ ?ft2 using fun-typ-q.eq-iff(1) by metis
  hence *:subst-ft-b ?ft1 bv1 b = subst-ft-b ?ft2 bv2 b using subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def by
metis
 have [[atom \ x1]]lst. \ \tau 1'[bv1::=b]_{\tau b} = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ \tau 2'[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}
   \mathbf{apply}(rule\ lst\text{-}snd[of\ -\ c1[bv1::=b]_{cb}\ -\ -\ c2[bv2::=b]_{cb}])
   \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule lst-fst}[\mathit{of} - \mathit{s1'}[\mathit{bv1} ::= b]_{\mathit{sb}} - \mathit{s2'}[\mathit{bv2} ::= b]_{\mathit{sb}}])
   using * subst-ft-b.simps fun-typ.eq-iff by metis
 thus ?thesis using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-\tau-def by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ fun-poly-body-unique:
 assumes Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1'))) = lookup-fun \Phi
f and Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2 b2 c2 \tau2' s2'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f
 shows s1'[bv1::=b]_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv} = s2'[bv2::=b]_{sb}[x2::=v]_{sv}
proof -
  have *: (AF\text{-fundef }f\ (AF\text{-fun-typ-some bv1}\ (AF\text{-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 }\tau1'\ s1'))) = (AF\text{-fundef }f
(AF-fun-typ-some\ bv2\ (AF-fun-typ\ x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')))
   using option.inject assms by metis
  hence AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1') = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ x2
b2 \ c2 \ \tau 2' \ s2'
     (is AF-fun-typ-some bv1 ?ft1 = AF-fun-typ-some bv2 ?ft2) using fun-def.eq-iff by metis
 hence **:[[atom\ bv1]]|lst.\ ?ft1 = [[atom\ bv2]]lst.\ ?ft2 using fun-typ-q.eq-iff(1) by metis
  hence *:subst-ft-b ?ft1 bv1 b = subst-ft-b ?ft2 bv2 b using subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def by
 have [[atom \ x1]]lst. \ s1'[bv1::=b]_{sb} = [[atom \ x2]]lst. \ s2'[bv2::=b]_{sb}
   using lst-snd lst-fst subst-ft-b.simps fun-typ.eq-iff
   by (metis local.*)
  thus ?thesis using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def by metis
```

**lemma** funtyp-eq-iff-equalities:

qed

```
fixes s'::s and s::s assumes [[atom\ x']]lst.\ ((c',\tau'),\ s') = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ ((c,\tau),\ s) shows \{x':b\mid c'\} = \{x:b\mid c\} \land s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} \land \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} proof — have [[atom\ x']]lst.\ s' = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ s and [[atom\ x']]lst.\ \tau' = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ \tau and [[atom\ x']]lst.\ c' = [[atom\ x]]lst.\ c using lst-snd\ lst-fst\ assms\ by\ metis+ thus lst-sis\ using\ subst-v-flip-eq-two\ \tau.eq-iff by (metis\ assms\ fun-typ.eq-iff\ fun-typ-eq-body-unique\ fun-typ-eq-ret-unique) qed
```

## 8.14 Weakening

```
lemma wfX-wfB1:
 fixes \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v and e::e and c::c and \tau::\tau and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and s::s
and b::b and B::B and \Phi::\Phi and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
           and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows wfV-wfB: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b and
         \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} and
         \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
         wfT-wfB: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \implies \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b-of \tau and
         \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True and
         \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
         \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True and
         wfCE-wfB: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b and
         \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
proof(induct \ rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.inducts)
 case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b\ c\ x)
  hence (x,b,c) \in toSet \ \Gamma using lookup-iff wfV-wf using lookup-in-q by presburger
  hence b \in fst'snd'toSet \Gamma by force
  hence wfB \Theta B b using wfG-wfB wfV-varI by metis
  then show ?case using wfV-elims wfG-wf wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV-litI \Theta \Gamma l)
  moreover have wfTh \Theta using wfV-wf wfG-wf wfV-litI by metis
  ultimately show ?case using wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros base-for-lit.simps l.exhaust by metis
next
  case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
  then show ?case using wfG-wf wf-intros by metis
  case (wfV-consI s dclist \Theta dc x b c B \Gamma v)
  then show ?case
    using wfV-wf wfG-wf wfB-consI by metis
next
  case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v)
  then show ?case
    using wfV-wf wfG-wf using wfB-appI by metis
next
  case (wfCE-valI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims by auto
  case (wfCE-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims by auto
```

```
next
  case (wfCE-legI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
next
  case (wfCE-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b v2)
  then show ?case using wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
next
  case (wfCE-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims by metis
  case (wfCE-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims by metis
  case (wfCE-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfCE-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1)
  then show ?case using wfV-wf wfG-wf wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
\mathbf{qed}(\mathit{auto} \mid \mathit{metis} \ \mathit{wfV-wf} \ \mathit{wfG-wf} \ \mathit{wf-intros} \ ) +
lemma wfX-wfB2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and b::b and B::\Phi and ft::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
            and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
          wfE-wfB: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b and
          wfS-wfB: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b and
          wfCS-wfB: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \text{ and }
          wfCSS-wfB: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \text{ and }
          \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow True and
          \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
          \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft} \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid \subseteq \mid \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft}
proof(induct vile: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.inducts)
  case (wfE-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1 by metis
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
  then show ?case using wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by metis
  case (wfE\text{-}fstI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v1\ b1\ b2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE\text{-}sndI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1 by metis
next
```

```
case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-pairI by auto
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfE-lenI} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \mathit{v1})
  then show ?case using wfB-elims wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-intI wfX-wfY1(1) by auto
next
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B};(x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfT-b-weakening by fast
  then show ?case using b-of.simps using wfT-b-weakening
     by (metis\ b\text{-}of.cases\ bot.extremum\ wfT\text{-}elims(2))
next
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
  hence \Theta; {| bv |}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT wfX-wfY by blast
  \textbf{then show} ~? case ~\textbf{using} ~wfE-appPI ~b-of.simps ~\textbf{using} ~wfT-b-weakening ~wfT-elims ~wfT-subst-wfB
subst-b-def by metis
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfE-mvarI} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \mathit{u} \ \tau)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfD\text{-}wfT by fast
  then show ?case using wfT-elims b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (wfFTNone \Theta ft)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (wfFTSome \Theta bv ft)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (wfS\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ b\ \Delta)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-ifI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
  case (wfS-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1
    using wfB-unitI wfX-wfY2(5) by auto
  case (wfS-while I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS\text{-}seqI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ b)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
```

```
next
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid delist \Delta \Phi cs b)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
  case (wfS-cons \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b dclist css)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ u)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfPhi\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
next
  case (wfPhi-consI f \Theta \Phi ft)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1 by auto
  case (wfFTI \Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfB1
     by (meson\ Wellformed.wfFTI\ wb-b-weakening2(8))
qed(metis\ wfV-wf\ wfG-wf\ wf-intros\ wfX-wfB1)
lemmas wfX-wfB = wfX-wfB1 wfX-wfB2
lemma wf-weakening1:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
           and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows wfV-weakening: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'
\vdash_{wf} v: b and
           wfC-weakening: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c
and
           \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \implies True and
           wfT-weakening: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau
and
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \implies True and
           \vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow True and
           wfB-weakening: wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}' \Longrightarrow wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b and
            \textit{wfCE-weakening} \colon \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \; \vdash_{wf} \; ce \; : \; b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \textit{toSet} \; \Gamma \subseteq \textit{toSet} \; \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \; \Gamma'
\vdash_{wf} ce : b and
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct
```

```
b and c and \Gamma and \tau and ts and P and b and b and td
             avoiding: \Gamma'
             rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
 case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b\ c\ x)
  hence Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma' x using lookup-weakening by metis
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfV-varI wfV-varI by metis
next
  case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma') \rangle using wfTI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfTI by auto
     have *:toSet ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using toSet.simps wfTI by
     thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) \rangle \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using wfTI(8)[OF - *] wfTI wfX-wfY
        by (simp add: wfG-cons-TRUE)
  qed
  case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ v)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
     show \langle (dc, \{ x : b' \mid c \} ) \in set \ dclist \rangle  using wfV-conspI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
     show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma',\ b,\ v)\rangle using wfV\text{-}conspI by simp
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b]_{bb} \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
  qed
qed(metis wf-intros)+
lemma wf-weakening2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and \mathit{ftq}::\mathit{fun}-typ-q and \mathit{ft}::\mathit{fun}-typ and \mathit{ce}::\mathit{ce} and \mathit{td}::\mathit{type}-def
           and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows
            wfE-weakening: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi;
\mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \text{ and }
           wfS-weakening: \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B};
\Gamma'; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b and
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ;
\mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b and
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ;
\mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b and
            \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \text{ and }
           wfD-weakning: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta
and
            \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
            \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft} \Longrightarrow \mathit{True}
proof(nominal-induct
             b and b and b and b and \Phi and \Delta and ftq and ft
             rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
```

```
show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
     \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \ \land \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfE-appPI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
     \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \ \vdash_{wf} \ b' \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfE-appPI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
     show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma',\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-of}\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b\rangle\rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
     show \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ\text{-}some \ bv \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ \ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle using
wfE-appPI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv:=b']_b \rangle using wfE-appPI wf-weakening1 by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  show ?case proof(rule)
     show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
     have toSet ((x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using wfS-letI by auto
     thus (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b) using wfS-letI by (meson wfG-cons
wfG-cons-TRUE \ wfS-wf)
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma', \ \Delta, \ e, \ b) \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
   case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \mid \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-let2I wf-weakening1 by auto
     have toSet ((x, b\text{-}of \ \tau, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((x, b\text{-}of \ \tau, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma') using wfS\text{-}let2I by
auto
      thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-}of \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle using wfS-let21
                                                                                                                                                 by (meson
wfG-cons wfG-cons-TRUE wfS-wf)
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, s1, b, \tau) \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau\ v\ u\ \Phi\ \Delta\ b\ s)
  show ?case proof
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfS-varI wf-weakening1 by auto
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \ \tau using wfS-varI wf-weakening1 by auto
     show atom u \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, \tau, v, b) using wfS-varI by auto
     show \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b  using wfS-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  show ?case proof
     have toSet~((x, b\text{-}of~\tau, TRUE)~\#_{\Gamma}~\Gamma) \subseteq toSet~((x, b\text{-}of~\tau, TRUE)~\#_{\Gamma}~\Gamma') using wfS-branchI
      thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \not\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-branch I by (meson
wfG-cons wfG-cons-TRUE \ wfS-wf)
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma', \ \Delta, \ \Gamma', \ \tau) \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
```

```
case (wfS-cons \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta \times b)
  show ?case proof(rule)
show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \mid_{wf} c \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-weakening1 by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule \ wfG\text{-}consI)
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
       show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} B\text{-bool} \rangle using wfS-assertI wfB-boolI wfX-wfY by metis
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' proof
         show (TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} by auto
         show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
         show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
         \mathbf{show} \ (\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B}\ \vdash_{wf}\ B\text{-}bool\ )\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wfS-assertI}\ \mathit{wfB-boolI}\ \mathit{wfX-wfY}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{metis}
       qed
  thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle
    using wf-weakening1(2)[OF \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \rangle] by force
   qed
    thus \langle \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-assertI by fastforce
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
    show (atom x \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, c, b, s)) using wfS-assertI by auto
  qed
qed(metis wf-intros wf-weakening1)+
lemmas wf-weakening = wf-weakening 1 wf-weakening 2
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfV\text{-}weakening\text{-}cons:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and c :: c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b and atom \ y \ \sharp \ \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((y,b',TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (y,b',c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} v:b
proof -
  have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((y,b',c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfG-intros2 assms by auto
  moreover have toSet \ \Gamma \subseteq toSet \ ((y,b',c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using toSet.simps by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wf-weakening using assms(1) by blast
qed
lemma wfG-cons-weakening:
  fixes \Gamma' :: \Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and atom x \sharp \Gamma'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')
proof(cases \ c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms by auto
next
  case False
  hence *:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \wedge \ \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
    using wfG-elims(2)[OF\ assms(1)] by auto
  have a1:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' using wfG-wfB wfG-cons2I assms by simp
```

```
moreover have a2:toSet ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using toSet.simps
  moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' proof
    show (TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' using assms by auto
    show atom x \sharp \Gamma' using assms by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using assms wfG-elims by metis
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c using wf-weakening at a2 * by auto
  then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I[of c \Theta B \Gamma' x b, OF False] wfG-wfB assms by simp
lemma wfT-weakening-aux:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and c :: c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}  and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and atom z \sharp \Gamma'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \}
  show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma') \rangle
    using wf-supp wfX-wfY assms fresh-prodN fresh-def x-not-in-b-set wfG-fresh-x by metis
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using assms wfT-elims by metis
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \rangle \mathbf{proof} -
    have *:\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c using wfT-wfC fresh-weakening assms by auto
     moreover have a1:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \text{ using } wfG\text{-}cons2I \ assms \ \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle \text{ by}
simp
    moreover have a2:toSet ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using toSet.simps
assms by blast
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' proof
       show (TRUE) \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} by auto
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' using assms by auto
       show atom z \sharp \Gamma' using assms by auto
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using assms wfT-elims by metis
    thus ?thesis using wf-weakening a1 a2 * by auto
  qed
qed
lemma wfT-weakening-all:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and \tau :: \tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau and \Theta; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{B}'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau
  using wb-b-weakening assms wfT-weakening by metis
lemma wfT-weakening-nil:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and \tau :: \tau
  assumes \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau and \Theta; \mathcal{B}' \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}'; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau
  using wfT-weakening-all
  using assms(1) assms(2) toSet.simps(1) by blast
```

lemma wfTh-wfT2:

```
fixes x::x and v::v and \tau::\tau and G::\Gamma
     assumes wfTh \Theta and AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta and
                 (dc, \tau) \in set \ dclist \ \ and \ \Theta \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} G
     shows supp \ \tau = \{\} and \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau and wfT \ \Theta \ B \ G \ \tau
proof -
     show supp \ \tau = \{\} \ \mathbf{proof}(rule \ ccontr)
           assume a1: supp \tau \neq \{\}
           have supp \Theta \neq \{\} proof –
                 obtain delist where de: AF-typedef s delist \in set \Theta \land (dc, \tau) \in set delist
                      using assms by auto
                 hence supp (dc, \tau) \neq \{\}
                      using a1 by (simp add: supp-Pair)
                 hence supp dclist \neq \{\} using dc supp-list-member by auto
                 hence supp (AF-typedef s dclist) \neq \{\} using type-def.supp by auto
                 thus ?thesis using supp-list-member dc by auto
           qed
           thus False using assms wfTh-supp by simp
      qed
     thus \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v} = \tau by (simp add: fresh-def)
     have wfT \Theta \{||\} GNil \tau using assms wfTh-wfT by auto
     thus wfT \Theta B G \tau using assms wfT-weakening-nil by simp
qed
lemma wf-d-weakening:
     fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and s :: s
and \mathcal{B}::\mathcal{B} and \mathit{ftq}::\mathit{fun-typ-q} and \mathit{ft}::\mathit{fun-typ} and \mathit{ce}::\mathit{ce} and \mathit{td}::\mathit{type-def}
                         and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
     shows
                       \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi : \mathcal{B}; \Gamma : \mathcal{B} : \mathcal
e: b and
                         s:b and
                         \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow setD \Delta \subseteq setD \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta ;
\Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta'; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b and
                       \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; \; ; \; \Gamma \; ; \; \Delta \; ; \; \mathit{tid} \; ; \; \mathit{dclist} \; \vdash_{wf} \; \mathit{css} \; : \; b \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \; \mathcal{B} ; \; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \Longrightarrow \; \mathit{setD} \; \Delta \subseteq \mathit{setD} \; \Delta' \Longrightarrow \; \; \Theta ;
\Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta'; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b and
                         \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                         \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
                         \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ftq} \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
                         \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft} \Longrightarrow \mathit{True}
proof(nominal-induct
                            b and b and b and b and \Phi and \Delta and ftq and ft
                            avoiding: \Delta'
                    rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
     case (wfE-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b)
     then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
     case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
     then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
```

```
case (wfE-legI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
   case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv v \tau f x b c s)
   show ?case proof(rule, (rule \ wfE-appPI)+)
    show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta',\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle Some (AF\text{-}fundef f (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some bv (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ x b c \tau s))) = lookup\text{-}fun \Phi f \rangle using
wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv := b']_b \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show \langle (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta' \rangle using wfE-mvarI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS\text{-}valI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v\ b\ \Delta)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x\ s\ b)
  show ?case proof(rule)
    show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-letI by metis
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b', TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-letI by force
    thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b', TRUE) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-letI by metis
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfS-letI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta', \ e, \ b) \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta s b)
  show ?case proof
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule \ wf\text{-weakening2}(6))
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
next
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using wfS-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
next
  show \langle toSet \ \Gamma \subseteq toSet \ ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
     thus \langle \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
next
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
  show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta', \ c, \ b, \ s) \rangle using wfS-assertI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 \tau x s2 b)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
     \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \quad \vdash_{wf} \tau \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfS-let2I} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-}of \ \tau, \ TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG\text{-}cons2I \ wfX\text{-}wfY \ wfS\text{-}let2I by metis
     hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using wf-weakening2(6) wfS-let2I by force
     thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-}of \ \tau, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s2 : b \rangle using wfS-let2I by metis
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta', \ s1, \ b, \tau) \rangle using wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-ifI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
   case (wfS\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau\ v\ u\ \Phi\ \Delta\ b\ s)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-varI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle using wfS\text{-}varI by auto
     show \langle atom \ u \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', \tau, v, b) \rangle using wfS-varI setD.simps by auto
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta' using wfS-varI wfD-cons setD.simps u-fresh-d by metis
     thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \not\parallel_{\Delta} \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \rangle using wfS-varI setD.simps by blast
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta' \rangle using wfS-assignI setD.simps by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle using wfS-assignI by auto
     show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfS-assignI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle using wfS\text{-}assignI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS\text{-}whileI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS\text{-}seqI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ b)
```

```
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid delist \Delta \Phi cs b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  show ?case proof
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons2I wfX-wfY wfS-branchI by metis
     hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \text{ using } wf\text{-weakening2}(6) \text{ } wfS\text{-branchI by } force
     \textbf{thus} \ \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (x, \ b\text{-of} \ \tau, \ TRUE) \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta' \vdash_{wf} s : b \ \rangle \ \textbf{using} \ \textit{wfS-branchI} \ \textbf{by} \ \textit{simp}
     show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta', \ \Gamma, \ \tau) \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-cons \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed(auto+)
```

## 8.15 Forms

Well-formedness for particular constructs that we will need later

```
lemma wfC-e-eq:
  fixes ce::ce and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == ce\ )
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using assms wfX-wfB by auto
  hence wbg: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using wfX-wfY assms by auto
  show ?thesis proof
    show *:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) : b
    proof(rule)
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} V\text{-}var \ x : b proof
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG\text{-}cons2I \ wfX\text{-}wfY \ assms} \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle by auto
         show Some (b, TRUE) = lookup ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x using lookup.simps by auto
       qed
    qed
    show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b
       using assms wf-weakening1(8)[OF assms(1), of (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma] * toSet.simps wfX-wfY
       by (metis\ Un-subset-iff\ equalityE)
  qed
qed
lemma wfC-e-eq2:
  fixes e1::ce and e2::ce
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e1 : b and \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b and \vdash_{wf} \Theta and atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) == e1) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) == e2
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ wfC\text{-}eqI)
  have *: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \operatorname{proof}(rule\ wfG\text{-}cons11)
```

```
show (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    \mathbf{show} *: atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ \mathbf{using} \ assms \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-var x) == e1 using wfC-e-eq assms * by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using assms wfX-wfB by auto
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) : b using assms *
wfCE-valI wfV-varI by auto
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2: b\ \mathbf{proof}(rule\ wf\text{-}weakening1(8))
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e2 : b using assms by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \text{ using } * \text{by } auto
    show to Set \Gamma \subseteq to Set ((x, b, CE-val (V-var x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by auto
  qed
qed
lemma wfT-wfT-if-rev:
  assumes wfV P \mathcal{B} \Gamma v (base-for-lit l) and wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma t and (atom z1 \sharp \Gamma)
  shows wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z1 : b \text{-} of t \mid CE \text{-} val \ v == CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ l) \ IMP \ (c \text{-} of \ t \ z1) \ \} )
proof
  show \langle P; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} b\text{-}of t \rangle using wfX\text{-}wfY assms by meson
  have wfg: P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z1, b\text{-}of\ t, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \text{ using } assms\ wfV\text{-}wf\ wfG\text{-}cons2I\ wfX\text{-}wfY
    by (meson\ wfG-cons-TRUE)
  \mathbf{show} \land P; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b\text{-}of\ t,\ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} \ == \ [[l]^{v}]^{ce} \ IMP \ c\text{-}of\ t\ z1 \rightarrow \mathbf{proof}
    show *: \langle P; \mathcal{B}; (z1, b\text{-}of t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \rangle
    \mathbf{proof}(rule\ wfC\text{-}eqI[\mathbf{where}\ b=base\text{-}for\text{-}lit\ l])
       show P; \mathcal{B}; (z1, b\text{-}of t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v]^{ce} : base\text{-}for\text{-}lit \ l
         using assms wf-intros wf-weakening wfg by (meson wfV-weakening-cons)
       show P; \mathcal{B} : (z1, b\text{-}of t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [[l]^v]^{ce} : base\text{-}for\text{-}lit \ l \ using \ wfg \ assms \ wf\text{-}intros
wf-weakening wfV-weakening-cons by meson
    have t = \{ z1 : b\text{-}of t \mid c\text{-}of t z1 \} \text{ using } c\text{-}of\text{-}eq \}
       using assms(2) assms(3) b-of-c-of-eq wfT-x-fresh by auto
     thus \langle P; \mathcal{B}; (z1, b\text{-}of t, TRUE) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c\text{-}of t z1 \rangle using wfT\text{-}wfC \ assms \ wfG\text{-}elims * by
simp
  qed
  show \langle atom\ z1\ \sharp\ (P,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma)\rangle using assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY by metis
lemma wfT-eq-imp:
  fixes zz::x and ll::l and \tau'::\tau
  assumes base-for-lit ll = B-bool and \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau' and
            \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of} \{|z': B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}\}, c\text{-of} \{|z': B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}\} \#_{\Gamma} GNil and
atom zz \sharp x
  shows \Theta; {||}; (x, b\text{-}of \{ z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \}, c\text{-}of \{ z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} x) #_{\Gamma}
                    GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ zz : b \text{-} of \ \tau' \mid [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[ll]^v]^{ce} \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ \tau'zz \} 
proof(rule wfT-wfT-if-rev)
  show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; (x, b \text{-} of \{ z' : B \text{-} bool \mid TRUE \} \}, c \text{-} of \{ z' : B \text{-} bool \mid TRUE \} \} 
x \mid^v : base-for-lit \ ll \rangle
    using wfV-varI lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps assms by simp
  \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta \ ; \{ || \} \ ; \ (x, \ b\text{-}of \ \{ \ z' : B\text{-}bool \ | \ TRUE \ \} , \ c\text{-}of \ \{ \ z' : B\text{-}bool \ | \ TRUE \ \} \ x) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ GNil \ \vdash_{wf}
    using wf-weakening assms to Set.simps by auto
```

```
show \langle atom\ zz\ \sharp\ (x,\ b\text{-}of\ \{\!\!\{\ z':\ B\text{-}bool\ \mid\ TRUE\ \}\!\!\},\ c\text{-}of\ \{\!\!\{\ z':\ B\text{-}bool\ \mid\ TRUE\ \}\!\!\}\ \#_{\Gamma}\ GNib\rangle
    unfolding fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 b-of.simps c-of-true
    using x-fresh-b fresh-GNil c-of-true c.fresh assms by metis
qed
lemma wfC-v-eq:
  fixes ce::ce and \Gamma::\Gamma and v::v
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == CE\text{-}val v)
  using wfC-e-eq wfCE-valI assms wfX-wfY by auto
lemma wfT-e-eq:
  fixes ce::ce
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b and atom z \sharp \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == ce \} \}
proof
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfX-wfB assms by auto
  show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using assms wfG-fresh-x wfX-wfY by metis
  show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-var z) == ce
    using wfTI wfC-e-eq assms wfTI by auto
qed
lemma wfT-v-eq:
  assumes \textit{wfB}\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \textit{b} and \textit{wfV}\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \textit{v}\ \textit{b} and \textit{atom}\ \textit{z}\ \sharp\ \Gamma
  shows wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val v) \}
  using wfT-e-eq wfE-valI assms wfX-wfY
  by (simp add: wfCE-valI)
lemma wfC-wfG:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and c :: c and b :: b
  assumes \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c and \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
proof -
  have \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons2I assms wfX-wfY by fast
  hence \Theta; B; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c using wfC-weakening assms by force
  thus ?thesis using wfG-consI assms wfX-wfY by metis
qed
```

## 8.16 Replacing

```
lemma wfG\text{-}cons\text{-}fresh2:
fixes \Gamma'::\Gamma
assumes wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ((\ (x',b',c') \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b,\ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma))
shows x'\neq x
proof -
have atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b,\ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)
using assms \ wfG\text{-}elims(2) by blast
thus ?thesis
using fresh\text{-}gamma\text{-}append[of atom } x' \ \Gamma' \ (x,\ b,\ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma] fresh\text{-}GCons \ fresh\text{-}prod3[of atom } x' \ x \ b
c] by auto
qed
```

```
lemma replace-in-q-inside:
     fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
     assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
     shows replace-in-g (\Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) \times c\theta = (\Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
     case GNil
     then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by auto
next
     case (GCons x' b' c' \Gamma'')
     hence P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma''@ (x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) by simp
     hence x \neq x' using wfG-cons-fresh2 by metis
     then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps GCons by (simp add: wfG-cons)
qed
lemma wfG-supp-rig-eq:
     fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
     assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
     shows supp\ (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (\Gamma'' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}
using assms proof(induct \Gamma'')
     case GNil
      have supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ = supp\ ((x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B}\ using
supp-Cons supp-GNil by auto
     also have ... = supp \ x \cup supp \ b\theta \cup supp \ c\theta' \cup supp \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using supp-GCons by auto
       also have ... = supp \ x \cup supp \ b0 \cup supp \ c0 \cup supp \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} using GNil \ wfG-wfC \mid THEN
wfC-supp-cons(2) | by fastforce
     also have ... = (supp\ ((x, b\theta, c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} using supp\text{-}GCons\ by\ auto
     finally have supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta')\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ \mathcal{B} = supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \cup supp\ (GNil\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) 
\mathcal{B} using supp-Cons supp-GNil by auto
      then show ?case using supp-GCons wfG-cons2 by auto
next
     case (GCons xbc \Gamma 1)
      moreover have (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1) @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma 1 @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) by
simp
     moreover have (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1) @ (x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma 1 @ (x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) by
     ultimately have (P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma 1 @ ((x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \land P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma 1 @ ((x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
using wfG-cons2 by metis
     thus ?case using GCons supp-GCons by auto
qed
lemma fresh-replace-inside[ms-fresh]:
     fixes y::x and \Gamma::\Gamma
     assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
     shows atom y \sharp (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) = atom y \sharp (\Gamma'' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
     unfolding fresh-def using wfG-supp-rig-eq assms x-not-in-b-set by fast
lemma wf-replace-inside1:
     fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Phi :: \Phi and \Theta :: \Theta and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and c' :: c and
```

and ft::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s and

and  $ts::(string*\tau)$  list and  $\Delta::\Delta$  and b'::b and b::b and s::s

css::branch-list

```
shows wfV-replace-inside: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, TRUE))
\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} v : b' and
                \textit{wfC-replace-inside} : \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; G \; \vdash_{\textit{wf}} \; c^{\prime\prime} \Longrightarrow \; G \; = \; (\Gamma^\prime \; @ \; (x, \; b, \; c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; ((x, b, \textit{TRUE}) \; ) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; ((x, b, \textit{TRUE}) \; ) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime) \; = \; (x, b, c^\prime
\vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \text{ and }
                 wfT-replace-inside: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, TRUE))
\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \tau and
               \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True and
              \vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                 \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True and
                   wfCE-replace-inside: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G} \vdash_{wf} ce: b' \Longrightarrow \mathcal{G} = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B};
((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (\Gamma' @ (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \text{ and }
               \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct
                     b' and c'' and G and \tau and ts and P and b and b' and td
             avoiding: \Gamma' c'
rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
    case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma2\ b2\ c2\ x2)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by (metis lookup-in-rig-eq lookup-in-rig-neq replace-in-g-inside)
next
    case (wfV-conspI s bv dclist \Theta dc x1 b' c1 \mathcal{B} b1 \Gamma1 v)
    show ?case proof
        show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
        show (dc, \{x1: b' \mid c1\}) \in set \ dclist \ using \ wfV-conspI \ by \ auto
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
        show *: \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b1]_{bb} \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
        moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfV-wf wfV-conspI by simp
       ultimately have atom bv \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma unfolding fresh-def using wfV-wf wfG-supp-rig-eq
wfV-conspI
             by (metis Un-iff fresh-def)
        thus \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ b1,\ v)\rangle
             unfolding fresh-prodN using fresh-prodN wfV-conspI by metis
    qed
next
    case (wfTI z \Theta \mathcal{B} G b1 c1)
    show ?case proof
        show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle using wfTI by auto
        have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons wfTI wfG-cons wfX-wfY by metis
        moreover hence *:wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using wfX-wfY
              by (metis append-q.simps(2) wfG-cons2 wfTI.hyps wfTI.prems(1) wfTI.prems(2))
        hence \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle
             using fresh-replace-inside of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b c \Gamma c' z, OF * | wfTI wfX-wfY wfG-elims by metis
        thus \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma ) \rangle using wfG-fresh-x[OF *] by auto
        have (z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G = ((z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
             using wfTI append-g.simps by metis
        thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle
             using wfTI(9)[OF - wfTI(11)] by fastforce
    qed
```

```
next
   case (wfG\text{-}nilI\ \Theta)
   hence GNil = (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using append-g.simps \Gamma.distinct GNil-append by auto
   hence False using \Gamma. distinct by auto
   then show ?case by auto
   case (wfG-cons1I c1 \Theta \mathcal{B} G x1 b1)
   show ?case proof(cases \Gamma' = GNil)
      case True
      then show ?thesis using wfG-cons1I wfG-consI by auto
   next
      case False
    then obtain G':\Gamma where *:(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma' using wfG-cons1I wfG-cons1I(7) GCons-eq-append-conv
      hence **: G = G' \otimes (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons1I by auto
      hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons1I by auto
      have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \mathbf{proof}(rule \ Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
         show c1 \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} using wfG-cons1I by auto
         show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG\text{-}cons1I(3)[of\ G', OF\ **] wfG\text{-}cons1I by auto
         show atom x1 \sharp G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons1I * ** fresh-replace-inside by metis
         show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x1, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 using wfG\text{-}cons1I(6)[of (x1, b1, b1, b2)]
 TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G' wfG-cons1I ** by auto
         show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 using wfG-cons11 by auto
      qed
      thus ?thesis using * by auto
   qed
next
   case (wfG\text{-}cons2I\ c1\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ G\ x1\ b1)
    show ?case proof(cases \Gamma' = GNil)
      case True
      then show ?thesis using wfG-cons2I wfG-consI by auto
      case False
       then obtain G'::\Gamma where *:(x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G' = \Gamma' using wfG-cons2I GCons-eq-append-conv
by auto
      hence **: G = G' \otimes (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons2I by auto
      moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG\text{-}cons2I * ** by auto
      moreover hence atom x1 \sharp G' @ (x, b, c) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons2I * ** fresh-replace-inside by
      ultimately show ? thesis using Wellformed.wfG-cons2I[OF wfG-cons2I(1), of \Theta B G'\( \text{@} \) (x, b, c)
\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma x1 b1 wfG-cons2I * ** by auto
   qed
qed(metis \ wf\text{-}intros) +
lemma wf-replace-inside2:
   fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Phi :: \Phi and \Theta :: \Theta and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and c' :: c and 
and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and b'::b and b::b and s::s
                           and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s and
css::branch-list
shows
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' \Longrightarrow G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
\vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma); \; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' \; \mathbf{and} \;
```

```
\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \Longrightarrow True and
        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b \Longrightarrow True and
        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow True and
        \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \text{ and }
        \Theta; \, \mathcal{B}; \, G \, \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow \, G = \, (\Gamma' \, @ \, (x, \, b, \, c') \, \#_{\Gamma} \, \Gamma) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \, \mathcal{B}; \, ((x, b, TRUE) \, \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c \Longrightarrow \Theta \, ;
\mathcal{B}; (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \Delta and
        \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
        \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct
           b' and b and b and b and d and d and d and d
       avoiding: \Gamma' c'
       rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
case (wfE-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-valI by auto
  case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-plusI by auto
next
  case (wfE-leqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-leqI by auto
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-eqI by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-fstI by metis
next
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-sndI by metis
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-concat1 by auto
next
  case (wfE-splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-splitI by auto
next
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-lenI by metis
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-appI by metis
next
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta b' bv v \tau f x1 b1 c1 s)
  show ?case proof
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta \ \mid_{wf} \ \Phi \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfE-appPI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} b' \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    \mathbf{show} *: (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv ::= b']_b \land \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfE-appPI} \ \textit{wf-replace-inside1} \ \mathbf{by}
auto
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfV-wf wfE-appPI by metis
```

ultimately have atom by  $\sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma$ 

```
unfolding fresh-def using wfV-wf wfG-supp-rig-eq wfE-appPI Un-iff fresh-def by metis
    thus (atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b))
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by metis
     show (Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f)
using wfE-appPI by auto
 qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfE-mvarI by metis
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u)
 then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI
    by (simp\ add:\ wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfD-cons)
next
  case (wfFTNone \Theta \Phi ft)
  then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
  case (wfFTSome \Theta \Phi bv ft)
 then show ?case using wf-replace-inside1 Wellformed.wfD-emptyI by metis
qed(auto)
lemmas wf-replace-inside = wf-replace-inside1 wf-replace-inside2
lemma wfC-replace-cons:
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) and wfC P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c2
  shows wfC P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c2
proof -
  have wfC P B (GNil@((x,b,c1) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) c2 proof(rule wf-replace-inside1(2))
    show P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c2 using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
    \mathbf{show} \,\, \langle (x, \, b, \, \mathit{TRUE}) \, \ \#_{\Gamma} \,\, \Gamma = \, \mathit{GNil} \,\, @ \,\, (x, \, b, \, \mathit{TRUE}) \,\, \#_{\Gamma} \,\, \Gamma \rangle \,\, \mathbf{using} \,\, \mathit{append-g.simps} \,\, \mathbf{by} \,\, \mathit{auto}
    show \langle P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 \rangle using wfG\text{-}elim2 assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using append-g.simps by auto
qed
lemma wfC-reft:
  assumes wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
 shows wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c'
  using wfG-wfC assms wfC-replace-cons by auto
lemma wfG-wfC-inside:
  assumes (x, b, c) \in toSet G \text{ and } wfG \Theta B G
  shows wfC \Theta B G c
  using assms proof(induct G rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
```

```
then consider (hd) (x, b, c) = (x', b', c') \mid (tail) (x, b, c) \in toSet \Gamma' using toSet.simps by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
   case hd
   then show ?thesis using GCons wf-weakening
      by (metis\ wfC\text{-}replace\text{-}cons\ wfG\text{-}cons\text{-}wfC)
  next
   case tail
   then show ?thesis using GCons wf-weakening
      by (metis\ insert\text{-}iff\ insert\text{-}is\text{-}Un\ subsetI\ toSet.simps(2)\ wfG\text{-}cons2)
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma wfT-wf-cons3:
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}  and atom \ y \ \sharp \ (c,\Gamma)
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ y]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
proof -
  have \{z:b\mid c\}=\{y:b\mid (y\leftrightarrow z)\cdot c\} using type-eq-flip assms by auto
 moreover hence (y \leftrightarrow z) \cdot c = c[z := V - var \ y]_{cv} using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
 ultimately have \{z:b\mid c\}=\{y:b\mid c[z:=V\text{-}var\ y]_{cv}\} by metis
 thus ?thesis using assms wfT-wf-cons[of \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ y \ b] fresh-Pair by metis
qed
lemma wfT-wfC-cons:
 assumes wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \} and wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \} and atom x \sharp (c1,c2,\Gamma)
 shows wfC P \mathcal{B}((x,b,c1[z1::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)(c2[z2::=V-var x]_v) (is wfC P \mathcal{B}?G?c)
 have eq: \{z2:b\mid c2\} = \{x:b\mid c2[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}\} using type-eq-subst assms fresh-prod3 by
 have eq2: \{ z1: b \mid c1 \} = \{ x: b \mid c1[z1::=V-var x]_{cv} \} using type-eq-subst assms fresh-prod3 by
 moreover have wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ x : b \mid c1[z1::=V-var x]_{cv} \} using assms eq2 by auto
 moreover hence wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c1[z1::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\ \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfT-wf-cons fresh-prod3 assms by
 moreover have wfT \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \| \ x:b \ | \ c2[z2::=V-var \ x]_{cv} \ \| \ using \ assms \ eq \ by \ auto
 moreover hence wfCP \mathcal{B}((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) (c2[z2::=V-var x]_{cv}) using wfT-wfC assms fresh-prod3
 ultimately show ?thesis using wfC-replace-cons subst-v-c-def by simp
qed
lemma wfT-wfC2:
 fixes c::c and x::x
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}  and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x,b,TRUE)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[x]^v]_v
proof(cases x=z)
  case True
  then show ?thesis using wfT-wfC assms by auto
next
  case False
 hence atom x \sharp c using wfT-fresh-c assms by metis
 hence \{x:b \mid c[z::=[x]^v]_v\} = \{z:b \mid c\}
```

```
using \tau.eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff (3) [of x c[z::=[x]^v]_v z c]
    by (metis flip-subst-v type-eq-flip)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b \mid c[z := [x]^v]_v \} using assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using wfT-wfC assms by auto
qed
lemma wfT-wfG:
  fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma and z::x and c::c and b::b
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{\!\!\{ z : b \mid c \}\!\!\} and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x,b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
proof -
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[x]^v]_v using wfT-wfC2 assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using wfG-consI assms wfT-wfB b-of.simps wfX-wfY by metis
qed
lemma wfG-replace-inside2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  \textbf{assumes} \ \textit{wfG} \ \textit{P} \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ c') \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \ \textbf{and} \ \textit{wfG} \ \textit{P} \ \mathcal{B} \ ((x,b,c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
  shows wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
  have wfC P \mathcal{B} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c using wfG\text{-}wfC assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using wf-replace-inside1(3)[OF assms(1)] by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfG\text{-}replace\text{-}inside\text{-}full\text{:}
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) and wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))
  shows wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
proof -
  have wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ ((x,b,c) \ \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfG-suffix assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfG-replace-inside assms by auto
qed
lemma wfT-replace-inside2:
  assumes wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) t and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))
  shows wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) t
proof -
  have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) using wfG-suffix assms by auto
  hence wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c using wfG\text{-}wfC by auto
  thus ?thesis using wf-replace-inside assms by metis
qed
lemma wfD-unique:
  assumes wfD P \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta and (u,\tau') \in setD \Delta and (u,\tau) \in setD \Delta
  shows \tau'=\tau
using assms proof(induct \Delta rule: \Delta-induct)
  case DNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (DCons\ u'\ t'\ D)
```

```
hence *: wfD P B \Gamma ((u',t') \#_{\Delta} D) using Cons by auto
  show ?case proof(cases u=u')
   case True
   then have u \notin fst 'setD D using wfD-elims * by blast
   then show ?thesis using DCons by force
  next
   case False
   then show ?thesis using DCons wfD-elims * by (metis fst-conv setD-ConsD)
qed
lemma replace-in-g-forget:
 fixes x::x
 assumes wfG P B G
 shows atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom \ G \Longrightarrow (G[x \longmapsto c]) = G and
  atom \ x \ \sharp \ G \Longrightarrow \ (G[x \longmapsto c]) = G
  show atom x \notin atom-dom \ G \Longrightarrow G[x \longmapsto c] = G by (induct G rule: \Gamma-induct, auto)
  thus atom x \sharp G \Longrightarrow (G[x \longmapsto c]) = G using wfG-x-fresh assms by simp
qed
lemma replace-in-g-fresh-single:
  fixes G::\Gamma and x::x
 assumes \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \longmapsto c''] \rangle and atom \ x \ \sharp \ G and \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \rangle
 shows atom x \sharp G[x' \longmapsto c'']
  using riq-dom-eq wfG-dom-supp assms fresh-def atom-dom.simps dom.simps by metis
```

## 8.17 Substitution

```
lemma wfC-cons-switch:
  fixes c::c and c'::c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
proof -
  have *:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfC\text{-}wf assms by auto
  hence atom x \sharp \Gamma \wedge wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfG-cons by auto
  \mathbf{hence} \ \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ (x, \ b, \ TRUE) \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \ \vdash_{wf} \ TRUE \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfC-trueI wfG-cons2I by } \textit{simp}
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B};(x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'
    using wf-replace-inside 1(2)[of \Theta \mathcal{B}(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma c' GNil \ x \ b \ c \ \Gamma \ TRUE] assms by auto
  hence wfG \Theta \mathcal{B}((x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wf-replace-inside 1(3)[OF *, of GNil x b c \Gamma c'] by auto
  moreover have wfC \Theta \mathcal{B}((x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c \operatorname{proof}(cases c \in \{TRUE, FALSE\})
    case True
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \ \mathbf{using} \ wfG\text{-}elims(2)[OF *] \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x,b,TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-cons-TRUE by auto
    then show ?thesis using wfC-trueI wfC-falseI True by auto
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using wfG-elims(2)[OF *] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wfC-replace-cons by auto
qed
```

```
lemma subst-g-inside-simple:
  fixes \Gamma_1::\Gamma and \Gamma_2::\Gamma
 assumes wfG P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma_1@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2))
  shows (\Gamma_1@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2))[x:=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_1[x:=v]_{\Gamma_v}@\Gamma_2
using assms proof(induct \Gamma_1 rule: \Gamma-induct)
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps by simp
next
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ G)
  hence *:P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (G @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) by auto
 hence x \neq x'
   using GCons\ append\text{-}Cons\ wfG\text{-}cons\text{-}fresh2[OF\ *] by auto
  hence ((GCons(x', b', c') G) @ (GCons(x, b, c) \Gamma_2))[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} =
        (GCons\ (x',\ b',\ c')\ (G\ @\ (GCons\ (x,\ b,\ c)\ \Gamma_2)))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} by auto
  also have ... = GCons(x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv})((G @ (GCons(x, b, c) \Gamma_2))[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v})
     using subst-gv.simps \langle x \neq x' \rangle by simp
  also have ... = (x', b', c'[x:=v]_{cv}) #_{\Gamma} (G[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_2) using GCons * wfG\text{-}elims by metis
 also have ... = ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} G)[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_2 using subst-gv.simps \langle x \neq x' \rangle by simp
 finally show ?case by blast
qed
lemma subst-c-TRUE-FALSE:
  fixes c::c
 assumes c \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}
 shows c[x:=v']_{cv} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\}
using assms by (nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-cv.simps)
lemma lookup-subst:
 assumes Some (b, c) = lookup \Gamma x and x \neq x'
 shows \exists c'. Some (b,c') = lookup \Gamma[x'::=v']_{\Gamma v} x
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma1)
  then show ?case proof(cases x1=x')
   case True
   then show ?thesis using subst-gv.simps GCons by auto
  next
   case False
   thm subst-qv.simps
    hence *:((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1)[x'::=v'|_{\Gamma v} = ((x1, b1, c1[x'::=v']_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1[x'::=v'|_{\Gamma v}) using
subst-qv.simps by auto
   then show ?thesis proof(cases x1=x)
     case True
     then show ?thesis using lookup.simps *
       using GCons.prems(1) by auto
   next
     case False
     then show ?thesis using lookup.simps *
       using GCons.prems(1) by (simp \ add: \ GCons.hyps \ assms(2))
   qed
```

```
qed
lemma lookup-subst2:
  assumes Some (b, c) = lookup (\Gamma'@((x', b_1, c\theta[z\theta := [x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) x and x \neq x' and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@((x',b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x']^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
  shows \exists c'. Some (b,c') = lookup (\Gamma'[x'::=v']_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) x
  using assms lookup-subst subst-g-inside by metis
lemma wf-subst1:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and b :: b
and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
  shows wfV-subst: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
                                                                      \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \Longrightarrow
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v']_{vv} : b and
            wfC-subst: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
                                                                     \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \Longrightarrow
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x:=v']_{cv} and
                                                                    \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1@((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \Longrightarrow
            wfG-subst: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x := v']_{\Gamma v} and
                                                                   \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \Longrightarrow
            wfT-subst: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v} and
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True and
           \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
           \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True and
            \textit{wfCE-subst:}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma \vdash_{\textit{wf}} \textit{ce}: \textit{b} \quad \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x,b',c')\ \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma_2 \vdash_{\textit{wf}} \textit{v'}: \textit{b'} \implies
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} ce[x:=v']_{cev} : b and
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct
       b and c and \Gamma and \tau and ts and \Theta and b and b and td
       avoiding: x v'
       arbitrary: \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
        rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
 case (wfV-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b1 c1 x1)
  show ?case proof(cases x1=x)
     case True
     hence (V\text{-}var\ x1)[x:=v']_{vv}=v' using subst\text{-}vv.simps by auto
     moreover have b' = b1 using wfV-varI True lookup-inside-wf
       by (metis option.inject prod.inject)
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v': b' using wfV-varI subst-g-inside-simple wf-weakening
       append-g-toSetU sup-ge2 wfV-wf by metis
     ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  next
     case False
     hence (V\text{-}var\ x1)[x:=v']_{vv}=(V\text{-}var\ x1) using subst\text{-}vv.simps by auto
     moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} using wfV-varI by simp
     moreover obtain c1' where Some (b1, c1') = lookup \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} x1 using wfV-varI False
lookup-subst by metis
     ultimately show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} b1 c1' x1] by metis
  qed
\mathbf{next}
```

qed

```
case (wfV-litI \Theta \Gamma l)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfV\text{-}consI\ s\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ x\ b\ c\ \Gamma\ v)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x' \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b \ \Gamma \ va)
  show ?case unfolding subst-vv.simps proof
    show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta \rangle and \langle (dc, \{ x' : b' \mid c \} ) \in set \ dclist \rangle using wfV-conspI
by auto
    show \langle \Theta : \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
    have atom by \sharp \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} using fresh-subst-gv-if wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have atom bv \sharp va[x::=v']_{vv} using wfV-conspI fresh-subst-if by simp
     ultimately show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v},\ b,\ va[x:=v']_{vv}\rangle\rangle unfolding fresh-prodN using
wfV-conspI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} va[x::=v']_{vv} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c)
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v'|_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \{ z:b \mid c[x::=v'|_{cv} \} \} proof
    have \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x := v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x := v']_{cv} \rangle
    \mathbf{proof}(rule\ wfTI(9))
         show \langle (z, b, TRUE) \mid \#_{\Gamma} \mid \Gamma = ((z, b, TRUE) \mid \#_{\Gamma} \mid \Gamma_1) \otimes (x, b', c') \mid \#_{\Gamma} \mid \Gamma_2 \rangle using wfTI
append-g.simps by simp
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \rangle using wfTI by auto
    qed
    thus *:\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) | \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x := v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x := v']_{cv} \rangle
      using subst-gv.simps subst-cv.simps wfTI fresh-x-neq by auto
    have atom z \sharp \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} using fresh-subst-gv-if wfTI by metis
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} using wfTI \ wfX-wfY \ wfG-elims \ subst-gv.simps * \mathbf{by} \ metis
    ultimately show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v}) \rangle using wfG-fresh-x by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfTI by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using subst-tv.simps wfTI by auto
next
  case (wfC\text{-}trueI\ \Theta\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfC\text{-}falseI\ \Theta\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfC-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2)
  \mathbf{show} \ ? case \ \mathbf{proof}(subst \ subst-cv.simps, rule)
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} e1[x::=v']_{cev} : b using wfC-eqI subst-dv.simps by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} e2[x:=v']_{cev} : b using wfC\text{-}eqI by auto
```

```
qed
next
  case (wfC\text{-}conjI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ c1\ c2)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfC-disjI \Theta \Gamma c1 c2)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfC-notI \Theta \Gamma c1)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfC\text{-}impI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ c1\ c2)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfG\text{-}nilI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case using subst-cv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfG\text{-}cons1I\ c\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ y\ b)
  show ?case proof(cases x=y)
    hence ((y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma using subst-gv.simps by auto
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using wfG-cons11 by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    have \Gamma_1 \neq GNil \text{ using } wfG\text{-}cons1I \text{ } False \text{ by } auto
    then obtain G where \Gamma_1 = (y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons1I by auto
    hence *:\Gamma = G @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2  using wfG-cons1I by auto
    hence ((y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} = (y, b, c[x::=v']_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} using subst-gv.simps False
by auto
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, b, c[x:=v']_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} proof(rule\ Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
      show \langle c[x::=v']_{cv} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle using wfG-cons1I subst-c-TRUE-FALSE by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \rangle using wfG-cons1I * by auto
      have \Gamma = (G @ ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) @ \Gamma_2  using * append-g-assoc by auto
      hence atom y \sharp \Gamma_2 using fresh-suffix (atom y \sharp \Gamma) by auto
      hence atom y \sharp v' using wfG-cons1I wfV-x-fresh by metis
      thus \langle atom \ y \ \sharp \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \rangle using fresh-subst-gv wfG-cons1I by auto
       have ((y, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} = (y, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} using subst-gv.simps
subst-cv.simps False by auto
     thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (y, b, TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v']_{cv} \rangle using wfG\text{-}cons1I(6)[of \ (y,b,TRUE)]
\#_{\Gamma}G] * subst-gv.simps
        wfG-cons1I by fastforce
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfG-cons11 by auto
    aed
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfG\text{-}cons2I\ c\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ y\ b)
  show ?case proof(cases x=y)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
```

```
hence ((y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma using subst-gv.simps by auto
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using wfG-cons2I by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    have \Gamma_1 \neq GNil \text{ using } wfG\text{-}cons2I \text{ False by } auto
    then obtain G where \Gamma_1=(y,\ b,\ c)\ \#_\Gamma G using GCons-eq-append-conv wfG-cons2I by auto
    hence *:\Gamma = G @ (x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2  using wfG-cons2I by auto
    hence ((y, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} = (y, b, c[x::=v']_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} using subst-gv.simps False
by auto
    \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (y, \ b, \ c[x::=v']_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \ \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{rule} \ \ \mathit{Wellformed.wfG-cons2I})
      show \langle c[x:=v']_{cv} \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} \rangle using subst-cv.simps wfG-cons2I by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[x := v' \mid_{\Gamma v} \rangle \text{ using } wfG\text{-}cons2I * by auto
      have \Gamma = (G \otimes ((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)) \otimes \Gamma_2 \text{ using } * append-g-assoc by auto
      hence atom y \sharp \Gamma_2 using fresh-suffix wfG-cons2I by metis
      hence atom y \sharp v' using wfG-cons2I wfV-x-fresh by metis
      thus \langle atom \ y \ \sharp \ \Gamma[x::=v'|_{\Gamma v} \rangle using fresh-subst-gv wfG-cons2I by auto
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfG-cons2I by auto
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (wfCE\text{-}valI \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v \ b)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfCE-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
  case (wfCE-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfCE-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b v2)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-cev.simps
    using Wellformed.wfCE-eqI by metis
next
  case (wfCE-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using Wellformed.wfCE-fstI subst-cev.simps by metis
next
  case (wfCE-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
 then show ?case using subst-cev.simps wf-intros by metis
  case (wfCE-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
 then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
next
  case (wfCE-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros by auto
qed(metis\ subst-sv.simps\ wf-intros)+
lemma wf-subst2:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and b :: b
and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def
              \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e: b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v': b' \Longrightarrow \Theta
```

```
; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x:=v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} e[x:=v']_{ev} : b and
                 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s: b \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @((x, b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v': b' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi;
\mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v']_{sv} : b and
                  \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma \; ; \; \Delta \; ; \; tid \; ; \; dc \; ; \; t \vdash_{wf} cs \; : \; b \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x,b',c') \; \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma_2 \; \vdash_{wf} v' \; : \; b'
\Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \; ; \; \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \; ; \; tid \; ; \; dc \; ; \; t \vdash_{wf} \; subst-branchv \; cs \; x \; v' : \; b \; \mathbf{and} \;
                 \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow \Gamma = \Gamma_1@((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b'
\Longrightarrow \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \; ; \; \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \; ; \; tid \; ; \; dclist \vdash_{wf} \quad subst-branchlv \; css \; x \; v' : b \; \mathbf{and} \;
                \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \implies \Gamma = \Gamma_1 @ ((x,b',c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2) \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \vdash_{wf} v' : b' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x ::= v']_{\Gamma v}
\vdash_{wf} \Delta[x:=v']_{\Delta v} and
                \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                 \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft} \Longrightarrow \mathit{True}
proof(nominal-induct
           b and b and b and b and \Phi and \Delta and ftq and ft
           avoiding: x v'
           arbitrary: \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
           rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
    case (wfE-valI \Theta \Gamma v b)
    then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1
       by (metis\ subst-ev.simps(1))
next
    case (wfE\text{-}plusI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ v1\ v2)
    then show ?case using subst-vv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1 by auto
    case (wfE-leqI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
    then show ?case
       {\bf using} \ subst-vv.simps \ subst-ev.simps \ subst-ev.simps \ wf-subst1 \ Wellformed.wfE-leqI
\mathbf{next}
    case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
    then show ?case
       using subst-vv.simps subst-ev.simps subst-ev.simps wf-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-eqI
   proof -
       show ?thesis
        by (metis\ (no-types)\ subst-ev.simps(4)\ wfE-eqI.hyps(1)\ wfE-eqI.hyps(4)\ wfE-eqI.hyps(5)\ wfE-eqI.hyps(6)
wfE-eqI.hyps(7) wfE-eqI.prems(1) wfE-eqI.prems(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-eqI.prems(2) wfE-eqI.prems(3) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-eqI.prems(4) wfE-eqI.prems(5) wfE-eqI.prems(6) wfE-eqI.prems(6) wfE-eqI.prems(6) wfE-eqI.prems(6) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-eqI.prems(6) wfE-eqI.prems(6) wfE-eqI.pr
wfV-subst)
    qed
next
    case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
    then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-ev.simps wf-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-fstI
    proof -
       show ?thesis
         \mathbf{by} \; (metis \; (full-types) \; subst-ev.simps(5) \; wfE-fstI.hyps(1) \; wfE-fstI.hyps(4) \; wfE-fstI.hyps(5) \; wfE-fstI.prems(1) \\
wfE-fstI.prems(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-fstI wf-subst1(1)
    qed
next
    case (wfE\text{-}sndI\ \Theta\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ b2)
    then show ?case
```

```
by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-sndI wf-subst1(1))
next
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case
    by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-concatI wf-subst1(1))
next
  case (wfE\text{-}splitI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ v1\ v2)
  then show ?case
      by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-splitI wf-subst1(1))
next
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta v1)
then show ?case
      by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-lenI wf-subst1(1))
next
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s' v)
then show ?case
      by (metis (full-types) subst-ev.simps wfE-sndI Wellformed.wfE-appI wf-subst1(1))
next
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f1 x1 b1 c1 s1)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-ev.simps, rule)
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfE-appPI wfX-wfY by metis
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v'|_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v'|_{\Delta v} \text{ using } wfE\text{-}appPI \text{ by } auto
    show Some (AF-fundef f1 (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1 s1))) = lookup-fun \Phi f1
using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v1[x::=v']_{vv} : b1[bv1::=b']_b using wfE-appPI wf-subst1 by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' using wfE-appPI by auto
    have atom bv1 \sharp \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} using fresh-subst-gv-if wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1 \sharp v1[x::=v']_{vv} using wfE-appPI fresh-subst-if by simp
    moreover have atom bv1 \sharp \Delta[x:=v']_{\Delta v} using wfE-appPI fresh-subst-dv-if by simp
  ultimately show atom bv1 \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v}, b', v1[x::=v']_{vv}, (b\text{-}of \ \tau 1)[bv1::=b']_b)
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{wfE-mvarI} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \mathit{u} \ \tau)
  have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-}mvar\ u) : b\text{-}of\ \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v} proof
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} using wfE-mvarI by auto
    show (u, \tau[x:=v']_{\tau v}) \in setD \ \Delta[x:=v']_{\Delta v} using wfE-mvarI subst-dv-member by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using subst-ev.simps b-of-subst by auto
next
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using subst-dv.simps wf-intros wf-subst1 by auto
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ u)
  moreover hence u \notin fst 'setD \Delta[x:=v']_{\Delta v} using subst-dv.simps subst-dv-iff using subst-dv-fst-eq
by presburger
  ultimately show ?case using subst-dv.simps Wellformed.wfD-cons wf-subst1 by auto
next
  case (wfPhi\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case by auto
```

```
next
  case (wfPhi-consI f \Theta \Phi ft)
   then show ?case by auto
next
    case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x2 c \Gamma \Delta s b)
   show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
      \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \Phi; \ \mathcal{B}; \ (x2, \ B\text{-}bool, \ c[x::=v']_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma_{V}} \ ; \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta_{V}} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v']_{sv} \ : \ b \ \rangle
        using wfS-assertI(4)[of (x2, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 x ] wfS-assertI by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v']_{cv} \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-subst1 by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-subst1 by auto
      show \langle atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v}, \ c[x::=v']_{cv}, \ b, \ s[x::=v']_{sv}) \rangle
       apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,intro\ conjI)
       apply(simp add: wfS-assertI)+
       apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-assertI)
       apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-assertI)
       apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-assertI)
       apply(simp\ add:\ fresh-prodN\ fresh-subst-v-if\ subst-v-\tau-def\ wfS-assertI)
       by(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-s-def wfS-assertI)
  qed
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ e\ b1\ y\ s\ b2)
  have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v'|_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v'|_{\Delta v}\vdash_{wf} LET\ y=(e[x::=v'|_{ev})\ IN\ (s[x::=v'|_{sv}):b2
     show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} e[x::=v']_{ev} : b1 \rangle using wfS-let1 by auto
     have \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x := v']_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x := v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x := v']_{sv} : b2 \rangle
       using wfS-letI(6) wfS-letI append-g.simps by metis
     using wfS-letI subst-qv.simps by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x:=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x:=v']_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfS-letI by auto
     show \langle atom \ y \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v}, \ e[x::=v']_{ev}, \ b2 \rangle \rangle
       apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,intro\ conjI)
        apply(simp\ add:\ wfS-letI)+
        apply(metis\ fresh-subst-gv-if\ wfS-letI)
        apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-letI)
        apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-e-def wfS-letI)
        apply(simp\ add:\ fresh-prodN\ fresh-subst-v-if\ subst-v-\tau-def\ wfS-letI)
   done
   qed
  thus ?case using subst-sv.simps wfS-letI by auto
  case (wfS\text{-}let2I\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ \tau\ y\ s2\ b)
  have \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma[x := v'|_{\Gamma v} : \Delta[x := v'|_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} LET y : \tau[x := v'|_{\tau v} = (s1[x := v'|_{sv}) IN (s2[x := v'|_{sv}))]
: b
  proof
    \mathbf{show} \land \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x ::= v'|_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x ::= v'|_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s1[x ::= v'|_{sv} : b \text{-} of (\tau[x ::= v'|_{\tau v})) \land \mathbf{using} \ wfS\text{-}let2I
b-of-subst by simp
     \mathbf{have} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ \ ; \ ((y, \ b\text{-}of \ \tau, \ TRUE) \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma)[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \ ; \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \ \vdash_{wf} \ s2[x::=v']_{sv} \ : \ b \rightarrow s'
       using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by metis
    \mathbf{thus} \ (\ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (y, \ b\text{-}of \ \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v}, \ TRUE) \ \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \ ; \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{w \ f} s2[x::=v']_{sv} : b )
\rangle
       using wfS-let2I subst-gv.simps append-g.simps using b-of-subst by simp
```

```
show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v} \rangle using wfS-let2I wf-subst1 by metis
    show \langle atom \ y \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v}, \ s1[x::=v']_{sv}, \ b, \ \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v}) \rangle
       apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,intro\ conjI)
        apply(simp \ add: wfS-let2I)+
        apply(metis fresh-subst-gv-if wfS-let2I)
        apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-let2I)
        \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ fresh-prodN\ fresh-subst-v-if\ subst-v-e-def\ wfS-let2I)
        apply(simp\ add:\ fresh-prodN\ fresh-subst-v-if\ subst-v-\tau-def\ wfS-let2I)+
       done
  qed
  thus ?case using subst-sv.simps(3) subst-tv.simps wfS-let2I by auto
next
  case (wfS-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau v u \Phi \Delta b s)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, auto simp add: u-fresh-xv,rule)
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v} \rangle using wfS-varI wf-subst1 by auto
    have b-of (\tau[x:=v']_{\tau v}) = b-of \tau using b-of-subst by auto
    thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v']_{vv} : b\text{-}of \ \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v} \rangle using wfS-varI wf-subst1 by auto
    have *: atom u \sharp v' using wfV-supp wfS-varI fresh-def by metis
    show \langle atom \ u \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v}, \ \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v}, \ v[x::=v']_{vv}, \ b) \rangle
       unfolding fresh-prodN apply(auto simp add: wfS-varI)
       using wfS-varI fresh-subst-gv * fresh-subst-dv by metis+
     \mathbf{show} \ (\ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma[x::=v'|_{\Gamma v} \ ; \ (u,\ \tau[x::=v'|_{\tau v}) \ \#_{\Delta} \ \Delta[x::=v'|_{\Delta v} \ \vdash_{wf} \ s[x::=v'|_{sv} \ : \ b \ ) \ \mathbf{using}
wfS-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, rule wf-intros)
    show \langle (u, \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v}) \in setD \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \rangle using subst-dv-iff wfS-assignI using subst-dv-fst-eq
       using subst-dv-member by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfS-assignI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \land \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v']_{vv} : b\text{-}of \ \tau[x::=v']_{\tau v} \land \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfS-assignI} \ b\text{-}of\text{-}subst \ \textit{wf-subst 1}
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfS-assignI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-sv.simps, rule wf-intros)
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} v[x::=v']_{vv} : B\text{-}id\ tid \rangle using wfS-matchI wf-subst1 by auto
    show \langle AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \land \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \ ; \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \ ; \ tid \ ; \ dclist \ \vdash_{wf} subst-branchlv \ cs \ x \ v' \ : \ b \ ) \ \mathbf{using}
wfS\text{-}matchI \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} using wfS-matchI by auto
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfS-matchI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} y \tau \Gamma \Delta s b tid dc)
  have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v'|_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v'|_{\Delta v}; tid; dc; \tau \vdash_{wf} dc y \Rightarrow (s[x::=v'|_{sv}): b
  proof
    have \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((y, b\text{-}of \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x::=v']_{sv} : b \rangle
       using wfS-branchI append-g.simps by metis
    thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (y, b\text{-}of \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x ::= v']_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x ::= v']_{\Delta v} \vdash_{wf} s[x ::= v']_{sv} : b \rangle
```

```
using subst-gv.simps b-of-subst wfS-branchI by simp
    show \langle atom \ y \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \ \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v}, \ \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v}, \ \tau) \rangle
       apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,intro\ conjI)
       apply(simp add: wfS-branchI)+
       apply(metis\ fresh-subst-gv-if\ wfS-branchI)
       apply(simp add: fresh-prodN fresh-subst-dv-if wfS-branchI)
       \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{metis\ fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}gv\text{-}if\ wfS\text{-}branchI}) +
      done
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v']_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v']_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
  thus ?case using subst-branchv.simps wfS-branchI by auto
next
  case (wfS-finalI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b dclist)
  then show ?case using subst-branchlv.simps wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-cons \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' cs b css dclist)
  then show ?case using subst-branchlv.simps wf-intros by metis
qed(metis subst-sv.simps wf-subst1 wf-intros)+
lemmas wf-subst = wf-subst1 wf-subst2
lemma wfG-subst-wfV:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta[z\theta := V - var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma
  using assms wf-subst subst-g-inside-simple by auto
lemma wfG-member-subst:
  assumes (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'@\Gamma) and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and x \neq x1
 shows \exists c1'. (x1,b1,c1') \in toSet ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma)
proof -
  consider (lhs) (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet \Gamma' \mid (rhs) (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet \Gamma \text{ using } append-g-toSetU assms
by auto
  thus ?thesis proof(cases)
    case lhs
  hence (x1,b1,c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \in toSet(\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) using wfG-inside-fresh[THEN subst-gv-member-iff[OF]]
lhs]] assms by metis
    hence (x1,b1,c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \in toSet (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) using append-g-toSetU by auto
    then show ?thesis by auto
 next
    case rhs
    hence (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) using append-g-toSetU by auto
    then show ?thesis by auto
 qed
qed
lemma wfG-member-subst2:
  assumes (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and x \neq x1
 shows \exists c1'. (x1,b1,c1') \in toSet ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma)
proof -
```

```
consider (lhs) (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet \Gamma' \mid (rhs) (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet \Gamma  using append-g-toSetU assms
  thus ?thesis proof(cases)
   case lhs
  hence (x1,b1,c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \in toSet(\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) using wfG-inside-fresh[THEN subst-gv-member-iff[OF]]
lhs|| assms by metis
   hence (x1,b1,c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \in toSet (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) using append-g-toSetU by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
  next
   case rhs
   hence (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) using append-g-toSetU by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
lemma wbc-subst:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v
 assumes wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c') \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) c and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv}
proof -
  have (\Gamma'@((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}=((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) using assms subst-g-inside-simple wfC-wf
by metis
 thus ?thesis using wf-subst1(2)[OF assms(1) - assms(2)] by metis
qed
lemma wfG-inside-fresh-suffix:
 assumes wfG P B (\Gamma'@(x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
 shows atom x \sharp \Gamma
proof -
 have wfG P B ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfG-suffix assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using wfG-elims by metis
qed
lemmas wf-b-subst-lemmas = subst-eb.simps wf-intros
  forget-subst-b-b-def subst-b-v-def subst-b-c-def fresh-e-opp-all subst-bb. simps wfV-b-fresh ms-fresh-all(6)
lemma wf-b-subst1:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and b :: b
and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and s::s and b'::b and ce::ce and td::type-def
           and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
 \mathbf{shows}\ \Theta\ ;\ B'\ ;\ \Gamma\ \vdash_{wf}v:b'\ \Longrightarrow \{|bv|\}=B'\ \Longrightarrow \Theta\ ;\ B\ \vdash_{wf}b\ \Longrightarrow \Theta\ ;\ B\ ;\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}\ \vdash_{wf}
v[bv:=b]_{vb}: b'[bv:=b]_{bb} and
                                     \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; B \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; B \; ; \; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf}
        \Theta ; B'; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
c[bv:=b]_{cb} and
        \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b} and
        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True and
```

```
\vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
       ce[bv{::=}b]_{ceb}:b'[bv{::=}b]_{bb} and
       \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct
     b' and c and \Gamma and \tau and ts and \Theta and b' and b' and td
     avoiding: by b B
    rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
 case (wfB\text{-}intI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B})
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
next
 case (wfB-boolI \Theta \mathcal{B})
then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
  case (wfB-unitI \Theta \mathcal{B})
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
next
 case (wfB-bitvecI \Theta B)
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
 case (wfB-pairI \Theta \mathcal{B} b1 b2)
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
next
 case (wfB-consI \Theta s dclist \mathcal{B})
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps Wellformed.wfB-consI by simp
next
  case (wfB-appI \Theta ba s bva dclist \mathcal{B})
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps Wellformed.wfB-appI forget-subst wfB-supp
   by (metis bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def subst-b-b-def supp-empty-fset)
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}1\ \Gamma\ b1\ c\ x)
 show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof
   show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using wfV-varI by auto
   show Some (b1[bv:=b]_{bb}, c[bv:=b]_{cb}) = lookup \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} x using subst-b-lookup \ wfV-varI by
simp
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfV-litI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l)
 then show ?case using Wellformed.wfV-litI subst-b-base-for-lit by simp
 case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}1\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
 show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof(subst subst-bb.simps,rule)
   show \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv:=b]_{vb}; b1[bv:=b]_{bb} using wfV-pair by simp
   show \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v2[bv::=b]_{vb} : b2[bv::=b]_{bb} using wfV-pairI by simp
 qed
next
 case (wfV-consI s dclist \Theta dc x b' c \mathcal{B}' \Gamma v)
 show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps proof(subst subst-bb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfV-consI)
   show 1:AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta using wfV-consI by auto
   show 2:(dc, \{x: b' \mid c\}) \in set\ dclist\ using\ wfV-consI\ by\ auto
   have \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b'[bv::=b]_{bb} using wfV-consI by auto
```

```
moreover hence supp \ b' = \{\} using 1 2 wfTh-lookup-supp-empty \tau.supp wfX-wfY by blast
   moreover hence b'[bv:=b]_{bb} = b' using forget-subst subst-bb-def fresh-def
subst-b-def)
    ultimately show \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : b' using wfV-consI by simp
  qed
next
 case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bva \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B}' \ ba \ \Gamma \ v)
 \mathbf{have} *: atom \ bv \ \sharp \ b' \ \mathbf{using} \quad wfTh\text{-}poly\text{-}supp\text{-}b[of \ s \ bva \ dclist } \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c] \ fresh\text{-}def \ wfX\text{-}wfY \ \langle atom \ bva \ dclist } \Theta \ dc \ x \ b' \ c]
  by (metis insert-iff not-self-fresh singleton-insert-inj-eq' subset I subset-antisym wfV-conspI wfV-conspI.hyps(4)
wfV-conspI.prems(2))
  show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps subst-bb.simps proof
    show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by aclist \in set \Theta \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
    show \langle (dc, \{x:b' \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \rangle \ using \ wfV-conspI \ by \ auto
    thus (\Theta; B \vdash_{wf} ba[bv:=b]_{bb}) using wfV-conspI by metis
    have atom bva \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-\Gamma-def wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have atom bva \sharp ba[bv::=b]_{bb} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-def wfV-conspI by metis
    moreover have atom bva \sharp v[bv:=b]_{vb} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def wfV-conspI by metis
    ultimately show (atom bva \sharp (\Theta, B, \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}, ba[bv:=b]_{bb}, v[bv:=b]_{vb}))
      unfolding fresh-prodN using wfV-conspI fresh-def supp-fset by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ B \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=b]_{vb} : \ b'[bva::=ba[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb} \ )
      using wfV-conspI subst-bb-commute[of bv b' bva ba b] * wfV-conspI by metis
  qed
next
  case (wfTI \ z \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B}' \ \Gamma' \ b' \ c)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-tb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfTI)
    show atom z \sharp (\Theta, B, \Gamma'[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}) using wfTI subst-g-b-x-fresh by simp
    show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv := b]_{bb} using wfTI by auto
    show \Theta; B; (z, b'|bv:=b|_{bb}, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma'[bv:=b|_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c[bv:=b]_{cb} using wfTI by simp
  qed
next
  case (wfC-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma e1 b' e2)
  thus ?case using Wellformed.wfC-eqI subst-db.simps subst-cb.simps wfC-eqI by metis
next
  case (wfG\text{-}nilI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}')
 then show ?case using Wellformed.wfG-nill subst-gb.simps by simp
next
  case (wfG-cons1I c' <math>\Theta B' \Gamma' x b')
  show ?case proof(subst subst-gb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfG-cons1I)
    show c'[bv:=b]_{cb} \notin \{TRUE, FALSE\} using wfG-cons1I(1)
      \mathbf{by}(nominal\text{-}induct\ c'\ rule:\ c.strong\text{-}induct, auto+)
    show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using wfG-cons1I by auto
    show atom x \sharp \Gamma'[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using wfG-cons1I subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto
    show \Theta; B; (x, b'[bv:=b]_{bb}, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c'[bv:=b]_{cb} using wfG-cons1I by
    show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv := b]_{bb} using wfG-cons1I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfG-cons2I c' \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' x b')
```

```
show ?case proof(subst subst-gb.simps, rule Wellformed.wfG-cons2I)
   show c'[bv:=b]_{cb} \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} using wfG-cons2I by auto
   show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using wfG-cons2I by auto
   show atom x \sharp \Gamma'[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using wfG-cons2I subst-g-b-x-fresh by auto
   show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv:=b]_{bb} using wfG-cons2I by auto
 qed
next
 case (wfCE-valI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b)
 then show ?case using subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
   by (metis wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh)
next
  case (wfCE-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
   by metis
next
 case (wfCE-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
   by metis
next
  case (wfCE-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b v2)
 then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY
   by metis
next
 case (wfCE-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case
    by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ subst-bb.simps(5)\ subst-ceb.simps(3)\ wfCE\text{-}fstI.hyps(2)
       wfCE-fstI.prems(1) wfCE-fstI.prems(2) Wellformed.wfCE-fstI)
next
 case (wfCE-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
 then show ?case
    by (metis (no-types) subst-bb.simps(5) subst-ceb.simps wfCE-sndI.hyps(2)
       wfCE-sndI wfCE-sndI.prems(2) Wellformed.wfCE-sndI)
next
 case (wfCE-concatI <math>\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh
  proof -
    show ?thesis
    using wfCE-concatI.hyps(2) wfCE-concatI.hyps(4) wfCE-concatI.prems(1) wfCE-concatI.prems(2)
          Wellformed.wfCE-concatI by auto
  qed
next
 case (wfCE-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1)
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps subst-ceb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh
by metis
```

```
qed(auto simp add: wf-intros)
lemma wf-b-subst2:
    fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and \tau :: \tau and t :: (string * \tau) list and \Delta :: \Delta and b :: b
and ft::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and s::s and b'::b and ce::ce and td::type-def
                          and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
                                                                                                                            \Longrightarrow \{|bv|\} = B' \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; B \; \vdash_{wf} \; b \; \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; B \; \; ;
     shows \Theta ; \Phi ; B' ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b'
\Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \; ; \; \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} e[bv:=b]_{eb} : b'[bv:=b]_{bb} \text{ and }
                   \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b \implies True \text{ and }
                   \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta \ ; \ \textit{tid} \ ; \ \textit{dc} \ ; \ t \ \vdash_{wf} \textit{cs} : \textit{b} \Longrightarrow \textit{True} \ \textbf{and}
                   \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b \Longrightarrow True  and
                   \Theta \ ; \ B' \ ; \ \Gamma \ \vdash_{wf} \Delta \ \implies \{|bv|\} = B' \Longrightarrow \Theta \ ; \ B \ \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow \Theta \ ; \ B \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ B' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow G' \ ; \ F
\Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} and
                   \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
                   \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ft \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct
             b' and b and b and b and d and d and d and d
             avoiding: bv b B
rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
    case (wfE-vall \Theta' \Phi' \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' \Delta' v' b')
   then show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps subst-eb.simps using wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-valI
by auto
next
    case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
    then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
             using wf-b-subst-lemmas <math>wf-b-subst1(1) Wellformed.wfE-plusI
        proof -
            have \forall b \ ba \ v \ g \ f \ ts. ((ts; f; g[bv::=ba]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv::=ba]_{vb} : b[bv::=ba]_{bb}) \lor \neg \ ts; \mathcal{B}; g \vdash_{wf} v :
b) \vee \neg ts ; f \vdash_{wf} ba
                 using wfE-plusI.prems(1) wf-b-subst1(1) by force
                  then show \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}; \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} [plus\ v1[bv:=b]_{vb}\ v2[bv:=b]_{vb}]^e:
B-int[bv:=b]_{bb}
            by (metis wfE-plusI.hyps(1) wfE-plusI.hyps(4) wfE-plusI.hyps(5) wfE-plusI.hyps(6) wfE-plusI.prems(1)
wfE-plusI.prems(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-plusI wf-b-subst-lemmas(86))
        qed
next
     case (wfE-leqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
     then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
           using wf-b-subst-lemmas wf-b-subst1 Wellformed.wfE-leqI
      proof -
          have \bigwedge ts \ f \ b \ ba \ g \ v. \ \neg \ (ts \ ; f \vdash_{wf} b) \lor \neg \ (ts \ ; \{|ba|\} \ ; \ g \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-}int) \lor (ts \ ; f \ ; g[ba::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} b
v[ba:=b]_{vb}: B\text{-}int)
               by (metis wf-b-subst1(1) wf-b-subst-lemmas(86))
                then show \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; B \; ; \; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \; ; \; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \; \vdash_{wf} \; [ \; leq \; v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \; v2[bv::=b]_{vb} \; ]^e \; :
B-bool[bv:=b]_{bb}
           by (metis\ (no-types)\ wfE-leqI.hyps(1)\ wfE-leqI.hyps(4)\ wfE-leqI.hyps(5)\ wfE-leqI.hyps(6)\ wfE-leqI.prems(1)
wfE-leqI.prems(2) wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.wfE-leqI wf-b-subst-lemmas(87)
      qed
```

```
next
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 bb v2)
  {f thm} Wellformed.wfE-eqI
  show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps subst-bb.simps proof
   show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfX-wfY wfE-eqI by metis
   show \langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{w f} \Delta[bv := b]_{\Delta b} \rangle using wfX-wfY wfE-eqI by metis
   show \langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv := b]_{vb} : bb \rangle using subst-bb.simps wfE-eqI
     by (metis (no-types, hide-lams) empty-iff insert-iff wf-b-subst1(1))
   show \langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v2[bv := b]_{vb} : bb \rangle using wfX - wfY wfE - eqI
        by (metis insert-iff singleton-iff wf-b-subst1(1) wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst-lemmas(87)
wf-b-subst-lemmas(90))
   show \langle bb \in \{B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit\} \rangle using wfE-eqI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
                                                            using wf-b-subst-lemmas(84) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-fstI
   by (metis\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
                                                            using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE\text{-}sndI
 by (metis\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))
next
 case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
                                                           using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1)
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
                                                                                                                      Well-
formed.wfE-concatI
 by (metis\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(91))
next
  case (wfE\text{-}splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  thm wf-b-subst-lemmas(91)
then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
                                                           using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-splitI
  by (metis\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(89)\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(91))
next
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-eb.simps
                                                            using wf-b-subst-lemmas(86) wf-b-subst1(1) Well-
formed.wfE-lenI
   by (metis\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(91)\ wf-b-subst-lemmas(89))
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}' \Gamma \Delta f x b' c \tau s v)
  hence bf: atom \ bv \ \sharp \ b' using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT \ wfT-supp bv-not-in-dom-g wfPhi-f-simple-supp-b
fresh-def by fast
  hence bseq: b'[bv:=b]_{bb} = b' using subst-bb.simps wf-b-subst-lemmas by metis
 have \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; B \; ; \; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \; ; \; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} (AE\text{-}app \; f \; (v[bv::=b]_{vb})) : (b\text{-}of \; (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}))
  proof
   show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfE-appI by auto
```

```
show \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wfE-appI by simp
  have atom bv \sharp \tau using wfPhi-f-simple-wfT[OF wfE-appI(5) wfE-appI(1), THEN wfT-supp] bv-not-in-dom-g
fresh-def by force
    hence \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b} = \tau using forget-subst subst-b-\tau-def by metis
    thus Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b' c \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f
using wfE-appI by simp
    show \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v[bv:=b]_{vb}: b' using wfE-appI bseq wf-b-subst1 by metis
  qed
  then show ?case using subst-eb.simps b-of-subst-bb-commute by simp
next
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta b' bv1 v1 \tau1 f x1 b1 c1 s1)
  then have *: atom \ bv \ \sharp \ b1 \ using \ wfPhi-f-supp(1) \ wfE-appPI(7,11)
      by (metis fresh-def fresh-finsert singleton-iff subsetD fresh-def supp-at-base wfE-appPI.hyps(1))
  thm Wellformed.wfE-appPI
  have \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash_{wf} AE\text{-}appP\ f\ b'[bv::=b]_{bb}\ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) : (b\text{-}of)
\tau 1)[bv1:=b'[bv:=b]_{bb}]_b
  proof
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv := b]_{\Delta b} \rangle using wfE-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} b'[bv := b]_{bb} \rangle using wfE-appPI wf-b-subst1 by auto
    have atom bv1 \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-\Gamma-def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1 \sharp b'[bv::=b]<sub>bb</sub> using fresh-subst-if subst-b-def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1 \sharp v1[bv:=b]_{vb} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def wfE-appPI by metis
    moreover have atom bv1 \sharp \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-\Delta-def wfE-appPI by metis
  moreover have atom\ bv1\ \sharp\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau 1)[bv1::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb} using fresh-subst-if subst-b-def wfE-appPI
by metis
    ultimately show atom bv1 \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, B, \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}, b'[bv:=b]_{bb}, v1[bv:=b]_{vb}, (b-of
\tau 1)[bv1:=b'[bv:=b]_{bb}]_b)
      using wfE-appPI using fresh-def fresh-prodN subst-b-def by metis
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some \ bv1 \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \tau1 \ s1))) = lookup\text{-}fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle
using wfE-appPI by auto
    have \langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv := b]_{vb} : b1[bv1 := b']_{b}[bv := b]_{bb} \rangle
      using wfE-appPI subst-b-def * wf-b-subst1 by metis
    thus \langle \Theta ; B ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} v1[bv := b]_{vb} : b1[bv1 := b'[bv := b]_{bb}]_{b} \rangle
       using subst-bb-commute subst-b-b-def* by auto
  qed
  moreover have atom by \sharp b-of \tau1 proof -
    have supp\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau 1) \subseteq \{atom\ bv1\} using wfPhi\text{-}f\text{-}poly\text{-}supp\text{-}b\text{-}of\text{-}t
      using b-of.simps wfE-appPI wfPhi-f-supp(5) by simp
    thus ?thesis using wfE-appPI
      fresh-def fresh-finsert singleton-iff subsetD fresh-def supp-at-base wfE-appPI.hyps by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using subst-eb.simps(3) subst-bb-commute subst-b-b-def * by simp
next
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B}' \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
 have \Theta; \Phi; B; subst-gb \Gamma bv b; subst-db \Delta bv b \vdash_{wf} (AE-mvar\ u)[bv::=b]_{eb}: (b-of\ (\tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}))
 proof(subst subst-eb.simps,rule Wellformed.wfE-mvarI)
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wfE-mvarI by simp
```

```
show \Theta; B; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wfE-mvarI by metis
    show (u, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \ \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}
       using wfE-mvarI subst-db.simps set-insert subst-d-b-member by simp
  qed
  thus ?case using b-of-subst-bb-commute by auto
next
  case (wfS\text{-}seqI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ b)
  then show ?case using subst-bb.simps wf-intros wfX-wfY by metis
next
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}'\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using subst-db.simps Wellformed.wfD-emptyI wf-b-subst1 by simp
  case (wfD\text{-}cons \Theta \mathcal{B}' \Gamma' \Delta \tau u)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-db.simps, rule Wellformed.wfD-cons)
    show \Theta; B; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wfD-cons by auto
    show \Theta; B; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using wfD-cons wf-b-subst1 by auto
    show u \notin fst 'setD \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using wfD-cons subst-b-lookup-d by metis
  qed
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \times c \Gamma \Delta \times b)
  show ?case by auto
qed(auto)
lemmas wf-b-subst = wf-b-subst 1 wf-b-subst 2
lemma wfT-subst-wfT:
  fixes \tau::\tau and b'::b and bv::bv
  assumes \Theta; \{|bv|\}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \text{ and } \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} b'
  shows \Theta; B; (x,b[bv:=b']_{bb},c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} (\tau[bv:=b']_{\tau b})
  have \Theta; B; ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} (\tau[bv:=b']_{\tau b})
    using wf-b-subst assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-gb.simps wf-b-subst-lemmas wfCE-b-fresh by metis
qed
lemma wf-trans:
  fixes \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v and e::e and c::c and \tau::\tau and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and b::b
and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and s::s
            and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and \Theta::\Theta
  \mathbf{shows} \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \ \vdash_{wf} v : b' \qquad \Longrightarrow \Gamma = (x, \ b, \ c2) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G \ \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ (x, \ b, \ c1) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G \ \vdash_{wf} \ c2
\implies \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c1) \notin_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
                                              \Longrightarrow \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2 \Longrightarrow
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c \text{ and }
          \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
                                              \implies True \text{ and }
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
                                               \implies True \text{ and }
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} and
          \vdash_{wf} \Theta \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
          \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True and
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \implies \Gamma = (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} G \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c2 \Longrightarrow \Theta;
\mathcal{B}; (x, b, c1) #_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} ce : b' and
```

```
\Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct
     b' and c and \Gamma and \tau and ts and \Theta and b and b' and td
     avoiding: c1
   arbitrary: \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1 and \Gamma_1
   rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
  case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ b'\ c'\ x')
 have wbg: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G using wfC-wf wfV-varI by simp
  show ?case proof(cases x=x')
    \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
    have Some (b', c1) = lookup ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' using lookup.simps wfV-varI using True by
auto
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI wbg by simp
  next
    case False
    then have Some (b', c') = lookup((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' using lookup.simps wfV-varI
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI wbg by simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
 case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ x1 \ b' \ c \ \mathcal{B} \ b1 \ \Gamma \ v)
 show ?case proof
    show (AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta using wfV-conspI by auto
    show \langle (dc, \{ x1 : b' \mid c \} ) \in set \ dclist \rangle  using wfV-conspI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle using wfV-conspI by auto
     \mathbf{show} \ \ (atom \ bv \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ (x, \ b, \ c1) \quad \#_{\Gamma} \ \ G, \ b1, \ v) ) \ \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{fresh-prodN} \ \mathit{fresh-GCons} \ \ \mathbf{using} \\
wfV-conspI fresh-prodN fresh-GCons by simp
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c1) #_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} v : b'[bv := b1]_{bb} using wfV-conspI by auto
qed((auto \mid metis \ wfC-wf \ wf-intros) +)
```

end

## Chapter 9

# Type System

### 9.1 Subtyping

Subtyping is defined on top of SMT logic. A subtyping check is converted into an SMT validity check.

```
inductive subtype :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool \ (-; -; - \vdash - \lesssim -[50, 50, 50] \ 50) where
subtype\text{-}baseI:
   atom x \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z', c');
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \};
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \};
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x,b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z'::=[x]^v]_v
   \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \}
equivariance subtype
nominal-inductive subtype
  avoids subtype-baseI: x
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z b c z' c' x)
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def 1 by force
  case (2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z b c z' c' x)
  then show ?case by auto
{\bf inductive\text{-} cases} \ \mathit{subtype\text{-}elims} :
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ \mid z : b \mid c \mid \} \lesssim \{ \mid z' : b \mid c' \} 
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \tau_2
```

### 9.2 Literals

The type synthesised has the constraint that z equates to the literal

```
inductive infer-l:: l \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool \ (\vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50] \ 50) where infer-trueI: \vdash L-true \Rightarrow \{ z: B-bool \ | \ [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \ \} | \ infer-falseI: \vdash L-false \Rightarrow \{ z: B-bool \ | \ [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-false]^v]^{ce} \ \} | \ infer-natI: \vdash L-num \ n \Rightarrow \{ z: B-int \ | \ [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-num \ n]^v]^{ce} \ \}
```

```
| infer-unitI: \vdash L-unit \Rightarrow \{ z : B-unit \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-unit]^v]^{ce} \} 
| infer-bitvecI: \vdash L-bitvec \ bv \Rightarrow \{ z: B-bitvec \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L-bitvec \ bv]^v]^{ce} \} 
nominal-inductive infer-l .
equivariance infer-l
inductive-cases infer-l-elims[elim!]:
 \vdash L\text{-}true \Rightarrow \tau
 \vdash L-false \Rightarrow \tau
 \vdash L\text{-}num \ n \Rightarrow \tau
 \vdash L\text{-}unit \Rightarrow \tau
 \vdash L\text{-}bitvec \ x \Rightarrow \tau
 \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau
lemma infer-l-form2[simp]:
  shows \exists z. \vdash l \Rightarrow (\{ z : base-for-lit \ l \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \})
proof (nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct)
  case (L\text{-}num\ x)
  then show ?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis
  case L-true
then show ?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis
next
case L-false
  then show ?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis
next
  case L-unit
  then show ?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis
case (L\text{-}bitvec\ x)
  then show ?case using infer-l.intros base-for-lit.simps has-fresh-z by metis
9.3
           Values
inductive infer-v :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool (-; -; - \vdash - \Rightarrow - [50, 50, 50] 50) where
infer-v-varI: \llbracket
      \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma;
      Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x;
      atom z \sharp x ; atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)
      \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash [x]^v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[x]^v]^{ce} \}
| infer-v-litI: [
      \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma;
      \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau
```

 $\Theta$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash [l]^v \Rightarrow \tau$ 

| infer-v-pairI: [

```
atom z \sharp (v1, v2); atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma);
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (v1::v) \Rightarrow t1;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (v2::v) \Rightarrow t2
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\{ z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } t1) \ (b\text{-of } t2) \ | \ [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[v1, v2]^v]^{ce} \})
| infer-v-consI: [
       AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta;
       (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist ;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc;
       atom \ z \ \sharp \ v \ ; \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-cons s \ dc \ v \Rightarrow (\{ z : B \text{-} id \ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V \text{-} cons \ s \ dc \ v]^{ce} \})
| infer-v-conspI: [
       AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta;
       (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv;
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv:=b]_{\tau b};
       atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, v, b);
       atom bv \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, v, b);
       \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b
] \Longrightarrow
       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b \ v \Rightarrow (\{z : B\text{-app } s \ b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-val } (V\text{-consp } s \ dc \ b \ v))\}
equivariance infer-v
{\bf nominal\text{-}inductive}\ \mathit{infer\text{-}v}
avoids infer-v-conspI: bv and z \mid infer-v-varI: z \mid infer-v-pairI: z \mid infer-v-consI: z
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x z)
  hence atom z \sharp \{ z : b \mid [ [z]^v]^{ce} == [ [x]^v]^{ce} \} using \tau.fresh by simp
  then show ?case unfolding fresh-star-def using 1 by simp
next
  case (2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x z)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (3 z v1 v2 \Theta B \Gamma t1 t2)
  hence atom\ z \ \sharp \ \{z : [b-of\ t1\ , b-of\ t2\ ]^b\ |\ [[z]^v]^{ce}\ ==\ [[v1\ , v2\ ]^v]^{ce}\ \} using \tau.fresh by simp
 then show ?case unfolding fresh-star-def using 3 by simp
  case (4 z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t1 t2)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (5 s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
  hence atom z \sharp \{ z : B \text{-} id \ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V \text{-} cons \ s \ dc \ v]^{ce} \} using \tau.fresh b.fresh pure-fresh
   moreover have atom z \sharp V-cons s dc v using v.fresh 5 using v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh by
metis
  then show ?case unfolding fresh-star-def using 5 by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (6 s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
```

```
then show ?case by auto
  case (7 s bv dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv b z)
  hence atom by \sharp V-consp s dc b v using v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh by metis
  moreover then have atom\ bv\ \sharp\ \{z: B\text{-}id\ s\ |\ [\ [z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v\ ]^{ce}\ \}
     using \tau.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh by auto
  moreover have atom z \sharp V-consp s dc b v using v.fresh fresh-prodN pure-fresh 7 by metis
  moreover then have atom z \sharp \{ \{ z : B \text{-} id \ s \mid [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} == [ V \text{-} consp \ s \ dc \ b \ v ]^{ce} \} \}
     using \tau.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-star-def 7 by force
next
  case (8 \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ tc \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v \ tv \ b \ z)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive-cases infer-v-elims[elim!]:
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-var x \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-lit l \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-cons s \ dc \ v \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid c \})
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V \text{-pair } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\{\{z : [b1, b2]^b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} = = [[v1, v2]^v]^{ce} \})
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-consp s \ dc \ b \ v \Rightarrow \tau
9.4
             Introductions
inductive check-v :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool (-; -; - : - \( \in \)- (50, 50, 50) 50) where
check\text{-}v\text{-}subtypeI \colon \llbracket \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2; \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau 1 \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau 2
equivariance check-v
nominal-inductive check-v .
inductive-cases check-v-elims[elim!]:
  \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau
9.5
              Expressions
Type synthesis for expressions
inductive infer-e :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool\ (-; -; -; -; - \vdash - \Rightarrow -[50, 50, 50, 50]
50) where
infer-e-valI: [
            (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta) ;
            (\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi));
            (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau) \parallel \Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}val\ v) \Rightarrow \tau
| infer-e-plusI: [
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
          \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) ;
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \} ;
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \} ;
```

```
atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ (AE-op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2); \ atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow { z3 : B-int | [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE-op Plus [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}) }
| infer-e-leq I : [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) ;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \} ;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \} ;
           atom z3 \sharp (AE-op LEq v1 v2); atom z3 \sharp \Gamma
           \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B-bool | [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE-op LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} ) \}
| infer-e-eqI: [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) ;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \} ;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \};
           atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ (AE-op \ Eq \ v1 \ v2); \ atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ ;
           b \in \{ B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit \}
]\!] \Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B-bool | [[z3]^v]^{ce} = (CE-op Eq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}
| infer-e-appI: [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);
           Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \};
           atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, \tau);
           \tau'[x::=v]_v = \tau
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-app f v \Rightarrow \tau
| infer-e-appPI: [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) ;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b';
           Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b[bv := b']_b \mid c[bv := b']_b \}; atom x \sharp \Gamma;
           (\tau'[bv:=b']_b[x:=v]_v) = \tau;
           atom bv \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, \tau)
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-appP f b' v \Rightarrow \tau
| infer-e-fstI: [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : [b1, b2]^b \mid c \};
           atom z \sharp AE-fst v ; <math>atom z \sharp \Gamma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-fst v \Rightarrow \{ z : b1 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-fst [v]^{ce})) \}
| infer-e-sndI: [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
```

```
\Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : [b1, b2]^b \mid c \};
                     atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}snd \ v \ ; \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                    \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-snd v \Rightarrow \{ z : b2 \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE-snd [v]^{ce})) \}
| infer-e-len I : [
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
                    \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) ;
                    \Theta; \, \mathcal{B}; \, \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\!\!\{\ z^{\,\prime} : \textit{B-bitvec} \mid c\ \!\!\};
                    atom z \sharp AE-len v ; atom z \sharp \Gamma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-len } v \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce})) \}
| infer-e-mvar I: [
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma;
                    \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi) ;
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
                    (u,\tau) \in setD \ \Delta \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-mvar u \Rightarrow \tau
| infer-e-concatI: [
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
                    \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1 \} ;
                    \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c2 \} ;
                    atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ (AE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2); \ atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                    \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} = = (CE\text{-}concat \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \}
| infer-e-split I: [
     \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
                     \Theta \vdash_{wf} (\Phi :: \Phi);
  infer-v \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ v1 \ \{ \ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \ | \ c1 \ \} \ ;
   \mathit{check-v} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \mathit{v2} \ \{ \ \mathit{z2} \ : \ \mathit{B-int} \ | \ (\mathit{CE-op} \ \mathit{LEq} \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-lit} \ (\mathit{L-num} \ \mathit{0}))) \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2}))) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2})) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2})) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2}))) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2})) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2}))) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z2})) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{v2})) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ \mathit{v2}) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ \mathit{v2}) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ \mathit{v2}) \ == \ (\mathit{CE-
(CE-val (V-lit L-true)) AND
                                                                                                      (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z2))\ (CE-len\ (CE-val\ (v1)))) == (CE-val\ (v1)))
(V-lit\ L-true)) };
  atom z1 \sharp (AE-split v1 v2); atom z1 \sharp \Gamma;
  atom z2 \sharp (AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2); atom <math>z2 \sharp \Gamma;
  atom z3 \sharp (AE-split v1 v2); atom z3 \sharp \Gamma
                    infer-e \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta (AE-split v1 v2) { z3 : B-pair B-bitvec B-bitvec
                                                         ((CE-val\ v1) == (CE-concat\ (CE-fst\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z3)))\ (CE-snd\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ z3)))
z3))))))
                                              AND (((CE-len (CE-fst (CE-val (V-var z3))))) == (CE-val (v2))) 
equivariance infer-e
nominal-inductive infer-e
avoids infer-e-appI: x |infer-e-appPI: bv | infer-e-splitI: z3 and z1 and z2
proof(goal-cases)
     case (1 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau' s' v \tau)
    moreover hence atom x \sharp [f \ v]^e using fresh-prodN pure-fresh e.fresh by force
     ultimately show ?case unfolding fresh-star-def using fresh-prodN e.fresh pure-fresh by simp
```

```
next
   case (2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau' s' v \tau)
   then show ?case by auto
next
   case (3 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau' s' v \tau)
  moreover hence atom by \sharp AE-appP f b' v using fresh-prodN pure-fresh e.fresh by force
  ultimately show ?case unfolding fresh-star-def using fresh-prodN e.fresh pure-fresh fresh-Pair by
auto
next
  case (4 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau' s' v \tau)
   then show ?case by auto
next
   case (5 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
   have atom z3 \sharp \{ z3 : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b \mid [v1]^{ce} == [[\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}@@[\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]
 ]^{ce}]^{ce} ]^{ce} \quad AND \quad [| \ [\#1[ \ [ \ z3 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce}]^{ce} \ |]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ v2 \ ]^{ce} \ ] 
     using \tau.fresh by simp
   then show ?case unfolding fresh-star-def fresh-prod7 using wfG-fresh-x2 5 by auto
next
   case (6 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive-cases infer-e-elims[elim!]:
  \Theta;\ \Phi;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma;\ \Delta\vdash (AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ v1\ v2) \Rightarrow \{\!\!\{\ z3:B\text{-}int\mid [[z3]^v]^{ce}==(CE\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce})\ \}\!\!\}
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-}bool \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-}op \ LEq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \}
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) <math>\Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-}int \mid c \} \}
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) <math>\Rightarrow \{ z3 : b \mid c \}
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE \text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z3 : b \mid c \} \}
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE-app f \ v ) \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}val\ v) \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}fst\ v) \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-snd } v) \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}mvar\ u) \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2) <math>\Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2) \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ v1\ v2) <math>\Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-}bool \mid c \} 
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE-app f v) \Rightarrow \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE-op opp v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-len } v) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-int} \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == ((CE\text{-len } [v]^{ce})) \}
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-concat v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-concat v1 v2 \Rightarrow (\{z:b \mid c\})
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow (\{ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == (CE\text{-}concat \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v1]^{ce}) \})
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE-appP f b v) \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-split v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ v1\ v2) <math>\Rightarrow \{ \mid z3 : b \mid c \} \}
  \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ v1\ v2) <math>\Rightarrow \{ z3 : B\text{-}bool \mid c \} 
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE-op Eq v1 v2) \Rightarrow \tau
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
```

### 9.6 Statements

```
inductive check-s :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool(-; -; -; -; -; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50])
50,50,50] 50) and
        check-branch-s:: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow tyid \Rightarrow string \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow branch-s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool (-
check-branch-list :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow tyid \Rightarrow (string * \tau) \ list \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow branch-list \Rightarrow \tau
\Rightarrow bool(-;-;-;-;-;-;-+- \leftarrow -[50, 50, 50, 50, 50, 50]) where
check-valI:
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;
           \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi ;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau';
           \Theta;\,\mathcal{B};\,\Gamma\vdash\tau'\lesssim\tau\,\,\rrbracket\Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-}val\ v) \Leftarrow \tau
| check-let I: [
           atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, \tau);
           atom z \sharp (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, \tau, s);
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \} ;
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x,b,c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma); \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
]\!] \Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-let } x \ e \ s) \Leftarrow \tau
| check-assertI: [
           atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, \tau, s);
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x,B\text{-}bool,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma); \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta
] \Longrightarrow
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AS\text{-}assert\ c\ s) \Leftarrow \tau
| check-branch-s-branchI : [
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta ;
           \vdash_{wf} \Theta;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau;
           \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} const;
           atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, tid, cons, const, v, \tau);
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x,b\text{-of const}, ([v]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons tid cons} [x]^{v}]^{ce}) AND (c\text{-of const} x)) \#_{\Gamma}\Gamma); \Delta \vdash s
\leftarrow \tau
] =
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; cons; const; v \vdash (AS\text{-}branch\ cons\ x\ s) \Leftarrow \tau
| check-branch-list-consI: [
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; cons; const; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau;
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist; v \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; (cons,const) \# dclist; v \vdash AS-cons cs \ css \Leftarrow \tau
|\mathit{check\text{-}branch\text{-}list\text{-}final}I\colon [\![
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; cons; const; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau
           \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; [(cons,const)]; v \vdash AS-final cs \Leftarrow \tau
```

```
| check-ifI: [
            atom z \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, s1, s2, \tau);
            (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow (\{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}));
            \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow (\{ z : b \text{-} of \ \tau \mid ([v]^{ce} == [[L \text{-} true]^v]^{ce}) \ IMP \ (c \text{-} of \ \tau \ z) \} );
            \Theta; \; \Phi; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \Gamma; \; \Delta \vdash s\mathcal{2} \; \Leftarrow \; (\{ \; z : b \text{-} of \; \tau \; | \; ([v]^{ce} == [[L \text{-} false]^v]^{ce}) \; \mathit{IMP} \; (c \text{-} of \; \tau \; z) \; \})
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash \mathit{IF} \ v \ \mathit{THEN} \ \mathit{s1} \ \mathit{ELSE} \ \mathit{s2} \Leftarrow \tau
| check-let2I: [
            atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, t, s1, \tau);
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G}; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t;
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x,b\text{-}of\ t,c\text{-}of\ t\ x)\#_{\Gamma}G); \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G}; \Delta \vdash (LET\ x : t = s1\ IN\ s2) \Leftarrow \tau
| check-varI: [
            atom u \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, \tau', v, \tau);
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau';
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; ((u,\tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (VAR \ u : \tau' = v \ IN \ s) \Leftarrow \tau
| check-assign I: [
            \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi;
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta;
            (u,\tau) \in setD \Delta;
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}) \lesssim \tau'
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (u := v) \Leftarrow \tau'
| check-whileI: [
             \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \};
             \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} ;
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}) \lesssim \tau'
             \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash WHILE s1 DO \{ s2 \} \leftarrow \tau'
| check\text{-}seqI: [
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} ;
            \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau
           \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s1;; s2 \Leftarrow \tau
| check\text{-}caseI:
          \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau;
           (AF-typedef tid dclist) \in set \Theta;
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B \text{-} id \ tid \mid TRUE \} \};
           \vdash_{wf} \Theta
          \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-match v \ cs \Leftarrow \tau
```

#### equivariance check-s

We only need avoidance for cases where a variable is added to a context

```
nominal-inductive check-s
  avoids check-letI: x and z | check-branch-s-branchI: x | check-let2I: x | check-varI: u | check-ifI: z
\mid check\text{-}assertI: x
proof(goal-cases)
  case (1 \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c)
  hence atom x \sharp AS-let x \in s using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(2) by auto
  moreover have atom z \sharp AS-let x e s using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh(2) 1 fresh-prod8 by auto
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def 1 by force
next
  case (3 \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  hence atom x \notin AS-assert c s using fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh pure-fresh by auto
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def 3 by force
next
   case (5 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
 hence atom x \not\parallel AS-branch cons x s using fresh-prodN s-branch-s-branch-list fresh pure-fresh by auto
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def 5 by force
next
  case (7 z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  hence atom z \sharp AS-if v s1 s2 using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
  then show ?case using 7 fresh-prodN fresh-star-def by fastforce
  case (9 \times \Theta \oplus \mathcal{B} \times G \Delta \times s1 \times s2)
  hence atom x \sharp AS-let2 x t s1 s2 using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
  thus ?case using fresh-star-def 9 by force
next
  case (11 u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
  hence atom u \sharp AS-var u \tau' v s using s-branch-s-branch-list.fresh by auto
  then show ?case using fresh-star-def 11 by force
qed(auto+)
inductive-cases check-s-elims[elim!]:
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-val v \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-let x \in s \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-if v \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x \ t \ s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-while s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-var u \ t \ v \ s \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-seq s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-assign u \ v \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-match v \ cs \Leftarrow \tau
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-assert c \ s \Leftarrow \tau
inductive-cases check-branch-s-elims[elim!]:
   \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist; v \vdash (AS-final\ cs) \Leftarrow \tau
```

 $\Theta$ ;  $\Phi$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$ ;  $\Gamma$ ;  $\Delta$ ; tid; dclist;  $v \vdash (AS\text{-}cons\ cs\ css) \Leftarrow \tau$ 

### 9.7 Programs

```
Type check function bodies
inductive check-funtyp :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow fun\text{-typ} \Rightarrow bool( -; -; -\vdash -) where
check-funtypI:
  atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, B, b);
 \Theta; \Phi; B; ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil); []_{\Delta} \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
  \Theta; \Phi; B \vdash (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s)
equivariance check-funtyp
nominal-inductive check-funtyp
 avoids check-funtypI: x
proof(goal-cases)
   case (1 \times \Theta \Phi B b c s \tau)
 hence atom x \sharp (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s) using fun-def.fresh fun-typ-q.fresh fun-typ.fresh by simp
 then show ?case using fresh-star-def 1 fresh-prodN by fastforce
next
  case (2 \Theta \Phi x b c s \tau f)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive check-funtypq :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow fun-typ-q \Rightarrow bool \ ( -; -\vdash - ) where
check-fundefq-simpleI:
 \Theta; \Phi; \{||\} \vdash (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ t \ s)
] \implies
 \Theta; \Phi \vdash ((AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s)))
|check-funtypq-polyI:
  atom bv \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, (AF-fun-typ x b c t s));
 \Theta; \Phi; \{|bv|\} \vdash (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ t \ s)
 \Theta; \Phi \vdash (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s))
equivariance check-funtypq
nominal-inductive check-funtypq
 avoids check-funtypq-polyI: bv
proof(goal-cases)
 case (1 \ bv \ \Theta \ \Phi \ x \ b \ c \ t \ s)
  hence atom by \sharp (AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ x b c t s)) using fun-def.fresh fun-typ-q.fresh
fun-typ.fresh by simp
 thus ?case using fresh-star-def 1 fresh-prodN by fastforce
next
  case (2 \ bv \ \Theta \ \Phi \ ft)
 then show ?case by auto
qed
inductive check-fundef :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow fun\text{-}def \Rightarrow bool ( -; -\vdash - ) where
```

```
\Theta; \Phi \vdash ft
  \Theta; \Phi \vdash (AF-fundef f ft)
equivariance check-fundef
nominal-inductive check-fundef.
Temporarily remove this simproc as it produces untidy eliminations
declare[[ simproc del: alpha-lst]]
inductive-cases check-funtyp-elims[elim!]:
  \mathit{check\text{-}funtyp}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathit{B}\ \mathit{ft}
inductive-cases check-funtypq-elims[elim!]:
  check-funtypq \Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ 	au \ s))
  check-funtypq \Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s))
inductive-cases check-fundef-elims[elim!]:
  check-fundef \Theta \Phi (AF-fundef f ftq)
declare[[ simproc add: alpha-lst]]
nominal-function \Delta-of :: var-def list \Rightarrow \Delta where
  \Delta-of [] = DNil
|\Delta - of((AV - def u t v) \# vs)| = (u,t) \#_{\Delta} (\Delta - of vs)
  apply auto
  using eqvt-def \Delta-of-graph-aux-def neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust apply force
 using eqvt-def \Delta-of-graph-aux-def neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust
 by (metis var-def.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
inductive check-prog :: p \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool (\vdash - \Leftarrow -) where
   \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \mathit{GNil} ; \Delta-of \mathcal{G} \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
]\!] \implies \vdash (AP\text{-}prog \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{G} \ s) \Leftarrow \tau
inductive-cases check-proq-elims[elim!]:
   \vdash (AP\text{-}prog \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{G} \ s) \Leftarrow \tau
```

end

## Chapter 10

# **Operational Semantics**

Here we define the operational semantics in terms of a small-step reduction relation.

#### 10.1 Reduction Rules

```
The store for mutable variables
type-synonym \delta = (u*v) list
nominal-function update-d :: \delta \Rightarrow u \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow \delta where
  update-d [] - - = []
 update-d ((u',v')\#\delta) u v = (if u = u' then ((u,v)\#\delta) else ((u',v')\# (update-d \delta u v)))
\mathbf{by}(\mathit{auto}, \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{eqvt-def}\ \mathit{update-d-graph-aux-def}\ , \mathit{metis}\ \mathit{neq-Nil-conv}\ \mathit{old.prod.exhaust})
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
Relates constructor to the branch in the case and binding variable and statement
inductive find-branch :: dc \Rightarrow branch-list \Rightarrow branch-s \Rightarrow bool where
                                                                   \implies find-branch dc' (AS-final (AS-branch dc x
  find-branch-finalI: dc' = dc
s )) (AS-branch dc x s)
\mid \mathit{find-branch-branch-eq}I\colon \mathit{dc'} = \mathit{dc}
                                                                        \implies find-branch dc' (AS-cons (AS-branch
dc \ x \ s) \ css) \ (AS-branch \ dc \ x \ s)
| find-branch-branch-oranch-reqI: [ dc \neq dc'; find-branch dc' css cs ] \Longrightarrow find-branch dc' (AS-cons (AS-branch)
dc x s) css) cs
equivariance find-branch
nominal-inductive find-branch.
inductive-cases find-branch-elims[elim!]:
 find-branch dc (AS-final cs') cs
 find-branch dc (AS-cons cs' css) cs
nominal-function lookup-branch :: dc \Rightarrow branch-list \Rightarrow branch-s option where
  lookup-branch dc (AS-final (AS-branch dc' x s)) = (if dc = dc' then (Some (AS-branch dc' x s)) else
| lookup-branch \ dc \ (AS-cons \ (AS-branch \ dc' \ x \ s) \ css) = (if \ dc = dc' \ then \ (Some \ (AS-branch \ dc' \ x \ s))
else lookup-branch dc css)
      apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def lookup-branch-graph-aux-def)
```

```
by (metis neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust s-branch-s-branch-list.strong-exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
```

```
value take 1 [1::nat,2]
```

Reduction rules

```
inductive reduce-stmt :: \Phi \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow bool ( - | \langle -, - \rangle \rightarrow \langle -, - \rangle [50, 50, 50] [50]
where
   reduce-if-trueI: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-if } [L\text{-true}]^v \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s1 \rangle
\mid reduce\text{-}if\text{-}falseI \colon \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}if [L\text{-}false]^v \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, \ s2 \rangle
  reduce-let-valI: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s[x:=v]_{sv} \rangle
  reduce\text{-}let\text{-}plusI\colon \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ((V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1))) \ ((V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)))
                                             \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num} \ (\ ((n1)+(n2)))))) \ s \ \rangle
| reduce\text{-}let\text{-}leqI: b = (if (n1 \le n2) then L\text{-}true else L\text{-}false) \Longrightarrow
                     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-op } LEq \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n1)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow
                                                                                                 \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ b)) \ s \rangle
| reduce\text{-}let\text{-}eqI: b = (if (n1 = n2) then L\text{-}true else L\text{-}false) \Longrightarrow
                      \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ (V\text{-}lit \ n1) \ (V\text{-}lit \ n2))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow
                                                                                                  \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ b)) \ s \rangle
| reduce-let-appI: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ z b c \tau s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f \Longrightarrow
                     \Phi \; \vdash \; \langle \delta, \; \mathit{AS-let} \; x \; \; ((\mathit{AE-app} \; f \; v)) \; s \rangle \; \longrightarrow \; \langle \delta, \; \; \mathit{AS-let2} \; x \; \tau[z ::= v]_{\tau v} \; s'[z ::= v]_{sv} \; s \rangle
| reduce-let-appPI: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ z b c \tau s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi
                               \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \mid ((AE\text{-appP } f \mid b' \mid v)) \mid s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } 2 \mid x \mid \tau \mid bv ::= b' \mid_{\tau b} \mid_{z ::= v} \mid_{\tau v}
s'[bv:=b']_{sb}[z:=v]_{sv} s\rangle
  reduce-let-fstI: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x \ (AE-fst (V-pair v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS-let x \ (AE-val v1) \ s \rangle
   reduce-let-sndI: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x \ (AE-snd (V-pair v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS-let x \ (AE-val v2) \ s \rangle
\mid reduce\text{-let-concat}I: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-concat} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-bitvec} \ v1)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-bitvec} \ v2))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow
                                              \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ (v1@v2)))) \ s \rangle
| reduce-let-split1: split n \ v \ (v1, v2) \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let} \ x \ (AE\text{-split} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-bitvec} \ v)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num}))
n))) s\rangle \longrightarrow
                                               \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}pair \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v1)) \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v2)))) \ s \rangle
\mid reduce\text{-}let\text{-}lenI: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}len \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow
                                                  \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (int \ (List.length \ v))))) \ s \rangle
  reduce-let-mvar: (u,v) \in set \ \delta \Longrightarrow \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \ AS\text{-let} \ x \ (AE\text{-mvar} \ u) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, \ AS\text{-let} \ x \ (AE\text{-val} \ v) \ s
  reduce-assert11: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS-assert c \ (AS-val v) \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS-val v \rangle
   reduce-assert2I: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Longrightarrow \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS-assert c s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS-assert c s \gamma
   reduce-varI: atom u \sharp \delta \Longrightarrow \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-var } u \tau v s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle ((u,v)\#\delta), s \rangle
   reduce-assign1: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS-assign u \ v \rangle \longrightarrow \langle update-d \ \delta \ u \ v \ AS-val (V-lit L-unit) \rangle
   reduce-seq11: \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS-seq (AS-val (V-lit L-unit )) s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s \rangle
  reduce\text{-}seq2I: \llbracket s1 \neq AS\text{-}val \ v \ ; \ \Phi \ \vdash \ \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \ \delta', s1' \rangle' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow
                                           \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq s1 s2} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-seq s1' s2} \rangle
  \textit{reduce-let2-valI:} \ \ \Phi \ \ \vdash \ \ \langle \delta, \ \textit{AS-let2} \ \textit{x} \ \textit{t} \ (\textit{AS-val} \ \textit{v}) \ \textit{s} \rangle \ \longrightarrow \ \langle \delta, \ \textit{s}[x::=v]_{\textit{sv}} \rangle
\mid reduce\text{-let2}I: \quad \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Longrightarrow \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2} \ x \ t \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', AS\text{-let2} \ x \ t \ s1' \ s2 \rangle
| reduce\text{-}caseI: [ Some (AS\text{-}branch dc x' s') = lookup\text{-}branch dc cs ] \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}match (V\text{-}cons) \rangle
tyid\ dc\ v)\ cs\rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta,\ s'[x'::=v]_{sv}\rangle
| reduce\text{-}while I: [ atom x \sharp (s1,s2); atom z \sharp x ] \Longrightarrow
                                 \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-while } s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow
```

```
\langle \delta, AS\text{-let2} \ x \ (\{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \}) \ s1 \ (AS\text{-if} \ (V\text{-var} \ x) \ (AS\text{-seq} \ s2 \ (AS\text{-while} \ s1 \ s2))
(AS-val\ (V-lit\ L-unit)))
equivariance reduce-stmt
nominal-inductive reduce-stmt.
inductive-cases reduce-stmt-elims[elim!]:
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}if \ (V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}true) \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s1 \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}if \ (V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}false) \ s1 \ s2 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s2 \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-val } v) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s' \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Plus \ ((V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n1))) \ ((V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow
                             \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (\ ((n1)+(n2)))))) \ s \ \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1)) \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}val \ n2) \ (AE\text{-}val
(V-lit\ b))\ s\rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \textit{AS-let } x \; \left( (\textit{AE-app } f \; v) \right) \; s \rangle \; \longrightarrow \; \langle \delta, \textit{AS-let2} \; x \; \tau \; \left( \textit{subst-sv } s' \; x \; v \; \right) \; s \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-len } v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-seq s1 s2} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-appP} \ f \ b \ v)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2} \ x \ \tau \ (subst-sv \ s' \ z \ v) \ s \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-split } v1 \ v2)) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ v' \ s \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-assert } c \ s \ \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, s' \rangle
     \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ ((AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ (V\text{-}lit \ (n1)) \ (V\text{-}lit \ (n2)))) \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta, AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ b)) \ s \rangle
\textbf{inductive} \ \textit{reduce-stmt-many} :: \Phi \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \textit{bool} \quad (\text{-} \vdash \langle \text{ -} \text{ , -} \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \text{ -} \text{ , -} \rangle \lceil 50, \ 50 \rceil \rceil
50) where
 \begin{array}{c} \textit{reduce-stmt-many-oneI:} \ \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', \, s' \rangle \implies \Phi \vdash \langle \delta \quad , \, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', \, s' \rangle \\ \mid \textit{reduce-stmt-many-manyI:} \ \ \llbracket \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \, s \rangle \longrightarrow \ \langle \delta', \, s' \rangle \ ; \ \Phi \vdash \ \langle \delta', \, s' \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta'', \, s'' \rangle \ \rrbracket \implies \Phi \vdash \ \langle \delta, \, s \rangle \\ \end{array} 
\longrightarrow^* \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle
nominal-function convert-fds :: fun\text{-}def\ list \Rightarrow (f*fun\text{-}def)\ list\ \mathbf{where}
     convert-fds [] = []
|convert-fds|((AF-fundeff(AF-fun-typ-none(AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)))\#fs) = ((f,AF-fundeff(AF-fun-typ-none(AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)))\#fs) = ((f,AF-fundeff(AF-fun-typ-none(AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)))\#fs) = ((f,AF-fundeff(AF-fun-typ-none(AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)))\#fs)
(AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau \ s))#convert-fds fs
(AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s)))#convert-fds fs)
      apply(auto)
      apply (simp add: eqvt-def convert-fds-graph-aux-def)
     using fun-def.exhaust fun-typ.exhaust fun-typ-q.exhaust neq-Nil-conv
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function convert-tds :: type-def list \Rightarrow (f*type-def) list where
     convert-tds [] = []
| convert-tds ((AF-typedef \ s \ dclist) \# fs) = ((s, AF-typedef \ s \ dclist) \# convert-tds \ fs)
| convert-tds ((AF-typedef-poly \ s \ bv \ dclist) \# fs) = ((s, AF-typedef-poly \ s \ bv \ dclist) \# convert-tds \ fs)
      apply(auto)
       apply (simp add: eqvt-def convert-tds-graph-aux-def)
by (metis type-def.exhaust neq-Nil-conv)
```

**nominal-termination** (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```
inductive reduce-prog :: p \Rightarrow v \Rightarrow bool where \llbracket reduce\text{-stmt-many }\Phi \ \llbracket s \delta \ (AS\text{-val }v) \ \rrbracket \implies reduce\text{-prog }(AP\text{-prog }\Theta \ \Phi \ \llbracket s) \ v \end{gathered}
```

### 10.2 Reduction Typing

Checks that the store is consistent with  $\Delta$ 

```
inductive delta-sim :: \Theta \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow bool\ (\ -\ \vdash - \sim -\ [50,50]\ 50\ ) where delta-sim-nilI: \Theta \vdash [] \sim []_{\Delta} | delta-sim-consI: [\![\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta\ ; \Theta\ ; \{||\}\ ; \ GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau\ ; \ u \notin fst \ `set\ \delta \ ]\!] \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#\delta) \sim ((u,\tau)\#_{\Delta}\Delta)
```

equivariance delta-sim

nominal-inductive delta-sim.

inductive-cases delta-sim-elims[elim!]:

```
\begin{array}{l} \Theta \vdash [] \sim []_{\Delta} \\ \Theta \vdash ((u,v) \# ds) \sim (u,\tau) \#_{\Delta} D \\ \Theta \vdash ((u,v) \# ds) \sim D \end{array}
```

A typing judgement that combines typing of the statement, the store and the condition that definitions are well-typed

inductive config-type ::  $\Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow s \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool \ (-; -; - \vdash \langle -, - \rangle \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50] 50)$  where

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{config-typeI} \colon \llbracket \; \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \{ || \} \; ; \; \textit{GNil} \; ; \; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau ; \\ (\forall \; \textit{fd} \in \textit{set} \; \Phi. \; \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \vdash \textit{fd}) \; ; \\ \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \; \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \Delta \vdash \langle \; \delta \; \; , \; s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \end{array}
```

equivariance config-type

nominal-inductive config-type.

inductive-cases config-type-elims [elim!]:

```
\Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
```

nominal-function  $\delta$ -of :: var-def  $list \Rightarrow \delta$  where

```
\delta - of \ [] = []
| \ \delta - of \ ((AV - def \ u \ t \ v) \# vs) = (u, v) \ \# \ (\delta - of \ vs)
\mathbf{apply} \ auto
```

using eqvt-def  $\delta$ -of-graph-aux-def neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust apply force using eqvt-def  $\delta$ -of-graph-aux-def neq-Nil-conv old.prod.exhaust

by (metis var-def.strong-exhaust)

nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```
inductive config-type-prog :: p \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool \ (\vdash \langle \neg \rangle \Leftarrow \neg) where \llbracket \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \neg of \ \mathcal{G} \vdash \langle \ \delta \neg of \ \mathcal{G} \ , \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \rrbracket \implies \vdash \langle \ AP \neg prog \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{G} \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
```

 ${\bf inductive\text{-} cases}\ \textit{config-type-prog-elims}\ [\textit{elim!}]:$ 

```
\vdash \langle AP\text{-}prog \Theta \Phi \mathcal{G} s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
```

```
end
theory SubstMethods
```

 ${\bf imports} \ \ IVSubst \ Well formed L \ HOL-Eisbach. Eisbach-Tools \\ {\bf begin}$ 

```
method fresh-subst-mth-aux uses add = (
       (match \ \mathbf{conclusion} \ \mathbf{in} \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Gamma::\Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ \mathbf{for} \ z \ x \ v \ \Gamma \ \Rightarrow (auto \ simp \ add: fresh-subst-gv-if [of
atom \ z \ \Gamma \ v \ x \ | \ add \rangle)
         | (match conclusion in atom z \sharp (v'::v)[x::=v]_{vv} for z \times v \times v' \Rightarrow \langle auto \ simp \ add : v.fresh
fresh-subst-v-if pure-fresh subst-v-v-def add
      | (match \ \mathbf{conclusion} \ \mathbf{in} \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (ce::ce)[x::=v]_{cev} \ \mathbf{for} \ z \ x \ v \ ce \Rightarrow \langle auto \ simp \ add: \ fresh-subst-v-if
subst-v-ce-def add \rangle)
      | (match \ \mathbf{conclusion} \ \mathbf{in} \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Delta :: \Delta)[x ::= v]_{\Delta v} \ \mathbf{for} \ z \ x \ v \ \Delta \Rightarrow \langle auto \ simp \ add : fresh-subst-v-if
fresh-subst-dv-if add\rangle)
      | (match \ \mathbf{conclusion} \ \mathbf{in} \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \mathbf{for} \ z \ x \ v \ \Gamma' \ \Gamma \Rightarrow \langle metis \ add \rangle )
     | (match \ \mathbf{conclusion} \ \mathbf{in} \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\tau :: \tau)[x ::= v]_{\tau \ v} \ \mathbf{for} \ z \ x \ v \ \tau \Rightarrow \langle auto \ simp \ add : v.fresh \ fresh-subst-v-if
pure-fresh\ subst-v-\tau-def\ add\rangle)
      | (match \ \mathbf{conclusion} \ \mathbf{in} \ atom \ z \ \sharp (\{||\} :: bv \ fset) \ \mathbf{for} \ z \Rightarrow \langle auto \ simp \ add: fresh-empty-fset \rangle)
      | (auto simp add: add x-fresh-b pure-fresh)
)
method fresh-mth uses add = (
      (unfold\ fresh-prodN,\ intro\ conjI)?,
      (fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}mth\text{-}aux\ add:\ add)+)
notepad
begin
   fix \Gamma::\Gamma and z::x and x::x and v::v and \Theta::\Theta and v'::v and w::x and tyid::string and dc::string
and b::b and ce::ce and bv::bv
  assume as:atom z \sharp (\Gamma, v', \Theta, v, w, ce) \land atom bv \sharp (\Gamma, v', \Theta, v, w, ce, b)
  have atom z \sharp \Gamma[x := v]_{\Gamma v}
     by (fresh-mth add: as)
  hence atom z \sharp v'[x::=v]_{vv}
```

```
by (fresh\text{-}mth\ add:\ as)
hence atom\ z\ \sharp\ \Gamma
by (fresh\text{-}mth\ add:\ as)
hence atom\ z\ \sharp\ \Theta
by (fresh\text{-}mth\ add:\ as)
hence atom\ z\ \sharp\ (CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ ce)[x::=v]_{cv}
using as\ by auto
hence atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ ce)[x::=v]_{cv}
using as\ by auto
have atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v},v'[x::=v]_{vv},w,\ V\text{-}pair\ v\ v,\ V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v,\ (CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ ce)[x::=v]_{cv})
by (fresh\text{-}mth\ add:\ as)
have atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v},v'[x::=v]_{vv},w,\ V\text{-}pair\ v\ v,\ V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b\ v)
by (fresh\text{-}mth\ add:\ as)
end
```

 ${\bf hide\text{-}const}\ \mathit{Syntax.dom}$ 

## Chapter 11

# Refinement Constraint Logic Lemmas

#### 11.1 Lemmas

```
lemma wfI-domi:
 assumes \Theta; \Gamma \vdash i
 shows fst ' toSet \Gamma \subseteq dom i
 using wfI-def toSet.simps assms by fastforce
lemma wfI-lookup:
 fixes G::\Gamma and b::b
 assumes Some (b,c) = lookup \ G \ x and P \ ; \ G \vdash i and Some s = i \ x and P \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} G
 shows P \vdash s : b
proof -
  have (x,b,c) \in toSet \ G \ using \ lookup.simps \ assms
   using lookup-in-g by blast
  then obtain s' where *:Some s' = i x \wedge wfRCV P s' b using wfI-def assms by auto
 hence s' = s using assms by (metis option.inject)
 thus ?thesis using * by auto
lemma wfI-restrict-weakening:
 assumes wfI \Theta \Gamma' i' and i = restrict-map i' (fst 'toSet \Gamma) and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma'
 shows \Theta : \Gamma \vdash i
proof -
  { fix x
 assume x \in toSet \Gamma
 have case x of (x, b, c) \Rightarrow \exists s. Some \ s = i \ x \land \Theta \vdash s : b \ using \ assms \ wfI-def
  proof -
   have case x of (x, b, c) \Rightarrow \exists s. Some s = i' x \land \Theta \vdash s : b
     using \langle x \in toSet \ \Gamma \rangle assms wfI-def by auto
   then have \exists s. \ Some \ s = i \ (fst \ x) \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ (fst \ (snd \ x))
     by (simp\ add: \langle x \in toSet\ \Gamma \rangle\ assms(2)\ case-prod-unfold)
   then show ?thesis
     by (simp add: case-prod-unfold)
  qed
```

```
thus ?thesis using wfI-def assms by auto
qed
lemma wfI-suffix:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes wfI P(G'@G) i and P; B \vdash_{wf} G
 shows P : G \vdash i
using wfI-def append-g.simps assms toSet.simps by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfI-replace-inside:
 assumes wfI \Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i
 shows wfI \Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i
 \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{wfI-def} \ toSet\text{-}\mathit{splitP} \ \mathit{assms} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{simp}
11.2
           Existence of evaluation
lemma eval-l-base:
 \Theta \vdash \llbracket l \rrbracket : (base-for-lit \ l)
apply(nominal-induct | rule:l.strong-induct)
using wfRCV.intros eval-l.simps base-for-lit.simps by auto+
lemma obtain-fresh-bv-dclist:
 fixes tm::'a::fs
 assumes (dc, \{ x : b \mid c \}) \in set \ dclist
  obtains bv1::bv and dclist1 x1 b1 c1 where AF-typedef-poly tyid bv dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid
     \land (dc, \{ x1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in set \ dclist1 \land atom \ bv1 \ \sharp \ tm
proof -
 obtain bv1 dclist1 where AF-typedef-poly tyid bv dclist=AF-typedef-poly tyid bv1 dclist1 \land atom
bv1 \ \sharp \ tm
   using obtain-fresh-by by metis
 moreover hence [[atom\ bv]] lst. delist = [[atom\ bv1]] lst. delist1 using type-def.eq-iff by metis
  moreover then obtain x1 b1 c1 where (dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in set \ dclist1 using td-lookup-eq-iff
assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using that by blast
qed
lemma obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff:
 fixes tm::'a::fs
 assumes (dc, \{x: b \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \ and \ AF-typedef-poly \ tyid \ bv \ dclist \in set \ P \ and \vdash_{wf} P
  obtains bv1::bv and dclist1 x1 b1 c1 where AF-typedef-poly tyid bv dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid
     \land (dc, \{ x1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in set \ dclist1 \land atom \ bv1 \ \sharp \ tm \land b[bv::=b']_{bb} = b1[bv1::=b']_{bb}
proof -
 obtain bv1 dclist1 x1 b1 c1 where *: AF-typedef-poly tyid bv dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid bv1 dclist1
\land atom bv1 \sharp tm
   \land (dc, \{x1:b1\mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist1 using obtain-fresh-bv-dclist assms by metis
 hence AF-typedef-poly tyid bv1 dclist1 \in set P using assms by metis
 hence b[bv:=b']_{bb} = b1[bv1:=b']_{bb}
    using wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff[OF assms(2) assms(1) - - assms(3), of bv1 dclist1 x1 b1 c1 b' *
```

```
from this that show ?thesis using * by metis
qed
lemma eval-v-exist:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and v :: v and b :: b
 assumes P ; \Gamma \vdash i and P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
 shows \exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \land P \vdash s : b
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: b rule:v.strong-induct)
 case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-l-base eval-v.intros eval-l.simps wfV-elims rcl-val.supp pure-supp by
metis
next
 case (V\text{-}var\ x)
 then obtain c where *:Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma x using wfV-elims by metis
 hence x \in fst ' toSet \Gamma using lookup-x by blast
 hence x \in dom \ i \ using \ wfI-domi \ using \ assms \ by \ blast
 then obtain s where i x = Some s by auto
 moreover hence P \vdash s : b using wfRCV.intros wfI-lookup * V-var
   by (metis\ wfV-wf)
 ultimately show ?case using eval-v.intros rcl-val.supp b.supp by metis
next
 case (V\text{-pair }v1\ v2)
 then obtain b1 and b2 where *:P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1:b1 \land P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2:b2 \land b = B-pair
b1 b2 using wfV-elims by metis
 then obtain s1 and s2 where eval-v i v1 s1 \wedge wfRCV P s1 b1 \wedge eval-v i v2 s2 \wedge wfRCV P s2 b2
using V-pair by metis
 thus ?case using eval-v.intros wfRCV.intros * by metis
 case (V-cons tyid dc v)
 then obtain s and b'::b and delist and x::x and c::c where (wfV P B \Gamma v b') \wedge i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge
P \vdash s : b' \land b = B \text{-id tyid } \land
               AF-typedef tyid dclist \in set P \land (dc, \{x: b' \mid c\}) \in set dclist using wfV-elims(4) by
metis
 then show ?case using eval-v.intros(4) wfRCV.intros(5) V-cons by metis
next
 case (V\text{-}consp\ tyid\ dc\ b'\ v)
 obtain b'a::b and bv and dclist and x::x and c::c where *:(wfV\ P\ B\ \Gamma\ v\ b'a[bv::=b']_{bb}) \land b =
B-app tyid b' \wedge
               AF-typedef-poly tyid by dclist \in set\ P \land (dc, \{x: b'a \mid c\}) \in set\ dclist \land
          atom by \sharp (P, B-app tyid b',B) using wf-strong-elim(1)[OF V-consp(3)] by metis
 then obtain s where **:i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \land P \vdash s : b'a[bv:=b']_{bb} using V-consp by auto
 have \vdash_{wf} P using wfX-wfY V-consp by metis
 then obtain bv1::bv and dclist1 x1 b1 c1 where 3:AF-typedef-poly tyid bv dclist = AF-typedef-poly
tyid bv1 dclist1
     \land (dc, \{x1: b1 \mid c1\}) \in set \ dclist1 \land atom \ bv1 \ \sharp \ (P, SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b's, B-app \ tyid \ b')
```

by metis

```
\wedge b'a[bv:=b']_{bb} = b1[bv1:=b']_{bb}
   using * obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff by blast
 have i [V-consp\ tyid\ dc\ b'\ v] \sim SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b'\ s\ using\ eval-v.intros\ by\ (simp\ add: **)
  moreover have P \vdash SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b' \ s : B-app \ tyid \ b' \ proof
   show \langle AF-typedef-poly tyid bv1 dclist1 \in set P \rangle using \beta * by metis
   show \langle (dc, \{ x1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in set \ dclist1 \rangle using \beta by auto
   thus (atom\ bv1\ \sharp\ (P,\ SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b'\ s,\ B-app\ tyid\ b')) using * 3 fresh-prodN by metis
   show \langle P \vdash s : b1[bv1::=b']_{bb} \rangle using \beta ** by auto
  qed
 ultimately show ?case using eval-v.intros wfRCV.intros V-consp * by auto
qed
lemma eval-v-uniqueness:
  fixes v::v
 assumes i \ \llbracket \ v \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s and i \ \llbracket \ v \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s'
 shows s=s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: s s' rule:v.strong-induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elims eval-l.simps by metis
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elims by (metis option.inject)
  case (V-pair v1 v2)
  obtain s1 and s2 where s:i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s1 \land i \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim s2 \land s = SPair s1 s2 using eval-v-elims
V-pair by metis
 obtain s1' and s2' where s': i \mid v1 \mid \sim s1' \land i \mid v2 \mid \sim s2' \land s' = SPair s1' s2' using eval-v-elims
V-pair by metis
 then show ?case using eval-v-elims using V-pair s s' by auto
next
  case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
 obtain sv1 where 1:i \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 \land s = SCons\ tyid\ dc\ sv1 using eval-v-elims V-cons by metis
 moreover obtain sv2 where 2:i \ v1 \ ^{\sim} sv2 \wedge s' = SCons \ tyid \ dc \ sv2 \ using \ eval-v-elims \ V-cons
by metis
 ultimately have sv1 = sv2 using V-cons by auto
 then show ?case using 1 2 by auto
next
  case (V-consp tyid dc b v1)
  then show ?case using eval-v-elims by metis
qed
lemma eval-v-base:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and v :: v and b :: b
 \mathbf{assumes}\ P\ ; \ \Gamma\ \vdash i\ \mathbf{and}\ P\ ;\ \ B\ ; \ \Gamma\vdash_{wf}\ v:b\ \mathbf{and}\ i\ \llbracket\ v\ \rrbracket\ ^{\sim}\ s
 shows P \vdash s : b
  using eval-v-exist eval-v-uniqueness assms by metis
```

```
lemma eval-e-uniqueness:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes i \ \llbracket \ e \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s and i \ \llbracket \ e \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s'
  shows s=s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: s s' rule:ce.strong-induct)
  case (CE\text{-}val\ x)
  then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elims by metis
next
  case (CE-op opp x1 x2)
  consider opp = Plus \mid opp = LEq \mid opp = Eq  using opp.exhaust by metis
  thus ?case proof(cases)
    case 1
    hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op Plus x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op Plus x1 x2) s' using CE-op by
    then show ?thesis using eval-e-elims(2)[OF a1] eval-e-elims(2)[OF a2]
        CE-op eval-e-plusI
      by (metis\ rcl\text{-}val.eq\text{-}iff(2))
  next
    case 2
   hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op LEq x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op LEq x1 x2) s' using CE-op by auto
    thm eval-e-elims(2)
    then show ?thesis using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elims(3)[OF a1] eval-e-elims(3)[OF a2]
      CE-op eval-e-plusI
      by (metis\ rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  next
    case \beta
    hence a1:eval-e i (CE-op Eq x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-op Eq x1 x2) s' using CE-op by auto
    thm eval-e-elims(2)
    then show ?thesis using eval-v-uniqueness eval-e-elims(4)[OF a1] eval-e-elims(4)[OF a2]
      CE-op eval-e-plusI
      by (metis\ rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  qed
next
  case (CE-concat x1 x2)
  hence a1:eval-e i (CE-concat x1 x2) s and a2:eval-e i (CE-concat x1 x2) s' using CE-concat by
 show ?case using eval-e-elims(7)[OF a1] eval-e-elims(7)[OF a2] CE-concat eval-e-concat rcl-val.eq-iff
  proof -
   assume \bigwedge P. (\bigwedge bv1\ bv2. \llbracket s' = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2);\ i\ \llbracket\ x1\ \rrbracket\ ^\sim\ SBitvec\ bv1\ ;\ i\ \llbracket\ x2\ \rrbracket\ ^\sim\ SBitvec\ bv2
\rrbracket \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow P
    obtain bbs :: bit list and bbsa :: bit list where
      i \parallel x2 \parallel \sim SBitvec\ bbs \wedge i \parallel x1 \parallel \sim SBitvec\ bbsa \wedge SBitvec\ (bbsa @ bbs) = s'
      by (metis \land P. (\land bv1 \ bv2. \ \llbracket s' = SBitvec \ (bv1 \ @ \ bv2); \ i \ \llbracket \ x1 \ \rrbracket ^{\sim} SBitvec \ bv1 \ ; \ i \ \llbracket \ x2 \ \rrbracket ^{\sim} SBitvec
bv2 \parallel \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow P\rangle
    then have s' = s
      by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ \land P.\ (\land bv1\ bv2.\ \llbracket s = SBitvec\ (bv1\ @\ bv2);\ i\ \llbracket\ x1\ \rrbracket\ ^{\sim}SBitvec\ bv1\ ;\ i\ \llbracket\ x2\ \rrbracket
 ^{\sim} SBitvec \ bv2 \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P) \Longrightarrow P \land (\land s' \ s. \ \llbracket i \ \llbracket \ x1 \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s \ ; \ i \ \llbracket \ x1 \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s' \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow s = s' \land (\land s' \ s. \ \llbracket i \ \llbracket \ x2 \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s \ ;
i \llbracket x2 \rrbracket \sim s' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow s = s' \text{ rcl-val.eq-iff}(1)
    then show ?thesis
      by metis
```

```
qed
next
   case (CE-fst x)
   then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness by (meson eval-e-elims rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
   then show ?case using eval-v-uniqueness by (meson eval-e-elims rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
   case (CE-len x)
   then show ?case using eval-e-elims rcl-val.eq-iff
   proof -
       obtain bbs :: rcl-val \Rightarrow ce \Rightarrow (x \Rightarrow rcl-val \ option) \Rightarrow bit \ list \ \mathbf{where}
           \forall x0 \ x1 \ x2. \ (\exists \ v3. \ x0 = SNum \ (int \ (length \ v3)) \land x2 \ \llbracket \ x1 \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ SBitvec \ v3 \ ) = (x0 = SNum \ (int \ (int \ v3))) \land v3 \ rac{1}{2} \
(length\ (bbs\ x0\ x1\ x2))) \land x2\ \llbracket\ x1\ \rrbracket\ ^{\sim}\ SBitvec\ (bbs\ x0\ x1\ x2)\ )
        then have \forall f \ c \ r. \ \neg f \ \llbracket \ [\mid c \mid ]^{ce} \ \rrbracket \ \sim \ r \lor r = SNum \ (int \ (length \ (bbs \ r \ c \ f))) \land f \ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \ \sim \ SBitvec
(bbs\ r\ c\ f)
           by (meson\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}elims(8))
       then show ?thesis
           by (metis (no-types) CE-len.hyps CE-len.prems(1) CE-len.prems(2) rcl-val.eq-iff(1))
   qed
qed
lemma wfV-eval-bitvec:
   fixes v::v
   assumes P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-}bitvec and P; \Gamma \vdash i
   shows \exists bv. eval-v \ i \ v \ (SBitvec \ bv)
proof -
   obtain s where i [v] \sim s \wedge wfRCV P s B-bitvec using eval-v-exist assms by metis
   moreover then obtain by where s = SBitvec by using wfRCV-elims(1)[of P s] by metis
   ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfV-eval-pair:
   fixes v::v
   assumes P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B-pair b1 b2 and P; \Gamma \vdash i
   shows \exists s1 \ s2. \ eval-v \ i \ v \ (SPair \ s1 \ s2)
proof -
   obtain s where i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \wedge wfRCV P s (B-pair b1 b2) using eval-v-exist assms by metis
   moreover then obtain s1 and s2 where s = SPair s1 s2 using wfRCV-elims(2)[of P s] by metis
   ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma wfV-eval-int:
   fixes v::v
   assumes P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-}int and P; \Gamma \vdash i
   shows \exists n. eval-v \ i \ v \ (SNum \ n)
proof -
   obtain s where i \ [\![ v \ ]\!] \sim s \wedge wfRCVPs (B-int) using eval-v-exist assms by metis
   moreover then obtain n where s = SNum \ n \text{ using } wfRCV\text{-}elims(3)[of P \ s] \text{ by } metis
```

```
Well sorted value with a well sorted valuation evaluates
lemma wfI-wfV-eval-v:
 fixes v::v and b::b
 assumes \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b and wfI \Theta \Gamma i
 shows \exists s. i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s \land \Theta \vdash s : b
 using eval-v-exist assms by auto
lemma wfI-wfCE-eval-e:
 fixes e::ce and b::b
 assumes wfCE PB Geb and P; G \vdash i
 shows \exists s. i \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s \land P \vdash s : b
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: b rule: ce.strong-induct)
 case (CE-val v)
 obtain s where i \ [v] \ ^{\sim} s \land P \vdash s : b  using wfI-wfV-eval-v[of P B G v b i] assms \ wfCE-elims(1)
[of P B G v b] CE-val by auto
 then show ?case using CE-val eval-e.intros(1)[of i v s ] by auto
next
 case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
 consider opp = Plus \mid opp = LEq \mid opp = Eq using opp.exhaust by auto
 thus ?case proof(cases)
   case 1
   hence wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ v1 \ B-int \land wfCE \ P \ B \ G \ v2 \ B-int \ using \ wfCE-elims(2) \ CE-op
     by blast
 then obtain s1 and s2 where *: eval-e i v1 s1 \wedge wfRCV P s1 B-int \wedge eval-e i v2 s2 \wedge wfRCV P
s2 B-int
   using wfI-wfV-eval-v CE-op by metis
 then obtain n1 and n2 where **:s2=SNum n2 \wedge s1 = SNum n1 using wfRCV-elims by meson
   hence eval-e i (CE-op Plus v1 v2) (SNum (n1+n2)) using eval-e-plus I * ** by simp
   moreover have wfRCV P (SNum (n1+n2)) B-int using wfRCV.intros by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using 1
     using CE-op.prems(1) wfCE-elims(2) by blast
 next
   case 2
hence wfCE P B G v1 B-int \land wfCE P B G v2 B-int using <math>wfCE-elims(3) CE-op
  bv blast
 then obtain s1 and s2 where *: eval-e i v1 s1 \wedge wfRCV P s1 B-int \wedge eval-e i v2 s2 \wedge wfRCV P
s2 B-int
   using wfI-wfV-eval-v CE-op by metis
 then obtain n1 and n2 where **:s2=SNum n2 \wedge s1 = SNum n1 using wfRCV-elims by meson
   hence eval-e i (CE-op LEq v1 v2) (SBool (n1 \leq n2)) using eval-e-leqI * ** by simp
   moreover have wfRCVP (SBool (n1\leqn2)) B-bool using wfRCV.intros by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using 2
     using CE-op.prems wfCE-elims
                                        by metis
 next
   case 3
   then obtain b2 where wfCE P B G v1 b2 \wedge wfCE P B G v2 b2 using wfCE-elims(9) CE-op
```

ultimately show ?thesis by metis

```
by blast
 then obtain s1 and s2 where *: eval-e i v1 s1 \wedge wfRCV P s1 b2 \wedge eval-e i v2 s2 \wedge wfRCV P s2 b2
   using wfI-wfV-eval-v CE-op by metis
 hence eval-e i (CE-op Eq v1 v2) (SBool (s1 = s2)) using eval-e-leqI *
   by (simp \ add: eval-e-eqI)
   moreover have wfRCV \ P \ (SBool \ (s1 = s2)) \ B\text{-bool using} \ wfRCV.intros \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using 3
     using CE-op.prems wfCE-elims
 qed
next
 case (CE-concat v1 v2)
 then obtain s1 and s2 where *:b = B-bitvec \land eval-e i v1 s1 \land eval-e i v2 s2 \land
     wfRCV P s1 B-bitvec \land wfRCV P s2 B-bitvec using
     CE-concat
   by (meson \ wfCE\text{-}elims(6))
  thus ?case using eval-e-concatI wfRCV.intros(1) wfRCV-elims
 proof -
   obtain bbs :: type\text{-}def \ list \Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val \Rightarrow bit \ list \ \mathbf{where}
     \forall ts \ s. \ \neg \ ts \vdash s : B\text{-}bitvec \lor s = SBitvec \ (bbs \ ts \ s)
     using wfRCV-elims(1) by moura
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types) local.* wfRCV-BBitvecI eval-e-concatI)
 qed
next
 case (CE-fst v1)
 thus ?case using eval-e-fstI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elims wfI-wfV-eval-v
   by (metis\ wfRCV-elims(2)\ rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
next
  case (CE-snd v1)
 by (metis\ wfRCV-elims(2)\ rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
\mathbf{next}
 case (CE-len x)
 thus ?case using eval-e-lenI wfRCV.intros wfCE-elims wfI-wfV-eval-v wfV-eval-bitvec
   by (metis\ wfRCV-elims(1))
qed
lemma eval-e-exist:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and e :: ce
 assumes P ; \Gamma \vdash i \text{ and } P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} e : b
 shows \exists s. i [e] \sim s
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: b rule:ce.strong-induct)
 case (CE\text{-}val\ v)
 then show ?case using eval-v-exist wfCE-elims eval-e.intros by metis
next
 case (CE-op op v1 v2)
 show ?case proof(rule opp.exhaust)
   \mathbf{assume} \ \langle op = Plus \rangle
   hence P \; ; \; B \; ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int \land P \; ; \; B \; ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int \land b = B\text{-}int using } wfCE\text{-}elims CE\text{-}op
by metis
```

```
then obtain n1 and n2 where eval-e i v1 (SNum n1) \land eval-e i v2 (SNum n2) using CE-op
eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
     by (metis wfI-wfCE-eval-e wfRCV-elims(3))
   then show (\exists a. eval-e \ i \ (CE-op \ op \ v1 \ v2) \ a) using eval-e-plusI[of \ i \ v1 \ -v2] \ (op=Plus) by auto
 next
   assume \langle op = LEq \rangle
    hence P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}int \land P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int \land b = B\text{-}bool using } wfCE\text{-}elims
CE-op by metis
    then obtain n1 and n2 where eval-e i v1 (SNum n1) \land eval-e i v2 (SNum n2) using CE-op
eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
    by (metis \ wfI-wfCE-eval-e \ wfRCV-elims(3))
   then show \langle \exists a. \ eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}op \ op \ v1 \ v2) \ a \rangle using eval\text{-}e\text{-}leqI[of \ i \ v1 \ - \ v2]} eval\text{-}v\text{-}exist \ \langle op=LEq \rangle
CE-op by auto
\mathbf{next}
   assume \langle op = Eq \rangle
    then obtain b1 where P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : b1 \land P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : b1 \land b = B-bool using
wfCE-elims CE-op by metis
   then obtain s1 and s2 where eval-e i v1 s1 \wedge eval-e i v2 s2 using CE-op eval-v-exist wfV-eval-int
    by (metis\ wfI-wfCE-eval-e\ wfRCV-elims(3))
    then show (\exists a. eval-e \ i \ (CE-op \ op \ v1 \ v2) \ a) using eval-e-eqI[of \ i \ v1 \ -v2] \ eval-v-exist \ (op=Eq)
CE-op by auto
 qed
next
 case (CE-concat v1 v2)
  then obtain bv1 and bv2 where eval-e i v1 (SBitvec bv1) <math>\land eval-e i v2 (SBitvec bv2)
   using wfV-eval-bitvec wfCE-elims(6)
   by (meson\ eval-e-elims(7)\ wfI-wfCE-eval-e)
  then show ?case using eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE\text{-}fst\ ce)
 then obtain b2 where b:P; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : B-pair b b2 using wfCE-elims by metis
 then obtain s where s:i [ce] \sim s using CE-fst by auto
 then obtain s1 and s2 where s = (SPair\ s1\ s2) using eval\text{-}e\text{-}elims(4) CE-fst wfI\text{-}wfCE\text{-}eval\text{-}e[of]
P B \Gamma ce B-pair b b2 i, OF b] wfRCV-elims(2)[of P s b b2]
   by (metis eval-e-uniqueness)
 then show ?case using s eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE\text{-}snd\ ce)
 then obtain b1 where b:P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : B\text{-pair b1 } b \text{ using } wfCE\text{-}elims \text{ by } metis
 then obtain s where s:i [ ce ] ^{\sim} s using CE-snd by auto
  then obtain s1 and s2 where s = (SPair \ s1 \ s2)
   using eval-e-elims(5) CE-snd wfI-wfCE-eval-e[of P B Γ ce B-pair b1 b i, OF b] wfRCV-elims(2)[of
P s b b1
   eval-e-uniqueness
   by (metis \ wfRCV-elims(2))
  then show ?case using s eval-e.intros by metis
next
  case (CE-len v1)
 then obtain bv1 where eval-e i v1 (SBitvec bv1)
   using wfV-eval-bitvec CE-len wfCE-elims eval-e-uniqueness
   by (metis eval-e-elims(7) wfCE-concatI wfI-wfCE-eval-e)
```

```
lemma eval-c-exist:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and c :: c
 assumes P ; \Gamma \vdash i and P ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
 shows \exists s. i [ c ] ^ s
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
case C-true
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elims by metis
\mathbf{next}
 case C-false
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elims by metis
 case (C-conj c1 c2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elims by metis
next
 case (C-disj x1 x2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elims by metis
 case (C\text{-}not\ x)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros wfC-elims by metis
next
 case (C\text{-}imp\ x1\ x2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-exist wfC-elims by metis
next
 case (C-eq x1 x2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-exist wfC-elims by metis
qed
lemma eval-c-uniqueness:
 fixes c::c
 assumes i \ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s and i \ \llbracket \ c \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s'
 shows s=s
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s s' rule:c.strong-induct)
 case C-true
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims by metis
next
 case C-false
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims by metis
next
 case (C-conj x1 x2)
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims(3) by (metis (full-types))
 case (C-disj x1 x2)
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims(4) by (metis (full-types))
next
 case (C\text{-}not\ x)
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims(6) by (metis (full-types))
\mathbf{next}
 case (C-imp x1 x2)
```

then show ?case using eval-e.intros by metis

qed

```
then show ?case using eval-c-elims(5) by (metis (full-types))
next
 case (C-eq x1 x2)
 then show ?case using eval-e-uniqueness eval-c-elims(7) by metis
lemma wfI-wfC-eval-c:
 fixes c::c
 assumes wfC P B G c and P ; G \vdash i
 shows \exists s. i [ c ] ^ \sim s
using assms proof(nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
qed(metis wfC-elims wfI-wfCE-eval-e eval-c.intros)+
11.3
          Satisfiability
lemma satis-reflI:
 fixes c::c
 assumes i \models ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G)
 shows i \models c
using assms by auto
lemma is-satis-mp:
 fixes c1::c and c2::c
 assumes i \models (c1 \text{ IMP } c2) and i \models c1
 shows i \models c2
using assms proof -
 have eval-c i (c1 IMP c2) True using is-satis.simps using assms by blast
 then obtain b1 and b2 where True = (b1 \longrightarrow b2) \land eval{-}c \ i \ c1 \ b1 \land eval{-}c \ i \ c2 \ b2
   using eval-c-elims(5) by metis
 moreover have eval-c i c1 True using is-satis.simps using assms by blast
 moreover have b1 = True using calculation eval-c-uniqueness by blast
 ultimately have eval-c i c2 True by auto
 thus ?thesis using is-satis.intros by auto
qed
lemma is-satis-imp:
 fixes c1::c and c2::c
 assumes i \models c1 \longrightarrow i \models c2 and i \llbracket c1 \rrbracket \sim b1 and i \llbracket c2 \rrbracket \sim b2
 shows i \models (c1 \text{ IMP } c2)
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ b1)
 case True
 hence i \models c2 using assms is-satis.simps by simp
 hence b2 = True  using is-satis.simps  assms
   using eval-c-uniqueness by blast
 then show ?thesis using eval-c-impI is-satis.simps assms by force
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using assms eval-c-impI is-satis.simps by metis
lemma is-satis-iff:
```

```
i \models G = (\forall x \ b \ c. \ (x,b,c) \in toSet \ G \longrightarrow i \models c)

\mathbf{by}(induct \ G,auto)

lemma is-satis-g-append:

i \models (G1 @ G2) = (i \models G1 \land i \models G2)

\mathbf{using} \ is-satis-g.simps is-satis-iff \mathbf{by} \ auto
```

## 11.4 Substitution for Evaluation

```
lemma eval-v-i-upd:
 fixes v::v
 assumes atom \ x \ \sharp \ v \ \text{and} \ i \ \llbracket \ v \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s'
 shows eval-v ((i (x \mapsto s))) v s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: s' rule:v.strong-induct)
case (V-lit x)
 then show ?case by (metis eval-v-elims(1) eval-v-litI)
next
 case (V\text{-}var\ y)
 then obtain s where *: Some s = i y \land s = s' using eval-v-elims by metis
 moreover have x \neq y using \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ V\text{-}var \ y \rangle \ v.supp by simp
 ultimately have (i (x \mapsto s)) y = Some s
   by (simp add: \langle Some \ s = i \ y \land s = s' \rangle)
 then show ?case using eval-v-varI * \langle x \neq y \rangle
   by (simp add: eval-v.eval-v-varI)
\mathbf{next}
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 hence atom x \sharp v1 \wedge atom x \sharp v2 using v.supp by simp
  moreover obtain s1 and s2 where *: eval-v i v1 s1 \wedge eval-v i v2 s2 \wedge s' = SPair s1 s2 using
eval-v-elims V-pair by metis
 ultimately have eval-v ((i (x \mapsto s))) v1 s1 \land eval-v ((i (x \mapsto s))) v2 s2 using V-pair by blast
 thus ?case using eval-v-pairI * by meson
next
 case (V\text{-}cons\ tyid\ dc\ v1)
 hence atom x \sharp v1 using v.supp by simp
 moreover obtain s1 where *: eval-v i v1 s1 \wedge s' = SCons tyid dc s1 using eval-v-elims V-cons by
metis
 ultimately have eval-v ((i (x \mapsto s))) v1 s1 using V-cons by blast
 thus ?case using eval-v-consI * by meson
 case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)
 hence atom x \sharp v1 using v.supp by simp
 moreover obtain s1 where *: eval-v i v1 s1 \wedge s' = SConsp tyid dc b1 s1 using eval-v-elims V-consp
by metis
 ultimately have eval-v ((i (x \mapsto s))) v1 s1 using V-consp by blast
 thus ?case using eval-v-conspI * by meson
qed
lemma eval-e-i-upd:
 fixes e::ce
 assumes i \parallel e \parallel \sim s' and atom x \sharp e
 shows (i (x \mapsto s)) \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'
```

```
using assms apply(induct rule: eval-e.induct) using eval-v-i-upd eval-e-elims
   by (meson ce.fresh eval-e.intros)+
lemma eval-c-i-upd:
 fixes c::c
 assumes i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s' and atom x \sharp c
 shows ((i (x \mapsto s))) [c] \sim s'
using assms proof(induct rule:eval-c.induct)
 case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
 then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-c-eqI eval-e-i-upd c.fresh by metis
qed(simp\ add:\ eval\text{-}c.intros)+
lemma subst-v-eval-v[simp]:
 fixes v::v and v'::v
 assumes i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s and i \llbracket (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \rrbracket \sim s'
 shows (i (x \mapsto s)) [v'] \sim s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct v' arbitrary: s' rule:v.strong-induct)
 \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ x)
 then show ?case using subst-vv.simps
   by (metis\ eval-v-elims(1)\ eval-v-litI)
\mathbf{next}
 case (V - var x')
 then show ?case proof(cases x=x')
   case True
   hence (V\text{-}var\ x')[x:=v]_{vv} = v using subst-vv.simps by auto
   then show ?thesis using V-var eval-v-elims eval-v-varI eval-v-uniqueness True
     by (simp add: eval-v.eval-v-varI)
  \mathbf{next}
   case False
   hence atom x \sharp (V\text{-}var x') by simp
   then show ?thesis using eval-v-i-upd False V-var by fastforce
 qed
next
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 then obtain s1 and s2 where *:eval-v i (v1[x::=v]_{vv}) s1 \wedge eval-v i (v2[x::=v]_{vv}) s2 \wedge s' = SPair
s1 s2 using V-pair eval-v-elims subst-vv.simps by metis
 hence (i (x \mapsto s)) [v1] \sim s1 \wedge (i (x \mapsto s)) [v2] \sim s2 using V-pair by metis
 thus ?case using eval-v-pairI subst-vv.simps * V-pair by metis
next
 case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
 then obtain s1 where eval-v i (v1[x:=v]_{vv}) s1 using eval-v-elims subst-vv.simps by metis
 thus ?case using eval-v-consI V-cons
   by (metis eval-v-elims subst-vv.simps)
next
 case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)
 then obtain s1 where *: eval-v i (v1[x:=v]_{vv}) s1 \wedge s' = SConsp tyid dc b1 s1 using eval-v-elims
subst-vv.simps by metis
 hence i ( x \mapsto s ) \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s1 using V-consp by metis
 thus ?case using * eval-v-conspI by metis
qed
```

```
lemma subst-e-eval-v[simp]:
 fixes y::x and e::ce and v::v and e'::ce
  assumes i \ \llbracket \ e' \ \rrbracket \sim s' and e' = (e[y := v]_{cev}) and i \ \llbracket \ v \ \rrbracket \sim s
  shows (i (y \mapsto s)) \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s'
using assms proof(induct arbitrary: e rule: eval-e.induct)
 case (eval-e-valI \ i \ v1 \ sv)
  then obtain v1' where *:e = CE-val v1' \wedge v1 = v1'[y::=v]<sub>vv</sub>
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
 hence eval-v i (v1'[y:=v]_{vv}) sv using eval-e-valI by simp
 hence eval-v (i ( y \mapsto s )) v1' sv using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-valI by simp
  then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-valI * by meson
next
  case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
 then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op Plus v1'v2' \wedge v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev} \wedge v2 = v2'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
  hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]_{cev}) (SNum\ n1) \land eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]_{cev}) (SNum\ n2) using eval-e-plusI
 hence eval-e (i (y \mapsto s)) v1' (SNum \ n1) \land eval-e (i (y \mapsto s)) v2' (SNum \ n2) using subst-v-eval-v
eval-e-plusI
   using local.* by blast
 then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-plusI * by meson
next
 case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
 then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op LEq v1' v2' \wedge v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev} \wedge v2 = v2'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
 hence eval-e i (v1'|y:=v]_{cev}) (SNum n1) \land eval-e i (v2'|y:=v]_{cev}) (SNum n2) using eval-e-leqI by
 hence eval-e (i (y \mapsto s)) v1' (SNum \ n1) \land eval-e (i (y \mapsto s)) v2' (SNum \ n2) using subst-v-eval-v
eval-e-leqI
   using * by blast
 then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-leqI * by meson
 case (eval-e-eqI \ i \ v1 \ n1 \ v2 \ n2)
 then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-op Eq v1' v2' \wedge v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev} \wedge v2 = v2'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
 hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]_{cev}) n1 \land eval-e i (v2'[y::=v]_{cev}) n2 using eval-e-eqI by simp
 hence eval-e (i ( y \mapsto s )) v1' v1 \land eval-e (i ( y \mapsto s )) v2' v2 using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-eqI
   using * by blast
 then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-eqI * by meson
next
 case (eval-e-fstI i v1 s1 s2)
  then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-fst v1' \land v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
 hence eval-e i (v1'[y:=v]_{cev}) (SPair s1 s2) using eval-e-fstI by simp
 hence eval-e (i ( y \mapsto s )) v1' (SPair s1 s2) using eval-e-fstI * by metis
 then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-fstI * by meson
next
 case (eval-e-sndI \ i \ v1 \ s1 \ s2)
  then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-snd v1' \land v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule: ce.strong-induct, simp+)
 hence eval-e i (v1'[y:=v]_{cev}) (SPair\ s1\ s2) using eval-e-sndI by simp
 hence eval-e (i ( y \mapsto s )) v1' (SPair s1 s2) using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-snd1 * by blast
```

```
then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-sndI * by meson
 case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv1 v2 bv2)
 then obtain v1' and v2' where *:e = CE-concat v1' v2' \wedge v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev} \wedge v2 = v2'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by(nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
 hence eval-ei(v1'|y::=v|_{cev}) (SBitvec bv1) \land eval-ei(v2'|y::=v|_{cev}) (SBitvec bv2) using eval-e-concatI
by simp
 moreover hence eval-e (i (y \mapsto s)) v1' (SBitvec \ bv1) \land eval-e (i (y \mapsto s)) v2' (SBitvec \ bv2)
   using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-concatI * by blast
 ultimately show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-concatI * eval-v-uniqueness by (metis eval-e-concatI.hyps(1))
next
 case (eval-e-lenI i v1 bv)
 then obtain v1' where *:e = CE-len v1' \land v1 = v1'[y::=v]_{cev}
   using assms by (nominal-induct e rule:ce.strong-induct,simp+)
 hence eval-e i (v1'[y::=v]<sub>cev</sub>) (SBitvec bv) using eval-e-lenI by simp
 hence eval-e (i ( y \mapsto s )) v1' (SBitvec bv) using subst-v-eval-v eval-e-lenI * by blast
 then show ?case using RCLogic.eval-e-lenI * by meson
qed
lemma subst-c-eval-v[simp]:
 fixes v::v and c::c
 assumes i \ \llbracket \ v \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s and i \ \llbracket \ c[x::=v]_{cv} \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1 and
   (i (x \mapsto s)) \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s2
 shows s1 = s2
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s1 s2 rule: c.strong-induct)
 case C-true
 hence s1 = True \wedge s2 = True using eval-c-elims subst-cv.simps by auto
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case C-false
 hence s1 = False \land s2 = False using eval-c-elims subst-cv.simps by metis
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (C-conj c1 c2)
 hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]_{cv} \ AND \ c2[x::=v]_{cv}) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
 then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 \land s12)) \land eval\text{-}c \ i \ c1[x::=v]_{cv} \ s11 \land eval\text{-}c \ i \ c2[x::=v]_{cv}
s12 using
     eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(3) by metis
 moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c1 s21 \land eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c2 s22 \land
(s2 = (s21 \land s22)) using
    eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(3) C-conj by metis
 ultimately show ?case using C-conj by (meson eval-c-elims)
 case (C-disj\ c1\ c2)
 hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]<sub>cv</sub> OR c2[x::=v]<sub>cv</sub>) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
 then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 \lor s12)) \land eval\text{-}c \ i \ c1[x::=v]_{cv} \ s11 \land eval\text{-}c \ i \ c2[x::=v]_{cv}
s12 using
     eval-c-elims(4) by metis
 moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c1 s21 \land eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c2 s22 \land
(s2 = (s21 \lor s22)) using
    eval-c-elims(4) C-disj by metis
 ultimately show ?case using C-disj by (meson eval-c-elims)
```

```
case (C-not c1)
 then obtain s11 where (s1 = (\neg s11)) \land eval\text{-}c \ i \ c1[x::=v]_{cv} \ s11 \text{ using}
     eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(6) by (metis\ subst\text{-}cv.simps(7))
 moreover obtain s21 where eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c1 s21 \land (s2 = (\neg s21)) using
    eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(6) C-not by metis
 ultimately show ?case using C-not by (meson eval-c-elims)
next
  case (C-imp c1 c2)
 hence *:eval-c i (c1[x::=v]<sub>cv</sub> IMP c2[x::=v]<sub>cv</sub>) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
  then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 \longrightarrow s12)) \land eval-c \ i \ c1[x::=v]_{cv} \ s11 \land eval-c \ i
c2[x:=v]_{cv} \ s12 \ using
     eval-c-elims(5) by metis
 moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c1 s21 \land eval-c (i (x \mapsto s)) c2 s22 \land
(s2 = (s21 \longrightarrow s22)) using
    eval-c-elims(5) C-imp by metis
  ultimately show ?case using C-imp by (meson eval-c-elims)
next
 case (C-eq e1 e2)
 hence *: eval-c i (e1[x::=v]_{cev} == e2[x::=v]_{cev}) s1 using subst-cv.simps by auto
  then obtain s11 and s12 where (s1 = (s11 = s12)) \land eval-e \ i \ (e1[x::=v]_{cev}) \ s11 \land eval-e \ i
(e2[x::=v]_{cev}) \ s12 \ using
     eval-c-elims(7) by metis
 moreover obtain s21 and s22 where eval-e (i (x \mapsto s)) e1 s21 \land eval-e (i (x \mapsto s)) e2 s22 \land
(s2 = (s21 = s22)) using
    eval-c-elims(7) C-eq by metis
 ultimately show ?case using C-eq subst-e-eval-v by (metis eval-e-uniqueness)
qed
lemma wfI-upd:
 assumes wfI \Theta \Gamma i and wfRCV \Theta s b and wfG \Theta B ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
 shows wfI \Theta ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(x \mapsto s))
proof(subst wfI-def,rule)
 \mathbf{fix} \ xa
 assume as:xa \in toSet((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
 then obtain x1::x and b1::b and c1::c where xa: xa = (x1,b1,c1) using toSet.simps
   using prod-cases3 by blast
 have \exists sa. \ Some \ sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1 \ \mathbf{proof}(cases \ x=x1)
   case True
   hence b=b1 using as xa wfG-unique assms by metis
   hence Some s = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ b1 using assms True by simp
   then show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   hence (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet \Gamma using xa as by auto
   then obtain so where Some so = i \times 1 \land wfRCV \Theta so by using assms wfI-def as xo by auto
   hence Some sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1 \ using \ False \ by \ auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
```

next

```
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{wfI-upd-full}\colon
 fixes v::v
 assumes wfI \Theta G i and G = ((\Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) and wfRCV \Theta s b and wfG \Theta B (\Gamma'@((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
and \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
 shows wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) (i(x \mapsto s))
proof(subst wfI-def,rule)
 \mathbf{fix} \ xa
 assume as:xa \in toSet (\Gamma'@((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
  then obtain x1::x and b1::b and c1::c where xa: xa = (x1,b1,c1) using toSet.simps
   using prod-cases3 by blast
  have \exists sa. \ Some \ sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ x=x1)
   case True
   hence b=b1 using as xa wfG-unique-full assms by metis
   hence Some s = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ b1 \ using \ assms \ True \ by \ simp
   then show ?thesis by auto
  next
   {f case}\ {\it False}
   hence (x1,b1,c1) \in toSet (\Gamma'@\Gamma) using as xa by auto
   then obtain c1' where (x1,b1,c1') \in toSet(\Gamma'|x:=v|_{\Gamma_v}@\Gamma) using xa as wfG-member-subst assms
False by metis
   then obtain sa where Some \ sa = i \ x1 \ \land \ wfRCV \ \Theta \ sa \ b1 using assms wfI\text{-}def as xa by blast
   hence Some sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) \ x1 \land wfRCV \Theta \ sa \ b1 \ using False by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
 thus case xa of (xa, ba, ca) \Rightarrow \exists sa. Some sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) xa \land wfRCV \Theta sa ba using xa by auto
qed
lemma subst-c-satis[simp]:
 fixes v::v
 assumes i \llbracket v \rrbracket \simeq s and wfC \Theta B ((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c and wfI \Theta \Gamma i and \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
 shows i \models (c[x::=v]_{cv}) \longleftrightarrow (i (x \mapsto s)) \models c
  have wfI \Theta ((x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(x \mapsto s)) using wfI-upd assms wfC-wf eval-v-base by blast
  then obtain s1 where s1:eval-c (i(x \mapsto s)) c s1 using eval-c-exist of \Theta ((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) (i (x \mapsto s)
s)) B c \mid assms by auto
  have \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv} using wf-subst1(2)[OF assms(2) - assms(4), of GNil x
subst-qv.simps by simp
  then obtain s2 where s2:eval-c i c[x::=v]_{cv} s2 using eval-c-exist[of \Theta \Gamma i B c[x::=v]_{cv}] assms
by auto
 show ?thesis using s1 s2 subst-c-eval-v[OF assms(1) s2 s1] is-satis.cases
   using eval-c-uniqueness is-satis.simps by auto
qed
```

thus case xa of  $(xa, ba, ca) \Rightarrow \exists sa. Some sa = (i(x \mapsto s)) xa \land wfRCV \Theta sa ba using xa by auto$ 

```
Key theorem telling us we can replace a substitution with an update to the valuation
```

```
lemma subst-c-satis-full:
  fixes v::v and \Gamma'::\Gamma
  assumes i \parallel v \parallel \sim s and wfC \Theta B (\Gamma'@((x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) c and wfI \Theta ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) i and \Theta
; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b
  \mathbf{shows}\ i \models (c[x ::= v]_{cv}) \longleftrightarrow (i\ (\ x \mapsto s)) \models c
proof -
  have wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@((x, b, c')) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) (i(x \mapsto s)) using wfI-upd-full assms wfC-wf eval-v-base wfI-suffix
wfI-def wfV-wf  by fast
  then obtain s1 where s1:eval-c (i(x \mapsto s)) c s1 using eval-c-exist of \Theta (\Gamma'@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) (i (x \mapsto s))
(\mapsto s)) \ B \ c \ ] \ assms \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  have \Theta; B; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) \vdash_{wf} c[x::=v]_{cv} using wbc-subst assms by auto
  then obtain s2 where s2:eval-c i c[x::=v]_{cv} s2 using eval-c-exist[of\ \Theta\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma)\ i\ B
c[x:=v]_{cv} ] assms by auto
  show ?thesis using s1 s2 subst-c-eval-v[OF assms(1) s2 s1] is-satis.cases
    using eval-c-uniqueness is-satis.simps by auto
qed
```

## 11.5 Validity

```
lemma validI[intro]:
fixes c::c
assumes wfC \ P \ B \ G \ c and \forall i. \ P \ ; \ G \vdash i \land i \models G \longrightarrow i \models c
shows P \ ; \ B \ ; \ G \models c
using assms \ valid.simps by presburger

lemma valid-g-wf:
fixes c::c and G::\Gamma
assumes P \ ; \ B \ ; \ G \models c
shows P \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} \ G
using assms \ wfC-wf \ valid.simps by blast

lemma valid-reflI \ [intro]:
fixes b::b
assumes P \ ; \ B \ ; \ ((x,b,c1)\#_{\Gamma}G) \vdash_{wf} c1 and c1 = c2
shows P \ ; \ B \ ; \ ((x,b,c1)\#_{\Gamma}G) \models c2
using satis-reflI \ assms by simp
```

## 11.5.1 Weakening and Strengthening

Adding to the domain of a valuation doesn't change the result

```
lemma eval-v-weakening:
fixes c::v and B::bv fset
assumes i = i'| ' d and supp c \subseteq atom ' d \cup supp B and i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
shows i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary:s rule: v.strong-induct)
case (V-lit x)
then show ?case using eval-v-elims eval-v-litI by metis
```

```
case (V - var x)
 have atom x \in atom 'd using x-not-in-b-set[of x B] assms v.supp(2) supp-at-base
 proof -
   show ?thesis
     by (metis UnE V-var.prems(2) (atom x \notin supp B) singletonI subset-iff supp-at-base v.supp(2))
 qed
 moreover have Some s = i x using assms eval-v-elims(2)
   using V-var.prems(3) by blast
 hence Some \ s=i' \ x \ using \ assms \ insert-subset \ restrict-in
 proof -
   show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types) \langle i=i' \mid 'i \rangle \langle Some \ s=i \ x \rangle atom-eq-iff calculation imageE restrict-in)
 thus ?case using eval-v.eval-v-varI by simp
next
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-elims(3) eval-v-pairI v.supp
   by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
\mathbf{next}
 case (V-cons dc v1)
 then show ?case using eval-v-elims(4) eval-v-consI v.supp
   by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
 case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)
 then obtain sv1 where *: i [v1] \sim sv1 \land s = SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ sv1 using eval-v-elims by metis
 hence i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim sv1 using V-consp by auto
 then show ?case using * eval-v-conspI v.supp eval-v.simps assms le-sup-iff by metis
\mathbf{qed}
lemma eval-v-restrict:
 fixes c::v and B::bv fset
 assumes i = i' \mid d and supp \ c \subseteq atom \ d \cup supp \ B and i' \parallel c \parallel a
 shows i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
\mathbf{using} \ assms \ \mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ c \ arbitrary:s \ rule: \ v.strong\text{-}induct)
 case (V-lit x)
 then show ?case using eval-v-elims eval-v-litI by metis
 case (V\text{-}var\ x)
 have atom x \in atom 'd using x-not-in-b-set[of x B] assms v.supp(2) supp-at-base
 proof -
   show ?thesis
     by (metis UnE V-var.prems(2) (atom x \notin supp B) singletonI subset-iff supp-at-base v.supp(2))
 qed
  moreover have Some s = i'x using assms eval-v-elims(2)
   using V-var.prems(3) by blast
 \mathbf{hence}\ \mathit{Some}\ \mathit{s}=\mathit{i}\ \mathit{x}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{insert\text{-}subset}\ \mathit{restrict\text{-}in}
 proof -
   show ?thesis
```

next

```
by (metis\ (no\text{-types})\ (i=i'\mid `d)\ (Some\ s=i'\ x)\ atom-eq-iff\ calculation\ imageE\ restrict-in)
 qed
 thus ?case using eval-v.eval-v-varI by simp
next
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-elims(3) eval-v-pairI v.supp
   by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
 case (V-cons \ dc \ v1)
 then show ?case using eval-v-elims(4) eval-v-consI v.supp
   by (metis assms le-sup-iff)
next
 case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)
 then obtain sv1 where *:i' [ v1  ] ^\sim sv1 \wedge s = SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ sv1 using eval-v-elims by metis
 hence i \parallel v1 \parallel \sim sv1 using V-consp by auto
 then show ?case using * eval-v-conspI v.supp eval-v.simps assms le-sup-iff by metis
qed
lemma eval-e-weakening:
 fixes e::ce and B::bv fset
 assumes i [e] \sim s and i = i' | d and supp e \subseteq atom d \cup supp B
 shows i' \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
 case (eval-e-valI \ i \ v \ sv)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by auto
 case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by auto
 case (eval-e-legI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
   then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
     using eval-v-weakening by auto
next
 case (eval-e-eqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
   then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by auto
next
 case (eval-e-fstI \ i \ v \ v1 \ v2)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by metis
next
 case (eval\text{-}e\text{-}sndI \ i \ v \ v1 \ v2)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by metis
\mathbf{next}
 case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv2 v2 bv1)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by auto
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (eval-e-lenI \ i \ v \ bv)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-weakening by auto
qed
lemma eval-e-restrict:
 fixes e::ce and B::bv fset
 assumes i' \llbracket e \rrbracket \sim s and i = i' \mid d and supp \ e \subseteq atom \ d \cup supp \ B
 shows i \parallel e \parallel \sim s
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
 case (eval-e-valI \ i \ v \ sv)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
 case (eval-e-plusI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
 case (eval-e-leqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
   then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
     using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
 case (eval-e-eqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
   then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-restrict by auto
next
 case (eval-e-fstI \ i \ v \ v1 \ v2)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
    using eval-v-restrict by metis
 case (eval\text{-}e\text{-}sndI \ i \ v \ v1 \ v2)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-restrict by metis
next
 case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv2 v2 bv1)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{eval-v-restrict} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
next
 case (eval-e-lenI \ i \ v \ bv)
 then show ?case using ce.supp eval-e.intros
   using eval-v-restrict by auto
qed
lemma eval-c-i-weakening:
 fixes c::c and B::bv fset
 assumes i \ [c] \sim s and i = i' \mid d and supp \ c \subseteq atom \ d \cup supp \ B
 shows i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
using assms proof(induct rule:eval-c.induct)
 case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-weakening by auto
qed(auto\ simp\ add:\ eval\text{-}c.intros)+
```

```
lemma eval-c-i-restrict:
  fixes c::c and B::bv fset
 assumes \ i' [ \ c ]] ^{\sim} \ s and \ i=i' | ' \ d and \ supp\ c\subseteq atom ' \ d\cup supp\ B
 shows i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
using assms proof(induct rule:eval-c.induct)
  case (eval-c-eqI i e1 sv1 e2 sv2)
  then show ?case using eval-c.intros eval-e-restrict by auto
qed(auto simp add: eval-c.intros)+
lemma is-satis-i-weakening:
  fixes c::c and B::bv fset
  assumes i = i' \mid 'd and supp \ c \subseteq atom \ 'd \cup supp \ B and i \models c
 shows i' \models c
  using is-satis.simps eval-c-i-weakening [OF - assms(1) \ assms(2)]
  using assms(3) by auto
lemma is-satis-i-restrict:
 fixes c::c and B::bv fset
  assumes i = i' \mid 'd and supp \ c \subseteq atom \ 'd \cup supp \ B and i' \models c
 shows i \models c
  using is-satis.simps eval-c-i-restrict[OF - assms(1) assms(2)]
  using assms(3) by auto
lemma is-satis-g-restrict1:
  fixes \Gamma' :: \Gamma and \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and i \models \Gamma'
  shows i \models \Gamma
using assms proof(induct \ \Gamma \ rule: \Gamma.induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ xbc \ G)
 obtain x and b and c::c where xbc: xbc=(x,b,c)
     using prod-cases3 by blast
 hence i \models G using GCons by auto
  moreover have i \models c using GCons
   is-satis-iff toSet.simps subset-iff
   using xbc by blast
  ultimately show ?case using is-satis-g.simps GCons
   by (simp add: xbc)
qed
lemma is-satis-g-restrict2:
 fixes \Gamma' :: \Gamma and \Gamma :: \Gamma
 \textbf{assumes} \ i \models \Gamma \ \textbf{and} \quad i' = i \ | \ `d \ \textbf{and} \ \textit{atom-dom} \ \Gamma \subseteq \textit{atom} \ `d \ \textbf{and} \ \Theta \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
 shows i' \models \Gamma
using assms proof(induct \Gamma rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x \ b \ c \ G)
```

```
hence i' \models G \text{ proof } -
   have i \models G using GCons by simp
   \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{atom-dom} \ \mathit{G} \subseteq \mathit{atom} \ \textit{`d} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{GCons} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{simp}
   ultimately show ?thesis using GCons wfG-cons2 by blast
  qed
  moreover have i' \models c \text{ proof } -
   have i \models c using GCons by auto
   moreover have \Theta; B; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c using wfG-wfC GCons by simp
   moreover hence supp \ c \subseteq atom \ 'd \cup supp \ B \ using \ wfC-supp \ GCons \ atom-dom-eq \ by \ blast
   ultimately show ?thesis using is-satis-i-restrict[of i' i d c] GCons by simp
  qed
 ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
lemma is-satis-g-restrict:
  fixes \Gamma' :: \Gamma and \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes toSet \ \Gamma \subseteq toSet \ \Gamma' and i' \models \Gamma' and i = i' \mid `(fst \ `toSet \ \Gamma) \  and \Theta \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
 shows i \models \Gamma
 using assms is-satis-g-restrict1[OF assms(1) assms(2)] is-satis-g-restrict2[OF - assms(3)] by simp
11.5.2
            Updating valuation
lemma is-satis-c-i-upd:
  fixes c::c
 assumes atom x \sharp c and i \models c
 shows ((i (x \mapsto s))) \models c
  using assms eval-c-i-upd is-satis.simps by simp
lemma is-satis-g-i-upd:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes atom x \sharp G and i \models G
 shows ((i (x \mapsto s))) \models G
using assms proof(induct G rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons\ x'\ b'\ c'\ G')
 hence *: atom x \sharp G' \land atom x \sharp c'
   using fresh-def fresh-GCons GCons by force
  moreover hence is-satis ((i ( x \mapsto s))) c'
   using is-satis-c-i-upd GCons is-satis-g.simps by auto
  moreover have is-satis-g (i(x \mapsto s)) G' using GCons * by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
   using GCons\ is-satis-g.simps(2) by metis
qed
lemma valid-weakening:
 assumes \Theta; B; \Gamma \models c and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and wfG \Theta B \Gamma'
 shows \Theta ; B ; \Gamma' \models c
proof -
```

```
have wfC \Theta B \Gamma c using assms valid.simps by auto
  hence sp: supp c \subseteq atom '(fst 'toSet \Gamma) \cup supp B using wfX-wfY wfG-elims
   using atom-dom.simps dom.simps wf-supp(2) by metis
 have wfg: wfG \Theta B \Gamma using assms valid.simps wfC-wf by auto
  moreover have a1: (\forall i. wfI \Theta \Gamma' i \land i \models \Gamma' \longrightarrow i \models c) proof(rule allI, rule impI)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume as: wfI \Theta \Gamma' i \wedge i \models \Gamma'
   hence as1: fst 'toSet \Gamma \subseteq dom \ i \ using \ assms \ wfI-domi \ by \ blast
   obtain i' where idash: i' = restrict-map i (fst 'toSet \Gamma) by blast
   hence as2: dom i' = (fst \ 'toSet \ \Gamma) using dom-restrict as1 by auto
   have id2: \Theta : \Gamma \vdash i' \land i' \models \Gamma \text{ proof } -
     have wfI \Theta \Gamma i' using as 2 wfI-restrict-weakening [of \Theta \Gamma' i i' \Gamma] as assms
       using idash by blast
     moreover have i' \models \Gamma using is-satis-g-restrict[OF assms(2)] wfg as idash by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis using idash by auto
   qed
   hence i' \models c using assms valid.simps by auto
   thus i \models c using assms valid.simps is-satis-i-weakening idash sp by blast
  qed
  moreover have wfC \Theta B \Gamma' c using wf-weakening assms valid.simps
   by (meson \ wfg)
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms valid.simps by auto
qed
lemma is-satis-g-suffix:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes i \models (G'@G)
  shows i \models G
  using assms proof(induct \ G' \ rule:\Gamma.induct)
  case GNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (GCons \ xbc \ x2)
  obtain x and b and c::c where xbc: xbc = (x,b,c)
     using prod-cases3 by blast
 hence i \models (xbc \#_{\Gamma} (x2 @ G)) using append-g.simps GCons by fastforce
 then show ?case using is-satis-g.simps GCons xbc by blast
qed
lemma wfG-inside-valid2:
 fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma and c\theta::c and c\theta'::c
  assumes wfG \Theta B (\Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) and
       \Theta ; B ; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
 shows wfG \Theta B (\Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
  have wfG \Theta B (\Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using valid.simps wfC-wf assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using wfG-replace-inside-full assms by auto
qed
```

```
lemma valid-trans:
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c\theta[z::=v]_v and \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c1 and atom z \sharp \Gamma and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B}
\Gamma v b
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c1[z::=v]_v
proof -
  have *:wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ((z,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) c1 using valid.simps assms by auto
 \mathbf{hence}\ \mathit{wfC}\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ (\mathit{c1}[z::=v]_v)\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wf-subst1}(2)[\mathit{OF}*,\mathit{of}\ \mathit{GNil}\ ]\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{subst-gv.simps}\ \mathit{subst-v-c-def}
by force
  moreover have \forall i. \ wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma \quad i \land is\text{-satis-}g \ i \ \Gamma \longrightarrow is\text{-satis} \ i \ (c1[z::=v]_v)
  proof(rule,rule)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume as: wfI \Theta \Gamma i \wedge is-satis-g i \Gamma
    then obtain sv where sv: eval-v i v sv \wedge wfRCV \Theta sv b using eval-v-exist assms by metis
    hence is-satis i (c\theta[z:=v]_v) using assms valid.simps as by metis
      hence is-satis (i(z \mapsto sv)) c0 using subst-c-satis sv as assms valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-elim2
subst-v-c-def by metis
    moreover have is-satis-g (i(z \mapsto sv)) \Gamma
       using is-satis-g-i-upd assms by (simp add: as)
    ultimately have is-satis-g (i(z \mapsto sv)) ((z,b,c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
       using is-satis-g.simps by simp
     moreover have wfI \Theta ((z,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) (i(z \mapsto sv)) using as wfI-upd sv assms valid simps wfC-wf
by metis
    ultimately have is-satis (i(z \mapsto sv)) c1 using assms valid.simps by auto
   thus is-satis i (c1[z::=v]_v) using subst-c-satis sv as assms valid simps wfC-wf wfG-elim2 subst-v-c-def
by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by auto
qed
lemma valid-trans-full:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, c1[z1::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[z2::=V-var x]_v and
            \Theta \hspace{0.1cm} ; \hspace{0.1cm} \mathcal{B} \hspace{0.1cm} ; \hspace{0.1cm} ((x, \hspace{0.1cm} b, \hspace{0.1cm} c2[z2 ::= V \text{-} var \hspace{0.1cm} x]_{v}) \hspace{0.1cm} \#_{\Gamma} \hspace{0.1cm} \Gamma) \hspace{0.1cm} \models \hspace{0.1cm} c3[z3 ::= V \text{-} var \hspace{0.1cm} x]_{v}
          shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, c1[z1::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c3[z3::=V-var x]_v
unfolding valid.simps proof
 \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ (x,\ b,\ c1[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma\ \vdash_{wf}\ c3[z3::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v\ \mathbf{using}\ \textit{wf-trans}\ \textit{valid.simps}
assms by metis
  show \forall i. ( wfI \Theta ((x, b, c1[z1::=V-var x]<sub>v</sub>) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge (is-satis-g i ((x, b, c1[z1::=V-var x]<sub>v</sub>) \#_{\Gamma}
\Gamma)) \longrightarrow (is-satis i (c3[z3::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)))
  proof(rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume as: \Theta; (x, b, c1[z1::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \land i \models (x, b, c1[z1::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    have i \models c2[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v using is-satis-g.simps as assms by simp
    moreover have i \models \Gamma using is-satis-g.simps as by simp
    ultimately show i \models c\Im[z\Im ::= V\text{-}var\ x]_v using assms is-satis-g.simps valid.simps
       by (metis append-g.simps(1) as wfI-replace-inside)
  qed
qed
```

```
fixes c::v
 assumes i' [ [ c ] ] \sim s and atom \ x \ \sharp \ c and i = i' \ (x \mapsto s')
 shows i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
 \mathbf{using} \ assms \ \mathbf{proof}(induct \ rule: \ eval\text{-}v.induct)
case (eval-v-litI \ i \ l)
 then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
 case (eval-v-varI sv i1 x1)
 hence x \neq x1 using v.fresh fresh-at-base by auto
 hence i x1 = Some \ sv \ using \ eval-v-varI \ by \ simp
 then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
\mathbf{next}
case (eval-v-pairI i v1 s1 v2 s2)
 then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
 case (eval-v-consI i v s tyid dc)
 then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
next
case (eval\text{-}v\text{-}conspI \ i \ v \ s \ tyid \ dc \ b)
 then show ?case using eval-v.intros by auto
qed
lemma eval-e-weakening-x:
 fixes c::ce
 assumes i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s and atom x \sharp c and i = i' (x \mapsto s')
 shows i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
using assms proof(induct rule: eval-e.induct)
case (eval-e-valI \ i \ v \ sv)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
 \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{eval-e-plusI} \ i \ v1 \ n1 \ v2 \ n2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
 case (eval-e-legI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
 case (eval-e-eqI i v1 n1 v2 n2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
 case (eval-e-fstI \ i \ v \ v1 \ v2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
case (eval\text{-}e\text{-}sndI \ i \ v \ v1 \ v2)
then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
 case (eval-e-concatI i v1 bv1 v2 bv2)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
next
 case (eval-e-lenI \ i \ v \ bv)
 then show ?case using eval-v-weakening-x eval-e.intros ce.fresh by metis
qed
```

```
lemma eval-c-weakening-x:
 fixes c::c
 assumes i' \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s and atom \ x \ \sharp \ c and i = i' \ (x \mapsto s')
 shows i \llbracket c \rrbracket \sim s
 using assms proof(induct rule: eval-c.induct)
case (eval\text{-}c\text{-}trueI\ i)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
 case (eval\text{-}c\text{-}falseI\ i)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
 case (eval-c-conjI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
 case (eval-c-disjI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
 case (eval-c-impI i c1 b1 c2 b2)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (eval\text{-}c\text{-}notI\ i\ c\ b)
 then show ?case using eval-c.intros by auto
next
 case (eval\text{-}c\text{-}eqI\ i\ e1\ sv1\ e2\ sv2)
 then show ?case using eval-e-weakening-x c.fresh eval-c.intros by metis
lemma is-satis-weakening-x:
 assumes i' \models c and atom x \sharp c and i = i' (x \mapsto s)
 shows i \models c
 using eval-c-weakening-x assms is-satis.simps by simp
lemma is-satis-g-weakening-x:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes i' \models G and atom x \sharp G and i = i' (x \mapsto s)
 shows i \models G
 using assms proof(induct G rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
 hence atom x \sharp c' using fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by simp
 moreover hence i \models c' using is-satis-weakening-x is-satis-q.simps(2) GCons by metis
 then show ?case using is-satis-g.simps(2)[of\ i\ x'\ b'\ c'\ \Gamma'] GCons fresh-GCons by simp
qed
```

## 11.6 Base Type Substitution

The idea of boxing is to take an smt val and its base type and at nodes in the smt val that correspond to type variables we wrap them in an SUt smt val node. Another way of looking at it is that s' where the node for the base type variable is an 'any node'. It is needed to prove subst\_b\_valid - the base-type variable substitution lemma for validity.

The first rcl-val is the expanded form (has type with base-variables replaced with base-type terms); the second is its corresponding form

We only have one variable so we need to ensure that in all of the bs-boxed-BVarI cases, the s has the same base type.

For example is an SMT value is (SPair (SInt 1) (SBool true)) and it has sort (BPair (BVar x) BBool)[x::=BInt] then the boxed version is SPair (SUt (SInt 1)) (SBool true) and is has sort (BPair (BVar x) BBool). We need to do this so that we can obtain from a valuation i, that gives values like the first smt value, to a valuation i' that gives values like the second.

```
inductive boxed-b :: \Theta \Rightarrow rcl-val \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow rcl-val \Rightarrow bool ( - \vdash - \sim - [ - ::= - ] \ - [50,50]
boxed-b-BVar1I: \parallel bv = bv'; \quad wfRCV \ P \ s \ \parallel \implies boxed-b \ P \ s \ (B-var \ bv') \ bv \ b \ (SUt \ s)
 boxed-b-BVar2I: \ [bv \neq bv'; wfRCV P s \ (B-var bv') \ ] \implies boxed-b P s \ (B-var bv') bv b s
 boxed-b-BIntI:wfRCV P s B-int \Longrightarrow boxed-b P s B-int - - s
 boxed-b-BBoolI:wfRCV \ P \ s \ B-bool \implies boxed-b P \ s \ B-bool - - s
 boxed-b-BUnitI:wfRCV P s B-unit \Longrightarrow boxed-b P s B-unit - - s
 boxed-b-BPairI: [boxed-b\ P\ s1\ b1\ bv\ b\ s1';\ boxed-b\ P\ s2\ b2\ bv\ b\ s2']] \implies boxed-b\ P\ (SPair\ s1\ s2)
(B\text{-pair }b1\ b2)\ bv\ b\ (SPair\ s1'\ s2')
\mid boxed-b-BConsI: \llbracket
     AF-typedef tyid dclist \in set P;
     (dc, \{x:b\mid c\}) \in set\ dclist;
     boxed-b P s1 b bv b' s1'
     boxed-b P (SCons tyid dc s1) (B-id tyid) bv b' (SCons tyid dc s1')
| boxed-b-BConspI: | AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist \in set P;
     atom bva \sharp (b1,bv,b',s1,s1');
     (dc, \{x:b\mid c\}) \in set\ dclist;
     boxed-b P s1 (b[bva::=b1]_{bb}) bv b' s1'
     boxed-b P (SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b']bb s1) (B-app tyid b1) bv b' (SConsp tyid dc b1 s1')
| boxed-b-Bbitvec: wfRCV P s B-bitvec \Longrightarrow boxed-b P s B-bitvec by b s
equivariance boxed-b
nominal-inductive boxed-b.
inductive-cases boxed-b-elims:
boxed-b P s (B-var bv) bv' b s'
boxed-b P s B-int bv b s'
boxed-b P s B-bool bv b s'
boxed-b P s B-unit bv b s'
boxed-b P s (B-pair b1 b2) bv b s'
boxed-b P s (B-id dc) bv b s'
```

```
boxed-b P s B-bitvec bv b s'
boxed-b P s (B-app dc b') bv b s'
lemma boxed-b-wfRCV:
 assumes boxed-b P s b bv b' s' and \vdash_{wf} P
 shows wfRCV P s b[bv:=b']_{bb} \wedge wfRCV P s' b
 using assms proof(induct rule: boxed-b.inducts)
case (boxed-b-BVar1I bv bv' P s b )
 then show ?case using wfRCV.intros by auto
next
 case (boxed-b-BVar2I bv bv' P s )
 then show ?case using wfRCV.intros by auto
 case (boxed-b-BPairI P s1 b1 bv b s1' s2 b2 s2')
 then show ?case using wfRCV.intros rcl-val.supp by simp
 case (boxed-b-BConsI tyid dclist P dc x b c s1 bv b' s1')
 hence supp \ b = \{\} using wfTh-supp-b by metis
 hence b \ [bv := b']_{bb} = b using fresh-def subst-b-def forget-subst [of bv b b'] by auto
 hence P \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1: (B-id\ tyid) using wfRCV.intros\ rcl-val.supp\ subst-bb.simps\ boxed-b-BConsI
by metis
 moreover have P \vdash SCons \ tyid \ dc \ s1' : B-id \ tyid \ using \ boxed-b-BConsI
   using wfRCV.intros rcl-val.supp subst-bb.simps boxed-b-BConsI by metis
 ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps by metis
 case (boxed-b-BConspI tyid bva dclist P b1 bv b' s1 s1' dc x b c)
 obtain bva2 and dclist2 where *: AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist = AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2
           atom\ bva2\ \sharp\ (bv,(P,\ SConsp\ tyid\ dc\ b1[bv::=b']_{bb}\ s1,\ B-app\ tyid\ b1[bv::=b']_{bb}))
   using obtain-fresh-by by metis
 then obtain x2 and b2 and c2 where **:(dc, \{ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) \in set \ dclist2)
   using boxed-b-BConspI td-lookup-eq-iff type-def.eq-iff by metis
 have P \vdash SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b1[bv::=b']_{bb} \ s1 : (B-app \ tyid \ b1[bv::=b']_{bb}) proof
   show 1: \langle AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 \in set P \rangle using boxed-b-BConspI * by auto
   show 2: (dc, \{x2:b2 \mid c2\}) \in set \ dclist2) using boxed-b-BConspI using ** by simp
   hence atom bv \sharp b2 \text{ proof} -
     have supp \ b2 \subseteq \{ atom \ bva2 \}  using wfTh-poly-supp-b 1 2 boxed-b-BConspI by auto
     moreover have bv \neq bva2 using * fresh-Pair fresh-at-base by metis
     ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by force
   qed
  moreover have b[bva::=b1]_{bb} = b2[bva2::=b1]_{bb} using wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff * 2 boxed-b-BConspI
    ultimately show \langle P \mid s1 : b2[bva2::=b1[bv::=b']_{bb}]_{bb} \rangle using boxed-b-BConspI subst-b-def
subst-bb-commute by auto
   show atom bva2 \sharp (P, SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b]<sub>bb</sub> s1, B-app tyid b1[bv::=b]<sub>bb</sub>) using * fresh-Pair
by metis
 qed
```

```
moreover have P \vdash SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ s1' : B-app \ tyid \ b1 \ proof
   show \langle AF-typedef-poly tyid bva dclist \in set \ P \rangle using boxed-b-BConspI by auto
   show (dc, \{x: b \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \ using \ boxed-b-BConspI \ by \ auto
   show \langle P \vdash s1' : b[bva::=b1]_{bb} \rangle using boxed-b-BConspI by auto
   have atom bva \sharp P using boxed-b-BConspI wfTh-fresh by metis
    thus atom bva $\pm$ (P, SConsp tyid dc b1 s1', B-app tyid b1) using boxed-b-BConspI rcl-val.fresh
b.fresh pure-fresh fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using subst-bb.simps by simp
qed(auto)+
lemma subst-b-var:
  assumes B-var\ bv2 = b[bv:=b']_{bb}
  shows (b = B\text{-}var\ bv \land b' = B\text{-}var\ bv2) \lor (b=B\text{-}var\ bv2 \land bv \neq bv2)
using assms by(nominal-induct b rule: b.strong-induct,auto+)
Here the valuation i' is the conv wrap version of i. For every x in G, i' x is the conv wrap
version of i x
inductive boxed-i :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow b \Rightarrow bv \Rightarrow valuation \Rightarrow valuation \Rightarrow bool ( - ; -; -, - \vdash - \approx - [50,50]
50) where
boxed-i-GNilI: \Theta; GNil; b, bv \vdash i \approx i
  | boxed-i-GConsI: \llbracket Some \ s = i \ x; \ boxed-b \ \Theta \ s \ b \ v \ b' \ s'; \ \Theta \ ; \ \Gamma \ ; \ b', \ bv \vdash i \approx i' \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \Theta \ ;
((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma); b', bv \vdash i \approx (i'(x \mapsto s'))
equivariance boxed-i
nominal-inductive boxed-i.
inductive-cases boxed-i-elims:
 \Theta; GNil; b, bv \vdash i \approx i'
 \Theta ; ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) ; b^{\,\prime} , bv \vdash i \approx i^{\,\prime}
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{wfRCV-poly-elims}:
  fixes tm::'a::fs and b::b
  assumes T \vdash SConsp \ typid \ dc \ bdc \ s : b
 obtains bva dclist x1 b1 c1 where b = B-app typid bdc \land
   AF-typedef-poly typid bva\ dclist \in set\ T \land (dc, \{x1:b1 \mid c1\}) \in set\ dclist \land T \vdash s:b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}
\wedge atom bva \sharp tm
using assms proof(nominal-induct SConsp typid dc bdc s b avoiding: tm rule:wfRCV.strong-induct)
  case (wfRCV-BConsPI bv dclist \Theta x b c)
  then show ?case by simp
qed
lemma boxed-b-ex:
  assumes wfRCV T s b[bv:=b']_{bb} and wfTh T
  shows \exists s'. boxed-b T s b bv b' s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct s arbitrary: b rule: rcl-val.strong-induct)
  case (SBitvec\ x)
   have *:b[bv:=b']_{bb} = B\text{-}bitvec \text{ using } wfRCV\text{-}elims(9)[OF SBitvec(1)] \text{ by } metis
```

```
show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   moreover have T \vdash SBitvec \ x : B\text{-}bitvec \ using \ wfRCV.intros \ by \ simp
   moreover hence b' = B-bitvec using True SBitvec \ subst-bb.simps * by \ simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
 next
   case False
   hence b = B-bitvec using subst-bb-inject * by metis
   then show ?thesis using * SBitvec boxed-b.intros by metis
 qed
next
 case (SNum\ x)
 have *:b[bv:=b']_{bb} = B-int using wfRCV-elims(10)[OF SNum(1)] by metis
 show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   moreover have T \vdash SNum \ x : B\text{-}int \ using \ wfRCV.intros \ by \ simp
   moreover hence b' = B-int using True SNum subst-bb.simps(1) * by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar11 wfRCV.intros by metis
 next
   case False
   hence b = B-int using subst-bb-inject(1) * by metis
   then show ?thesis using * SNum boxed-b-BIntI by metis
 qed
next
   have *:b[bv::=b']_{bb} = B\text{-bool using } wfRCV\text{-}elims(11)[OF\ SBool(1)] by metis
 show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   case True
   moreover have T \vdash SBool \ x : B\text{-}bool \ using \ wfRCV.intros \ by \ simp
   moreover hence b' = B-bool using True SBool \ subst-bb.simps * by \ simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
   case False
   hence b = B-bool using subst-bb-inject * by metis
   then show ?thesis using * SBool boxed-b.intros by metis
 qed
next
 case (SPair s1 s2)
 then obtain b1 and b2 where *:b[bv::=b']_{bb} = B-pair b1 b2 \land wfRCV T s1 b1 \land wfRCV T s2 b2
using wfRCV-elims(12) by metis
 show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   case True
   moreover have T \vdash SPair\ s1\ s2: B-pair\ b1\ b2 using wfRCV.intros* by simp
   moreover hence b' = B-pair b1 b2 using True SPair\ subst-bb.simps(1) * by\ simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I by metis
 next
   case False
  then obtain b1' and b2' where b = B-pair b1' b2' \wedge b1 = b1' [bv := b']_{bb} \wedge b2 = b2' [bv := b']_{bb} using
subst-bb-inject(5)[OF - False] * \mathbf{by} metis
   then show ?thesis using * SPair boxed-b-BPairI by blast
 qed
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case (SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1)
 have *:b[bv:=b']_{bb} = B-id tyid using wfRCV-elims(13)[OF SCons(2)] by metis
 show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   case True
   moreover have T \vdash SCons \ tyid \ dc \ s1 : B-id \ tyid \ using \ wfRCV.intros
     using local.* SCons.prems by auto
   moreover hence b' = B-id tyid using True SCons subst-bb.simps(1) * by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar11 wfRCV.intros by metis
  next
   case False
   then obtain b1' where beq: b = B-id \ tyid \ using \ subst-bb-inject * by metis
   then obtain b2 dclist x c where **: AF-typedef tyid dclist \in set T \land (dc, \{x:b2 \mid c\}) \in set dclist
\land wfRCV \ T \ s1 \ b2 \ using \ wfRCV-elims(13) * SCons \ by \ metis
   then have atom\ bv\ \sharp\ b2\ using\ \langle wfTh\ T\rangle\ wfTh-lookup-supp-empty[of\ tyid\ dclist\ T\ dc\ \{\!\{\ x:b2\ |\ c\ \}\!\}]
\tau.fresh fresh-def by auto
   then have b2 = b2[bv := b']_{bb} using forget-subst subst-b-def by metis
   then obtain s1' where s1:T \vdash s1 \sim b2 [ bv := b'] \ s1' using SCons ** by metis
  have T \vdash SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1 \sim (B-id\ tyid)\ [bv ::= b'] \setminus SCons\ tyid\ dc\ s1'\ \mathbf{proof}(rule\ boxed-b-BConsI)
     show AF-typedef tyid dclist \in set \ T \ \mathbf{using} ** \mathbf{by} \ auto
     show (dc, \{x: b2 \mid c\}) \in set \ dclist \ using ** by \ auto
     show T \vdash s1 \sim b2 [bv := b'] \setminus s1' using s1 ** by auto
   qed
   thus ?thesis using beq by metis
 qed
next
 case (SConsp\ typid\ dc\ bdc\ s)
 obtain bva dclist x1 b1 c1 where **:b[bv:=b']_{bb} = B-app typid bdc \land
  AF-typedef-poly typid bva\ dclist \in set\ T \land (dc, \{\{x1:b1\mid c1\}\}) \in set\ dclist \land T \vdash s:b1[bva::=bdc]_{bb}
\land atom bva \sharp bv
   using wfRCV-poly-elims [OF SConsp(2)] by metis
  then have *:B-app typid bdc = b[bv:=b']_{bb} using wfRCV-elims(14)[OF SConsp(2)] by metis
  show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   case True
   moreover have T \vdash SConsp \ typid \ dc \ bdc \ s : B-app \ typid \ bdc \ using \ wfRCV.intros
     using local.* SConsp.prems(1) by auto
   moreover hence b' = B-app typid bdc using True SConsp subst-bb.simps * by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
 next
   case False
  then obtain bdc' where bdc: b = B-app typid bdc' \wedge bdc = bdc'[bv:=b']_{bb} using * subst-bb-inject(8)[OF
*] by metis
   have atom bv \sharp b1 proof -
     have supp b1 \subseteq \{ atom \ bva \}  using wfTh-poly-supp-b ** SConsp by metis
     moreover have bv \neq bva using ** by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by force
   have T \vdash s : b1[bva:=bdc]_{bb} using ** by auto
```

```
moreover have b1[bva:=bdc']_{bb}[bv:=b']_{bb} = b1[bva:=bdc]_{bb} using bdc subst-bb-commute (atom bv
\sharp b1 \rangle by auto
   ultimately obtain s' where s':T \vdash s \sim b1[bva::=bdc']_{bb} [bv::=b'] \setminus s'
     using SConsp(1)[of \ b1[bva::=bdc']_{bb}] \ bdc \ SConsp \ by \ metis
    have T \vdash SConsp \ typid \ dc \ bdc'[bv::=b']_{bb} \ s \sim (B-app \ typid \ bdc') \ [bv::=b'] \setminus SConsp \ typid \ dc
bdc's'
   proof -
     obtain bva3 and dclist3 where 3:AF-typedef-poly typid bva3 dclist3 = AF-typedef-poly typid bva
dclist \wedge
           atom bva3 \sharp (bdc', bv, b', s, s') using obtain-fresh-bv by metis
     then obtain x3 b3 c3 where 4:(dc, \{ x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} ) \in set \ dclist3
          using boxed-b-BConspI td-lookup-eq-iff type-def.eq-iff
          by (metis **)
     show ?thesis proof
       show \langle AF-typedef-poly typid bva3 dclist3 \in set T \rangle using 3 ** by metis
       show \langle atom\ bva3\ \sharp\ (bdc',\ bv,\ b',\ s,\ s') \rangle using 3 by metis
       show 4:(dc, \{ x3:b3 \mid c3 \}) \in set \ dclist3) using 4 by auto
       have b3[bva3:=bdc']_{bb} = b1[bva:=bdc']_{bb} proof(rule wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff)
          show \langle AF-typedef-poly typid bva3 dclist3 \in set T \rangle using 3 ** by metis
          show \langle (dc, \{ x3 : b3 \mid c3 \}) \in set \ dclist3 \rangle using 4 by auto
          \mathbf{show} \ \langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly \ typid \ bva \ dclist \in set \ T \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ ** \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
          show \langle (dc, \{x1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \in set \ dclist \rangle using ** by auto
       qed(simp \ add: ** SConsp)
       thus \langle T \vdash s \sim b\beta[bva\beta ::= bdc']_{bb} [bv ::= b'] \setminus s' \rangle using s' by auto
     qed
   qed
   then show ?thesis using bdc by auto
 qed
next
  case SUnit
   have *:b[bv:=b']_{bb} = B-unit using wfRCV-elims SUnit by metis
 show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   moreover have T \vdash SUnit : B\text{-}unit \text{ using } wfRCV.intros \text{ by } simp
   moreover hence b' = B-unit using True SUnit\ subst-bb.simps * by\ simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros by metis
 next
   {f case}\ {\it False}
   hence b = B-unit using subst-bb-inject * by metis
   then show ?thesis using * SUnit boxed-b.intros by metis
 qed
next
  case (SUt \ x)
 then obtain bv' where *:b[bv:=b']_{bb} = B\text{-}var\ bv' using wfRCV\text{-}elims\ by\ metis
 show ?case proof (cases b = B-var bv)
   case True
   then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I
     using local.* wfRCV-BVarI by fastforce
 next
```

```
case False
   then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BVar1I boxed-b-BVar2I
     using local.* wfRCV-BVarI by (metis subst-b-var)
 qed
qed
lemma boxed-i-ex:
 assumes \textit{wfI} \ T \ \Gamma[\textit{bv}{::=}\textit{b}]_{\Gamma\textit{b}} \ \textit{i} \ \text{and} \ \textit{wfTh} \ T
 shows \exists i'. T; \Gamma; b, bv \vdash i \approx i'
using assms proof(induct \Gamma arbitrary: i rule:\Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using boxed-i-GNill by metis
 case (GCons x' b' c' \Gamma')
  then obtain s where 1:Some s = i x' \land wfRCV T s b'[bv::=b]_{bb} using wfI-def subst-gb.simps by
auto
  then obtain s' where 2: boxed-b T s b' bv b s' using boxed-b-ex GCons by metis
 then obtain i' where 3: boxed-i T \Gamma' b by i i' using GCons wfI-def subst-gb.simps by force
 have boxed-i T ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') b bv i (i'(x' \mapsto s')) proof
   show Some \ s = i \ x' using 1 by auto
   show boxed-b T s b' bv b s' using 2 by auto
   show T; \Gamma'; b, bv \vdash i \approx i' using 3 by auto
 qed
 thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma boxed-b-eq:
 assumes boxed-b \Theta s1 b bv b' s1' and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows wfTh \Theta \Longrightarrow boxed-b \ \Theta \ s2 \ b \ bv \ b' \ s2' \Longrightarrow (s1 = s2) = (s1' = s2')
using assms proof(induct arbitrary: s2 s2' rule: boxed-b.inducts)
 case (boxed-b-BVar1I bv bv' P s b )
 then show ?case
   using boxed-b-elims(1) rcl-val.eq-iff by metis
next
 case (boxed-b-BVar2I bv bv' P s b)
 then show ?case using boxed-b-elims(1) by metis
next
  case (boxed-b-BIntI \ P \ s \ uu \ uv)
 hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elims by metis
 then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (boxed-b-BBoolI P s uw ux)
 hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elims by metis
 then show ?case by auto
next
  case (boxed-b-BUnitIP s uy uz)
 hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elims by metis
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (boxed-b-BPairI P s1 b1 bv b s1' s2a b2 s2a')
 then show ?case
```

```
by (metis\ boxed-b-elims(5)\ rcl-val.eq-iff(4))
 case (boxed-b-BConsI tyid dclist P dc x b c s1 bv b' s1')
 obtain s22 and s22' dclist2 dc2 x2 b2 c2 where *:s2 = SCons tyid dc2 s22 \land s2' = SCons tyid dc2
s22' \land boxed-b P s22 b2 bv b' s22'
    \land AF-typedef tyid dclist2 \in set P \land (dc2, \{ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) \in set dclist2 using boxed-b-elims(6)[OF
boxed-b-BConsI(6)] by metis
 show ?case proof(cases dc = dc2)
   case True
   hence b = b2 using wfTh-ctor-unique \tau.eq-iff wfTh-dclist-unique wf boxed-b-BConsI * by metis
   then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BConsI True * by auto
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis using * boxed-b-BConsI by simp
 qed
next
 case (boxed-b-Bbitvec\ P\ s\ bv\ b)
 hence s2 = s2' using boxed-b-elims by metis
 then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (boxed-b-BConspI tyid bva dclist P b1 bv b' s1 s1' dc x b c)
 thm boxed-b-elims(8)[OF\ boxed-b-BConspI(7)]
 obtain bva2 s22 s22' dclist2 dc2 x2 b2 c2 where *:
    s2 = SConsp \ tyid \ dc2 \ b1[bv::=b']_{bb} \ s22 \ \land
    s2' = SConsp \ tyid \ dc2 \ b1 \ s22' \land
    boxed-b P s22 b2[bva2::=b1]<sub>bb</sub> bv b' s22' \wedge
   AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2\ dclist2 \in set\ P \land (dc2, \{x2:b2 \mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist2\ using\ boxed-b-elims(8)[OF]
boxed-b-BConspI(7)] by metis
 show ?case proof(cases dc = dc2)
   case True
   hence AF-typedef-poly tyid bva2 dclist2 \in set\ P \land (dc, \{ x2 : b2 \mid c2 \}) \in set\ dclist2 using * by
   hence b[bva::=b1]_{bb} = b2[bva2::=b1]_{bb} using wfTh-typedef-poly-b-eq-iff[OF boxed-b-BConspI(1)]
boxed-b-BConspI(3)] * boxed-b-BConspI by metis
   then show ?thesis using boxed-b-BConspI True * by auto
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis using * boxed-b-BConspI by simp
qed
lemma bs-boxed-var:
 assumes boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b' bv i i'
 shows Some (b,c) = lookup \ \Gamma \ x \Longrightarrow Some \ s = i \ x \Longrightarrow Some \ s' = i' \ x \Longrightarrow boxed-b \ \Theta \ s \ b \ v \ b' \ s'
 using assms proof(induct rule: boxed-i.inducts)
 case (boxed-i-GNilI T i)
 then show ?case using lookup.simps by auto
   case (boxed-i-GConsI s i x1 \Theta b1 bv b' s' \Gamma i' c)
 show ?case proof (cases x=x1)
   case True
```

```
then show ?thesis using boxed-i-GConsI
     fun-upd-same lookup.simps(2) option.inject prod.inject by metis
 next
   {f case}\ {\it False}
   then show ?thesis using boxed-i-GConsI
      fun-upd-same lookup.simps option.inject prod.inject by auto
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma eval-l-boxed-b:
 assumes [l] = s
 shows boxed-b \Theta s (base-for-lit l) bv b' s
using \ assms \ proof(nominal-induct \ l \ arbitrary: \ s \ rule:l.strong-induct)
qed(auto simp add: boxed-b.intros wfRCV.intros)+
lemma boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b:
 fixes v::v
 assumes boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b' bv i i' and i \llbracket v[bv:=b']_{vb} \rrbracket \sim s and i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s' and wfV \Theta B \Gamma v b
and wfI \Theta \Gamma i'
 shows boxed-b \Theta s b bv b' s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct v arbitrary: s s' b rule:v.strong-induct)
 case (V-lit\ l)
 hence [\![l]\!] = s \wedge [\![l]\!] = s' using eval-v-elims by auto
 moreover have b = base-for-lit\ l\ using\ wfV-elims(2)\ V-lit\ by\ metis
  ultimately show ?case using V-lit using eval-l-boxed-b subst-b-base-for-lit by metis
next
  case (V\text{-}var\ x)
 hence Some s = i x \wedge Some \ s' = i' x using eval-v-elims subst-vb.simps by metis
 moreover obtain c1 where bc:Some (b,c1) = lookup \Gamma x using wfV-elims V-var by metis
 ultimately show ?case using bs-boxed-var V-var by metis
next
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 then obtain b1 and b2 where b:b=B-pair b1 b2 using wfV-elims subst-vb.simps by metis
 obtain s1 and s2 where s: eval-v i (v1[bv:=b']_{vb}) s1 \wedge eval-v i (v2[bv:=b']_{vb}) s2 \wedge s = SPair s1
s2 using eval-v-elims V-pair subst-vb.simps by metis
 obtain s1' and s2' where s': eval-v~i'~v1~s1' \land eval-v~i'~v2~s2' \land s' = SPair~s1'~s2' using eval-v-elims
V-pair by metis
 thm boxed-b-BPairI
 have boxed-b \Theta (SPair s1 s2) (B-pair b1 b2) bv b' (SPair s1' s2') proof(rule boxed-b-BPairI)
   show boxed-b \Theta s1 b1 bv b' s1' using V-pair eval-v-elims wfV-elims b s s' b.eq-iff by metis
   show boxed-b \Theta s2 b2 bv b' s2' using V-pair eval-v-elims wfV-elims b s s' b.eq-iff by metis
 qed
  then show ?case using s \ s' \ b by auto
next
 case (V-cons tyid dc v1)
 obtain dclist \ x \ b1 \ c where *: b = B-id \ tyid \land AF-typedef \ tyid \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \land (dc, \{ x : b1 \mid c \})
\in \, set \, \, dclist \, \wedge \, \, \Theta \, \, ; \, B \, \, ; \, \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \, v1 \, : \, b1
   using wfV-elims(4)[OF\ V-cons(5)]\ V-cons\ by\ metis
  obtain s2 where s2: s = SCons \ tyid \ dc \ s2 \land i \ [ (v1[bv::=b']_{vb}) ] \sim s2 \ using \ eval-v-elims \ V-cons
```

```
subst-vb.simps by metis
   obtain s2' where s2': s' = SCons \ tyid \ dc \ s2' \land i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim s2' using eval-v-elims V-cons by metis
   have sp: supp \{ x : b1 \mid c \} = \{ \} using wfTh-lookup-supp-empty * wfX-wfY by metis
   have boxed-b \Theta (SCons tyid dc s2) (B-id tyid) by b' (SCons tyid dc s2')
    proof(rule\ boxed-b-BConsI)
      show 1:AF-typedef tyid dclist \in set \Theta using * by auto
      show 2:(dc, \{x: b1 \mid c\}) \in set\ dclist\ using * by\ auto
      have bvf:atom\ bv\ \sharp\ b1 using sp\ \tau.fresh\ fresh-def\ by\ auto
      show \Theta \vdash s2 \sim b1 \mid bv := b' \mid \ s2' \text{ using } V\text{-}cons \ s2 \ s2' * by metis
    ged
   then show ?case using * s2 s2' by simp
next
   case (V-consp tyid dc b1 v1)
   obtain bv2 dclist x2 b2 c2 where *: b = B-app tyid b1 \land AF-typedef-poly tyid bv2 dclist \in set \Theta \land AF-typedef-poly tyid \in set \Theta \land AF-ty
            (dc, \{x2:b2\mid c2\}) \in set\ dclist \land \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1:b2[bv2::=b1]_{bb}
      using wf-strong-elim(1)[OF V-consp (5)] by metis
   obtain s2 where s2: s = SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b1[bv::=b']_{bb} \ s2 \land i \ [ (v1[bv::=b']_{vb}) \ ] \sim s2
      using eval-v-elims V-consp subst-vb.simps by metis
   obtain s2' where s2': s' = SConsp \ tyid \ dc \ b1 \ s2' \land i' \ v1 \ \sim s2'
      using eval-v-elims V-consp by metis
    thm obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff
   have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using V-consp wfX-wfY by metis
  then obtain bv3::bv and dclist3 x3 b3 c3 where **: AF-typedef-poly tyid bv2 dclist = AF-typedef-poly
tyid \ bv3 \ dclist3 \ \land
                 (dc, \{ x3 : b3 \mid c3 \}) \in set \ dclist3 \land atom \ bv3 \ \sharp \ (b1, \ bv, \ b', \ s2, \ s2') \land b2[bv2::=b1]_{bb} =
b3[bv3:=b1]_{bb}
      using * obtain-fresh-bv-dclist-b-iff [where tm=(b1, bv, b', s2, s2')] by metis
   have boxed-b \Theta (SConsp tyid dc b1[bv::=b']<sub>bb</sub> s2) (B-app tyid b1) bv b' (SConsp tyid dc b1 s2')
    proof(rule\ boxed-b-BConspI[of\ tyid\ bv3\ dclist3\ \Theta,\ where\ x=x3\ and\ b=b3\ and\ c=c3])
      show 1:AF-typedef-poly tyid bv3 dclist3 \in set \Theta using * ** by auto
      show 2:(dc, \{ x3:b3 \mid c3 \}) \in set\ dclist3 using ** by auto
      show atom bv3 \sharp (b1, bv, b', s2, s2') using ** by auto
      show \Theta \vdash s2 \sim b3[bv3::=b1]_{bb} [bv ::=b'] \setminus s2' \text{ using } V\text{-}consp s2 s2' * ** by metis
   then show ?case using * s2 s2' by simp
qed
lemma boxed-b-eq-eq:
   assumes boxed-b \Theta n1 b1 bv b' n1' and boxed-b \Theta n2 b1 bv b' n2' and s = SBool (n1 = n2) and
\vdash_{wf} \Theta
    s' = SBool (n1' = n2')
   shows s=s'
using boxed-b-eq assms by auto
```

```
lemma boxed-i-eval-ce-boxed-b:
  fixes e::ce
  assumes i' \parallel e \parallel \sim s' and i \parallel e[bv:=b']_{ceb} \parallel \sim s and wfCE \Theta B \Gamma e b and boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b' bv i i'
and wfI \Theta \Gamma i'
  shows boxed-b \Theta s b bv b' s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct e arbitrary: s s' b b' rule: ce.strong-induct)
 case (CE\text{-}val\ x)
  then show ?case using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b eval-e-elims wfCE-elims subst-ceb.simps by metis
next
  case (CE-op opp v1 v2)
  show ?case proof(rule \ opp.exhaust)
   assume \langle opp = Plus \rangle
   have 1:wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v1 (B-int) using wfCE-elims CE-op \langle opp = Plus \rangle by metis
   have 2: wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v2 (B-int) using wfCE-elims CE-op \langle opp = Plus \rangle by metis
   have *:b = B-int using CE-op wfCE-elims
     by (metis \langle opp = plus \rangle)
     obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SNum \ (n1 + n2) \land i \ \llbracket \ v1 \lceil bv ::=b \rceil_{ceb} \ \rrbracket ^{\sim} SNum \ n1 \land i \ \llbracket
v2[bv:=b']_{ceb} ] \sim SNum\ n2 using eval-e-elims CE-op subst-ceb.simps \langle opp=plus \rangle by metis
   obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SNum (n1' + n2') \land i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket ^\sim SNum n1' \land i' \llbracket v2 \rrbracket ^\sim SNum
n2' using eval-e-elims Plus CE-op \langle opp = plus \rangle by metis
    have boxed-b \text{\text{$\text{$O$}} (SNum n1) B-int bv b' (SNum n1') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n n' CE-op
\langle opp = plus \rangle by metis
    moreover have boxed-b ⊖ (SNum n2) B-int bv b' (SNum n2') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n
n' CE-op by metis
   ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elims(2)
     bv (metis\ rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
   thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BIntI CE-op wfRCV.intros Plus by simp
  \mathbf{next}
   assume \langle opp = LEq \rangle
   have 1:wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v1 (B-int) using wfCE-elims CE-op \langle opp = LEq \rangle by metis
   have 2:wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v2 (B-int) using wfCE-elims CE-op \langle opp = LEq \rangle by metis
   hence *:b = B\text{-bool using } CE\text{-op } wfCE\text{-elims } \langle opp = LEq \rangle by metis
     obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SBool\ (n1 \le n2) \land i \ \llbracket \ v1[bv::=b']_{ceb} \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ SNum\ n1 \ \land i \ \llbracket
v2[bv:=b']_{ceb} \ \ \simeq SNum \ n2 using eval-e-elims subst-ceb.simps CE-op \langle opp=LEq \rangle by metis
   obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SBool (n1' \le n2') \land i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim SNum \ n1' \land i' \llbracket v2 \rrbracket \sim SNum
n2' using eval-e-elims CE-op \langle opp = LEq \rangle by metis
   have boxed-b \text{\text{$\text{$O$}}} (SNum n1) B-int bv b' (SNum n1') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n n' CE-op by
metis
    moreover have boxed-b \Theta (SNum n2) B-int by b' (SNum n2') using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b 1 2 n
n' CE-op by metis
   ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elims(2)
     by (metis\ rcl\text{-}val.eq\text{-}iff(2))
   thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BBoolI CE-op wfRCV.intros \langle opp = LEq \rangle by simp
```

```
next
   assume \langle opp = Eq \rangle
   obtain b1 where b1:wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v1 b1 \wedge wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v2 b1 using wfCE-elims CE-op \langle opp =
Eq by metis
   hence *:b = B-bool using CE-op wfCE-elims \langle opp = Eq \rangle by metis
   obtain n1 and n2 where n:s = SBool (n1 = n2) \wedge i [ v1[bv::=b']<sub>ceb</sub> ] ^{\sim} n1 \wedge i [ v2[bv::=b']<sub>ceb</sub>
\parallel ~ n2 using eval-e-elims subst-ceb.simps CE-op \langle opp = Eq \rangle by metis
    obtain n1' and n2' where n':s' = SBool (n1' = n2') \land i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket ^\sim n1' \land i' \llbracket v2 \rrbracket ^\sim n2' using
eval-e-elims CE-op \langle opp = Eq \rangle by metis
   have boxed-b ⊕ n1 b1 bv b' n1' using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b b1 n n' CE-op by metis
   moreover have boxed-b \Theta n2 b1 bv b' n2' using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b b1 n n' CE-op by metis
   moreover have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using b1 wfX-wfY by metis
   ultimately have s=s' using n' n boxed-b-elims
     boxed-b-eq-eq by metis
   thus ?thesis using * n n' boxed-b-BBoolI CE-op wfRCV.intros \langle opp = Eq \rangle by simp
 qed
\mathbf{next}
 case (CE-concat v1 v2)
 obtain bv1 and bv2 where s: s = SBitvec \ (bv1 @ bv2) \land (i \ \| \ v1 \ | bv::=b \ | _{ceb} \ \| \ ^{\sim} \ SBitvec \ bv1) \ \land i
 \llbracket \ v2 [bv{::=}b']_{ceb} \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ SBitvec \ bv2 
    using eval-e-elims(7) subst-ceb.simps CE-concat.prems(2) eval-e-elims(6) subst-ceb.simps(6) by
 obtain bv1' and bv2' where s': s' = SBitvec (bv1' @ bv2') \land i' \llbracket v1 \rrbracket \sim SBitvec bv1' \land i' \llbracket v2 \rrbracket
\sim SBitvec bv2'
   using eval-e-elims(7) CE-concat by metis
 then show ?case using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b wfCE-elims s s' CE-concat
    by (metis CE-concat.prems(3) assms assms(5) wfRCV-BBitvecI boxed-b-Bbitvec boxed-b-elims(7)
eval-e-concatI eval-e-uniqueness)
next
 case (CE\text{-}fst\ ce)
  obtain s2 where 1:i [ce[bv:=b']_{ceb}] \sim SPair s s2 using CE-fst eval-e-elims subst-ceb.simps by
 obtain s2' where 2:i' \parallel ce \parallel \sim SPair s' s2' using CE-fst eval-e-elims by metis
 obtain b2 where 3:wfCE \Theta B \Gamma ce (B-pair b b2) using wfCE-elims(4) CE-fst by metis
 have boxed-b \Theta (SPair s s2) (B-pair b b2) bv b' (SPair s' s2')
   using 1 2 3 CE-fst boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b boxed-b-BPairI by auto
  thus ?case using boxed-b-elims(5) by force
next
  case (CE-snd v)
  obtain s1 where 1:i [v[bv:=b']_{ceb}] \sim SPair s1 s using CE-snd eval-e-elims subst-ceb.simps by
 obtain s1' where 2:i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SPair s1' s' using CE-snd eval-e-elims by metis
 obtain b1 where 3:wfCE \Theta B \Gamma v (B-pair b1 b) using wfCE-elims(5) CE-snd by metis
 have boxed-b \Theta (SPair s1 s) (B-pair b1 b) bv b' (SPair s1 s') using 1 2 3 CE-snd boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b
```

by simp

```
thus ?case using boxed-b-elims(5) by force
next
 case (CE-len v)
  obtain s1 where s: i [v|bv:=b'|_{ceb}] \sim SBitvec s1 using CE-len eval-e-elims subst-ceb.simps by
 obtain s1' where s': i' \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec\ s1' using CE-len eval-e-elims by metis
 have \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-bitvec} \land b = B\text{-int} using wfCE-elims CE-len by metis
 then show ?case using boxed-i-eval-v-boxed-b s s' CE-len
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis boxed-b-BIntI boxed-b-elims}(\textit{?}) \ \textit{eval-e-lenI eval-e-uniqueness subst-ceb.simps}(\textit{5}) \ \textit{wfI-wfCE-eval-e})
qed
lemma eval-c-eq-bs-boxed:
 fixes c::c
 assumes i \ [\![ c[bv::=b]_{cb} \ ]\!] \sim s and i' \ [\![ c \ ]\!] \sim s' and wfC \Theta B \Gamma c and wfI \Theta \Gamma i' and \Theta ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}
  and boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b bv i i'
shows s = s'
using assms proof(nominal-induct c arbitrary: s s' rule:c.strong-induct)
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims subst-cb.simps by metis
next
  case C-false
 then show ?case using eval-c-elims subst-cb.simps by metis
 case (C-conj c1 c2)
  obtain s1 and s2 where 1: eval-c i (c1[bv:=b]_{cb}) s1 \land eval-c i (c2[bv:=b]_{cb}) s2 \land s = (s1 \land s2)
using C-conj eval-c-elims(3) subst-cb.simps(3) by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where 2:eval-c i' c1 s1' \land eval-c i' c2 s2' \land s' = (s1' \land s2') using C-conj
eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(3) by metis
 then show ?case using 1 2 wfC-elims C-conj by metis
next
 case (C-disj c1 c2)
  obtain s1 and s2 where 1: eval-c i (c1[bv:=b]_{cb}) s1 \land eval-c i (c2[bv:=b]_{cb}) s2 \land s = (s1 \lor s2)
using C-disj eval-c-elims(4) subst-cb-simps(4) by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where 2:eval\text{-}c\ i'\ c1\ s1' \land eval\text{-}c\ i'\ c2\ s2' \land s' = (s1 \lor s2') using C\text{-}disj
eval-c-elims(4) by metis
 then show ?case using 1 2 wfC-elims C-disj by metis
 case (C\text{-}not\ c)
  obtain s1::bool where 1: (i \ [ \ c[bv::=b]_{cb} \ ] \ ^{\sim} \ s1) \land (s = (\neg \ s1)) using C-not eval-c-elims(6)
subst-cb.simps(7) by metis
 obtain s1'::bool where 2: (i' \parallel c \parallel \sim s1') \wedge (s' = (\neg s1')) using C-not eval-c-elims(6) by metis
 then show ?case using 1 2 wfC-elims C-not by metis
next
 case (C-imp c1 c2)
  obtain s1 and s2 where 1: eval-c i (c1[bv:=b]_{cb}) s1 \land eval-c i (c2[bv:=b]_{cb}) s2 \land s = (s1 \longrightarrow
s2) using C-imp eval-c-elims(5) subst-cb.simps(5) by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where 2:eval-c i' c1 s1' \wedge eval-c i' c2 s2' \wedge s' = (s1' \longrightarrow s2') using C-imp
eval-c-elims(5) by metis
```

```
then show ?case using 1 2 wfC-elims C-imp by metis
 case (C-eq e1 e2)
 obtain be where be: wfCE \Theta B \Gamma e1 be \land wfCE \Theta B \Gamma e2 be using C-eq wfC-elims by metis
  obtain s1 and s2 where 1: eval-e i (e1[bv:=b]_{ceb}) s1 \land eval-e i (e2[bv:=b]_{ceb}) s2 \land s = (s1 =
s2) using C-eq eval-c-elims(7) subst-cb.simps(6) by metis
  obtain s1' and s2' where 2:eval-e \ i' \ e1 \ s1' \land eval-e \ i' \ e2 \ s2' \land s' = (s1' = s2') using C-eq
eval-c-elims(7) by metis
 have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using C-eq wfX-wfY by metis
 moreover have \Theta; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash i using C-eq by auto
 ultimately show ?case using boxed-b-eq[of \Theta s1 be bv b s1' s2 s2' | 1 2 boxed-i-eval-ce-boxed-b C-eq
wfC-elims subst-cb.simps 1 2 be by auto
qed
lemma is-satis-bs-boxed:
 fixes c::c
 assumes boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b bv i i' and wfC \Theta B \Gamma c and wfI \Theta \Gamma[bv ::= b]_{\Gamma b} i and \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i'
 and (i \models c[bv:=b]_{cb})
shows (i' \models c)
proof -
 have eval-c i (c[bv::=b]<sub>cb</sub>) True using is-satis.simps assms by auto
 moreover obtain s where i' \parallel c \parallel \sim s using eval-c-exist assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eq-bs-boxed assms is-satis.simps by metis
qed
lemma is-satis-bs-boxed-rev:
 fixes c::c
 assumes boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b bv i i' and wfC \Theta B \Gamma c and wfI \Theta \Gamma [bv := b]_{\Gamma b} i and \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' and wfC
\Theta \mid \{||\} \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} (c[bv:=b]_{cb})
 and (i' \models c)
shows (i \models c[bv:=b]_{cb})
proof
 have eval-c i' c True using is-satis.simps assms by auto
 moreover obtain s where i [ c[bv::=b]_{cb} ] ^{\sim} s using eval\text{-}c\text{-}exist assms by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eq-bs-boxed assms is-satis.simps by metis
qed
lemma bs-boxed-wfi-aux:
 fixes b::b and bv::bv and \Theta::\Theta and B::\mathcal{B}
 assumes boxed-i \Theta \ \Gamma \ b \ bv \ i \ i' and wfI \ \Theta \ \Gamma[bv ::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ i \ and \vdash_{wf} \Theta \ and \ wfG \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma
 shows \Theta : \Gamma \vdash i'
using assms proof(induct rule: boxed-i.inducts)
 case (boxed-i-GNilI T i)
 then show ?case using wfI-def by auto
next
 case (boxed-i-GConsI s i x1 T b1 bv b s' G i' c1)
   fix x2 b2 c2
   assume as: (x2,b2,c2) \in toSet ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G)
```

```
then consider (hd) (x2,b2,c2) = (x1, b1, c1) \mid (tail) (x2,b2,c2) \in toSet G using toSet.simps by
   hence \exists s. \ Some \ s = (i'(x1 \mapsto s')) \ x2 \land wfRCV \ T \ s \ b2 \ \mathbf{proof}(cases)
     case hd
     hence b1=b2 by auto
     moreover have (x^2, b^2[bv:=b]_{bb}, c^2[bv:=b]_{cb}) \in toSet ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} G)[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using hd
subst-qb.simps by simp
     moreover hence wfRCV \ T \ s \ b2[bv:=b]_{bb} using wfI-def boxed-i-GConsI hd
     proof -
       obtain ss::b\Rightarrow x\Rightarrow (x\Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val\ option)\Rightarrow type\text{-}def\ list\Rightarrow rcl\text{-}val\ \textbf{where}
         \forall x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4. \ (\exists \ v5. \ Some \ v5 = x3 \ x2a \ \land \ wfRCV \ x4 \ v5 \ x1a) = (Some \ (ss \ x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4) =
x3 \ x2a \land wfRCV \ x4 \ (ss \ x1a \ x2a \ x3 \ x4) \ x1a)
         by moura
         then have f1: Some (ss \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ x1 \ i \ T) = i \ x1 \ \land \ wfRCV \ T \ (ss \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ x1 \ i \ T)
b2[bv:=b]_{bb}
         using boxed-i-GConsI.prems(1) hd wfI-def by auto
       then have ss\ b2[bv:=b]_{bb}\ x1\ i\ T=s
         by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ boxed\text{-}i\text{-}GConsI.hyps(1)\ option.inject)
       then show ?thesis
         using f1 by blast
     ultimately have wfRCV T s' b2 using boxed-i-GConsI boxed-b-wfRCV by metis
     then show ?thesis using hd by simp
   next
     case tail
     hence wfI T G i' using boxed-i-GConsI wfI-suffix wfG-suffix subst-gb.simps
       by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-iff toSet.simps(2) wfG-cons2 wfI-def)
     then show ?thesis using wfI-def [of T G i'] tail
       using boxed-i-GConsI.prems(3) split-G wfG-cons-fresh2 by fastforce
   qed
   thus ?case using wfI-def by fast
qed
lemma is-satis-g-bs-boxed-aux:
 fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes boxed-i \Theta G1 b bv i i' and wfI \Theta G1[bv::=b]<sub>\Gamma b</sub> i and wfI \Theta G1 i' and G1 = (G2@G)
and wfG \Theta B G1
 and (i \models G[bv := b]_{\Gamma b})
 shows (i' \models G)
using assms proof(induct G arbitrary: G2 rule: \Gamma-induct)
 case GNil
 then show ?case by auto
next
 case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma' \ G2)
 show ?case proof(subst is-satis-g.simps,rule)
   have *: wfC \Theta B G1 c' using GCons wfG-wfC-inside by force
   show i' \models c' using is-satis-bs-boxed [OF assms(1) * ] GCons by auto
   obtain G3 where G1 = G3 \otimes \Gamma' using GCons \ append-g.simps
```

```
by (metis append-g-assoc)
    then show i' \models \Gamma' using GCons append-q.simps by simp
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma is-satis-g-bs-boxed:
  fixes G::\Gamma
 assumes boxed-i \Theta G b bv i i' and wfI \Theta G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} i and wfI \Theta G i' and wfG \Theta B G
 and (i \models G[bv := b]_{\Gamma b})
  shows (i' \models G)
  using is-satis-g-bs-boxed-aux assms
  by (metis (full-types) append-g.simps(1))
lemma subst-b-valid:
  fixes s::s and b::b
  assumes \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b and B = \{|bv|\} and \Theta; \{|bv|\}; \Gamma \models c
  shows \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models c[bv::=b]_{cb}
proof(rule validI)
 show **:\Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} c[bv::=b]_{cb} using assms valid.simps wf-b-subst subst-gb.simps
 show \forall i. (wfI \Theta \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} i \wedge i \models \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}) \longrightarrow i \models c[bv:=b]_{cb}
  \mathbf{proof}(rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume *:wfI \Theta \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} i \wedge i \models \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}
    obtain i' where idash: boxed-i \Theta \Gamma b bv i i' using boxed-i-ex wfX-wfY assms * by fastforce
    have wfc: \Theta; \{|bv|\}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c using valid.simps assms by simp
    have wfg: \Theta; \{|bv|\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using valid.simps \ wfX-wfY \ assms by metis
    hence wfi: wfI \Theta \Gamma i' using idash * bs-boxed-wfi-aux subst-gb.simps wfX-wfY by metis
    moreover have i' \models \Gamma proof (rule is-satis-g-bs-boxed[OF idash] wfX-wfY(2)[OF wfc])
      show wfI \Theta \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} i \text{ using } subst-gb.simps * by simp
      show wfI \Theta \Gamma i' using wfi by auto
      show \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using wfg assms by auto
      show i \models \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-gb.simps * by simp
    qed
    ultimately have ic:i' \models c using assms valid-def using valid.simps by blast
    show i \models c[bv:=b]_{cb} proof(rule\ is\text{-}satis\text{-}bs\text{-}boxed\text{-}rev)
      show \Theta; \Gamma; b, bv \vdash i \approx i' using idash by auto
      show \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c using wfc assms by auto
      show \Theta; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash i using subst-gb.simps * by simp
      show \Theta; \Gamma \vdash i' using wfi by auto
      \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}\quad \vdash_{wf}\ c[bv::=b]_{cb}\ \ \mathbf{using}\ **\ \mathbf{by}\ \ auto
      show i' \models c using ic by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
```

## 11.7 Expression Operator Lemmas

```
lemma is-satis-len-imp:
 assumes i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) = CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ (int\ (length\ v))))}) (is is-satis i ? c1)
 shows i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) = CE\text{-}len\ [V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v)]^{ce})
proof -
 have *:eval-c i ?c1 True using assms is-satis.simps by blast
  then have eval-e i (CE-val (V-lit (L-num (int (length v))))) (SNum (int (length v)))
   using eval-e-elims(1) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps by (metis\ eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
 hence eval-e i (CE-val (V-var x)) (SNum (int (length v))) using eval-c-elims(7)[OF *]
   by (metis\ eval-e-elims(1)\ eval-v-elims(1))
 moreover have eval-e i (CE-len [V-lit (L-bitvec v)]<sup>ce</sup>) (SNum (int (length v)))
   using eval-e-elims(7) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-litI)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce
qed
lemma is-satis-plus-imp:
 assumes i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ (n1+n2)))) (is is-satis i\ ?c1)
 shows i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}op\ Plus\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n2)]^{ce}))
proof -
 have *:eval-c i ?c1 True using assms is-satis.simps by blast
 then have eval-e i (CE-val (V-lit (L-num (n1+n2)))) (SNum (n1+n2))
   using eval-e-elims(1) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps by (metis\ eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
 hence eval-e i (CE-val (V-var x)) (SNum (n1+n2)) using eval-c-elims (7)[OF *]
   by (metis\ eval-e-elims(1)\ eval-v-elims(1))
 moreover have eval-e i (CE-op Plus ([V-lit (L-num n1)]^{ce}) ([V-lit (L-num n2)]^{ce}) (SNum (n1+n2))
   using eval-e-elims (7) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-lit1)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce
qed
lemma is-satis-leq-imp:
  assumes i \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (if \ (n1 \leq n2) \ then \ L\text{-}true \ else \ L\text{-}false))) \ (is
is-satis i?c1)
 shows i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n2))]^{ce})
proof -
have *:eval-c i ?c1 True using assms is-satis.simps by blast
 then have eval-e i (CE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 \le n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true else } L\text{-false})))) (SBool <math>(n1 \le n2))
   using eval-e-elims(1) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps
   by (metis (full-types) eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
 hence eval-e i (CE-val (V-var x)) (SBool (n1 \le n2)) using eval-c-elims (7)[OF *]
   by (metis\ eval-e-elims(1)\ eval-v-elims(1))
 moreover have eval-e i (CE-op LEq [(V-lit (L-num n1))]^{ce} [(V-lit (L-num n2))]^{ce}) (SBool (n1 \le n2))
   using eval-e-elims(3) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps by (metis eval-e.intros eval-v-lit1)
 ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce
qed
\mathbf{thm} eval-l.simps
lemma eval-lit-inj:
 fixes n1::l and n2::l
 assumes [n1] = s and [n2] = s
 shows n1=n2
```

```
using assms proof(nominal-induct s rule: rcl-val.strong-induct)
case (SBitvec\ x)
then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
 case (SNum\ x)
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
 case (SBool\ x)
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
 case (SPair x1a x2a)
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
 case (SCons\ x1a\ x2a\ x3a)
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
\mathbf{next}
 case (SConsp x1a x2a x3a x4)
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
\mathbf{next}
 case SUnit
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   by (metis l.strong-exhaust rcl-val.distinct rcl-val.eq-iff)
next
  case (SUt \ x)
 then show ?case using eval-l.simps
   \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ l.strong\text{-}exhaust\ rcl\text{-}val.distinct\ rcl\text{-}val.eq\text{-}iff)
qed
lemma eval-e-lit-inj:
 fixes n1::l and n2::l
 assumes i [ [ [ n1 ]^v ]^{ce} ]] ^\sim s and i [ [ [ n2 ]^v ]^{ce} ]] ^\sim s
 shows n1=n2
 using eval-lit-inj assms eval-e-elims eval-v-elims by metis
lemma is-satis-eq-imp:
  assumes i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (if\ (n1 = n2)\ then\ L\text{-}true\ else\ L\text{-}false)))} (is
is-satis i ?c1)
 shows i \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) = CE\text{-}op\ Eq\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (n1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (n2))]^{ce})
proof -
have *:eval-c i ?c1 True using assms is-satis.simps by blast
 then have eval-e i (CE-val (V-lit ((if (n1=n2) then L-true else L-false)))) (SBool (n1=n2))
   using eval-e-elims(1) eval-v-elims eval-l.simps
   by (metis (full-types) eval-e.intros(1) eval-v-litI)
 hence eval-e i (CE-val (V-var x)) (SBool (n1=n2)) using eval-c-elims(7)[OF *]
```

```
by (metis\ eval-e-elims(1)\ eval-v-elims(1))
 thm eval-e-eqI[of i [(V-lit (n1))]^{ce} - [(V-lit (n2))]^{ce}]
  moreover have eval-e i (CE-op Eq [(V-lit (n1))]^{ce} [(V-lit (n2))]^{ce}) (SBool (n1=n2))
 proof -
    obtain s1 and s2 where *:i [[n1]^v]^{ce} ] \sim s1 \wedge i [[n2]^v]^{ce} ] \sim s2 using eval-l.simps
eval-e.intros eval-v-litI by metis
   moreover have SBool\ (n1 = n2) = SBool\ (s1 = s2)\ \mathbf{proof}(cases\ n1 = n2)
      case True
      then show ?thesis using *
       by (simp add: calculation eval-e-uniqueness)
     {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
      then show ?thesis using * eval-e-lit-inj by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using eval-e-eqI[of i [(V-lit\ (n1))]^{ce} s1 [(V-lit\ (n2))]^{ce} s2 ] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c.intros is-satis.simps by fastforce
lemma valid-eq-e:
  assumes \forall i \ s1 \ s2. \ wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ \land \ wfI \ P \ GNil \ i \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ e1 \ s1 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ e2 \ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2
          and wfCE P \mathcal{B} GNil e1 b and wfCE P \mathcal{B} GNil e2 b
 shows P : \mathcal{B} : (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == e2
  unfolding valid.simps
proof(intro\ conjI)
  show \langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} [[x]^v]^{ce} == e2 \rangle
   using assms wf-intros wfX-wfY b.eq-iff fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq2 wfV-elims by meson
  show \forall i. (P; (x, b, \lceil \lceil x \rceil^v)^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i) \land (i \models (x, b, \lceil \lceil x \rceil^v)^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma}
GNil) \longrightarrow
            (i \models [ [x]^v]^{ce} == e2)) \land \mathbf{proof}(rule+)
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume as:P; (x, b, \lceil \lceil x \rceil^v \rceil^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i \land i \models (x, b, \lceil \lceil x \rceil^v \rceil^{ce} == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
   have *: P ; GNil \vdash i using wfI-def by auto
   then obtain s1 where s1:eval-e i e1 s1 using assms eval-e-exist by metis
   obtain s2 where s2:eval-e i e2 s2 using assms eval-e-exist * by metis
   moreover have i x = Some \ s1 \ proof -
      have i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == e1 using as is-satis-g.simps by auto
      thus ?thesis using s1
       by (metis\ eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(7)\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}elims(1)\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}uniqueness\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}elims(2)\ is\text{-}satis.cases})
   moreover have s1 = s2 using s1 \ s2 * assms \ wfG-nill \ wfX-wfY by metis
   ultimately show i \llbracket [ [x]^v]^{ce} == e2 \rrbracket \sim True
      using eval-c.intros eval-e.intros eval-v.intros
   proof -
      have i \parallel e2 \parallel \sim s1
       by (metis \langle s1 = s2 \rangle s2)
      then show ?thesis
       by (metis\ (full-types)\ (i\ x=Some\ s1)\ eval-c-eqI\ eval-e-valI\ eval-v-varI)
```

```
qed
 qed
qed
lemma valid-len:
    assumes \vdash_{wf} \Theta
    shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-int}, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-num} (int (length v))]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} ==
CE-len [[L\text{-bitvec }v]^v]^{ce} (is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c)
   have *:\Theta \vdash_{wf} ([]::\Phi) \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta} using assms wfG-nill wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI by
auto
    moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-lit (L-num (int (length v)))) : B-int
            using \ wfCE-valI * wfV-litI \ base-for-lit.simps
            by (metis \ wfE-valI \ wfX-wfY)
    moreover have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v))]^{ce} : B\text{-int}
            \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{wfE-valI} \ * \ \mathit{wfV-litI} \ \mathit{base-for-lit.simps} \ \ \mathit{wfE-valI} \ \mathit{wfX-wfY} \ \mathit{wfCE-valI}
            by (metis wfCE-lenI)
    moreover have atom x \sharp GNil by auto
    ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c using wfC\text{-}e\text{-}eq2 assms by simp
    moreover have (\forall i. \ wfI \ \Theta \ ?G \ i \land is\text{-}satis\text{-}g \ i \ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis \ i \ ?c) using is-satis-len-imp by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by auto
qed
lemma valid-arith-bop:
 assumes wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma and opp = Plus \wedge ll = (L-num (n1+n2)) \vee (opp = LEq \wedge ll = (if n1 \leq n2))
then L-true else L-false))
    and (opp = Plus \longrightarrow b = B\text{-}int) \land (opp = LEq \longrightarrow b = B\text{-}bool) and
      atom x \sharp \Gamma
    shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x)) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (ll)))) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
                                                   \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == CE\text{-}op\ opp\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n2)]^{ce})
)) (is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c)
        proof -
            have wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ?G ?c \operatorname{proof}(rule \ wfC-e-eq2)
                 show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit\ ll): b using wfCE\text{-}valI\ wfV\text{-}litI\ assms\ base\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps\ by}
metis
                 show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op opp ([V-lit (L-num n1)]^{ce}) ([V-lit (L-num n2)]^{ce}): b
                     using wfCE-plusI wfCE-leqI wfV-litI wfCE-valI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis
                show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using assms wfX-wfY by auto
                show atom x \sharp \Gamma using assms by auto
            qed
            moreover have \forall i. \ wfI \ \Theta \ ?G \ i \land is\text{-satis-}q \ i \ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-satis } i \ ?c \ \mathbf{proof}(rule \ allI \ , \ rule \ impI)
                assume wfI \Theta ?G i \wedge is-satis-g i ?G
                hence is-satis i ((CE-val (V-var x) == CE-val (V-lit (ll)))) by auto
                     \textbf{thus} \quad \textit{is-satis} \ i \ ((\textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-var} \ x)) \ == \ \textit{CE-op} \ \textit{opp} \ ([\textit{V-lit} \ (\textit{L-num} \ n1)]^{ce}) \ ([\textit{V-lit} \ (\textit{V-num} \ n1)]^{ce}) \ ([\textit{V-num} \ 
n2)]^{ce})))
```

```
using is-satis-plus-imp assms opp.exhaust is-satis-leq-imp by auto
         ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by metis
      qed
lemma valid-eq-bop:
 assumes wfG \Theta B \Gamma and atom x \sharp \Gamma and base-for-lit\ l1 = base-for-lit\ l2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, (CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}x)) == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit}(if l1 = l2 then L\text{-true else } L\text{-false}))
)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
                                         \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) == CE\text{-}op\ Eq\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (l1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (l2)]^{ce}))\ (\mathbf{is}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;
?G \models ?c)
proof -
  let ?ll = (if l1 = l2 then L-true else L-false)
         have wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ?G ?c \operatorname{proof}(rule \ wfC-e-eq2)
           show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-lit ?ll): B-bool using wfCE-vall wfV-lit1 assms base-for-lit.simps
by metis
            show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op Eq ([V-lit (l1)]^{ce}) ([V-lit (l2)]^{ce}) : B-bool
               using wfCE-eqI wfCE-leqI wfCE-eqI wfV-litI wfCE-valI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis
            show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using assms wfX-wfY by auto
            show atom x \sharp \Gamma using assms by auto
         qed
         moreover have \forall i. \ wfI \ \Theta \ ?G \ i \land is\text{-}satis\text{-}q \ i \ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis \ i \ ?c \ \mathsf{proof}(rule \ all I \ , \ rule \ impI)
            assume wfI \Theta ?G i \wedge is-satis-g i ?G
            hence is-satis i ((CE-val (V-var x)) = CE-val (V-lit (?ll))) by auto
            thus is-satis i((CE-val(V-var x)) = CE-op Eq([V-lit(l1)]^{ce})([V-lit(l2)]^{ce})))
               using is-satis-eq-imp assms by auto
         qed
         ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by metis
      qed
lemma valid-fst:
   fixes x::x and v_1::v and v_2::v
   assumes wfTh \Theta and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} GNil (V-pair v_1 v_2) (B-pair b_1 b_2)
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b_1, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v_1]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ valid-eq-e)
  \mathbf{show} \  \, \forall i \ s1 \ s2. \  \, (\Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \  \, \vdash_{wf} \  \, GNil) \  \, \wedge \  \, (\Theta \ ; \  \, GNil \vdash i) \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\ v_1\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1) \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s1)] \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ] \  \, ) \  \, \wedge (i \  \, \llbracket \  \, [\#1[[\ v_1\ , \ v_2\ ]^{ce}\  \, \rrbracket \ ] \  \, ) \  \, )
[v]^{ce}]^{ce} \cap s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2
  proof(rule+)
      fix i s1 s2
      \textbf{assume} \ \textit{as:}\Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \vdash_{wf} \ \textit{GNil} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \textit{GNil} \vdash i \ \land \ (i \ \llbracket \ [v_1\ ]^{ce}\ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ \textit{s1}) \ \land \ (i \ \llbracket \ [\#1[[v_1\ ,v_2\ ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}\ \rrbracket
      then obtain s2' where *:i \parallel [v_1, v_2]^v \parallel \sim SPair s2 s2'
         using eval-e-elims (5) [of i [[v_1, v_2 | v]^{ce} s2] eval-e-elims
         by meson
      then have i [v_1] \sim s2 using eval-v-elims(3)[OF *] by auto
      then show s1 = s2 using eval-v-uniqueness as
         using eval-e-uniqueness eval-e-valI by blast
   qed
```

```
show \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} [v_1]^{ce} : b_1 \gt \mathbf{using} \; \mathit{assms}
          by (metis\ b.eq-iff(4)\ wfV-elims(3)\ wfV-wfCE)
     show \Theta : \mathcal{B} : GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b_1 \rangle using assms using wfCE-fstI
          using wfCE-valI by blast
qed
lemma valid-snd:
     fixes x::x and v_1::v and v_2::v
     assumes wfTh \Theta and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} GNil (V-pair <math>v_1 \ v_2) (B-pair \ b_1 \ b_2)
     shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b_2, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v_2]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ valid-eq-e)
     \mathbf{show} \,\, \forall \, i \,\, s1 \,\, s2. \  \, (\Theta \,\, ; \,\, \mathcal{B} \,\, \vdash_{wf} \,\, GNil) \,\, \wedge \,\, (\Theta \,\, ; \,\, GNil \,\vdash i) \,\, \wedge \,\, (i \,\, \llbracket \,\, [ \,\, v_2 \,\, ]^{ce} \,\, \rrbracket \,\, ^{\sim} \,\, s1) \,\, \, \wedge \,\,
(i \ \llbracket \ [\#2[[\ v_1\ ,\ v_2\ ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}\ \rrbracket ^{\sim}\ s\mathcal{2}) \ \longrightarrow s\mathcal{1} = s\mathcal{2} \rangle
     proof(rule+)
          fix i s1 s2
          \textbf{assume} \ \textit{as}:\Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \vdash_{wf} \ \textit{GNil} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \textit{GNil} \vdash i \ \land \ (i \ \llbracket \ [\ v_2\ ]^{ce}\ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ \textit{s1}) \ \land \ (i \ \llbracket \ [\#2[[\ v_1\ ,\ v_2\ ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}\ \rrbracket
          then obtain s2' where *:i [ [v_1, v_2]^v ] \sim SPair s2' s2
                  using eval-e-elims(5)[of i [[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce} s2] eval-e-elims(5)[of i [[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce} s2]
                by meson
          then have i [v_2] \sim s2 using eval-v-elims(3)[OF*] by auto
          then show s1 = s2 using eval-v-uniqueness as
                using eval-e-uniqueness eval-e-valI by blast
     show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} [v_2]^{ce} : b_2 \rangle using assms
          by (metis b.eq-iff wfV-elims wfV-wfCE)
      show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#2[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b_2 \rangle using assms using wfCE-sndI wfCE-valI by
blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}concat:
     fixes v1::bit\ list\ {\bf and}\ v2::bit\ list
     assumes \vdash_{wf} \Pi
    shows \Pi; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-}bitvec, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec (v1@ v2))))) <math>\#_{\Gamma} GNil \models
                                 (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)\ ==\ CE\text{-}concat\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1)]^{ce}\ )\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)]^{ce})\ )
proof(rule valid-eq-e)
     show \forall i \ s1 \ s2. \ ((\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \land (\Pi ; GNil \vdash i) \land
                                 (i \ \llbracket \ [\ [L-bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2)\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ \rrbracket \ ^\sim s1) \ \land (i \ \llbracket \ [\llbracket \ [L-bitvec\ v1\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ @@\ [\llbracket \ L-bitvec\ v2\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}
]^{ce} \parallel \sim s2) \longrightarrow
                             s1 = s2)
     proof(rule+)
          fix i s1 s2
          assume as: (\Pi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} GNil) \land (\Pi ; GNil \vdash i) \land (i \llbracket [ [ L-bitvec (v1 @ v2)]^v ]^{ce} \rrbracket \sim s1) \land (i) \land (i) \vdash (i) \vdash
                                 (i \ \llbracket \ [\llbracket \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v]^{ce} \ @@ \ \llbracket \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v2 \ ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ s2)
          hence *: i \ [ [[[L-bitvec \ v1\ ]^v]^{ce} \ @@ [[L-bitvec \ v2\ ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \ ]] \sim s2 by auto
          obtain bv1 bv2 where s2:s2 = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2) \land i \llbracket [L-bitvec v1]^v \rrbracket \sim SBitvec bv1 \land (i \llbracket [
L-bitvec v2 \mid^v \mid^\sim SBitvec bv2)
```

```
using eval-e-elims(7)[OF *] eval-e-elims(1) by metis
    hence v1 = bv1 \wedge v2 = bv2 using eval-v-elims(1) eval-l.simps(5) by force
    moreover then have s1 = SBitvec (bv1 @ bv2) using s2 using eval-v-elims(1) eval-l.simps(5)
      by (metis\ as\ eval-e-elims(1))
    then show s1 = s2 using s2 by auto
  qed
  show \langle \Pi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} [[\mathit{L-bitvec}(v1 @ v2)]^v]^{ce} : \mathit{B-bitvec} \rangle
    by (metis assms base-for-lit.simps(5) wfG-nill wfV-litI wfV-wfCE)
  \mathbf{show} \leftarrow \Pi \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \mathit{GNil} \; \vdash_{wf} \; [[[\; \mathit{L-bitvec} \; v1 \; ]^v]^{ce} \; @@ \; [[\; \mathit{L-bitvec} \; v2 \; ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \; : \; \mathit{B-bitvec} \; )
    by (metis assms base-for-lit.simps(5) wfCE-concatI wfG-nilI wfV-litI wfCE-valI)
qed
lemma valid-ce-eq:
  fixes ce::ce
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce : b
  shows \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models ce == ce \rangle
unfolding valid.simps proof
  \mathbf{show} \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ce == ce \rightarrow \mathbf{using} \ assms \ wfC\text{-}eqI \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
  show \forall i. \ \Theta \ ; \Gamma \vdash i \land \ i \models \Gamma \longrightarrow i \models ce == ce \rightarrow \mathbf{proof}(rule+)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume \Theta : \Gamma \vdash i \land i \models \Gamma
    then obtain s where i \parallel ce \parallel \sim s using assms eval-e-exist by metis
    then show i \ [ce == ce]^{\sim} True  using eval\text{-}c\text{-}eqI by metis
  qed
qed
lemma valid-eq-imp:
  fixes c1::c and c2::c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 IMP c2
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c1 IMP c2
proof -
  have \forall i. (\Theta; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \land i \models (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longrightarrow i \models (c1 IMP c2)
  proof(rule, rule)
    assume as:\Theta ; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i \land i \models (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c2) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1 using wfC-elims assms by metis
    then obtain sc where i \ [\![ c1 \ ]\!] \sim sc using eval-c-exist assms as by metis
    moreover have i \parallel c2 \parallel \sim True \text{ using } as \text{ is-satis-g.simps } is\text{-satis.simps } by auto
    ultimately have i \ [c1 \ IMP \ c2] \ ^{\sim} \ True \ using \ eval-c-impI \ by \ metis
    thus i \models c1 IMP c2 using is-satis.simps by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed
lemma valid-range:
  assumes 0 \le n \land n \le m and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
```

```
shows \Theta; {||}; (x, B\text{-}int, (C\text{-}eq(CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}var x))(CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}lit(L\text{-}num n)))))} #_{\Gamma} GNil \models
                                (C-eq (CE-op LEq (CE-val (V-var x)) (CE-val (V-lit (L-num m)))) [[ L-true
]^v ]^{ce}) AND
                             (C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ 0)))\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x)))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v
]ce)
        (is \Theta; {||}; ?G \models ?c1 \ AND ?c2)
proof(rule validI)
  have wfg: \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-}int, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}num \ n]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
   using assms base-for-lit.simps wfG-nilI wfV-litI fresh-GNil wfB-intI wfC-v-eq wfG-cons1I wfG-cons2I
by metis
  show \Theta; {||}; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 AND ?c2
    using wfC-conjI wfC-eqI wfCE-leqI wfCE-valI wfV-varI wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfV-litI
wfB-intI wfB-boolI
    by metis
  show \forall i. \ \Theta \ ; \ ?G \vdash i \land \ i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \ AND \ ?c2 \ \mathbf{proof}(rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume a:\Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G
    hence *:i [ V-var x ] ^\sim SNum n
    proof -
      obtain sv where sv: i = Some \ sv \land \Theta \vdash sv : B\text{-int using } a \ wfI\text{-def by force}
      have i \parallel (C-eq(CE-val(V-var x))(CE-val(V-lit(L-num n)))) \parallel \sim True
        using a is-satis-q.simps
        using is-satis.cases by blast
      hence i x = Some(SNum \ n) using sv
         \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis eval-c-elims}(7) \ \textit{eval-e-elims}(1) \ \textit{eval-l.simps}(3) \ \textit{eval-v-elims}(1) \ \textit{eval-v-elims}(2)) 
      thus ?thesis using eval-v-varI by auto
    qed
    show i \models ?c1 AND ?c2
    proof -
      have i \ [\![ ?c1 \ ]\!] \sim True
      proof -
        have i \ [ [leq [[x]^v]^{ce} [[L-num\ m]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}] ^\sim SBool\ True
          \mathbf{using}\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}leqI\ assms\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}litI\ eval\text{-}l.simps\ *
          by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
        moreover have i \ [ \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-true} \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ] \ ^{\sim} \ SBool \ True
          using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
        ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
      qed
      moreover have i \parallel ?c2 \parallel ^{\sim} True
      proof -
        have i \ [ \ [ \ leq \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ 0 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ x \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]^ce \ ] \ ^{\sim} \ SBool \ True
        \mathbf{using}\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}leqI\ assms\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}litI\ eval\text{-}l.simps\ *
          by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
        \textbf{moreover have} \ i \ \llbracket \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ SBool \ True
          using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
        ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-conjI is-satis.simps by metis
```

```
qed
qed
lemma valid-range-length:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes 0 \le n \land n \le int (length v) and \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and atom x \sharp \Gamma
 shows \Theta; {||}; (x, B\text{-}int, (C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x)) (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num n))))) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models
                      (C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ 0)))\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x)))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce})
AND
                     (C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ ([|\ [\ L-bitvec\ v\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ |]^{ce}\ ))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce})
        (is \Theta; {||}; ?G \models ?c1 AND ?c2)
proof(rule\ validI)
 have wfg: \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-}int, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}num n]^v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \text{ apply}(rule \ wfG\text{-}cons1I)
        apply simp
    using assms apply simp+
     using assms base-for-lit.simps wfG-nilI wfV-litI wfB-intI wfC-v-eq wfB-intI wfX-wfY assms by
metis +
  show \Theta; {||}; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 AND ?c2
    using wfC-conjI wfC-eqI wfCE-leqI wfCE-valI wfV-varI wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfV-litI
wfB-intI wfB-boolI
    by (metis (full-types) wfCE-lenI)
  show \forall i. \ \Theta \ ; \ ?G \vdash i \land \ i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \ AND \ ?c2 \ \mathbf{proof}(rule, rule)
    assume a:\Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G
    hence *:i [V-var x] \sim SNum n
    proof -
      obtain sv where sv: i x = Some \ sv \land \Theta \vdash sv : B\text{-}int \ using \ a \ wfI\text{-}def \ by \ force
      have i \parallel (C-eq (CE-val (V-var x)) (CE-val (V-lit (L-num n)))) \parallel \sim True
        using a is-satis-g.simps
        using is-satis.cases by blast
      hence i x = Some(SNum \ n) using sv
        by (metis\ eval-c-elims(7)\ eval-e-elims(1)\ eval-l.simps(3)\ eval-v-elims(1)\ eval-v-elims(2))
      thus ?thesis using eval-v-varI by auto
    qed
    show i \models ?c1 AND ?c2
    proof -
      have i \parallel ?c2 \parallel ^{\sim} True
      proof -
        have i \ [ [ leq [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} [ | [ [ L-bitvec \ v ]^v ]^{ce} |]^{ce} ]]^{ce} ]^{ce} ] \sim SBool \ True
          \mathbf{using}\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}leqI\ assms\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}litI\ eval\text{-}l.simps\ *
          \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ (\mathit{full-types})\ \mathit{eval-e-lenI}\ \mathit{eval-e-valI})
        moreover have i \ [ \ [ \ L-true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ] \sim SBool\ True
          using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
        ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
      qed
```

qed

```
moreover have i \, \llbracket \, ?c1 \, \rrbracket ^{\sim} \, True
        have i \ \llbracket \ [ \ leq \ [ \ L-num \ 0 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ x \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]^c \ SBool \ True
        \mathbf{using}\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}leqI\ assms\ eval\text{-}v\text{-}litI\ eval\text{-}l.simps\ *
          by (metis (full-types) eval-e-valI)
        moreover have i \ [ \ [ \ [ \ L-true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ] \ ^\sim SBool \ True
          using eval-v-litI eval-e-valI eval-l.simps by metis
        ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-eqI by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using eval-c-conjI is-satis.simps by metis
  qed
qed
qed
thm valid-weakening
lemma valid-range-length-inv-gnil:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes \vdash_{wf} \Theta
  and \Theta; {||}; (x, B\text{-}int, (C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x)) (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num n))))) #_{\Gamma} GNil \models
                       (C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ 0)))\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x)))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce})
AND
                      (C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ ([|\ [\ L-bitvec\ v\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ |]^{ce}\ ))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce})
        (is \Theta; {||}; ?G \models ?c1 AND ?c2)
      shows 0 \le n \land n \le int (length v)
proof -
  have *:\forall i. \Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \ AND ?c2 using assms valid.simps by simp
  obtain i where i: i x = Some (SNum n) by auto
  have \Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G proof
    show \Theta; ?G \vdash i unfolding wfI-def using wfRCV-BIntI i * by auto
    have i \ [ ([ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ L-num \ n ]^v ]^{ce} ) ] \sim True
     using * eval-c.intros(7) eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
     by (metis (full-types) i)
    thus i \models ?G unfolding is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by auto
  hence **:i \models ?c1 \ AND ?c2 \ using * by \ auto
  hence 1: i \ [?c1] \sim True \ using \ eval-c-elims(3) \ is-satis.simps
    by fastforce
  then obtain sv1 and sv2 where (sv1 = sv2) = True \land i \ [ [ leq [ [ L-num 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]
\sim sv1 \wedge i \llbracket [L-true]^v \rbrack^{ce} \rrbracket \sim sv2
    using eval-c-elims(7) by metis
  hence sv1 = SBool\ True\ using\ eval-e-elims\ eval-v-elims\ eval-l.simps\ i\ by\ metis
  obtain n1 and n2 where SBool True = SBool (n1 \leq n2) \wedge (i \llbracket [ \llbracket L-num 0 \rrbracket<sup>v</sup> \rrbracket<sup>ce</sup> \rrbracket ^{\sim} SNum n1)
\wedge (i \llbracket [ [x]^v]^{ce} \rrbracket ^{\sim} SNum \ n2)
    using eval-e-elims(3)[of i [ [ L-num 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} SBool True]
    \mathbf{using} \ ((sv1 = sv2) = \mathit{True} \ \land \ i \ \llbracket \ [\ \mathit{leq} \ [\ [\ \mathit{L-num} \ 0\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ sv1 \ \land \ i \ \llbracket \ [\ [\ \mathit{L-true}\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce} \ \rrbracket 
]^{ce} ] \sim sv2 \otimes (sv1 = SBool\ True) by fastforce
  moreover hence n1 = 0 and n2 = n using eval-e-elims eval-v-elims i
     apply (metis eval-l.simps(3) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
```

```
using eval-e-elims eval-v-elims i
     by (metis calculation option.inject rcl-val.eq-iff (2))
   ultimately have le1: 0 \le n by simp
  hence 2: i \parallel ?c2 \parallel ^{\sim} True \text{ using } ** eval-c-elims(3) is-satis.simps
    by fastforce
  then obtain sv1 and sv2 where sv: (sv1 = sv2) = True \wedge i \llbracket [leq \llbracket [x]^v \rbrack^{ce} \llbracket \llbracket \llbracket L-bitvec v \rbrack^v \rrbracket
|e^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce} = |e^{ce}|^{ce} = sv1 \wedge i = [L-true]^{v} e^{ce} = sv2
    using eval-c-elims(7) by metis
  hence sv1 = SBool\ True\ using\ eval-e-elims\ eval-v-elims\ eval-l.simps\ i\ by\ metis
 obtain n1 and n2 where ***:SBool True = SBool (n1 \le n2) \land (i \lceil \lceil x \rceil^v \rceil^{ce} \rceil \sim SNum \ n1) \land (i \rceil
[ [ | [ L-bitvec \ v \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]]^{ce} \ ]] \sim SNum \ n2)
    using eval-e-elims(3)
    using sv \langle sv1 = SBool \ True \rangle by metis
  moreover hence n1 = n using eval-e-elims(1)[of\ i] eval-v-elims(2)[of\ i\ x\ SNum\ n1] i by auto
  moreover have n2 = int (length v) using eval-e-elims(8) eval-v-elims(1) eval-l.simps i
    by (metis *** eval-e-elims(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
  ultimately have le2: n \leq int (length v) by simp
 show ?thesis using le1 le2 by auto
qed
thm wfI-def
lemma wfI-cons:
  fixes i::valuation and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes i' \models \Gamma and \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' and i = i' (x \mapsto s) and \Theta \vdash s : b and atom x \sharp \Gamma
 shows \Theta ; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash i
unfolding wfI-def proof -
    fix x'b'c'
    assume (x',b',c') \in toSet ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
    then consider (x',b',c')=(x,b,c)\mid (x',b',c')\in toSet\ \Gamma using toSet.simps by auto
    then have \exists s. Some \ s = i \ x' \land \Theta \vdash s : b' \ \mathbf{proof}(cases)
      case 1
      then show ?thesis using assms by auto
    next
      case 2
      then obtain s where s:Some s = i' x' \wedge \Theta \vdash s : b' using assms wfI-def by auto
      moreover have x' \neq x using assms 2 fresh-dom-free by auto
      ultimately have Some \ s = i \ x' using assms by auto
      then show ?thesis using s wfI-def by auto
 thus \forall (x, b, c) \in toSet((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma). \exists s. Some s = i x \land \Theta \vdash s : b by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{valid}\text{-}\mathit{range-length-inv}:
 fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
 assumes \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and atom x \sharp \Gamma and \exists i. i \models \Gamma \land \Theta; \Gamma \vdash i
 and \Theta; B; (x, B\text{-}int, (C\text{-}eq(CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}varx))(CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}lit(L\text{-}num n)))))} <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models
```

```
(C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ \theta)))\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x)))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce})
AND
                                             (C-eq\ (CE-op\ LEq\ (CE-val\ (V-var\ x))\ ([[\ [\ L-bitvec\ v\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]]^{ce}\ ))\ [[\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce})
                 (is \Theta; ?B; ?G \models ?c1 AND ?c2)
             shows 0 \le n \land n \le int (length v)
proof
    have *:\forall i. \Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models ?c1 \ AND ?c2 using assms valid.simps by simp
    obtain i' where idash: is-satis-g i' \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \Gamma \vdash i' using assms by auto
    obtain i where i: i = i' (x \mapsto SNum \ n) by auto
    hence ix: i \ x = Some \ (SNum \ n) by auto
    have \Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G proof
        show \Theta; ?G \vdash i using wfl-cons i idash ix wfRCV-BIntI assms by simp
        \mathbf{have} \, **:i \, \llbracket \, \left( \left[ \, \left[ \, x \, \right]^v \, \right]^{ce} \, \right. == \, \left[ \, \left[ \, L\text{-}num \, \, n \, \right]^v \, \right]^{ce} \, \right) \, \, \rrbracket \, \, ^{\sim} \, \mathit{True}
          using * eval-c.intros(7) eval-e.intros eval-v.intros eval-l.simps i
          by (metis (full-types) ix)
      show i \models ?G unfolding is-satis-g.simps proof
          show \langle i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} == [[L-num\ n]^v]^{ce} \rangle using ** is-satis.simps by auto
          show \langle i \models \Gamma \rangle using idash i assms is-satis-g-i-upd by metis
qed
    qed
    hence **:i \models ?c1 \ AND ?c2 \ using * by \ auto
    hence 1: i \parallel ?c1 \parallel ^{\sim} True  using eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(3)  is\text{-}satis.simps
        by fastforce
    then obtain sv1 and sv2 where (sv1 = sv2) = True \wedge i \ [ [leq [L-num 0]^v]^{ce} [[x]^v]^{ce} ]^{ce} ]
\sim sv1 \wedge i \parallel [L-true]^v]^{ce} \parallel \sim sv2
        using eval\text{-}c\text{-}elims(7) by metis
    hence sv1 = SBool True using eval-e-elims eval-v-elims eval-l.simps i by metis
    obtain n1 and n2 where SBool True = SBool (n1 < n2) \wedge (i \llbracket \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-num } 0 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \rrbracket \sim SNum \ n1)
\wedge (i \ \llbracket \ [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ \rrbracket ^{\sim} SNum\ n2)
        using eval-e-elims(3)[of i [ [ L-num 0 ]^v ]^{ce} [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} SBool True]
         \mathbf{using}\ ((sv1=sv2)=True\ \land\ i\ \llbracket\ [\ leq\ [\ L-num\ 0\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ \rrbracket^{\ ce}\ \rrbracket\ ^{\sim}\ sv1\ \land\ i\ \llbracket\ [\ [\ L-true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}
]^{ce} \parallel \sim sv2 \rangle \langle sv1 = SBool \ True \rangle  by fastforce
    moreover hence n1 = 0 and n2 = n using eval-e-elims eval-v-elims i
          apply (metis\ eval\text{-}l.simps(3)\ rcl\text{-}val.eq\text{-}iff(2))
          using eval-e-elims eval-v-elims i
          calculation option.inject rcl-val.eq-iff(2)
          by (metis ix)
      ultimately have le1: 0 \le n by simp
    hence 2: i \parallel ?c2 \parallel ^{\sim} True \text{ using } ** eval-c-elims(3) is-satis.simps
        by fastforce
    then obtain sv1 and sv2 where sv: (sv1 = sv2) = True \land i \ [[leq[[x]^v]^c = [[L-bitvec\ v]^v]^c]]
]^{ce} \mid ]^{ce} \mid ]^{ce} \parallel \sim sv1 \wedge i \parallel [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} \parallel \sim sv2
         using eval-c-elims(7) by metis
    hence sv1 = SBool\ True\ using\ eval-e-elims\ eval-v-elims\ eval-l.simps\ i\ by\ metis
    obtain n1 and n2 where ***:SBool True = SBool (n1 \le n2) \land (i \parallel [ \mid x \mid^v \mid^{ce} \parallel \sim SNum \ n1) \land (i \mid n1) \land (i \mid n2) \land (i \mid n2) \land (i \mid n2) \land (i \mid n3) \land 
[ [ | [ L-bitvec \ v \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]]^{ce} \ ]] \sim SNum \ n2)
```

```
using eval-e-elims(3)
        using sv \langle sv1 = SBool \ True \rangle by metis
    moreover hence n1 = n using eval-e-elims(1)[of i] eval-v-elims(2)[of i x SNum n1] i by auto
    moreover have n2 = int (length \ v) using eval-e-elims(8) \ eval-v-elims(1) \ eval-l.simps \ i
        by (metis *** eval-e-elims(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(1) rcl-val.eq-iff(2))
    ultimately have le2: n \leq int (length v) by simp
   show ?thesis using le1 le2 by auto
qed
lemma eval-c-conj2I[intro]:
   assumes i \ \llbracket \ c1 \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ True \ {\bf and} \ i \ \llbracket \ c2 \ \rrbracket \ ^{\sim} \ True
   shows i \parallel (C\text{-}conj \ c1 \ c2) \parallel \sim True
  using assms eval-c-conjI by metis
lemma valid-split:
    assumes split n \ v \ (v1, v2) and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
   \mathbf{shows}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ (z\ ,\ [B\text{-}bitvec\ ,\ B\text{-}bitvec\ ]^b\ ,\ [\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\ [\ L\text{-}bitvec\ v1\ ]^v\ ,\ [\ L\text{-}bitvec\ v2\ ]^v\ ]^v
 \models \stackrel{\frown}{([[L-bitvec\ v\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}}\ ==\ [[\#1[\ [z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}\ @@\ [\#2[\ [z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce})} \quad AND \quad ([[\#1[\ [z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce})^{ce}
]^{ce} == [[L-num \ n]^v]^{ce}
          (is \Theta; {||}; ?G \models ?c1 AND ?c2)
unfolding valid.simps proof
   \mathbf{have} \ \textit{wfg:} \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ \vdash_{wf} \ (z, \ [B\text{-}bitvec \ , \ B\text{-}bitvec \ ]^b \ , \ \ [ \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ \ [ \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v \ , \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v1 \ ]^v
v2 \mid^v \mid^v \mid^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
        using wf-intros assms base-for-lit.simps fresh-GNil wfC-v-eq wfG-intros2 by metis
    show \Theta ; {||} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} ?c1 AND ?c2
        apply(rule wfC-conjI)
         apply(rule\ wfC-eqI)
           apply(rule\ wfCE-valI)
        \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{wfV-litI})
        using wf-intros wfg lookup.simps base-for-lit.simps wfC-v-eq
         apply (metis )+
        done
    have len:int\ (length\ v1) = n\ using\ assms\ split-length\ by\ auto
    show \forall i. \Theta : ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G \longrightarrow i \models (?c1 \ AND \ ?c2)
    \mathbf{proof}(rule, rule)
        \mathbf{fix} i
        assume a:\Theta; ?G \vdash i \land i \models ?G
        hence i \begin{bmatrix} [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} == [ [ [ L-bitvec v1 ]^v , [ L-bitvec v2 ]^v ]^v ]^{ce} \end{bmatrix} \sim True
            using is-satis-g.simps is-satis.simps by simp
       then obtain sv where i [ [ [ z ]^v ]^{ce} ]] \overset{\sim}{\sim} sv \wedge i [ [ [ [ L-bitvec v1 ]^v , [ L-bitvec v2 ]^v ]^v ]^{ce} ]] \overset{\sim}{\sim} sv
            using eval-c-elims by metis
      hence i \parallel [ \mid z \mid^v \mid^{ce} ] \sim (SPair (SBitvec v1) (SBitvec v2)) using eval-c-eqI eval-v.intros eval-l.simps
```

```
by (metis\ eval\ eval\ eval\ eval\ i\ [\ [\#1[\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}\ ]^{\sim}\ SBitvec\ v1) (SBitvec\ v2)) using a eval-e-elims eval-v-elims by metis have v1: i\ [\ [\#1[\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}\ ]^{\sim}\ SBitvec\ v1 using eval\ eva
```

end

## Chapter 12

## Typing Lemmas

## 12.1 Subtyping

```
lemma subtype-reflI2:
  fixes \tau::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim \tau
proof -
  \textbf{obtain} \ z \ b \ c \ \textbf{where} \ *:\tau = \{\!\!\{\ z : b \mid c\ \!\!\} \ \land \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta,\mathcal{B},\Gamma) \ \land \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ (z,\ b,\ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \vdash_{wf} c \ \}
    using wfT-elims(1)[OF assms] by metis
  obtain x::x where **: atom x \sharp (\Theta, B, \Gamma, c, z,c,z,c) using obtain-fresh by metis
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} proof
    \mathbf{show}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\quad \vdash_{wf}\ \{\!\!\{\ z:b\ \mid\ c\ \!\!\}\ \mathbf{using}\ *\ assms\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
    \mathbf{show}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\quad \vdash_{wf}\ \{\!\!\{\ z:b\ \mid\ c\ \!\!\}\ \mathbf{using}\ *\ assms\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z, c) using fresh-prod6 fresh-prod5 ** by metis
     thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var \ x]_v) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V\text{-}var \ x]_v using wfT\text{-}wfC\text{-}cons \ assms * **
subst-v-c-def by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis using * by auto
qed
lemma subtype-reflI:
  assumes \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \} = \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \} \text{ and } wf1 : \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (\{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}) \}
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}) \lesssim (\{ z2 : b \mid c2 \})
  using assms subtype-refl12 by metis
nominal-function base-eq :: \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool where
  base-eq - \{ |z1:b1| |c1| \} \{ |z2:b2| |c2| \} = (b1 = b2)
      apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def base-eq-graph-aux-def)
  by (meson \ \tau.exhaust)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma subtype\text{-}wfT:
  fixes t1::\tau and t2::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t1 \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} t2
```

```
lemma subtype-eq-base:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ |z1:b1|c1 \}) \lesssim (\{ |z2:b2|c2 \})
  shows b1=b2
  using subtype.simps assms by auto
lemma subtype-eq-base2:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
  shows b-of t1 = b-of t2
using assms proof(rule subtype.induct[of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t1 t2],goal-cases)
  case (1 \Theta \Gamma z1 b c1 z2 c2 x)
  then show ?case using subtype-eq-base by auto
qed
lemma subtype-wf:
  fixes \tau 1::\tau and \tau 2::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau 1 \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau 2
  using assms
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ subtype.induct[of\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau 1\ \tau 2], goal-cases)
  case (1 \Theta \Gamma G z 1 b c 1 z 2 c 2 x)
  then show ?case by blast
qed
lemma subtype-g-wf:
  fixes \tau 1 :: \tau and \tau 2 :: \tau and \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf}\Gamma
  using assms
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ subtype.induct[of\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau 1\ \tau 2],goal-cases)
  case (1 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z1 b c1 z2 c2 x)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfY by auto
qed
For when we have a particular y that satisfies the freshness conditions that we want the validity
check to use
{\bf lemma}\ valid\hbox{-} \textit{flip-simple}\colon
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c' and atom z \sharp \Gamma and atom x \sharp (z, c, z, c', \Gamma)
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c'
proof -
  have (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot ((z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (z \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c'
    using True-eqvt valid.eqvt assms beta-flip-eq wfX-wfY by metis
  moreover have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-wf assms by metis
  {\bf ultimately \ show} \ \textit{?thesis}
    using theta-flip-eq G-cons-flip-fresh\Im[of \ x \ \Gamma \ z \ b \ c] assms fresh-Pair flip-commute by metis
qed
```

lemma valid-wf-all:

```
assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}G \models c
  shows wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G and wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} ((z0,b,c0)\#_{\Gamma}G) c and atom \ z0 \ \sharp \ G
  using valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-cons assms by metis+
lemma valid-wfT:
  fixes z::x
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta,b,c\theta[z::=V\text{-}var\ z\theta]_v)\#_{\Gamma}G\models c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z\theta]_v and atom\ z\theta\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ G,c,c\theta)
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{\!\!\{ z:b \mid c\theta \}\!\!\} and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{\!\!\{ z:b \mid c \}\!\!\}
  have atom z0 \ \sharp \ c0 using assms fresh-Pair by auto
   moreover have *: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z0,b,c0[z::=V\text{-}var\ z0]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}G using valid-wf-all wfX-wfY assms
subst-v-c-def by metis
  ultimately show wft: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z: b \mid c\theta \} \text{ using } wfG\text{-}wfT[OF *] \text{ by } auto
  have atom z\theta \ \sharp \ c \ using \ assms fresh-Pair by auto
  moreover have wfc: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta,b,c\theta[z::=V-var\ z\theta]_v)\#_{\Gamma}G \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var\ z\theta]_v using valid\text{-}wf\text{-}all
assms by metis
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z\theta : b \mid c[z := V \text{-} var z\theta]_v \} proof
    show \langle atom \ z\theta \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ G) \rangle using assms fresh-prodN by simp
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wft wfT-wfB by force
    \mathbf{show} \ \ (\Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ (z0, \ b, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G \quad \vdash_{wf} \ c[z::=[\ z0\ ]^{v}]_{v} \ ) \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfc wfC-replace-inside}[OF \ \textit{wfc}, \ \textit{of}]
GNil z0 b c0[z::=[z0]^v]_v G C-true] wfC-trueI
             append-g.simps
       by (metis\ local.*\ wfG-elim2\ wf-trans(2))
   moreover have \{ z0 : b \mid c[z:=V\text{-}var \ z0]_v \} = \{ z : b \mid c \} \text{ using } (atom \ z0 \ \sharp \ c0) \ \tau.eq\text{-}iff
Abs1-eq-iff (3)
    using calculation(1) subst-v-c-def by auto
  ultimately show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}  by auto
qed
lemma valid-flip:
  fixes c::c and z::x and z\theta::x and xx2::x
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (xx2, b, c0[z0:=V-var\ xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z:=V-var\ xx2]_v and
           atom xx2 \sharp (c0,\Gamma,c,z) and atom z0 \sharp (\Gamma,c,z)
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z := V \text{-}var z\theta]_{v}
proof -
  have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using assms valid-wf-all wfX-wfY by metis
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (xx2 \leftrightarrow z\theta) \cdot ((xx2, b, c\theta[z\theta ::= V - var xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models ((xx2 \leftrightarrow z\theta) \cdot c[z ::= V - var xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    using valid.eqvt True-eqvt assms beta-flip-eq theta-flip-eq by metis
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot xx2, b, (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c0[z0 := V - var xx2]_v) \#_{\Gamma} (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot \Gamma) \models
((xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot (c[z::=V-var \ xx2]_v))
    using G-cons-flip[of xx2 z0 xx2 b c0[z0:=V-var xx2]_v \Gamma] by auto
  moreover have (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot xx2 = z0 by simp
  moreover have (xx2 \leftrightarrow z0) \cdot c\theta[z0::=V-var xx2]_v = c\theta
    using assms subst-cv-v-flip[of xx2 c0 z0 V-var z0] assms fresh-prod4 by auto
  moreover have (xx2 \leftrightarrow z\theta) \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma proof –
    have atom xx2 \ \sharp \ \Gamma using assms by auto
    moreover have atom z\theta \ \sharp \ \Gamma using assms by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using flip-fresh-fresh by auto
```

```
qed
   moreover have (xx2 \leftrightarrow z\theta) \cdot (c[z::=V-var xx2]_v) = c[z::=V-var z\theta]_v
       using subst-cv-v-flip3 assms by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma subtype-valid:
   assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 and atom \ y \ \sharp \ \Gamma and t1 = \{ z1 : b \mid c1 \}  and t2 = \{ z2 : b \mid c2 \} 
   shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((y, b, c1[z1::=V-var y]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2[z2::=V-var y]_v
using assms proof(nominal-induct t2 avoiding: y rule: subtype.strong-induct)
   case (subtype-baseI x \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z c z' c' ba)
   hence (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \Theta; (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot \mathcal{B}; (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot ((x, ba, c[z := [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' := [x]^v]_v
[v]_v using valid.eqvt
       using permute-boolI by blast
   moreover have \vdash_{wf} \Theta using valid.simps wfC-wf wfG-wf subtype-baseI by metis
   ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((y, ba, (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c[z := [x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z' := [x]^v]_v
          using subtype-baseI theta-flip-eq beta-flip-eq \tau.eq-iff G-cons-flip-fresh3[of\ y\ \Gamma\ x\ ba] by (metis
   moreover have (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c[z := [x]^v]_v = c1[z1 := [y]^v]_v
    \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis subtype-baseI permute-flip-cancel subst-cv-id subst-cv-v-flip3 subst-cv-var-flip \ type-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-subst-eq-s
wfT-fresh-c subst-v-c-def)
   moreover have (x \leftrightarrow y) \cdot c'[z':=[x]^v]_v = c2[z2:=[y]^v]_v
    by (metis subtype-baseI permute-flip-cancel subst-cv-id subst-cv-v-flip3 subst-cv-var-flip type-eq-subst-eq
wfT-fresh-c subst-v-c-def)
   ultimately show ?case using subtype-baseI \tau.eq-iff by metis
qed
lemma subtype-valid-simple:
   assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 and atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma and t1 = \{ \ z : b \mid c1 \ \} and t2 = \{ \ z : b \mid c2 \ \}
   shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((z, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c2
   using subst-v-c-def subst-v-id assms subtype-valid[OF assms] by simp
lemma obtain-for-t-with-fresh:
   assumes atom x \sharp t
   shows \exists b \ c. \ t = \{ x : b \mid c \}
proof -
   obtain z1 b1 c1 where *: t = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \land atom z1 \sharp t \text{ using } obtain-fresh-z \text{ by } metis
   then have t = (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot t using flip-fresh-fresh assms by metis
   also have ... = \{(x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot z1 : (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot b1 \mid (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 \} using * assms by simp
   also have ... = \{x: b1 \mid (x \leftrightarrow z1) \cdot c1 \} using * assms by auto
   finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma subtype-trans:
   assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 2 \lesssim \tau 3
   shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 3
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: \tau 3 rule: subtype.strong-induct)
   case (subtype-baseI x \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z c z' c' b)
```

```
hence b-of \tau \beta = b using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
  then obtain z'' c'' where t\beta: \tau\beta = \{ z'' : b \mid c'' \} \land atom z'' \sharp x \}
    using obtain-fresh-z2 by metis
  hence xf: atom \ x \ \sharp \ (z'', \ c'') using fresh-prodN \ subtype-baseI \ \tau.fresh by auto
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z'' : b \mid c'' \}
  proof(rule\ Typing.subtype-baseI)
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c, z'', c'') \rangle using t3 fresh-prodN subtype-baseI xf by simp
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using subtype\text{-}baseI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z'' : b \mid c'' \} \rangle using subtype-baseI t3 subtype-elims by metis
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c'[z'::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c''[z''::=[x]^v]_v
      using subtype-valid[OF \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z' : b \mid c' \} \lesssim \tau 3 \rangle, of x z' b c' z'' c''] subtype-baseI
      t3 by simp
    thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c''[z''::=[x]^v]_v \rangle
       using valid-trans-full [of \Theta \mathcal{B} x b c z \Gamma c' z' c'' z''] subtype-base I t3 by simp
 qed
 thus ?case using t3 by simp
qed
lemma subtype-eq-e:
  assumes \forall i \ s1 \ s2 \ G. \ wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ G \land wfI \ P \ G \ i \land eval-e \ i \ e1 \ s1 \land eval-e \ i \ e2 \ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2 and
atom z1 \sharp e1 and atom z2 \sharp e2 and atom z1 \sharp \Gamma and atom z2 \sharp \Gamma
             and wfCE P \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b and wfCE P \mathcal{B} \Gamma e2 b
  shows P; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z1) == e1 \} \lesssim (\{ z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z2) == e2 \}
})
proof -
  have wfCE \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ e1 \ b and wfCE \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ e2 \ b using assms by auto
  have wst1: wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1) == e1 \})
    \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wfC-e-eq}\ \mathit{wfTI}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{wfX-wfB}\ \mathit{wfG-fresh-x}
    by (simp \ add: \ wfT-e-eq)
  moreover have wst2:wfT \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\{ z2:b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z2) == e2 \} \}
    using wfC-e-eq wfX-wfB wfTI assms wfG-fresh-x
    by (simp \ add: \ wfT-e-eq)
  moreover obtain x::x where xf: atom x \sharp (P, \mathcal{B}, z1, CE-val (V-var z1)) == e1, z2, CE-val
(V-var\ z2) == e2, \Gamma) using obtain-fresh by blast
  moreover have vld: P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE-val (V-var z1) == e1)[z1::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (CE-val (V-var z1) == e1)[z1::=V-var x]_v
(V - var z2) = e2)[z2 := V - var x]_v \quad (is P; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c)
  proof -
    have wbg: P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ?G \land P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet ?G proof –
      have P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ?G proof(rule wfG-consI)
         show P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using assms wfX-wfY by metis
        show atom x \sharp \Gamma using xf by auto
        show P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using assms(6) wfX-wfB by auto
        show P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z1) == e1\ )[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v
           using wfC-e-eq[OF assms(6)] wf-subst(2)
           by (simp add: \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle \ assms(2) \ subst-v-c-def)
      qed
```

```
moreover hence P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using wfG-elims by metis
               ultimately show ?thesis using toSet.simps by auto
          qed
          have wsc: wfC P \mathcal{B} ?G ?c proof -
               have wfCE P \mathcal{B} ?G (CE-val (V-var x)) b proof
                       \mathbf{show} \ \land \ P; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (x, \ b, \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z1}) \ \ == \ \ e1 \ )[\mathit{z1} ::= \mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{x}]_v) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \ \mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{x} \ : \ b \ \land \ \mathsf{var} \ 
using wfV-varI lookup.simps wbg by auto
               qed
               moreover have wfCE P B ?G e2 b using wf-weakening assms wbg by metis
               ultimately have wfC P B ?G (CE-val (V-var x) == e2) using wfC-eqI by simp
               thus ?thesis using subst-cv.simps(6) \land atom z2 \sharp e2 \land subst-v-c-def by simp
          moreover have \forall i. \ wfI \ P \ ?G \ i \land is-satis-g \ i \ ?G \longrightarrow is-satis i \ ?c \ \mathbf{proof}(rule \ all I \ , \ rule \ impI)
               assume as: wfI P ?G i \land is-satis-g i ?G
               hence is-satis i ((CE-val\ (V-var\ z1)\ ==\ e1\ )[z1::=V-var\ x]_v)
                    by (simp\ add:\ is\text{-}satis\text{-}g.simps(2))
               hence is-satis i (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e1) using subst-cv.simps\ assms\ subst-v-c-def by auto
               then obtain s1 and s2 where *:eval-e i (CE-val (V-var x)) s1 \wedge eval-e i e1 s2 \wedge s1=s2 using
is-satis.simps eval-c-elims by metis
               moreover hence eval-e i e2 s1 proof -
                    have **:wfI P ?G i using as by auto
                      \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \textit{wfCE} \ \textit{P} \ \textit{B} \ \textit{?G} \ \textit{e1} \ \textit{b} \ \land \textit{wfCE} \ \textit{P} \ \textit{B} \ \textit{?G} \ \textit{e2} \ \textit{b} \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{assms} \ \textit{xf} \ \textit{wf-weakening} \ \textit{wbg}
by metis
                    moreover then obtain s2' where eval-e i e2 s2' using assms wfI-wfCE-eval-e ** by metis
                    ultimately show ?thesis using * assms(1) wfX-wfY by metis
               qed
              ultimately have is-satis i (CE-val (V-var x) == e2) using is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI by force
                 thus is-satis i ((CE-val (V-var z2) == e2)[z2:=V-var x]_v) using is-satis.simps eval-c-eqI
assms subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def by auto
          qed
          ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by simp
    moreover have atom x \not \mid (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z_1, CE-val (V-var z_1) = e_1, z_2, CE-val (V-var z_2) = e_1, z_2, CE-val (V-var z_1) = e_1, z_2, CE-var (V-var z_1) = e_1, z_2, CE-var (V-var z_1) = e_1, z_2, CE-var (V-var (V
          unfolding fresh-prodN using xf fresh-prod7 \tau.fresh by fast
     ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-baseI[OF - wst1 wst2 vld] xf by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}e\text{-}nil:
     assumes \forall i \ s1 \ s2 \ G. \ wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ G \land wfI \ P \ G \ i \land eval-e \ i \ e1 \ s1 \land eval-e \ i \ e2 \ s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \ and
supp \ e1 = \{\}  and supp \ e2 = \{\}  and wfTh \ P
               and wfCE \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ e1 \ b and wfCE \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ e2 \ b and atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ GNil \ and \ atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ GNil
    shows P; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash \{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1) == e1 \} \lesssim (\{ z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z2) == e1 \})
     apply(rule subtype-eq-e, auto simp add: assms e.fresh)
     using assms fresh-def e.fresh supp-GNil apply metis+
     done
```

```
lemma subtype-gnil-fst-aux:
      assumes \mathit{supp}\ v_1 = \{\}\ \text{and}\ \mathit{supp}\ (\mathit{V-pair}\ v_1\ v_2) = \{\}\ \text{and}\ \mathit{wfTh}\ \mathit{P}\ \text{and}\ \mathit{wfCE}\ \mathit{P}\ \mathcal{B}\ \mathit{GNil}\ (\mathit{CE-val}\ \mathit{P}\ \mathit{CE-val}\ \mathit
v_1) b and wfCE P B GNil (CE-fst [V-pair v_1 v_2]<sup>ce</sup>) b and
                   wfCE P \mathcal{B} GNil (CE-val v_2) b\mathcal{Z} and atom z1 \sharp GNil and atom z2 \sharp GNil
    shows P; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash (\{ z1: b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z1) = CE\text{-}val \ v_1 \} \} \lesssim (\{ z2: b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z1) \} )
z2) = CE-fst \left[V-pair v_1 v_2\right]^{ce}
proof -
     have \forall i \ s1 \ s2 \ G . wfG \ P \ B \ G \ \land \ wfI \ P \ G \ i \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-val \ v_1) \ s1 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s2 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s3 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ [V-pair \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ v_1] \ s4 \ \land \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ v_1]) \ s4 \ \land \ \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-fst \ v_1]
v_2 | ce | s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \text{ proof}(rule+)
          fix i s1 s2 G
          assume as: wfG P \mathcal{B} G \wedge wfI P G i \wedge eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}val \ v_1) \ s1 \wedge eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}fst \ [V\text{-}pair \ v_1 \ v_2]^{ce})
s2
          hence wfCE P B G (CE-val v_2) b2 using assms wf-weakening
               by (metis\ empty-subset I\ to Set.simps(1))
          then obtain s3 where eval-e i (CE-val v_2) s3 using wfI-wfCE-eval-e as by metis
          hence eval-v i ((V-pair v_1 v_2)) (SPair s1 s3)
               by (meson as eval-e-elims(1) eval-v-pairI)
          hence eval-e i (CE-fst [V-pair v_1 v_2]<sup>ce</sup>) s1 using eval-e-fstI eval-e-valI by metis
          show s1 = s2 using as eval-e-uniqueness
               using \langle eval\text{-}e \ i \ (CE\text{-}fst \ [V\text{-}pair \ v_1 \ v_2]^{ce}) \ s1 \rangle by auto
     qed
    thus ?thesis using subtype-eq-e-nil ce.supp assms by auto
qed
lemma subtype-gnil-snd-aux:
      assumes supp \ v_2 = \{\} and supp \ (V-pair \ v_1 \ v_2) = \{\} and wfTh \ P and wfCE \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ (CE-val)
v_2) b and
                  wfCE P B GNil (CE-snd [(V-pair v_1 \ v_2)]^{ce}) b and
                   wfCE P \mathcal{B} GNil (CE-val v_1) b2 and atom z1 \sharp GNil and atom z2 \sharp GNil
    shows P; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash (\{ z1: b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z1) = CE\text{-}val \ v_2 \} \} \lesssim (\{ z2: b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z1) \} )
z2) = CE\text{-}snd [(V\text{-}pair v_1 v_2)]^{ce}]
proof -
    have \forall i \ s1 \ s2 \ G. \ wfG \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ \wedge \ wfI \ P \ G \ i \ \wedge \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-val \ v_2) \ s1 \ \wedge \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-snd \ [(V-pair \ v_1) \ v_2] \ )
[v_2]^{ce} s2 \longrightarrow s1 = s2 \operatorname{proof}(rule+)
          fix i s1 s2 G
           assume as: wfG P B G \wedge wfI P G i \wedge eval-e i (CE-val v_2) s1 \wedge eval-e i (CE-snd [(V-pair v_1
v_2)^{ce} s2
          hence wfCE P B G (CE-val v_1) b2 using assms wf-weakening
              by (metis empty-subsetI toSet.simps(1))
          then obtain s3 where eval-e i (CE-val v_1) s3 using wfI-wfCE-eval-e as by metis
          hence eval-v i ((V-pair v_1 v_2)) (SPair s3 s1)
               by (meson as eval-e-elims eval-v-pairI)
          hence eval-e i (CE-snd [(V-pair v_1 v_2)]<sup>ce</sup>) s1 using eval-e-sndI eval-e-valI by metis
          show s1 = s2 using as eval-e-uniqueness
               \mathbf{using} \ \langle eval\text{-}e \ i \ (\textit{CE-snd} \ [\textit{V-pair} \ v_1 \ v_2]^{ce}) \ s1 \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
      thus ?thesis using assms subtype-eq-e-nil by (simp add: ce.supp ce.supp)
```

lemma subtype-gnil-fst:

```
assumes \Theta ; {||} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} [\#1[[v_1,v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : b
  \mathbf{shows} \; \Theta \; ; \; \{ || \} \; ; \; GNil \; \vdash (\{ \! \{ z_1 : b \mid [[z_1]^v]^{ce} = [v_1]^{ce} \; \} ) \lesssim
         (\{ z_2 : b \mid [[z_2]^v]^{ce} == [\#1[[v_1, v_2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \})
proof
 obtain b2 where **: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} V-pair v_1 \ v_2 : B-pair b \ b2 using wfCE-elims(4)[OF assms
wfCE-elims by metis
  obtain b1'b2' where *:B-pair bb2 = B-pair b1'b2' \land \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_1 : b1' \land \Theta; {||}
; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_2 : b2'
    using wfV-elims(3)[OF **] by metis
  show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-gnil-fst-aux)
    show \langle supp \ v_1 = \{ \} \rangle using * wfV-supp-nil by auto
    show \langle supp \ (V\text{-pair}\ v_1\ v_2) = \{\} \rangle using ** wfV-supp-nil e.supp by metis
    show \langle \vdash_{wf} \Theta \rangle using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \{ || \}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val \ v_1 : b \rangle \text{ using } wfCE\text{-}valI * by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \{ || \}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}fst [V\text{-}pair v_1 \ v_2]^{ce} : b \rangle using assms by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \{ || \}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val \ v_2 : b2 \rangle \text{using} \ wfCE\text{-}valI * by auto
    show \langle atom \ z_1 \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using fresh-GNil by metis
    show \langle atom \ z_2 \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using fresh-GNil by metis
  qed
qed
lemma subtype-gnil-snd:
  assumes wfCE P {||} GNil (CE-snd ([V-pair v_1 \ v_2]^{ce})) b
  \mathbf{shows}\ P\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ GNil\ \vdash (\{\!\mid z1:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z1)\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ v_2\ \})\lesssim (\{\!\mid z2:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ v_2\mid \})
(V\text{-}var\ z2) = CE\text{-}snd\ [(V\text{-}pair\ v_1\ v_2)]^{ce}\ \})
proof -
  obtain b1 where **: P; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} V-pair v_1 v_2 : B-pair b1 b using wfCE-elims assms by
  obtain b1'b2' where *:B-pair b1b = B-pair b1'b2' \land P; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_1 : b1' \land P; \{||\}
; GNil \vdash_{wf} v_2 : b2' using wfV-elims(3)[OF **] by metis
  show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-qnil-snd-aux)
    show \langle supp \ v_2 = \{\} \rangle using * wfV-supp-nil by auto
    show \langle supp \ (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) = \{\} \rangle using ** wfV-supp-nil e.supp by metis
    show \langle \vdash_{wf} P \rangle using assms wfX-wfY by metis
    show \langle P; \{ || \}; \ GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val \ v_1 : b1 \rangle \text{ using } wfCE\text{-}valI * \mathbf{by } simp
    show \langle P; \{ || \}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-snd} [(V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2)]^{ce} : b \rangle using assms by auto
    show \langle P; \{ || \}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val \ v_2 : b \rangle \text{using} \ wfCE\text{-}valI * by simp
    show \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using fresh-GNil by metis
    show \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using fresh-GNil by metis
  qed
qed
lemma subtype-fresh-tau:
  fixes x::x
  assumes atom x \sharp t1 and atom x \sharp \Gamma and P; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
  shows atom x \sharp t2
proof -
  have wfg: P; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using subtype\text{-}wf \ wfX\text{-}wfY assms by metis
  have wft: wfT P B \Gamma t2 using subtype-wf wfX-wfY assms by blast
```

```
hence supp \ t2 \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using \ wf-supp
           using atom-dom.simps by auto
     moreover have atom x \notin atom-dom \Gamma using (atom x \sharp \Gamma) wfG-atoms-supp-eq wfq fresh-def by blast
      ultimately show atom x \sharp t2 using fresh-def
           by (metis Un-iff contra-subsetD x-not-in-b-set)
qed
lemma subtype-if-simp:
     assumes wfT \ P \ B \ GNil \ (\{ z1:b \mid CE-val \ (V-lit \ l \ ) == CE-val \ (V-lit \ l) \ IMP \ c[z:=V-var \ z1]_v
\}) and
                             wfT \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ (\{ z : b \mid c \} \}) \ \mathbf{and} \ atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ c
     shows P; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash (\{z : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ IMP \ c[z ::= V\text{-}var \ z1]_v \}
proof -
    obtain x::x where xx: atom x \sharp ( P, \mathcal{B}, z1, CE-val (V-lit l) == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP c[z::=V-var
z1_v, z, c, GNil) using obtain-fresh-z by blast
      hence xx2: atom x \sharp (CE-val (V-lit l) == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP <math>c[z:=V-var z1]_v, c(z:=V-var z1)_v
using fresh-prod7 fresh-prod3 by fast
      \mathbf{have} *:P; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit l) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit l) \quad IMP \quad c[z::=V\text{-}var \ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var \ z1]_v)[z1::=V\text{-}var \ z1]_v
[x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c[z::=V-var \ x]_v (is P; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c) proof –
            have wfC P B ?G ?c using wfT-wfC-cons[OF assms(1) assms(2), of x] xx fresh-prod5 fresh-prod3
subst-v-c-def by metis
           moreover have (\forall i. \ wfl \ P \ ?G \ i \land is\text{-}satis - q \ i \ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis \ i \ ?c) proof(rule \ all I, \ rule \ impI)
                 \mathbf{fix} i
                 assume as1: wfI P ?G i \land is-satis-g i ?G
             \mathbf{have} \; ((\mathit{CE-val}\; (\mathit{V-lit}\; l) \; == \; \mathit{CE-val}\; (\mathit{V-lit}\; l) \; \; \mathit{IMP}\; \; c[z::=\mathit{V-var}\; z1]_v \;)[z1::=\mathit{V-var}\; x]_v) = ((\mathit{CE-val}\; (\mathit{V-lit}\; l) \; |\; \mathit{CE-val}\; l) \; |\; \mathit{CE-val}\; (\mathit{CE-val}\; l) \; |\; \mathit{CE-val}\; l) \; |\; \mathit{CE-val}\; l) \; |\; \mathit{CE-val}\; l \; |\; \mathit{CE-val}\; l) \;
(V-lit\ l) == CE-val\ (V-lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z:=V-var\ x]_v\ ))
                      using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
                         hence is-satis i ((CE-val (V-lit l) == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP c[z:=V-var x]_v)) using
is-satis-g.simps as 1 by presburger
            \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{is-satis} \ \mathit{i} \ ((\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-lit} \ l)) = \ \mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-lit} \ l))) \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{is-satis}. \mathit{simps} \ \mathit{eval-c-eqI}[\mathit{of} \ \mathsf{of} \ \mathsf{of}
i (CE-val (V-lit l)) eval-l l] eval-e-uniqueness
                             eval-e-valI eval-v-litI by metis
                 ultimately show is-satis i ?c using is-satis-mp[of i] by metis
           qed
           ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by simp
    moreover have atom\ x \ \sharp \ (P,\mathcal{B},\ GNil,\ z1\ ,\ CE-val\ (V-lit\ l)\ ==\ CE-val\ (V-lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z:=V-var
z1|_v , z, c
                  unfolding fresh-prod5 \tau.fresh using xx fresh-prodN x-fresh-b by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-baseI assms xx xx2 by metis
qed
lemma subtype-if:
     assumes P; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z' : b \mid c' \} and
                             wfT P \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ IMP \ c[z::=V\text{-}var \ z1]_v \} \} and
                             wfT \ P \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\{ \ z2 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ v \ == \ CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ IMP \ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var \ z2]_v \ \} \} and
                             atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ v \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ C' \ \ \mathbf{and} \ \ atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ v'
     shows P; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ IMP \ c[z::=V\text{-}var \ z1]_v \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b \}
|CE-val\ v| == CE-val\ (V-lit\ l)\ IMP\ c'[z'::=V-var\ z2]_v
proof -
     obtain x::x where xx: atom x \not \parallel (P,\mathcal{B},z,c,z',c',z1,CE\text{-val }v) == CE\text{-val }(V\text{-lit }l) IMP c[z:=V\text{-var }l]
```

```
z1<sub>v</sub>, z2, CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP c'[z'::=V-var z2]<sub>v</sub>, \Gamma)
   using obtain-fresh-z by blast
  hence xf: atom x \sharp (z, c, z', c', \Gamma) by simp
  have xf2: atom x \sharp (z1, CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP c[z::=V-var z1]_v, z2, CE-val v ==
CE-val (V-lit l) IMP c'[z':=V-var\ z2]_v, \Gamma)
   using xx fresh-prod4 fresh-prodN by metis
 moreover have P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE-val\ v == CE-val\ (V-lit\ l)\ IMP\ c[z::=V-var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V-var\ z1]_v
x|_{v}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) \quad IMP\ c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_{v})[z2::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{v}
             (is P; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c)
  proof -
   have wbc: wfC P B ?G ?c using assms xx fresh-prod4 fresh-prod2 wfT-wfC-cons assms subst-v-c-def
by metis
   moreover have \forall i. \ wfI \ P \ ?G \ i \land is\text{-}satis\text{-}g \ i \ ?G \longrightarrow is\text{-}satis \ i \ ?c \ \mathbf{proof}(rule \ allI, \ rule \ impI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ i
     assume a1: wfI P ?G i \land is-satis-g i ?G
     thm is-satis.simps
    \mathbf{have} *: is-satis \ i \ ((CE-val \ v == CE-val \ (V-lit \ l))) \longrightarrow is-satis \ i \ ((c'[z'::=V-var \ z2]_v)[z2::=V-var \ z2]_v)
x]_v
     proof
       assume a2: is-satis i ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)))
       have is-satis i((CE-val\ v == CE-val\ (V-lit\ l)\ IMP\ (c[z:=V-var\ z1]_v))[z1:=V-var\ x]_v)
         using a1 is-satis-q.simps by simp
       moreover have ((CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ (c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v\ ))[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v)=
(CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l)\ IMP\ ((c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v\ )[z1::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v))
         using assms subst-v-c-def by simp
       ultimately have is-satis i (CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP ((c[z::=V-var z1]_v)[z1::=V-var
x]_v)) by argo
       hence is-satis i ((c[z::=V-var\ z1]_v)[z1::=V-var\ x]_v) using a2 is-satis-mp by auto
        moreover have ((c[z:=V-var\ z1]_v)[z1:=V-var\ x]_v) = ((c[z:=V-var\ x]_v)) using assms by
auto
       ultimately have is-satis i ((c[z::=V-var \ x]_v)) using a2 is-satis.simps by auto
       hence is-satis-g i ((x,b,(c[z::=V-var\ x]_v\ ))\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) using a1 is-satis-g.simps by meson
       moreover have wfl P((x,b,(c[z::=V-var \ x]_v)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \text{ proof } -
         obtain s where Some \ s = i \ x \land wfRCV \ P \ s \ b \land wfI \ P \ \Gamma \ i \ using \ wfI-def \ a1 \ by \ auto
         thus ?thesis using wfI-def by auto
       ultimately have is-satis i((c'|z':=V-var x|_v)) using subtype-valid\ assms(1)\ xf\ valid\ simps\ by
simp
        moreover have (c'[z'::=V-var\ x]_v) = ((c'[z'::=V-var\ z]_v)[zz::=V-var\ x]_v) using assms by
auto
       ultimately show is-satis i((c'[z'::=V-var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V-var\ x]_v) by auto
     qed
     moreover have ?c = ((CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l))\ IMP\ ((c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)[z2::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v)
x]_v))
       using assms subst-v-c-def by simp
```

```
thm wfC-elims
      moreover have \exists b1 \ b2. \ eval{-}c \ i \ (CE-val \ v == CE-val \ (V-lit \ l) \ ) \ b1 \ \land
                     eval-c i c'[z'::=V-var z2]_v[z2::=V-var x]_v b2 proof -
       thm assms(2)
      have wfC P B ?G (CE-val \ v == CE-val \ (V-lit \ l)) using wbc \ wfC-elims(7) \ assms \ subst-cv.simps
subst-v-c-def by fastforce
        moreover have wfC P B ?G (c'[z'::=V-var z2]_v[z2::=V-var x]_v) \mathbf{proof}(rule \ wfT-wfC-cons)
          \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ P; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ \ z1: b \mid CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ | \ IMP \ (c[z::=V\text{-}var \ z1]_v) \} \}
using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
          have \{z2:b\mid c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v\}=\{z':b\mid c'\} using assms subst-v-c-def by auto
          thus \langle P; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z2 : b \mid c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ z2]_v \} \rangle using assms subtype-elims by metis
          show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (CE-val \ v == CE-val \ (V-lit \ l) \ IMP \ c[z::=V-var \ z1]_v \ , \ c'[z'::=V-var \ z2]_v,
\Gamma) using xx fresh-Pair c.fresh by metis
        qed
        ultimately show ?thesis using wfI-wfC-eval-c a1 subst-v-c-def by simp
      qed
      ultimately show is-satis i ?c using is-satis-imp[OF *] by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by simp
  qed
 moreover have atom x \sharp (P, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z1, CE\text{-}val\ v == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ l) IMP\ c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z1]_v,
z2, CE-val v == CE-val (V-lit l) IMP c'[z'::=V-var z2]_v
    unfolding fresh-prod5 τ.fresh using xx xf2 fresh-prodN x-fresh-b by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-baseI assms xf2 by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\text{-}e\text{-}concat\text{-}eq:
  assumes wfI \Theta \Gamma i
  shows \exists s. \ eval-e \ i \ (CE-val \ (V-lit \ (L-bitvec \ (v1 \ @ \ v2)))) \ ) \ s \land eval-e \ i \ (CE-concat \ [(V-lit \ (L-bitvec \ (v1 \ @ \ v2)))])
v1))]<sup>ce</sup> [(V-lit (L-bitvec v2))]<sup>ce</sup>) s
  using eval-e-valI eval-e-concatI eval-v-litI eval-l.simps by metis
lemma is-satis-eval-e-eq-imp:
  assumes wfI \Theta \Gamma i and eval-e i e1 s and eval-e i e2 s
  and is-satis i (CE-val (V-var x) == e1) (is is-satis i ?c1)
 shows is-satis i (CE-val (V-var x) == e2)
proof -
 have *:eval-c i ?c1 True using assms is-satis.simps by blast
 hence eval-e i (CE-val (V-var x)) s using assms is-satis.simps eval-c-elims
    by (metis (full-types) eval-e-uniqueness)
  thus ?thesis using is-satis.simps eval-c.intros assms by fastforce
qed
lemma valid-eval-e-eq:
  fixes e1::ce and e2::ce
  assumes \forall \Gamma \ i. \ \textit{wfI} \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ i \longrightarrow (\exists \, \textit{s. eval-e} \ i \ e\textit{1} \ \textit{s} \ \land \ \textit{eval-e} \ i \ e\textit{2} \ \textit{s}) \ \text{and} \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \textit{GNil} \ \vdash_{wf} \ e\textit{1} : \textit{b} \ \ \text{and}
\Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} e2 : b
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e1\ )) <math>\#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (CE-val\ (V-var\ x) == e2)
```

```
proof(rule\ validI)
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) <math>\#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e2
  proof
   have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-var x) == e1 using assms wfC-eqI wfE-valI
wfV-varI wfX-wfY
      by (simp add: fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq)
   \mathbf{hence}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf}(x,\ b,\ \mathit{CE-val}\ (\mathit{V-var}\ x)\ ==\ e1\ )\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \mathit{GNil}\ \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{wfG-consI}\ \mathit{fresh-GNil}\ \mathit{wfX-wfY}
assms wfX-wfB by metis
   thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) == e1) <math>\#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x): b using wfCE\text{-}valI
wfV-varI wfX-wfY
        lookup.simps assms wfX-wfY by simp
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x) == e1) <math>\#_{\Gamma}\ GNil\ \vdash_{wf}\ e2:b using assms wf-weakening
      by (metis (full-types) \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) :
b) empty-iff subsetI toSet.simps(1))
 qed
 x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \longrightarrow is-satis i (CE-val (V-var x) == e2)
 \mathbf{proof}(rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} \ i
    assume wfI \Theta ((x, b, CE-val (V-var x) == e1) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) i \wedge is-satis-g i ((x, b, CE-val (V-var
x) == e1 ) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
    moreover then obtain s where eval-e i e1 s \wedge eval-e i e2 s using assms by auto
     ultimately show is-satis i (CE-val (V-var x) == e2) using assms is-satis-eval-e-eq-imp
is-satis-q.simps by meson
 qed
qed
{f lemma} subtype\text{-}concat:
  assumes \vdash_{wf} \Theta
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) = CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec (v1 @ v2))) \} \}
             \{z: B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}concat\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))]^{ce}\}
(v2)]<sup>ce</sup> \{ (is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash ?t1 \lesssim ?t2) \}
proof -
 obtain x::x where x: atom x \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z, CE-val (V-var z)) == CE-val (V-lit (L-bitvec (v1
@ v2))),
            z, CE-val (V-var z) == CE-concat [V-lit (L-bitvec v1)]<sup>ce</sup> [V-lit (L-bitvec v2)]<sup>ce</sup>)
              (is ?xfree)
    using obtain-fresh by auto
 have wb1: \Theta; B; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-lit (L-bitvec (v1 @ v2))): B-bitvec using wfX-wfY wfCE-valI
wfV-litI assms base-for-lit.simps wfG-nilI by metis
 hence wb2: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}concat [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v1))]^{ce} [(V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v2))]^{ce} : B\text{-}bitvec
    using wfCE-concatI wfX-wfY wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps wfCE-valI by metis
  show ?thesis proof
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t1 using wfT-e-eq fresh-GNil \ wb1 \ wb2 by metis
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t2 using wfT-e-eq fresh-GNil \ wb1 \ wb2 by metis
    show ?xfree using x by auto
```

```
show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-}bitvec, (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec (v1 @ v2)))})[z:=V\text{-}var
x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}
                              GNil \models (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z) == CE\text{-}concat \ [(V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v1))]^{ce} \ [(V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v2))]^{ce}
[z:=V-var x]_v
               using valid-eval-e-eq eval-e-concat-eq wb1 wb2 subst-v-c-def by fastforce
qed
lemma subtype-len:
    assumes \vdash_{wf} \Theta
    shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash \{z' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z') = CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int (length v))))}
                                                                      \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z) = CE\text{-}len \ [(V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v))]^{ce} \ \} \ (is \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \mathcal{B
GNil \vdash ?t1 \lesssim ?t2)
proof -
    have *: \Theta \vdash_{wf} [] \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta} using assms wfG-nill wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI by auto
    obtain x::x where x: atom x \notin (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil, z', CE-val (V-var z') ==
                            CE-val (V-lit (L-num (int (length v)))), z, CE-val (V-var z) = CE-len [(V-lit (L-bitvec
v))]^{ce})
          (is atom x \sharp ?F)
          using obtain-fresh by metis
     then show ?thesis proof
          have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int (length v)))) : B\text{-}int)
               using wfCE-valI * wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps
               by (metis \ wfE-valI \ wfX-wfY)
          thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t1 using wfT-e-eq fresh-GNil by auto
          have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-len} [(V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec } v))]^{ce} : B\text{-int}
               using wfE-valI * wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps wfE-valI wfX-wfY
               by (metis wfCE-lenI wfCE-valI)
          thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} ?t2 using wfT-e-eq fresh-GNil by auto
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-int}, (CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z') == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit}(L\text{-num}(int(length v)))))]z':= V\text{-var}
x|_v) \#_\Gamma GNil \models (CE-val (V-var z) == CE-len [(V-lit (L-bitvec v))]^{ce}) [z::=V-var x]_v
                                                (is \Theta; PG \models PC) using valid-len assms subst-v-c-def by auto
    qed
qed
lemma subtype-base-fresh:
     assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \}  and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c' \}  and
             atom z \sharp \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'
       shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c' \}
proof -
    obtain x::x where *:atom\ x\ \sharp\ ((\Theta\ ,\mathcal{B}\ ,\ z,\ c,\ z,\ c',\ \Gamma)\ ,\ (\Theta\ ,\mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \{\!\{\ z:b\ \mid c\ \}\!\},\ \{\!\{\ z:b\ \mid c'\ \}\!\})) using
obtain-fresh by metis
    moreover hence atom x \sharp \Gamma using fresh-Pair by auto
    moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z:=V-var x]_v using assms valid-flip-simple
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-baseI assms \tau.fresh fresh-Pair by metis
qed
lemma subtype-bop-arith:
  assumes wfG \Theta B \Gamma and (opp = Plus \land ll = (L-num (n1+n2))) \lor (opp = LEq \land ll = (if n1 \le n2))
then L-true else L-false))
  and (opp = Plus \longrightarrow b = B\text{-}int) \land (opp = LEq \longrightarrow b = B\text{-}bool)
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (ll))) \} ) \lesssim
                             \{z:b\mid C\text{-}eq\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z))\ (CE\text{-}op\ opp\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n2))]^{ce}\ ]
[n2)]<sup>ce</sup>) \} (is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash ?T1 \lesssim ?T2)
proof -
  obtain x::x where xf: atom \ x \ \sharp \ (z, \ CE-val \ (V-var \ z) = CE-val \ (V-lit \ (ll)), \ z, \ CE-val \ (V-var \ z)
== CE-op opp [(V-lit (L-num n1))]^{ce} [(V-lit (L-num n2))]^{ce}, \Gamma)
     using obtain-fresh by blast
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{x:b \mid C\text{-}eq\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x))\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (ll)))\}\}
                                     \{x:b\mid C\text{-eq}\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x))\ (CE\text{-}op\ opp\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}num\ n1))]^{ce}\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (V\text{-}var\ x))]^{ce}\ ]
(L\text{-}num\ n2))^{ce} \} (is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash ?S1 \lesssim ?S2)
  proof(rule subtype-base-fresh)
     show atom x \sharp \Gamma using xf fresh-Pair by auto
     show wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ x: b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) = CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ ll) \ \} ) (is wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ?A ?B)
     proof(rule\ wfT-e-eq)
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-lit }ll) : b \text{ using } wfV\text{-litI } base\text{-}for\text{-lit.simps } assms \text{ by } metis
       thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ ll) : b \ using \ wfCE\text{-}valI \ by \ auto
       show atom x \sharp \Gamma using xf fresh-Pair by auto
     qed
     consider \ opp = Plus \mid opp = LEq \ using \ opp.exhaust \ assms \ by \ blast
     then show wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{x:b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ x) == CE\text{-}op \ opp \ [(V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1))]^{ce}
[(V-lit\ (L-num\ n2))]^{ce}\ \})\ (is\ wfT\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ?A\ ?C)
     proof(cases)
       case 1
       then show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x: b \mid [[x]^v]^{ce} == [opp [[L-num \ n1]^v]^{ce} [[L-num \ n2]^v]^{ce} \}
]ce ]ce ]}
                  using wfCE-valI wfCE-plusI assms wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms
                  by (metis \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle \ wfT-e-eq)
     next
       case 2
       then show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x: b \mid [ [x]^v]^{ce} == [opp [ [L-num \ n1]^v]^{ce} [ [L-num \ n2]^v]^{ce} \}
         using wfCE-valI wfCE-plusI assms wfV-litI base-for-lit.simps assms
         by (metis \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle \ wfCE-leqI \ wfT-e-eq)
     qed
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (ll)))) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
                               \models (\mathit{CE-val}\ (\mathit{V-var}\ x)\ ==\ \mathit{CE-op}\ \mathit{opp}\ [\mathit{V-lit}\ (\mathit{L-num}\ n1)]^{\mathit{ce}}\ [\mathit{V-lit}\ (\mathit{L-num}\ n2)]^{\mathit{ce}})
(is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models ?c)
       using valid-arith-bop assms xf by simp
```

\* subst-v-c-def by auto

```
qed
  moreover have ?S1 = ?T1 using type-l-eq by auto
 moreover have ?S2 = ?T2 using type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def empty-iff fresh-e-opp
     by (metis\ ms-fresh-all(4))
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma subtype-bop-eq:
  assumes wfG \Theta B \Gamma and base-for-lit\ l1 = base-for-lit\ l2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z)) \mid CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit}(if l1 = l2 then L\text{-true else}) \}
L-false))) \}) \lesssim
                           \{z: B\text{-bool} \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z)) (CE\text{-op} Eq [(V\text{-lit} l1)]^{ce} [(V\text{-lit} l2)]^{ce})\}  (is \Theta;
\mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash ?T1 \lesssim ?T2)
proof -
  let ?ll = if l1 = l2 then L-true else L-false
  obtain x::x where xf: atom x \sharp (z, CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-lit (if l1 = l2 then L-true else
L-false)), z, CE-val (V-var z) == CE-op Eq [(V-lit l1)]^{ce} [(V-lit l2)]^{ce}, \Gamma, (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma))
     using obtain-fresh by blast
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ x : B\text{-bool} \mid C\text{-eq} (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} x)) \mid (CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} (?ll))) \} \}
                                   \{ \hspace{-0.1cm} \text{$x:$ $B$-bool } \hspace{-0.1cm} | \hspace{-0.1cm} \text{$C$-eq } \hspace{-0.1cm} (\text{$C$-val } \hspace{-0.1cm} (\text{$V$-var } \hspace{-0.1cm} x)) \hspace{-0.1cm} (\text{$C$E$-op } \hspace{-0.1cm} \text{$E$q } \hspace{-0.1cm} [(\hspace{-0.1cm} V\text{-lit } \hspace{-0.1cm} (l1))]^{ce} \hspace{-0.1cm} | \hspace{-0.1cm} (V\text{-lit } \hspace{-0.1cm} (l2))]^{ce} \} 
\} (is \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash ?S1 \lesssim ?S2)
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\ subtype\text{-}base\text{-}fresh)
     show atom x \sharp \Gamma using xf fresh-Pair by auto
    show wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ x : B\text{-}bool \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var x) = CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit ?ll) \} ) (is wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ?A ?B)
     \mathbf{proof}(rule\ wfT\text{-}e\text{-}eq)
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (V\text{-lit ?ll}) : B\text{-bool using } wfV\text{-litI base-for-lit.simps assms by metis}
       thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit ?ll) : B\text{-}bool using } wfCE\text{-}valI by auto
       show atom x \sharp \Gamma using xf fresh-Pair by auto
     qed
     \mathbf{show} \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \quad \vdash_{wf} \ \{ \ x : B\text{-}bool \ \mid [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ eq\ [\ [\ l1\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ [\ [\ l2\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce} \ \}
     \mathbf{proof}(rule\ wfT\text{-}e\text{-}eq)
       show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [eq [[l1]^v]^{ce} [[l2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} : B\text{-bool}
          apply(rule wfCE-eqI, rule wfCE-valI)
           apply(rule wfV-litI, simp add: assms)
          using wfV-litI assms wfCE-valI by auto
       show atom x \sharp \Gamma using xf fresh-Pair by auto
     qed
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, (CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}x)) = CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit}(?ll))) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma
                                 \models (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ x)) == CE\text{-}op\ Eq\ [V\text{-}lit\ (l1)]^{ce}\ [V\text{-}lit\ (l2)]^{ce}) (is \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ ?G \models
?c)
       using valid-eq-bop assms xf by auto
  qed
```

```
moreover have ?S1 = ?T1 using type-l-eq by auto
 moreover have ?S2 = ?T2 using type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh supp-l-empty fresh-def empty-iff fresh-e-opp
    by (metis\ ms-fresh-all(4))
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma subtype-top:
  assumes wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G (\{ z : b \mid c \} \})
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash (\{ z : b \mid c \}) \lesssim (\{ z : b \mid TRUE \})
proof -
 obtain x::x where *: atom x \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G, z, z, TRUE) using obtain-fresh by blast
 then show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-baseI)
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using assms by auto
  \mathbf{show} \ \ (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \ \vdash_{wf} \ \{ \ z: b \ \mid \ TRUE \ \} \ ) \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfT-TRUE} \ \textit{assms} \ \textit{wfX-wfY} \ \textit{b-of.simps} \ \textit{wfT-wf}
     by (metis \ wfX-wfB(8))
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G using wfT-wf-cons3 assms fresh-Pair * subst-v-c-def
by auto
  thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G \models (TRUE)[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v \rangle using valid-trueI subst-cv.simps
subst-v-c-def by metis
ged
qed
lemma if-simp:
  (if x = x then e1 else e2) = e1
  by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subtype-split}\colon
  assumes split n \ v \ (v1, v2) and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
  shows \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash \{|z| : |B-bitvec|, B-bitvec|^b| | [|z|^v|^{ce}] = ||[|L-bitvec|]^b|
           v1 ]^v , [ L-bitvec
         [ L-num
 \begin{array}{c} n \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \quad \  \} \\ \textbf{(is } \Theta \ ;?B \ ; \ \textit{GNil} \ \vdash \ \{\!\!\{\ z: [\ \textit{B-bitvec}\ , \ \textit{B-bitvec}\ ]^b \ \mid \ ?c2 \ \}\!\!\} \end{array} 
proof -
  obtain x::x where xf:atom x \sharp (\Theta, ?B, GNil, z, ?c1, z, ?c2) using obtain-fresh by auto
  then show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-baseI)
  show *: \langle \Theta ; ?B ; (x, [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b, (?c1)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma}
                    GNil \models (?c2)[z:=[x]^v]_v
     unfolding subst-v-c-def subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps if-simp
     using valid-split[OF\ assms,\ of\ x] by simp
   show \langle \Theta ; ?B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b | ?c1 \} \rangle using valid-wfT[OF *] xf fresh-prodN
     show \Theta; ?B; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b | ?c2 \} \rangle using valid-wfT[OF *] xf
fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma subtype-range:
  fixes n::int and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes 0 \le n \land n \le int (length v) \text{ and } \Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
  \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{shows } \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ \{ & z : B\text{-}int \ \mid \ [ \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} == [ \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ n \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \} \lesssim \\ & \quad \{ \ z : B\text{-}int \ \mid \ ([ \ leq \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ 0 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} == [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ) \ AND \ \end{array} \right. \end{array} 
   proof -
  obtain x::x where *:\langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, ?B, \Gamma, z, ?c1 \ , z, ?c2 \ AND ?c3) \rangle using obtain-fresh by auto
  moreover have **:\langle \Theta ; ?B ; (x, B\text{-}int, (?c1)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (?c2 \ AND \ ?c3)[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle
   \textbf{unfolding} \ subst-vc. def \ subst-cv. simps \ subst-cv. simps \ subst-vv. simps \ if-simp \ \textbf{using} \ valid-range-length [OF]
assms(1)] assms fresh-prodN * by simp
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{hence} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ ?B \ ; \ \Gamma \quad \vdash_{wf} \ \{ \ z \ : B\text{-}int \ \mid [ \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ n \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \ \} \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using}
      valid-wfT * fresh-prodN by metis
  moreover have \langle \Theta ; ?B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B \text{-}int \mid ?c2 \ AND ?c3 \} \rangle
    using valid-wfT[OF **] * fresh-prodN by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-baseI by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{check-num-range}\colon
  assumes 0 \le n \land n \le int (length v) and \vdash_{wf} \Theta
  \mathbf{shows}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \mathit{GNil}\ \vdash ([\ \mathit{L-num}\ n\ ]^v) \Leftarrow \{\![\ z\ :\ \mathit{B-int}\ \mid ([\ \mathit{leq}\ [\ [\ \mathit{L-num}\ \theta\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ [\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}\ ==
[[L-true]^v]^{ce} AND
      using assms subtype-range check-v.intros infer-v-litI wfG-nilI
  by (meson infer-natI)
12.2
              Literals
nominal-function type-for-lit :: l \Rightarrow \tau where
  type-for-lit (L-true) = (\{ z : B-bool \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit L-true]^{ce} \})
 type\text{-}for\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}false) = (\{z: B\text{-}bool\ |\ [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}false]^{ce}\})
  type-for-lit (L-num n) = (\{z : B-int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-lit (L-num n)]^{ce}\})
  type\text{-}for\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}unit) = (\{z: B\text{-}unit \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}unit\ )]^{ce}\})
 type\text{-}for\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v) = (\{z: B\text{-}bitvec\ |\ [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v)]^{ce}\ \})
  by (auto simp: eqvt-def type-for-lit-graph-aux-def, metis l.strong-exhaust,(simp add: permute-int-def
flip-bitvec\theta)+)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
nominal-function type-for-var :: \Gamma \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow x \Rightarrow \tau where
  type-for-var\ G\ 	au\ x = (case\ lookup\ G\ x\ of\ g)
                         None \Rightarrow \tau
                    | Some (b,c) \Rightarrow (\{ x : b \mid c \}) )
apply auto unfolding eqvt-def apply(rule allI) unfolding type-for-var-graph-aux-def eqvt-def by
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
```

```
lemma infer-l-form:
 fixes l::l and tm::'a::fs
  \mathbf{assumes} \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z \ b. \ \tau = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z)) \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l)) \} \} \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ tm
   obtain z' and b where t:\tau = (\{ z': b \mid C\text{-eq }(CE\text{-val }(V\text{-var }z')) \mid (CE\text{-val }(V\text{-lit }l)) \}) using
infer-l-elims assms using infer-l.simps type-for-lit.simps
  type-for-lit.cases by blast
  obtain z::x where zf: atom z \sharp tm using obtain-fresh by metis
 have \tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit l)) \} \} using type-e-eq ce.fresh v.fresh l.fresh
    by (metis\ t\ type-l-eq)
  thus ?thesis using zf by auto
qed
lemma infer-l-form3:
  fixes l::l
  assumes \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z. \ \tau = (\{ z : base-for-lit \ l \mid C-eq \ (CE-val \ (V-var \ z)) \ (CE-val \ (V-lit \ l)) \ \} )
using infer-l-elims using assms using infer-l.simps type-for-lit.simps base-for-lit.simps by auto
lemma infer-l-form \not = [simp]:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows} \ \exists \ z. \vdash l \Rightarrow (\{\ z: \textit{base-for-lit} \ l \mid \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-var} \ z)) \ (\textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-lit} \ l)) \ \})
  using assms infer-l-form2 infer-l-form3 by metis
lemma infer-v-unit-form:
  fixes v::v
  assumes P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{\{z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1\}\}) \text{ and } supp \ v = \{\}\}
  shows v = V-lit L-unit
using assms proof(nominal-induct \ \Gamma \ v \ \{ \ z1 : B-unit \ | \ c1 \ \} \ rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ c \ x \ z)
  then show ?case using supp-at-base by auto
next
  case (infer-v-lit I \ominus B \Gamma l)
  from \langle -l \rangle  { z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1 } show ?case by(nominal-induct { z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1 } rule:
infer-l.strong-induct, auto)
lemma base-for-lit-wf:
  assumes \vdash_{wf} \Theta
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} base-for-lit l
using base-for-lit.simps using wfV-elims wf-intros assms l.exhaust by metis
lemma infer-l-t-wf:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land\ \mathit{atom}\ z\ \sharp\ \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : base-for-lit \ | \ C-eq \ (CE-val \ (V-var \ z)) \ (CE-val \ (V-lit \ l)) \ \}
proof
```

```
show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using wfG-fresh-x assms by auto
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} base-for-lit\ l\ using\ base-for-lit-wf\ assms\ wfX-wfY\ by\ metis
  thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, base-for-lit l, TRUE) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-lit l) using
wfC-v-eq wfV-litI assms wfX-wfY by metis
qed
lemma infer-l-wf:
  fixes l::l and \Gamma::\Gamma and \tau::\tau and \Theta::\Theta
  assumes \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau and \Theta : \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
  shows \vdash_{wf} \Theta and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
proof -
  show *:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma using assms infer-l-elims by auto
  thus \vdash_{wf} \Theta using wfX-wfY by auto
  show *:\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau using infer-l-t-wf assms infer-l-form3 *
    by (metis \leftarrow_{wf} \Theta) fresh-GNil wfG-nilI wfT-weakening-nil)
qed
lemma infer-l-uniqueness:
  fixes l::l
  assumes \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau and \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau'
  shows \tau = \tau'
  using assms
proof -
  obtain z and b where zt: \tau = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z)) \mid (CE\text{-val}(V\text{-lit}l)) \} ) using
infer-l-form assms by blast
  obtain z' and b where z't: \tau' = (\{ z' : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z')) (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit l)) \} ) using
infer-l-form assms by blast
  thus ?thesis using type-l-eq zt z't assms infer-l.simps infer-l-elims l.distinct
    by (metis infer-l-form3)
qed
12.3
             \mathbf{Values}
lemma type-v-eq:
  assumes \{z : b \mid c = \{z : b \mid c = q \ (CE - val \ (V - var \ z)) \ (CE - val \ (V - var \ x))\}\} and atom \ z \not \downarrow x
  shows b = b1 and c1 = C-eq (CE-val (V-var z1)) (CE-val (V-var x))
  using assms by (auto, metis Abs1-eq-iff \tau.eq-iff assms c.fresh ce.fresh type-e-eq v.fresh)
lemma infer-var2 [elim]:
  assumes P; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash V-var x \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists b \ c. \ Some \ (b,c) = lookup \ G \ x
  using assms infer-v-elims lookup-iff by (metis (no-types, lifting))
lemma infer-var3 [elim]:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-var x \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z \ b \ c. \ Some \ (b,c) = lookup \ \Gamma \ x \land \tau = (\{ z : b \mid C-eq \ (CE-val \ (V-var \ z)) \ (CE-val \ (V-var \ x)) \}
\}) \wedge atom z \sharp x \wedge atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)
  using infer-v-elims(1)[OF\ assms(1)] by metis
lemma infer-bool-options2:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \}  and supp \ v = \{ \} \land b = B\text{-bool}
```

```
shows v = V-lit L-true \vee (v = (V-lit L-false))
  using assms
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \{ \ z : b \mid c \ \} \ rule: infer-v.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ c \ x \ z)
  then show ?case using v.supp supp-at-base by auto
  case (infer-v-lit I \Theta B \Gamma l)
 \mathbf{from} \ \langle \vdash l \Rightarrow \{\!\!\{\ z:b \mid c\ \!\!\} \rangle \ \mathbf{show} \ ? case \ \mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \{\!\!\{\ z:b \mid c\ \!\!\} \ \ rule: infer-l.strong\text{-}induct)
    case (infer-trueI\ z)
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (infer-falseI\ z)
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (infer-natI \ n \ z)
    then show ?case using infer-v-litI by simp
    \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{infer-unitI}\ z)
    then show ?case using infer-v-litI by simp
  next
    case (infer-bitvecI\ bv\ z)
    then show ?case using infer-v-litI by simp
  qed
qed(auto+)
lemma infer-bool-options:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid c \}  and supp \ v = \{ \}
  \mathbf{shows}\ v = \textit{V-lit L-true}\ \lor\ (v = (\textit{V-lit L-false}))
using infer-bool-options2 assms by blast
lemma infer-int2:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \} \}
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathit{supp} \ v = \{\} \ \land \ b = B\text{-}\mathit{int} \longrightarrow (\exists \ n. \ v = V\text{-}\mathit{lit} \ (L\text{-}\mathit{num} \ n))
  using assms
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \{ \ z : b \mid c \ \} \ rule: infer-v.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ c \ x \ z)
  then show ?case using v.supp supp-at-base by auto
  case (infer-v-lit I \ominus B \Gamma l)
 \mathbf{from} \ (\vdash l \Rightarrow \{\!\!\{ z : b \mid c \,\!\!\}) \ \mathbf{show} \ ?case \ \mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \{\!\!\{ z : b \mid c \,\!\!\}\} \ rule: infer-l.strong\text{-}induct)
    case (infer-trueI\ z)
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (infer-falseI\ z)
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{infer-natI}\ n\ z)
    then show ?case using infer-v-litI by simp
```

```
case (infer-unit I z)
   then show ?case using infer-v-litI by simp
 next
   case (infer-bitvecI\ bv\ z)
   then show ?case using infer-v-litI by simp
 qed
\mathbf{qed}(\mathit{auto}+)
lemma infer-bitvec:
 fixes \Theta :: \Theta and v :: v
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c' \} \text{ and } supp \ v = \{ \}
 shows \exists bv. \ v = V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ bv)
using assms proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
 case (V-lit\ l)
 then show ?case by(nominal-induct l rule: l.strong-induct,force+)
next
 case (V-consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v)
 then show ?case using infer-v-elims(7)[OF V-consp(2)] \tau.eq-iff by auto
next
 case (V\text{-}var\ x)
 then show ?case using supp-at-base by auto
qed(force+)
lemma infer-int:
 assumes infer-v \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v (\{ z : B \text{-int } | c \} \}) and supp v = \{ \}
 shows \exists n. V-lit (L-num n) = v
 using assms infer-int2 by (metis (no-types, lifting))
lemma infer-lit:
 assumes infer-v \Theta B \Gamma v (\{z: b \mid c\}) and supp v = \{\} and b \in \{B\text{-bool}, B\text{-int}, B\text{-unit}\}
 shows \exists l. \ V-lit l = v
using assms proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
 case (V-lit x)
 then show ?case by (simp add: supp-at-base)
next
 case (V-var x)
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: supp-at-base)
\mathbf{next}
 case (V-pair x1a \ x2a)
 then show ?case using supp-at-base by auto
 case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
 then show ?case using supp-at-base by auto
next
 case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
 then show ?case
                        using supp-at-base by auto
qed
```

**lemma** infer-v-form[simp]:

```
fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
  \mathbf{shows} \; \exists \; z \; b. \; \tau = (\{ \mid z : b \mid \textit{C-eq} \; (\textit{CE-val} \; (\textit{V-var} \; z)) \; (\textit{CE-val} \; v) \}) \; \land \; \textit{atom} \; z \; \sharp \; v \; \land \; \textit{atom} \; z \; \sharp \; (\Theta, \; \mathcal{B}, \; \Gamma)
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \quad rule: infer-v.strong\text{-}induct)
case (infer-v-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x z)
  then show ?case by force
next
  \mathbf{case}\ (\mathit{infer-v-litI}\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \mathit{l}\ \tau)
  then obtain z and b where \tau = \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) = CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit l) \} \land atom z \sharp (\Theta, P) \}
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{infer-l-form} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis}
  moreover hence atom z \not\equiv (V-lit\ l) using supp-l-empty\ v.fresh(1)\ fresh-prod2\ fresh-def by blast
  ultimately show ?case by metis
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t1 t2)
  then show ?case by force
next
  case (infer-v-consI s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
  moreover hence atom z \sharp (V\text{-}cons \ s \ dc \ v) using
     Un-commute b.supp(3) fresh-def sup-bot.right-neutral supp-b-empty v.supp(4) pure-supp by metis
  ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN by metis
  case (infer-v-conspI s bv dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv b z)
   moreover hence atom z \sharp (V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v) unfolding v.fresh using pure-fresh fresh-prodN * by
    ultimately show ?case using fresh-prodN by metis
qed
lemma infer-v-form2:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{ z : b \mid c \}) and atom z \sharp v
  shows c = C-eq (CE-val (V-var z)) (CE-val v)
  using assms
proof -
  obtain z' and b' where (\{z:b\mid c\}) = (\{z':b'\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val\ v\ \}) \land atom
    using infer-v-form assms by meson
  thus ?thesis using Abs1-eq-iff (3) \tau.eq-iff type-e-eq
    by (metis\ assms(2)\ ce.fresh(1))
qed
lemma infer-v-form3:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and atom z \sharp (v,\Gamma)
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \text{-of } \tau \mid C \text{-eq } (CE \text{-val } (V \text{-var } z)) \mid (CE \text{-val } v) \} 
  obtain z' and b' where \tau = \{ z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z')) (CE\text{-val}v) \} \land atom z' \sharp v \land atom z' \}
z' \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma)
    using infer-v-form assms by metis
  moreover hence \{z': b' \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}varz')) (CE\text{-}valv)\} = \{z: b' \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}varz'))\}
z)) (CE-val v)
```

```
using assms type-e-eq fresh-Pair ce.fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using b-of.simps assms by auto
qed
lemma infer-v-form4:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and atom z \sharp (v,\Gamma) and b = b\text{-}of \tau
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val v) \} 
  using assms infer-v-form3 by simp
lemma infer-v-v-wf:
 fixes v::v
shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : (b\text{-}of \tau)
proof(induct rule: infer-v.induct)
 case (infer-v-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b c x z)
  then show ?case using wfC-elims wf-intros by auto
next
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t1 t2)
  then show ?case using wfC-elims wf-intros by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-v-lit I \ominus B \Gamma l \tau)
 hence b-of \tau = base-for-lit l using infer-l-form3 b-of.simps by metis
  then show ?case using wfV-litI infer-l-wf infer-v-litI wfG-b-weakening
    by (metis\ fempty-fsubsetI)
next
  case (infer-v-consI s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
  then show ?case using wfC-elims wf-intros
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) b-of.simps has-fresh-z2 subtype-eq-base2)
next
  case (infer-v-conspI s bv dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv b z)
  obtain z1 b1 c1 where t:tc = \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} using obtain-fresh-z by metis
  show ?case unfolding b-of.simps proof(rule wfV-conspI)
    show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle (dc, \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} ) \in set \ dclist \rangle using infer-v-conspI \ t by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ b,\ v)\rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
   have b1[bv:=b]_{bb} = b-of tv using subtype-eq-base 2[OF\ infer-v-conspI(5)]\ b-of .simps\ t\ subst-tb.simps
    thus (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv := b]_{bb}) using infer-v-conspI by auto
  qed
qed
lemma infer-v-t-form-wf:
 assumes wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} b and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b and atom z \sharp \Gamma
 shows wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val v) \}
  using wfT-v-eq assms by auto
lemma infer-v-t-wf:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
 shows wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau \wedge wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} (b\text{-}of \tau)
proof -
```

```
obtain z and b where \tau = \{ z : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z) = CE\text{-}val \ v \} \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ v \land atom \ z \ \sharp
(\Theta, \mathcal{B}, G) using infer-v-form assms by metis
  moreover have wfB \Theta B b using infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps wfX-wfB(1) assms
    using calculation by fastforce
  ultimately show wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau \wedge wfB \Theta \mathcal{B} (b\text{-}of \tau) using infer\text{-}v\text{-}v\text{-}wf infer\text{-}v\text{-}t\text{-}form\text{-}wf assms
by fastforce
qed
lemma infer-v-wf:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta: \mathcal{B}: G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : (b\text{-}of \ \tau) and wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G \tau and wfTh \Theta and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \ \tau using infer-v-v-wf assms by auto
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \tau using infer-v-t-wf assms by auto
  thus \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G using wfX-wfY by auto
  thus \vdash_{wf} \Theta using wfX-wfY by auto
qed
lemma check-bool-options:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}  and supp \ v = \{ \}
  shows v = V-lit L-true \vee v = V-lit L-false
proof -
  obtain t1 where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 using check-v-elims
    using assms by blast
  thus ?thesis using infer-bool-options assms
    by (metis \tau.exhaust b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2)
qed
lemma check-v-wf:
  fixes v::v and \Gamma::\Gamma and \tau::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \tau and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
proof -
  obtain \tau' where *: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' using check-v-elims assms by auto
  thus \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma and \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b \text{-} of \ \tau \text{ and } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
    using infer-v-wf infer-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 * subtype-wf by metis+
qed
lemma infer-v-form-fresh:
  fixes v::v and t::'a::fs
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z \ b. \ \tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z)) \ (CE\text{-}val \ v) \} \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ (t,v) \}
  obtain z' and b' where \tau = \{ z' : b' \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z')) (CE\text{-val}v) \} using infer-v-form
assms by blast
 moreover then obtain z and b and c where \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \land atom z \sharp (t,v) \text{ using } obtain-fresh-z \}
  ultimately have \tau = \{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val v) \} \land atom z \sharp (t,v) \}
    using assms infer-v-form2 by auto
  thus ?thesis by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
More generally, if support of a term is empty then any G will do
lemma infer-v-form-consp:
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-consp s \ dc \ b \ v \Rightarrow \tau
 shows b-of \tau = B-app s b
using assms proof(nominal-induct V-consp s dc b v \tau rule: infer-v.stronq-induct)
 case (infer-v-conspI bv dclist \Theta tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma tv z)
 then show ?case using b-of.simps by metis
qed
lemma lookup-in-rig-b:
 assumes Some\ (b2,\ c2) = lookup\ (\Gamma[x \longmapsto c'])\ x' and
        Some (b1, c1) = lookup \Gamma x^{\epsilon}
 shows b1 = b2
 using assms\ lookup-in-rig[OF\ assms(2)]
 by (metis option.inject prod.inject)
lemma infer-v-uniqueness-riq:
 fixes x::x and c::c
 assumes infer-v P B G v \tau and infer-v P B (replace-in-g G x c') v \tau'
 shows \tau = \tau'
 using assms
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ v\ arbitrary:\ \tau'\ \tau\ rule:\ v.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (V-lit\ l)
 hence infer-l l \tau and infer-l l \tau' using assms(1) infer-v-elims(2) by auto
 then show ?case using infer-l-uniqueness by presburger
next
 case (V\text{-}var\ y)
 obtain b and c where bc: Some (b,c) = lookup G y
   using assms(1) infer-v-elims(2) using V-var.prems(1) lookup-iff by force
  then obtain c'' where bc':Some (b,c'') = lookup (replace-in-g G \times c') y
   using lookup-in-rig by blast
 obtain z where \tau = (\{ z : b \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var y)) \}) using infer\text{-}v\text{-}elims(1)[of]
P B G y \tau V-var
   bc option.inject prod.inject lookup-in-q by metis
 moreover obtain z' where \tau' = (\{ \{ z' : b \mid C \text{-eq } (CE\text{-val } (V \text{-var } z')) \mid (CE \text{-val } (V \text{-var } y)) \} \}) using
infer-v-elims(1)[of\ P\ B\ -\ y\ \tau']\ V-var
  option.inject prod.inject lookup-in-rig by (metis bc')
 ultimately show ?case using type-e-eq
   by (metis\ V-var.prems(1)\ V-var.prems(2)\ \tau.eq-iff\ ce.fresh(1)\ finite.emptyI\ fresh-atom-at-base
   fresh-finite-insert infer-v-elims(1) v.fresh(2)
next
 case (V-pair v1 v2)
 obtain z and z1 and z2 and t1 and t2 and c1 and c2 where
  using infer-v-elims(3)[OF\ V-pair(3)] by metis
```

```
moreover obtain z' and z2' and z2' and t2' and t2' and c2' where
  t2: \ \tau' = (\{\ z': \ [\ b\text{-}of\ t1'\ ,\ b\text{-}of\ t2'\ ]^b\ \mid\ CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z')\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}pair\ v1\ v2)\ \}\})\ \land
             atom z' \sharp (v1, v2) \land P ; B ; (replace-in-g G x c') \vdash v1 \Rightarrow t1' \land
             P ; B ; (replace-in-g \ G \ x \ c') \vdash v2 \Rightarrow t2'
    using infer-v-elims(3)[OF\ V-pair(4)] by metis
  ultimately have t1 = t1' \wedge t2 = t2' using V-pair.hyps(1) V-pair.hyps(2) \tau.eq-iff by blast
  then show ?case using t1 t2 by simp
next
  case (V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v)
  obtain x and z and tc and delist where t1: \tau = (\{ z : B \text{-id } s \mid CE \text{-val } (V \text{-var } z) = CE \text{-val } \})
(V-cons \ s \ dc \ v) \}) \land
          AF\text{-}typedef \ s \ dclist \in set \ P \ \land
        (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ v
    using infer-v-elims(4)[OF\ V-cons(2)] by metis
 moreover obtain x' and z' and tc' and dclist' where t2: \tau' = (\{ z' : B \text{-} ids \mid CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} var \ z') \}
== CE-val (V-cons \ s \ dc \ v) \}
  \land AF-typedef s dclist' \in set P \land (dc, tc') \in set dclist' \land atom z' \sharp v
    using infer-v-elims(4)[OF\ V-cons(3)] by metis
 moreover have a: AF-typedef s dclist' \in set P and b:(dc,tc') \in set dclist' and c:AF-typedef s dclist
\in set\ P and
        d:(dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \ using \ t1 \ t2 \ by \ auto
  ultimately have tc = tc' using wfTh-dc-t-unique2 infer-v-wf(3)[OF V-cons(2)] by metis
  moreover have atom z \not \parallel CE-val (V-cons s dc v) \wedge atom z' \not \parallel CE-val (V-cons s dc v)
     using e.fresh(1) v.fresh(4) t1 t2 pure-fresh by auto
  ultimately have (\{z: B\text{-}ids \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}varz) = CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}conss \ dcv) \}) = (\{z': B\text{-}ids\})
|CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z')| == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}cons\ s\ dc\ v)|
    using type-e-eq by metis
  thus ?case using t1 t2 by simp
  case (V-consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v)
  from V-consp(2) V-consp show ?case proof(nominal-induct V-consp s dc b v \tau arbitrary: v
rule:infer-v.strong-induct)
     \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{infer-v-conspI} \ \mathit{bv} \ \mathit{dclist} \ \Theta \ \mathit{tc} \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \mathit{v} \ \mathit{tv} \ \mathit{z})
   obtain z3 and b3 where *:\tau' = \{ z3 : b3 \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} == [V-consp \ s \ dc \ b \ v]^{ce} \} \land atom \ z3 \ \sharp
V-consp s dc b v
      using infer-v-form[OF \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x \mapsto c'] \vdash V-consp s dc b v \Rightarrow \tau' \rangle] by metis
    moreover then have b\beta = B-app s b using infer-v-form-consp b-of simps * infer-v-conspI by
    moreover have \{z3: B\text{-}app\ s\ b\ |\ [\ [z3]^v\ ]^{ce} == [V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v\ ]^{ce}\ \} = \{\ z: B\text{-}app\ s\ b\ |\ [\ [z]^v\ ]^{ce}\}
[[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce}
      have atom z3 \ \sharp \ [V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce} using * ce.fresh by auto
      moreover have atom z \sharp [V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ v]^{ce} using *\ infer-v\text{-}conspI\ ce.fresh\ v.fresh\ pure-fresh
by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using type-e-eq infer-v-conspI v.fresh ce.fresh by metis
    ultimately show ?case using * by auto
  qed
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma infer-v-uniqueness:
 assumes infer-v P B G v \tau and infer-v P B G v \tau'
 shows \tau = \tau'
proof -
  obtain x::x where atom x \sharp G using obtain-fresh by metis
 hence G [x \mapsto C\text{-}true] = G using replace-in-g-forget assms infer-v-wf by fast
 thus ?thesis using infer-v-uniqueness-rig assms by metis
qed
lemma infer-v-tid-form:
 fixes v::v
 assumes \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : B \text{-} id \ tid \ | \ c \ \} \ \text{and} \ AF \text{-} type def \ tid \ delist \in set } \Theta \ \text{and} \ supp \ v = \{ \}
 shows \exists dc \ v' \ t. \ v = V \text{-}cons \ tid \ dc \ v' \land (dc \ , \ t \ ) \in set \ dclist
using assms proof(nominal-induct v \parallel z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid c \mid rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} c x z)
  then show ?case using v.supp supp-at-base by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-v-litI \Theta B l)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (infer-v-consI dclist1 \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
  hence supp \ v = \{\} using v.supp by simp
  then obtain dca and v' where *: V-cons tid dc v = V-cons tid dca v' using infer-v-consI by auto
 hence dca = dc using v.eq-iff(4) by auto
 hence V-cons tid dc v = V-cons tid dca v' \land (dca, tc) \in set dclist1 using infer-v-consI * by auto
  moreover have dclist = dclist1 using wfTh-dclist-unique infer-v-consI wfX-wfY \land dca = dc \land dc
  proof -
   show ?thesis
      by (meson \ (AF-typedef \ tid \ dclist1 \in set \ \Theta) \ (\Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv) \ infer-v-consI.prems \ infer-v-wf(4)
wfTh-dclist-unique wfX-wfY)
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
lemma check-v-tid-form:
 assumes \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \}  and AF\text{-}typedef \ tid \ dclist \in set \ \Theta  and supp \ v
= \{\}
 shows \exists dc \ v' \ t. \ v = V \text{-}cons \ tid \ dc \ v' \land (dc \ , t \ ) \in set \ dclist
using assms proof(nominal-induct \ v \ \{ z : B-id \ tid \ | \ TRUE \ \} \ rule: check-v.strong-induct)
 case (check-v-subtype I \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau 1 v)
 then obtain z and c where \tau 1 = \{ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid c \}  using subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}base2 \ b\text{-}of.simps
   by (metis obtain-fresh-z2)
  then show ?case using infer-v-tid-form check-v-subtypeI by simp
qed
lemma check-v-num-leq:
 fixes n::int and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes 0 \le n \land n \le int (length v) and \vdash_{wf} \Theta and \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma
```

```
\mathbf{shows}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \Gamma\ \vdash [\ \textit{$L$-num}\ n\ ]^v\ \Leftarrow \ \{\![\ z\ : \textit{$B$-int}\ \mid ([\ \textit{leq}\ [\ \textit{$L$-num}\ \theta\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ [\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}
L-true ]^v ]^{ce}
        \overrightarrow{AND} ([ leq \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [] \ [ \ [ \ L-bitvec \ v \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L-true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]
proof -
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \Gamma\ \vdash [\ L\text{-}num\ n\ ]^v\ \Rightarrow\ \{\! z:B\text{-}int\ \mid [\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\ L\text{-}num\ n\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ \}
    using infer-v-litI infer-natI wfG-nilI assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using subtype-range[OF\ assms(1)\ ]\ assms\ check-v-subtypeI\ by metis
qed
lemma check-int:
  assumes check-v \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v (\{ z : B\text{-}int \mid c \}) \text{ and } supp v = \{ \}
  shows \exists n. V-lit (L-num n) = v
  using assms infer-int check-v-elims by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-form subtype-eq-base2)
definition sble :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow bool where
sble \Theta \Gamma = (\exists i. \ i \models \Gamma \land \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i)
lemma check-v-range:
  assumes \Theta; B; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{ z : B \text{-}int \mid [leq [[L \text{-}num 0]^v]^{ce} [[z]^v]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [[L \text{-}true]^v]^{ce} \}
              (is \Theta; ?B; \Gamma \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{ z : B \text{-}int \mid ?c1 \} \}
  and v1 = V-lit (L-bitvec bv) \wedge v2 = V-lit (L-num n) and atom z \sharp \Gamma and sble \Theta \Gamma
  shows 0 \le n \land n \le int (length bv)
proof -
  have \Theta; ?B; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}num \ n]^v]^{ce} \} \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-}int \mid ?c1 \}
    using check-v-elims assms
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis\ infer-l-uniqueness\ infer-natI\ infer-v-elims}(2))
  moreover have atom z \sharp \Gamma using fresh-GNil assms by simp
  ultimately have \Theta; ?B; ((z, B\text{-}int, \lceil \lceil z \rceil^v \rceil^{ce}) = \lceil \lceil L\text{-}num \ n \rceil^v \rceil^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models ?c1
    using subtype-valid-simple by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms valid-range-length-inv check-v-wf wfX-wfY sble-def by metis
qed
12.4
              Expressions
lemma infer-e-plus[elim]:
  fixes v1::v and v2::v
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z . (\{ z : B \text{-int} \mid C \text{-eq} (CE \text{-val} (V \text{-var} z)) (CE \text{-op} Plus [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}) \} = \tau)
  using infer-e-elims assms by metis
lemma infer-e-leq[elim]:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z : (\{z : B\text{-bool} \mid C\text{-eq}(CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z)) (CE\text{-op} LEq[v1]^{ce}[v2]^{ce})\} = \tau)
  using infer-e-elims assms by metis
lemma infer-e-eq[elim]:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists z \ . \ (\{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid C\text{-}eq \ (CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z)) \ (CE\text{-}op \ Eq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce}) \ \} = \tau)
```

```
using infer-e-elims(25)[OF \ assms] by metis
\mathbf{lemmas}\ subst-defs = subst-b-def\ subst-b-c-def\ subst-b-\tau-def\ subst-v-v-def\ subst-v-c-def\ subst-v-\tau-def
lemma infer-e-e-wf:
  fixes e::e
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b\text{-}of \ \tau
using assms proof(nominal-induct \tau avoiding: \tau rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
  case (infer-e-vall \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ \tau)
  then show ?case using infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
  case (infer-e-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
  case (infer-e-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
  case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
  case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau' s' v \tau'')
  have \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-app f v : b-of \tau'
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-appI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using infer-e-appI by auto
     show (Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f) using
infer-e-appI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b using infer-e-appI check-v-wf b-of.simps by metis
  qed
  moreover have b-of \tau' = b-of (\tau'[x::=v]_v) using subst-tbase-eq subst-v-\tau-def by auto
  ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appI subst-v-c-def subst-b-\tau-def by auto
  case (infer-e-appPI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau'' s' v \tau')
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma\ ;\ \Delta\vdash_{wf} \mathit{AE-appP}\ f\ b'\ v\ :\ (b\text{-}\mathit{of}\ \tau'')[\mathit{bv}{::=}b']_b\ \ \mathbf{proof}
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using infer-e-appPI by auto
    show \langle Some (AF\text{-}fundef f (AF\text{-}fun-typ-some bv (AF\text{-}fun-typ x b c <math>\tau'' s'))) = lookup\text{-}fun \Phi f \rangle using
* infer-e-appPI by metis
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' using infer-e-appPI by auto
   show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : (b[bv:=b']_b) using infer-e-appPI check-v-wf b-of.simps subst-b-def by metis
    have atom bv \sharp (b-of \tau'')[bv::=b\uparrow]<sub>bb</sub> using fresh-subst-if subst-b-def infer-e-appPI by metis
      thus atom bv \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, b', v, (b\text{-}of \tau'')[bv::=b']_b) using infer-e-appPI fresh-prodN
subst-b-def by metis
  qed
  moreover have b-of \tau' = (b\text{-of }\tau'')[bv:=b']_b
     using \langle \tau''[bv::=b']_b[x::=v]_v = \tau' \rangle b-of-subst-bb-commute subst-tbase-eq subst-b-def subst-v-\tau-def
```

 $subst-b-\tau-def$  by auto

next

ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appI by auto

then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis

case (infer-e-fstI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta'$   $\Phi$  v z' b1 b2 c z)

```
next
  case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
  case (infer-e-lenI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ c \ z)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
next
  case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
  case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  then show ?case using b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf wf-intros by metis
  case (infer-e-split I \ominus B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
 have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} AE-split v1 v2 : B-pair B-bitvec B-bitvec
  proof
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-split by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta using infer-e-split by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v1 : B\text{-}bitvec using infer\text{-}e\text{-}splitI b\text{-}of.simps infer\text{-}v\text{-}wf by metis
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v2 : B\text{-}int using infer-e-splitI b-of.simps check-v-wf by metis
 then show ?case using b-of.simps by auto
qed
lemma infer-e-t-wf:
  fixes e::e and \Gamma::\Gamma and \tau::\tau and \Delta::\Delta and \Phi::\Phi
 assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B};\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi
using assms proof(induct rule: infer-e.induct)
  case (infer-e-vall \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ \tau)
 then show ?case using infer-v-t-wf by auto
  case (infer-e-plus I \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op Plus [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}: B-int using wfCE-plusI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
    by (metis\ b\text{-}of.simps\ infer-v\text{-}wf)
  then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-plusI by auto
next
  case (infer-e-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op LEq[v1]^{ce}[v2]^{ce}: B-bool using wfCE-leqI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf\ wfCE-valI
    by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
  then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-leqI by auto
  case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 b c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-op Eq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} : B-bool using wfCE-eqI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
    by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
  then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-eqI by auto
next
  case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau s' v \tau')
  show ?case proof
```

```
show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-appI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau' proof -
        have *: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b using infer-e-appI check-v-wf(2) b-of.simps by metis
       \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ *: \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ (x, \ b, \ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \vdash_{wf} \tau \ \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{wf-weakening1}(4))
       \mathbf{show} \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau \land \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfPhi-f-simple-wfT wfD-wf infer-e-appI wb-b-weakening}
by fastforce
          have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b \mid c \} using infer-e-appI check-v-wf(3) by auto
          thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using infer-e-appI
                  wfT-wfC[THEN \ wfG-consI[rotated \ 3]] * wfT-wf-cons \ fresh-prodN \ by \ simp
          show (toSet\ ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}GNil)\subseteq toSet\ ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)) using toSet.simps\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
        qed
       moreover have ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma using subst-gv.simps by auto
       ultimately show ?thesis using infer-e-appI wf-subst1(4)[OF *, of GNil x b c \Gamma v] subst-v-\tau-def
by auto
     \mathbf{qed}
   qed
next
  case (infer-e-appPI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ b'fbv \ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ v \ \tau)
  have \Theta; ((x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} (\tau'[bv:=b']_{b})[x:=v]_{\tau v}
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\ wf\text{-}subst(4))
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau'[bv:=b']_{bb} \rangle
    proof(rule wf-weakening1(4))
      have \langle \Theta ; \{|bv|\}; (x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle using wfPhi-f-poly-wfT infer-e-appI infer-e-appII
by simp
      thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x,b[bv:=b']_{bb},c[bv:=b']_{cb})\#_{\Gamma}GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau'[bv:=b']_{b} \rangle
         using wfT-subst-wfT infer-e-appPI wb-b-weakening subst-b-\tau-def subst-v-\tau-def by presburger
      have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ x : b[bv := b']_{bb} \mid c[bv := b']_{cb} \}
         using infer-e-appPI check-v-wf(3) subst-b-def subst-b-c-def by metis
      thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle
      using infer-e-appPI wfT-wfC[THEN wfG-consI[rotated 3]] * wfX-wfY wfT-wf-cons wb-b-weakening
by metis
       \mathbf{show} \ \langle toSet \ ((x,b[bv::=b']_{bb},c[bv::=b']_{cb})\#_{\Gamma}GNil) \subseteq \ toSet \ ((x,\ b[bv::=b']_{bb},\ c[bv::=b']_{cb})\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \rangle
using toSet.simps by auto
     show \langle (x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using
append-g.simps by auto
     \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b[bv := b']_{bb} \ ) \ \mathbf{using} \ infer-e-appPI \ check-v-wf(2) \ b-of.simps \ subst-b-def
by metis
  qed
  moreover have ((x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma using subst-gv.simps by auto
  ultimately show ?case using infer-e-appPI subst-v-\tau-def by simp
  case (infer-e-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z)
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-fst [v]^{ce}: b1 using wfCE-fstI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI infer-v-v-wf
       b-of.simps using wfCE-valI by fastforce
  then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-fstI by auto
  case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z)
   hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-snd [v]^{ce}: b2 using wfCE-sndI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI infer-v-v-wf
wfCE-valI
```

```
by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
   then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-sndI by auto
next
   case (infer-e-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' c z)
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-len [v]^{ce}: B-int using wfCE-lenI wfD-emptyI wfPhi-emptyI infer-v-v-wf
      by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
   then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-lenI by auto
   case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau)
   then show ?case using wfD-wfT by blast
    case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
      hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}: B-bitvec using wfCE-concat [vfD-empty I wfPhi-empty I
infer-v-v-wf wfCE-valI
      by (metis b-of.simps infer-v-wf)
    then show ?case using wfT-e-eq infer-e-concatI by auto
next
   case (infer-e-splitI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
   hence wfg: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (z3, [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma
      using infer-v-wf wfG-cons2I wfB-pairI wfB-bitvecI by simp
   have wfz: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z3, [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [[z3]^v]^{ce} : [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b
   apply(rule wfCE-valI, rule wfV-varI)
          using wfg apply simp
          using lookup.simps(2)[of\ z3\ [\ B-bitvec\ ,\ B-bitvec\ ]^b\ TRUE\ \Gamma\ z3] by simp
   have 1: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z3, [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v2]^{ce} : B-int
    using check-v-wf[OF\ infer-e-splitI(4)] wf-weakening(1)[OF - wfg] b-of simps toSet.simps wfCE-valI
by fastforce
   have 2: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z3, [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v1]^{ce} : B-bitvec]
     \mathbf{using}\ infer-v-wf[\mathit{OF}\ infer-e-splitI(3)]\ \ wf-weakening(1)[\mathit{OF}\ -\ wfg]\ b-of.simps\ \ toSet.simps\ \ wfCE-valI
by fastforce
   \mathbf{have}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\quad \vdash_{wf}\ \{\ z3: [\ B\text{-}bitvec\ ,\ B\text{-}bitvec\ ]^b\quad |\ [\ v1\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\#1[\ [\ z3\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}\ @@\ [\#2[\ [\ z3\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce}
|v|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce} | |v|^{ce}|^{ce} | |v|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce} | |v|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce} | |v|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}|^{ce}
        show atom z3 \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using infer-e-split wfTh-x-fresh wfX-wfY fresh-prod3 wfG-fresh-x by
metis
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} [B\text{-}bitvec, B\text{-}bitvec]^b using wfB-pairI wfB-bitvecI infer-e-splitI wfX-wfY by
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z3, [B-bitvec, B-bitvec]^b, TRUE) #_{\Gamma}
                     \Gamma \vdash_{wf} [v1]^{ce} == [\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} @@ [\#2[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce} AND [[\#1[[z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce}]^{ce}
]^{ce}]^{ce}|]^{ce} == [v2]^{ce}
          using wfg wfz 1 2 wf-intros by meson
   thus ?case using infer-e-splitI by auto
qed
lemma infer-e-wf:
   fixes e::e and \Gamma::\Gamma and \tau::\tau and \Delta::\Delta and \Phi::\Phi
   assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau
```

```
using infer-e-t-wf infer-e-e-wf wfE-wf assms by metis+
lemma infer-e-fresh:
  fixes x::x
 assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau \text{ and } atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma
 shows atom x \sharp (e,\tau)
proof -
 have atom x \sharp e using infer-e-e-wf[THEN wfE-x-fresh,OF assms(1)] assms(2) by auto
 moreover have atom x \not\parallel \tau using assms infer-e-wf wfT-x-fresh by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-Pair by auto
qed
inductive check-e :: \Theta \Rightarrow \Phi \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta \Rightarrow e \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow bool \ ( -; -; -; -; - \vdash - \Leftarrow - [50, 50, 50]
50) where
check-e-subtypeI: \llbracket infer-e T P B G D e \tau'; subtype T B G \tau' \tau \rrbracket \Longrightarrow check-e T P B G D e \tau
equivariance check-e
nominal-inductive \mathit{check}\text{-}\mathit{e} .
inductive-cases check-e-elims[elim!]:
  check-e F D B G \Theta (AE\text{-}val \ v) \tau
  check-e F D B G \Theta e \tau
lemma infer-e-fst-pair:
  fixes v1::v
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash [\#1[v1, v2]^v]^e \Rightarrow \tau
 \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \mathit{GNil} \ \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau
 obtain z' and b1 and b2 and c and z where **: \tau = (\{ z : b1 \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) \mid (CE\text{-}fst)\}
[(V-pair\ v1\ v2)]^{ce}) \}) \land
          wfD \Theta \{||\} GNil \Delta \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi \wedge
              (\Theta; \{ || \}; GNil \vdash V-pair v1 v2 \Rightarrow \{ || z' : B-pair b1 b2 || c || \} \land atom z \notin V-pair v1 v2
    using infer-e-elims assms by metis
  hence *: \Theta ; {||} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash \mathit{V-pair} \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \{ \ z' : \mathit{B-pair} \ b1 \ b2 \ | \ c \ \} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
  obtain t1a and t2a where
     *:\Theta \; ; \; \{||\} \; ; \; \textit{GNil} \; \vdash \textit{v1} \; \Rightarrow \; \textit{t1a} \; \land \quad \Theta \; ; \; \{||\} \; ; \; \textit{GNil} \; \vdash \textit{v2} \; \Rightarrow \; \textit{t2a} \; \land \; \; \textit{B-pair b1 b2} \; = \; \textit{B-pair (b-of t1a)}
(b\text{-}of\ t2a)
    using infer-v-elims(5)[OF *] by metis
  hence suppv: supp v1 = \{\} \land supp v2 = \{\} \land supp (V-pair v1 v2) = \{\} using ** infer-v-v-wf
wfV\text{-}supp\ atom\text{-}dom.simps\ toSet.simps\ supp\text{-}GNil
    by (meson \ wfV-supp-nil)
  thm infer-v-form
  obtain z1 and b1' where t1a = \{ z1 : b1' \mid [[z1]^v]^{ce} == [v1]^{ce} \}
    using infer-v-form[of \Theta \{||\} GNil v1 t1a] * by auto
  moreover hence b1' = b1 using *b - of.simps by simp
```

```
ultimately have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1) = CE\text{-}val v1 \}  using *
  moreover have \Theta ; {||} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE-fst [V-pair v1 v2]^{ce} : b1 using wfCE-fstI infer-v-wf(1) **
b-of.simps wfCE-valI by metis
 moreover hence st: \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash \{||z1:b1|| CE-val(V-varz1)| == CE-val(v1)|\} \lesssim (\{||z:b1|| CE-val(V-varz1)|\}
|CE\text{-}val|(V\text{-}var|z) = |CE\text{-}fst|[V\text{-}pair|v1|v2]^{ce}
   using subtype-gnil-fst infer-v-v-wf by auto
  moreover have wfD \Theta \{||\} GNil \Delta \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi using ** by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wfX-wfY ** infer-e-valI by metis
qed
lemma infer-e-snd-pair:
 assumes \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-snd (V-pair v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \tau
  shows \exists \tau'. \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; \textit{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash \textit{AE-val } v2 \Rightarrow \tau' \land \Theta ; \{||\} ; \textit{GNil} \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau
 obtain z' and b1 and b2 and c and z where **: (\tau = (\{ z : b2 \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) (CE\text{-}snd z) \})
[(V-pair\ v1\ v2)]^{ce}) \})) \land
          (wfD \Theta \{||\} GNil \Delta) \wedge (wfPhi \Theta \Phi) \wedge
             \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash V-pair v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \{|z': B-pair b1 \ b2 \ |c|\} \land atom \ z \sharp V-pair v1 \ v2
   using infer-e-elims(9)[OF\ assms(1)] by metis
 hence *: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash V-pair v1 v2 \Rightarrow {| z': B-pair b1 b2 | c |} by auto
 obtain t1a and t2a where
    *: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v1 \Rightarrow t1a \land \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow t2a \land B-pair b1 \ b2 = B-pair (b\text{-of }t1a)
(b\text{-}of\ t2a)
   using infer-v-elims(5)[OF *] by metis
 hence suppv: supp \ v1 = \{\} \land supp \ v2 = \{\} \land supp \ (V-pair \ v1 \ v2) = \{\} \ using \ infer-v-v-wf \ wfV.simps \}
v.supp by (meson ** wfV-supp-nil)
 obtain z2 and b2' where t2a = \{ z2 : b2' \mid [[z2]^v]^{ce} == [v2]^{ce} \}
   using infer-v-form[of \Theta \{||\} GNil \ v2 \ t2a] * by auto
  moreover hence b2' = b2 using * b-of.simps by simp
 ultimately have \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{|z2:b2| | CE-val(V-varz2) == CE-valv2 \}\} using *
 moreover have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} CE-snd [V-pair v1 \ v2]^{ce}: b2 using wfCE-snd Iinfer-v-wf(1) **
b-of.simps wfCE-valI by metis
 moreover hence st: \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash \{||zz|: bz| \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var zz)| == CE\text{-}val vz|\} \lesssim (\{||z|: bz|\})
|CE-val(V-varz)| = |CE-snd[V-pair v1 v2]^{ce}|
   using subtype-gnil-snd infer-v-v-wf by auto
  moreover have wfD \Theta {||} GNil \Delta \land wfPhi \Theta \Phi using ** by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using wfX-wfY ** infer-e-valI by metis
qed
```

#### 12.5 Statements

```
lemma check-s-v-unit: assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) \lesssim \tau and wfD \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta and wfPhi \Theta \Phi shows \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit L\text{-}unit) \Leftarrow \tau proof - have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma using assms subtype-g-wf by meson
```

```
moreover hence wfTh \Theta using wfG-wf by simp moreover obtain z'::x where atom \ z' \sharp \Gamma using obtain\text{-}fresh by auto ultimately have *:\Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}unit \Rightarrow \{\ z': B\text{-}unit \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}unit) \ \} using infer\text{-}v\text{-}litI infer-unitI by simp moreover have wfT \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ (\{\ z': B\text{-}unit \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}unit) \ \}) using infer\text{-}v\text{-}t\text{-}wf by (meson\ calculation) moreover then have \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash (\{\ z': B\text{-}unit \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit\ L\text{-}unit) \ \}) \lesssim \tau using subtype\text{-}trans\ subtype\text{-}top\ assms} type\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps(4)\ wfX\text{-}wfY\ by\ metis} ultimately show ?thesis\ using\ check\text{-}valI\ assms} * by\ auto
```

## 12.6 Replacing Variables

Needed as the typing elimination rules give us facts for an alpha-equivalent version of a term and so need to be able to 'jump back' to a typing judgement for the original term

```
lemma \tau-fresh-c[simp]:
  assumes atom x \sharp \{\!\!\{ z : b \mid c \}\!\!\} and atom z \sharp x
  shows atom x \sharp c
  using \tau.fresh assms fresh-at-base
  by (simp\ add: fresh-at-base(2))
lemma wfT-wfT-if1:
  assumes wfT \Theta B \Gamma (\{z : b \text{-} of t \mid CE \text{-} val \ v == CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ t \ z \ \}) and atom
z \sharp (\Gamma,t)
  shows wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t
using assms proof(nominal-induct t avoiding: \Gamma z rule: \tau.strong-induct)
  case (T-refined-type z' b' c')
  show ?case proof(rule wfT-wfT-if)
     \mathbf{show} \land \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \quad \vdash_{wf} \ \{ \ z : b' \mid [\ v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ L\text{-false}\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \quad IMP \quad c'[z'::=[\ z]^v]_{cv} \quad \} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
       using T-refined-type b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis
     show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (c', \ \Gamma) \rangle using T-refined-type fresh-prodN \tau-fresh-c by metis
  qed
qed
lemma check-s-check-branch-s-wf:
 fixes s::s and cs::branch-s and \Theta::\Theta and \Phi::\Phi and \Gamma::\Gamma and \Delta::\Delta and v::v and \tau::\tau and css::branch-list
                                                                 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land wfTh \Theta \land wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \land wfT \Theta B \Gamma
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
\tau \wedge wfPhi \Theta \Phi and
          check-branch-s \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land wfTh \Theta \land wfD \Theta B \Gamma \Delta
\wedge \ wfT \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ \tau \wedge \ wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi
          \textit{check-branch-list} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \quad \textit{tid dclist} \ \textit{v} \ \textit{css} \ \ \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta \ ; \ B \ \vdash_{\textit{wf}} \Gamma \ \land \ \textit{wfTh} \ \Theta \ \land \ \textit{wfD} \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta
\wedge \ wfT \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ \tau \wedge \ wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi
proof(induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)
  case (check-valI \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau)
  \textbf{then show} ~? case ~\textbf{using} ~infer-v-wf ~infer-v-wf ~subtype-wf ~wfX-wfY ~wfS-valI
      by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
next
  case (check-let I \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c)
```

```
then have *: wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, c[z::=V-var x]<sub>v</sub>) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau by force
  moreover have atom x \sharp \tau using check-letI fresh-prodN by force
  ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B};\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfT-restrict2 by force
  then show ?case using check-letI infer-e-wf wfS-letI wfX-wfY wfG-elims by metis
next
  case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  then have *:wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \tau by force
  moreover have atom x \sharp \tau using check-assertI fresh-prodN by force
  ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B};\Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau using wfT-restrict2 by force
  then show ?case using check-assertI wfS-assertI wfX-wfY wfG-elims by metis
next
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau cons const x v \Phi s tid)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfY by metis
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' v cs \tau css)
  then show ?case using wfX-wfY by metis
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist' v cs \tau)
   then show ?case using wfX-wfY by metis
 next
   case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  hence *: wfT \Theta B \Gamma (\{z : b \text{-} of \tau \mid CE\text{-} val \ v == CE\text{-} val \ (V\text{-} lit L\text{-} false) \ IMP \ c\text{-} of \ \tau \ z \}\} (is wfT
\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ ?tau) by auto
   hence wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \tau using wfT-wfT-if1 check-ifI fresh-prodN by metis
   hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau using check-if b-of-c-of-eq fresh-prod by auto
   thus ?case using check-ifI by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-let2I x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
  then have wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-}of t, (c\text{-}of t x)) \#_{\Gamma} G) \tau by fastforce
  moreover have atom x \sharp \tau using check-let2I by force
  ultimately have wfT \Theta B G \tau using wfT-restrict2 by metis
  then show ?case using check-let2I by argo
next
  case (check-varI u \Delta P G v \tau' \Phi s \tau)
  then show ?case using wfG-elims wfD-elims
    list.distinct list.inject by metis
next
  case (check-assign I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau')
 obtain z'::x where *:atom z' \sharp \Gamma using obtain-fresh by metis
 moreover have \{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} = \{z': B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}  by auto
  moreover hence wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \{ z' : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}  using wfT\text{-}TRUE \ check-assign I \ check-v-wf *
wfB-unitI wfG-wf by metis
  ultimately show ?case using check-v.cases infer-v-wf subtype-wf check-assignI wfT-wf check-v-wf
wfG-wf
    by (meson\ subtype-wf)
next
  case (check-while I \Phi \Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau')
  then show ?case using subtype-wf subtype-wf by auto
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{check\text{-}seqI} \ \Delta \ \mathit{G} \ \mathit{P} \ \mathit{s1} \ \mathit{z} \ \mathit{s2} \ \tau)
  then show ?case by fast
next
```

```
case (check-case I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta dclist cs \tau tid v z)
    then show ?case by fast
qed
lemma fresh-u-replace-true:
    fixes bv::bv and \Gamma::\Gamma
    assumes atom bv \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
   shows atom bv \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    using fresh-append-g fresh-GCons assms fresh-Pair c.fresh(1) by auto
lemma wf-replace-true1:
   fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma and \Phi :: \Phi and \Theta :: \Theta and \Gamma' :: \Gamma and v :: v and e :: e and c :: c and c' :: c and 
and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and b'::b and b::b and s::s
                                and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s and
css::branch-list
shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : b' \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma' @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
\vdash_{wf} v:b' and
              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma' @(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} G = \Gamma' @(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow G
c^{\prime\prime} and
            \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G \implies \; G = \; \; \Gamma' \; @(x, \, b, \, c) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma \implies \; \; \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \; \; \Gamma' \; @ \; ((x, \, b, \, \mathit{TRUE}) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma) \; \; \text{and} \; \;
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \tau \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma' @(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} \tau
and
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} ts \Longrightarrow True and
            \vdash_{wf} P \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \ \mathbf{and}
             \Theta : \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
             \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} ce : b' \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma' @(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
\vdash_{wf} ce : b' and
             \Theta \vdash_{wf} td \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(\mathit{nominal}\text{-}\mathit{induct}
                               b' and c'' and G and \tau and ts and P and b and b' and td
            arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma'
and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma'
           rule: wfV-wfC-wfG-wfT-wfTs-wfTh-wfB-wfCE-wfTD.strong-induct)
case (wfB-intI \Theta \mathcal{B})
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfB-boolI \Theta \mathcal{B})
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
    case (wfB\text{-}unitI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B})
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
    case (wfB-bitvecI \Theta B)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfB-pairI \Theta \mathcal{B} b1 b2)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
    case (wfB-consI \Theta s dclist \mathcal{B})
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
```

```
case (wfB-appI \Theta b s bv dclist \mathcal{B})
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ b'\ c\ x')
 hence wfg: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle by auto
  show ?case proof(cases x=x')
    case True
   hence Some (b, TRUE) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x' using lookup.simps\ lookup-inside-wf
wfg by simp
    thus ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[OF wfg]
      by (metis True lookup-inside-wf old.prod.inject option.inject wfV-varI.hyps(1) wfV-varI.hyps(3)
wfV-varI.prems)
 next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
    hence Some (b', c) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x' using lookup-inside2 wfV-varI by
metis
    then show ?thesis using Wellformed.wfV-varI[OF wfg]
      by (metis\ wfG-elim2\ wfG-suffix\ wfV-varI.hyps(1)\ wfV-varI.hyps(2)\ wfV-varI.hyps(3)
             wfV-varI.prems Wellformed.wfV-varI wf-replace-inside(1))
  qed
next
  case (wfV-litI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l)
  then show ?case using wf-intros using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV\text{-}pairI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v1\ b1\ v2\ b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfV\text{-}consI\ s\ dclist\ \Theta\ dc\ x\ b'\ c\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfV\text{-}conspI \ s \ bv \ dclist \ \Theta \ dc \ xc \ bc \ cc \ \mathcal{B} \ b' \ \Gamma'' \ v)
    show ?case proof
    show \langle AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta \rangle using wfV-conspI by metis
    show \langle (dc, \{ xc : bc \mid cc \} ) \in set \ dclist \rangle using wfV-conspI by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using wfV-conspI by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : bc[bv ::= b']_{bb} \rangle using wfV-conspI by metis
    have atom by \sharp \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using fresh-u-replace-true wfV-conspI by metis
    thus \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ b',\ v)\rangle using wfV-conspI fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
next
case (wfCE-valI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b)
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfCE-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfCE-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfCE-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
```

```
case (wfCE-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfCE-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
case (wfCE-concatI <math>\Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1 v2)
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
 case (wfCE-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v1)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfTI z \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' b' c')
 show ?case proof
  show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\mathcal{B},\Gamma'\ @\ (x,b,TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\rangle using wfTl fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN
by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using wfTI by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c' \rangle using wfTI append-g.simps
by metis
 qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfC-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma e1 b e2)
 then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC\text{-}trueI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC\text{-}falseI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfC-conjI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2)
 then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC-disjI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1 c2)
 then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC-notI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma c1)
 then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfC\text{-}impI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ c1\ c2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfG\text{-}nilI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B})
  then show ?case using GNil-append by blast
  case (wfG-cons1I \ c \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ x \ b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wfG-cons-TRUE2 wfG-elims(2) wfG-replace-inside wfG-suffix
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
  case (wfG\text{-}cons2I\ c\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma^{\prime\prime}\ x^{\prime}\ b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros
    by (metis\ wfG-cons-TRUE2\ wfG-elims(2)\ wfG-replace-inside\ wfG-suffix)
```

```
next
    case wfTh-emptyI
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfTh-consI tdef \Theta)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfTD\text{-}simpleI\ \Theta\ lst\ s)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
    case (wfTD\text{-}poly\ \Theta\ bv\ lst\ s)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
    case (wfTs\text{-}nil\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
    case (wfTs\text{-}cons\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \tau\ dc\ ts)
    then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed
lemma wf-replace-true2:
   fixes \Gamma::\Gamma and \Phi::\Phi and \Theta::\Theta and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v and e::e and c::c and c'::c and c'::c and \sigma::\tau
and ts::(string*\tau) list and \Delta::\Delta and b'::b and b::b and s::s
                                  and ftq::fun-typ-q and ft::fun-typ and ce::ce and td::type-def and cs::branch-s and
css::branch-list
\mathbf{shows} \quad \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \mathcal{G} \ ; \ \mathcal{D} \ \vdash_{wf} \ e : \ b' \Longrightarrow \ \mathcal{G} = \quad \Gamma' \ @(x, \ b, \ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ ((x, \ b, \ b) \ \ ) 
TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; D \vdash_{wf} e : b' and
             \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ G \ ; \ \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \Longrightarrow \ G = \quad \Gamma' \ @(x, \ b, \ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ B' \ @((x, \ b, \ TRUE))
\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma); \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' and
             ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; t \vdash_{wf} cs : b' and
              \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b' \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma' @(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'
@ ((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} css : b'  and
              \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \text{ and }
               \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma' @(x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @((x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash_{wf} G
\Delta and
              \Theta ; \Phi \vdash_{wf} ftq \Longrightarrow True \text{ and }
              \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ft} \Longrightarrow \mathit{True}
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct
                                    b' and b' and b' and b' and \Phi and \Delta and ftq and ft
             arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma'
and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma' and \Gamma \Gamma'
            rule: wfE-wfS-wfCS-wfCSS-wfPhi-wfD-wfFTQ-wfFT.strong-induct)
    case (wfE-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v b)
    then show ?case using wf-intros using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
    case (wfE-plusI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
    then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
```

```
next
  case (wfE-legI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-eqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-fstI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
  case (wfE-sndI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 b1 b2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
  case (wfE-concatI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-splitI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1 v2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfE-lenI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v1)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfE-appI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta f x b c \tau s v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
  case (wfE-appPI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta b' bv v \tau f x1 b1 c1 s)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfE-appPI wf-replace-true1 by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfE-appPI by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using wfE-appPI by metis
     have atom by \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using fresh-u-replace-true wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by
metis
    thus (atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ (b\text{-}of\ \tau)[bv::=b']_b))
      using wfE-appPI fresh-prodN by auto
     show (Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau s))) = lookup-fun \Phi f)
using wfE-appPI by metis
    show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b1[bv := b']_b \rightarrow using wfE-appPI wf-replace-true1
by metis
  qed
next
  case (wfE-mvarI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-valI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b \Delta)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
  case (wfS\text{-}letI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \Delta\ e\ b'\ x1\ s\ b1)
  show ?case proof
    show \land \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b' \rangle using wfS-let1 wf-replace-true1 by
    have (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x_1, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{w_f} s : b_1) apply (rule)
```

```
wfS-letI(4)
       using wfS-letI append-q.simps by simp
    thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b', TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b1 \rangle using append-g.simps
by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-letI by metis
     show atom x1 \sharp (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, \Delta, e, b1) using fresh-append-g fresh-GCons
fresh-prodN wfS-letI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-assertI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x' c \Gamma'' \Delta s b')
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle
       using wfS-assertI (2)[of (x', B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \Gamma] wfS-assertI by simp
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using wfS-assertI wf-replace-true1 by metis
    show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta) using wfS-assertI by metis
     show \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ c, \ b', \ s \rangle \rangle using wfS-assertI fresh-prodN
by simp
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (wfS-let2I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta s1 \tau x' s2 ba')
  show ?case proof
    show (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of \tau) using wfS-let2I wf-replace-true1
by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-let2I wf-replace-true1 by metis
    have (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((x', b\text{-}of \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : ba')
       apply(rule\ wfS-let2I(5))
       using wfS-let2I append-g.simps by auto
     thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : ba' \rangle using
wfS-let2I append-g.simps by auto
      show \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ s1, \ ba', \ \tau \rangle \rangle using fresh-append-g
fresh-GCons fresh-prodN wfS-let2I by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-ifI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v \Phi \Delta s1 b s2)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS\text{-}varI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma''\ \tau\ v\ u\ \Phi\ \Delta\ b'\ s)
  show ?case proof
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau \rangle using wfS-varI wf-replace-true1 by metis
  show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \tau) using wfS-varI wf-replace-true1 by metis
  show (atom\ u\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ \tau,\ v,\ b')) using wfS-varI\ u-fresh-g\ fresh-prodN
by auto
  show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; (u, \tau) \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle using wfS-varI by metis
qed
next
  case (wfS-assignI u \tau \Delta \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi v)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfS-while I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s1 s2 b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
```

```
case (wfS\text{-}seqI\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ s1\ s2\ b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfS-matchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' v tid dclist \Delta \Phi cs b')
  show ?case proof
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-}id \ tid \rangle using wfS-matchI wf-replace-true1 by auto
  show \langle AF-typedef tid delist \in set \Theta \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
  show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta) using wfS-matchI by auto
  show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wfS-matchI by auto
  show (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma; \Delta; tid; delist \vdash_{wf} cs: b') using wfS-matchI by auto
qed
next
  case (wfS-branchI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} x' \tau \Gamma'' \Delta s b' tid dc)
  show ?case proof
  have \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b\text{-}of \ \tau, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma') \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ TRUE) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma ; \ \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle  using
wfS-branchI append-g.simps by metis
 thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x', b\text{-}of \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b' \rangle using wfS-branchI
append-g.simps append-g.simps by metis
   show (atom\ x'\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b,\ TRUE)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ \tau)) using
wfS-branchI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wfS-branchI by auto
  qed
next
  case (wfS-finalI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfS-cons \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dc t cs b dclist css)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfD\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
  case (wfD-cons \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau u)
  then show ?case using wf-intros wf-replace-true1 by metis
next
  case (wfPhi\text{-}emptyI\ \Theta)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (wfPhi-consI f \Theta \Phi ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfFTNone \Theta \Phi ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfFTSome \Theta \Phi bv ft)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
  case (wfFTI \Theta B b \Phi x c s \tau)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
qed
```

 $lemmas \ wf$ -replace-true = wf-replace-true 1 wf-replace-true 2

```
lemma check-s-check-branch-s-wfS:
 fixes s::s and cs::branch-s and \Theta::\Theta and \Phi::\Phi and \Gamma::\Gamma and \Delta::\Delta and v::v and \tau::\tau and css::branch-list
  shows \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
                                                             \implies \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of \ \tau and
         check-branch-s \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow wfCS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid cons const cs (b-of
\tau)
          check-branch-list \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid delist v css \tau \Longrightarrow wfCSS \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid delist css (b-of \tau)
\mathbf{proof}(induct\ rule:\ check\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}list.inducts})
case (check-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)
 then show ?case using infer-v-wf infer-v-wf subtype-wf wfX-wfY wfS-valI
      by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
next
  case (check-let I \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} e : b \rangle using infer-e-wf check-let b-of.simps by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle
       using check-let b-of simps wf-replace-true2(2)[OF check-let I(5)] append-g.simps by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using infer-e-wf check-letI b-of.simps by metis
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ e, \ b\text{-}of \ \tau) \rangle
       apply(simp\ add:\ fresh-prodN,\ intro\ conjI)
       using check-letI(1) fresh-prod7 by simp+
  ged
next
  case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  show ?case proof
  show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of \ \tau \rangle using check-assert by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} c \rangle using check-assert by auto
next
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-assertI by auto
  show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, b\text{-of } \tau, s) \rangle using check-assert by auto
qed
next
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-}of const, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle
       using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI by metis
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ \Gamma, \ const) \rangle
       using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-true append-g.simps check-branch-s-branchI by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau dclist css)
  then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
case (check-branch-list-final I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau)
then show ?case using wf-intros by metis
next
  case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  show ?case using wfS-ifI check-v-wf check-ifI b-of.simps by metis
```

```
next
  case (check-let2I x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s1 : b\text{-}of t \rangle using check\text{-}let2I b\text{-}of.simps by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} t \rangle using check-let2I check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-}of t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G ; \Delta \vdash_{wf} s2 : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle
    using check-let2I(5) wf-replace-true2(2) append-g.simps check-let2I by metis
    show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Phi, \ \Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ G, \ \Delta, \ s1, \ b\text{-}of \ \tau, \ t) \rangle
       apply(simp add: fresh-prodN, intro conjI)
      using check-let2I(1) fresh-prod7 by simp+
 qed
next
  case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle using check-v-wf check-varI by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \ \tau' \rangle using check-v-wf check-varI by metis
    show (atom\ u\ \sharp\ (\Phi,\ \Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ \tau',\ v,\ b\text{-}of\ \tau)) using check\text{-}varI\ fresh\text{-}prodN\ u\text{-}fresh\text{-}b\ by\ metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of \tau \rangle using check-varI by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-assign I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau')
  then show ?case using wf-intros check-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-while I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau')
  thus ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps check-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
next
  case (check-seqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau)
  thus ?case using wf-intros b-of.simps by metis
  case (check-case I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau z)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : B\text{-}id \ tid \rangle using check\text{-}caseI \ check\text{-}v\text{-}wf \ b\text{-}of.simps by metis
    show (AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \ \Theta) using check-caseI by metis
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-caseI check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using check-caseI check-s-check-branch-s-wf by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist \vdash_{wf} cs : b\text{-}of \ \tau \rangle using check-caseI by metis
  qed
qed
lemma check-s-wf:
  fixes s::s
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
  \mathbf{shows} \ \Theta \ ; \ B \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \ \land \ wfT \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ \tau \ \land \ wfPhi \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \land \ wfTh \ \Theta \land \ wfD \ \Theta \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \land \ wfS \ \Theta \ \Phi \ B \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ s
  using check-s-check-branch-s-wf check-s-check-branch-s-wfS assms by meson
lemma check-s-flip-u1:
  fixes s::s and u::u and u'::u
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
```

```
have (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Theta; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Phi; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \mathcal{B}; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Gamma; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s
\Leftarrow (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \tau
    using check-s.eqvt assms by blast
  thus ?thesis using check-s-wf[OF assms] flip-u-eq phi-flip-eq by metis
qed
lemma check-s-flip-u2:
  fixes s::s and u::u and u'::u
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau
  shows \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
  have \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau
    using check-s-flip-u1 assms by blast
  thus ?thesis using permute-flip-cancel by force
qed
lemma check-s-flip-u:
  fixes s::s and u::u and u'::u
  shows \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot \Delta \vdash (u \leftrightarrow u') \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau = (\Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau)
  using check-s-flip-u1 check-s-flip-u2 by metis
lemma check-s-abs-u:
  fixes s::s and s'::s and u::u and u'::u and \tau'::\tau
  assumes [[atom u]]lst. s = [[atom \ u']]lst. \ s' and atom \ u \ \sharp \ \Delta and atom \ u' \ \sharp \ \Delta
           and \Theta ; B ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \tau
  and \Theta; \Phi; B; \Gamma; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
shows \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
  have \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; \Gamma ; (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \vdash (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s \Leftarrow \tau
    using assms check-s-flip-u by metis
  moreover have (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \text{ proof } -
    have (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) = ((u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot u, (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \tau') \#_{\Delta} (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \Delta
       using DCons-eqvt Pair-eqvt by auto
    also have ... = (u', (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta
       using assms flip-fresh-fresh by auto
    also have ... = (u', \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta using
       u-not-in-t fresh-def flip-fresh-fresh assms by metis
    finally show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover have (u' \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s = s' using assms Abs1-eq-iff(3)[of u' s' u s] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
```

### 12.7 Additional Elimination and Intros

#### 12.7.1 Values

```
nominal-function b-for :: opp \Rightarrow b where b-for Plus = B-int | b-for LEq = B-bool | b-for Eq = B-bool apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def b-for-graph-aux-def) by (meson opp.exhaust)
```

#### nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order

```
lemma infer-v-pair2I:
        fixes v_1::v and v_2::v
        assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2
        shows \exists \tau. \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \land b-of \tau = B-pair (b-of \tau_1) \ (b-of \tau_2)
       obtain z1 and b1 and c1 and z2 and b2 and c2 where zbc: \tau_1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_2 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_3 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z2 : b1 
: b2 | c2 \}
                using \tau.exhaust by meson
        obtain z::x where atom z \sharp ( v_1, v_2, \Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using obtain-fresh
       hence atom z \sharp (v_1, v_2) \wedge atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma) using fresh-prodN by metis
       hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \{ z : [b \text{-of } \tau_1, b \text{-of } \tau_2]^b \mid CE-val (V \text{-var } z) = CE-val (V \text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) = CE-val (V \text{-pair } v_2 \ v_2 \ v_2) = CE-val (V \text{-pair } v_2 \ v_2 \ v_2 \ v_2) = CE-val (V \text{-pair } v_2 \ v_2 \
v_1 \ v_2) \ \ \}
                using assms infer-v-pairI zbc by metis
        moreover obtain \tau where \tau = (\{ z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) = CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \}
        moreover hence b-of \tau = B-pair (b-of \tau_1) (b-of \tau_2) using b-of simps zbc by presburger
       ultimately show ?thesis using b-of.simps by metis
qed
lemma infer-v-pair2I-zbc:
        fixes v_1::v and v_2::v
        assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2
         shows \exists z \ \tau. \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \land \tau = (\{z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) \ (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid C\text{-eq } (CE\text{-val}) \}
(V\text{-}var\ z))\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}pair\ v_1\ v_2))\ \})\ \land\ atom\ z\ \sharp\ (v_1,v_2)\ \land\ atom\ z\ \sharp\ \Gamma
proof -
       obtain z1 and b1 and c1 and z2 and b2 and c2 where zbc: \tau_1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land \tau_2 = (\{ z2 \} ) \land \tau_3 = (\{ z2 \} ) \land \tau_4 = (\{ z4 \} ) \land
: b2 | c2 \}
                using \tau.exhaust by meson
        obtain z::x where * : atom z \sharp ( v_1, v_2, \Gamma, \Theta , \mathcal{B} ) using obtain-fresh
                \mathbf{by} blast
        hence vinf: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \{ z : [b \text{-of } \tau_1, b \text{-of } \tau_2]^b \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) = CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) \}
(V-pair v_1 v_2)
                using assms infer-v-pairI by simp
       moreover obtain \tau where \tau = (\{ z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) = CE\text{-val } (V\text{-pair } v_1 \ v_2) \}
) by blast
       moreover have b-of \tau_1 = b1 \wedge b-of \tau_2 = b2 using zbc b-of.simps by auto
       ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau \land \tau = (\{z : B\text{-pair } (b\text{-of } \tau_1) \ (b\text{-of } \tau_2) \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var}) \}
z) = CE-val (V-pair v_1 v_2) \}) by auto
       thus ?thesis using * fresh-prod2 fresh-prod3 by metis
qed
lemma infer-v-pair 2E:
       assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash V-pair v_1 \ v_2 \Rightarrow \tau
       shows \exists \tau_1 \ \tau_2 \ z \ . \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash v_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \land \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma \vdash v_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \land \mathcal{B}
                                           \tau = (\{ z : \textit{B-pair} \ (\textit{b-of} \ \tau_1) \ (\textit{b-of} \ \tau_2) \mid \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-var} \ z)) \ (\textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-pair} \ v_1 \ v_2)) \ \} ) \ \land \\
atom z \sharp (v_1, v_2)
proof -
        obtain z and t1 and t2 where
```

```
\tau = ( \{ \ z : \textit{B-pair} \ (\textit{b-of} \ t1) \ (\textit{b-of} \ t2) \ | \ \textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-var} \ z) \ == \ \textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-pair} \ v_1 \ v_2) \ \} ) \ \land \\
                          atom\ z\ \sharp\ (v_1,\ v_2)\land\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\vdash v_1\Rightarrow t1\land\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\vdash v_2\Rightarrow t2\ \ \mathbf{using}\ infer-v-elims(3)[OF\ assms
] by metis
       thus ?thesis using b-of.simps by metis
qed
12.7.2
                                          Expressions
lemma infer-e-app2E:
      fixes \Phi::\Phi and \Theta::\Theta
      assumes \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AE-app f v \Rightarrow \tau
     shows \exists x \ b \ c \ s' \ \tau'. wfD \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \land Some \ (AF-fundef f \ (AF-fun-typ-none \ (AF-fun-typ x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')))
= lookup-fun \Phi f \wedge \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \wedge
                        \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \} \land \tau = \tau'[x ::= v]_{\tau v} \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, v, \tau) 
       using infer-e-elims(6)[OF\ assms]\ b-of.simps\ subst-defs\ by metis
lemma infer-e-appP2E:
       fixes \Phi::\Phi and \Theta::\Theta
       assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}appP f b v \Rightarrow \tau
      (c \ \tau' \ s')) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \ \land \ \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land \ \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \ \land
                    (\Theta;\,\mathcal{B};\,\Gamma\vdash v\,\leftarrow\,\{\!\!\{\,x:ba[bv::=b]_{bb}\ \mid\, c[bv::=b]_{cb}\ \rangle\!\!\})\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,(\tau=\tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau v})\,\wedge\,atom\,\,x\,\,\sharp\,\,\Gamma\,\wedge\,x\,\,\xi
atom \ bv \ \sharp \ v
proof -
```

obtain by x ba c s'  $\tau'$  where \*:wfD  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma$   $\Delta \wedge$  Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some by (AF-fun-typ)

 $(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : ba[bv:=b]_{bb} \mid c[bv:=b]_{cb} \}) \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \land (\tau = \tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau b}[x:$ 

# 12.8 Weakening

qed

atom bv  $\sharp$  ( $\Theta$ ,  $\Phi$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ , b, v,  $\tau$ )

ultimately show ?thesis by metis

Lemmas showing that typing judgements hold when a context is extended

 $(x \ ba \ c \ \tau' \ s'))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \ \land \ \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \ \land \$ 

using  $infer-e-elims(21)[OF\ assms]\ subst-defs$  by metis moreover then have  $atom\ bv\ \sharp\ v$  using fresh-prodN by metis

```
lemma subtype-weakening: fixes \Gamma'::\Gamma assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2 and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2 using assms proof(nominal-induct \tau 2 avoiding: \Gamma' rule: subtype-strong-induct)

case (subtype-baseI x \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma z c z' c' b) show ?case proof

show *:\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} using wfT-weakening subtype-baseI by metis show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \{ z' : b \mid c' \} using wfT-weakening subtype-baseI by metis show atom x \notin (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', z, c, z', c') using subtype-baseI fresh-Pair by metis have toSet ((x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' using subtype-baseI toSet.simps by blast moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' using wfT-wf-cons3[<math>OF *, of x]
```

```
subtype-baseI fresh-Pair subst-defs by metis
    ultimately show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' \models c'[z':=V-var x]_v using valid-weakening
subtype-baseI by metis
  qed
qed
method many-rules uses add = ((rule+), ((simp add: add)+)?)
lemma infer-v-g-weakening:
  fixes e::e and \Gamma'::\Gamma and v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: \Gamma' rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ b \ c \ x' \ z)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \rangle using infer-v-varI by auto
    show \langle Some\ (b,\ c) = lookup\ \Gamma'\ x' \rangle using infer-v-varI lookup-weakening by metis
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ x' \rangle using infer-v-varI by auto
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma') \rangle using infer-v-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-v-lit I \ominus B \Gamma l \tau)
  then show ?case using infer-v.intros by simp
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t1 t2)
  then show ?case using infer-v.intros by simp
  case (infer-v-consI s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
  show ?case proof
  show \langle AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
  show \langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow tv \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash tv \lesssim tc \rangle using infer-v-consI subtype-weakening by auto
  show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ v \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
  show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma') \rangle using infer-v\text{-}consI by auto
qed
next
  case (infer-v-conspI s bv dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv b z)
  show ?case proof
  show (AF-typedef-poly s by dclist \in set \Theta using infer-v-conspI by auto
  show \langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
  show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow tv \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
```

```
show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash tv \leq tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle using infer-v-conspI subtype-weakening by auto
    show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma',\ v,\ b)\rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma',\ v,\ b)\rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
qed
lemma check-v-q-weakening:
    fixes e::e and \Gamma'::\Gamma
    assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau and toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'
    shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau
  using subtype-weakening infer-v-g-weakening check-v-elims check-v-subtypeI assms by metis
lemma infer-e-q-weakening:
    fixes e::e and \Gamma'::\Gamma
    assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau \text{ and } to Set \Gamma \subseteq to Set \Gamma' \text{ and } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'
    shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau
using assms proof(nominal-induct \tau avoiding: \Gamma' rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
     case (infer-e-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ \tau)
     then show ?case using infer-v-q-weakening wf-weakening infer-e.intros by metis
    case (infer-e-plus I \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
    obtain z'::x where z': atom z' \sharp v1 \wedge atom z' \sharp v2 \wedge atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by
      \mathbf{moreover\ hence}\quad *: \{\ z3: B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3)\ ==\ CE\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ \} = (\{\ z': B\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ \} = (\{\ z': B\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ \} = (\{\ z': B\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ ] = (\{\ z': B\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ ] = (\{\ z': B\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v2]^{ce}\ ] = (\{\ z': B\text{-}op\ Plus\ [v2]^{
B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z') \ == \ CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \})
          using infer-e-plusI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp by auto
    have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \{z': B-int \mid CE-val (V-var z') == CE-op Plus
[v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} } proof
          show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-plus by auto
          show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-plus I by auto
          show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-plus I by auto
          show \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using z' by auto
          show \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \rangle using z' by auto
     qed
     thus ?case using * by metis
     case (infer-e-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
    obtain z'::x where z': atom z' \sharp v1 \wedge atom z' \sharp v2 \wedge atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by
metis
     moreover hence *:{| z3 : B\text{-}bool | CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3)} == CE\text{-}op LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} | = ({| z' : LEq | 
B	ext{-bool} \mid CE	ext{-val} (V	ext{-var} z') == CE	ext{-op} LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}
          using infer-e-leqI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp by auto
```

```
have \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-}bool \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z') == CE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 \}
[v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} } proof
             show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-leq by auto
             show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-leq by auto
             show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-leqI by auto
             show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \} \rangle using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-leq by auto
             show \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using z' by auto
             show \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \rangle using z' by auto
      qed
      thus ?case using * by metis
next
      case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 bb c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
      obtain z'::x where z': atom z' \sharp v1 \wedge atom z' \sharp v2 \wedge atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using obtain-fresh fresh-prod3 by
metis
       moreover hence *:\{z3: B\text{-}bool \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3) == CE\text{-}op\ Eq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ \} = (\{z': B\text{-}op\ z': B\text{-}op\ z
B	ext{-bool} \mid CE	ext{-val} (V	ext{-var} z') == CE	ext{-op} Eq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}
             using infer-e-eqI type-e-eq ce.fresh fresh-e-opp by auto
       have \Theta : \Phi : B : \Gamma' : \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \{ z' : B-bool \mid CE-val (V-var z') = CE-op Eq
[v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} } proof
             show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-eqI by auto
             show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-eqI by auto
             \mathbf{show} \ \ (\ \Theta \ ; \ \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ \vdash v1 \ \Rightarrow \ \{\!\!\{\ z1 : bb \ \mid \ c1\ \}\!\!\} \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ infer-v-g-weakening \ infer-e-eqI \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \ auto
             show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : bb \mid c2 \} \rangle using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-eqI by auto
             show \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using z' by auto
             show \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \rangle using z' by auto
             show bb \in \{B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit\} using infer-e-eqI by auto
      qed
      thus ?case using * by metis
next
      case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau' s' v \tau)
      show ?case proof
             show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wf-weakening infer-e-appI by auto
             show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using wf-weakening infer-e-appI by auto
               show Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f using
wf-weakening infer-e-appI by auto
             show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \}  using wf-weakening infer-e-appI check-v-g-weakening by auto
             show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, v, \tau) using wf-weakening infer-e-appI by auto
             show \tau'[x:=v]_v = \tau using wf-weakening infer-e-app by auto
      qed
next
      case (infer-e-appPI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau' s' v \tau)
      hence *:\Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash AE-appP f b' v \Rightarrow \tau using Typing.infer-e-appPI by auto
     obtain x'::x where x':atom\ x' \not = (s',\ c,\ \tau',\ (\Gamma',v,\tau)) \land (AF-fundef\ f\ (AF-fun-typ-some\ bv\ (AF-fun-typ))
(x \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s')) = (AF-fundef \ f \ (AF-fun-typ-some \ bv \ (AF-fun-typ \ x' \ b \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c) \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau') \ ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot 
\leftrightarrow x) \cdot s'))))
             using obtain-fresh-fun-def [of s' c \tau' (\Gamma', v, \tau) f x b]
```

```
by (metis\ fun-def.eq-iff\ fun-typ-q.eq-iff\ (2))
  hence **: \{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ x' : b \mid (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c \}
    using fresh-PairD(1) fresh-PairD(2) type-eq-flip by blast
  have atom x' \sharp \Gamma using x' infer-e-appPI fresh-weakening fresh-Pair by metis
 show ?case proof(rule Typing.infer-e-appPI[where x=x' and bv=bv and b=b and c=(x'\leftrightarrow x)\cdot c
and \tau' = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau' and s' = ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot s')
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-appPI by auto
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-appPI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' using infer-e-appPI by auto
    show Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x' b ((x'\leftrightarrow x)\cdot c) ((x'\leftrightarrow x)\cdot \tau') ((x'\leftrightarrow x)\cdot t')
(\leftrightarrow x) \cdot s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f using x' infer-e-appPI by argo
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv := b']_b \mid ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot c)[bv := b']_b \} using **
     	au.eq.iff\ check-v-g-weakening\ infer-e-appPI.hyps\ infer-e-appPI.prems\ (1)\ infer-e-appPI.prems\ subst-defs
      subst-tb.simps by metis
    show atom x' \sharp \Gamma' using x' fresh-prodN by metis
      have atom x \not\equiv (v, \tau) \land atom \ x' \not\equiv (v, \tau) using x' infer-e-fresh[OF *] e.fresh(2) fresh-Pair
infer-e-appPI \ \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle \ e.fresh \ \mathbf{by} \ met is
    moreover then have ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[bv := b']_{\tau b} = (x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot (\tau'[bv := b']_{\tau b}) using subst-b-x-flip
      by (metis subst-b-\tau-def)
    ultimately show ((x' \leftrightarrow x) \cdot \tau')[bv := b']_b[x' := v]_v = \tau
      using infer-e-appPI subst-tv-flip subst-defs by metis
    show atom by \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, b', v, \tau) using infer-e-appPI fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z'' b1 b2 c z)
  obtain z'::x where *: atom z' \sharp \Gamma' \land atom z' \sharp v \land atom z' \sharp c using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by
metis
  hence **: \{z:b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce}\ \} = \{z':b1 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z') == CE\text{-}fst\ [v]^{ce}\}
CE-fst [v]^{ce}
    using type-e-eq infer-e-fstI v.fresh e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'\ ;\ \Delta\ \vdash \mathit{AE-fst}\ v\ \Rightarrow\ \{\!\!\mid\ z':\mathit{b1}\ \mid\ \mathit{CE-val}\ (\mathit{V-var}\ z')\ ==\ \mathit{CE-fst}\ [v]^\mathit{ce}\ \ \}\!\!\mid\ \mathbf{proof}
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-fstI by auto
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-fstI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z'' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \}  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-fst I by metis
    show atom z' \sharp AE-fst v using * ** e.supp by auto
    show atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
next
  case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z'' b1 b2 c z)
  obtain z'::x where *: atom z' \sharp \Gamma' \wedge atom z' \sharp v \wedge atom z' \sharp c using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by
  hence **:{ z : b2 \mid CE-val (V-var z) == CE-snd [v]^{ce} } = { <math>z' : b2 \mid CE-val (V-var z') == }
CE-snd [v]^{ce}
```

```
have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash AE-snd v \Rightarrow \{ z' : b2 \mid CE-val (V-var z') = CE-snd [v]^{ce} \} proof
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-sndI by auto
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-sndI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z'' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \} \text{ using } infer\text{-}v\text{-}g\text{-}weakening } infer\text{-}e\text{-}sndI
metis
    show atom z' \sharp AE-snd v using * e.supp by auto
    show atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using ** by metis
next
  case (infer-e-lenI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z'' c z)
  obtain z'::x where *: atom z' \sharp \Gamma' \wedge atom z' \sharp v \wedge atom z' \sharp c using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by
metis
  hence **: \{z : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z) == CE\text{-}len \ [v]^{ce} \} = \{z' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z') \}
== CE-len [v]^{ce}
    using type-e-eq infer-e-lenI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis
  have \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma' : \Delta \vdash AE-len v \Rightarrow \{ z' : B-int \mid CE-val (V-var z') = CE-len [v]^{ce} \} proof
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-lenI by auto
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-lenI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z'' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c \}  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-lenI by metis
    show atom z' \sharp AE-len v using * e.supp by auto
    show atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using * by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
  case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau)
  then show ?case using wf-weakening infer-e.intros by metis
  case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  obtain z'::x where *: atom z' \sharp \Gamma' \land atom z' \sharp v1 \land atom z' \sharp v2 using obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair
  hence **: \{z3: B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3) == CE\text{-}concat\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ \} = \{z': B\text{-}bitvec\ |
CE	ext{-}val \ (V	ext{-}var\ z') \ == \ CE	ext{-}concat \ \lceil v1 \rceil^{ce} \ \lceil v2 \rceil^{ce} \ 
brack
    using type-e-eq infer-e-concatI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis
  have \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma' : \Delta \vdash AE\text{-concat } v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val} \ (V\text{-var} \ z') == CE\text{-concat} \}
[v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} } proof
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-concatI by auto
    show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using wf-weakening infer-e-concatI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1 \} using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-concatI
                                                                                                                                          by
metis
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c2 \}  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-concatI
                                                                                                                                          by
    show atom z' \sharp AE-concat v1 v2 using * e.supp by auto
    show atom z' \sharp \Gamma' using * by auto
  thus ?case using * ** by metis
```

using type-e-eq infer-e-sndI e.fresh ce.fresh obtain-fresh-z fresh-Pair by metis

```
next
  case (infer-e-split I \ominus B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
  show ?case proof
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta using infer-e-splitI wf-weakening by auto
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-split1 wf-weakening by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1 \}  using infer-v-g-weakening infer-e-split I by metis
    \mathbf{show}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma'\ \vdash v2 \Leftarrow \{\ z2: B\text{-}int\ \mid [\ leq\ [\ [\ L\text{-}num\ 0\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ [\ [\ z2\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\ L\text{-}true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}
                     AND \mid leq \mid [22]^v \mid^{ce} \mid [v1]^{ce} \mid^{ce} \mid^{ce} = [L-true]^v \mid^{ce} \}
              using check-v-g-weakening infer-e-splitI by metis
    show atom z1 \pm AE-split v1 v2 using infer-e-splitI by auto
    show atom z1 \sharp \Gamma' using infer-e-split  by auto
    show atom z2 \sharp AE-split v1 \ v2 using infer-e-split I by auto
    show atom z2 \sharp \Gamma' using infer-e-split by auto
    show atom z3 \sharp AE-split v1 \ v2 using infer-e-split by auto
    show atom z3 \sharp \Gamma' using infer-e-split by auto
  qed
qed
Special cases proved explicitly, other cases at the end with method +
lemma infer-e-d-weakening:
  fixes e::e
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau \text{ and } setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \text{ and } wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta'
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta' \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau
 using assms by (nominal-induct \tau avoiding: \Delta' rule: infer-e.strong-induct, auto simp add:infer-e.intros)
lemma wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple:
  fixes x::x and v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and atom x \sharp \Gamma
  shows atom x \not \perp v
  using wfV-x-fresh infer-v-wf assms by metis
lemma check-s-g-weakening:
 fixes v::v and s::s and cs::branch-s and x::x and c::c and b::b and \Gamma'::\Gamma and \Theta::\Theta and cs::branch-list
  shows check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s \ t \Longrightarrow toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \Longrightarrow check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma' \Delta
   t and
           check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v \ cs \ t \Longrightarrow \ to Set \ \Gamma \subseteq to Set \ \Gamma' \Longrightarrow \ \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'
\implies check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma' \Delta tid cons const v cs t and
          \mathit{check-branch-list}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ \ \mathit{tid}\ \mathit{dclist}\ v\ \mathit{css}\ t \Longrightarrow\ \mathit{toSet}\ \Gamma \subseteq \mathit{toSet}\ \Gamma' \Longrightarrow\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\vdash_{\mathit{wf}} \Gamma' \implies
check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma' \Delta tid delist v ess t
proof(nominal-induct\ t\ and\ t\ avoiding:\Gamma'\ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (check-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)
  then show ?case using Typing.check-valI infer-v-g-weakening wf-weakening subtype-weakening by
metis
next
  case (check-let I \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c)
  hence xf:atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma' by metis
  show ?case proof
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, e, \tau) using check-let using fresh-prod4 xf by metis
    show \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \}  using infer-e-g-weakening check-let by metis
    show atom z \sharp (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, e, \tau, s)
       by(unfold fresh-prodN, auto simp add: check-letI fresh-prodN)
    have toSet ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using check-letI
```

```
to Set.simps
       by (metis Un-commute Un-empty-right Un-insert-right insert-mono)
    moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b, c[z := V - var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using check-let I wfG-cons-weakening
check-s-wf by metis
    ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=V-var\ x]_v) <math>\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma'; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau using check-let by metis
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-let2I x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
  show ?case proof
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, t, s1, \tau) using check-let2I using fresh-prod4 by auto
    show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t using check-let2I by metis
    have toSet~((x,\ b\text{-}of~t,\ c\text{-}of~t~x)~\#_{\Gamma}~G)\subseteq toSet~((x,\ b\text{-}of~t,\ c\text{-}of~t~x~)~\#_{\Gamma}~\Gamma') using check\text{-}let2I by
     moreover hence \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma') using check-let2I wfG-cons-weakening
check-s-wf by metis
    ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau using check-let2I by metis
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' v cs \tau css dclist)
  thus ?case using Typing.check-branch-list-consI by metis
  case (check-branch-list-final I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid dclist' v cs \tau dclist)
    thus ?case using Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by metis
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
  show ?case proof
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wf-weakening2(6) check-branch-s-branchI by metis
    show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using check-branch-s-branch by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \tau using check-branch-s-branch I wfT-weakening (wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma') by presburger
    show \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} const using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, tid, cons, const, v, \tau) using check-branch-s-branch by auto
    have toSet ((x, b\text{-}of const, CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}cons \ tid cons \ (V\text{-}var \ x)) \ AND \ c\text{-}of \ const \ x)
\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \subseteq toSet((x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val} (V\text{-cons tid cons} (V\text{-var } x)) AND c\text{-of const } x)
\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'
      using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
     moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x))
AND c-of const x ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'
       using check-branch-s-branchI wfG-cons-weakening check-s-wf by metis
     ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x))
AND c-of const x ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
       using check-branch-s-branchI using fresh-dom-free by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma', \ \Delta, \ v, \ s1, \ s2, \ \tau) \rangle using fresh-prodN check-ifI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma' \ \vdash \ v \Leftarrow \ \{ \ z : B\text{-}bool \ \mid \ TRUE \ \} \} \ \mathbf{using} \ check\text{-}v\text{-}g\text{-}weakening} \ check\text{-}ifI \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-} of \ \tau \mid CE \text{-} val \ v == CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} true) \}
\tau z \geqslant using check-ifI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \{z: b\text{-}of \ \tau \mid CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ L\text{-}false) \quad IMP \ c\text{-}of \}
\tau z \geqslant using check-ifI by auto
```

```
qed
next
   case (check-while I \Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau')
  \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros~check-v-g-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~subtype-weakening~sub
wf-weakening
       by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
\mathbf{next}
   case (check-seqI \triangle G P s1 z s2 \tau)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
wf-weakening
       by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
next
   case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
   thus ?case using check-v-q-weakening check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by auto
    case (check-assign I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau')
 show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using check-assignI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-assign I wf-weakening by auto
     show \langle (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta \rangle using check-assignI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using check-assign check-v-g-weakening by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} \leq \tau' \} using subtype-weakening check-assign by auto
 qed
next
   case (check-case I \Delta \Gamma \Theta dclist cs \tau tid v z)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros check-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening
wf-weakening
       by (meson infer-v-g-weakening)
\mathbf{next}
    case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
   show ?case proof
       show (atom x \not \equiv (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma', \Delta, c, \tau, s)) using check-assert by auto
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using check-assertI check-s-wf by metis
       hence *: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' using wfG-cons-weakening check-assertI by metis
       moreover have toSet ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') using check-assert I by
auto
       thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using check-assertI(11) [OF - *] by auto
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \models c \rangle using check-assert Valid-weakening by metis
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-assert wf-weakening by metis
qed
qed
lemma wfG-xa-fresh-in-v:
   fixes c::c and \Gamma::\Gamma and G::\Gamma and v::v and xa::x
   assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V - var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and atom xa \sharp G and \Theta;
\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G
   shows atom xa \ \sharp \ v
proof -
```

```
have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of \ \tau using infer-v-wf assms by metis
  hence supp \ v \subseteq atom-dom \ \Gamma \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using \ wfV-supp \ by \ simp
  moreover have atom xa \notin atom\text{-}dom G
    using assms wfG-atoms-supp-eq[OF assms(4)] fresh-def by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def
    using assms infer-v-wf wfG-atoms-supp-eq
     fresh-GCons fresh-append-g subsetCE
    by (metis\ wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh-z-subst-g}:
  fixes G::\Gamma
  assumes atom \ z' \ \sharp \ (x,v) and \langle atom \ z' \ \sharp \ G \rangle
  shows atom z' \sharp G[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}
proof -
  have atom z' \sharp v using assms fresh-prod2 by auto
  thus ?thesis using fresh-subst-gv assms by metis
qed
lemma wfG-xa-fresh-in-subst-v:
  fixes c::c and v::v and x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma and G::\Gamma and xa::x
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and G = (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z ::= V - var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and atom xa \sharp G and \Theta;
\mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G
  shows atom xa \sharp (subst-gv \ G \ x \ v)
  have atom xa \not\parallel v using wfG-xa-fresh-in-v assms by metis
  thus ?thesis using fresh-subst-gv assms by metis
qed
12.8.1
               Weakening Immutable Variable Context
declare check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros[simp]
\mathbf{declare} \mathit{check-s-check-branch-ist.intros[intro]}
lemma check-s-d-weakening:
  fixes s::s and v::v and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow wfD \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ;
\Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \text{ and }
           check\mbox{-}branch\mbox{-}s\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta\ tid\ cons\ const\ v\ cs\ \tau \implies setD\ \Delta\subseteq setD\ \Delta' \implies wfD\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma\ \Delta'
\implies check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' tid cons const v cs \tau and
          \mathit{check-branch-list} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \mathit{tid} \ \mathit{dclist} \ \mathit{v} \ \mathit{css} \ \tau \Longrightarrow \ \mathit{setD} \ \Delta \subseteq \mathit{setD} \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow \ \mathit{wfD} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta' \Longrightarrow
check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta' tid delist v css \tau
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ 	au\ \mathbf{and}\ 	au\ avoiding:\ \Delta'\ arbitrary:\ v\ rule:\ check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-index
  case (check-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by blast
    case (check-let I \times \Theta \oplus \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b c)
  show ?case proof
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, \tau) using check-let by auto
    show atom z \sharp (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', e, \tau, s) using check-let by auto
    show \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \}  using check-letI infer-e-d-weakening by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using check-let check-s-wf by metis
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using check-letI check-s-wf by metis
```

```
ultimately have \Theta; (x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using wf-weakening2(6) toSet.simps
    thus \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau using check-let by simp
  qed
next
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
  moreover have \Theta : \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons } tid \ cons \ (V\text{-var } x))
                                                                                                                                     AND
c-of const x ) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    using check-s-wf[OF\ check-branch-s-branchI(16)\ ] by metis
  moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val }v == CE\text{-val }(V\text{-cons tid cons}(V\text{-var }x))
c-of const x ) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta'
    using wf-weakening2(6) check-branch-s-branchI by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
    using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by simp
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau css)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v \ cs \ \tau)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
  case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  show ?case proof
    show (atom z \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', v, s1, s2, \tau)) using fresh-prodN check-ifI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \rangle using check-if by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta' \ \vdash s1 \ \Leftarrow \ \{ \ z : b\text{-of} \ \tau \ \mid \ CE\text{-val} \ v \ == \ CE\text{-val} \ (V\text{-lit} \ L\text{-true}) \ \}
\tau z \geqslant using check-ifI by auto
    \tau z \geqslant using check-ifI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  show ?case proof
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', c, \tau, s) using fresh-prodN check-assertI by auto
    show *: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using check-assert by auto
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using wf-weakening2(6)[OF *, of (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma]
check-assertI check-s-wf toSet.simps by auto
    thus \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
      using check-assertI(11)[OF \langle setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta' \rangle] by simp
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c using fresh-prodN check-assertI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-let2I x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
  show ?case proof
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta', t, s1, \tau) using check-let2I by auto
    show \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal{G} ; \Delta' \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t using check-let2I infer-e-d-weakening by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) #_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using check-let2I wf-weakening2(6) check-s-wf by
fastforce
    thus \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) <math>\#_{\Gamma} G; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau using check-let2I by simp
```

```
qed
next
  case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
  show ?case proof
    show atom u \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta', \tau', v, \tau) using check-varI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' using check-varI by auto
    have setD ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) \subseteq setD ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta') using setD.simps check-varI by auto
    moreover have u \notin fst 'setD \Delta' using check-varI(1) setD.simps fresh-DCons by (simp add:
fresh-d-not-in)
    moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta' using wfD-cons fresh-DCons setD.simps check-varI
check-v-wf by metis
    ultimately show \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta' \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \text{ using check-varI by auto}
 qed
next
  case (check-assign I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau')
 moreover hence (u, \tau) \in setD \Delta' by auto
  ultimately show ?case using Typing.check-assignI by simp
next
  case (check-while I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau')
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
  case (check\text{-}segI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
next
  case (check-case I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta tid delist v cs \tau z)
  then show ?case using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.intros by meson
qed
thm valid-ce-eq
lemma valid-ce-eq:
 fixes v::v and ce2::ce
  \textbf{assumes} \ \textit{ce1} = \textit{ce2}[x ::= v]_{\textit{cev}} \ \textbf{and} \ \textit{wfV} \ \Theta \ \ \mathcal{B} \ \textit{GNil} \ \textit{v} \ \textit{b} \ \textbf{and} \ \ \textit{wfCE} \ \Theta \ \ \mathcal{B} \ \ ((x, \ \textit{b}, \ \textit{TRUE}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \textit{GNil})
ce2 \ b' and wfCE \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ GNil \ ce1 \ b'
  shows \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, ([[x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce})) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models ce1 == ce2 \rangle
  unfolding valid.simps proof
  have wfg: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
    using wfG-cons1I wfG-nilI wfX-wfY assms wf-intros
    by (meson fresh-GNil wfC-e-eq wfG-intros2)
  show wf: \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, \lceil \lceil x \rceil^v \rceil^{ce}) = \lceil v \rceil^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce1 = ce2 \rangle
    apply(rule\ wfC-eqI[where\ b=b'])
    using wfg toSet.simps assms wfCE-weakening apply simp
    using wfg assms wf-replace-inside1(8) assms
    using wfC-trueI wf-trans(8) by auto
 \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \longrightarrow
             (i \models (ce1 == ce2))) \land \mathbf{proof}(rule+,goal\text{-}cases)
    \mathbf{fix} i
```

```
assume as:\Theta; (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash i \land i \models (x, b, [[x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce})
    \mathbf{have}\ 1{:}w\mathit{fV}\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((x,\ b,\ [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ v\ ]^{ce}\ )\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \mathit{GNil})\ v\ b
      \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wf-weakening} \ \textit{assms} \ \textit{append-g.simps} \ \textit{toSet.simps} \ \textit{wf WfX-wfY}
      by (metis\ empty-subset I)
    hence \exists s. i \mid v \mid \sim s using eval-v-exist [OF - 1] as by auto
    then obtain s where iv:i[v] \sim s..
    hence ix:i \ x = Some \ s \ proof -
      have i \models [[x]^v]^{ce} = = [v]^{ce} using is-satis-g.simps as by auto hence i \parallel [[x]^v]^{ce} = [v]^{ce} \parallel ^c True using is-satis.simps by auto
      hence i \ [ \ [ \ [x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ ] \ ^\sim s \ using
           iv eval-e-elims
       by (metis eval-c-elims(7) eval-e-uniqueness eval-e-valI)
      thus ?thesis using eval-v-elims(2) eval-e-elims(1) by metis
    qed
   have 1:wfCE \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b, [ [ x ]^v ]^{ce} == [ v ]^{ce} ) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) ce1 b'
      using wfCE-weakening assms append-g.simps toSet.simps wf wfX-wfY
      by (metis empty-subsetI)
    hence \exists s1. i \ [ce1] \sim s1 using eval-e-exist assms as by auto
    then obtain s1 where s1: i[ce1] \sim s1...
    moreover have i [ce2] \sim s1 proof -
      have i \llbracket ce2[x:=v]_{cev} \rrbracket \sim s1 using assms s1 by auto
      moreover have ce1 = ce2[x:=v]_{cev} using subst-v-ce-def assms subst-v-simple-commute by auto
      ultimately have i(x \mapsto s) \parallel ce2 \parallel \sim s1
        using ix subst-e-eval-v[of i ce1 s1 ce2[z::=[ x \mid^v]_v x v s] iv s1 by auto
      moreover have i(x \mapsto s) = i using ix by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
    ultimately show i \parallel ce1 == ce2 \parallel \sim True using eval-c-eqI by metis
  qed
qed
lemma check-v-top:
 fixes v::v
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau and ce1 = ce2[z::=v]_{cev} and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b\text{-of } \tau \mid ce1 \}
== ce2
            and supp \ ce1 \subseteq supp \ \mathcal{B}
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-} of \ \tau \mid ce1 == ce2 \} \}
  obtain t where t: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash t \leq \tau
    using assms check-v-elims by metis
 (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, GNil)
    using assms infer-v-form by metis
  have beq: b-of t = b-of \tau using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps t by auto
  obtain x::x where xf: \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \ GNil, \ z', \ [ \ [ \ z' \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ v \ ]^{ce} \ , \ z, \ ce1 \ == \ ce2 \ ) \rangle
    using obtain-fresh by metis
```

```
have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } \tau, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} (ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v == ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v)
         using wfT-wfC2[OF\ assms(3),\ of\ x]\ subst-cv.simps(6)\ subst-v-c-def\ subst-v-ce-def\ fresh-GNil\ by
simp
  then obtain b2 where b2: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce1[z:=[x]^v]_v: b2 \wedge
              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \text{ using } wfC\text{-elims}(3)
         beq by metis
  from xf have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash \{ z' : b \text{-} of t \mid [ [ z' ]^v ]^{ce} == [ v ]^{ce} \} \lesssim \{ z : b \text{-} of t \mid ce1 == ce2 \}
    \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \mathit{GNil} \ \ \vdash_{wf} \ \{ \ z' \colon b\text{-}\mathit{of}\ t \ \mid [\ [\ z'\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ v\ ]^{ce} \ \ \} \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \ b\text{-}\mathit{of}\ .simps \ assms \ infer-v-wf
t * \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \text{-} of t \mid ce1 == ce2 \} \rangle using beq assms by auto
     have (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, ([[x]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce})) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v == ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v
]^v]_v ) >
    proof(rule valid-ce-eq)
       show \langle ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v[x::=v]_{cev} proof -
         have atom z \sharp ce1 using assms fresh-def x-not-in-b-set by fast
         hence ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v = ce1
           using forget-subst-v by auto
         also have ... = ce2[z::=v]_{cev} using assms by auto
         also have ... = ce2[z:=[x]^v]_v[x:=v]_{cev} proof -
           have atom x \sharp ce2 using xf fresh-prodN c.fresh by metis
           thus ?thesis using subst-v-simple-commute subst-v-ce-def by simp
         qed
         finally show ?thesis by auto
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash_{wf} v : b\text{-}of t \rangle using infer-v-wf t by simp
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-}of t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce2[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 \rangle using b2 by auto
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v : b2 using b2 by auto
       moreover have atom x \sharp ce1[z::=[x]^v]_v
         using fresh-subst-v-if assms fresh-def
         \mathbf{using} \ \langle \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \mathit{GNil} \ \vdash_{wf} v : \mathit{b-of} \ \mathit{t} \rangle \ \langle \mathit{ce1}[\mathit{z} ::= [\ \mathit{x}\ ]^{\mathit{v}}]_{\mathit{v}} = \mathit{ce2}[\mathit{z} ::= [\ \mathit{x}\ ]^{\mathit{v}}]_{\mathit{v}}[\mathit{x} ::= \mathit{v}]_{\mathit{cev}} \rangle
         fresh-GNil subst-v-ce-def wfV-x-fresh by auto
       ultimately show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \mathit{ce1}[z ::= [x]^v]_v : \mathit{b2} \rangle using
          wf-restrict(8) by force
    qed
    moreover have v: v[z':=[x]^v]_{vv} = v
       using forget-subst assms infer-v-wf wfV-supp x-not-in-b-set
       by (simp \ add: local.*)
     ultimately show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, (\lceil \lceil z' \rceil^v \rceil^{ce}) = \lceil v \rceil^{ce}) [z':= \lceil x \rceil^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models (ce1) = 0
ce2)[z:=[x]^v]_v
       unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps
       using subst-v-ce-def by simp
  thus ?thesis using b-of.simps assms * check-v-subtypeI t b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2 by metis
qed
```

end

 $\mathbf{declare}\;\mathit{freshers}[\mathit{simp}\;\mathit{del}]$ 

### Chapter 13

# Context Subtyping Lemmas

Lemmas allowing us to replace the type of a variable in the context with a subtype and have the judgement remain valid. Sometimes known as narrowing.

### 13.1 Replace Type of Variable in Context

Because the G-context is extended by the statements like let, we will need a generalised substitution lemma for statements. For this we setup a function that replaces in G for a particular x the constraint for it

```
nominal-function replace-in-g-many :: \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) list \Rightarrow \Gamma where
  replace-in-g-many G xcs = List.foldr (\lambda(x,c) G. G[x \mapsto c]) xcs G
by(auto, simp add: eqvt-def replace-in-g-many-graph-aux-def)
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
\textbf{inductive} \ \textit{replace-in-g-subtyped} :: \Theta \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) \ \textit{list} \Rightarrow \Gamma \Rightarrow \textit{bool} \ (\ \textit{-} \ ; \textit{-} \ \vdash \textit{-} \ \langle \ \textit{-} \ \rangle \leadsto \textit{-} \ [100,50,50]
50) where
  replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle [] \rangle \leadsto G
| replace-in-g-subtyped-consI: [
         Some (b,c') = lookup G x;
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} c;
         \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G[x \longmapsto c] \models c';
         \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G[x \longmapsto c] \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto G'; x \notin fst `set xcs ] \Longrightarrow
         \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle (x,c) \# xcs \rangle \leadsto G'
equivariance replace-in-g-subtyped
nominal-inductive replace-in-g-subtyped.
inductive-cases replace-in-g-subtyped-elims[elim!]:
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle [] \rangle \leadsto G'
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \ G) \ \langle \ acs \ \rangle \leadsto ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G')
  \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G' \langle (x,c) \# acs \rangle \leadsto G
lemma rigs-atom-dom-eq:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto G'
  shows atom-dom G = atom-dom G'
using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
```

```
case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI\ G)
  then show ?case by simp
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{replace-in-g-subtyped-consI} \ b \ c' \ G \ x \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ c \ \mathit{xcs} \ G')
  then show ?case using rig-dom-eq atom-dom.simps dom.simps by simp
qed
lemma replace-in-g-wfG:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto G' and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G
  shows wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G'
  using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
 case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nill \Theta G)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI b c' G x \Theta c xcs G')
 then show ?case using valid-g-wf by auto
qed
lemma wfD-rig-single:
 fixes \Delta :: \Delta and x :: x and c :: c and G :: \Gamma
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} \Delta and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (G[x \longmapsto c])
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G[x \longmapsto c] \vdash_{wf} \Delta
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ atom\ x\in atom\ G)
  case False
  hence (G[x \mapsto c]) = G using assms replace-in-g-forget wfX-wfY by metis
  then show ?thesis using assms by auto
next
  case True
  then obtain G1 G2 b c' where *: G=G1@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}G2 using split-G by fastforce
 hence **: (G[x \mapsto c]) = G1@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G2 using replace-in-g-inside wfD-wf assms wfD-wf by metis
 hence wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G2) using wfG-suffix assms by auto
 hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G2 \vdash_{wf} c using wfG-elim2 by auto
  thus ?thesis using wf-replace-inside1 assms * **
   by (simp\ add: wf-replace-inside2(6))
qed
lemma wfD-rig:
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto G' and wfD \Theta \mathcal{B} G \Delta
  shows wfD \Theta \mathcal{B} G' \Delta
using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nill \Theta G)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI b c' G x \Theta c xcs G')
  then show ?case using wfD-rig-single valid.simps wfC-wf by auto
qed
lemma replace-in-g-fresh:
 fixes x::x
```

```
assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \ \langle \ \textit{xcs} \ \rangle \leadsto \Gamma' and \ \textit{wfG} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma and \ \textit{wfG} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' and \ \textit{atom} \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma
 shows atom x \sharp \Gamma'
using wfG-dom-supp assms fresh-def rigs-atom-dom-eq by metis
lemma replace-in-g-fresh1:
 fixes x::x
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto \Gamma' and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma and atom x \sharp \Gamma
 shows atom x \sharp \Gamma'
proof -
 have wfG \Theta B \Gamma' using replace-in-g-wfG assms by auto
 thus ?thesis using assms replace-in-g-fresh by metis
qed
Wellscoping for an eXchange list
inductive wsX:: \Gamma \Rightarrow (x*c) \ list \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
 wsX-NilI: wsX G
| wsX-ConsI: [ wsX G xcs ; atom x \in atom-dom G ; x \notin fst 'set xcs ] \implies wsX G ((x,c)\#xcs)
equivariance wsX
nominal-inductive wsX.
lemma wsX-if1:
 assumes wsX G xcs
 shows (( atom 'fst 'set xcs) \subseteq atom-dom G) \wedge List.distinct (List.map fst xcs)
using assms by(induct rule: wsX.induct,force+)
lemma wsX-if2:
 assumes ((atom `fst `set xcs) \subseteq atom-dom G) \land List.distinct (List.map fst xcs)
 shows wsX G xcs
using assms proof(induct xcs)
 case Nil
 then show ?case using wsX-Nill by fast
next
 case (Cons a xcs)
 then obtain x and c where xc: a=(x,c) by force
 have wsX \ G \ xcs \ proof -
   have distinct (map fst xcs) using Cons by force
   moreover have atom 'fst' set xcs \subseteq atom-dom \ G using Cons by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using Cons by fast
 moreover have atom x \in atom-dom \ G using Cons \ xc
   by simp
 moreover have x \notin fst 'set xcs using Cons xc
 ultimately show ?case using wsX-ConsI xc by blast
qed
lemma wsX-iff:
 wsX \ G \ xcs = (((atom 'fst 'set \ xcs) \subseteq atom-dom \ G) \land List.distinct \ (List.map \ fst \ xcs))
 using wsX-if1 wsX-if2 by meson
inductive-cases wsX-elims[elim!]:
 wsX G
```

```
wsX \ G \ ((x,c)\#xcs)
lemma wsX-cons:
 assumes wsX \Gamma xcs and x \notin fst 'set xcs
 shows wsX ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c2) \# xcs)
using assms proof(induct \Gamma)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using atom-dom.simps wsX-iff by auto
next
 case (GCons\ xbc\ \Gamma)
 obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
 then have atom 'fst 'set xcs \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ (xbc\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) \land distinct\ (map\ fst\ xcs)
   using GCons.prems(1) wsX-iff by blast
  then have wsX ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) xcs
  by (simp add: Un-commute subset-Un-eq wsX-if2)
 then show ?case by (simp add: GCons.prems(2) wsX-ConsI)
qed
lemma wsX-cons2:
 assumes wsX \Gamma xcs and x \notin fst 'set xcs
 shows wsX ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) xcs
using assms proof(induct \Gamma)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using atom-dom.simps wsX-iff by auto
next
 case (GCons \ xbc \ \Gamma)
 obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
 then have atom 'fst 'set xcs \subseteq atom-dom (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \land distinct (map fst xcs)
  using GCons.prems(1) wsX-iff by blast then show ?case by (simp add: Un-commute subset-Un-eq
wsX-if2)
qed
lemma wsX-cons3:
 assumes wsX \Gamma xcs
 shows wsX ((x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) xcs
using assms proof(induct \Gamma)
 case GNil
 then show ?case using atom-dom.simps wsX-iff by auto
next
 case (GCons \ xbc \ \Gamma)
 obtain x' and b' and c' where xbc: xbc = (x',b',c') using prod-cases3 by blast
 then have atom 'fst 'set xcs \subseteq atom\text{-}dom\ (xbc \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \land distinct\ (map\ fst\ xcs)
  using GCons.prems(1) wsX-iff by blast then show ?case by (simp add: Un-commute subset-Un-eq
wsX-if2)
qed
lemma wsX-fresh:
 assumes wsX \ G \ xcs \ and \ atom \ x \ \sharp \ G \ and \ wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G
 shows x \notin fst 'set xcs
proof -
 have atom x \notin atom\text{-}dom\ G using assms
   using fresh-def wfG-dom-supp by auto
```

```
thus ?thesis using wsX-iff assms by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{replace}\text{-}\mathit{in}\text{-}\mathit{g}\text{-}\mathit{dist}\colon
  assumes x' \neq x
 shows replace-in-g ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'' = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} (replace-in-g G x' c'')) using replace-in-g.simps
assms by presburger
\mathbf{lemma}\ wfG	ext{-}replace	ext{-}inside	ext{-}rig:
  fixes c''::c
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \langle \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \longmapsto c''] \rangle \ \langle \Theta; \ \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, \ b, \ c) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G \ \rangle
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \longmapsto c'']
proof(rule \ wfG-consI)
  have wfG \Theta B G using wfG-cons assms by auto
  show *:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \longmapsto c''] using assms by auto
  show atom x \sharp G[x' \longmapsto c''] using replace-in-g-fresh-single[OF *] assms wfG-elims assms by metis
  show **:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using wfG-elim2 assms by auto
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \longmapsto c''] \vdash_{wf} c
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ atom\ x'\notin atom-dom\ G)
    case True
    hence G = G[x' \mapsto c''] using replace-in-g-forget \langle wfG \Theta B G \rangle by auto
    thus ?thesis using assms wfG-wfC by auto
  next
    case False
    then obtain G1 G2 b' c' where **:G = G1@(x',b',c') \#_{\Gamma} G2
      using split-G by fastforce
    hence ***: (G[x' \mapsto c'']) = G1@(x',b',c'')\#_{\Gamma}G2
      using replace-in-g-inside \langle wfG \Theta B G \rangle by metis
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G1@(x',b',c')\#_{\Gamma}G2 \vdash_{wf} c \text{ using } * ** assms wfG-wfC by auto
    hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} G1@(x',b',c'')\#_{\Gamma} G2 \vdash_{wf} c \text{ using } * *** wf-replace-inside assms
      by (metis ** append-q.simps(2) wfG-elim2 wfG-suffix)
    thus ?thesis using ** * *** by auto
  qed
qed
lemma replace-in-g-valid-weakening:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x' \mapsto c''] \models c' and x' \neq x and \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x' \mapsto c'']
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x' \mapsto c''] \models c'
  apply(subst replace-in-g-dist,simp add: assms,rule valid-weakening)
  using assms by auto+
lemma replace-in-g-subtyped-cons:
  assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G)
  shows x \notin fst 'set xcs \Longrightarrow replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G) xcs ((x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G')
using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.induct)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI\ G)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: replace-in-g-subtyped.replace-in-g-subtyped-nill)
\mathbf{next}
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI b' c' G x' \Theta \mathcal{B} c'' xcs' G')
```

```
hence \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \longmapsto c''] using valid.simps wfC-wf by auto
```

```
show ?case proof(rule replace-in-g-subtyped.replace-in-g-subtyped-consI)
        show Some (b', c') = lookup ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' using lookup.simps
              fst-conv image-iff \Gamma-set-intros surj-pair replace-in-g-subtyped-cons I by force
        show wbc: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c'' using wf-weakening \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} c'' \rangle \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \oplus_{wf} (x, b
b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \rightarrow \mathbf{by} \ fastforce
        have x' \neq x using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
        have wbc1: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \longmapsto c''] proof –
         have (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G[x' \mapsto c''] = ((x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G)[x' \mapsto c''] using \langle x' \neq x \rangle using replace-in-g.simps
            thus ?thesis using wfG-replace-inside-rig \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G[x' \longmapsto c''] \rangle \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle
by fastforce
        qed
        show *: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; replace-in-g ((x, b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'' \models c'
            have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G[x' \mapsto c''] \models c' using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
            thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-valid-weakening wbc1 \langle x' \neq x \rangle by auto
        qed
        show replace-in-q-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-q ((x, b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G) x' c'') xcs' ((x, b,c) \#_{\Gamma} G')
            using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI wbc1 by auto
        show x' \notin fst 'set xcs'
            using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by linarith
    qed
qed
lemma replace-in-g-split:
    fixes G::\Gamma
   assumes \Gamma = replace-in-g \Gamma' x c and \Gamma' = G'@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}G and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'
   shows \Gamma = G'@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G
using assms proof(induct G' arbitrary: G \Gamma \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
    case GNil
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (GCons \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma1)
    hence x1 \neq x
        using wfG-cons-fresh2[of \Theta \mathcal{B} x1 b1 c1 \Gamma 1 x b]
        using GCons.prems(2) GCons.prems(3) append-g.simps(2) by auto
   moreover hence *: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma 1 \otimes (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G) using GCons \ append-g.simps \ wfG-elims by
    moreover hence replace-in-g (\Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c') \#_{\Gamma} G) x c = \Gamma 1 @ (x, b, c) \#_{\Gamma} G using GCons
replace-in-g-inside[OF*, of c] by auto
    ultimately show ?case using replace-in-g.simps(2)[of x1 b1 c1 \Gamma1 @ (x, b, c') #_{\Gamma} G x c] GCons
        by (simp\ add:\ GCons.prems(1)\ GCons.prems(2))
qed
lemma replace-in-g-subtyped-split0:
    fixes G::\Gamma
    assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'[(x,c)] \Gamma and \Gamma' = G'@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}G and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'
```

```
shows \Gamma = G'@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}G
proof -
  have \Gamma = replace-in-g \ \Gamma' \ x \ c \ using \ assms \ replace-in-g-subtyped.simps
    by (metis Pair-inject list.distinct(1) list.inject)
  thus ?thesis using assms replace-in-g-split by blast
qed
lemma replace-in-g-subtyped-split:
  assumes Some (b, c') = lookup \ G \ x \ and \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ replace-in-g \ G \ x \ c \models c' \ and \ wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G
  shows \exists \Gamma \Gamma'. G = \Gamma'@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c'
proof -
  obtain \Gamma and \Gamma' where G = \Gamma'@(x,b,c')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma using assms lookup-split by blast
  moreover hence replace-in-g G x c = \Gamma'@(x,b,c)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma using replace-in-g-split assms by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by (metis assms(2))
qed
13.2
              Validity and Subtyping
lemma wfC-replace-in-g:
  fixes c::c and c\theta::c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x,b,TRUE)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c\theta
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c
using wf-replace-inside1(2) assms by auto
lemma ctx-subtype-valid:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c and
           \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c
proof(rule\ validI)
  show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c \text{ proof } -
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c using valid.simps assms by auto
    moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x,b,TRUE)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash_{wf} c\theta proof –
      have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using assms valid.simps wfC-wf by auto
      hence wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wfG-suffix by auto
      thus ?thesis using wfG-wfC by auto
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms wfC-replace-in-g by auto
  show \forall i. wfI \Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \longrightarrow is-satis i c
\mathbf{proof}(rule, rule)
    assume * : wfI \Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
     hence is-satis-g i (\Gamma'@(x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \wedge wfI \Theta (\Gamma'@(x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i using is-satis-g-append
wfI-suffix by metis
    moreover hence is-satis i c0' using valid.simps assms by presburger
    moreover have is-satis-g i \Gamma' using is-satis-g-append * by simp
    ultimately have is-satis-q i (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using is-satis-q-append by simp
    moreover have wfI \Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c0') #_{\Gamma} \Gamma) i using wfI-def wfI-suffix * wfI-def wfI-replace-inside
```

```
by metis
     ultimately show is-satis i c using assms valid.simps by metis
  qed
qed
lemma ctx-subtype-subtype:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow G = \Gamma'@(x,b0,c0')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b0,c0)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c0' \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B};
\Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
proof(nominal-induct avoiding: c0 rule: subtype.strong-induct)
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{subtype-baseI} \ x' \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ z \ c \ z' \ c' \ b)
  let ?\Gamma c\theta = \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma
  have wb1: wfG \Theta B ? \Gamma c\theta using valid.simps wfC-wf subtype-baseI by metis
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using wfT-replace-inside2[OF - wb1]
subtype-baseI by metis
     \mathbf{show} \land \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma' @ (x, \ b0, \ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \quad \vdash_{wf} \ \{\!\!\{\ z': b \mid c'\ \!\!\} \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \quad wfT\text{-}replace\text{-}inside2[OF - wb1]
subtype-baseI by metis
      have atom x' \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using fresh-prodN subtype-baseI fresh-replace-inside wb1
subtype\text{-}wf \ wf X\text{-}wf Y \ \mathbf{by} \ met is
     thus \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b\theta, \ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma, \ z, \ c \ , \ z' \ , \ c' \ \rangle \rangle using subtype-baseI\ fresh-prodN
by metis
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x', b, c[z:=V-var x']_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z':=V-var x']_v proof (rule)
ctx-subtype-valid)
       show 1: \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x', b, c[z::=V-var x']_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b0, c0') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z'::=V-var x']_v \rangle
          using subtype-baseI append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
     \mathbf{have} *: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b, c[z ::= V - var x']_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \mathbf{proof}(rule \ wfG - replace - inside2)
          show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} ((x', b, c[z:=V\text{-}var\ x']_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
             \mathbf{using} * \mathit{valid-wf-all} \; \mathit{wfC-wf} \; \mathit{1} \; \mathit{append-g.simps} \; \mathbf{by} \; \mathit{metis}
           show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using wfG-suffix wb1 by auto
         qed
        moreover have toSet (\Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subset toSet (((x', b, c[z:=V-var x']_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z:=V-var x']_v)
b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using to Set. simps append-g. simps by auto
        ultimately show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x', b, c[z:=V-var\ x']_v)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma')\ @\ (x, b\theta, c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma\ \models c\theta' \rangle using
valid-weakening subtype-baseI * \mathbf{by} \ blast
     qed
     thus \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x', b, c[z::=V-var x']_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z'::=V-var x']_v \rangle using
append-g.simps subst-defs by simp
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma ctx-subtype-subtype-rig:
  assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x,c\theta)] \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash t1 \leq t2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
proof -
  have wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' using subtype-g-wf assms by auto
  obtain b and c\theta' where Some\ (b, c\theta') = lookup\ \Gamma'\ x \wedge (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; replace-in-g\ \Gamma'\ x\ c\theta \models c\theta') using
      replace-in-g-subtyped.simps[of \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' [(x, c\theta)] \ \Gamma] assms(1)
```

by (metis fst-conv list.inject list.set-intros(1) list.simps(15) not-Cons-self2 old.prod.exhaust prod.inject

```
set-ConsD surj-pair)
  moreover then obtain G and G' where *: \Gamma' = G'@(x,b,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}G \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}G \models
    using replace-in-g-subtyped-split[of b c\theta' \Gamma' x \Theta \mathcal{B} c\theta] wf by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using ctx-subtype-subtype
    assms(1) \ assms(2) \ replace-in-g-subtyped-split0 \ subtype-g-wf
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) local.wf replace-in-g-split)
qed
We now prove versions of the ctx-subtype lemmas above using replace-in-g. First we do case
where the replace is just for a single variable (indicated by suffix rig) and then the general case
for multiple replacements (indicated by suffix rigs)
{f lemma} ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs:
  assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash t1 \leq t2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
using assms proof(induct xcs arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma')
  case Nil
  moreover have \Gamma' = \Gamma using replace-in-g-subtyped-nill
    using calculation(1) by blast
  ultimately show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a xcs)
 then obtain x and c where a=(x,c) by fastforce
  then obtain b and c' where bc: Some (b, c') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge lookup \Gamma' x
         replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \land
         x \notin fst \text{ 'set } xcs \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \models c' \text{ using } replace-in-g-subtyped-elims(3)[of \Theta]
\mathcal{B} \Gamma' x c x c s \Gamma Cons
    by (metis valid.simps)
  hence *: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x,c)] (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI
    by (meson\ image-iff\ list.distinct(1)\ list.set-cases\ replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI)
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \vdash t1 \lesssim t2
    using ctx-subtype-subtype-rig * assms Cons.prems(2) by auto
  moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma using Cons
    using bc by blast
  ultimately show ?case using Cons by blast
qed
lemma replace-in-g-inside-valid:
 assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ [(x,c\theta)] \ \Gamma \ \text{and} \ wfG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'
 shows \exists b \ c\theta' \ G \ G'. \ \Gamma' = G' \ @ \ (x,b,c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} G \ \land \ \Gamma = G' \ @ \ (x,b,c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G \ \land \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ G' @ \ (x,b,c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G 
\models c\theta'
 proof -
 obtain b and c\theta' where bc: Some (b, c\theta') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; replace-in-g \Gamma' x c\theta \models c\theta' using
     replace-in-g-subtyped.simps[of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x, c\theta)] \Gamma] assms(1)
  by (metis fst-conv list.inject list.set-intros(1) list.simps(15) not-Cons-self2 old.prod.exhaust prod.inject
```

```
set-ConsD surj-pair)
  then obtain G and G' where *: \Gamma' = G'@(x,b,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}G \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}G \models c\theta' using
replace-in-g-subtyped-split[of b c\theta' \Gamma' x \Theta \mathcal{B} c\theta] assms
  thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-inside bc
    using assms(1) assms(2) by blast
qed
lemma replace-in-g-valid:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash G \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto G' and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \models c
  shows \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \models c \rangle
using assms proof(induct rule: replace-in-g-subtyped.inducts)
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI \Theta \mathcal{B} G)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (replace-in-g-subtyped-consI b c1 G x \Theta B c2 xcs G')
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G[x \mapsto c2] \models c
    by (metis ctx-subtype-valid replace-in-g-split replace-in-g-subtyped-split valid-g-wf)
  then show ?case using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI by auto
qed
13.3
             Literals
             Values
13.4
lemma lookup-inside-unique-b[simp]:
  assumes \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) and \Theta; B \vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
  and Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @\ (x,b\theta,c\theta') \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\ y and Some\ (b\theta,c\theta) = lookup\ (\Gamma' @\ ((x,b\theta,c\theta)) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)
x and x=y
  shows b = b\theta
  by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(5) lookup-inside-wf old.prod.exhaust option.inject prod.inject)
thm infer-v-form2
lemma ctx-subtype-v-aux:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1
using assms proof(nominal-induct \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) v t1 avoiding: c0 rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} b c xa z)
  have wf: \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using wfG-inside-valid2 infer-v-varI by metis
  have xf1:(atom\ z\ \sharp\ xa) using infer-v-varI by metis
  have xf2: (atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)) apply( fresh\text{-}mth\ add:\ infer-v-varI\ )
    using fresh-def infer-v-varI wfG-supp fresh-append-q fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by metis+
  show ?case proof (cases x=xa)
    case True
    moreover have b = b\theta using infer-v-varI True by simp
   moreover hence \langle Some\ (b,c\theta) = lookup\ (\Gamma'\ @\ (x,b\theta,c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\ xa\rangle using lookup\text{-}inside\text{-}wf\ |OF|
wf | infer-v-varI True by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using wf xf1 xf2 Typing.infer-v-varI by metis
  next
```

 ${\bf case}\ \mathit{False}$ 

```
moreover hence \langle Some\ (b,\ c) = lookup\ (\Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\ xa\rangle using
                                                                                                                                    lookup-inside2
infer-v-varI by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using wf xf1 xf2 Typing.infer-v-varI by simp
  qed
next
  case (infer-v-lit I \ominus B \mid \tau)
  thus ?case using Typing.infer-v-lit1 wfG-inside-valid2 by simp
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} t1' t2' c0)
  show ?case proof
    show atom z \sharp (v1, v2) using infer-v-pairI fresh-Pair by simp
    show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) apply (fresh-mth add: infer-v-pairI)
    using fresh-def infer-v-pairI wfG-supp fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by metis+
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow t1' using infer-v-pair by simp
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow t2' using infer-v-pair I by simp
  qed
next
  case (infer-v-consI s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} v tv z)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist \in set\ \Theta \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    show \langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv \leq tc \rangle using infer-v-consI ctx-subtype-subtype by auto
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ v \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    show (atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)) apply (fresh-mth\ add:\ infer-v-consI\ )
       using fresh-def infer-v-consI wfG-supp fresh-append-q fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by metis+
  qed
next
  case (infer-v-conspI s bv dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} v tv b z)
  show ?case proof
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly \ s \ bv \ dclist \in set \ \Theta \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ infer\text{-}v\text{-}conspI \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    show (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \  using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow tv \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash tv \lesssim tc[bv:=b]_{\tau b} \rangle using infer-v-conspI ctx-subtype-subtype
by auto
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b\theta, \ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma, \ v, \ b \rangle \rangle apply (fresh-mth \ add: infer-v-conspI)
       using fresh-def infer-v-conspI wfG-supp fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by metis+
    \mathbf{show} \ (\textit{atom} \ \textit{bv} \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ \textit{b0}, \ \textit{c0}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma, \ \textit{v}, \ \textit{b}) ) \ \mathbf{apply} ( \ \textit{fresh-mth} \ \textit{add:} \ \ \textit{infer-v-conspI} \ )
       using fresh-def infer-v-conspI wfG-supp fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prodN by metis+
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} b \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
  qed
qed
lemma ctx-subtype-v:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
  shows \exists t2. \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1
proof -
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 using ctx-subtype-v-aux assms by auto
  moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash t1 \lesssim t1 using subtype-refl2 infer-v-wf by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
```

```
lemma ctx-subtype-v-eq:
  fixes v::v
  assumes
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 and
              \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
          shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1
proof -
  obtain t1' where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)\vdash v\Rightarrow t1' using ctx-subtype-v assms by metis
 moreover have replace-in-g (\Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) \times c\theta = \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using replace-in-g-inside
infer-v-wf assms by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using infer-v-uniqueness-rig assms by metis
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ctx}\text{-}\mathit{subtype\text{-}check\text{-}v\text{-}eq}\text{:}
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Leftarrow t1 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Leftarrow t1
proof -
  obtain t2 where t2:\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash t2 \lesssim t1
     using check-v-elims assms by blast
  hence t3: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t2
     using assms ctx-subtype-v-eq by blast
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v \Rightarrow t\mathcal{D} using t\mathcal{D} by auto
  moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash t\mathcal{Z} \lesssim t1 proof –
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash t\mathcal{2} \lesssim t\mathcal{1} using t\mathcal{2} by auto
     thus ?thesis using subtype-trans
       using assms(2) ctx-subtype-subtype by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using check-v.intros by presburger
Basically the same as ctx-subtype-v-eq but in a different form
lemma ctx-subtype-v-riq-eq:
  fixes v::v
  assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x,c\theta)] \Gamma and
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t1
          shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1
proof -
  obtain b and c\theta' and G and G' where \Gamma' = G' @ (x,b,c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G' @ (x,b,c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta;
\mathcal{B}; G'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}G \models c\theta'
     using assms replace-in-g-inside-valid infer-v-wf by metis
  thus ?thesis using ctx-subtype-v-eq[of \Theta \mathcal{B} G' x b c \theta' G v t 1 c \theta] assms by simp
qed
lemma ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq:
  fixes v::v
```

```
assumes replace-in-q-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t1
         shows \Theta: \mathcal{B}: \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1
using assms proof(induct xcs arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma' t1)
case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a xcs)
  then obtain x and c where a=(x,c) by fastforce
  then obtain b and c' where bc: Some (b, c') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge lookup \Gamma' x
          replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \land
          x \notin fst \text{ 'set } xcs \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \models c'
    using replace-in-g-subtyped-elims(3)[of \Theta B \Gamma' x c x cs \Gamma] Cons by (metis valid.simps)
  hence *: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x,c)] (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI
    by (meson\ image-iff\ list.distinct(1)\ list.set-cases\ replace-in-g-subtyped-nilI)
  hence t2:\Theta;\mathcal{B}; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 using ctx-subtype-v-rig-eq[OF * Cons(3)] by blast
  moreover have **: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma using bc by auto
  ultimately have t2': \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 \text{ using } Cons \text{ by } blast
  thus ?case by blast
ged
lemma ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq:
  assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma and
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Leftarrow t1
         shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow t1
proof -
  obtain t2 where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash v \Rightarrow t2 \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash t2 \lesssim t1 using check-v-elims assms by fast
  \mathbf{hence}\ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\ \vdash v\ \Rightarrow\ t2\ \land\ \ \Theta;\ \mathcal{B};\ \Gamma\vdash t2\ \lesssim\ t1\ \ \mathbf{using}\ \ ctx\text{-}subtype\text{-}v\text{-}rigs\text{-}eq\ ctx\text{-}subtype\text{-}subtype\text{-}rigs
    using assms(1) by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using check-v-subtype by blast
qed
               Expressions
13.5
lemma valid-wfC:
  fixes c\theta::c
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\theta, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c\theta
  using wfG-elim2 valid.simps wfG-suffix
  using assms valid-g-wf by metis
lemma ctx-subtype-e-eq:
  fixes G::\Gamma
  assumes
            \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : G : \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1 \text{ and } G = \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta')\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma \models c\theta'
         shows \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma'@((x,b\theta,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) : \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1
using assms proof(nominal-induct t1 avoiding: c0 rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
```

```
case (infer-e-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau)
    show ?case proof
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-valI by
        show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-valI by auto
        show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle using infer-e-vall ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
   qed
next
    case (infer-e-plus I \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   show ?case proof
         show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-plusI
        show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-plus by auto
      show *:\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@(x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}int \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-e-plusI ctx-subtype-v-eq
      \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b\theta, \ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ v2 \Rightarrow \{ \ z2 : B\text{-}int \ \mid \ c2 \ \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ infer-e-plus} I \ ctx\text{-}subtype-v-eq
        show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}plusI by auto
                            \langle atom\ z3\ \sharp\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma \rangle\ using * infer-e-plusI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf by
metis
   qed
next
    case (infer-e-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
        show ?case proof
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-leqI by
auto
        show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-leq  by auto
      show *:(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B \text{-}int \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-e-leq1 ctx-subtype-v-eq
       \mathbf{show} \ \ (\Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b\theta, \ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{\!\!\{\ z2 : B\text{-}int \ \mid \ c2 \ \!\!\} \} \ \mathbf{using} \ infer-e-leqI \ ctx-subtype-v-equation of the subtype-v-equation of the subty
by auto
        show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}leqI by auto
                           \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b0,\ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle \  using * infer-e-leqI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf by
metis
    qed
next
    case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 bb c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
        show ?case proof
       show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-eqI by
        show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-eqI by auto
        show *:\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : bb \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-e-eqI ctx-subtype-v-eq
by auto
        show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : bb \mid c2 \} \} using infer-e-eqI ctx-subtype-v-eq
        show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}eqI by auto
         show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b0,\ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle using * infer-e-eqI fresh-replace-inside infer-v-wf by
        show bb \in \{B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit\} using infer\text{-}e\text{-}eqI by auto
    qed
\mathbf{next}
    case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi f x' b c \tau' s' v \tau)
```

```
show ?case proof
     show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta) using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-appI
by auto
     show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-appI by auto
     show \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ-none \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ \ x' \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle using
infer-e-appI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \} \rangle using infer-e-appI ctx-subtype-check-v-eq
by auto
     thus \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b\theta, \ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ v, \ \tau) \rangle
        using infer-e-appI fresh-replace-inside[of \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' x b0 c0' \Gamma c0 x'] infer-v-wf by auto
     show \langle \tau'[x'::=v]_v = \tau \rangle using infer-e-appI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-appPI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma1 \Delta \Phi b' f bv x1 b c \tau' s' v \tau)
  show ?case proof
     \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta; \ \mathcal{B}; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b0, \ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wf-replace-inside2(6)} \ \textit{valid-wfC infer-e-appPI}
     \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \ \vdash_{wf} \ \Phi \ \land \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{infer-e-appPI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using infer-e-appPI by auto
    show \langle Some\ (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x1\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'))) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f\rangle using
infer-e-appPI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x1 : b[bv := b']_b \mid c[bv := b']_b \}  using infer-e-appPI
ctx-subtype-check-v-eq subst-defs by auto
     thus (atom \ x1 \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b0, \ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) using fresh-replace-inside[of \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ x1]
infer-v-wf infer-e-appPI by auto
     show \langle \tau'' | bv := b' |_b [x1 := v]_v = \tau \rangle using infer-e-appPI by auto
     have atom bv \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using infer-e-appPI by metis
     hence atom bv \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
       unfolding fresh-append-g fresh-GCons fresh-prod3 using \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ c\theta \rangle fresh-append-g by metis
     thus \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ b',\ v,\ \tau) \rangle using infer-e-appPI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-e-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-fstI by
     show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-fstI by auto
   \mathbf{show} \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \circledcirc (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \mid c \} \} \mathbf{using}\ infer\text{-}e\text{-}fstI\ ctx\text{-}subtype\text{-}v\text{-}eq
     thus \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle using infer-e-fstI fresh-replace-inside [of \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b\theta \ c\theta' \ \Gamma
c\theta z infer-v-wf by auto
     show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}fst \ v \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}fstI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z)
  show ?case proof
     show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta) using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-sndI
by auto
     show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-sndI by auto
       show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b0, c0) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \} \rangle using infer-e-sndI
ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
     thus \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle using infer-e-sndI fresh-replace-inside [of \Theta \ B \ \Gamma' \ x \ b\theta \ c\theta' \ \Gamma
```

```
c0 \ z infer-v-wf by auto
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}snd \ v \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}sndI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-lenI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ c \ z)
  show ?case proof
    show (\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta) using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-lenI by
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-lenI by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c \} \rangle using infer-e-lenI ctx-subtype-v-eq
by auto
    thus (atom\ z\ \sharp\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) using infer-e-lenI fresh-replace-inside [of \Theta\ B\ \Gamma'\ x\ b\theta\ c\theta'\ \Gamma
c0 \ z infer-v-wf by auto
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}len \ v \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}lenI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Phi \ \Delta \ u \ \tau)
  show ?case proof
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-mvarI
by auto
    thus \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using infer-e-mvarI fresh-replace-inside wfD-wf by blast
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-mvarI by auto
    show (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta  using infer-e-mvarI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  show ?case proof
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-concatI
by auto
     thus \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x,\ b0,\ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle using infer-e-concat fresh-replace-inside of \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0
c\theta' \Gamma c\theta z\beta] infer-v-wf wfX-wfY by metis
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-concat by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-e-concatI
ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c2 \} \rangle using infer-e-concatI
ctx-subtype-v-eq by auto
    show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}concat \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}concatI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-splitI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
  show ?case proof
    show *:\langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using wf-replace-inside2(6) valid-wfC infer-e-split1
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-split by auto
   show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @ (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1 \} \rangle using infer-e-split1 ctx-subtype-v-eq
by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' @
                    (x, b\theta, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma}
                  using infer-e-split ctx-subtype-check-v-eq by auto
```

```
show (atom\ z1\ \sharp\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b0,\ c0)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) using fresh-replace-inside[of\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma'\ x\ b0\ c0'\ \Gamma\ c0\ z1]
infer-e-split I infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * by met is
           \mathbf{show} \ \ \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b0, \ c0) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \ \mathit{fresh-replace-inside} [\mathit{of} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma' \ x \ b0 \ c0' \ \Gamma \ c0 \ ]
infer-e-splitI infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * \mathbf{by} metis
           show \langle atom\ z3\ \sharp\ \Gamma'\ @\ (x,\ b\theta,\ c\theta)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma \rangle using fresh-replace-inside[of \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ \Gamma'\ x\ b\theta\ c\theta'\ \Gamma\ c\theta]
infer-e-split I infer-v-wf wfX-wfY * by met is
         show \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}splitI by auto
         show \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}splitI by auto
         show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ v1 \ v2 \rangle using infer\text{-}e\text{-}splitI by auto
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{ctx}\text{-}\mathit{subtype}\text{-}\mathit{e}\text{-}\mathit{rig}\text{-}\mathit{eq}\text{:}
    assumes replace-in-q-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x,c\theta)] \Gamma and
                        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1
                   shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1
    obtain b and c\theta' and G and G' where \Gamma' = G' \otimes (x,b,c\theta') \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Gamma = G' \otimes (x,b,c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G \wedge \Theta;
\mathcal{B}; G'@(x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}G \models c\theta'
         using assms replace-in-g-inside-valid infer-e-wf by meson
     thus ?thesis
         using assms ctx-subtype-e-eq by presburger
qed
lemma ctx-subtype-e-rigs-eq:
    assumes replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' xcs \Gamma and
                        \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1
                   shows \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma : \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1
using assms proof(induct xcs arbitrary: \Gamma \Gamma' t1)
     case Nil
     moreover have \Gamma' = \Gamma using replace-in-g-subtyped-nill
         using calculation(1) by blast
     moreover have \Theta;\mathcal{B};\Gamma \vdash t1 \lesssim t1 using subtype-refl12 Nil infer-e-t-wf by blast
     ultimately show ?case by blast
next
     case (Cons a xcs)
     then obtain x and c where a=(x,c) by fastforce
     then obtain b and c' where bc: Some (b, c') = lookup \Gamma' x \wedge lookup \Gamma' 
                      replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \vdash_{wf} c \wedge
                       x \notin fst \text{ 'set } xcs \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) \models c' \text{ using } replace-in-g-subtyped-elims(3)[of
\Theta~\mathcal{B}~\Gamma'~x~c~\mathit{xcs}~\Gamma]~\mathit{Cons}
         by (metis valid.simps)
    hence *: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma' [(x,c)] (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI
         by (meson image-iff list.distinct(1) list.set-cases replace-in-g-subtyped-nill)
    hence t2: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1 \text{ using } ctx-subtype-e-rig-eq[OF * Cons(3)]
     moreover have **: replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g \Gamma' x c) xcs \Gamma using bc by auto
     ultimately have t2': \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow t1 using Cons by blast
     thus ?case by blast
```

### 13.6 Statements

```
lemma ctx-subtype-s-rigs:
  fixes c0::c and s::s and G'::\Gamma and xcs::(x*c) list and css::branch-list
          check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta s t1 \Longrightarrow wsX G xcs \Longrightarrow replace-in-q-subtyped <math>\Theta \mathcal{B} G xcs G' \Longrightarrow check-s
\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \ G' \Delta \ s \ t1 and
            check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal B \mathcal G \Delta tid cons const v cs t1 \Longrightarrow wsX \mathcal G xcs \Longrightarrow replace-in-g-subtyped
\Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ G \ xcs \ G' \implies check\text{-branch-s} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \ \Delta \ tid \ cons \ const \ v \ cs \ t1
           check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid delist v css t1 \implies wsX G xcs \implies replace-in-q-subtyped \Theta
\mathcal{B} \ G \ xcs \ G' \implies check\text{-branch-list} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ G' \ \Delta \ tid \ dclist \ v \ css \ t1
\mathbf{proof}(induction \ arbitrary: \ xcs \ G' \ \mathbf{and} \ xcs \ G' \ rule: \ check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)
  case (check-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)
  hence *:\Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau using ctx-subtype-v-rigs-eq ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs
    by (meson check-v.simps)
  show ?case proof
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-valI wfD-rig by auto
     show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using check-valI by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \rangle using * by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau \rangle using * by auto
   qed
 next
   case (check-let I \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z' s b' c')
   thm replace-in-g-wfG
  show ?case proof
      have wfG: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \wedge \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' using infer\text{-}e\text{-}wf check-let I replace-in-g-wfG
                                                                                                                                         using
infer-e-wf(2) by (auto simp \ add: freshers)
    hence atom x \sharp G' using check-letI replace-in-g-fresh replace-in-g-wfG by auto
    thus atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, e, \tau) using check-let by auto
    have atom z' \sharp G' apply(rule replace-in-g-fresh[OF check-letI(\gamma)])
       using replace-in-g-wfG check-letI fresh-prodN infer-e-wf by metis+
    thus atom z' \sharp (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, e, \tau, s) using check-letI fresh-prodN by metis
    show \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal{G}' ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z' : b' \mid c' \} 
       using check-letI ctx-subtype-e-rigs-eq by blast
    show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b', c'[z'::=V-var x]_v) #_{\Gamma} G'; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
    proof(rule \ check-let I(5))
       have vld: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b', c'[z'::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c'[z'::=V-var x]_{cv} \operatorname{proof} -
         have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B}((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using check-letI check-s-wf by metis
         hence wfC \ominus \mathcal{B}((x, b', c'[z':=V-var \ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)(c'[z':=V-var \ x]_{cv}) using wfC-refl subst-defs
by auto
         thus ?thesis using valid-reflI[of \Theta \mathcal{B} x b' c'[z'::=V-var x]_v \Gamma c'[z'::=V-var x]_v] subst-defs by
auto
       qed
       have xf: x \notin fst 'set xcs proof -
        have atom 'fst 'set xcs \subseteq atom\text{-}dom \ \Gamma using check\text{-}letI \ wsX\text{-}iff by meson
         moreover have wfG \Theta B \Gamma using infer-e-wf check-let by metis
         ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def check-letI wfG-dom-supp
           using wsX-fresh by auto
```

```
qed
                 show replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c'[z':=V-var\ x]_v) \# xcs)
((x, b', c'[z'::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G') proof -
                    have Some (b', c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = lookup\ ((x, b', c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)\ x by auto
                        moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; replace-in-g ((x, b', c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \models
c'[z'::=V-var x]_v proof -
                      have replace-in-g ((x, b', c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[x':=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[x':=V
x|_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
                               using replace-in-g.simps by presburger
                          thus ?thesis using vld subst-defs by auto
                         moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g ((x, b', c'[z'::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x
(c'[z':=V-var \ x]_v)) \ xcs \ (((x, b', c'[z':=V-var \ x]_v) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ G')) \ \mathbf{proof} \ -
                          have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ( ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) using check-letI check-s-wf by metis
                        hence replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} ( ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var\ x]_v)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)) xcs ( ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var\ x]_v)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma))
x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} G')
                               using check-letI replace-in-g-subtyped-cons xf by meson
                        moreover have replace-in-g ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = (((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \pi (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \pi (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \pi (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \pi (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) = ((x, b', c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \pi (c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \pi (c'[z':=V
c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
                               using replace-in-g.simps by presburger
                          ultimately show ?thesis by argo
                          moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b', c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_v using vld
subst-defs by auto
                    ultimately show ?thesis using replace-in-g-subtyped-consI xf replace-in-g.simps(2) by metis
               qed
               show wsX ((x, b', c'[z'::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ((x, c'[z'::=V-var x]_v) \# xcs)
                    using check-let I xf subst-defs by (simp add: wsX-cons)
          qed
     qed
next
     case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v \ cs \ \tau \ css)
     then show ?case using Typing.check-branch-list-consI by auto
     case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v \ cs \ \tau)
     then show ?case using Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by auto
next
       case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
    have wfcons: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b-of const, CE-val v == CE-val (V-cons tid cons (V-var x)) AND c-of
const\ x)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma) using check-s-wf check-branch-s-branchI
          by meson
     hence wf: wfG \Theta B \Gamma using wfG-cons by metis
    moreover have atom x \sharp (const, G', v) proof –
          have atom x \sharp G' using check-branch-s-branchI wf replace-in-g-fresh
                   wfG-dom-supp replace-in-g-wfG by simp
```

```
moreover have st: \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons} (V\text{-var } x))
AND c-of const x) \#_{\Gamma} G'; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \operatorname{proof} -
       have wsX((x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val}| v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons}(V\text{-var} x)) AND c\text{-of const} x)
\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) xcs using check-branch-s-branchI wsX-cons2 wsX-fresh wf by force
       moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b-of const, CE-val v = CE-val (V-constid const
(V\text{-}var\ x)) AND c-of const x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) xcs ((x, b\text{-}of\ const,\ CE\text{-}val\ v) == CE-val(V\text{-}cons\ tid\ cons)
(V\text{-}var\ x))\ AND\ c\text{-}of\ const\ x)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ G'
          using replace-in-q-subtyped-cons wsX-fresh wf check-branch-s-branchI wfcons by auto
       thus ?thesis using check-branch-s-branchI calculation by meson
   qed
 moreover have wft: wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} G' \tau using
         check-branch-s-branchI ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs subtype-reflI2 subtype-wf by metis
   moreover have wfD \Theta B G' \Delta using check-branch-s-branchI wfD-rig by presburger
   ultimately show ?case using
       Typing.check-branch-s-branchI
       using check-branch-s-branchI.hyps by simp
next
     case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
    hence wf:wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma using check-s-wf by presburger
    show ?case proof(rule check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.check-if1)
          show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \mathcal{B},\ G',\ \Delta,\ v,\ s1,\ s2,\ \tau)\rangle using fresh-prodN replace-in-q-fresh1 wf check-ifI
by auto
          show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G}' \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} \rangle using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-ifI by
presburger
        \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; G'; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \{ z: b\text{-of } \tau \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } L\text{-true}) \ IMP \ c\text{-of } \}
\tau z \geqslant using check-ifI by auto
        \mathbf{show} \ (\ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ B \ ; \ G' \ ; \ \Delta \ \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \{ \ z : b \text{-} of \ \tau \ \mid \ CE \text{-} val \ v \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ v \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ v \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ v \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ v \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ v \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ (V \text{-} lit \ L \text{-} false) \ V \ == \ CE \text{-} val \ V \ == \ CE \text{
\tau z \geqslant using check-ifI by auto
     qed
 next
 case (check-let2I x P \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
   show ?case proof
       have wfG P B G using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis
       show *: P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G' ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t \text{ using } check-let2I \text{ by } blast
       show atom x \sharp (P, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G', \Delta, t, s1, \tau) proof –
          have wfG P B G' using check-s-wf * by blast
          hence atom-dom G = atom-dom G' using check-let2I rigs-atom-dom-eq by presburger
          moreover have atom x \sharp G using check-let2I by auto
          moreover have wfG P B G using check-s-wf * replace-in-q-wfG check-let2I by simp
          ultimately have atom x \sharp G' using wfG-dom-supp fresh-def \langle wfG \ P \ B \ G' \rangle by metis
          thus ?thesis using check-let2I by auto
       show P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \text{ proof } -
           have wsX ((x, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x) \#_{\Gamma} G) xcs using check\text{-}let2I\ wsX\text{-}cons2 wsX\text{-}fresh\ (wfG\ P\ \mathcal{B}\ G)
by simp
           moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped P \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G) xcs ((x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x)
```

thus ?thesis using check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN by simp

qed

 $\#_{\Gamma}$  G') **proof**(rule replace-in-g-subtyped-cons)

```
show replace-in-g-subtyped P \mathcal{B} G xcs G' using check-let2I by auto
            have atom x \sharp G using check-let2I by auto
            moreover have wfT P B G t using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis
           moreover have atom x \sharp t using check-let2I check-s-wf wfT-supp by auto
            ultimately show wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) \#_{\Gamma} G) using wfT-wf-cons b-of-c-of-eq[of x t]
by auto
           show x \notin fst 'set xcs using check-let2I wsX-fresh (wfG P \mathcal{B} G) by simp
         ultimately show ?thesis using check-let2I by presburger
      qed
   qed
next
   case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
  show ?case proof
      have atom u \sharp G' unfolding fresh-def
         apply(rule\ u-not-in-g\ ,\ rule\ replace-in-g-wfG)
         using check-v-wf check-varI by simp+
      thus \langle atom \ u \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \mathcal{B}, \ G', \ \Delta, \ \tau', \ v, \ \tau) \rangle unfolding fresh-prodN using check-varI by simp
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-varI by auto
      show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal{G}' ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using check-varI by auto
   ged
next
   case (check-assign P \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta u \tau v z \tau')
   show ?case proof
      show \langle P \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using check-assignI by auto
      show \langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-assign wfD-rig by auto
      show \langle (u, \tau) \in setD \ \Delta \rangle using check-assignI by auto
      show \langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq check-assign by auto
      show \langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; G' \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau' \rangle using ctx-subtype-subtype-rigs check-assign by
auto
   qed
next
   case (check-while I \Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau')
  then show ?case using Typing.check-whileI
      by (meson\ ctx\text{-}subtype\text{-}subtype\text{-}rigs)
next
   case (check-seqI \triangle G P s1 z s2 \tau)
   then show ?case
      using check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.check-seqI by blast
   case (check-caseI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v \ cs \ \tau \ z)
  show ?case proof
      show \Theta; \Phi; B; B'; B'
      show AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \ \Theta using check-caseI by auto
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G}' \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \}  using check-caseI ctx-subtype-check-v-rigs-eq by
      show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using check-case I by auto
   qed
next
   case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
```

```
show ?case proof
       have wfG: \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma \land \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} G' using check-s-wf check-assertI replace-in-g-wfG wfX-wfY by
metis
        hence atom x \sharp G' using check-assertI replace-in-g-fresh replace-in-g-wfG by auto
        thus \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ B, \ G', \ \Delta, \ c, \ \tau, \ s) \rangle using check-assertI fresh-prodN by auto
        show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} G' ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle proof(rule check-assertI(5))
           show wsX ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) xcs using check\text{-}assertI \ wsX\text{-}cons3 by simp
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \leadsto (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} G' proof (rule replace-in-g-subtyped-cons)
                show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \mid \Gamma \langle xcs \rangle \rightsquigarrow G' \rangle using check-assertI by auto
               show (\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using check-assertI check-s-wf by metis
                thus \langle x \notin fst \text{ '} set xcs \rangle using check-assert wsX-fresh wfG-elims wfX-wfY by metis
            qed
        qed
        show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \models c \rangle using check-assertI replace-in-g-valid by auto
        show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G' \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-assertI wfD-rig by auto
    qed
qed
lemma replace-in-g-subtyped-empty:
    assumes wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
   shows replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z:=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv})
(x, b, c'[z']) = V - var x_{cv} \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
proof -
     have replace-in-g (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z:=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z':=V-var \ x]_{cv}) = (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z:=V-var \ x]_
c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    using assms proof(induct \ \Gamma' \ rule: \ \Gamma\text{-}induct)
        case GNil
        then show ?case using replace-in-g.simps by auto
   next
        case (GCons \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma1)
        have x \notin fst 'toSet ((x1,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1) using GCons wfG-inside-fresh atom-dom.simps dom.simps
toSet.simps append-g.simps by fast
        hence x1 \neq x using assms wfG-inside-fresh GCons by force
        hence ((x1,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma 1 @ (x,b,c[z:=V-var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma))[x \mapsto c'[z':=V-var\ x]_{cv}] = (x1,b1,c1)
\#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma 1 \otimes (x, b, c'[z'::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
            using replace-in-g.simps GCons wfG-elims append-g.simps by metis
        thus ?case using append-g.simps by simp
    thus ?thesis using replace-in-g-subtyped-nill by presburger
qed
lemma ctx-subtype-s:
    fixes s::s
    assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \text{ and }
                    \Theta;\,\mathcal{B};\,\Gamma \vdash \{\!\mid z':b\mid c'\,\}\!\!\mid \lesssim \{\!\mid z:b\mid c\,\}\!\!\mid \mathbf{and}
                    atom \ x \ \sharp \ (z,\!z',\!c,\!c')
    shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@(x,b,c'[z'::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
   have wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) using check-s-wf assms by meson
```

```
hence *:x \notin fst 'toSet \Gamma' using wfG-inside-fresh by force
  have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x,b,c[z::=V-var \ x]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) using wf \ wfG-suffix by metis
  hence xfg: atom x \sharp \Gamma using wfG-elims by metis
  have x \neq z' using assms fresh-at-base fresh-prod4 by metis
  hence a2: atom x \sharp c' using assms fresh-prod4 by metis
  have atom x \sharp (z', c', z, c, \Gamma) proof –
    have x \neq z using assms using assms fresh-at-base fresh-prod4 by metis
    hence a1: atom x \sharp c using assms subtype-wf subtype-wf assms wfT-fresh-c xfg by meson
    thus ?thesis using a1 a2 \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (z,z',c,c') \rangle fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair xfg by simp
  qed
  hence wc1: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c'[z':=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z:=V-var x]_v
    using subtype-valid assms fresh-prodN by metis
  have vld: \Theta; \mathcal{B} : (\Gamma'@(x, b, c'|z'::=V-var x|_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \models c[z::=V-var x|_{cv} \text{ proof } -
      have toSet ((x, b, c'[z'::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \subseteq toSet (\Gamma'@(x, b, c'[z'::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by auto
      moreover have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@(x, b, c'[z'::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) proof –
        have *:wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma ({| z' : b | c' }) using subtype-wf assms by meson
        moreover have atom x \sharp (c',\Gamma) using xfg a2 by simp
        ultimately have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B}((x, b, c'[z':=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using wfT-wf-cons-flip freshers by
blast
        thus ?thesis using wfG-replace-inside2 check-s-wf assms by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using wc1 valid-weakening subst-defs by metis
  qed
  hence wbc: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c'|z':=V\text{-}var\ x|_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c|z::=V\text{-}var\ x|_{cv} \text{ using } valid.simps \text{ by}
  have wbc1: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c'[z'::=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=V-var \ x]_{cv} using wc1 \ valid.simps
subst-defs by auto
  have wsX \ (\Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) \ [(x,\ c'[z'::=V-var\ x]_{cv})] proof
    show wsX (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z:=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) [] using wsX-NilI by auto
    show atom x \in atom\text{-}dom\ (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by simp
    show x \notin fst 'set [] by auto
  qed
  moreover have replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=V-var\ x]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) [(x,\ c'[z'::=V-var\ x]_{cv})]
(\Gamma'@(x,b,c'|z'::=V-var x|_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) proof
  show Some (b, c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) = lookup (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x using lookup-inside*
by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; replace-in-g (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z::=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \models c[z::=V-var \ x]_{cv})
x|_{cv} using vld replace-in-g-split wf by metis
    show replace-in-g-subtyped \Theta \mathcal{B} (replace-in-g (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) x (c'[z'::=V-var
(x|_{cv}) [] (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c'[z'::=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
      using replace-in-g-subtyped-empty wf by presburger
    show x \notin fst 'set [] by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z::=V-var \ x]_{cv}) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=V-var \ x]_{cv}
    proof(rule wf-weakening)
      show \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, b, c[z::=V\text{-}var \ x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c'[z'::=[x]^{v}]_{cv} \rangle using wfC\text{-}cons\text{-}switch[OF]
wbc1] wf-weakening(6) check-s-wf assms toSet.simps by metis
   \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta; \mathcal{B} \ \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, b, c[z ::= [x \ ]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \lor \ \mathbf{using} \ wfC\text{-}cons\text{-}switch[OF\ wbc1]\ wf\text{-}weakening(6)
check-s-wf assms toSet.simps by metis
      show \langle toSet \ ((x, b, c[z::=V-var \ x]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \subseteq toSet \ (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[ \ x \ ]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \rangle using
```

```
\begin{array}{c} {\bf append\text{-}}g.simps\ toSet.simps\ {\bf by}\ auto\\ {\bf qed}\\ {\bf qed}\\ {\bf ultimately\ show\ ?}thesis\ {\bf using}\ ctx\text{-}subtype\text{-}s\text{-}rigs(1)[OF\ assms(1)]\ {\bf by}\ presburger\\ {\bf qed}\\ {\bf end} \end{array}
```

## Chapter 14

# Immutable Variable Substitution Lemmas

Lemmas that show that types are preserved, in some way, under immutable variable substitution

#### 14.1 Proof Methods

```
 \begin{tabular}{ll} \bf method \it subst-mth = (\it metis \it subst-g-inside \it infer-e-wf \it infer-v-wf \it infer-v-wf) \\ \bf method \it subst-tuple-mth \it uses \it add = ( \\ \it (\it unfold \it fresh-prodN), (\it simp \it add: \it add \it )+, \\ \it (\it rule, metis \it fresh-z-subst-g \it add \it fresh-Pair \it ), \\ \it (\it metis \it fresh-subst-dv \it add \it fresh-Pair \it ) \it ) \\ \end{tabular}
```

#### 14.2 Misc

```
lemma subst-top-eq:
   \{ z : b \mid TRUE \} = \{ z : b \mid TRUE \} [x := v]_{\tau v}
proof -
  \textbf{obtain} \ \ z'\!::\!x \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ c' \ \ \textbf{where} \ \ zeq: \ \{ \ \ z \ : \ b \ \ | \ \ TRUE \ \ \} \ = \ \{ \ \ z' : \ b \ \ | \ \ c' \ \} \ \land \ \ atom \ \ z' \ \sharp \ (x,v) \ \ \textbf{using}
obtain-fresh-z2 b-of.simps by metis
  hence \{z':b\mid TRUE\ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}=\{z':b\mid TRUE\ \} using subst-tv.simps\ subst-cv.simps\ by
  moreover have c' = C-true using \tau eq-iff Abs1-eq-iff (3) c.fresh flip-fresh-fresh by (metis zeq)
  ultimately show ?thesis using zeq by metis
qed
lemma wfD-subst:
  fixes \tau_1::\tau and v::v and \Delta::\Delta and \Theta::\Theta and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 and wfD \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta:=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)) \Delta and b-of \tau_1=b_1
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b_1 using infer-v-v-wf assms by auto
  moreover have (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \text{ using } subst-g-inside
wfD-wf assms by metis
```

```
lemma subst-v-c-of:
   assumes atom\ xa\ \sharp\ (v,x)
   shows c-of\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa=(c-of\ t\ xa)[x::=v]_{cv}
   using assms\ \mathbf{proof}\ (nominal-induct\ t\ avoiding:\ x\ v\ xa\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
   case (T\text{-refined-type}\ z'\ b'\ c')
   then have c-of\ \{\ z':\ b'\ |\ c'\ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa=c-of\ \{\ z':\ b'\ |\ c'[x::=v]_{cv}\ \}\ xa
   using subst-tv.simps\ fresh-Pair\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
   also have ...=c'[x::=v]_{cv}\ [z'::=V-var\ xa]_{cv}\ using\ c-of.simps\ T-refined-type\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
   also have ...=c'\ [z'::=V-var\ xa]_{cv}[x::=v]_{cv}
   using subst-cv-commute-full[of\ z'\ v\ x\ V-var\ xa\ c']\ subst-v-c-def\ T-refined-type\ fresh-Pair\ fresh-at-base
v.fresh\ fresh-x-neq\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
   finally show ?case\ using\ c-of.simps\ T-refined-type\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
   qed
```

ultimately show ?thesis using wf-subst assms by metis

#### 14.3 Context

```
lemma subst-lookup:
 assumes Some\ (b,c) = lookup\ (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c_1)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))\ y and x \neq y and wfG\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c_1)\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
 shows \exists d. Some (b,d) = lookup ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) y
using assms proof(induct \Gamma' rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
 hence Some (b,c) = lookup \Gamma y
                                              by (simp \ add: \ assms(1))
  then show ?case using subst-gv.simps by auto
next
  case (GCons \ x1 \ b1 \ c1 \ \Gamma 1)
  show ?case proof(cases x1 = x)
    case True
    hence atom x \sharp (\Gamma 1 \otimes (x, b_1, c_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using GCons\ wfG\text{-}elims(2)
       append-g.simps by metis
    moreover have atom x \in atom\text{-}dom\ (\Gamma 1 \ @ (x, b_1, c_1) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using forget-subst-qv not-GCons-self2 subst-qv.simps append-q.simps
      by (metis GCons.prems(3) True wfG-cons-fresh2)
  \mathbf{next}
    case False
   hence ((x1,b1,c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (x1,b1,c1[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} using subst-gv.simps by
    then show ?thesis proof(cases x1=y)
    case True
      then show ?thesis using GCons using lookup.simps
     by (metis \ (((x1,\ b1,\ c1)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma 1)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = (x1,\ b1,\ c1[x::=v]_{cv})\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma 1[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) append-g.simps
fst-conv option.inject)
    next
       case False
       then show ?thesis using GCons using lookup.simps
        using \langle ((x1, b1, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1)[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} = (x1, b1, c1[x ::= v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma 1[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} \rangle append-g.simps
\Gamma. distinct \Gamma. inject wfG. simps wfG-elims by metis
```

qed

```
\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{qed} \\ \operatorname{qed} \end{array}
```

### 14.4 Satisfiability

```
lemma is-satis-g-i-upd2:
 assumes eval-v i v s and is-satis ((i ( x \mapsto s))) c0 and atom x \sharp G and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (G3@((x,b,c\theta)#_{\Gamma}G))
and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} G v b and wfI \Theta (G3[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@G) i
  and is-satis-g i (G3[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}@G)
  shows is-satis-g (i (x \mapsto s)) (G3@((x,b,c\theta)\#_{\Gamma}G))
using assms proof(induct G3 rule: \Gamma-induct)
  case GNil
  hence is-satis-g (i(x \mapsto s)) G using is-satis-g-i-upd by auto
  then show ?case using GNil using is-satis-g.simps append-g.simps by metis
next
  case (GCons \ x' \ b' \ c' \ \Gamma')
  hence x \neq x' using wfG-cons-append by metis
 hence is-satis-g i (((x', b', c'[x::=v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G)) using subst-gv.simps GCons by auto
  hence *:is-satis i c'[x::=v]_{cv} \wedge is-satis-g i ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G) using subst-gv.simps by auto
 have is-satis-g (i(x \mapsto s)) ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'@ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G)) proof (subst\ is\text{-satis-g.simps,rule})
    show is-satis (i(x \mapsto s)) c' proof (subst\ subst-c\ -satis\ -full[symmetric])
      show \langle eval\text{-}v \ i \ v \ s \rangle using GCons by auto
      show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')@(x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G \vdash_{wf} c' \rangle using GCons wfC-refl by auto
      show \langle wfI \Theta ((((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}) @ G) i \rangle using GCons by auto
      show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} v : b \rangle using GCons by auto
      show \langle is\text{-}satis\ i\ c'[x::=v]_{cv}\rangle using * by auto
    qed
    show is-satis-g (i(x \mapsto s)) (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G) proof(rule\ GCons(1))
      \mathbf{show} \ \langle \textit{eval-v} \ i \ \textit{v} \ \textit{s} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{GCons} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{auto}
      show \langle is\text{-}satis\ (i(x\mapsto s))\ c\theta\rangle using GCons\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
      show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ G \rangle using GCons by auto
      show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G \rangle using GCons wfG-elims append-g.simps by metis
      show (is-satis-g i (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G)) using * by auto
      show wfI \Theta (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) i using GCons wfI-def subst-g-assoc-cons \langle x \neq x' \rangle by auto
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : b using GCons by auto
    qed
  qed
  moreover have ((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G) = (((x', b', c') \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G)
  ultimately show ?case using GCons by metis
qed
lemma is-satis-eq:
  assumes wfI \Theta G i and wfCE \Theta B G e b
  shows is-satis i (e == e)
proof(rule)
  obtain s where eval-e i e s using eval-e-exist assms by metis
  thus eval-c i (e == e) True using eval-c-eqI by metis
qed
```

#### 14.5 Validity

```
lemma subst-self-valid:
fixes v::v
 assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \} \} and atom z \sharp v
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \models c[z::=v]_{cv}
proof -
  have c = (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) = CE\text{-}val\ v\ ) using infer-v-form2 assms by presburger
  hence c[z::=v]_{cv} = (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)) == CE\text{-}val\ v)[z::=v]_{cv} by auto
  also have ... = (((CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z))[z::=v]_{cev}) == ((CE\text{-}val\ v)[z::=v]_{cev})) by fastforce
  also have ... = ((CE\text{-}val\ v) == ((CE\text{-}val\ v)[z::=v]_{cev})) using subst\text{-}cev.simps\ subst\text{-}vv.simps\ by
presburger
  also have ... = (CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ v\ ) using infer-v-form subst-cev.simps assms forget-subst-vv
by presburger
  finally have *:c[z::=v]_{cv} = (CE\text{-}val\ v\ ==\ CE\text{-}val\ v\ ) by auto
  have **:\Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} CE-val v: b using wfCE-val I assms infer-v-v-wf b-of simps by metis
  show ?thesis proof(rule validI)
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} c[z := v]_{cv} proof –
      have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash_{wf} v : b using infer-v-v-wf assms b-of.simps by metis
      moreover have \Theta \vdash_{wf} ([]::\Phi) \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash_{wf} []_{\Delta} using wfD\text{-}emptyI wfPhi\text{-}emptyI infer-v\text{-}wf
assms by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis using * wfCE-valI wfC-eqI by metis
    show \forall i. \ wfI \ \Theta \ G \ i \ \land \ is\mbox{-satis-} g \ i \ G \longrightarrow is\mbox{-satis} \ i \ c[z::=v]_{cv} \ \mathbf{proof}(rule,rule)
      assume \langle wfI \Theta G i \wedge is\text{-}satis\text{-}g i G \rangle
      thus \langle is\text{-}satis \ i \ c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle using * ** is\text{-}satis\text{-}eq by auto
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma subst-valid-simple:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \{\!\!\{\ z\theta: b \mid c\theta\ \!\!\}\ and
          atom \ z\theta \ \sharp \ c \ \mathbf{and} \ atom \ z\theta \ \sharp \ v
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z0,b,c0)\#_{\Gamma}G \models c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z0]_{cv}
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \models c[z::=v]_{cv}
proof -
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \models c\theta[z\theta:=v]_{cv} using subst-self-valid assms by metis
  moreover have atom z0 \ \sharp \ G using assms valid-wf-all by meson
  moreover have wfV \Theta B G v b using infer-v-v-wf assms b-of.simps by metis
  moreover have (c[z::=V-var\ z0]_{cv})[z0::=v]_{cv} = c[z::=v]_{cv} using subst-v-simple-commute assms
subst-v-c-def by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using valid-trans assms subst-defs by metis
qed
lemma wfI-subst1:
  assumes wfI \Theta (G'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) i and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G) and eval-v i
v \ sv \ and \ wfRCV \ \Theta \ sv \ b
  shows wfI \Theta (G' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G) ( i( x \mapsto sv))
```

```
proof -
    fix xa::x and ba::b and ca::c
    assume as: (xa,ba,ca) \in toSet ((G' @ ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G)))
    then have \exists s. Some \ s = (i(x \mapsto sv)) \ xa \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ s \ ba
    proof(cases x=xa)
      case True
      have Some sv = (i(x \mapsto sv)) \ x \land wfRCV \ \Theta \ sv \ b \ using as assms wfI-def by auto
      moreover have b=ba using assms as True wfG-member-unique by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using True by auto
    next
      case False
      then obtain ca' where (xa, ba, ca') \in toSet (G'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G) using wfG-member-subst2 assms
as by metis
      then obtain s where Some s = i \ xa \land wfRCV \Theta s \ ba using wfl-def assms False by blast
      thus ?thesis using False by auto
    qed
  from this show ?thesis using wfI-def allI by blast
qed
lemma subst-valid:
  fixes v::v and c'::c and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c[z::=v]_{cv} and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b and
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf}\Gamma and atom\ x\ \sharp\ c and atom\ x\ \sharp\ \Gamma and
          \Theta; \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf} (\Gamma'@(x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) and
          \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma'@(x,b,\; c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \; ) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma \; \models \; c' \; (\mathbf{is} \; \; \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B}; \; \; ?G \; \models \; c')
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma \models c'[x::=v]_{cv}
proof -
  have *:wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@(x,b,\ c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) c' using valid.simps assms by metis
  hence wfC \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) (c'[x::=v]_{cv}) using wf-subst(2)[OF *] b-of.simps
subst-q-inside wfC-wf by metis
   moreover have \forall i. \ wfI \ \Theta \ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \ @ \ \Gamma) \ i \ \land \ is\text{-satis-g} \ i \ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \ @ \ \Gamma) \ \longrightarrow \ is\text{-satis} \ i
(c'[x::=v]_{cv})
  proof(rule, rule)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume as: wfI \Theta (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) i \wedge is-satis-g i (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)
    thm valid.simps
    hence wfig: wfI \Theta \Gamma i using wfI-suffix infer-v-wf assms by metis
    then obtain s where s:eval-v i v s and b:wfRCV \Theta s b using eval-v-exist infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps
assms by metis
    thm is-satis-g-i-upd2
    have is1: is-satis-g ( i(x \mapsto s)) (\Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) proof(rule is-satis-g-i-upd2)
      show is-satis (i(x \mapsto s)) (c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) proof -
        have is-satis i (c[z:=v]_{cv})
          using subst-valid-simple assms as valid.simps infer-v-wf assms
           is-satis-g-suffix wfI-suffix by metis
            hence is-satis i ((c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})[x::=v]_{cv}) using assms subst-v-simple-commute [of x c z v]
subst-v-c-def by metis
        moreover have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} using wfC-reft wfG-suffix
```

```
assms by metis
        moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b using assms infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps by metis
         ultimately show ?thesis using subst-c-satis[OF s , of \Theta \mathcal{B} x b c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} \Gamma c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}
wfig by auto
      qed
      show atom x \sharp \Gamma using assms by metis
      show wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using valid-wf-all assms by metis
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b using assms infer-v-v-wf by force
      show i \llbracket v \rrbracket \sim s using s by auto
      show \Theta; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash i using as by auto
      show i \models \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using as by auto
    qed
    hence is-satis ( i(x \mapsto s)) c' proof -
      have wfl \Theta (\Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) ( i(x \mapsto s))
        using wfI-subst1 [of \Theta \Gamma' x v \Gamma i \mathcal{B} b c z s] as b s assms by metis
      thus ?thesis using is1 valid.simps assms by presburger
    qed
     thus is-satis i (c'[x::=v]_{cv}) using subst-c-satis-full [OF s] valid.simps as infer-v-v-wf b-of.simps
assms by metis
  ged
  ultimately show ?thesis using valid.simps by auto
lemma subst-valid-infer-v:
  fixes v::v and c'::c
  assumes \Theta : \mathcal{B} : G \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z\theta : b \mid c\theta \}  and atom \ x \not \models c and atom \ x \not \models G and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B}
(G'@(x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G) and atom z0 \sharp v
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c[z := V \text{-} var \ z\theta]_{cv} \text{ and } atom \ z\theta \ \sharp \ c \text{ and}
             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G'@(x,b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} G \models c' \text{ (is } \Theta ; \mathcal{B}; ?G \models c')
                       \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ G \models c'[x::=v]_{cv}
         shows
proof
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \models c[z::=v]_{cv}
    using infer-v-wf subst-valid-simple valid.simps assms
                                                                              using subst-valid-simple assms valid.simps
infer-v-wf assms
           is-satis-q-suffix wfI-suffix by metis
  moreover have wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} G v b and wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} G
    using assms infer-v-wf b-of simps apply metis using assms infer-v-wf by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms subst-valid by metis
qed
             Subtyping
14.6
lemma subst-subtype:
fixes v::v
 assumes \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{z\theta:b|c\theta\}) and
```

shows  $\Theta: \mathcal{B}: \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 

 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash (\{ z1:b1 \mid c1 \}) \lesssim (\{ z2:b1 \mid c2 \}) \text{ (is } \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ?G1 \vdash C1 \})$ 

 $atom\ z\ \sharp\ (x,v)\ \land\ atom\ z0\ \sharp\ (c,x,v,z,\Gamma)\ \land\ atom\ z1\ \sharp\ (x,v)\ \land\ atom\ z2\ \sharp\ (x,v)\ \ {\bf and}\ wsV\ \Theta\ {\cal B}\ \Gamma\ v$ 

 $\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash (\{z\theta: b \mid c\theta\}) \lesssim (\{z: b \mid c\})$  and

 $?t1 \lesssim ?t2$ ) and

```
proof -
  have z2: atom z2 \sharp (x,v) using assms by auto
  hence x \neq z2 by auto
  obtain xx::x where xxf: atom xx \sharp (x,z1, c1, z2, c2, \Gamma' \circledcirc (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma, c1[x::=v]_{cv}
c2[x:=v]_{cv}, \Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma,
                  (\Theta \ , \mathcal{B} \ , \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma, \quad z1 \ , \ c1[x::=v]_{cv} \ , \quad z2 \ , \qquad c2[x::=v]_{cv} \ )) \ (\mathbf{is} \ atom \ xx \ \sharp \ ?tup)
    using obtain-fresh by blast
  hence xxf2: atom xx \sharp (z1, c1, z2, c2, \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using fresh-prod9 fresh-prod5
by fast
 have vd1: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((xx, b1, c1[z1::=V-var xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \models (c2[z2::=V-var xx]_{cv})[x::=v]_{cv}
  proof(rule subst-valid-infer-v[of \Theta - - - z0 b c0 - c, where z=z])
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z\theta : b \mid c\theta \}  using assms by auto
    show xf: atom x \sharp \Gamma using subtype-g-wf wfG-inside-fresh-suffix assms by metis
    show atom x \sharp c \operatorname{proof} -
       have wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma (\{ z : b \mid c \}) using subtype\text{-}wf[OF \ assms(2)] by auto
       moreover have x \neq z using assms(4)
         using fresh-Pair not-self-fresh by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using xf wfT-fresh-c assms by presburger
    qed
    show \Theta ; \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf} ((xx, b1, c1[z1::=V-var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
    proof(subst append-g.simps,rule wfG-consI)
       show *: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using subtype-g-wf assms by metis
       show (atom \ xx \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b, \ c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) using xxf \ fresh\text{-prod} 9 by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b1 \rangle using subtype\text{-}elims[OF \ assms(3)] \ wfT\text{-}wfC \ wfG\text{-}cons by metis
        show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx, b1, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma' @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c1[z1::=V\text{-}var\ xx]_{cv}
proof(rule wfT-wfC)
        have \{z1:b1 \mid c1\} = \{xx:b1 \mid c1[z1::=V-var xx]_{cv}\} using xxf fresh-prod9 type-eq-subst
xxf2 fresh-prodN by metis
          thus \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma' \; @ \; (x, \; b, \; c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma \; \vdash_{wf} \; \{ \; xx \; : \; b1 \; \mid \; c1[z1::=V\text{-}var \; xx]_{cv} \; \} \; \; \text{using}
subtype\text{-}wfT[OF\ assms(3)] by metis
        show atom xx \ \sharp \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma  using xxf fresh-prod9 by metis
       qed
    qed
    show atom z0 \ \sharp \ v  using assms fresh-prod5 by auto
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z\theta]_v
       apply(rule\ obtain-fresh[of\ (z0,c0,\Gamma,\ c,\ z)], rule\ subtype-valid[OF\ assms(2),\ THEN\ valid-flip],
          (fastforce\ simp\ add:\ assms\ fresh-prodN)+)\ \mathbf{done}
    thus \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z\theta, b, c\theta) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=V\text{-}var\ z\theta]_{cv}
                                                                                    using subst-defs by auto
    show atom z0 \ \sharp \ c using assms fresh-prod5 by auto
    show \Theta : \mathcal{B} : ((xx, b1, c1[z1::=V-var xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma') @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c2[z2::=V-var xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c2[z2::=V-var xx]_{cv}
xx]_{cv}
       using subtype-valid assms(3) xxf xxf2 fresh-prodN append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
  qed
  have xfw1: atom z1 \sharp v \wedge atom x \sharp [xx]^v \wedge x \neq z1
```

```
apply(intro\ conjI)
    apply(simp add: assms xxf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN freshers fresh-x-neq)+
    using fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN xxf apply blast
    using fresh-x-neq fresh-prodN assms by blast
  have xfw2: atom z2 \sharp v \land atom x \sharp [xx]^v \land x \neq z2
    apply(auto simp add: assms xxf fresh-at-base fresh-prodN freshers)
    \mathbf{by}(\mathit{insert\ xxf\ fresh-at-base\ fresh-prodN\ assms},\ \mathit{fast}+)
  have wf1: wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) (\{ z1:b1 \mid c1[x::=v]_{cv} \}) \text{ proof } -
    have wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \})[x::=v]_{\tau v}
      \mathbf{using} \ wf\text{-}subst(4) \ assms \ b\text{-}of.simps \ infer-v-v-wf \ subst-tv.simps \ subst-g-inside \ wfT-wf
by metis
    moreover have atom z1 \sharp (x,v) using assms by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using subst-tv.simps by auto
  qed
  moreover have wf2: wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) (\{ z^2 : b1 \mid c^2[x::=v]_{cv} \}) proof –
    have wfT \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma) (\{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \})[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ using } wf\text{-}subst(4) \text{ } assms \text{ } b\text{-}of.simps
infer-v-v-wf subtype-wf subst-tv.simps subst-g-inside wfT-wf by metis
    moreover have atom z2 \sharp (x,v) using assms by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using subst-tv.simps by auto
  ged
 moreover have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (xx, b1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}[z1::=V-var\ xx]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) \models (c2[x::=v]_{cv})[z2::=V-var\ xx]_{cv})
[xx]_{cv} proof -
    have xx \neq x using xxf fresh-Pair fresh-at-base by fast
    hence ((xx, b1, subst-cv c1 z1 (V-var xx)) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma')[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} = (xx, b1, (subst-cv c1 z1 (V-var xx))
)[x::=v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})
      using subst-gv.simps by auto
   moreover have (c1[z1::=V-var xx]_{cv})[x::=v]_{cv} = (c1[x::=v]_{cv})[z1::=V-var xx]_{cv} using subst-cv-commute-full
xfw1 by metis
  moreover have c2[z2::=[xx]^v]_{cv}[x::=v]_{cv} = (c2[x::=v]_{cv})[z2::=V-var xx]_{cv} using subst-cv-commute-full
xfw2 by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using vd1 append-q.simps by metis
  qed
  moreover have atom xx \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma, z1, c1[x::=v]_{cv}, z2, c2[x::=v]_{cv})
    using xxf fresh-prodN by metis
  ultimately have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma \vdash \{|z1:b1||c1[x::=v]_{cv}|\} \lesssim \{|z2:b1||c2[x::=v]_{cv}|\}
     using subtype-baseI subst-defs by metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-tv.simps assms by presburger
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-subtype-tau:
fixes v::v
 assumes \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and
           \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim \ (\{\!\!\{\ z:b \mid c\ \!\!\}\}) \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2 and
           atom z \sharp (x,v)
 shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma \vdash \tau 1[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau 2[x::=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
  obtain z\theta and b\theta and c\theta where zbc\theta: \tau = (\{ z\theta : b\theta \mid c\theta \}) \land atom z\theta \sharp (c,x,v,z,\Gamma)
    using obtain-fresh-z by metis
  obtain z1 and b1 and c1 where zbc1: \tau 1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) \land atom z1 \sharp (x,v)
```

```
using obtain-fresh-z by metis
    obtain z^2 and b^2 and c^2 where zbc^2: \tau^2 = (\{ z^2 : b^2 \mid c^2 \}) \land atom z^2 \sharp (x,v)
        using obtain-fresh-z by metis
    have b\theta = b using subtype-eq-base zbc\theta assms by blast
    hence vinf: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z\theta : b \mid c\theta \} \text{ using } assms zbc\theta \text{ by } blast
    have vsub: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z\theta : b \mid c\theta \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} \text{ using } assms zbc\theta \land b\theta = b \land by blast
    have beq:b1=b2 using subtype-eq-base
        using zbc1 zbc2 assms by blast
    have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash {| z1:b1\mid c1|}[x::=v]_{\tau v}\lesssim {| z2:b1\mid c2|}[x::=v]_{\tau v}
    \mathbf{proof}(rule\ subst-subtype[OF\ vinf\ vsub])
        show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash \{ z1 : b1 \mid c1 \} \lesssim \{ z2 : b1 \mid c2 \}
                using beg assms zbc1 zbc2 by auto
        show atom z \sharp (x, v) \land atom \ z0 \sharp (c, x, v, z, \Gamma) \land atom \ z1 \sharp (x, v) \land atom \ z2 \sharp (x, v)
            using zbc0 zbc1 zbc2 assms by blast
        show wfV \Theta B \Gamma v (b\text{-}of \tau) using infer-v-wf assms by simp
    qed
   thus ?thesis using zbc1 zbc2 \langle b1=b2 \rangle assms by blast
qed
lemma subtype-if1:
   fixes v::v
   assumes P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash t1 \leq t2 and wfV P \mathcal{B} \Gamma v (base-for-lit l) and
                  atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ v \ {\bf and} \ \ atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ t2 \ {\bf and} \ \ atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ \Gamma \ {\bf and} \ \ atom
                shows P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash \{z1 : b \text{-of } t1 \mid CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit } l) \mid IMP \ (c \text{-of } t1 \ z1) \} \lesssim \{\{a\}\}
z2: b\text{-}of t2 \mid CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ l) \ IMP \ (c\text{-}of \ t2 \ z2) \}
   obtain z1' where t1: t1 = {| z1': b-of t1 | c-of t1 z1'} \land atom z1' \sharp (z1,\Gamma,t1) using obtain-fresh-z-c-of
by metis
  by metis
   have beq:b-of\ t1=b-of\ t2 using subtype-eq-base2 assms by auto
   have c1: (c\text{-of }t1\ z1')[z1'::=[\ z1\ ]^v]_{cv}=c\text{-of }t1\ z1 using c-of-switch t1 assms by simp
   \mathbf{have} \ c2\colon (\textit{c-of } \textit{t2 } \textit{z2'})[\textit{z2'} ::=[ \ \textit{z2} \ ]^v]_{cv} = \textit{c-of } \textit{t2 } \textit{z2 } \mathbf{using } \textit{c-of-switch } \textit{t2 } \textit{assms } \mathbf{by } \textit{simp }
   have P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash \{ |z_1 : b \text{-of } t_1 \mid |v|^{ce} = [|v|^{ce} \mid MP \ (c \text{-of } t_1 z_1')[z_1' ::= [z_1]^v]_v \} \lesssim \{ |z_2 \mid |z_1 \mid |z_1
: b\text{-}of\ t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} \quad IMP\ (c\text{-}of\ t2\ z2')[z2'::=[z2]^v]_v
    proof(rule subtype-if)
       show \langle P; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \{ z1' : b\text{-of } t1 \mid c\text{-of } t1 \ z1' \} \leq \{ z2' : b\text{-of } t1 \mid c\text{-of } t2 \ z2' \} \rangle using t1 t2 assms
beg by auto
        show \langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z1 : b \text{-} of t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} IMP (c \text{-} of t1 z1')[z1'::=[z1]^v]_v \}
\rightarrow using wfT-wfT-if-rev assms subtype-wfT c1 subst-defs by metis
        show \langle P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z2 : b \text{-} of t1 \mid [v]^{ce} == [[l]^v]^{ce} IMP (c \text{-} of t2 z2')[z2'::=[z2]^v]_v \}
using wfT-wfT-if-rev assms subtype-wfT c2 subst-defs beq by metis
        show \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ v \rangle using assms by auto
        show \langle atom \ z1' \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle using t1 by auto
        show \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ c\text{-}of \ t1 \ z1' \rangle using t1 \ assms \ c\text{-}of\text{-}fresh by force
        show \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ c\text{-}of \ t2 \ z2' \rangle using t2 \ assms \ c\text{-}of\text{-}fresh by force
```

```
show (atom\ z2\ \sharp\ v) using assms by auto qed then show ?thesis using t1\ t2\ assms\ c1\ c2\ beq\ subst-defs by metis qed
```

#### 14.7 Values

```
lemma subst-infer-aux:
  fixes \tau_1::\tau and v'::v
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma\ \vdash\ v'[x::=v]_{vv}\ \Rightarrow\ \tau_1\ \mathbf{and}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'\vdash\ v'\Rightarrow\tau_2\ \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{b\text{-}of}\ \tau_1=\mathit{b\text{-}of}\ \tau_2
  shows \tau_1 = (\tau_2[x:=v]_{\tau v})
proof -
  obtain z1 and b1 where zb1: \tau_1 = (\{ z1 : b1 \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1)) (CE\text{-}val (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \})
\wedge atom z1 \sharp ((CE-val (v'[x::=v]_{vv}), CE-val v), v'[x::=v]_{vv})
     using infer-v-form-fresh[OF\ assms(1)] by fastforce
  obtain zz and bz where zbz: \tau_2 = (\{ zz : bz \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var zz)) (CE\text{-}val v') \}) \land atom zz
\sharp ((CE\text{-}val\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv}),\ CE\text{-}val\ v,x,v),v')
     using infer-v-form-fresh [OF assms(2)] by fastforce
  have beq: b1 = b2 using assms zb1 zb2 by simp
   \mathbf{hence} \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ (\textit{V-var} \ z2) ) \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \ \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ) [x::=v]_{\tau v} \ = \ ( \{ \ z2 \ : \ b2 \ | \ \textit{C-eq} \ (\textit{CE-val} \ v') \} ] ] 
(V-var\ z2))\ (CE-val\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv}))\ \}
     using subst-tv.simps subst-cv.simps subst-ev.simps forget-subst-vv[of x V-var z2] zb2 by force
  also have ... = (\{z1:b1 \mid C\text{-}eq (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1)) (CE\text{-}val (v'[x::=v]_{vv}))\}
     using type-e-eq[of z2 CE-val (v'[x::=v]_{vv})z1 b1 ] zb1 zb2 fresh-PairD(1) assms beq by metis
  finally show ?thesis using zb1 zb2 by argo
qed
lemma subst-t-b-eq:
  fixes x::x and v::v
  shows b-of (\tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}) = b-of \tau
proof -
  obtain z and b and c where \tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \land atom z \sharp (x,v) \}
     using has-fresh-z by blast
  thus ?thesis using subst-tv.simps by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh-g-fresh-v}\colon
  fixes x::x
  assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma and wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v b
  shows atom x \sharp v
  using assms wfV-supp wfX-wfY wfG-atoms-supp-eq fresh-def
  by (metis\ wfV-x-fresh)
lemma infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-v:
  fixes x::x and \Gamma::\Gamma and v::v
  assumes atom x \sharp \Gamma'@\Gamma and \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
  shows atom x \not \perp v
proof -
  have atom x \sharp \Gamma using fresh-suffix assms by auto
  moreover have wfV \Theta B \Gamma v (b\text{-}of \tau) using infer-v-wf assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-g-fresh-v by metis
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv:
  fixes xa::x and v::v and \Gamma::\Gamma
  assumes atom xa \sharp \Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) and \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau
  shows atom xa \sharp (x,v)
proof -
  have atom xa \sharp x using assms fresh-in-g fresh-def by blast
 moreover have \Gamma'@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) = ((\Gamma'@(x,b,c[z::=[x^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}GNil)@\Gamma) using append-g.simps
append-g-assoc by simp
  moreover hence atom xa \sharp v using infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-v assms by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma wfG-subst-infer-v:
  fixes v::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma' \otimes (x, b, c\theta[z\theta ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and b \text{-} of \tau = b
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}\vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma
  using wfG-subst-wfV infer-v-v-wf assms by auto
lemma fresh-subst-gv-inside:
  fixes \Gamma :: \Gamma
  assumes atom z \sharp \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c\theta[z\theta ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma and atom z \sharp v
  shows atom z \sharp \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma
unfolding fresh-append-q using fresh-append-q assms fresh-subst-qv fresh-GCons by metis
lemma subst-t:
  fixes x::x and b::b and xa::x
  assumes atom z \sharp x and atom z \sharp v
 shows (\{z:b\mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}\}) = (\{z:b\mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [v']^{ce}\}[x::=v]_{\tau v})
  \mathbf{using} \ assms \ subst-vv.simps \ subst-cv.simps \ subst-cv.simps \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
lemma infer-l-fresh:
  assumes \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau
  shows atom x \sharp \tau
proof -
  thm infer-l-wf
  have []; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} GNil using wfG-nill wfTh-emptyI by auto
  hence []; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using assms infer-l-wf by auto
  thus ?thesis using fresh-def wfT-supp by force
qed
lemma subst-infer-v:
  fixes v::v and v'::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2 and
           \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 \text{ and }
           \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \; (\{ \mid z\theta : b_1 \mid c\theta \mid \}) \; \mathbf{and} \; \mathit{atom} \; z\theta \; \sharp \; (x,v)
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}
using assms proof (nominal-induct \Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta:=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) v' \tau_2 avoiding: xv rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} b c xa z)
```

```
have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash [xa]^v[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{z:b\mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[xa]^v[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \}
  \mathbf{proof}(cases \ x = xa)
    case True
    have \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid [ [z]^v]^{ce} == [v]^{ce} \}
    proof(rule infer-v-g-weakening)
       \mathbf{show} *: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ \! \mid z : b \mid \lceil \lceil z \rceil^v \rceil^{ce} == \lceil v \rceil^{ce} \! \mid \! \rangle \rangle
         using infer-v-form infer-v-varI
        by (metis True lookup-inside-unique-b lookup-inside-wf ms-fresh-all(32) subtype-eq-base type-e-eq)
       show \langle toSet \ \Gamma \subseteq toSet \ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma) \rangle by simp
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b_1 using infer-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-varI by metis
       thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \rangle
         using wfG-subst OF infer-v-varI(3), of \Gamma' x b<sub>1</sub> c\theta[z\theta::=[ x ]^v]<sub>cv</sub> \Gamma v] subst-g-inside infer-v-varI
by metis
    qed
    thus ?thesis using subst-vv.simps True by simp
    case False
   then obtain c' where c: Some (b, c') = lookup (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) xa using lookup-subst2 infer-v-varI
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash [xa]^v \Rightarrow \{ z:b \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [[xa]^v]^{ce} \}
    proof
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} v : b_1 using infer-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-varI by metis
       thus \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using infer-v-varI
         using wfG-subst[OF\ infer-v-varI(3),\ of\ \Gamma'\ x\ b_1\ c0[z\theta::=[\ x\ ]^v]_{cv}\ \Gamma\ v]\ subst-g-inside\ infer-v-varI(3)]
by metis
       show atom z \sharp xa using infer-v-varI by auto
       show Some (b, c') = lookup (\Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) xa using c by auto
       show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma) by (fresh-mth add: infer-v-varI fresh-subst-gv-inside)
    qed
    then show ?thesis using subst-vv.simps False by simp
  qed
  thus ?case using subst-t fresh-prodN infer-v-varI by metis
next
  case (infer-v-litI \Theta \mathcal{B} l \tau)
  show ?case unfolding subst-vv.simps proof
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using wfG-subst-infer-v infer-v-litI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps
by metis
    have atom x \sharp \tau using infer-v-litI infer-l-fresh by metis
    thus \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v} using infer-v-litI type-v-subst-fresh by simp
  qed
next
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} t1 t2)
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @
                \Gamma \vdash [\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ ,\ v2[x::=v]_{vv}\ ]^v \Rightarrow \{\![\ z:[\ b\text{-}of\ t1[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ ,\ b\text{-}of\ t]\}\}
       t2[x::=v]_{\tau v} ]^b \mid [ [z]^v ]^{ce} == [ [v1[x::=v]_{vv}, v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^v ]^{ce}
  proof
    show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv},\ v2[x::=v]_{vv})\rangle by (fresh-mth\ add:\ infer-v-pairI)
    show (atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma)) by (fresh-mth\ add:\ infer-v-pairI\ fresh-subst-gv-inside)
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow t1[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle using infer-v-pair by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow t2[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle using infer-v-pairI by metis
  qed
```

```
then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-tv.simps infer-v-pairI b-of-subst by simp
  case (infer-v-consI s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} va tv z)
 have \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash (V\text{-}cons \ s \ dc \ va[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow \{z : B\text{-}id \ s \mid [[z]^v]^{ce} == [V\text{-}cons]\}
s \ dc \ va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}
  proof
    show td:\langle AF\text{-}typedef\ s\ dclist\ \in\ set\ \Theta\rangle using infer\text{-}v\text{-}consI by auto
    show dc:\langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash va[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow tv[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    have \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim tc[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle
                   subst-subtype-tau infer-v-consI by metis
      moreover have atom x \ \sharp \ tc \ using \ wfTh-lookup-supp-empty[OF \ td \ dc] \ infer-v-wf \ infer-v-consI
fresh-def by fast
    ultimately show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim tc \rangle by simp
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ va[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using infer-v-consI by auto
    show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma \rangle \rangle by (fresh-mth\ add:\ infer-v-consI\ fresh-subst-gv-inside)
  qed
  thus ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-t[of z x v ] infer-v-consI by metis
  case (infer-v-conspI s bv dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} va tv b z)
  have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash (V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ b\ va[x::=v]_{vv}) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-}app\ s\ b\ | [[z]^v]^{ce} == [
V-consp s dc b va[x::=v]_{vv} ]^{ce}
  proof
    \mathbf{show}\ td{:}\langle AF\text{-}typedef\text{-}poly\ s\ bv\ dclist} \in set\ \Theta\rangle\ \mathbf{using}\ infer\text{-}v\text{-}conspI\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
    show dc:\langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle using infer-v-conspI by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash va[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow tv[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle using infer-v-conspI by metis
    have \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle
                  subst-subtype-tau infer-v-conspI by metis
    moreover have atom x \sharp tc[bv:=b]_{\tau b} proof -
           have supp\ tc \subseteq \{atom\ bv\ \} using wfTh-poly-lookup-supp infer-v-conspI wfX-wfY by metis
           hence atom x \sharp tc using x-not-in-b-set
             using fresh-def by fastforce
           moreover have atom x \sharp b using x-fresh-b by auto
           ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-subst-if subst-b-\tau-def by metis
    ultimately show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash tv[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim tc[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \text{ by } simp
      show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma, \ va[x::=v]_{vv}, \ b) \rangle proof -
         have atom z \sharp va[x:=v]_{vv} using fresh-subst-v-if infer-v-conspI subst-v-v-def by metis
         moreover have atom z \sharp \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-v-conspI by metis
         ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-prodN infer-v-conspI by metis
     show \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma,\ va[x::=v]_{vv},\ b)\rangle proof -
         have atom by \sharp va[x::=v]_{vv} using fresh-subst-v-if infer-v-conspI subst-v-v-def by metis
        moreover have atom bv \sharp \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-v-conspI by metis
         ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-prodN infer-v-conspI by metis
      qed
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b using infer-v-conspI by auto
  thus ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-t[of z x v ] infer-v-conspI by metis
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma subst-infer-check-v:
     fixes v::v and v'::v
     assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 and
                          check-v \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma)) \quad v' \tau_2 \quad \text{and}
     \begin{array}{c}\Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma\vdash\tau_{1}\lesssim\ \{\!\!\{\ z\theta:b_{1}\mid c\theta\ \}\!\!\}\ \text{and}\ atom\ z\theta\ \sharp\ (x,v)\\ \text{shows}\ check-v\ \Theta\ \mathcal{B}\ ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma)\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ (\tau_{2}[x::=v]_{\tau v})\end{array}
proof -
     obtain \tau_2 where t2: infer-v \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c\theta[z\theta := [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) v' \tau_2' \wedge \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (\Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c\theta[z\theta := [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)
 b_1, c\theta[z\theta:=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau_2' \lesssim \tau_2
          using check-v-elims assms by blast
      hence infer-v \Theta \mathcal{B} ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) (\tau_2'[x::=v]_{\tau v})
          using subst-infer-v[OF - assms(1) \ assms(3) \ assms(4)] by blast
      moreover hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) \vdash \tau_2'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}
          using subst-subtype assms t2 by (meson subst-subtype-tau subtype-trans)
      ultimately show ?thesis using check-v.intros by blast
qed
lemma type\text{-}veq\text{-}subst[simp]:
     assumes atom z \sharp (x,v)
      shows \{z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)=CE\text{-}val\ v'\ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}=\{z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)=CE\text{-}val\ v'\ \}[x:=v]_{\tau v}=\{z:b\mid CE\text{-}val\ v'\ \}[x:=v
 CE-val\ v'[x:=v]_{vv}
      using assms by auto
lemma subst-infer-v-form:
     fixes v::v and v'::v and \Gamma::\Gamma
     assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 and
                             \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2 and b=b\text{-of }\tau_2
\Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \; (\{\!\!\{ z\theta : b_1 \mid c\theta \ \!\!\} \} ) \; \text{and} \; \; atom \; z\theta \; \sharp \; (x,v) \; \text{and} \; \; atom \; z3' \; \sharp \; (x,v,v',\Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta ::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \; )
       shows \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : b \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}val \}
v'[x:=v]_{vv} \}
proof -
      have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma) \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{\{z\beta': b\text{-of } \tau_2 \mid C\text{-eq }(CE\text{-val }(V\text{-var } z\beta'))\}\}
 (CE-val\ v')
     proof(rule infer-v-form4)
          show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma' @ (x, b_1, c\theta[z\theta ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle using assms by metis
          \mathbf{show} \ \langle atom \ z3 \ ' \ \sharp \ (v \ ', \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b_1, \ c\theta[z\theta ::=[ \ x \ ]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ assms \ fresh-prodN \ \mathbf{by} \ met is
          show \langle b\text{-}of \ \tau_2 = b\text{-}of \ \tau_2 \rangle by auto
     hence \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : b \text{-} of \ \tau_2 \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V \text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}val \}
 v' \mid | [x := v]_{\tau v} \rangle
          using subst-infer-v assms by metis
     thus ?thesis using type-veq-subst fresh-prodN assms by metis
qed
```

## 14.8 Expressions

For operator, fst and snd cases, we use elimination to get one or more values, apply the substitution lemma for values. The types always have the same form and are equal under substitution.

For function application, the subst value is a subtype of the value which is a subtype of the argument. The return of the function is the same under substitution.

Observe a similar pattern for each case

```
lemma subst-infer-e:
  fixes v::v and e::e and \Gamma'::\Gamma
  assumes
            \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau_2 \text{ and } G = (\Gamma'@((x,b_1,subst-cv\ c0\ z0\ (V-var\ x))\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma))
            \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 \text{ and }
            \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \{ z\theta : b_1 \mid c\theta \}  and atom z\theta \sharp (x,v)
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ((\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v})@\Gamma) ; (\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}) \vdash (subst-ev \ e \ x \ v \ ) \Rightarrow \tau_2[x::=v]_{\tau v}
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: x v rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
  case (infer-e-vall \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v' \ \tau)
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash\ (AE\text{-}val\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
  proof
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} using wfD-subst infer-e-valI subtype-eq-base2
       \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis b-of.simps infer-v-v-wf subst-g-inside-simple wfD-wf wf-subst} (\textit{11}))
     show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-valI by auto
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} using subst-infer-v infer-e-valI using
wfD-subst infer-e-valI subtype-eq-base2
       by metis
  qed
  thus ?case using subst-ev.simps by simp
  case (infer-e-plusI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  hence z3f: atom z3 \sharp CE-op Plus [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} using e.fresh \ ce.fresh \ opp.fresh by metis
  obtain z3'::x where *: atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ (x,v,AE-op \ Plus \ v1 \ v2, \quad CE-op \ Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ , \ AE-op \ Plus
v1[x::=v]_{vv} v2[x::=v]_{vv}, CE-op Plus [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)
     using obtain-fresh by metis
  hence **:(\{ z3 : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3) == CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \}) = \{ z3' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3) == CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ \}
CE	ext{-}val \ (V	ext{-}var\ z3') \ == \ CE	ext{-}op\ Plus\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}\ \}
     using type-e-eq infer-e-plusI fresh-Pair z3f by metis
  obtain z1'b1'c1' where z1:atom\ z1'\sharp\ (x,v)\land \{ \ z1:B-int\ |\ c1\ \} = \{ \ z1':b1'\ |\ c1'\ \} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
  obtain z2' b2' c2' where z2: atom z2' \sharp (x,v) \land \{ z2 : B\text{-}int \mid c2 \} = \{ z2' : b2' \mid c2' \} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
  have bb:b1' = B-int \wedge b2' = B-int using z1 z2 \tau.eq-iff by metis
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ Plus\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow\ \{\!\!\{\ z3''\}_{L^2}\}_{L^2}
: B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ \}
  proof
     show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle
       using infer-e-plusI wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis
     show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-plus I by blast
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ \Rightarrow \ \{ \ z1' : B\text{-}int \ \mid \ c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \ \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ subst-tv.simps
subst-infer-v infer-e-plusI z1 bb by metis
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \ ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ \ z2' : B\text{-}int \ \mid c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \ \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ subst-tv.simps
```

```
subst-infer-v infer-e-plusI z2 bb by metis
    show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using fresh\text{-}prod6 * by \ met is
    show \langle atom \ z\beta' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle \ \mathbf{using} * \mathbf{by} \ auto
  qed
 moreover have \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}
 = \{ z3' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') = CE\text{-}op \ Plus \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ [x::=v]_{\tau v} 
    \mathbf{by}(subst\ subst\ tv.simps, auto\ simp\ add:\ *\ )
  ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis
next
  case (infer-e-leqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  hence z3f: atom z3 \sharp CE-op LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} using e.fresh \ ce.fresh \ opp.fresh by metis
   obtain z3'::x where *:atom\ z3'\ \sharp\ (x,v,AE-op\ LEq\ v1\ v2,\ CE-op\ LEq\ [v1]^{ce}\ [v2]^{ce}, CE-op\ LEq
[v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}, AE-op LEq v1[x::=v]_{vv} v2[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma)
    using obtain-fresh by metis
  hence **:(\{ z3 : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z3) = CE\text{-op} LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}) = \{ z3' : B\text{-bool} \mid EV\}
CE-val (V-var z3') == CE-op LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}
    using type-e-eq infer-e-leqI fresh-Pair z3f by metis
  obtain z1'b1'c1' where z1:atom\ z1'\ (x,v) \land \{ z1:B-int \mid c1 \} = \{ z1':b1' \mid c1' \} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
  obtain z2' b2' c2' where z2:atom z2' \sharp (x,v) \land \{\!\!\{\ z2:B\text{-}int\mid c2\ \}\!\!\} = \{\!\!\{\ z2':b2'\mid c2'\ \}\!\!\} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
  have bb:b1' = B-int \wedge b2' = B-int using z1 \ z2 \ \tau.eq-iff by metis
  \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ LEq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{\ z3'\}
: B\text{-}bool \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') \ == \ CE\text{-}op \ LEq \ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ \}
     show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfD-subst infer-e-leqI subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-leqI(2) by auto
   \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ \Rightarrow \ \{ \ z1' : \ B\text{-}int \ \mid \ c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \ \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ subst-tv.simps
subst-infer-v infer-e-leqI z1 bb by metis
   show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z2' : B\text{-}int \mid c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle using subst-tv.simps
subst-infer-v infer-e-leqI z2 bb by metis
    show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using fresh\text{-}Pair * \mathbf{by} \ met is
    show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle \ \mathbf{using} * \mathbf{by} \ auto
 \mathbf{moreover\ have}\ \{z3': B\text{-}bool\ |\ CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3')\ ==\ CE\text{-}op\ LEq\ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})
 = \{ z3' : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z3') = CE\text{-op} LEq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \} [x:=v]_{\tau v} 
    using subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps * by auto
  ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis
  case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 bb c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  hence z3f: atom z3 \sharp CE-op Eq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} using e.fresh ce.fresh opp.fresh by metis
 \textbf{obtain} \ z3'::x \ \textbf{where} \ *: atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ (x,v,AE-op \ Eq \ v1 \ v2, \ CE-op \ Eq \ [v1]^{ce} \ [v2]^{ce} \ , \ CE-op \ Eq \ [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}
[v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \ , \ AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ )
    using obtain-fresh by metis
```

```
hence **:(\{z3: B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z3) = CE\text{-op} Eq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}) = \{z3': B\text{-bool} \mid E| z3' : B\text{-bool} \}
CE-val (V-var z3') == CE-op Eq [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}
       using type-e-eq infer-e-eqI fresh-Pair z3f by metis
     obtain z1' b1' c1' where z1:atom z1' \sharp (x,v) \land \{ \} z1:bb \mid c1 \} = \{ \} z1':b1' \mid c1' \} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
     obtain z2' b2' c2' where z2: atom z2' \sharp (x,v) \land \{ z2 : bb \mid c2 \} = \{ z2' : b2' \mid c2' \} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
   have bb:b1' = bb \wedge b2' = bb using z1 \ z2 \ \tau.eq.iff by metis
   have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash (AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\} \Rightarrow \{ z3': x \in AE\text{-}op\ Eq\ (v1[x:=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x:=v]_{vv})\}
B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val} \mid (V\text{-var } z3') == CE\text{-op } Eq \mid [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \mid [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \mid \}
    proof
         \mathbf{show} \ \ (\Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \ ) \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfD-subst infer-e-eqI subtype-eq-base2}
b-of.simps by metis
       show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-eqI(2) by auto
       \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ \Rightarrow \ \{ \ z1': \ bb \ \mid \ c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \ \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ subst-tv.simps
subst-infer-v infer-e-eqI z1 bb by metis
       \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \ \Rightarrow \ \{ \ z2': \ bb \ \mid \ c2'[x::=v]_{cv} \ \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ subst-tv.simps
subst-infer-v infer-e-eqI z2 bb by metis
       show (atom z3' \sharp AE-op Eq v1[x::=v]_{vv} v2[x::=v]_{vv}) using fresh-Pair * by metis
       show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle \ using * by \ auto
       show bb \in \{B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit\} using infer-e-eqI by auto
   moreover have \{z3': B\text{-}bool \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3') == CE\text{-}op Eq ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}
using subst-tv.simps \ subst-ev.simps * by \ auto
    ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis
    case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi f x' b c \tau' s' v' \tau)
   hence x \neq x' using \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'' \rangle using wfG-inside-x-neg wfX-wfY by metis
   show ?case proof(subst subst-ev.simps,rule)
        \mathbf{show} \land \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \land \mathbf{using} \ \textit{infer-e-appI} \ \textit{wfD-subst subtype-eq-base2}
b-of.simps by metis
       show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-appI by metis
        \mathbf{show} \ \langle Some \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ-none \ (AF\text{-}fun-typ \ x' \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s'))) = lookup\text{-}fun \ \Phi \ f \rangle \ \mathbf{using}
infer-e-appI by metis
      \mathbf{have} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \ ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \leftarrow \{ \ x' : b \ \mid c \ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle \ \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{subst-infer-check-v})
)
           show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 using infer-e-appI by metis
            show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma' \otimes (x, b_1, c\theta[z\theta := [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \{ x' : b \mid c \} \text{ using } infer-e-appI \text{ by}
           show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \{ z\theta : b_1 \mid c\theta \} using infer-e-appI by metis
           show atom z0 \ \sharp \ (x, \ v) using infer-e-appI by metis
       qed
       moreover have atom x \sharp c using wfPhi-f-simple-supp-c infer-e-appI fresh-def \langle x \neq x' \rangle
              atom-eq-iff empty-iff infer-e-appI.hyps insert-iff subset-singletonD by metis
```

```
ultimately show \langle\Theta\;;\;\mathcal{B}\;;\;\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\;@\;\Gamma\;\vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv}\;\Leftarrow\;\{\!\mid x':b\;\mid\;c\;\}\!\rangle using forget-subst-tv
by metis
    have *: atom x' \sharp (x,v) using infer-v-fresh-q-fresh-xv infer-e-appI check-v-wf by blast
    show \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma, \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, \ v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \ \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle \rangle
      apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,\ intro\ conjI)
      apply (fresh-subst-mth-aux add: infer-e-appI fresh-subst-gv wfD-wf subst-g-inside)
      using infer-e-appI fresh-subst-qv wfD-wf subst-q-inside apply metis
      using infer-e-appI
                                     fresh-subst-dv-if apply metis
    done
    have supp \ \tau' \subseteq \{ atom \ x' \} \cup supp \ \mathcal{B} \ using infer-e-appI \ wfT-supp \ wfPhi-f-simple-wfT
      by (meson infer-e-appI.hyps(2) le-supI1 wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t)
    hence atom x \sharp \tau' using \langle x \neq x' \rangle fresh-def supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set by fastforce
    thus \langle \tau'[x':=v'[x::=v]_{vv}]_v = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}\rangle using subst-tv-commute infer-e-appI subst-defs by metis
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-e-appPI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi b' f bv x' b c \tau' s' v' \tau)
  hence x \neq x' using \langle atom \ x' \sharp \Gamma'' \rangle using wfG-inside-x-neg wfX-wfY by metis
  show ?case proof(subst subst-ev.simps,rule)
    \mathbf{show} \land \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \ \lor \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{infer-e-appPI} \ \mathit{wfD-subst} \ \mathit{subtype-eq-base2}
b-of.simps by metis
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-appPI(4) by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} b' using infer-e-appPI(5) by auto
    show Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x' b c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f using
infer-e-appPI(6) by auto
    show \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b']_b \mid c[bv::=b']_b \} proof -
         have (\Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ x' : b[bv::=b']_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b']_{cb} \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} 
proof(rule subst-infer-check-v)
         show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 using infer-e-appPI by metis
          \mathbf{show} \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b_1, \ c\theta[z\theta ::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ v' \Leftarrow \ \{\!\!\{\ x' : \ b[bv ::=b']_{bb} \ \mid \ c[bv ::=b']_{cb} \ \}\!\!\}
using infer-e-appPI subst-defs by metis
         show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \{ z\theta : b_1 \mid c\theta \} using infer-e-appPI by metis
         show atom z0 \sharp (x, v) using infer-e-appPI by metis
       moreover have atom x \sharp c proof -
       have supp\ c \subseteq \{atom\ x',\ atom\ bv\}\ using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c[OF\ infer-e-appPI\ (6)]\ infer-e-appPI
by metis
          thus ?thesis unfolding fresh-def using \langle x \neq x' \rangle atom-eq-iff by auto
      moreover hence atom x \sharp \{x' : b[bv := b']_{bb} \mid c[bv := b']_{cb} \} using \tau-fresh supp-b-empty fresh-def
subst-b-fresh-x
          by (metis subst-b-c-def)
       ultimately show ?thesis using forget-subst-tv subst-defs by metis
     have supp \ \tau' \subseteq \{ atom \ x', atom \ bv \} using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t \ infer-e-appPI by metis
```

moreover hence atom  $x \sharp \{ x' : b \mid c \}$  using  $\tau$ -fresh supp-b-empty fresh-def by blast

```
hence atom x \not\parallel \tau' using fresh-def \langle x \neq x' \rangle by force
     hence *: atom x \sharp \tau' [bv := b']_{\tau b} using
                                                          subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-\tau-def by metis
    have atom x' \sharp (x,v) using infer-v-fresh-g-fresh-xv infer-e-appPI check-v-wf by blast
    thus atom x' \sharp \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma using infer-e-appPI fresh-subst-gv wfD-wf subst-g-inside fresh-Pair
by metis
    show \tau'[bv:=b']_b[x':=v'[x::=v]_{vv}]_v = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} using infer-e-appPI subst-tv-commute[OF *]
subst-defs by metis
    show atom bv \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, b', v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v})
      by (fresh-mth add: infer-e-appPI fresh-subst-gv-inside)
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v' z' b1 b2 c z)
  hence zf: atom z \sharp CE-fst [v']^{ce} using ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh by metis
  obtain z3'::x where *:atom z3' \sharp (x,v,AE-fst v', CE-fst [v']^{ce}, AE-fst v'[x::=v]_{vv}, \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma
) using obtain-fresh by auto
  hence **:({ z : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z) == CE\text{-}fst [v']^{ce} }) = { <math>z3' : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3') == CE\text{-}fst [v']^{ce} }
CE-fst [v']^{ce}
    using type-e-eq infer-e-fstI(4) fresh-Pair zf by metis
 obtain z1'b1'c1' where z1:atom\ z1'\sharp\ (x,v)\land \{\ z': B-pair\ b1\ b2\ |\ c\ \}=\{\ z1':\ b1'\ |\ c1'\ \} using
obtain-fresh-z by metis
  have bb:b1' = B-pair b1 b2 using z1 \tau.eq-iff by metis
 \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash (AE\text{-}\mathit{fst}\ v'[x::=v]_{vv})\ \Rightarrow\ \{\!\!\{\ z3':\ b1\ |\ CE\text{-}\mathit{val}\ (V\text{-}\mathit{var})\}_{vv}\}_{v}
z3') == CE-fst [v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} }
  proof
     \mathbf{show} \land \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \land \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfD-subst infer-e-fstI} \ \textit{subtype-eq-base2}
b-of.simps by metis
    \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \vdash_{wf} \ \Phi \ \land \ \mathbf{using} \quad infer\text{-}e\text{-}fstI \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \ met is
     show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle using
subst-tv.simps subst-infer-v infer-e-fstI z1 bb by metis
    show (atom\ z3'\ \sharp\ AE\text{-}fst\ v'[x::=v]_{vv}) using fresh\text{-}Pair\ *\ \mathbf{by}\ metis
    show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle \ using * by \ auto
  (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}fst\ [v']^{ce}\ [x::=v]_{\tau v}
    using subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps * by auto
  ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis
next
  case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v' z' b1 b2 c z)
  hence zf: atom z \sharp CE-snd [v]^{ce} using ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh by metis
  obtain z3'::x where *:atom z3' \sharp (x,v,AE\text{-snd }v',\ CE\text{-snd }[v']^{ce},\ AE\text{-snd }v'[x::=v]_{vv},\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}
\Gamma, v', \Gamma'') using obtain-fresh by auto
  hence **:(\{z:b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) = CE\text{-}snd\ [v']^{ce}\}) = \{z3':b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3')\}
== CE\text{-}snd [v']^{ce}
    using type-e-eq infer-e-sndI(4) fresh-Pair zf by metis
  obtain z1'b2'c1' where z1:atom\ z1'\sharp\ (x,v)\land \{\ z': B-pair\ b1\ b2\mid c\ \}=\{\ z1':b2'\mid c1'\ \} using
```

```
obtain-fresh-z by metis
```

```
have bb:b2' = B-pair b1 b2 using z1 \tau.eq-iff by metis
```

```
have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash (AE\text{-}snd\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3': b2 \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}snd\ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \} proof
```

**show**  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  **using** wfD-subst infer-e-sndI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps **by** metis

 $\mathbf{show} \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land \mathbf{using} \ \textit{infer-e-sndI} \ \mathbf{by} \ \textit{metis}$ 

**show**  $(\Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1' : B\text{-}pair\ b1\ b2 \mid c1'[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle$  **using** subst-tv.simps subst-infer-v infer-e-sndI z1 bb **by** metis

```
show \langle atom \ z\beta' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}snd \ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using fresh-Pair * by metis show \langle atom \ z\beta' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle using * by auto qed
```

moreover have {  $z3': b2 \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}snd \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \} = { }z3': b2 \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}snd \ [v']^{ce} \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}$ 

 $\mathbf{by}(subst\ subst-tv.simps,\ auto\ simp\ add:\ fresh-prodN\ *)$ 

ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps \* \*\* by metis

 $\mathbf{next}$ 

case (infer-e-lenI  $\Theta$   $\mathcal{B}$   $\Gamma''$   $\Delta$   $\Phi$  v' z' c z)

hence zf: atom z  $\sharp$  CE-len  $[v']^{ce}$  using ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh by metis

obtain z3'::x where  $*:atom\ z3'\ \sharp\ (x,v,AE-len\ v',\ CE-len\ [v']^{ce}\ ,\ AE-len\ v'[x::=v]_{vv}\ ,\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\Gamma\ ,\ \Gamma'',v')$  using obtain-fresh by auto

**hence** \*\*:({  $z : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z) == CE\text{-}len \ [v']^{ce} \ }) = { <math>z3' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z') = CE\text{-}len \ [v']^{ce} \ }$ 

using type-e-eq infer-e-lenI fresh-Pair zf by metis

```
have ***: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'' \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}val \ v' \} using infer-e-lenI infer-v-form3 [OF infer-e-lenI(3), of z3'] b-of.simps * fresh-Pair by metis
```

have  $\Theta$ ;  $\Phi$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$ ;  $\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}$  @  $\Gamma$ ;  $\Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash (AE\text{-len }(v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B\text{-int } \mid CE\text{-val }(V\text{-var }z3') == CE\text{-len }([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}$  proof

show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle$  using wfD-subst infer-e-lenI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by metis

**show**  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  **using** infer-e-lenI by metis

 $\mathbf{have} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ \ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \ | \ CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') \ == \ CE\text{-}val \ v' \ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v} \ \rangle$ 

proof(rule subst-infer-v)

show  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle$  using infer-e-len by metis

 $\mathbf{show} \ \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma' \ @ \ (x, \ b_1, \ c\theta[z\theta ::=[x\ ]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \vdash v' \Rightarrow \{ \ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \ \mid [\ [\ z3'\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ v'\ ]^{ce} \ \} \ \mathbf{using} \ *** \ infer-e-len I \ \mathbf{by} \ met is$ 

show  $\Theta$ ;  $\mathcal{B}$ ;  $\Gamma \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \{ z0 : b_1 \mid c0 \}$  using infer-e-lenI by metis show atom  $z0 \sharp (x, v)$  using infer-e-lenI by metis

 $\mathbf{qed}$ 

thus  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}val \ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \} \rangle$ 

using subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps fresh-Pair \* fresh-prodN subst-vv.simps by auto

```
show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}len \ v'[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using fresh\text{-}Pair * by metis
       show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle using fresh\text{-}Pair * by metis
    qed
   moreover have \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = \{z3': B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x:=v]_{vv}]^{ce})\} = CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x:=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) == CE\text{-}len \ ([v'[x:=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) =
CE-val (V-var z3') == CE-len [v']^{ce} [x::=v]_{\tau v}
       using subst-tv.simps \ subst-ev.simps * by \ auto
    ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps * ** by metis
next
    case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Phi \Delta u \tau)
   have \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash (AE\text{-}mvar\ u) \Rightarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
    proof
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma'[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \rangle proof –
           have wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma v (b-of \tau_1) using infer-v-wf infer-e-mvarI by auto
           moreover have b-of \tau_1 = b_1 using subtype-eq-base2 infer-e-mvarI b-of.simps by simp
           ultimately show ?thesis using wf-subst(3)[OF infer-e-mvarI(1), of \Gamma' x b_1 c0[z0::=[x]^v]<sub>cv</sub> \Gamma v]
infer-e-mvarI subst-g-inside by metis
       show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-mvarI by auto
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfD-subst infer-e-mvarI subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
       show \langle (u, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle using infer-e-mvarI subst-dv-member by metis
    qed
    moreover have (AE\text{-}mvar\ u) = (AE\text{-}mvar\ u)[x:=v]_{ev} using subst-ev.simps by auto
    ultimately show ?case by auto
next
    case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma'' \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   hence zf: atom z3 \sharp CE-concat [v1]<sup>ce</sup> [v2]<sup>ce</sup> using ce.fresh e.fresh opp.fresh by metis
    obtain z3'::x where *:atom z3' \not \downarrow (x,v,v1,v2,AE-concat v1 v2, CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}, AE-concat
(v1[x::=v]_{vv}) (v2[x::=v]_{vv}) \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma , \Gamma'', v1 , v2) using obtain-fresh by auto
   hence **:(\{z3: B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3) = CE\text{-}concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}) = \{z3': B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3) = CE\text{-}concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \}
CE-val (V-var z3') = CE-concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce}
       using type-e-eq infer-e-concatI fresh-Pair zf by metis
    have zfx: atom x \sharp z3' using fresh-at-base fresh-prodN * by auto
    have v1: \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma'' \vdash v1 \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3') == CE\text{-}val v1 \} 
       using infer-e-concatI infer-v-form3 b-of.simps * fresh-Pair by metis
    have v2: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'' \vdash v2 \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}val \ v2 \} \}
       using infer-e-concatI infer-v-form3 b-of.simps * fresh-Pair by metis
   \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash (AE\text{-}concat\ (v1[x::=v]_{vv})\ (v2[x::=v]_{vv}))\ \Rightarrow\ \{\!\!\{\ z3'\}_{v}\}_{v}
: B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') == CE\text{-}concat \ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ \}
    proof
      \mathbf{show} \land \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \land \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wfD-subst infer-e-concat1} \ \textit{subtype-eq-base2}
b-of.simps by metis
```

```
show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle by(simp add: infer-e-concatI)
       \mathbf{show} \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}val \} \}
(v1[x:=v]_{vv})
            using subst-infer-v-form infer-e-concatI fresh-prodN*b-of.simps by metis
       \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \ ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \ \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ \ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \ \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z3') \ == \ CE
(v2[x:=v]_{vv})
            using subst-infer-v-form infer-e-concatI fresh-prodN * b-of.simps by metis
        show \langle atom\ z\beta' \ | AE\text{-}concat\ v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using fresh-Pair * by metis
        show \langle atom \ z3' \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle using fresh\text{-}Pair * \mathbf{by} \ met is
    qed
  moreover have \{z3': B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var\ z3') == CE\text{-}concat \ ([v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \ ([v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce}) \}
\} = \{ z3' : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z3') == CE\text{-}concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \}
        using subst-tv.simps subst-ev.simps * by auto
    ultimately show ?case using subst-ev.simps ** * by metis
    case (infer-e-splitI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma'' \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v1 \ z1 \ c1 \ v2 \ z2 \ z3)
    hence *: atom z3 \sharp (x,v) using fresh-Pair by auto
    have \langle x \neq z3 \rangle using infer-e-split by force
    \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ (\Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma)\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash\ (AE\text{-split}\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv})\Rightarrow
                              \{ \ z3 : [\ B\text{-}bitvec\ ,\ B\text{-}bitvec\ ]^b\ \mid [\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\#1[\ [\ z3\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce} \ @@\ [\#2[\ [\ z3\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}]^{ce} 
]ce]ce]ce
                          AND
                                         [| \#1[ [z3]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} |]^{ce} == [v2[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} 
    proof
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle using wfD-subst infer-e-split1 subtype-eq-base2
b-of.simps by metis
        show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-split by auto
        have \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1 \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle
              using subst-infer-v infer-e-splitI by metis
        thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \otimes \Gamma \vdash v1[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1[x::=v]_{cv} \} \rangle
            using infer-e-splitI subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair by metis
        have \langle x \neq z2 \rangle using infer-e-split by force
        \mathbf{have} \ (\{\!\!\mid z \mathcal{Z} : \textit{B-int} \ \mid ([\ \textit{leq}\ [\ [\textit{L-num}\ \textit{0}\ ]^{v}\ ]^{ce}\ [\ [\ \textit{z2}\ ]^{v}\ ]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\ \textit{L-true}\ ]^{v}\ ]^{ce})
                                          AND \ ([\ leq\ [\ [\ z2\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ [|\ [\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ ]^{ce}\ |]^{ce}\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ [\ L\text{-true}\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ )\ \}) =
                  (\{ \ z2 : B\text{-}int \ | \ ([ \ leq \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ \ 0 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ z2 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ) 
 AND \ ([ \ leq \ [ \ [ \ z2 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ v1 \ ]^{ce} \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ) \ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}) 
             unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-cv.simps subst-vv.simps using (x \neq z2) infer-e-split fresh-Pair
by simp
        thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @
                                 \Gamma \vdash v2[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ z2 : B\text{-}int \mid [leq [[L\text{-}num \ 0\ ]^v]^{ce} [[z2\ ]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}true]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}true]^{ce}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}true]^{ce}]^{ce}
v ce
                                          AND \quad [leq \mid [z2 \mid^{v}]^{ce} \mid [v1[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} \mid ]^{ce} \mid^{ce} = [[L-true \mid^{v}]^{ce}]^{ce} 
            using infer-e-split I subst-infer-check-v fresh-Pair by metis
        show \langle atom\ z1\ \sharp\ AE\text{-split}\ v1[x::=v]_{vv}\ v2[x::=v]_{vv}\rangle using infer-e-splitI fresh-subst-vv-if by auto
        show \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ AE-split v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using infer-e-split fresh-subst-vv-if by auto
        show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE-split v1[x::=v]_{vv} \ v2[x::=v]_{vv} \rangle using infer-e-split fresh-subst-vv-if by auto
        show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle using fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-split1 by metis
        show (atom\ z2\ \sharp\ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ @\ \Gamma) using fresh-subst-qv-inside infer-e-split by metis
```

```
show \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ \Gamma'[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma \rangle using fresh-subst-gv-inside infer-e-split1 by metis
  thus ?case apply (subst subst-tv.simps)
   using infer-e-splitI fresh-Pair apply metis
   {f unfolding}\ subst-tv.simps\ subst-ev.simps\ subst-cv.simps\ subst-ev.simps\ subst-vv.simps\ *
   using \langle x \neq z3 \rangle by simp
qed
lemma infer-e-uniqueness:
  assumes \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \text{ and } \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2
  shows \tau_1 = \tau_2
using assms proof(nominal-induct rule:e.strong-induct)
 case (AE-val x)
 then show ?case using infer-e-elims(7)[OFAE-val(1)] infer-e-elims(7)[OFAE-val(2)] infer-v-uniqueness
by metis
next
 case (AE-app f v)
 obtain x1 b1 c1 s1' \tau1' where t1: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1'
s1')) = lookup-fun \Phi f \wedge \tau_1 = \tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v} using infer-e-app2E[OFAE-app(1)] by metis
 moreover obtain x2 b2 c2 s2' \tau2' where t2: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x2
b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f\ \wedge\ \tau_2 = \tau2'[x2::=v]_{\tau v}\ \mathbf{using}\ infer-e-app2E[OF\ AE-app(2)]\ \mathbf{by}
metis
 have \tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 2'[x2::=v]_{\tau v} using t1 and t2 fun-ret-unique by metis
  thus ?thesis using t1 t2 by auto
next
 case (AE-appP f b v)
 obtain bv1 x1 b1 c1 s1' \tau1' where t1: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1
\tau 1' s 1')) = lookup-fun \Phi f \wedge \tau_1 = \tau 1'[bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v}  using infer-e-appP2E[OF\ AE-appP(1)]
 moreover obtain bv2 x2 b2 c2 s2' \tau2' where t2: Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv2 (AF-fun-typ)
x2\ b2\ c2\ \tau2'\ s2')) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f\ \land\ \tau_2 = \tau2'[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}[x2::=v]_{\tau v} using infer-e-appP2E[OF]
AE-appP(2)] by metis
  have \tau 1'[bv1::=b]_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau 2'[bv2::=b]_{\tau b}[x2::=v]_{\tau v} using t1 and t2 fun-poly-ret-unique by
metis
  thus ?thesis using t1 t2 by auto
next
  case (AE-op opp v1 v2)
 show ?case proof(rule opp.exhaust)
   assume opp = plus
   hence \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : GNil : \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 and \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : GNil : \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus
v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \ \mathbf{using} \ AE\text{-}op \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
   thm infer-e-elims(3)
    thus ?thesis using infer-e-elims(11)[OF \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle]
infer-e-elims(11)[OF \land \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle ]
      by force
 next
   assume opp = leq
   hence opp = LEq using opp.strong-exhaust by auto
```

```
hence \Theta; \Phi; B; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 and \Theta; \Phi; B; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq
v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \ \text{using } AE\text{-}op \ \text{by } auto
                   thm infer-e-elims(3)
                        thus ?thesis using infer-e-elims(12)[OF \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle]
 infer-e-elims(12)[OF \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op \ LEq \ v1 \ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle]
                              by force
         next
                   assume opp = eq
                   hence opp = Eq using opp.strong-exhaust by auto
                   hence \Theta; \Phi; B; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq\ v1\ v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 and \Theta; \Phi; B; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq\ v1
v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 using AE-op by auto
                   thm infer-e-elims(25)
                         thus ?thesis using infer-e-elims(25)[OF \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \rangle]
infer-e-elims(25)[OF \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq v1 v2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \rangle]
                              by force
          qed
next
         case (AE-concat v1 v2)
         obtain z3::x where t1:\tau_1 = \{ z3: B\text{-}bitvec \mid [[z3]^v]^{ce} = CE\text{-}concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \} \land atom
z3 \sharp v1 \wedge atom \ z3 \sharp v2  using infer-e-elims(18)[OF\ AE-concat(1)] by metis
         obtain z3'::x where t2:\tau_2 = \{ z3': B\text{-}bitvec \mid [ [ z3']^v ]^{ce} == CE\text{-}concat [v1]^{ce} [v2]^{ce} \} \land atom
 z3' \sharp v1 \wedge atom \ z3' \sharp v2 \ \textbf{using} \ infer-e-elims(18)[OF\ AE-concat(2)] \ \textbf{by} \ met is
          thus ?case using t1 t2 type-e-eq ce.fresh by metis
next
         case (AE-fst v)
       obtain z1 and b1 where \tau_1 = \{ z1 : b1 \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1) == (CE\text{-}fst [v]^{ce}) \} using infer-v-form
 AE-fst by auto
         obtain xx :: x and bb :: b and xxa :: x and bba :: b and cc :: c where
                                  f1: \tau_2 = \{ xx : bb \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ xx) == CE\text{-}fst \ [v]^{ce} \} \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal
\vdash v \Rightarrow \{ xxa : B\text{-}pair\ bb\ bba \mid cc \} \land atom\ xx \ \sharp \ v \}
                   using infer-e-elims(8)[OF\ AE-fst(2)] by metis
          obtain xxb :: x and bbb :: b and xxc :: x and bbc :: b and cca :: c where
                       f2: \tau_1 = \{ xxb : bbb \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ xxb) == CE\text{-}fst \ [v]^{ce} \} \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} 
\vdash v \Rightarrow \{ xxc : B\text{-pair } bbb \ bbc \mid cca \} \land atom \ xxb \ \sharp \ v \}
              using infer-e-elims(8)[OF\ AE-fst(1)] by metis
           then have B-pair bb bba = B-pair bbb bbc
                   using f1 by (metis (no-types) b-of.simps infer-v-uniqueness)
           then have \{xx: bbb \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ xx) == CE\text{-}fst \ [v]^{ce} \} = \tau_2
                    using f1 by auto
           then show ?thesis
          using f2 by (meson ce.fresh fresh-GNil type-e-eq wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple)
next
          case (AE-snd v)
         obtain xx :: x and bb :: b and xxa :: x and bba :: b and cc :: c where
                                f1: \tau_2 = \{ xx : bba \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ xx) == CE\text{-}snd \ [v]^{ce} \} \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal{B}
\vdash v \Rightarrow \{ xxa : B\text{-}pair\ bb\ bba \mid cc \} \land atom\ xx \ \sharp \ v \}
```

```
using infer-e-elims(9)[OF\ AE-snd(2)] by metis
     obtain xxb :: x and bbb :: b and xxc :: x and bbc :: b and cca :: c where
           f2: \tau_1 = \{ xxb : bbc \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ xxb) == CE\text{-}snd \ [v]^{ce} \} \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathcal
\vdash v \Rightarrow \{ xxc : B\text{-}pair\ bbb\ bbc \mid cca \} \land atom\ xxb \ \sharp \ v \}
       using infer-e-elims(9)[OF\ AE-snd(1)] by metis
     then have B-pair bb bba = B-pair bbb bbc
         using f1 by (metis (no-types) b-of.simps infer-v-uniqueness)
     then have \{xx : bbc \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ xx) == CE\text{-}snd \ [v]^{ce} \} = \tau_2
         using f1 by auto
     then show ?thesis
     using f2 by (meson ce.fresh fresh-GNil type-e-eq wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple)
next
     case (AE-mvar x)
   then show ?case using infer-e-elims(10)[OFAE-mvar(1)] infer-e-elims(10)[OFAE-mvar(2)] wfD-unique
by metis
next
     case (AE-len x)
      then show ?case using infer-e-elims(16)[OF AE-len(1)] infer-e-elims(16)[OF AE-len(2)] by force
next
     case (AE-split x1a \ x2)
    then show ?case using infer-e-elims(22)[OF AE-split(1)] infer-e-elims(22)[OF AE-split(2)] by force
14.9
                               Statements
lemma subst-infer-check-v1:
     fixes v::v and v'::v and \Gamma::\Gamma
     assumes \Gamma = \Gamma_1@((x,b_1,c\theta[z\theta::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_2) and
                        \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau_1 \text{ and }
                        \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau_2 \text{ and }
                        \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma_2 \vdash \tau_1 \lesssim \ \ \{ \ \textit{z0} \ : \ \textit{b}_1 \ | \ \textit{c0} \ \ \} \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ \textit{atom} \ \textit{z0} \ \ \sharp \ (x,v)
                   shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash v'[x:=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau_2[x:=v]_{\tau v}
     using subst-g-inside check-v-wf assms subst-infer-check-v by metis
lemma infer-v-c-valid:
     assumes \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash \tau \leq \{z : b \mid c\}
    shows \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \models c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle
proof -
     obtain z1 and b1 and c1 where *:\tau = \{ |z1:b1| |c1| \} \land atom z1 \ \sharp \ (c,v,\Gamma) \text{ using } obtain-fresh-z
by metis
     then have b1 = b using assms subtype-eq-base by metis
     moreover then have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{z1 : b \mid c1\} using assms * by auto
     moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z1, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=[z1]^v]_{cv} proof –
         have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z1, b, c1[z1::=[z1]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c[z::=[z1]^v]_v
               using subtype-valid [OF assms(2), of z1 z1 b c1 z c] * fresh-prodN \langle b1 = b \rangle by metis
         moreover have c1[z1:=[z1]^v]_v = c1 using subst-v-v-def by simp
         ultimately show ?thesis using subst-v-c-def by metis
     ultimately show ?thesis using * fresh-prodN subst-valid-simple by metis
```

qed

#### Substitution Lemma for Statements

```
lemma subst-infer-check-s:
  fixes v::v and s::s and cs::branch-s and x::x and c::c and b::b and
          \Gamma_1::\Gamma and \Gamma_2::\Gamma and css::branch-list
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \text{ and } \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} \} and
             atom z \sharp (x, v)
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau' \implies
             \Gamma = (\Gamma_2@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_1)) \Longrightarrow
             \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x:=v]_{\tau v}
           and
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v' \vdash cs \leftarrow \tau' \Longrightarrow
            \Gamma = (\Gamma_2@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_1)) \Longrightarrow
             \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v};
            tid \; ; \; cons \; ; \; const \; ; \; v'[x::=v]_{vv} \vdash cs[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}
           and
           \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma : \Delta : tid : dclist : v' \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau' \Longrightarrow
           \Gamma = (\Gamma_2@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_1)) \Longrightarrow
           \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} ; tid ; dclist ; v'[x::=v]_{vv} \vdash
                subst-branchly css x v \leftarrow \tau'[x:=v]_{\tau v}
using assms proof(nominal-induct \tau' and \tau' and \tau' avoiding: x v arbitrary: \Gamma_2 and \Gamma_2 and \Gamma_2
rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (check-valI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v' \tau' \tau'')
  have sg: \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma_2[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma_1 using check-valI by subst-mth
   thm wf-subst(12)
   have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash (AS\text{-}val\ (v'[x::=v]_{vv})) \Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v} proof
     have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b using infer-v-v-wf subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps check-valI by metis
     thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle using wf-subst(15) check-valI by auto
     show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using check-valI by auto
     show \langle \Theta ; \hat{\mathcal{B}} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Rightarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle proof(subst sg, rule subst-infer-v)
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau using check-valI by auto
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \otimes (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash v' \Rightarrow \tau' using check-valI by metis
       show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z: b \mid c \} using check-valI by auto
       show atom z \sharp (x, v) using check-valI by auto
     show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v} \rangle using subst-subtype-tau check-valI sq by
metis
  qed
   thus ?case using Typing.check-valI subst-sv.simps sq by auto
next
   case (check-let I xa \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta ea \tau a za sa ba ca)
  have *:(AS\text{-}let\ xa\ ea\ sa)[x::=v]_{sv}=(AS\text{-}let\ xa\ (ea[x::=v]_{ev})\ sa[x::=v]_{sv})
     using subst-sv.simps \langle atom \ xa \ \sharp \ x \rangle \langle atom \ xa \ \sharp \ v \rangle by auto
   show ?case unfolding * proof
     show atom xa \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v}, ea[x:=v]_{ev}, \tau a[x:=v]_{\tau v})
      \mathbf{by}(subst-tuple-mth\ add:\ check-letI)
     show atom za \sharp (xa,\Theta,\Phi,\mathcal{B},\Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v},ea[x:=v]_{ev},
                                \tau a[x:=v]_{\tau v}, sa[x:=v]_{sv}
```

```
\mathbf{by}(subst-tuple-mth\ add:\ check-letI)
    show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash
                               ea[x::=v]_{ev} \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca[x::=v]_{cv} \}
    proof -
       have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta_v} \vdash
                               ea[x::=v]_{ev} \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca \}[x::=v]_{\tau v}
         using check-letI subst-infer-e by metis
       thus ?thesis using check-letI subst-tv.simps
         by (metis fresh-prod2I infer-e-wf subst-g-inside-simple)
    qed
    show \Theta; \Phi; (xa, ba, ca[x::=v]_{cv}[za::=V-var xa]_v) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v};
                              \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x:=v]_{\tau v}
    proof
       have \Theta; \Phi; ((xa, ba, ca[za::=V-var xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v};
                               \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x:=v]_{\tau v}
         apply(rule check-letI(23)[of (xa, ba, ca[za::=V-var xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2])
         by(metis check-letI append-g.simps subst-defs)+
       moreover have (xa, ba, ca[x:=v]_{cv}[za:=V-var xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} =
                         ((xa, ba, ca[za::=V-var xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}
         {f using} \ subst-cv-commute \ subst-gv.simps \ check-let I
         by (metis ms-fresh-all(39) ms-fresh-all(49) subst-cv-commute-full)
       ultimately show ?thesis
         using subst-defs by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  case (check-assertI xa \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta ca \tau s)
  show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
    show \langle atom\ xa\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v},\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v},\ ca[x::=v]_{cv},\ \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v},\ s[x::=v]_{sv}\rangle
        \mathbf{by}(subst-tuple-mth\ add:\ check-assertI)
    have xa \neq x using check-assert by fastforce
    \mathbf{thus} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (xa, \ B\text{-}bool, \ ca[x::=v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ ; \ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \ \vdash \ s[x::=v]_{sv} \ \Leftarrow \ \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} \ )
      using check-assertI(12)[of (xa, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2 x v] check-assertI subst-gv.simps append-g.simps
by metis
    have \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle \operatorname{proof}(rule \ subst-valid)
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \models c[z::=v]_{cv} \rangle using infer-v-c-valid check-assert by metis
       \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : \ b \ \land \ \mathbf{using} \ \ check-assertI \ \ infer-v-wf \ b-of.simps \ \ subtype-eq-base
         by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_1 \rangle using check-assertI infer-v-wf by metis
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 using check-assertI wfX-wfY by metis
       thus \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma_1 \rangle using check-assert WfG-suffix WfG-elims by metis
       moreover have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} \{z: b \mid c\} using subtype-wfT check-assertI by metis
       moreover have x \neq z using fresh-Pair check-assertI fresh-x-neg by metis
       ultimately show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \rangle using check-assert I \ wfT-fresh-c by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z := [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \rangle using check-assert wfX-wfY by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z ::= [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \models ca \rangle using check-assert by auto
```

```
thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \rangle using check-assertI
         proof -
              show ?thesis
                \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{metis} \; (\textit{no-types}) \; \forall \Gamma = \Gamma_2 \; @ \; (x, \; b, \; c[z ::=[ \; x \; ]^v]_{cv}) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma_1 \forall \; \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma \models \textit{ca} \forall \; \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma_2[x ::=v]_{\Gamma v}) \; (x, \; b, \; c[z ::=[ \; x \; ]^v]_{cv}) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma_1 \forall \; \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma \models \textit{ca} \forall \; \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma_2[x ::=v]_{\Gamma v}) \; (x, \; b, \; c[x ::=v]_{\Gamma v}) \; (x, \; c[x :
@ \Gamma_1 \models ca[x::=v]_{cv} \text{ subst-g-inside valid-g-wf})
         qed
         have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} v : b using infer-v-wf b-of.simps check-assertI
              by (metis subtype-eq-base2)
         thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \rangle using wf-subst2(6) check-assertI by metis
     qed
next
     case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist vv cs \tau css)
    show ?case unfolding * using subst-sv.simps check-branch-list-consI by simp
next
     case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau)
     show ?case unfolding * using subst-sv.simps check-branch-list-final by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const xa \Phi tid cons va sa)
 hence *:(AS-branch cons xa sa)[x:=v]_{sv} = (AS-branch cons xa sa[x:=v]_{sv}) using subst-branch 
fresh-Pair by metis
  show ?case unfolding * proof
         show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}
               using wf-subst check-branch-s-branchI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-wf by metis
         show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using check-branch-s-branch by metis
         show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash_{wf} \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}
               using wf-subst check-branch-s-branchI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps infer-v-wf by metis
         show wft:\Theta; {||}; GNil\vdash_{wf} const using check-branch-s-branchI by metis
         show atom xa \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, tid, cons, const, va[x::=v]_{vv}, \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v})
                   apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,\ (simp\ add:\ check-branch-s-branchI)+)
                   apply(rule, metis fresh-z-subst-q check-branch-s-branchI fresh-Pair)
               by(metis fresh-subst-dv check-branch-s-branchI fresh-Pair)
         have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((xa, b\text{-}of const, CE\text{-}val \ va == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons \ (V\text{-}var \ xa)) AND c-of
const\ xa)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash\ sa[x::=v]_{sv}\ \Leftarrow\ \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
               using check-branch-s-branchI by (metis\ append-g.simps(2))
            moreover have (xa, b\text{-of } const, CE\text{-}val \ va[x::=v]_{vv} == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons \ (V\text{-}var \ xa))
AND c-of (const) xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} =
                                               ((xa, b\text{-}of \ const \ , \ CE\text{-}val \ va \ == \ CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons \ (V\text{-}var \ xa)) \ AND \ c\text{-}of \ const
xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}
         proof -
               have *:xa \neq x using check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base by metis
               have atom x \sharp const using wfT-nil-supp[OF \ wft] fresh-def by auto
             hence atom \ x \ \sharp \ (const,xa) using fresh-at-base \ wfT-nil-supp[OF \ wft] fresh-Pair \ fresh-def \ * \ by \ auto
              moreover hence (c\text{-}of\ (const)\ xa)[x::=v]_{cv} = c\text{-}of\ (const)\ xa
```

```
using c-of-fresh[of x const xa] forget-subst-cv wfT-nil-supp wft by metis
        moreover hence (V\text{-}cons\ tid\ cons\ (V\text{-}var\ xa))[x::=v]_{vv} = (V\text{-}cons\ tid\ cons\ (V\text{-}var\ xa)) using
check-branch-s-branchI subst-vv.simps * by metis
     ultimately show ?thesis using subst-qv.simps check-branch-s-branchI subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps
* by presburger
     qed
     ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (xa, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } va[x:=v]_{vv} == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-cons tid const})
(V\text{-}var\ xa)) AND c-of const xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash sa[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
       by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-let2I xa \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau a s2)
   hence *:(AS\text{-}let2\ xa\ t\ s1\ s2)[x::=v]_{sv} = (AS\text{-}let2\ xa\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ (s1[x::=v]_{sv})\ s2[x::=v]_{sv}) using
subst-sv.simps fresh-Pair by metis
  have xa \neq x using check-let2I fresh-at-base by metis
  show ?case unfolding * proof
     show atom xa \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}, t[x::=v]_{\tau v}, s1[x::=v]_{sv}, \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v})
        \mathbf{by}(subst-tuple-mth\ add:\ check-let2I)
     show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x::=v]_{\tau v} using check-let2I by metis
    have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; ((xa, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ xa) \#_{\Gamma}\ G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x::=v]_{\tau v}
     \mathbf{proof}(rule\ check\text{-}let2I(14))
       show \langle (xa, b \text{-} of t, c \text{-} of t xa) \#_{\Gamma} G = (((xa, b \text{-} of t, c \text{-} of t xa) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_2)) @ (x, b, c[z := [x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma}
\Gamma_1
         using check-let2I append-g.simps by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle using check-let2I by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using check-let2I by metis
       show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (x, \ v) \rangle using check\text{-}let2I by metis
     qed
     moreover have c-of t[x::=v]_{\tau v} xa = (c-of t[xa)[x::=v]_{cv} using subst-v-c-of fresh-Pair check-let 2I
     moreover have b-of t[x::=v]_{\tau v} = b-of t using b-of simps subst-tv simps b-of-subst by metis
    ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (xa, b\text{-}of\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}, c\text{-}of\ t[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ xa)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ G[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}
\vdash s2[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau a[x:=v]_{\tau v}
       using check-let2I(14) subst-gv.simps (xa \neq x) b-of.simps by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' va \tau'' s)
  have **: \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v}@\Gamma_1 using subst-q-inside check-s-wf check-varI by meson
   \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathit{gubst-gv}\ \Gamma\ x\ v\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash\ \mathit{AS-var}\ u\ \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}\ (\mathit{va}[x::=v]_{vv})\ (\mathit{subst-sv}\ s\ x\ v)
\Leftarrow \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v}
   \mathbf{proof}(rule\ Typing.check-varI)
     show atom u \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}, \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v}, \tau'[x:=v]_{\tau v}, va[x:=v]_{vv}, \tau''[x:=v]_{\tau v})
       \mathbf{by}(subst-tuple-mth\ add:\ check-varI)
     show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash va[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} using check-varI subst-infer-check-v ** by
     \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ subst-gv\ \Gamma\ x\ v\ ;\ (u,\ \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v})\ \#_{\Delta}\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash\ s[x::=v]_{sv}\ \Leftarrow\ \tau''[x::=v]_{\tau v}
```

```
proof -
      have wfD \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) ((u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta) using check-varI check-s-wf by
meson
      moreover have wfV \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma_1 v (b-of \tau) using infer-v-wf check-varI(6) check-varI by metis
    have wfD \ominus \mathcal{B} (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) ((u, \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}) \#_{\Delta} \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v}) proof (subst\ subst\ -dv.simps(2)[symmetric],
subst **, rule wfD-subst)
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau using check-varI by auto
        show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 \otimes (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash_{wf} (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta using check-varI check-s-wf by
simp
        show b-of \tau = b using check-varI subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps by auto
      thus ?thesis using check-varI by auto
    qed
  qed
  moreover have atom u \sharp (x,v) using u-fresh-xv by auto
  ultimately show ?case using subst-sv.simps(?) by auto
next
  case (check-assignI P \Phi B \Gamma \Delta u \tau1 v' z1 \tau')
 have wfG P B \Gamma using check-v-wf check-assignI by simp
 hence gs: \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @ \Gamma_1 = \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} using subst-g-inside\ check-assign I by simp
  have P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash AS\text{-assign } u \; (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) \Leftarrow \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v}
  proof(rule Typing.check-assignI)
    show P \vdash_{wf} \Phi using check-assign by auto
    show wfD P \mathcal{B} (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} using wf-subst(15)[OF check-assignI(2)] gs infer-v-v-wf
check-assignI b-of.simps subtype-eq-base2 by metis
    thus (u, \tau 1[x:=v]_{\tau v}) \in setD \ \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v} using check-assign subst-dv-member by metis
    thus P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \tau 1[x::=v]_{\tau v} using subst-infer-check-v check-assign gs
by metis
     have P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} \otimes \Gamma_1 \vdash \{z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \}[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau_v} \text{ proof}(rule)
subst-subtype-tau)
      show P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \text{ using } check-assign I \text{ by } auto
      show P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} \text{ using } check-assign I by meson
      show P ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau' \text{ using } check-assignI
        by (metis\ Abs1-eq\text{-}iff(3)\ \tau.eq\text{-}iff\ c.fresh(1)\ c.perm\text{-}simps(1))
      show atom z \sharp (x, v) using check-assign by auto
    moreover have \{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} [x::=v]_{\tau v} = \{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} using subst-tv.simps
subst-cv.simps check-assignI by presburger
    ultimately show P : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \text{ using } gs \text{ by } auto
  thus ?case using subst-sv.simps(5) by auto
next
  case (check-while I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z' s2 \tau')
  have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} (\Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) using check\text{-}whileI \ check\text{-}s\text{-}wf \ by \ meson
  hence **: \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} @\Gamma_1 using subst-g-inside wf check-while I by auto
   have teq: (\{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \})[x:=v]_{\tau v} = (\{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) by (auto simp add: v)
subst-sv.simps check-whileI)
```

```
moreover have (\{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) = (\{z': B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) using type-eq-flip c.fresh
flip-fresh-fresh by metis
  ultimately have teq2:(\{ z': B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \})[x::=v]_{\tau v} = (\{ z': B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) by metis
 \mathbf{hence}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma\ v}\ ;\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta\ v}\ \vdash\ s1[x::=v]_{s\,v} \Leftarrow\ \{\!\!\{\ z'\colon B\text{-bool}\ \mid\ TRUE\ \}\!\!\}\ \mathbf{using}\ check\text{-}while}I
subst-sv.simps subst-top-eq by metis
  moreover have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z': B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}  using
check-while I subst-top-eq by metis
  \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{moreover have } \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ \vdash \ \{ \ z' : \textit{B-unit} \ \mid \textit{TRUE} \ \} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \ \textbf{proof} - \\ \textbf{have } \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ @ \ \Gamma_1 \ \vdash \ \{ \ z' : \textit{B-unit} \ \mid \textit{TRUE} \ \}[x::=v]_{\tau v} \lesssim \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \ \textbf{proof}(\textit{rule}) \end{array}
subst-subtupe-tau)
       show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau by(auto simp add: check-whileI)
       show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}  by(auto simp add: check-while I)
      show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash \{ z': B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \} \lesssim \tau' using check-while I
       show atom z \sharp (x, v) by(auto simp add: check-whileI)
    thus ?thesis using teq2 ** by auto
  qed
  ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash AS-while s1[x::=v]_{sv} s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow
\tau'[x:=v]_{\tau v}
   using Typing.check-while I by metis
  then show ?case using subst-sv.simps by metis
  case (check-seqI P \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau)
  hence P; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash AS\text{-seq } (s1[x::=v]_{sv}) \ (s2[x::=v]_{sv}) \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
using Typing.check-seqI subst-top-eq check-seqI by metis
  then show ?case using subst-sv.simps by metis
next
  case (check-caseI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v' cs \tau za)
  have wf: wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma using check-case I check-v-wf by simp
  have **: \Gamma[x:=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma_2[x:=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma_1 using subst-g-inside wf check-caseI by auto
   have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash AS\text{-match} (v'[x::=v]_{vv}) (subst-branchlv \ cs \ x \ v) \Leftarrow
\tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} proof(rule Typing.check-caseI)
    show check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} (\Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}) \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} tid delist v'[x::=v]_{vv} (subst-branchly es x v
) (\tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}) using check-case by auto
    show AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \ \Theta using check-caseI by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow \{ za : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} \text{ proof } -
       have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2 @ (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1 \vdash v' \Leftarrow \{ za : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} \}
         using check-caseI by argo
       hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma_v} @ \Gamma_1 \vdash v'[x::=v]_{vv} \Leftarrow (\{ za : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \})[x::=v]_{\tau_v}
           using check-caseI subst-infer-check-v[OF\ check-caseI(7)\ -\ check-caseI(8)\ check-caseI(9)] by
meson
       moreover have (\{za : B \text{-}id \ tid \ | \ TRUE \}) = ((\{za : B \text{-}id \ tid \ | \ TRUE \})[x ::= v]_{\tau v})
         using subst-cv.simps subst-tv.simps subst-cv-true by fast
       ultimately show ?thesis using check-caseI ** by argo
    qed
    show wfTh \Theta using check-caseI by auto
  qed
```

```
thus ?case using subst-branchlv.simps subst-sv.simps(4) by metis
   case (check-ifI z' \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta va s1 s2 \tau')
    show ?case unfolding subst-sv.simps proof
    \mathbf{show} \langle atom\ z'\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v},\ \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v},\ va[x::=v]_{vv},\ s1[x::=v]_{sv},\ s2[x::=v]_{sv},\ \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v})\rangle
          \mathbf{by}(subst-tuple-mth\ add:\ check-ifI)
       have *: \{z': B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}[x:=v]_{\tau v} = \{\{z': B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}\} using subst-tv.simps check-ifI
          by (metis\ freshers(19)\ subst-cv.simps(1)\ type-eq-subst)
       have **: \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma_2[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}@\Gamma_1 using subst-g-inside wf check-ifI check-v-wf by metis
       \mathbf{show} \quad \langle \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma[x ::= v]_{\Gamma v} \; \vdash va[x ::= v]_{vv} \; \Leftarrow \; \{ \; z' : B\text{-}bool \; \mid \; TRUE \; \} \rangle
       \mathbf{proof}(subst *[symmetric], rule subst-infer-check-v1[\mathbf{where} \ \Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 \ \mathbf{and} \ \Gamma_2 = \Gamma_1])
          show \Gamma = \Gamma_2 \otimes ((x, b, c[z:=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma_1) using check-if by metis
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \rangle using check-if by metis
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash va \Leftarrow \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \rangle using check-ifI by metis
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using check-ifI by metis
        show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (x, \ v) \rangle using check-ifI by metis
     qed
by(simp add: subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair check-ifI b-of-subst subst-v-c-of)
    thus (\Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s1[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z': b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]_{vv} \}
]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} \quad IMP \quad c-of \quad \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \quad z'] \} \rangle
         using check-ifI by metis
     have \{z': b\text{-}of \ \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]^{ce} == [[L\text{-}false]^v]^{ce} \ IMP \ c\text{-}of \ \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \ z' \}
= \{ z' : b \text{-} of \ \tau' \mid [va]^{ce} \ == \ [[L \text{-} false]^v]^{ce} \quad IMP \quad c \text{-} of \ \tau' \ z' \ \}[x := v]_{\tau v}
        by(simp add: subst-tv.simps fresh-Pair check-ifI b-of-subst subst-v-c-of)
     thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma[x::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s2[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \{ z' : b\text{-of } \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \mid [va[x::=v]_{vv}]_{vv} \}
]^{ce} == [[L-false]^v]^{ce} \quad IMP \quad c-of \quad \tau'[x::=v]_{\tau v} \quad z']
        using check-ifI by metis
   qed
qed
lemma subst-check-check-s:
   fixes v::v and s::s and cs::branch-s and x::x and c::c and b::b and \Gamma_1::\Gamma and \Gamma_2::\Gamma
   assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c \}  and atom z \sharp (x, v)
   and check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta 's \tau' and \Gamma = (\Gamma_2@((x,b,c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv})\#_{\Gamma}\Gamma_1)) shows check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} (subst-gv\ \Gamma\ x\ v) \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} (s[x::=v]_{sv}) (subst-tv\ \tau'\ x\ v\ )
   obtain \tau where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma_1 \vdash \tau \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \}  using check-v-elims assms by
   thus ?thesis using subst-infer-check-s assms by metis
If a statement checks against a type \tau then it checks against a supertype of \tau
lemma check-s-supertype:
  fixes v::v and s::s and c::b ranch-s and x::x and c::c and b::b and \Gamma::\Gamma and \Gamma'::\Gamma and c::s and c::s
   shows check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta s t1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow check-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta s t2 and
                check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid cons const v cs t1 \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow check-branch-s
\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid cons const v cs t2 and
```

```
check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid delist v ess t1 \Longrightarrow \Theta; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash t1 \lesssim t2 \Longrightarrow check-branch-list
\Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta tid dclist v css t2
proof(induct arbitrary: t2 and t2 and t2 rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)
  case (check-valI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v \tau' \tau )
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash \tau' \lesssim t2 using subtype-trans by meson
  then show ?case using subtype-trans Typing.check-valI check-valI by metis
next
    case (check-letI \ x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c)
  show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-letI)
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, t2) using check-let subtype-fresh-tau fresh-prod by metis
    thm subtype-fresh-tau
    show atom z \sharp (x, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, e, t2, s) using check-let I(2) subtype-fresh-tau [of z \tau \Gamma - - t2]
fresh-prodN check-letI(6) by auto
    show \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma : \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \} \text{ using check-letI by meson} \}
    have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B}((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) using check-let I check-s-wf subst-defs by metis
    moreover have toSet \Gamma \subseteq toSet ((x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by auto
                                \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; (x, \; b, \; c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \; \#_{\Gamma} \; \Gamma \; \vdash \tau \; \lesssim \; t \mathcal{Z} \; \; \mathbf{using} \; \; subtype\text{-}weakening[OF]
     ultimately have
check-letI(6)] by auto
    thus \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, c[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2 \text{ using } check-let I \text{ subst-defs by } met is
  ged
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v \ cs \ \tau \ css)
  then show ?case using Typing.check-branch-list-consI by auto
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v cs \tau)
  then show ?case using Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by auto
next
    case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
    show ?case proof
       have atom x \sharp t2 using subtype-fresh-tau[of x \tau] check-branch-s-branchI(5,8) fresh-prodN by
metis
      thus atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ B, \ \Gamma, \ \Delta, \ tid, \ cons, \ const, \ v, \ t2) using check-branch-s-branch fresh-prodN
by metis
      show wfT \Theta B \Gamma t2 using subtype-wf check-branch-s-branchI by meson
      show \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons}(V\text{-var } x)) AND c\text{-of const}
x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t2 \text{ proof} -
         have wfG \Theta \mathcal{B} ((x, b\text{-}of const, CE\text{-}val \ v == CE\text{-}val(V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons \ (V\text{-}var \ x))
const \ x) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma) using check-s-wf check-branch-s-branchI by metis
        moreover have toSet \ \Gamma \subseteq toSet \ ((x, b\text{-of } const, \ CE\text{-val} \ v == CE\text{-val} \ (V\text{-cons } tid \ cons \ (V\text{-var}))
x)) AND c-of const x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) by auto
        hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; ((x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val } v == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons } (V\text{-var } x)) AND c\text{-of const}
x) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash \tau \lesssim t2
           using check-branch-s-branchI subtype-weakening
           using calculation by presburger
       thus ?thesis using check-branch-s-branchI by presburger
     qed
   qed(auto simp add: check-branch-s-branchI)
```

```
next
  case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-ifI)
    have *: atom z \sharp t2 using subtype-fresh-tau[of z \tau \Gamma] check-ifI fresh-prodN by auto
    thus \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ v,\ s1,\ s2,\ t2)\rangle using check-ifI fresh-prodN by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \rangle using check-ifI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta \ \vdash s1 \ \Leftarrow \ \{ \ z : b\text{-}of \ t2 \ \mid \ [ \ v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ IMP \ c\text{-}of \ t2 \ z \ \} \rangle
       using check-ifI subtype-if1 fresh-prodN base-for-lit.simps b-of.simps * check-v-wf by metis
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \ ; \ \Delta \ \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \{ \ z : b \text{-} of \ t2 \ \mid \ [ \ v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L \text{-} false \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \quad IMP \quad c \text{-} of \ t2 \ z \ \} \rangle
     using check-if subtype-if fresh-prod base-for-lit.simps b-of.simps * check-v-wf by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  thm subtype-fresh-tau[where ?t1.0=\tau and ?x=x]
  show ?case proof
     have atom x \sharp t2 using subtype-fresh-tau[OF - - \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \tau \lesssim t2 \rangle] check-assertI fresh-prodN
by simp
     thus atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, t2, s) using subtype-fresh-tau check-assert fresh-prodN by
    have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash \tau \leq t2 apply(rule subtype-weakening)
       using check-assertI apply simp
       using toSet.simps apply blast
       using check-assertI check-s-wf by simp
    thus \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t 2 \text{ using } check\text{-assert} I \text{ by } simp
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c using check-assert by auto
    show \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \Delta using check-assert by auto
  qed
next
   case (check-let2I x P \Phi B G \Delta t s1 \tau s2 )
  have wfG P \mathcal{B} ((x, b-of t, c-of t x) \#_{\Gamma} G)
    using check-let2I check-s-wf by metis
  moreover have toSet G \subseteq toSet ((x, b 	ext{-}of t, c 	ext{-}of t x) #_{\Gamma} G) by auto
  ultimately have *:P; \mathcal{B}; (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) #_{\Gamma} G \vdash \tau \lesssim t2 using check-let2I subtype-weakening
by metis
  show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-let2I)
    have atom x \sharp t2 using subtype-fresh-tau[of x \tau] check-let2I fresh-prodN by metis
    thus atom x \sharp (P, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, G, \Delta, t, s1, t2) using check-let21 fresh-prodN by metis
    show P ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; G ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t using check-let2I by blast
    show P : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : (x, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t x) \#_{\Gamma} G : \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow t2 \text{ using } check\text{-let2}I * \text{ by } blast
  qed
next
  case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
  show ?case proof(rule Typing.check-varI)
    have atom u \sharp t2 using u-fresh-t by auto
    thus (atom\ u\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \mathcal{B},\ \Gamma,\ \Delta,\ \tau',\ v,\ t2)) using check-varI fresh-prodN by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle using check-varI by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow t 2 \rangle using check-varI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-assign I \Delta u \tau P G v z \tau')
```

```
then show ?case using Typing.check-assignI by (meson subtype-trans)
  case (check-while I \Delta G P s1 z s2 \tau')
  then show ?case using Typing.check-whileI by (meson subtype-trans)
next
  case (check-seqI \triangle G P s1 z s2 \tau)
  then show ?case using Typing.check-seqI by blast
next
  case (check-case I \Delta \Gamma \Theta tid cs \tau v z)
  then show ?case using Typing.check-caseI subtype-trans by meson
qed
lemma subtype-let:
  fixes s'::s and cs::branch-s and cs::branch-list and v::v
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G}Nil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x e_1 s \leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{G}Nil; \Delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow \tau_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta
       \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow \tau_2 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash \tau_2 \lesssim \tau_1 \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash \mathit{AS-let}
x e_2 s \Leftarrow \tau  and
     check-branch-s \Theta \Phi {||} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
    check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \{ || \} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid dclist } v \text{ css } \tau \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct GNil \triangle AS-let x e_1 s \tau and \tau and \tau avoiding: e_2 \tau_1 \tau_2
          rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (check-letI x1 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Delta \tau 1 z1 s1 b1 c1)
  obtain z2 and b2 and c2 where t2:\tau_2 = \{ z2: b2 \mid c2 \} \land atom z2 \sharp (x1, \Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, GNil, \Delta, e_2,
    using obtain-fresh-z by metis
   obtain z1a and b1a and c1a where t1:\tau_1 = \{ z1a : b1a \mid c1a \} \land atom z1a \sharp x1 using
infer-e-uniqueness check-letI by metis
  hence t3: { z1a : b1a \mid c1a } = { z1 : b1 \mid c1 } using infer-e-uniqueness check-letI by metis
  have beg: b1a = b2 \land b2 = b1 using check-let subtype-eq-base t1 t2 t3 by metis
  have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x1 e_2 s1 \Leftarrow \tau 1 proof
    show (atom x1 \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, B, GNil, \Delta, e_2, \tau 1)) using check-let t2 fresh-prod N by metis
     show \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ (x1, \ \Theta, \ \Phi, \ B, \ GNil, \ \Delta, \ e_2, \ \tau 1, \ s1) \rangle using check-let t2 using check-let t2
fresh-prodN by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow \{ z2 : b2 \mid c2 \} \rangle using check-let t2 by metis
    have \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil@(x1, b2, c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau 1 \rangle
    proof(rule ctx-subtype-s)
       have c1a[z1a::=[x1]^v]_{cv} = c1[z1::=[x1]^v]_{cv} using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-c-def t3 \tau-eq-iff
     thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil @ (x1, b2, c1a[z1a::=[x1]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau1 \rangle using check-letI
beq append-g.simps subst-defs by metis
      show \langle\Theta\;;\;\mathcal{B}\;;\;GNil\;\vdash\;\{\mid z2:b2\mid|\;c2\mid\}\lesssim\{\mid z1a:b2\mid|\;c1a\mid\}\rangle using check\text{-letI} beq t1\;t2 by metis
      have atom x1 \sharp c2 using t2 check-letI \tau-fresh-c fresh-prodN by blast
      moreover have atom x1 \sharp c1a using t1 check-let1 \tau-fresh-c fresh-prodN by blast
      ultimately show (atom\ x1\ \sharp\ (z1a,\ z2,\ c1a,\ c2)) using t1\ t2\ fresh-prodN\ fresh-x-neq by metis
    qed
```

```
thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b2, c2[z2::=[x1]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau1 \rangle using append-g.simps subst-defs by metis qed

moreover have AS-let x1 e_2 s1 = AS-let x e_2 s using check-letI s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis

ultimately show ?case by metis

qed(auto+)

end
```

# Chapter 15

# Base Type Variable Substitition Lemmas

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-vv\text{-}subst\text{-}bb\text{-}commute:
 fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
 assumes atom by \sharp v
 shows (v'[x::=v]_{vv})[bv::=b]_{vb} = (v'[bv::=b]_{vb})[x::=v]_{vv}
using assms proof(nominal-induct v' rule: v.strong-induct)
 case (V-lit x)
 then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
next
 case (V\text{-}var\ y)
 hence v[bv:=b]_{vb}=v using forget-subst subst-b-v-def by metis
 then show ?case unfolding subst-vb.simps(2) subst-vv.simps(2) using V-var by auto
next
 case (V-pair x1a \ x2a)
 then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
 case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
 then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
 case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
 then show ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-vv.simps by simp
qed
lemma subst-cev-subst-bb-commute:
 fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
 assumes atom by \sharp v
 shows (ce[x::=v]_v)[bv::=b]_{ceb} = (ce[bv::=b]_{ceb})[x::=v]_v
 using assms apply (nominal-induct ce rule: ce.strong-induct, (simp add: subst-vv-subst-bb-commute
subst-ceb.simps\ subst-cv.simps))
 {\bf using} \ assms \ subst-vv-subst-bb-commute \ subst-ceb.simps \ subst-cv.simps
 apply (simp add: subst-v-ce-def)+
 done
```

 ${f lemma}\ subst-cv-subst-bb-commute:$ 

```
fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v::v
    assumes atom by \sharp v
    shows c[x:=v]_{cv}[bv:=b]_{cb} = (c[bv:=b]_{cb})[x:=v]_{cv}
    using assms apply (nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct)
    using assms subst-vv-subst-bb-commute subst-ceb.simps subst-cv.simps
     subst-v-c-def subst-b-c-def apply auto
    using subst-cev-subst-bb-commute subst-v-ce-def apply auto+
    done
{f thm}\ subst-cv-subst-bb-commute
lemma subst-b-c-of:
    (c - of \tau z)[bv := b]_{cb} = c - of (\tau [bv := b]_{\tau b}) z
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \tau \ avoiding: z \ rule:\tau.strong\text{-}induct)
    case (T-refined-type z' b' c')
   moreover have atom by \sharp [z]^v using fresh-at-base v.fresh by auto
  ultimately show ?case using subst-cv-subst-bb-commute[of bv V-var z c' z' b] c-of.simps subst-tb.simps
by metis
qed
lemma subst-b-of:
    (b - of \tau)[bv := b]_{bb} = b - of (\tau[bv := b]_{\tau b})
by (nominal-induct \tau rule:\tau.strong-induct, simp add: b-of.simps subst-tb.simps)
lemma subst-b-if:
    \{z: b\text{-}of \ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \mid CE\text{-}val \ (v[bv::=b]_{v b}) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ ll) \quad IMP \ c\text{-}of \ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \ z \} = CE\text{-}val \ (v[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \ z \}
\{z: b\text{-}of \ \tau \mid CE\text{-}val\ (v) == CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ ll) \quad IMP\ c\text{-}of\ \tau\ z\ \}[bv::=b]_{\tau b}
  unfolding subst-tb.simps subst-cb.simps subst-ceb.simps subst-vb.simps using subst-b-b-of subst-b-c-of
\mathbf{by} auto
lemma subst-b-top-eq:
    \{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} \text{ and } \{z: B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \{z: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} = \{z: B\text{-}uni
\{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} \} and \{ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} \} = \{ \{ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} \} \}
   unfolding subst-tb.simps subst-bb.simps subst-cb.simps by auto
lemmas subst-b-eq = subst-b-c-of subst-b-b-of subst-b-if subst-b-top-eq
lemma subst-cx-subst-bb-commute[simp]:
    fixes bv::bv and b::b and x::x and v'::c
   shows (v'[x::=V\text{-}var\ y]_{cv})[bv::=b]_{cb} = (v'[bv::=b]_{cb})[x::=V\text{-}var\ y]_{cv}
    using subst-cv-subst-bb-commute fresh-at-base v.fresh by auto
lemma subst-b-infer-b:
    fixes l::l and b::b
    assumes \vdash l \Rightarrow \tau and \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b and B = \{|bv|\}
   shows \vdash l \Rightarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
   using assms infer-l-form3 infer-l-form4 wf-b-subst infer-l-wf subst-tb.simps base-for-lit.simps subst-tb.simps
     subst-b-base-for-lit\ subst-cb.simps(6)\ subst-ceb.simps(1)\ subst-vb.simps(1)\ subst-vb.simps(2)\ type-l-eq
    by metis
```

```
lemma subst-b-subtype:
  fixes s::s and b'::b
  assumes \Theta; \{|bv|\}; \Gamma \vdash \tau 1 \lesssim \tau 2 and \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b' and B = \{|bv|\}
  shows \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} \vdash \tau 1[bv:=b']_{\tau b} \lesssim \tau 2[bv:=b']_{\tau b}
using assms proof(nominal-induct \{|bv|\}\ \Gamma \tau 1 \tau 2 rule:subtype.strong-induct)
  case (subtype-baseI \ x \ \Theta \ \Gamma \ z \ c \ z' \ c' \ b)
  hence **: \Theta; {|bv|}; (x, b, c[z:=V-var x]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models c'[z':=V-var x]_{cv} using validI subst-defs
by metis
  thm \ Typing.subtype-baseI
  have \Theta ; {||} ; \Gamma[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} \vdash \{ z : b[bv:=b']_{bb} \mid c[bv:=b']_{cb} \} \leq \{ z' : b[bv:=b']_{bb} \mid c'[bv:=b']_{cb} \}
\mathbf{show} \,\,\Theta \,\,; \,\, \{||\} \,\,; \,\, \Gamma[bv := b']_{\Gamma b} \quad \vdash_{wf} \,\, \{ \,\, z \,: \,\, b[bv := b']_{bb} \,\, \mid \,\, c[bv := b']_{cb} \,\,\}
      using subtype-baseI assms wf-b-subst(4) subst-tb.simps subst-defs by metis
    \mathbf{show} \,\, \Theta \,\, ; \,\, \{||\} \,\, ; \,\, \Gamma[bv ::=b']_{\Gamma b} \quad \vdash_{wf} \,\, \{\!\![ \,\, z' \,:\, b[bv ::=b']_{bb} \,\,\, |\,\, c'[bv ::=b']_{cb} \,\, \}\!\!]
      using subtype-baseI assms wf-b-subst(4) subst-tb.simps by metis
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \{||\} :: bv fset, \Gamma[bv ::= b']_{\Gamma b}, z, c[bv ::= b']_{cb}, z', c'[bv ::= b']_{cb})
      \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{unfold}\;\mathit{fresh-prodN}, \mathit{auto}\;\mathit{simp}\;\mathit{add}\colon *\mathit{fresh-prodN}\;\mathit{fresh-empty-fset})
      using subst-b-fresh-x * fresh-prodN \land (atom x \sharp c) \land (atom x \sharp c') subst-defs subtype-baseI by <math>metis+
      have \Theta ; {||} ; (x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c[z:=V-var \ x]_v[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} \models c'[z':=V-var \ x]_v[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b}
x|_v[bv:=b']_{cb}
      using ** subst-b-valid subst-gb.simps assms subtype-baseI by metis
   thus \Theta ; {||} ; (x, b[bv:=b']_{bb}, (c[bv:=b']_{cb})[z:=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv:=b']_{\Gamma b} \models (c'[bv:=b']_{cb})[z':=V-var x]_v)
x|_v
      using subst-defs subst-cv-subst-bb-commute by (metis subst-cx-subst-bb-commute)
  thus ?case using subtype-baseI subst-tb.simps subst-defs by metis
qed
lemma b-of-subst-bv:
  (b - of \tau)[x := v]_{bb} = b - of (\tau [x := v]_{\tau b})
  obtain z \ b \ c where *:\tau = \{ z : b \mid c \} \land atom \ z \ \sharp \ (x,v) \ using \ obtain-fresh-z \ by \ metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-tv.simps * by auto
qed
lemma subst-b-infer-v:
  fixes v::v and b::b
  assumes \Theta; B; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau and \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b and B = \{|bv|\}
  shows \Theta; {||}; G[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: b bv rule: infer-v.strong-induct)
  case (infer-v-varI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma b' c x z)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof
    show \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using infer-v-varI wf-b-subst by metis
    show Some (b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) = lookup \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} x using subst-b-lookup infer-v-varI by
metis
    show atom z \sharp x using infer-v-varI by auto
    show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}) by(fresh-mth add: infer-v-varI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-\Gamma-def
fresh-prodN fresh-empty-fset)
```

```
qed
next
  case (infer-v-litI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma l \tau)
  \textbf{then show} \ ? case \ \textbf{using} \ \textit{Typing.infer-v-litI} \ subst-b-infer-b
    using wf-b-subst1(3) by auto
next
  case (infer-v-pairI z v1 v2 \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma t1 t2)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps b-of-subst-bv proof
    show atom z \sharp (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}, v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) by (fresh-mth\ add:\ infer-v-pairI\ subst-b-fresh-x)
     show atom z \not\equiv (\Theta, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}) by (fresh-mth\ add:\ infer-v-pairI\ subst-b-fresh-x\ subst-b-\Gamma-def
fresh-empty-fset)
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v1[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow t1[bv:=b]_{\tau b} using infer-v-pair by auto
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v2[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow t2[bv:=b]_{\tau b} using infer-v-pair by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-v-consI s dclist \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv z)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps b-of-subst-bv proof
    show AF-typedef s dclist \in set \Theta using infer-v-consI by auto
    show (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \ using \ infer-v-consI \ by \ auto
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow tv[bv:=b]_{\tau b} using infer-v-consI by auto
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash tv[bv:=b]_{\tau b} \lesssim tc \text{ proof}
      have atom by \sharp to using wfTh-lookup-supp-empty fresh-def infer-v-consI infer-v-wf by fast
      moreover have \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash tv[bv:=b]_{\tau b} \lesssim tc[bv:=b]_{\tau b}
        using subst-b-subtype infer-v-consI by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis using forget-subst subst-b-\tau-def by metis
    qed
    show atom z \sharp v[bv:=b]_{vb} using infer-v-consI using subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def by metis
    show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \{||\}, \Gamma|bv := b|_{\Gamma b}) by (fresh-mth\ add: infer-v-consI\ subst-b-fresh-x\ subst-b-\Gamma-def
fresh-empty-fset)
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-v-conspI s bv2 dclist2 \Theta dc tc \mathcal{B} \Gamma v tv ba z)
 thm Typing.infer-v-conspI
 \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}\vdash\ V\text{-}consp\ s\ dc\ (ba[bv::=b]_{bb})\ (v[bv::=b]_{vb})\Rightarrow \{\!\{z:B\text{-}app\ s\ (ba[bv::=b]_{bb})
[[z]^v]^{ce} == [V-consp\ s\ dc\ (ba[bv:=b]_{bb})\ (v[bv:=b]_{vb})]^{ce}
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\ Typing.infer-v-conspI)
     show AF-typedef-poly s bv2 dclist2 \in set \Theta using infer-v-conspI by auto
     show (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist2 using infer-v-conspI by auto
     show \Theta ; {||} ; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow tv[bv:=b]_{\tau b}
       using infer-v-conspI subst-tb.simps by metis
     show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash tv[bv:=b]_{\tau b} \lesssim tc[bv2:=ba[bv:=b]_{bb}]_{\tau b} proof –
       have supp\ tc \subseteq \{\ atom\ bv2\ \} using infer-v-conspI\ wfTh-poly-lookup-supp\ wfX-wfY\ by\ metis
       moreover have bv2 \neq bv using \langle atom \ bv2 \ \sharp \ B \rangle \ \langle B = \{|bv|\} \ \rangle fresh-at-base fresh-def
         using fresh-finsert by fastforce
       ultimately have atom \ bv \ \sharp \ tc \ unfolding \ fresh-def \ by \ auto
       hence tc[bv2:=ba[bv:=b]_{bb}]_{\tau b} = tc[bv2:=ba]_{\tau b}[bv:=b]_{\tau b}
         using subst-tb-commute by metis
       \mathbf{moreover} \ \ \mathbf{have} \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ \vdash \ tv[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \lesssim \ tc[bv2::=ba]_{\tau b}[bv::=b]_{\tau b}
         using infer-v-conspI(7) subst-b-subtype infer-v-conspI by metis
       ultimately show ?thesis by auto
     qed
```

```
show atom z \sharp (\Theta, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b}, v[bv := b]_{vb}, ba[bv := b]_{bb})
      apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI, auto simp add: infer-v-conspI fresh-empty-fset)
       using \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle fresh-subst-if subst-b-\Gamma-def x-fresh-b apply metis
        using \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ v \rangle fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def x-fresh-b by metis
      show atom bv2 \sharp (\Theta, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}, v[bv:=b]_{vb}, ba[bv:=b]_{bb})
        apply(unfold fresh-prodN, intro conjI, auto simp add: infer-v-conspI fresh-empty-fset)
        using \langle atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ b\rangle\ \langle atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ \Gamma\rangle\ fresh\text{-subst-if}\quad subst-b-\Gamma\text{-}def\ \mathbf{apply}\ met is
        \mathbf{using} \ \langle atom \ bv2 \ \sharp \ b\rangle \ \ \langle atom \ bv2 \ \sharp \ v\rangle \ fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}if \quad subst\text{-}b\text{-}v\text{-}def \ \mathbf{apply} \ met is
        using \langle atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ b\rangle \langle atom\ bv2\ \sharp\ ba\rangle fresh-subst-if subst-b-def by metis
     show \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} ba[bv:=b]_{bb}
       using infer-v-conspI wf-b-subst by metis
  thus ?case using subst-vb.simps subst-tb.simps subst-bb.simps by simp
qed
lemma subst-b-check-v:
 fixes v::v and b::b
 assumes \Theta; B; G \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau and \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b and B = \{|bv|\}
 shows \Theta; {||}; G[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv:=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
proof
 obtain \tau' where \Theta; B; G \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \land \Theta; B; G \vdash \tau' \lesssim \tau using check-v-elims[OF assms(1)] by
metis
  thus ?thesis using subst-b-subtype subst-b-infer-v assms
      by (metis (no-types) check-v-subtypeI subst-b-infer-v subst-b-subtype)
  \mathbf{qed}
lemma subst-vv-subst-vb-switch:
  shows (v'[bv:=b']_{vb})[x:=v[bv:=b']_{vb}]_{vv} = v'[x:=v]_{vv}[bv:=b']_{vb}
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ v'\ rule:v.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (V-lit x)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps by auto
next
  case (V-var x)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps by auto
next
  case (V-pair x1a \ x2a)
 then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh by auto
  case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh by auto
  case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
  then show ?case using subst-vv.simps subst-vb.simps v.fresh pure-fresh
    by (metis forget-subst subst-b-def)
qed
lemma subst-cev-subst-vb-switch:
  shows (ce[bv:=b']_{ceb})[x:=v[bv:=b']_{vb}]_{cev} = (ce[x:=v]_{cev})[bv:=b']_{ceb}
by (nominal-induct ce rule:ce.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-vv-subst-vb-switch ce.fresh)
```

```
lemma subst-cv-subst-vb-switch:
  shows (c[bv:=b']_{cb})[x:=v[bv:=b']_{vb}]_{cv} = c[x:=v]_{cv}[bv:=b']_{cb}
by (nominal-induct c rule: c.strong-induct, auto simp add: subst-cev-subst-vb-switch c.fresh)
lemma subst-tv-subst-vb-switch:
  shows (\tau[bv:=b']_{\tau b})[x:=v[bv:=b']_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v}[bv:=b']_{\tau b}
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \tau \ avoiding: x \ v \ rule:\tau.strong\text{-}induct)
 case (T-refined-type z \ b \ c)
 hence ceq: (c[bv:=b']_{cb})[x:=v[bv:=b']_{vb}]_{cv} = c[x:=v]_{cv}[bv:=b']_{cb} using subst-cv-subst-vb-switch by
  moreover have atom z \sharp v[bv:=b']_{vb} using x-fresh-b fresh-subst-if subst-b-v-def T-refined-type by
 \mathbf{hence} \; \{ \; z : b \; | \; c \; \} [bv := b']_{\tau b} [x := v[bv := b']_{v b}]_{\tau v} = \{ \; z : b[bv := b']_{b b} \; | \; (c[bv := b']_{c b})[x := v[bv := b']_{v b}]_{c v} \}
    using subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps T-refined-type fresh-Pair by metis
  moreover have \{z: b[bv:=b']_{bb} \mid (c[bv:=b']_{cb})[x:=v[bv:=b']_{vb}]_{cv}\} = \{z: b \mid c[x:=v]_{cv}\}_{cv}\}_{cv}
[bv:=b']_{\tau b}
   using subst-tv.simps\ subst-tb.simps\ ceq\ \tau.fresh\ forget-subst[of\ bv\ b\ b']\ subst-b-b-def\ T-refined-type\ by
metis
 ultimately show ?case using subst-tv.simps subst-tb.simps ceq T-refined-type by auto
qed
lemma subst-tb-triple:
 assumes atom by \sharp \tau'
  shows \tau'[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{\tau b}[x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{v b}]_{\tau v} = \tau'[bv'::=b']_{\tau b}[x'::=v']_{\tau v}[bv::=b]_{\tau b}
  \mathbf{have} \ \tau'[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{\tau b}[x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v} = \tau'[bv'::=b']_{\tau b}[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \ [x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_{\tau v}
    using subst-tb-commute \langle atom\ bv\ \sharp\ \tau'\rangle by auto
  also have ... = \tau'[bv':=b']_{\tau b} [x':=v']_{\tau v} [bv:=b]_{\tau b}
    using subst-tv-subst-vb-switch by auto
   finally show ?thesis using fresh-subst-if forget-subst by auto
 qed
lemma subst-b-infer-e:
  fixes s::s and b::b
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; B; G; D \vdash e \Rightarrow \tau and \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b and B = \{|bv|\}
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; G[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}; D[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash (e[bv:=b]_{eb}) \Rightarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
using assms proof(nominal-induct avoiding: b rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
  case (infer-e-vall \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau)
 thus ?case using subst-eb.simps infer-e.intros wf-b-subst subst-db.simps wf-b-subst infer-v-wf subst-b-infer-v
    by (metis forget-subst ms-fresh-all(1) wfV-b-fresh)
next
  case (infer-e-plus I \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  thm wf-b-subst(15)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps subst-eb.simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-plusI)
     show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-plusI
```

```
wfX-wfY
         by (metis\ wf-b-subst(15))
      show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-plus  by auto
       show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{|z1:B\text{-}int| | c1[bv::=b]_{cb}|\} using subst\text{-}b\text{-}infer\text{-}v
infer-e-plusI subst-b-simps by force
       show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v2[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{||z2|: B\text{-}int|| c2[bv::=b]_{cb}|\} using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-plusI subst-b-simps by force
    show atom\ z3 \ \sharp\ AE-op Plus\ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb})\ (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) using subst-b-simps infer-e-plusI\ subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
      show atom z3 \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-plusI by auto
   qed
next
   case (infer-e-leg I \Theta B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-legI)
        show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}
                                                                                                         using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-leqI
wfX-wfY
         by (metis\ wf-b-subst(15))
      show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-leq  by auto
       show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{|z1:B\text{-}int| \mid c1[bv::=b]_{cb}|\} using subst\text{-}b\text{-}infer\text{-}v
infer-e-leqI subst-b-simps by force
       show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v2[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{||z2|: B\text{-}int|| c2[bv::=b]_{cb}|\} using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-leqI subst-b-simps by force
    show atom z3 \sharp AE-op LEq(v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) using subst-b-simps infer-e-leqI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
      show atom z3 \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-leq by auto
   \mathbf{qed}
next
   case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 bb c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-eqI)
        show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-eqI
wfX-wfY
         by (metis \ wf-b-subst(15))
      show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-eqI by auto
    \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ; \{||\}\ ; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{\![z1:bb[bv::=b]_{bb}\ |\ c1[bv::=b]_{cb}\ \}\!]\ \mathbf{using}\ subst-b-infer-value for the subst-b-infer-value for 
infer-e-eqI subst-b-simps by force
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v2[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{|z2:bb[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid c2[bv::=b]_{cb}\}\} using subst-b-infer-v
infer-e-eqI subst-b-simps by force
    show atom\ z3\ \sharp\ AE-op\ Eq\ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb})\ (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) using subst-b-simps infer-e-eqI subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-e-def by metis
      show atom z3 \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-eqI by auto
      show bb[bv:=b]_{bb} \in \{B\text{-}bool, B\text{-}int, B\text{-}unit\} \text{ using } infer\text{-}e\text{-}eqI \text{ by } auto
   qed
next
   case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b' c \tau' s' v \tau)
   show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-appI)
        show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-appI
wfX-wfY by (metis\ wf-b-subst(15))
      show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-appI by auto
        show Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b' c \tau' s'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f using
infer-e-appI by auto
```

**have** atom bv  $\sharp$  b' using  $\langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle$  infer-e-appI wfPhi-f-supp fresh-def [of atom bv b'] by simp

```
hence b' = b'[bv := b]_{bb} using subst-b-simps
      using has-subst-b-class.forget-subst subst-b-def by force
    moreover have ceq: c = c[bv:=b]_{cb} using subst-b-simps proof –
      have supp \ c \subseteq \{atom \ x\} using infer-e-appI wfPhi-f-simple-supp-c[OF - \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle] by simp
      hence atom \ bv \ \sharp \ c \ using
           fresh-def[of\ atom\ bv\ c]
        using fresh-def fresh-finsert insert-absorb
        insert-subset ms-fresh-all supp-at-base x-not-in-b-set fresh-prodN by metis
      thus ?thesis
        using forget-subst subst-b-c-def fresh-def [of atom bv c] by metis
    qed
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{\mid x:b' \mid c \mid \}
      \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{subst-b-check-v}\ \mathit{subst-tb.simps}\ \mathit{subst-vb.simps}\ \mathit{infer-e-appI}
    proof
      have \Theta; {|bv|}; \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{|x:b'|c|\}
        \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ \langle \mathcal{B} = \{ |\textit{bv}| \} \rangle \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \vdash \textit{v} \ \Leftarrow \ \{ \ \textit{x} \ : \ \textit{b'} \mid \textit{c} \ \} \rangle)
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis\ (no\text{-}types)\ \Theta\ ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b \land b' = b'[bv := b]_{bb} \land subst-b\text{-}check-v\ subst-tb.simps\ ceq)
   qed
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}::bv \ fset, \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}, v[bv:=b]_{vb}, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
      apply (fresh-mth add: fresh-prodN subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-appI)
      using subst-b-fresh-x infer-e-appI apply metis+
      done
    have supp \tau' \subseteq \{ atom \ x \} using wfPhi-f-simple-supp-t infer-e-appI by auto
    hence atom by \sharp \tau' using fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
    then show \tau'[x::=v[bv::=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using infer-e-appI
        forget-subst-subst-b-\tau-def subst-tv-subst-vb-switch subst-defs by metis
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-appPI \Theta' \mathcal{B} \Gamma' \Delta \Phi' b' f' bv' x' b1 c \tau' s' v' \tau 1)
 have beq: b1[bv'::=b']_{bb}[bv::=b]_{bb} = b1[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_{bb}
  proof -
    have supp \ b1 \subseteq \{ atom \ bv' \} using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-b infer-e-appPI
      using supp-at-base by blast
    moreover have bv \neq bv' using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
      by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
    ultimately have atom by \sharp b1 using fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
 have ceq: (c[bv':=b']_{cb})[bv:=b]_{cb} = c[bv':=b']_{bb}]_{cb} proof -
    have supp c \subseteq \{ atom \ bv', \ atom \ x' \} using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-c infer-e-appPI
      using supp-at-base by blast
    moreover have bv \neq bv' using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
      by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
    moreover have atom x' \neq atom \ bv \ by \ auto
    ultimately have atom by \sharp c using fresh-def of atom by c fresh-at-base by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
 show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-appPI)
```

```
\mathbf{show}\ \Theta'\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}\vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}\ \ \mathbf{using}\ \textit{wf-b-subst-subst-db.simps infer-e-appPI wfX-wfY}
by metis
    show \Theta' \vdash_{wf} \Phi' using infer-e-appPI by auto
     show Some (AF-fundef f'(AF-fun-typ-some bv'(AF-fun-typ x'b1c\tau's'))) = lookup-fun \Phi'f'
using infer-e-appPI by auto
    thus \Theta'; \{||\}; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v'[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{|x':b1[bv'::=b'|bv::=b]_{bb}]_{b} \mid c[bv'::=b'|bv::=b]_{bb}]_{b}
}
      \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{subst-b-check-v}\ \mathit{subst-tb.simps}\ \mathit{subst-b-simps}\ \mathit{infer-e-appPI}
    proof
     have \Theta'; {||}; \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v'[bv::=b]_{vb} \leftarrow \{ x' : b1[bv'::=b']_b[bv::=b]_{bb} \mid (c[bv'::=b']_b)[bv::=b]_{cb} \}
        using infer-e-appPI subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps by metis
      thus ?thesis using beq ceq subst-defs by metis
    show atom x' \sharp \Gamma'[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-appPI by auto
    show \tau'[bv':=b'[bv:=b]_{bb}]_b[x':=v'[bv:=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau 1[bv:=b]_{\tau b} proof –
      have supp \tau' \subseteq \{ atom \ x', atom \ bv' \} using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t infer-e-appPI by auto
      moreover hence bv \neq bv' using infer-e-appPI fresh-def supp-at-base
        by (simp add: fresh-def supp-at-base)
      ultimately have atom by \sharp \tau' using fresh-def by force
    hence \tau'[bv'::=b'[bv::=b]_{bb}]_b[x'::=v'[bv::=b]_{vb}]_v = \tau'[bv'::=b']_b[x'::=v']_v[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using subst-tb-triple
subst-defs by auto
      thus ?thesis using infer-e-appPI by metis
    qed
    show atom bv' \sharp (\Theta', \Phi', \{||\}, \Gamma'[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, v'[bv::=b]_{vb}, \tau 1[bv::=b]_{\tau b})
      unfolding fresh-prodN apply( auto simp add: infer-e-appPI fresh-empty-fset)
    \textbf{using} \ \textit{fresh-subst-if} \ \textit{subst-b-}\Gamma - \textit{def} \ \textit{subst-b-}\Delta - \textit{def} \ \textit{subst-b-}b - \textit{def} \ \textit{subst-b-}\nu - \textit{def} \ \textit{subst-b-}\tau - \textit{def} \ \textit{infer-e-appPI}
by metis+
    show \Theta'; {||} \vdash_{wf} b'[bv:=b]_{bb} using infer-e-appPI wf-b-subst by simp
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-fstI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 b2 c z)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-fstI)
      show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-fstI
wfX-wfY
      by (metis \ wf-b-subst(15))
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-fstI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ \vdash \ v[bv::=b]_{vb} \ \Rightarrow \ \{|z': B\text{-}pair \ b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ \mid \ c[bv::=b]_{cb} \ \}
      using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-fstI by force
    show atom z \sharp AE-fst (v[bv:=b]_{vb}) using infer-e-fstI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show atom z \sharp \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-fstI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ b1 \ b2 \ c \ z)
    show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-sndI)
     show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}
                                                                      using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-sndI
wfX-wfY
      by (metis \ wf-b-subst(15))
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-sndI by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ \vdash \ v[bv::=b]_{vb} \ \Rightarrow \ \{z': B\text{-}pair \ b1[bv::=b]_{bb} \ b2[bv::=b]_{bb} \ \mid \ c[bv::=b]_{cb} \ \}
      using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-sndI by force
```

```
show atom z \sharp AE-snd (v[bv::=b]_{vb}) using infer-e-sndI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show atom z \sharp \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-sndI by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-lenI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ c \ z)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-lenI)
     show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-lenI
wfX-wfY
      by (metis \ wf-b-subst(15))
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-len  by auto
    show \Theta ; {||} ; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{|z': B\text{-}bitvec \mid c[bv:=b]_{cb}\}
      using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-lenI by force
    show atom z \sharp AE-len (v[bv:=b]_{vb}) using infer-e-len subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show atom z \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-q-b-x-fresh infer-e-len by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi \Delta u \tau)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-eb.simps, rule Typing.infer-e-mvarI)
    show \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using infer-e-mvarI wf-b-subst by auto
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-mvarI by auto
      show \Theta; {||} ; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}
                                                                            using infer-e-mvarI using wf-b-subst(10)
subst-db.simps infer-e-sndI wfX-wfY
      by (metis\ wf-b-subst(15))
    show (u, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \ \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using infer-e-mvarI subst-db.simps set-insert
        subst-d-b-member by simp
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-concatI)
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst(10) subst-db.simps infer-e-concatI
wfX-wfY
      by (metis \ wf-b-subst(15))
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using infer-e-concat by auto
    show \Theta ; {||} ; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v1[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \mid c1[bv:=b]_{cb} \}
      using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-concatI by force
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v2[bv:=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{|z2:B\text{-}bitvec||c2[bv:=b]_{cb}|\}
      using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-concatI by force
      show atom z3 \sharp AE-concat (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) using infer-e-concat subst-b-fresh-x
subst-b-v-def e.fresh by metis
    show atom z3 \sharp \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-concat by auto
  qed
next
  case (infer-e-splitI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.infer-e-splitI)
    \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta \ ; \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \ ) \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{wf-b-subst}(10) \ \textit{subst-db.simps infer-e-splitI}
wfX-wfY
      by (metis \ wf-b-subst(15))
    show \langle \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using infer-e-split by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \{ || \} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v1[bv::=b]_{vb} \Rightarrow \{ \ z1 : B\text{-}bitvec \ | \ c1[bv::=b]_{cb} \ \} \rangle
      using subst-b-infer-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-split by force
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \{ || \} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \ \vdash v2[bv := b]_{vb} \ \leftarrow \ \{ \ z2 \ : B \text{-}int \ \mid \ [ \ leq \ [ \ [ \ L \text{-}num \ \theta \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ z2 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce}
]^{ce} == [[L-true]^v]^{ce} AND
```

```
 [ leq [ [ z2 ]^v ]^{ce} [ | [ v1[bv::=b]_{vb} ]^{ce} | ]^{ce} ]^{ce} == [ [ L-true ]^v ]^{ce} ] 
      using subst-b-check-v subst-tb.simps subst-b-simps infer-e-splitI
    proof -
      \mathbf{have} \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ \vdash \ v2[bv::=b]_{vb} \ \Leftarrow \ \{\![ \ z2 \ : B\text{-}int \ | \ [ \ leq \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ \theta \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ z2 \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ ]^{ce} \ ]^{ce} 
using infer-e-splitI.hyps(7) infer-e-splitI.prems(1) infer-e-splitI.prems(2) subst-b-check-v by
presburger
      then show ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
  show \langle atom\ z1\ \sharp\ AE\text{-split}\ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb})\ (v2[bv::=b]_{vb})\rangle using infer-e-splitI subst-b-fresh-x subst-b-v-def
e.fresh by metis
    show \langle atom \ z1 \ \sharp \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \rangle using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-split  by auto
  \mathbf{show} \ \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) \ (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ infer-e\text{-}split \ I \ subst-b\text{-}fresh-x \ subst-b-v\text{-}def
e.fresh by metis
    show \langle atom \ z2 \ \sharp \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \rangle using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-split by auto
 \mathbf{show} \ \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ (v1[bv::=b]_{vb}) \ (v2[bv::=b]_{vb}) \ \mathbf{using} \ infer-e\text{-}split I \ subst-b\text{-}fresh-x \ subst-b\text{-}v\text{-}def
e.fresh by metis
  show \langle atom \ z3 \ \sharp \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \rangle using subst-g-b-x-fresh infer-e-split by auto
ged
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subst-b-c-of-forget}:
  assumes atom by \sharp const
  shows (c\text{-}of\ const\ x)[bv:=b]_{cb} = c\text{-}of\ const\ x
using assms proof(nominal-induct\ const\ avoiding:\ x\ rule:\tau.strong-induct)
  case (T\text{-refined-type } x' b' c')
  hence c-of \{x': b' \mid c'\} x = c'[x'::=V-var x]_{cv} using c-of.simps by metis
  moreover have atom by \sharp c'[x':=V\text{-}var\ x]_{cv} proof –
    have atom by \sharp c' using T-refined-type \tau.fresh by simp
    moreover have atom by \sharp V-var x using v-fresh by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using T-refined-type \tau.fresh subst-b-c-def fresh-subst-if
     \tau-fresh-c fresh-subst-cv-if has-subst-b-class.subst-b-fresh-x ms-fresh-all (37) ms-fresh-all assms by
metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using forget-subst subst-b-c-def by metis
qed
lemma subst-b-check-s:
  fixes s::s and b::b and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and v::v and \tau::\tau
  assumes \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b and B = \{|bv|\}
  shows \Theta; \Phi; B; G; D \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; G[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}; D[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash (s[bv:=b]_{sb}) \Leftarrow b
(\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) and
         \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G; D ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash cs \leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}; D[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} ;
tid ; cons ; const ; v[bv:=b]_{vb} \vdash (subst-branchb \ cs \ bv \ b) \Leftarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) \ and
         \Theta; \Phi; B; G; D; tid; dclist; v \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}; D[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}; tid;
dclist ; v[bv:=b]_{vb} \vdash (subst-branchlb\ css\ bv\ b\ ) \Leftarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
```

```
using assms proof(induct rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.inducts)
  note facts = wfD\text{-}emptyI \ wfX\text{-}wfY \ wf\text{-}b\text{-}subst\text{-}b\text{-}subtype \ subst\text{-}b\text{-}infer\text{-}v}
  case (check-valI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ \tau' \ \tau)
  show ?case
    apply(subst\ subst-sb.simps,\ rule\ Typing.check-valI)
    using facts check-valI apply metis
    using check-vall subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype apply blast
    using check-valI subst-b-infer-v wf-b-subst subst-b-subtype apply blast
    \mathbf{using}\ check\text{-}valI\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}infer\text{-}v\ wf\text{-}b\text{-}subst\ subst\text{-}b\text{-}subtype\ \mathbf{by}\ met is
next
  case (check-let I \times \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s b' c)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-letI)
    show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}, e[bv:=b]_{eb}, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
      apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN, auto)
      apply(simp add: check-letI fresh-empty-fset)+
      \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{metis} * \mathit{subst-b-fresh-x check-letI fresh-prod}N) + \mathbf{done}
    show atom\ z\ \sharp\ (x,\ \Theta,\ \Phi,\ \{||\},\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b},\ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b},\ e[bv::=b]_{eb},\ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b},\ s[bv::=b]_{sb})
      apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN, auto)
      apply(simp add: check-letI fresh-empty-fset)+
      apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-letI fresh-prodN)+ done
    \mathbf{show} \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ ; \ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \ \vdash \ e[bv::=b]_{eb} \ \Rightarrow \ \{\!\!\{\ z\ : \ b'[bv::=b]_{bb} \ \mid \ c[bv::=b]_{cb}\ \}\!\!\}
      using check-letI subst-b-infer-e subst-tb.simps by metis
    have c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}[bv::=b]_{cb} = (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V-var x]_{cv}
      using subst-cv-subst-bb-commute[of bv V-var x c z b] fresh-at-base by simp
    thus \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\}; ((x, b'[bv::=b]_{bb}, (c[bv::=b]_{cb})[z::=V-var x]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}) ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash
s[bv:=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}
      using check-letI subst-gb.simps subst-defs by metis
  qed
next
  case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  show ?case proof(subst subst-sb.simps, rule Typing.check-assertI)
    show atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \{ || \}, \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, \ c[bv::=b]_{cb}, \ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, \ s[bv::=b]_{sb})
      apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN, auto)
      apply(simp add: check-assertI fresh-empty-fset)+
            apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-assertI fresh-prodN)+ done
   have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using
check\text{-}assertI
      by metis
   thus \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c[bv::=b]_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}
using subst-qb.simps by auto
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \models c[bv::=b]_{cb} using subst-b-valid check-assert by simp
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst2(6) check-assertI by simp
  qed
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v \ cs \ \tau \ css)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-branchlb.simps using Typing.check-branch-list-consI by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v \ cs \ \tau)
   then show ?case unfolding subst-branchlb.simps using Typing.check-branch-list-finalI by simp
```

```
next
   case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \tau \ const \ x \ \Phi \ tid \ cons \ v \ s)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-branch-s-branchI)
  show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} using check-branch-s-branch wf-b-subst subst-db.simps
    show \vdash_{wf} \Theta using check-branch-s-branch by auto
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b} using check-branch-s-branch wf-b-subst by metis
   show atom x \notin (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b}, tid, cons, const, v[bv:=b]_{vb}, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b})
      apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN, auto)
      apply(simp add: check-branch-s-branchI fresh-empty-fset)+
      apply(metis * subst-b-fresh-x check-branch-s-branchI fresh-prodN)+
    show wft:\Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} const using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
    hence (b\text{-}of\ const) = (b\text{-}of\ const)[bv:=b]_{bb}
      using wfT-nil-supp fresh-def [of atom bv ] forget-subst subst-b-def \tau.supp
        bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def supp-empty-fset
      by (metis b-of-supp)
    moreover have (c\text{-}of\ const\ x)[bv:=b]_{cb} = c\text{-}of\ const\ x
      using wft wfT-nil-supp fresh-def of atom by of forget-subst subst-b-c-def \tau.supp
       bot.extremum-uniqueI ex-in-conv fresh-def supp-empty-fset subst-b-c-of-forget by metis
    ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; (x, b\text{-of const}, CE\text{-val}(v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == CE\text{-val}(V\text{-cons tid cons})
(V\text{-}var\ x))\ AND\ c\text{-}of\ const\ x)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}\ ;\ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}\ \vdash s[bv::=b]_{sb}\ \Leftarrow \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}
      using check-branch-s-branchI subst-gb.simps by auto
    qed
next
  case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-ifI)
      show (atom\ z\ \sharp\ (\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \{||\},\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b},\ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b},\ v[bv::=b]_{vb},\ s1[bv::=b]_{sb},\ s2[bv::=b]_{sb},
\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}\rangle
      by(unfold fresh-prodN, auto, auto simp add: check-ifI fresh-empty-fset subst-b-fresh-x)
    have \{z: B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \}[bv:=b]_{\tau b} = \{z: B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} \text{ by } auto
   thus \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv := b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \{ || z : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \} using check-ifI subst-b-check-v
by metis
    \mathbf{show} \ \ (\ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ ; \ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \ \ \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \ \Leftarrow \ \{\ z \ : \ b\text{-}of \ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \ \ | \ \textit{CE-val} \ \}
(v[bv:=b]_{vb}) == CE-val (V-lit L-true) IMP c-of \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b} z 
      using subst-b-if check-ifI by metis
    \mathbf{show} \land \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ ; \ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \ \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \{ \ z : b\text{-}of \ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \ \mid \textit{CE-val} \}
(v[bv::=b]_{vb}) == CE-val (V-lit L-false) IMP c-of \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b} z \}
      using subst-b-if check-ifI by metis
  qed
next
 case (check-let2I x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof (rule Typing.check-let2I)
    have atom x \sharp b using x-fresh-b by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \{||\}, \ G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, \ t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, \ s1[bv::=b]_{sb}, \ \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b}) \rangle
      apply(unfold fresh-prodN, auto, auto simp add: check-let2I fresh-prodN fresh-empty-fset)
```

```
done
     show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} ; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s1[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow t[bv::=b]_{\tau b} \rangle using check-let2I
subst-tb.simps by auto
     show (\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; (x, b\text{-}of\ t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, c\text{-}of\ t[bv::=b]_{\tau b}\ x) \#_{\Gamma} G[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash
s2[bv:=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}
         using check-let2I subst-tb.simps subst-gb.simps b-of.simps subst-b-c-of subst-b-b-of by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-varI)
    show atom u \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}, \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}, \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b}, \tau[bv::=b]_{v b}, \tau[bv::=b]_{\tau b})
      by(unfold fresh-prodN, auto simp add: check-varI fresh-empty-fset subst-b-fresh-u)
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv::=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using check-varI subst-b-check-v by auto
    show \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (subst-gb \ \Gamma \ bv \ b) ; (u, (\tau'[bv:=b]_{\tau b})) \#_{\Delta} (subst-db \ \Delta \ bv \ b) \vdash (s[bv:=b]_{sb})
\Leftarrow (\tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) using check-varI by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-assign I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta u \tau v z \tau')
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof( rule Typing.check-assignI)
    show \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using check-assign by auto
    show \Theta; {||}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash_{wf} \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using wf-b-subst check-assign by auto
    show (u, \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b}) \in setD \ \Delta[bv:=b]_{\Delta b} using check-assign Isubst-d-b-member by simp
    show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv:=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash v[bv:=b]_{vb} \Leftarrow \tau[bv:=b]_{\tau b} using check-assign subst-b-check-v by
auto
      show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \{|z:B-unit| | TRUE|\} \lesssim \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using check-assignI
subst-b-subtype subst-b-simps subst-tb.simps by fastforce
  qed
next
  case (check-while I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau')
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-whileI)
     \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}\ ;\ \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b}\ \vdash\ s1[bv::=b]_{sb}\ \Leftarrow\ \{\![z:B\text{-}bool\ |\ TRUE\ ]\!\}\ \mathbf{using}
check-while I by auto
     show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b}; \Delta[bv::=b]_{\Delta b} \vdash s2[bv::=b]_{sb} \Leftarrow \{|z:B\text{-}unit\mid TRUE\ \}\} using
check-while I by auto
      show \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \vdash \{||z|: B\text{-}unit| \mid TRUE|\} \lesssim \tau'[bv::=b]_{\tau b} using subst-b\text{-}subtype
check-while I by fastforce
  qed
next
  case (check-seqI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau)
  then show ?case unfolding subst-sb.simps using check-seqI Typing.check-seqI subst-b-eq by metis
  case (check-case I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v cs \tau z)
  show ?case unfolding subst-b-simps proof(rule Typing.check-caseI)
    \mathbf{show} \in \Theta \; ; \; \Phi \; ; \; \{||\} \; ; \; \Gamma[bv := b]_{\Gamma b} \; ; \; \Delta[bv := b]_{\Delta b} \; ; \; tid \; ; \; delist \; ; \; v[bv := b]_{vb} \vdash subst-branchlb \; cs \; bv \; b
\leftarrow \tau[bv := b]_{\tau b} using check-case by auto
    show \langle AF-typedef tid dclist \in set \Theta \rangle using check-caseI by auto
      \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \Gamma[bv::=b]_{\Gamma b} \ \vdash \ v[bv::=b]_{vb} \ \Leftarrow \ \{|\ z\ : \ B\text{-}id\ tid\ |\ TRUE\ \}\rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ check\text{-}caseI
subst-b-check-v\ subst-b-simps\ subst-tb.simps\ subst-b-simps
    proof -
      have \{z: B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} = \{z: B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} [bv::=b]_{\tau b} \text{ using } subst-b\text{-}eq \text{ by } auto
```

apply(metis subst-b-fresh-x check-let2I fresh-prodN)+

```
then show ?thesis by (metis (no-types) check-caseI.hyps(4) check-caseI.prems(1) check-caseI.prems(2) subst-b-check-v)  \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{qed} \\ \operatorname{show} & \vdash_{wf} \Theta \end{array} \text{ using } \operatorname{check-caseI} \text{ by } \operatorname{auto} \\ \operatorname{qed} \\ \operatorname{qed} \\ \end{array}  end  \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{method} \ \operatorname{supp-calc} = (\operatorname{metis} \ (\operatorname{mono-tags}, \ \operatorname{hide-lams}) \ \operatorname{pure-supp} \ c.\operatorname{supp} \ e.\operatorname{supp} \ v.\operatorname{supp} \ \operatorname{supp-l-empty} \\ \operatorname{opp.supp} \ \operatorname{supp-bot.right-neutral} \ \operatorname{supp-at-base}) \\ \operatorname{declare} \ \operatorname{infer-e.intros}[\operatorname{simp}] \\ \operatorname{declare} \ \operatorname{infer-e.intros}[\operatorname{intro}] \end{aligned}
```

## Chapter 16

# Safety

### 16.1 Operational Semantics

```
abbreviation delta-ext ( - \sqsubseteq - ) where
   delta\text{-}ext \ \Delta \ \Delta' \equiv (setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta')
nominal-function dc\text{-}of :: branch\text{-}s \Rightarrow string \text{ where}
  dc-of (AS-branch dc - -) = dc
 apply(auto, simp add: eqvt-def dc-of-graph-aux-def)
  using s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust by metis
nominal-termination (eqvt) by lexicographic-order
lemma delta-sim-fresh:
 assumes \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and atom \ u \ \sharp \ \delta
 shows atom u \sharp \Delta
using assms proof(induct rule : delta-sim.inducts)
 case (delta-sim-nilI \Theta)
  then show ?case using fresh-def supp-DNil by blast
next
  case (delta-sim-consI \Theta \delta \Delta v \tau u')
 hence \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using check\text{-}v\text{-}wf by meson
 hence supp \ \tau = \{\} using wfT-supp by fastforce
 moreover have atom u \sharp u' using delta-sim-consI fresh-Cons fresh-Pair by blast
 moreover have atom u \sharp \Delta using delta-sim-consI fresh-Cons by blast
 ultimately show ?case using fresh-Pair fresh-DCons fresh-def by blast
qed
lemma delta-sim-v:
 fixes \Delta :: \Delta
 assumes \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and (u,v) \in set \ \delta and (u,\tau) \in set D \ \Delta and \Theta \ ; \{||\} \ ; \ GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta
 shows \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau
using assms proof(induct \delta arbitrary: \Delta)
case Nil
then show ?case by auto
next
 case (Cons uv \delta)
```

```
obtain u' and v' where uv : uv = (u', v') by fastforce
  show ?case proof(cases u'=u)
    case True
    hence *:\Theta \vdash ((u,v')\#\delta) \sim \Delta using uv Cons by blast
    then obtain \tau' and \Delta' where tt: \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \land u \notin fst `set \delta \land \Delta = (u,\tau')\#_{\Delta}\Delta'
using delta-sim-elims(3)[OF *] by metis
    moreover hence v'=v using Cons True
     by (metis Pair-inject fst-conv image-eqI set-ConsD uv)
    moreover have \tau = \tau' using wfD-unique tt Cons
      setD.simps list.set-intros by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis by metis
 next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
    hence *:\Theta \vdash ((u',v')\#\delta) \sim \Delta using uv Cons by blast
    then obtain \tau' and \Delta' where tt: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta' \land \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \land u' \notin fst `set \delta \land \Delta'
=(u',\tau')\#_{\Delta}\Delta' using delta-sim-elims(3)[OF *] by metis
    moreover hence \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using wfD-elims Cons delta-sim-elims by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using Cons
      using False by auto
 qed
ged
lemma delta-sim-delta-lookup:
  assumes \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and (u, \{ z : b \mid c \}) \in setD \Delta
 shows \exists v. (u,v) \in set \delta
using assms by(induct rule: delta-sim.inducts,auto+)
lemma update-d-stable:
 fst 'set \delta = fst 'set (update-d \delta u v)
\mathbf{proof}(induct \ \delta)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons a \delta)
  then show ?case using update-d.simps
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) eq-fst-iff image-cong image-insert list.simps(15) prod.exhaust-sel)
qed
lemma update-d-sim:
 fixes \Delta::\Delta
 assumes \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau and (u,\tau) \in setD \ \Delta and \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta
  shows \Theta \vdash (update - d \ \delta \ u \ v) \sim \Delta
using assms proof(induct \delta arbitrary: \Delta)
  then show ?case using delta-sim-consI by simp
next
  case (Cons uv \delta)
 obtain u' and v' where uv : uv = (u', v') by fastforce
 hence *:\Theta \vdash ((u',v')\#\delta) \sim \Delta using uv Cons by blast
 then obtain \tau' and \Delta' where tt: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta' \land \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' \land u' \notin fst \land set \delta \land \Delta = 0
```

```
(u',\tau')\#_{\Delta}\Delta' using delta-sim-elims * by metis
 show ?case proof(cases u=u')
   case True
   then have (u,\tau') \in setD \ \Delta \text{ using } tt \text{ by } auto
   then have \tau = \tau' using Cons wfD-unique by metis
   moreover have update-d ((u',v')\#\delta) u v=((u',v)\#\delta) using update-d.simps True by presburger
   ultimately show ?thesis using delta-sim-consI tt Cons True
     by (simp \ add: \ tt \ uv)
 next
   case False
   have \Theta \vdash (u',v') \# (update-d \ \delta \ u \ v) \sim (u',\tau')\#_{\Delta}\Delta'
   \mathbf{proof}(rule\ delta\text{-}sim\text{-}consI)
     show \Theta \vdash update-d \ \delta \ u \ v \sim \Delta' \ using \ Cons \ using \ delta-sim-consI
       delta-sim.simps update-d.simps Cons delta-sim-elims uv tt
        False fst-conv set-ConsD wfG-elims wfD-elims by (metis setD-ConsD)
     show \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v' \Leftarrow \tau' using tt by auto
     show u' \notin fst 'set (update-d \delta u v) using update-d.simps Consupdate-d-stable tt by auto
   qed
   thus ?thesis using False update-d.simps uv
     by (simp \ add: \ tt)
 ged
qed
```

#### 16.2 Preservation

Types are preserved under reduction step

#### 16.2.1 Function Application

```
lemma check-s-x-fresh:
  fixes x::x and s::s
 assumes \Theta; \Phi; B; GNil; D \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
 shows atom \ x \ \sharp \ s \land \ atom \ x \ \sharp \ \tau \land \ atom \ x \ \sharp \ D
proof -
  have \Theta; \Phi; B; GNil; D \vdash_{wf} s : b\text{-}of \ \tau using check\text{-}s\text{-}wf[OF\ assms] by auto
  moreover have \Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using check\text{-}s\text{-}wf assms by auto
  moreover have \Theta ; B ; GNil \vdash_{wf} D using check-s-wf assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using wf-supp x-fresh-u
    by (meson fresh-GNil wfS-x-fresh wfT-x-fresh wfD-x-fresh)
qed
lemma check-funtyp-subst-b:
  fixes b'::b
 assumes check-funtyp \Theta \Phi {|bv|} (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s) and \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle
 shows check-funtyp \Theta \Phi \{ \| \} (AF-fun-typ x \ b[bv:=b']_{bb} (c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \tau[bv:=b']_{\tau b} s[bv:=b']_{sb})
using assms proof (nominal-induct \{|bv|\} AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s rule: check-funtyp.strong-induct)
 case (check-funtypI x' \Theta \Phi c' s' \tau')
 have check-funtyp \Theta \Phi \{||\} (AF-fun-typ x' b[bv:=b']_{bb} (c'[bv:=b']_{cb}) \tau'[bv:=b']_{\tau b} s'[bv:=b']_{sb}) proof
   show \langle atom \ x' \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \Phi, \{ || \} ::bv \ fset, \ b \ [bv ::=b']_{bb} \rangle  using check-funtypI fresh-prodN x-fresh-b fresh-empty-fset
by metis
```

```
have (\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; ((x', b, c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[bv::=b']_{\Gamma b}; \|_{\Delta}[bv::=b']_{\Delta b} \vdash s'[bv::=b']_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv::=b']_{\tau b})
proof(rule subst-b-check-s)
      show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \mid \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using check-funtypI by metis
      show \langle \{|bv|\} = \{|bv|\} \rangle by auto
      show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{|bv|\}; (x', b, c') \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle using check-funtypI by metis
    qed
    thus \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \rangle; (x', b[bv:=b']_{bb}, c'[bv:=b']_{cb}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; []_{\Delta} \vdash s'[bv:=b']_{sb} \Leftarrow \tau'[bv:=b']_{\tau b} \rangle
      using subst-qb.simps subst-db.simps by simp
  qed
 moreover have (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s) = (AF-fun-typ x' b c' \tau' s') using fun-typ.eq-iff check-funtypI
  moreover hence (AF-fun-typ x b[bv:=b']_{bb} (c[bv:=b']_{cb}) \tau[bv:=b']_{\tau b} s[bv:=b']_{sb}) = (AF-fun-typ
x' b[bv:=b']_{bb} (c'[bv:=b']_{cb}) \tau'[bv:=b']_{\tau b} s'[bv:=b']_{sb})
    using subst-ft-b.simps by metis
  ultimately show ?case by metis
qed
lemma funtyp-simple-check:
  fixes s::s and \Delta::\Delta and \tau::\tau and v::v
  assumes check-funtyp \Theta \Phi ({||}::bv fset) (AF-fun-typ x b c \tau s) and
          \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \} \}
        shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; DNil \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
\mathbf{using}\ assms\ \mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ (\{||\}::bv\ fset)\ AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\ x\ b\ c\ \tau\ s\ avoiding:}\ v\ x\ rule:\ check\text{-}funtyp.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (check-funtypI x' \Theta \Phi c' s' \tau')
  hence eq1: \{ x': b \mid c' \} = \{ x: b \mid c \} using funtyp-eq-iff-equalities by metis
  obtain x'' and c'' where xf:\{x:b\mid c\} = \{x'':b\mid c''\} \land atom\ x'' \sharp (x',v) \land atom\ x'' \sharp (x,c)
using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis
  moreover have atom x' \sharp c'' proof –
    \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{supp} \ \ \{ \ \mathit{x}^{\,\prime\prime} : b \mid c^{\,\prime\prime} \ \} = \{ \} \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{eq1} \ \mathit{check-funtypI} \ \mathit{xf} \ \mathit{check-v-wf} \ \mathit{wfT-nil-supp} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis} \\
    hence supp \ c'' \subseteq \{ atom \ x'' \} using \tau.supp \ eq1 \ xf by (auto simp \ add: freshers)
    moreover have atom x' \neq atom x'' using xf fresh-Pair fresh-x-neq by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using xf fresh-Pair fresh-x-neg fresh-def fresh-at-base by blast
  ultimately have eq2: c''[x'':=[x']^v]_{cv} = c' using eq1 type-eq-subst-eq3(1)[of x' b c' x'' b c''] by
metis
  have atom x' \sharp c \text{ proof } -
    have supp \{ x : b \mid c \} = \{ \} using eq1 check-funtypI xf check-v-wf wfT-nil-supp by metis
    hence supp \ c \subseteq \{ atom \ x \}  using \tau . supp by auto
    moreover have atom x \neq atom \ x' using check-funtypI fresh-Pair fresh-x-neg by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by force
  hence eq: c[x::=[x']^v]_{cv} = c' \wedge s'[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
    using funtyp-eq-iff-equalities type-eq-subst-eq3 check-funtypI by metis
  have \Theta ; \Phi ; {||} ; ((x', b, c''[x''::=[x']^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil)[x'::=v]_{\Gamma v} ; []_{\Delta}[x'::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow
\tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}
```

```
proof(rule subst-check-check-s)
          \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \{ || \} \ ; \ \textit{GNil} \ \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ \} \ x'' : b \ \mid c'' \} \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ \textit{check-funtypI eq1 xf by metis}
          show (atom \ x'' \ \sharp \ (x', \ v)) using check-funtypI fresh-x-neq fresh-Pair xf by metis
           show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; (x', b, c''[x''] = [x']^v]_{cv} \} \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; [|_{\Delta} \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle  using check-funtypI eq2
by metis
           \mathbf{show} \ (\ x',\ b,\ c''[x''::=[\ x'\ ]^v]_{cv})\ \#_{\Gamma}\ GNil = \ GNil\ @\ (x',\ b,\ c''[x''::=[\ x'\ ]^v]_{cv})\ \#_{\Gamma}\ GNil\ \mathbf{using}
append-g.simps by auto
     qed
     hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \|_{\Delta} \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau'[x'::=v]_{\tau v} using subst-gv.simps subst-dv.simps by
     thus ?case using eq by auto
qed
lemma funtypq-simple-check:
     fixes s::s and \Delta::\Delta and \tau::\tau and v::v
     assumes check-funtypq \Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c t s)) and
                          \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \}
          shows \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; DNil \vdash s[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x:=v]_{\tau v}
\textbf{using} \ assms \ \textbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x \ b \ c \ t \ s))} \ avoiding: v \ rule: \ check\text{-}funtypq.strong\text{-}induct \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x \ b \ c \ t \ s))
     case (check-fundefq-simple I \Theta \Phi x' c' t' s')
     \mathbf{hence}\ \ eq:\ \{\!\!\{\ x:b\ \mid c\ \}\!\!\} = \{\!\!\{\ x':b\ \mid c'\ \}\!\!\land s'[x'\!\!::=\!\!v]_{sv} = s[x\!\!::=\!\!v]_{sv} \land t[x\!\!::=\!\!v]_{\tau v} = t'[x'\!\!::=\!\!v]_{\tau v} = t'[x'\!\!::=\!\!v]_{\tau v} = t'[x'\!\!:==\!\!v]_{\tau v} = t'[x'\!\!:=
             using funtyp-eq-iff-equalities by metis
     hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \|_{\Delta} \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t'[x'::=v]_{\tau v}
          using funtyp-simple-check[OF\ check-fundefq-simpleI(1)]\ check-fundefq-simpleI\ by metis
     thus ?case using eq by metis
qed
lemma funtyp-poly-eq-iff-equalities:
     assumes [[atom\ bv']]lst.\ AF-fun-typ\ x'\ b''\ c'\ t'\ s' = [[atom\ bv]]lst.\ AF-fun-typ\ x\ b\ c\ t\ s
     shows \{ x' : b''[bv'::=b']_{bb} \mid c'[bv'::=b']_{cb} \} = \{ x : b[bv::=b']_{bb} \mid c[bv::=b']_{cb} \} \land
                       s'[bv':=b']_{sb}[x':=v]_{sv} = s[bv:=b']_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t'[bv':=b']_{\tau b}[x':=v]_{\tau v} = t[bv:=b']_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}
proof -
     have subst-ft-b (AF-fun-typ x' b'' c' t' s') bv' b' = subst-ft-b (AF-fun-typ x b c t s) bv b'
          using subst-b-flip-eq-two subst-b-fun-typ-def assms by metis
     \textbf{thus} \ ? the sis \ \textbf{using} \ fun-typ.eq-iff \ subst-ft-b. simps \ funtyp-eq-iff-equalities \ subst-tb. simps \ funtyp-eq-iff-equalities \ funtyp-eq-iff-equalities \ funtyp-eq-iff-equalities \ funtyp-eq-iff-equalities \ funtyp-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-iff-eq-if
          by (metis (full-types) assms fun-poly-arg-unique)
qed
lemma funtypq-poly-check:
    fixes s::s and \Delta::\Delta and \tau::\tau and v::v and b'::b
     assumes check-funtypq \Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c t s)) and
                          \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b[bv := b']_{bb} \mid c[bv := b']_{cb} \}  and
                          \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} b'
          shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; DNil \vdash s[bv:=b']_{sb}[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[bv:=b']_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}
using assms proof(nominal-induct (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c t s)) avoiding: v rule:
check-funtypq.strong-induct)
     \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{check-funtypq-polyI} \ \mathit{bv'} \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \ \mathit{x'} \ \mathit{b''} \ \mathit{c'} \ \mathit{t'} \ \mathit{s'})
    hence **:{|| x': b''[bv'::=b']_{bb} || c'[bv'::=b']_{cb} || = {|| <math>x: b[bv::=b']_{bb} || c[bv::=b']_{cb} || \land ||
```

```
s'[bv'::=b']_{sb}[x'::=v]_{sv} = s[bv::=b']_{sb}[x::=v]_{sv} \wedge t'[bv'::=b']_{\tau b}[x'::=v]_{\tau v} = t[bv::=b']_{\tau b}[x::=v]_{\tau v}
    using funtyp-poly-eq-iff-equalities by metis
 \mathbf{have} *: check\text{-}funtyp \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \{||\} \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x' \ b''|bv'::=b \ |_{bb} \ (c'|bv'::=b \ |_{cb}) \ (t'|bv'::=b \ |_{\tau b}) \ s'|bv'::=b \ |_{sb})
    using check-funtyp-subst-b[OF\ check-funtypq-polyI(5)\ check-funtypq-polyI(8)] by metis
 moreover have \Theta ; \{|l|\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{|x':b''[bv':=b']_{bb} \mid c'[bv':=b']_{cb}\}  using ** check-funtypq-polyI
by metis
  ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \|_{\Delta} \vdash s'[bv':=b']_{sb}[x':=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t'[bv':=b']_{\tau b}[x':=v]_{\tau v}
    using funtyp-simple-check[OF *] check-funtypq-polyI by metis
  thus ?case using ** by metis
qed
lemma fundef-simple-check:
  fixes s::s and \Delta::\Delta and \tau::\tau and v::v
  assumes check-fundef \Theta \Phi (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c t s))) and
          \Theta ; \{ || \} ; \textit{GNil} \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x : b \mid c \} \text{ and } \Theta ; \{ || \} ; \textit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \Delta \}
    shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow t[x:=v]_{\tau v}
using assms proof(nominal-induct (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x b c t s))) avoiding:
v rule: check-fundef.strong-induct)
  case (check\text{-}fundefI\ \Theta\ \Phi)
  then show ?case using funtypq-simple-check[THEN check-s-d-weakening(1)] setD.simps by auto
qed
lemma fundef-poly-check:
  fixes s::s and \Delta::\Delta and \tau::\tau and v::v and b'::b
  assumes check-fundef \Theta \Phi (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c t s))) and
           \Theta \; ; \; \{||\} \; ; \; \mathit{GNil} \; \vdash \; v \; \Leftarrow \; \{\!\![ \; x \; : \; b[bv ::=b']_{bb} \; | \; c[bv ::=b']_{cb} \; \}\!\!] \; \text{and} \; \; \Theta \; ; \; \{||\} \; ; \; \mathit{GNil} \; \vdash_{wf} \; \Delta \; \text{and} \; \; \Theta \; ;
\{||\} \vdash_{wf} b'
    shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s[bv:=b']_{sb}[x:=v]_{sv} \leftarrow t[bv:=b']_{\tau b}[x:=v]_{\tau v}
using assms proof(nominal-induct (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv (AF-fun-typ x b c t s))) avoid-
ing: v rule: check-fundef.strong-induct)
  case (check-fundefI \Theta \Phi)
  then show ?case using funtypq-poly-check[THEN check-s-d-weakening(1)] setD.simps by auto
qed
lemma preservation-app:
  assumes
           Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f and
(\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
        shows \Theta; \Phi; B; G; \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow B = \{||\} \Longrightarrow G = GNil \Longrightarrow ss = LET x = (AE-app f)
            \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET x : (\tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) = (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv}) \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ \text{and}
        check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
         check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v css \tau \Longrightarrow True
using assms proof(nominal-induct \tau and \tau and \tau avoiding: v rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induction
  case (check-letI x2 \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s2 b c)
```

**hence** eq: e = (AE - app f v) by simp

```
hence *:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE-app f v) \Rightarrow \{|z:b| | c|\}  using check-let by auto
  then obtain x3 b3 c3 \tau 3 s3 where
    **:\Theta ; {||} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-none (AF-fun-typ x3 b3))
(c3 \ \tau 3 \ s3))) = lookup-fun \ \Phi \ f \ \land
     \Theta \; ; \; \{ || \} \; ; \; \textit{GNil} \; \vdash v \; \Leftarrow \; \{ \! \{ \textit{x3} : \textit{b3} \; \mid \; \textit{c3} \; \} \; \land \; \; \textit{atom x3} \; \sharp \; (\Theta, \; \Phi, \; (\{ || \} :: \textit{bv fset}), \; \textit{GNil}, \; \Delta, \; v, \; \{ \! \{ \! \{ \! z : b \; \mid \; c \; \} \; \} \; \} \; \}
\}) \wedge \ \tau \beta[x\beta ::= v]_{\tau v} = \{\!\mid z : b \mid c \mid\!\}
    using infer-e-elims(6)[OF *] subst-defs by metis
  obtain z3 where z3: \{x3: b3 \mid c3\} = \{z3: b3 \mid c3[x3::=V - var z3]_{cv}\} \land atom z3 \sharp (x3, z3::=V - var z3) = 0
v, c3, x1, c1) using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis
 \mathbf{have} \ seq: [[atom \ x3]] lst. \ s3 = [[atom \ x1]] lst. \ s1' \ \mathbf{using} \ fun-def-eq \ check-letI \ ** \ option.inject \ \mathbf{by} \ met is
  let ?ft = AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 \tau 3 s3
  have sup: supp \tau 3 \subseteq \{ atom \ x3 \} \land supp \ s3 \subseteq \{ atom \ x3 \} using wfPhi-f-supp ** by metis
  have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x2 \quad \tau 3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \ (s3[x3::=v]_{sv}) \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau \ \mathbf{proof}
    show \langle atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \{||\} :: bv \ fset, \ GNil, \ \Delta, \ \tau \beta [x\beta ::=v]_{\tau v}, \ s\beta [x\beta ::=v]_{sv}, \ \tau \rangle \rangle
           unfolding fresh-prodN using check-letI fresh-subst-v-if subst-v-τ-def sup
        by (metis all-not-in-conv fresh-def fresh-empty-fset fresh-subst-sv-if fresh-subst-tv-if singleton-iff
subset-singleton-iff)
    show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s3[x3::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau3[x3::=v]_{\tau v}  proof(rule fundef-simple-check)
         show \langle check\text{-}fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}none \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3))) \rangle using
** check-letI lookup-fun-member by metis
        show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x3 : b3 \mid c3 \} \rangle using ** by auto
        show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; \textit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle \text{ using } ** \text{ by } \textit{auto}
     qed
     show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; (x2, b\text{-of } \tau 3[x3::=v]_{\tau v}, c\text{-of } \tau 3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \ x2) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle
        using check-letI ** b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis
  qed
 moreover have AS-let2 x2 	au 3[x3::=v]_{\tau v} (s3[x3::=v]_{sv}) s2 = AS-let2 x 	au (\tau 1'[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) (s1'[x1::=v]_{sv})
    \mathbf{have} *: [[atom \ x2]] lst. \ s2 = [[atom \ x]] lst. \ s \ \mathbf{using} \ check-letI \ s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff \ \mathbf{by} \ auto
    moreover have \tau \Im[x\Im::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau I'[x\Im::=v]_{\tau v} using fun-ret-unique ** check-let I by metis
    moreover have s\Im[x\Im::=v]_{sv}=(sI'[xI::=v]_{sv}) using subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def seq by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using check-let I by auto
qed(auto+)
lemma fresh-subst-v-subst-b:
  fixes x2::x and tm::'a::\{has-subst-v,has-subst-b\} and x::x
  assumes supp \ tm \subseteq \{ atom \ bv, atom \ x \} and atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ v
  shows atom x2 \ \sharp \ tm[bv:=b]_b[x:=v]_v
using assms proof(cases x2=x)
  case True
```

```
then show ?thesis using fresh-subst-v-if assms by blast
 next
 case False
 hence atom x2 \sharp tm using assms fresh-def fresh-at-base by force
 hence atom x2 \ \sharp \ tm[bv:=b]_b using assms fresh-subst-if x-fresh-b False by force
  then show ?thesis using fresh-subst-v-if assms by auto
qed
lemma preservation-poly-app:
 assumes
           Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv1 (AF-fun-typ x1 b1 c1 \tau1' s1'))) = lookup-fun \Phi f
and (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
       shows \Theta; \Phi; B; G; \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow B = \{||\} \Longrightarrow G = GNil \Longrightarrow ss = LET x = (AE-appP)
f \ b' \ v) \ IN \ s \Longrightarrow \Theta \ ; \{ || \} \ \vdash_{wf} \ b' \implies
                \Theta; \Phi; \{|l\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET \ x : (\tau 1'[bv1::=b']_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) = (s1'[bv1::=b']_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv})
IN s \Leftarrow \tau and
        check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
        check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v css \tau \Longrightarrow True
using assms proof (nominal-induct \tau and \tau and \tau avoiding: v x1 rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-i
  case (check-letI x2 \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s2 b c)
 hence eq: e = (AE - appP f b' v) by simp
  hence *:\Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash (AE-appP f b' v) \Rightarrow \{|z : b \mid c|\}  using check-let I by auto
  then obtain x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau 3\ s3\ bv3 where
    **:\Theta ; {||} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land Some (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ))
x3\ b3\ c3\ \tau3\ s3))) = lookup-fun\ \Phi\ f\ \land
       \Theta ; {||} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ x3 : b3[bv3::=b']_{bb} \mid c3[bv3::=b']_{cb} \} \land atom x3 \sharp GNil \land b
\tau 3[bv3::=b']_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v} = \{ z : b \mid c \}
  \wedge \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} b'
    using infer-e-elims(21)[OF *] subst-defs by metis
  obtain z3 where z3: { x3:b3 \mid c3 } = { z3:b3 \mid c3[x3::=V\text{-}var\ z3]_{cv} } \land atom\ z3 \sharp (x3,
v,c3,x1,c1) using obtain-fresh-z3 by metis
 let ?ft = (AF - fun - typ \ x3 \ (b3[bv3::=b']_{bb}) \ (c3[bv3::=b']_{cb}) \ (\tau3[bv3::=b']_{\tau b}) \ (s3[bv3::=b']_{sb}))
 have *: check-fundef \Theta \Phi (AF-fundef f (AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 \tau3 s3))) using
** check-letI lookup-fun-member by metis
 hence ftq:check-funtypq \Theta \Phi (AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 \tau3 s3)) using check-fundef-elims
\mathbf{by} auto
 let ?ft = AF-fun-typ-some bv3 (AF-fun-typ x3 b3 c3 \tau3 s3)
 have sup: supp \ \tau 3 \subseteq \{ atom \ x3, atom \ bv3 \} \land supp \ s3 \subseteq \{ atom \ x3, atom \ bv3 \}
    using wfPhi-f-poly-supp-t wfPhi-f-poly-supp-s ** by metis
 have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x2 \quad \tau 3[bv3::=b']_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \quad (s3[bv3::=b']_{sb}[x3::=v]_{sv}) \quad s2 \Leftarrow \tau
 proof
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \{||\} :: bv \ fset, \ GNil, \ \Delta, \ \tau \beta [bv\beta ::=b']_{\tau b} [x\beta ::=v]_{\tau v}, \ s\beta [bv\beta ::=b']_{sb} [x\beta ::=v]_{sv},
```

```
proof -
       thm fresh-subst-v-subst-b
       have atom x2 \sharp \tau 3[bv3::=b']_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v}
         \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{fresh\text{-}subst\text{-}v\text{-}subst\text{-}b} \ \mathit{subst\text{-}v\text{-}\tau\text{-}def} \ \mathit{subst\text{-}b\text{-}\tau\text{-}def} \ \land \ \mathit{atom} \ \mathit{x2} \ \sharp \ \mathit{v} \lor \ \mathit{sup} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fastforce}
       moreover have atom x2 \sharp s3[bv3:=b']_{sb}[x3::=v]_{sv}
         \textbf{using} \ \textit{fresh-subst-v-subst-b} \ \textit{subst-v-s-def} \ \textit{subst-b-s-def} \ \land \ \textit{atom} \ \textit{x2} \ \sharp \ \textit{v} \lor \ \textit{sup}
       proof -
         have \forall b. \ atom \ x2 = atom \ x3 \lor atom \ x2 \ \sharp \ s3[bv3::=b]_b
          by (metis (no-types) check-letI.hyps(1) fresh-subst-sv-if(1) fresh-subst-v-subst-b insert-commute
subst-v-s-def sup)
         then show ?thesis
          by (metis\ check-let I.hyps(1)\ fresh-subst-sb-if\ fresh-subst-sv-if(1)\ has-subst-b-class.subst-b-fresh-x
x-fresh-b)
       qed
       ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-prodN check-letI by metis
     \mathbf{show} \land \Theta; \ \Phi; \ \{[]\}; \ \mathit{GNil}; \ \Delta \ \vdash s\beta[\mathit{bv3} ::= b']_{\mathit{sb}}[\mathit{x3} ::= v]_{\mathit{sv}} \ \Leftarrow \ \tau\beta[\mathit{bv3} ::= b']_{\tau\mathit{b}}[\mathit{x3} ::= v]_{\tau\mathit{v}} \land \ \mathbf{proof}(\ \mathit{rule})
fundef-poly-check)
       show \langle check\text{-}fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ (AF\text{-}fundef \ f \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ\text{-}some \ bv3 \ (AF\text{-}fun\text{-}typ \ x3 \ b3 \ c3 \ \tau3 \ s3)) \rangle
         using ** lookup-fun-member check-letI by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ \{ x3 : b3 \mid bv3 ::= b' \mid_{bb} \mid c3 \mid bv3 ::= b' \mid_{cb} \} \rangle using ** by metis
       show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using ** by metis show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using ** by metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | | \} ; (x2, b\text{-}of \ \tau 3[bv3::=b']_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v}, c\text{-}of \ \tau 3[bv3::=b']_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v} \ x2) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau
          using check-letI ** b-of.simps c-of.simps subst-defs by metis
  qed
   moreover have AS-let2 x2 \tau 3[bv3::=b]_{\tau b}[x3::=v]_{\tau v} (s3[bv3::=b]_{sb}[x3::=v]_{sv}) s2 = AS-let2 x
(\tau 1'[bv1:=b']_{\tau b}[x1::=v]_{\tau v}) (s1'[bv1:=b']_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv}) s proof -
    \mathbf{have} \, *: \, [[\mathit{atom} \,\, x2]] \, lst. \,\, s2 \, = \, [[\mathit{atom} \,\, x]] \, lst. \,\, s \,\, \mathbf{using} \,\, \mathit{check-letI} \,\, \, \mathit{s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \,\, \mathbf{by} \,\, \mathit{auto}
     moreover have \tau \Im[bv \Im ::=b']_{\tau b}[x \Im ::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau \Im'[bv \Im ::=b']_{\tau b}[x \Im ::=v]_{\tau v} using fun-poly-ret-unique
** check-letI by metis
      moreover have s\Im[bv\Im::=b']_{sb}[x\Im::=v]_{sv}=(s1'[bv1::=b']_{sb}[x1::=v]_{sv}) using subst-v-flip-eq-two
subst-v-s-def fun-poly-body-unique ** check-letI by metis
    ultimately show ?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using check-letI by auto
qed(auto+)
lemma check-s-plus:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET x = (AE-op\ Plus\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n1))\ (V-lit\ (L-num\ n2)))\ IN
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET x = (AE-val (V-lit (L-num (n1+n2)))) IN <math>s' \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
   obtain t1 where 1: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op Plus (V-lit (L-num n1)) (V-lit (L-num n2)) \Rightarrow
t1
      using assms check-s-elims by metis
```

```
then obtain z1 where 2: t1 = \{ z1 : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z1) = CE\text{-}op Plus ([V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num)]) \} \}
[n1]^{ce} ([V-lit (L-num n2)]^{ce}) }
     using infer-e-plus by metis
   obtain z2 where 3: \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; \textit{GNil} ; \Delta \vdash \textit{AE-val} (\textit{V-lit} (\textit{L-num} (n1+n2))) \Rightarrow \{ z2 : \textit{B-int} \mid \text{AE-val} (\textit{V-lit} (n1+n2)) \}
CE-val (V-var z2) = CE-val (V-lit (L-num (n1+n2)))
     using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1
     by (simp add: fresh-GNil)
   let ?e = (AE - op \ Plus \ (V - lit \ (L - num \ n1)) \ (V - lit \ (L - num \ n2)))
   show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-let)
     show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET x = ?e \ IN \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \ using \ assms \ by \ auto
     show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash ?e \Rightarrow t1 using 1 by auto
     \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \mathit{GNil}\ ;\ \Delta\ \vdash [\ [\ \mathit{L-num}\ (\mathit{n1}\ +\ \mathit{n2})\ ]^v\ ]^e \Rightarrow \{\!\{\ \mathit{z2}\ :\ \mathit{B-int}\ \mid\ \mathit{CE-val}\ (\mathit{V-var}\ \mathit{z2})\ \}^e \}
== CE-val (V-lit (L-num (n1+n2)))  using 3 by auto
     \mathbf{show} \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ GNil \ \vdash \ \{|z|^2 : B\text{-}int \ | \ CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z2) \ == \ CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ (n1+n2)))
\} \lesssim t1 \text{ using } subtype\text{-bop-arith}
        by (metis 1 \land thesis. (\landz1. t1 = { z1 : B-int | [ | z1 |]^v | ce == [ plus [ | L-num n1 |]^v | ce [ |
L\text{-}num \ n2 \ |^v \ |^{ce} \ \| \implies thesis) \implies thesis) \implies thesis) \ infer\text{-}e\text{-}wf(2) \ opp.distinct(1) \ type\text{-}for\text{-}lit.simps(3))
   qed
qed
lemma check-s-leq:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \mathit{GNil}\ ;\ \Delta\ \vdash \mathit{LET}\ x = (\mathit{AE-op}\ \mathit{LEq}\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ (\mathit{L-num}\ n1))\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ (\mathit{L-num}\ n2)))
IN s' \Leftarrow \tau
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET x = (AE-val \ (V-lit \ (if \ (n1 \leq n2) \ then \ L-true \ else \ L-false))) IN
s' \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
   obtain t1 where 1: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op LEq (V-lit (L-num n1)) (V-lit (L-num n2)) \Rightarrow t1
     using assms check-s-elims by metis
   then obtain z1 where 2: t1 = \{z1 : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z1) = CE\text{-op} LEq ([V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num})] \}
[n1]^{ce} ([V-lit (L-num [n2]^{ce})]
     using infer-e-leq by auto
    obtain z2 where 3: \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 \leq n2) \text{ then } L-true else
L-false))) \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B-bool | CE-val (V-var z2) = CE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 \le n2) then L-true else
L-false))) \rangle
     using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1
     fresh-GNil
     \mathbf{by} \ simp
   thm subtype-let
   show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-let)
     show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } LEq \ [L\text{-num } n1\ ]^v \ [L\text{-num } n2\ ]^v) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using
assms by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op \ LEq \ [ L\text{-}num \ n1 \ ]^v \ [ L\text{-}num \ n2 \ ]^v \Rightarrow t1 \rangle using 1 by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ | | \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash [ [if \ n1 \leq n2 \ then \ L-true \ else \ L-false ]^v]^e \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B-bool \mid CE-val \}
show \langle\Theta\;;\;\{||\}\;;\;GNil\;\vdash\;\{\mid z2:B\text{-}bool\;\mid\;CE\text{-}val\;(V\text{-}var\;z2)\;==\;CE\text{-}val\;(V\text{-}lit\;((if\;(n1\leq n2))))\}
then L-true else L-false))) \} \lesssim t1
       using subtype-bop-arith[where opp=LEq] check-s-wf assms 2
```

```
by (metis opp.distinct(1) subtype-bop-arith type-l-eq)
  qed
 qed
lemma check-s-eq:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \{||\}\ ;\ \mathit{GNil}\ ;\ \Delta\ \vdash \mathit{LET}\ x = (\mathit{AE-op}\ \mathit{Eq}\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ (\mathit{n1}))\ (\mathit{V-lit}\ (\mathit{n2})))\ \mathit{IN}\ s'\ \Leftarrow \tau
 shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = (AE-val \ (V-lit \ (if \ (n1 = n2) \ then \ L-true \ else \ L-false))) IN
s' \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
  obtain t1 where 1: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-op Eq (V-lit (n1)) (V-lit (n2)) \Rightarrow t1
    using assms check-s-elims by metis
   then obtain z1 where 2: t1 = \{z1 : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val}(V\text{-var}z1) = CE\text{-op} Eq([V\text{-lit}(n1)]^{ce})\}
([V-lit (n2)]^{ce})
     using infer-e-leq by auto
   obtain z2 where 3: \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 = n2) then L-true else
L-false))) \Rightarrow \{ z2 : B-bool | CE-val (V-var z2) = CE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 = n2) then L-true else
L-false))) \}
     using infer-v-form infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros infer-e-wf 1
     fresh-GNil
    by simp
   thm subtype-let
   show ?thesis proof(rule subtype-let)
    show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}let \ x \ (AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ [n1]^v \ [n2]^v) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using assms by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}op \ Eq \ [n1]^v \ [n2]^v \Rightarrow t1 \rangle using 1 by auto
    show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash [ [if n1 = n2 then L-true else L-false]^v]^e \Rightarrow \{||z|^2 : B-bool \mid CE-val\}
show \langle \Theta ; \{ | \} \}; GNil \vdash \{ \{ z2 : B\text{-bool} \mid CE\text{-val} (V\text{-var} z2) = CE\text{-val} (V\text{-lit} ((if (n1 = n2)) \}) \}
then L-true else L-false))) \} \lesssim t1
     proof -
       have \{z^2: B\text{-}bool \mid [[z^2]^v]^{ce} == [eq[[n^1]^v]^{ce}[[n^2]^v]^{ce}]^{ce} \} = t1 \text{ using } 2
           by (metis τ-fresh-c fresh-opp-all infer-l-form2 infer-l-fresh ms-fresh-all(31) ms-fresh-all(33)
obtain-fresh-z type-e-eq type-l-eq)
       moreover have \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} GNil \text{ using } assms \ wfX-wfY \text{ by } fastforce
       moreover have base-for-lit n1 = base-for-lit n2 using 1 infer-e-wf wfE-elims (12) wfV-elims
         by metis
         ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-bop-eq[OF \langle\Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle, of n1 n2 z2] by auto
   qed
qed
qed
16.2.2
             Operators
lemma preservation-plus:
 assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET \ x = (AE\text{-}op \ Plus \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n1)) \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}num \ n2))) \ IN \ s' \rangle \Leftarrow
 shows \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, LET x = (AE-val (V-lit (L-num (n1+n2)))) IN s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
```

```
have tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n1)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (L\text{-num } n2)) \ s' \Leftrightarrow (AE\text{-op Plus} \ (
\tau and dsim: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and fd:(\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef \Theta \Phi fd)
             using assms config-type-elims by blast+
      hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit (L-num (n1+n2)))) s' \Leftarrow \tau using check-s-plus
 assms by auto
     hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit ((L-num (n1+n2))))) s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau using dsim\ config-typeI
fd by presburger
       then show ?thesis using dsim config-typeI
             by (meson order-refl)
qed
lemma preservation-leg:
        assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } LEq \ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n1)) \ (V\text{-lit } (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \ \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
      shows \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit (((if (n1 \leq n2) then L-true else L-false))))) <math>s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
      have tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } LEq \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n1)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num } n2))) \ s' \Leftarrow AS\text{-let } s' \in SS\text{-let 
\tau and dsim: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and fd: (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef \Theta \Phi fd)
             using assms config-type-elims by blast+
      hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 \leq n2) then L-true else L-false)))))
s' \Leftarrow \tau using check-s-leq assms by auto
      hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit ((((if (n1 \leq n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true else } L\text{-false})))))) <math>s' \rangle \Leftarrow
\tau using dsim\ config-typeI\ fd\ by\ presburger
       then show ?thesis using dsim config-typeI
             by (meson order-refl)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma preservation-eq:
       assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Eq \ (V\text{-lit} \ (n1)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (n2))) \ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
      shows \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit (((if (n1 = n2) then L-true else L-false))))) <math>s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
      have tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-op } Eq \ (V\text{-lit} \ (n1)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (n2))) \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \ \text{and} \ dsim: \Theta
\vdash \delta \sim \Delta \text{ and } fd: (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef \Theta \Phi fd)
             using assms config-type-elims by blast+
      hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit ((if (n1 = n2) then L-true else L-false)))))
s' \Leftarrow \tau using check-s-eq assms by auto
      hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit ((((if (n1 = n2) \text{ then } L\text{-true else } L\text{-false})))))) <math>s' \rangle \Leftarrow
\tau using \mathit{dsim}\ \mathit{config\text{-}typeI}\ \mathit{fd}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{presburger}
        then show ?thesis using dsim config-typeI
             by (meson order-refl)
qed
```

#### 16.2.3 Let Statements

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ subst-s-abs-lst\colon$ 

```
fixes s::s and sa::s and v'::v
   assumes [[atom \ x]]lst. \ s = [[atom \ xa]]lst. \ sa \ and \ atom \ xa \ \sharp \ v \land atom \ x \ \sharp \ v
   shows s[x:=v]_{sv} = sa[xa:=v]_{sv}
    obtain c'::x where cdash: atom c' \sharp (v, x, xa, s, sa) using obtain-fresh by blast
   moreover have (x \leftrightarrow c') \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c') \cdot sa \text{ proof } -
             have atom c' \sharp (s, sa) \wedge atom c' \sharp (x, xa, s, sa) using cdash by auto
             thus ?thesis using assms by auto
   qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms
         using subst-sv-flip by auto
qed
lemma check-let-val:
   fixes v::v and s::s
   shows \Theta : \Phi : B : G : \Delta \vdash ss \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow B = \{||\} \Longrightarrow G = GNil \Longrightarrow
                   (s[x:=v]_{sv}) \Leftarrow \tau and
               check-branch-s \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
               check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v css \tau \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ \tau\ \mathbf{and}\ \tau\ avoiding\ v\ rule\ check\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}list\ strong\text{-}induct)
   case (check-let I x1 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta e \tau z s1 b c)
   hence *:e = AE-val v by auto
   let ?G = (x1, b, c[z:=V-var \ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
   \mathbf{have} \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \quad ?G[x1::=v]_{\Gamma v} \ ; \ \Delta[x1::=v]_{\Delta v} \ \vdash \ s1[x1::=v]_{sv} \ \Leftarrow \ \tau[x1::=v]_{\tau v}
    \mathbf{proof}(rule\ subst-infer-check-s(1))
       show **:\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using infer-e-elims check-let I * by fast
       thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \mid c \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c \} \rangle using subtype-refl infer-v-wf by metis
       show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (x1, \ v) \rangle using check-letI fresh-Pair by auto
       show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x1, b, c[z::=V-var x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using check-letI subst-defs by
       show (x1, b, c[z::=V-var x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x1, b, c[z::=V-var x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma by auto
   qed
   hence \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s1[x1::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau using check-let by auto
   moreover have s1[x1::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv}
     \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{full-types}) \ \textit{check-letI} \ \textit{fresh-GNil} \ infer-e-elims \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-list.distinct} \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct} \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.dist.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct} \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct} \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff} \ (?) \ \textit{s-branch-s-branch-s-branch-s-branch
       subst-s-abs-lst \ wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple)
   ultimately show ?case using check-letI by simp
next
   case (check-let2I x1 \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
   hence s1eq:s1 = AS-val v by auto
   let ?G = (x1, b\text{-}of t, c\text{-}of t x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
   obtain z::x where *:atom z \ \sharp \ (x1 \ , \ v,t) using obtain-fresh-z by metis
   hence teq:t = \{ z: b\text{-}of \ t \mid c\text{-}of \ t z \}  using b\text{-}of\text{-}c\text{-}of\text{-}eq by auto
   \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ \ ^{2}G[x1::=v]_{\Gamma v}\ ;\ \Delta[x1::=v]_{\Delta v}\ \vdash\ s\mathcal{Z}[x1::=v]_{sv}\ \Leftarrow\ \tau[x1::=v]_{\tau v}
   \mathbf{proof}(rule\ subst-check-check-s(1))
       obtain t' where \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow t' \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash t' \lesssim t using check-s-elims(1) check-let2I(10)
```

```
s1eq by auto
    thus **: \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-of } t \mid c \text{-of } t z \}  using check-v.intros teq by auto
    show atom z \sharp (x1, v) using * by auto
    show \Theta : \Phi : \mathcal{B} : (x_1, b\text{-}of t, c\text{-}of t x_1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma : \Delta \vdash s_2 \Leftarrow \tau \text{ using check-let2I by auto}
     show (x1, b\text{-}of\ t, c\text{-}of\ t\ x1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil\ @\ (x1, b\text{-}of\ t, (c\text{-}of\ t\ z)[z::=V\text{-}var\ x1]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma using
append-g.simps c-of-switch * fresh-prodN by metis
  qed
  hence \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s2[x1::=v]_{sv} \leftarrow \tau using check-let2I by auto
  moreover have s2[x1::=v]_{sv} = s[x::=v]_{sv} using
    check-let 2I\ fresh-GNil\ check-s-elims\ s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct\ s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff
    subst-s-abs-lst\ wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple
    proof -
      have AS-let2 x t (AS-val v) s = AS-let2 x1 t s1 s2
       by (metis\ check-let 2I.prems(3)\ s-branch-s-branch-list.distinct\ s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(3))
      then show ?thesis
     by (metis (no-types) check-let2I check-let2I .prems(2) check-s-elims(1) fresh-GNil s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(3)
subst-s-abs-lst \ wfG-x-fresh-in-v-simple)
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using check-let2I by simp
qed(auto+)
lemma preservation-let-val:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x \ (AE-val v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \lor \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x \ t \ (AS-val v) \ s \rangle
\Leftarrow \tau \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?A \lor ?B)
  \mathbf{shows} \ \exists \Delta'. \ \Theta; \ \Phi; \ \Delta' \ \vdash \ \langle \ \delta \ , \ s[x::=v]_{sv} \ \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \ \land \Delta \ \sqsubseteq \Delta'
proof -
  have tt: \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta and fd: (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
    using assms by blast+
  have ?A \lor ?B using assms by auto
  then have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s[x:=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau
    assume \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS-let x (AE-val v) s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
    hence *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-}val \ v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ \text{by blast}
    thus ?thesis using check-let-val by simp
  next
    assume \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2} \ x \ t \ (AS\text{-val} \ v) \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
    hence *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let2} \ x \ t \ (AS\text{-val} \ v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ \text{by} \ blast
    thus ?thesis using check-let-val by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis using tt config-typeI fd
     order-refl by metis
qed
lemma check-s-fst-snd:
  assumes fst-snd = AE-fst \land v = v1 \lor fst-snd = AE-snd \land v = v2
```

```
and \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (fst-snd (V-pair v1 v2)) s' \Leftarrow \tau
shows \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x ( AE-val v) s' \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
  have 1: \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \text{ (fst-snd (V-pair v1 v2)) } s' \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using assms by auto
  then obtain t1 where 2:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash (fst\text{-}snd (V\text{-}pair v1 v2)) <math>\Rightarrow t1 using check-s-elims
by auto
  show ?thesis using subtype-let 1 2 assms
    by (meson infer-e-fst-pair infer-e-snd-pair)
qed
lemma preservation-fst-snd:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , LET \ x = (fst\text{-}snd \ (V\text{-}pair \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau and
           fst-snd = AE-fst \land v = v1 \lor fst-snd = AE-snd \land v = v2
  shows \exists \Delta'. \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, LET x = (AE-val v) IN s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta'
   have tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{ \} \}  Ship : AS-let x (fst-snd (V-pair v1 v2)) s' <math>\in \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta using assms
by blast
   hence t2: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \text{ (fst-snd (V-pair v1 v2)) } s' \Leftarrow \tau \text{ by auto}
  moreover have \forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd \ using \ assms \ config-type-elims \ by \ auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using config-typeI order-reft tt assms check-s-fst-snd by metis
qed
inductive-cases check-branch-s-elims2[elim!]:
   \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta ; tid ; cons ; const ; v \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau
{f lemmas}\ freshers = freshers\ atom-dom.simps\ to Set.simps\ fresh-def\ x-not-in-b-set
declare freshers [simp]
lemma subtype-eq-if:
  fixes t::\tau and va::v
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \text{-} of \ t \mid c \text{-} of \ t \ z \} \} and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \text{-} of \ t \mid c \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ t \ z \} \}
  shows \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash \{ z : b \text{-of } t \mid c \text{-of } t z \} \lesssim \{ z : b \text{-of } t \mid c \text{-} IMP \text{-} c \text{-of } t z \} 
  obtain x::x where xf:atom x \sharp ((\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, c-of t z, z, c IMP c-of t z), c) using obtain-fresh by
metis
  moreover have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, (c\text{-of } t z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \models (c \text{ } IMP \text{ } c\text{-of } t z \text{ })[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}
    unfolding subst-cv.simps
  proof(rule\ valid-eq-imp)
    have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-}of t, (c\text{-}of t z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} (c \text{ } IMP \text{ } (c\text{-}of t z))[z::=[x]^v]_v
       apply(rule wfT-wfC-cons)
       apply(simp\ add:\ assms,\ simp\ add:\ assms,\ unfold\ fresh-prodN\ )
       using xf fresh-prodN by metis+
     thus \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b\text{-of } t, (c\text{-of } t z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) #_{\Gamma} \Gamma \vdash_{wf} c[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} IMP (c\text{-of } t z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}
]^v]_{cv}
       using subst-cv.simps subst-defs by auto
```

```
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-baseI assms fresh-Pair subst-defs by metis
qed
lemma subtype-eq-if-\tau:
  fixes t::\tau and va::v
  \textbf{assumes} \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \ t \ \textbf{and} \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \ \P \ z \ : \ b\textit{-of} \ t \ \mid \ c \ \ \textit{IMP c-of} \ t \ z \ \P \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ atom \ z \ \sharp \ t
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \text{-} of t \mid c \text{ IMP } c \text{-} of t z \}
proof -
  have t = \{ z : b \text{-of } t \mid c \text{-of } t z \} using b-of-c-of-eq assms by auto
  thus ?thesis using subtype-eq-if assms c-of.simps b-of.simps by metis
lemma valid-conj:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c1 and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c2
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma \models c1 \ AND \ c2
proof
  show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \mid \vdash_{wf} c1 \; AND \; c2 \rangle using valid.simps \; wfC\text{-}conjI \; assms \; \mathbf{by} \; auto
  show \forall i. \ \Theta \ ; \Gamma \vdash i \land \ i \models \Gamma \longrightarrow \ i \models c1 \ AND \ c2 \rightarrow
  proof(rule+)
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume *:\Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i \land i \models \Gamma
    thus i \ [c1] \sim True  using assms \ valid.simps
       using is-satis.cases by blast
    show i \ [\![ c2 \ ]\!] \sim True using assms valid.simps
       using is-satis.cases * by blast
  qed
qed
16.2.4
              Other Statements
lemma check-if:
  fixes s'::s and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and v::v
  shows \Theta; \Phi; B; G; \Delta \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow s' = IF (V-lit ll) THEN s1 ELSE s2 <math>\Longrightarrow
         = s2 \Longrightarrow
         \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau and
     check-branch-s \Theta \Phi {||} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
     check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \{||\} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v css \tau \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct \ \tau \ \mathbf{and} \ \tau \ \mathbf{and} \ \tau \ rule: check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}list.strong\text{-}induct)
  case (check-ifI z \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  obtain z' where teq: \tau = \{ z' : b\text{-of } \tau \mid c\text{-of } \tau z' \} \land atom z' \sharp (z,\tau) \text{ using } obtain\text{-}fresh\text{-}z\text{-}c\text{-of } by \}
metis
  hence ceq: (c\text{-of }\tau \ z')[z'::=[\ z\ ]^v]_{cv}=(c\text{-of }\tau \ z) using c-of-switch fresh-Pair by metis
  have zf: atom z \sharp c-of \tau z'
      using c-of-fresh check-ifI teg fresh-Pair fresh-at-base by metis
  hence 1:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \mathit{GNil}; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \{|z:b\text{-}\mathit{of} \; \tau \mid \mathit{CE-val} \; (\mathit{V-lit} \; \mathit{ll}) == \mathit{CE-val} \; (\mathit{V-lit} \; \mathit{ll}) \; \mathit{IMP} \}
```

 $\mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ 2:\Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \mathit{GNil} \ \vdash \ (\{\!\!\{\ z : \mathit{b-of} \ \tau \ \mid \mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-lit} \ \mathit{ll}) \ == \ \mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-lit} \ \mathit{ll}) \ \mathit{IMP}$ 

have  $\Theta$ ;  $\{||\}$ ;  $GNil \vdash_{wf} (\{|z:b\text{-}of \tau \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit ll)\} = CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit ll) IMP c\text{-}of \tau z\}$ 

c-of  $\tau z$  \rightarrow using check-ifI by auto

 $c\text{-}of \ \tau \ z \ \}) \lesssim \ \tau$   $\mathbf{proof} \ -$ 

```
) using check-ifI check-s-wf by auto
    moreover have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \tau using check-s-wf check-if by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using subtype-if-simp[of \Theta {||} z b-of \tau ll c-of \tau z' z'] using teq ceq zf
subst-defs by metis
  qed
  ultimately show ?case using check-s-supertype(1) check-if by metis
qed(auto+)
lemma preservation-if:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, IF (V-lit \ ll) \ THEN s1 ELSE s2 \rangle \Leftarrow \tau and
            ll = L-true \land s = s1 \lor ll = L-false \land s = s2
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta
proof -
  have *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-if (V-lit ll) s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau \land (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef \Theta \Phi fd)
    using assms config-type-elims by metis
  hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau using check-s-wf check-if assms by metis
  hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land setD \ \Delta \subseteq setD \ \Delta  using config-typeI *
    using assms(1) by blast
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma wfT-conj:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \text{ and } \Theta; \mathcal{B}; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c2 \}
  shows \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid \mathit{c1 AND c2} \}
proof
  show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ GNil) \rangle
    apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,\ intro\ conjI)
    using wfTh-fresh wfT-wf assms apply metis
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{fresh-GNil} \ \mathit{x-not-in-b-set} \ \mathit{fresh-def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis} +
  show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid \vdash_{wf} b \rangle using wfT-elims assms by metis
  have \Theta; \mathcal{B}; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c1 using wfT-wfC fresh-GNil assms by auto
  moreover have \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c2 using wfT-wfC fresh-GNil assms by auto
  ultimately show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (z, b, TRUE) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \vdash_{wf} c1 \ AND \ c2 \rangle using wfC-conjI by auto
qed
lemma subtype-conj:
  assumes \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \mathit{GNil} \; \vdash \; t \lesssim \{ \mid z : b \mid c1 \; \} \; \text{and} \quad \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \mathit{GNil} \; \vdash \; t \lesssim \{ \mid z : b \mid c2 \; \}
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t z \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}
proof -
  have beq: b-of t = b using subtype-eq-base2 b-of.simps assms by metis
  obtain x::x where x:\langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ B, \ GNil, \ z, \ c\text{-}of \ t \ z, \ z, \ c1 \ AND \ c2 \ )  using obtain-fresh by
metis
  thus ?thesis proof
     have atom z \sharp t using subtype-wf wfT-supp fresh-def x-not-in-b-set atom-dom.simps to Set.simps
assms dom.simps by fastforce
    hence t:t = \{ z: b\text{-}of \ t \mid c\text{-}of \ t \ z \} \text{ using } b\text{-}of\text{-}c\text{-}of\text{-}eq \text{ by } auto \}
    thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of } t z \} \rangle using subtype-wf beq assms by auto
    \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (x, \ b, \ (c \text{-of} \ t \ z)[z ::=[ \ x \ ]^v]_v) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ GNil \ \models (c1 \ AND \ c2 \ )[z ::=[ \ x \ ]^v]_v)
    proof -
```

```
have \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of }t z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c1[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle
      proof(rule subtype-valid)
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \rangle using assms by auto
        show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using fresh-GNil by auto
        show \langle t = \{ z : b \mid c \text{-of } t z \} \rangle using t \text{ beq by } auto
        \mathbf{show} \, \langle \{ \mid z : b \mid c1 \mid \} = \{ \mid z : b \mid c1 \mid \} \rangle \, \mathbf{by} \, auto
      qed
      moreover have \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, b, (c\text{-of }t z)[z::=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \models c2[z::=[x]^v]_v \rangle
      proof(rule subtype-valid)
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash t \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \rangle using assms by auto
        show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using fresh-GNil by auto
        show \langle t = \{ z : b \mid c \text{-of } t z \} \rangle using t \text{ beq by } auto
        show \langle \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \} = \{ \{ z : b \mid c2 \} \} by auto
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-v-c-def using valid-conj by metis
    thus \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \} \rangle using subtype-wf wfT-conj assms by auto
  qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma infer-v-conj:
  assumes \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c1 \}  and \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c2 \} 
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \mid c1 \ AND \ c2 \} \}
proof -
  obtain t1 where t1: \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow t1 \land \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \} \}
    using assms check-v-elims by metis
  using assms check-v-elims by metis
  have teq: t1 = \{ z: b \mid c\text{-}of\ t1\ z \} \text{ using } subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}base2\ b\text{-}of\ .simps \}
    by (metis (full-types) b-of-c-of-eq fresh-GNil infer-v-t-wf t1 wfT-x-fresh)
  have t1 = t2 using infer-v-uniqueness t1 t2 by auto
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash \{ z : b \mid c\text{-of }t1\ z \} \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1\ AND\ c2 \}  using subtype\text{-conj }t1\ t2 by simp
  hence \Theta; \mathcal{B}; GNil \vdash t1 \lesssim \{ z : b \mid c1 \text{ AND } c2 \}  using teq by auto
  thus ?thesis using t1 using check-v.intros by auto
qed
lemma wfG-conj:
  fixes c1::c
  assumes \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1 \ AND \ c2) <math>\#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
  shows \Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b, c1) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ c1\in \{TRUE, FALSE\})
  case True
  then show ?thesis using assms wfG-cons2I wfG-elims wfX-wfY by metis
next
  {f case}\ {\it False}
  then show ?thesis using assms wfG-cons11 wfG-elims wfX-wfY wfC-elims
    by (metis \ wfG-elim2)
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
lemma check-match:
 fixes s'::s and s::s and css::branch-list and cs::branch-s
 shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \mathit{True} \text{ and }
       \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dc ; const ; vcons \vdash cs \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow
             vcons = V-cons \ tid \ dc \ v \Longrightarrow B = \{||\} \Longrightarrow G = GNil \Longrightarrow cs = (dc \ x' \Rightarrow s') \Longrightarrow
             \Theta : \{ || \} : GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow const \Longrightarrow
             \Theta; \Phi; {||}; \mathit{GNil}; \Delta \vdash s'[x' := v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau and
       \Theta ; \Phi ; B ; G ; \Delta ; tid ; dclist ; vcons \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow distinct (map fst dclist) \Longrightarrow
             vcons = V-cons tid dc \ v \Longrightarrow B = \{||\} \Longrightarrow (dc, const) \in set \ dclist \Longrightarrow G = GNil \Longrightarrow
             Some (AS-branch dc x's') = lookup-branch dc css \Longrightarrow \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow const \Longrightarrow
             \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \leftarrow \tau
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ \tau\ \mathbf{and}\ \tau\ avoiding\ :\ x'\ v\ rule\ :\ check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}list\ .strong\text{-}induct\ )
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid consa consta va cs \tau dclist cssa)
  then obtain xa and sa where cseq:cs = AS-branch consa xa sa using check-branch-s-elims2[OF
check-branch-list-consI(1)] by metis
  show ?case proof(cases dc = consa)
    case True
    hence cs = AS-branch consa x' s' using check-branch-list-consI cseq
      by (metis\ lookup-branch.simps(2)\ option.inject)
    moreover have const = consta using check-branch-list-consI distinct.simps
     by (metis True delist-distinct-unique list.set-intros(1))
    moreover have va = V-cons tid consa v using check-branch-list-consI True by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using check-branch-list-consI by auto
    case False
  hence Some\ (AS\text{-}branch\ dc\ x'\ s') = lookup\text{-}branch\ dc\ cssa\ using\ lookup\text{-}branch.simps(2)\ check\text{-}branch-list\text{-}consI(10)
cseq by auto
    moreover have (dc, const) \in set \ dclist \ using \ check-branch-list-consI \ False \ by \ simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using check-branch-list-consI by auto
  qed
next
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const va cs \tau)
  hence cs = AS-branch cons x' s' using lookup.simps check-branch-list-final lookup-branch.simps
option.inject
  by (metis\ map-of.simps(1)\ map-of-Cons-code(2)\ option.distinct(1)\ s-branch-s-branch-list.exhaust(2)
weak-map-of-SomeI)
 then show ?case using check-branch-list-finalI by auto
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons va s)
Supporting facts here to make the main body of the proof concise
  have xf:atom x \sharp \tau proof -
     have supp \tau \subseteq supp B using wf-supp(4) check-branch-s-branchI atom-dom.simps toSet.simps
dom.simps by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using fresh-def x-not-in-b-set by blast
  qed
 hence \tau[x:=v]_{\tau v} = \tau using forget-subst-v subst-v-\tau-def by auto
 have \Delta[x:=v]_{\Delta v} = \Delta using forget-subst-dv wfD-x-fresh fresh-GNil check-branch-s-branchI by metis
```

```
have supp \ v = \{\} using check-branch-s-branchI \ check-v-wf \ wfV-supp-nil by metis
  hence supp \ va = \{\} using \langle va = V-cons tid cons v \rangle v-supp pure-supp by auto
  \mathbf{let} \ ?G = (x, \ b\text{-}of \ const, \lceil \ va \ \rceil^{ce} \ == \ \lceil \ V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons \ \lceil \ x \ \rceil^{v} \ \rceil^{ce} \quad AND \ c\text{-}of \ const \ x \ ) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma
  obtain z::x where z: const = \{ z: b\text{-of } const \mid c\text{-of } const \ z \} \land atom \ z \notin (x', v, x, const, va) \}
    using obtain-fresh-z-c-of by metis
  thm check-branch-s-branchI(23)
  have vt: \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-} of const \mid [va]^{ce} == [V \text{-} cons \ tid \ cons \ [z]^v]^{ce} \ AND \ c \text{-} of
const z \rangle
  proof(rule infer-v-conj)
    obtain t' where t: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash v \Rightarrow t' \land \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash t' \lesssim \mathit{const}
        using check-v-elims check-branch-s-branchI by metis
    show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-of } const \mid [va]^{ce} == [V \text{-} cons \ tid \ cons \ [z]^v]^{ce} \}
    \mathbf{proof}(rule\ check-v-top)
      \mathbf{show}\ \Theta\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ GNil\ \vdash_{wf}\ \{\!\!\{\ z: b\text{-}of\ const\ \mid\ [\ va\ ]^{ce}\ ==\ [\ V\text{-}cons\ tid\ cons\ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}\ \}
    proof(rule\ wfG-wfT)
       \mathbf{show} \ \ \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ \ \vdash_{wf} (x, \ b\text{-of } const, \ ([\ va\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons \ [\ z\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ )[z::=[\ x\ ]^v]_{cv}) \ \#_{\Gamma}
GNil
      proof -
         have 1: va[z::=[x]^v]_{vv} = va using forget-subst-v subst-v-v-def z fresh-prodN by metis
         moreover have 2:\Theta; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } const, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-cons } tid \ cons[x]^v]^{ce}
c-of const x ) #_{\Gamma} GNil
                       check-branch-s-branchI(17)[THEN\ check-s-wf] \langle \Gamma = GNil \rangle by auto
           using
         moreover hence \Theta : \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, b\text{-of } const, [va]^{ce} == [V\text{-}cons \ tid \ cons [x]^{v}]^{ce}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
           using wfG-conj by metis
         {\bf ultimately \ show} \ \textit{?thesis}
           unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps by auto
       show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ ([va]^{ce} == [V-cons \ tid \ cons \ [z]^{v}]^{ce}) \rangle unfolding c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh
         apply(intro\ conjI)
         using check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base z freshers apply simp
         using check-branch-s-branchI fresh-at-base z freshers apply simp
         using pure-supp apply force
         using z fresh-x-neq fresh-prod5 by metis
    qed
       \mathbf{show} \, \langle [va]^{ce} = [V\text{-}cons \, tid \, cons \, [z]^{v}]^{ce}[z ::= v]_{cev} \rangle
         using \langle va = V-cons \ tid \ cons \ v \rangle \ subst-cev.simps \ subst-vv.simps \ by \ auto
       show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash v \Leftarrow \mathit{const} \rangle using \mathit{check-branch-s-branchI} by \mathit{auto}
       show supp [va]^{ce} \subseteq supp \mathcal{B} \text{ using } \langle supp va = \{\} \rangle \text{ ce. supp by } simp
    show \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-} of const \mid c \text{-} of const z \} 
       using check-branch-s-branchI z by auto
  qed
Main body of proof for this case
  have \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; (?G)[x::=v]_{\Gamma v}; \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} \vdash s[x::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v}
  proof(rule subst-check-check-s)
```

```
const \ z \ \rangle using vt by auto
    show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ (x, \ v) \rangle using z \ fresh\text{-}prodN by auto
    show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; ?G ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle
        using check-branch-s-branchI by auto
    show ?G = GNil @ (x, b\text{-of } const, ([va]^{ce}) = [V\text{-}constid cons[z]^{v}]^{ce} AND c\text{-of } const
z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil\rangle
    proof -
      have va[z::=[x]^v]_{vv} = va using forget-subst-v subst-v-v-def z fresh-prodN by metis
      moreover have (c\text{-of } const \ z)[z::=[x]^v]_{cv} = c\text{-of } const \ x
         using c-of-switch[of z const x] z fresh-prodN by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis
         unfolding subst-cv.simps subst-cev.simps subst-vv.simps append-q.simps
         using c-of-switch[of z const x] z fresh-prodN z fresh-prodN check-branch-s-branchI by argo
    qed
  moreover have s[x:=v]_{sv} = s'[x':=v]_{sv}
    using check-branch-s-branchI subst-v-flip-eq-two subst-v-s-def s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by metis
  ultimately show ?case using check-branch-s-branchI \langle \tau[x::=v]_{\tau v} = \tau \rangle \langle \Delta[x::=v]_{\Delta v} = \Delta \rangle by auto
qed(auto+)
Lemmas for while reduction. Making these separate lemmas allows flexibility in wiring them
into the main proof and robustness if we change it
lemma check-unit:
   fixes \tau :: \tau and \Phi :: \Phi and \Delta :: \Delta and G :: \Gamma
  assumes \Theta; \{||\}; \mathit{GNil} \vdash \{|z:B\text{-}\mathit{unit} \mid \mathit{TRUE}|\} \lesssim \tau' \text{ and } \Theta; \{||\}; \mathit{GNil} \vdash_{\mathit{wf}} \Delta and \Theta \vdash_{\mathit{wf}} \Phi
and \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} G
  shows \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; G ; \Delta \vdash [[L-unit]^v]^s \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle
proof -
  have *:\Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash [L\text{-}unit]^v \Rightarrow \{|z:B\text{-}unit\mid | [|z|^v|]^{ce} == [|L\text{-}unit|]^v|]^{ce} \}
    \textbf{using} \ \textit{infer-l.intros}(4) \ \textit{infer-v-litI} \ \textit{fresh-GNil} \ \textit{assms} \ \textit{wfX-wfY} \ \ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{meson subtype-g-wf})
  moreover have \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash \{|z:B\text{-}unit \mid [|[z]^v]^{ce} == [|[L\text{-}unit]^v]^{ce}|\} \lesssim \tau'
    using \ subtype-top \ subtype-trans * infer-v-wf
    by (meson \ assms(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
   using subtype-top subtype-trans fresh-GNil assms check-valI assms check-s-q-weakening assms toSet.simps
    by (metis bot.extremum infer-v-g-weakening subtype-weakening wfD-wf)
qed
lemma preservation-var:
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash VAR \ u : \tau' = v \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \Longrightarrow atom \ u \ \sharp \ \delta \Longrightarrow atom \ u
\sharp \; \Delta \Longrightarrow
         \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; (u,\tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash (u,v) \#\delta \sim (u,\tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta
    and
   check-branch-s \Theta \Phi {||} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
    check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \{ || \} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid delist } v \text{ css } \tau \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(nominal\text{-}induct\ \{||\}::bv\ fset\ GNil\ \Delta\ VAR\ u:\tau'=v\ IN\ s\ \tau\ \mathbf{and}\ \tau\ rule:\ check\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch\text{-}s\text{-}check\text{-}branch
  case (check-varI u' \Theta \Phi \Delta \tau s')
```

**show**  $\langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \mathit{GNil} \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ z : b \text{-} \mathit{of const} \mid [va]^{ce} == [V \text{-} \mathit{cons tid cons} [z]^v]^{ce} AND c \text{-} \mathit{of} \}$ 

```
hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; (u, \tau') \#_{\Delta} \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau using check-s-abs-u check-v-wf by metis
   moreover have \Theta \vdash ((u,v)\#\delta) \sim ((u,\tau')\#\Delta) proof
       show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \rangle using check-varI by auto
       show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle using check-varI by auto
       show \langle u \notin fst \text{ '} set \delta \rangle using check-varI fresh-d-fst-d by auto
   qed
   ultimately show ?case by simp
qed(auto+)
lemma check-while:
   shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{ \ s2 \ \} \iff atom \ x \ \sharp \ (s1, \ s2) \implies atom \ z' \ \sharp \ x
            \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash LET x : (\{||z'|: B-bool|| TRUE \}\}) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s1) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s2) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s2) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; ;; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (IF \ (V-var x) \ THEN \ (s2; s3) = s1 \ IN \ (s3; s3) = s1 \ IN
(WHILE \ s1 \ DO \ \{s2\}))
                     ELSE ([V-lit L-unit]^s)) \Leftarrow \tau  and
     check-branch-s \Theta \Phi {||} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
       check-branch-list \Theta \Phi \{ || \} \Gamma \Delta \text{ tid delist } v \text{ css } \tau \Longrightarrow True
proof(nominal-induct {||}::bv fset GNil \triangle AS-while s1 s2 \tau and \tau and \tau avoiding: s1 s2 x z' rule:
check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
   case (check-while I \Theta \Phi \Delta s1 z s2 \tau')
   have teq: \{ z' : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} = \{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} \text{ using } \tau.eq\text{-}iff \text{ by } auto \}
   show ?case proof
       have atom x \sharp \tau' using wfT-nil-supp fresh-def subtype-wfT check-while I(5) by fast
       moreover have atom x \sharp \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} using \tau fresh \epsilon fresh by metis
       ultimately show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \ \{||\}, \ GNil, \ \Delta, \ \sharp \ z' : B-bool \ \mid \ TRUE \ \rbrace, \ s1, \ \tau'\rangle \rangle
          apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN)
          using check-while I wb-x-fresh check-s-wf wfD-x-fresh fresh-empty-fset fresh-GNil fresh-Pair (atom
x \sharp \tau' \rangle by metis
       show \langle \Theta : \Phi : \{ | \} \} : GNil : \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow \{ | z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \rangle using check-while I teg by metis
       let \mathscr{C}G = (x, b\text{-of } \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \}, c\text{-of } \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \} x) \#_{\Gamma} GNil
       have cof:(c-of \{z': B-bool \mid TRUE \}x) = C-true using c-of.simps\ check-while I subst-cv.simps
by metis
       have wfg: \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} ?G proof
       show c\text{-of} \{ z' : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} x \in \{TRUE, FALSE\} \text{ using } subst-cv.simps cof by auto
       show \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} GNil \text{ using } wfG-nill \text{ check-while I } wfX-wfY \text{ check-s-wf by } metis
       show atom x \sharp GNil using fresh-GNil by auto
       show \Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} b\text{-of } \{||z'|: B\text{-bool}|| TRUE \}\} using wfB-boolI wfX-wfY check-s-wf b-of.simps
          by (metis \ \langle \Theta \ ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle)
    qed
       obtain zz::x where zf: \langle atom\ zz\ \sharp\ ((\Theta,\ \Phi,\ \{\}\}::bv\ fset,\ ?G\ ,\ \Delta,\ [\ x\ ]^v,
                                                           AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2), AS-val [L-unit ]^v, \tau'),x,?G)
          using obtain-fresh by blast
       \mathbf{show} \mathrel{<} \Theta \mathrel{;} \Phi \mathrel{;} \mathrel{\{||\}} \mathrel{;} ?G \mathrel{;} \Delta \; \vdash \;
                                 AS-if [x]^v (AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2)) (AS-val [L-unit]^v) \Leftarrow \tau'
       proof
```

```
show atom zz \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}::bv fset, ?G, \Delta, [x]^v, AS-seq s2 (AS-while s1 s2), AS-val [L-unit]^v,
\tau') using zf by auto
                  show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; ?G \vdash [x]^v \Leftarrow \{ zz : B\text{-bool} \mid TRUE \} \rangle proof
                        have atom zz \sharp x \wedge atom \ zz \sharp (\Theta, \{ \} :: bv \ fset, ?G) using zf \ fresh-prodN by metis
                        thus \Theta : \{ || \} : ?G \vdash [x]^v \Rightarrow \{zz : B\text{-bool} \mid [[zz]^v]^{ce} == [[x]^v]^{ce} \} \}
                                 using infer-v-varI lookup.simps wfg b-of.simps by metis
                        thus \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; ?G \vdash \{ || zz : B\text{-}bool \mid [[||zz|]^v]^{ce} == [[|x|]^v]^{ce} \} \lesssim \{ ||zz : B\text{-}bool \mid TRUE \} \rangle
                                    using subtype-top infer-v-wf by metis
                  qed
                 \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \Phi \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ ?G \ ; \ \Delta \ \vdash AS\text{-seq s2 } (AS\text{-while s1 s2}) \Leftarrow \{ \ zz : b\text{-of } \ \tau' \ \mid \ [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ x] \ \mid \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ x] \ == \ 
 L-true ]^v ]^{ce} IMP c-of \tau' zz \}
                  proof
                          have \{zz : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} = \{z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} \text{ using } \tau.eq\text{-}iff \text{ by } auto
                            thus (\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; ?G; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \{||zz: B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) using check\text{-}s\text{-}g\text{-}weakening(1)
[OF\ check-while I(3)\ -\ wfg]\ to Set.simps
                                by (simp\ add: \langle \{ zz : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} = \{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \} \rangle)
                          \mathbf{show} \land \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; ?G ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}while s1 s2 \Leftarrow \{ zz : b\text{-}of \ \tau' \mid [\ [x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [L\text{-}true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce}
] ^{ce} IMP c-of \tau' zz \rangle
                          \mathbf{proof}(rule\ check\text{-}s\text{-}supertype(1))
                                have \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-while } s1 \ s2 \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle using check-while I by auto
                                thus *:\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | | \} ; ?G ; \Delta \vdash AS-while s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle using check-s-g-weakening(1)[OF --
wfg] toSet.simps by auto
                                 \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \{ || \} \ ; \ ?G \vdash \tau' \ \lesssim \{ \ zz : b \text{-} of \ \tau' \ | \ [ \ [ \ x \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L \text{-} true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ IMP \ c \text{-} of \ \tau'
zz \rangle
                                 \mathbf{proof}(rule\ subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}if\text{-}	au)
                                       show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle  using * check-s-wf by auto
                                       \mathbf{thm} wfT-wfT-if-rev
                                     \mathbf{show} \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ ?G \ \vdash_{wf} \ \{\!\!\{\ zz : b\text{-}of \ \tau' \ \mid [\ [\ x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ == \ [\ [\ L\text{-}true\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} \ IMP \ c\text{-}of \ \tau'\ zz
} >
                                             apply(rule wfT-eq-imp, simp add: base-for-lit.simps)
                                 using subtype-wf check-while I wfg zf fresh-prod N by metis+
                                       show \langle atom\ zz\ \sharp\ \tau'\rangle using zf\ fresh\text{-}prodN by metis
                                qed
                          qed
                     qed
                     \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; ?G; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}val \ [L\text{-}unit\ ]^v \Leftarrow \{\{zz: b\text{-}of\ \tau' \mid [\ [x\ ]^v\ ]^{ce} == [\ [L\text{-}false\ ]^v \mid [x]^v \mid
\begin{tabular}{ll} |v\>\>|^{ce} & IMP & c\mbox{-}of \ensuremath{ \tau'} \ensuremath{ zz} \ensuremath{\ \}} \ensuremath{\ \rangle} \ensuremath{\ } \end{array}
                     \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{rule\ check-s-supertype}(1))
                          show *:\langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; ?G ; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-}val [ L\text{-}unit ]^v \Leftarrow \tau' \rangle
                                  \mathbf{using}\ check-unit[\mathit{OF}\ check-while I(5)\ -\ -\ wfg]\ \mathbf{using}\ check-while I\ wfg\ wfX-wfY\ check-s-wf\ \mathbf{by}
metis
                          \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \{ || \} \ ; \ ?\!G \ \vdash \tau' \lesssim \ \{ \ zz \ : \ b\text{-}of \ \tau' \ \mid [ \ [ \ x \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}false \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ IMP \ c\text{-}of \ \tau' \ zz \ \}
}>
                          \mathbf{proof}(rule\ subtype\text{-}eq\text{-}if\text{-}	au)
                                       show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; ?G \vdash_{wf} \tau' \rangle  using * check-s-wf by metis
                                     \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \{ || \} \ ; \ ?G \ \vdash_{wf} \{ \ zz : b\text{-}of \ \tau' \ | \ [ \ [ \ x \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}false \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ IMP \ c\text{-}of \ \tau' \ zz
} >
```

```
apply(rule wfT-eq-imp, simp add: base-for-lit.simps)
              using subtype-wf check-while I wfq zf fresh-prod N by metis+
                show \langle atom \ zz \ \sharp \ \tau' \rangle using zf \ fresh\text{-}prodN by metis
              qed
         qed
        qed
  qed
qed(auto+)
lemma check-s-narrow:
  fixes s::s and x::x
  assumes atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, \Delta, c, \tau, s) and \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau and
     \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \models c
  shows \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau
proof -
  let ?B = (\{||\}::bv \ fset)
  let ?v = V-lit L-true
  obtain z::x where z: atom z \sharp (x, [L-true]^v,c) using obtain-fresh by metis
  have atom z \sharp c using z fresh-prodN by auto
  hence c: c[x:=[z]^v]_v[z:=[x]^v]_{cv} = c using subst-v-c-def by simp
   \mathbf{have}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\ \mathcal{B}\ ;\ ((x,B\text{-}bool,\ c)\ \#_{\Gamma}\ \Gamma)[x::=?v]_{\Gamma v}\ ;\ \Delta[x::=?v]_{\Delta v}\quad \vdash\quad s[x::=?v]_{sv}\quad \Leftarrow\ \tau[x::=?v]_{\tau v}
\mathbf{proof}(rule\ subst-infer-check-s(1))
     \mathbf{show} \ \mathit{vt} : \ (\Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ \Gamma \ \vdash [ \ \mathit{L-true} \ ]^v \Rightarrow \{ \ \mathit{z} \ : \mathit{B-bool} \ | \ (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-var} \ \mathit{z})) == (\mathit{CE-val} \ (\mathit{V-lit} \ \ \mathit{L-true} \ ) \}
        using infer-v-litI check-s-wf wfG-elims(2) infer-trueI assms by metis
     show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash \{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) == (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit L\text{-}true)) \} \lesssim \{ z : B\text{-}bool \mid (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z)) == (CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit L\text{-}true)) \} 
\mid c[x::=[z]^v]_v \rangle proof
        show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \mathcal{B}, \Gamma, z, \lceil \lceil z \rceil^v \rceil^{ce} == \lceil \lceil L\text{-}true \rceil^v \rceil^{ce}, z, c[x::=[z \rceil^v]_v) \rangle
          apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,\ intro\ conjI)
          prefer 5
          using c.fresh ce.fresh v.fresh z fresh-prodN apply auto[1]
                 prefer \theta
          using fresh-subst-v-if [of atom x \ c \ x] assms fresh-prodN apply simp
          using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
          using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
          using z assms fresh-prodN apply metis
 \mathbf{using}\ z\ \mathit{fresh-prodN}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{fresh-at-base}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{metis} +
       \mathbf{show} \ \langle \Theta \ ; \mathcal{B} \ ; \Gamma \quad \vdash_{wf} \ \{ \ z : B\text{-}bool \mid [ \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ == \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \} \ \rangle \ \mathbf{using} \ vt \ infer\text{-}v\text{-}wf \ \mathbf{by}
simp
        show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} ; \Gamma \vdash_{wf} \{ z : B\text{-bool} \mid c[x := [z]^v]_v \} \rangle \mathbf{proof}(rule \ wfG\text{-}wfT)
          show \langle \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \mid_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c[x::=[z]^v]_v[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using c \text{ check-s-wf assms} by
metis
          have atom x \sharp [z]^v using v.fresh z fresh-at-base by auto
          thus \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ c[x::=[\ z\ ]^v]_v \rangle using fresh-subst-v-if [of atom x c ] by auto
        have wfg: \Theta ; \mathcal{B} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-}bool, ([[z]^v]^{ce}) = [[L\text{-}true]^v]^{ce})[z:=[x]^v]_v) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma
          using wfT-wfG vt infer-v-wf fresh-prodN assms by simp
         \mathbf{show} \ \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \mathcal{B} \ ; \ (x, \ B\text{-}bool, \ ([ \ [ \ z \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ \ == \ \ [ \ [ \ L\text{-}true \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ )[z::=[ \ x \ ]^v]_v) \ \#_{\Gamma} \ \Gamma \ \models \ c[x::=[ \ z \ ]^v]_v
```

```
|v|_v[z::=[x]^v]_v
          using c valid-weakening[OF\ assms(3)\ -\ wfg\ ]\ toSet.simps
          using subst-v-c-def by auto
    qed
     show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ (x,\ [\ L\text{-true}\ ]^v)\rangle using z\ fresh\text{-}prodN by metis
     show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \mathcal{B} ; (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using assms by auto
   thus \langle (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma = GNil @ (x, B\text{-}bool, c[x::=[z]^v]_v[z::=[x]^v]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \rangle using append-g.simps
c by auto
  qed
  moreover have ((x,B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma)[x:=?v]_{\Gamma v} = \Gamma using subst-gv.simps by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using assms forget-subst-dv forget-subst-sv forget-subst-tv fresh-prodN by
metis
qed
lemma check-assert-s:
  fixes s::s and x::x
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-assert cs \Leftarrow \tau
          shows \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \models c
proof -
  let ?B = (\{||\}::bv \ fset)
  let ?v = V-lit L-true
  obtain x where x: \Theta ; \Phi ; ?B ; (x,B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \wedge atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, ?B, GNil, SOM)
\Delta, c, \tau, s) \wedge \Theta; ?B; GNil \models c
     using check-s-elims(10)[OF \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; ?B ; GNil ; \Delta \vdash AS-assert cs \leftarrow \tau \rangle] valid.simps by metis
  show ?thesis using assms check-s-narrow x by metis
qed
16.2.5
                Main Lemma
thm infer-v-pairI
lemma infer-v-pair2I:
   atom z \sharp (v1, v2) \Longrightarrow
   atom \ z \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \mathcal{B}, \ \Gamma) \Longrightarrow
   \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v1 \Rightarrow t1 \Longrightarrow
   \Theta : \mathcal{B} : \Gamma \vdash v2 \Rightarrow t2 \Longrightarrow
   b1 = b-of t1 \Longrightarrow b2 = b-of t2 \Longrightarrow
  \Theta \; ; \; \mathcal{B} \; ; \; \Gamma \vdash [\; v1 \; , \; v2 \;]^v \; \Rightarrow \; \{ \; z \; : \; [\; b1 \; , \; b2 \;]^b \; \mid [\; [\; z \;]^v \;]^{ce} \; \; == \; [\; [\; v1 \; , \; v2 \;]^v \;]^{ce} \; \; \}
  using infer-v-pairI by simp
lemma preservation:
  assumes \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle and \Theta ; \Phi ; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
  shows \exists \Delta'. \Theta; \Phi; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta'
  using assms
\mathbf{proof}(induct\ arbitrary:\ \tau\ rule:\ reduce\text{-}stmt.induct)
  case (reduce-let-plus I \delta x n 1 n 2 s')
  then show ?case using preservation-plus
     by (metis order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let-leqI b n1 n2 \delta x s)
```

```
then show ?case using preservation-leg by (metis order-refl)
    case (reduce-let-eqI b n1 n2 \Phi \delta x s)
    then show ?case using preservation-eq[OF reduce-let-eqI(2)] order-reft by metis
next
    case (reduce-let-appI f \ z \ b \ c \ \tau' \ s' \ \Phi \ \delta \ x \ v \ s)
   hence tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{\{\}\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-app } f \ v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check\text{-fundef} \}
\Theta \Phi fd) using config-type-elims[OF reduce-let-appI(2)] by metis
    hence *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-app f v) s \Leftarrow \tau by auto
   hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x \quad (\tau'[z::=v]_{\tau v}) \ (s'[z::=v]_{sv}) \ s \Leftarrow \tau
        using preservation-app reduce-let-appI tt by auto
   hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-let2} \ x \ (\tau'[z::=v]_{\tau v}) \ s'[z::=v]_{sv} \ s \ \rangle \Leftarrow \tau using config-type I tt by auto
    then show ?case using tt order-refl reduce-let-appI by metis
next
    case (reduce-let-appPI f bv z b c \tau' s' \Phi \delta x b' v s)
    hence tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-appP } f \ b' \ v) \ s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi.
check-fundef \Theta \Phi fd) using config-type-elims [OF reduce-let-appPI(2)] by metis
    hence *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-appP f b' v) s \Leftarrow \tau by auto
   \mathbf{have} \ \ \Theta; \ \Phi; \ \{||\}; \ \textit{GNil}; \ \Delta \ \ \vdash \ \textit{AS-let2} \ x \quad (\tau'[bv ::=b']_{\tau b}[z ::=v]_{\tau v}) \ (s'[bv ::=b']_{sb}[z ::=v]_{sv}) \ s \Leftarrow \tau (s'[bv ::=b']_{sb}[z ::=v]_{sv}) \ s \Leftarrow \tau (s'[bv ::=b']_{sb}[z ::=v]_{sv}) \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau (s'[bv ::=b'
    \mathbf{proof}(rule\ preservation\text{-}poly\text{-}app)
       show \langle Some\ (AF\text{-}fundef\ f\ (AF\text{-}fun-typ\text{-}some\ bv\ (AF\text{-}fun-typ\ z\ b\ c\ \tau'\ s'))) = lookup\text{-}fun\ \Phi\ f\rangle using
reduce-let-appPI by metis
        show \forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd \rangle using tt \ lookup-fun-member \ \mathbf{by} \ met is
        show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ | \} \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-appP f b' v) s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using * by auto
        show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \mid \vdash_{wf} b' \rangle using check-s-elims infer-e-wf wfE-elims * by metis
    qed(auto+)
     hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta , AS\text{-}let2 \ x \ (\tau'[bv::=b']_{\tau b}[z::=v]_{\tau v}) \ s'[bv::=b']_{sb}[z::=v]_{sv} \ s \ \rangle \Leftarrow \tau using
config-type Itt by auto
    then show ?case using tt order-refl reduce-let-appI by metis
next
    case (reduce-if-true I \delta s1 s2)
   then show ?case using preservation-if by metis
    case (reduce-if-falseI uw \delta s1 s2)
    then show ?case using preservation-if by metis
    case (reduce-let-valI \delta x v s)
    then show ?case using preservation-let-val by presburger
      case (reduce-let-mvar u \ v \ \delta \ \Phi \ x \ s)
   hence *:\Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-mvar u) s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef
\Theta \Phi fd
        using config-type-elims by blast
   hence **: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-mvar u) s \Leftarrow \tau by auto
```

```
obtain xa::x and za::x and ca::c and ba::b and sa::s where
          sa1: atom xa \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, {||}::bv fset, GNil, \Delta, AE-mvar u, \tau) \wedge atom za \sharp (xa, \Theta, \Phi, {||}::bv fset,
GNil, \Delta, AE-mvar u, \tau, sa) \wedge
         \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE\text{-}mvar u \Rightarrow \{ za : ba \mid ca \} \land
         \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; (xa, ba, ca[za:=V-var xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau \land A
             (\forall c. \ atom \ c \ \sharp \ (s, sa) \longrightarrow atom \ c \ \sharp \ (x, xa, s, sa) \longrightarrow (x \leftrightarrow c) \cdot s = (xa \leftrightarrow c) \cdot sa)
       using check-s-elims(2)[OF **] subst-defs by metis
    have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \{ | za : ba | ca \}  proof -
       have (u, \{ za : ba \mid ca \}) \in setD \ \Delta \text{ using } infer-e-elims(11) \ sa1 \ by \ fast
       thus ?thesis using delta-sim-v reduce-let-mvar config-type-elims check-s-wf by metis
    qed
    then obtain \tau' where vst: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash v \Rightarrow \tau' \land
               \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash \tau' \lesssim \{ za : ba \mid ca \}  using check-v-elims by blast
    obtain za2 and ba2 and ca2 where zbc: \tau' = (\{ za2 : ba2 \mid ca2 \}) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A)) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, \Theta, \Phi, A) \land atom za2 \sharp (xa, \Theta, 
\{||\}::bv\ fset,\ GNil,\ \Delta,\ AE-val\ v,\ \tau,\ sa)\}
       using obtain-fresh-z by blast
    have beq: ba=ba2 using subtype-eq-base vst zbc by blast
    moreover have xaf: atom xa \pm (za, za2)
       apply(unfold\ fresh-prodN,\ intro\ conjI)
       using sa1 zbc fresh-prodN fresh-x-neq by metis+
   have sat2: \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; GNil@(xa, ba, ca2[za2::=V-var xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau \mathbf{proof}(rule
ctx-subtype-s)
          show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil @ (xa, ba, ca[za::=V-var xa]_{cv}) \#_{\Gamma} GNil; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau using sall by
auto
         show \Theta ; {||} ; GNil \vdash \{ | za2 : ba \mid ca2 | \} \lesssim \{ | za : ba \mid ca | \}  using beq \ zbc \ vst \ by fast
         show atom xa \sharp (za, za2, ca, ca2) proof -
             have *:\Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\{ za2 : ba2 \mid ca2 \}) using zbc vst subtype-wf by auto
             hence supp\ ca2 \subseteq \{\ atom\ za2\ \}\ using\ wfT-supp-c[OF*]\ supp-GNil\ by\ simp
             moreover have atom za2 # xa using zbc fresh-Pair fresh-x-neg by metis
             ultimately have atom xa \sharp ca2 using zbc supp-at-base fresh-def
                 by (metis empty-iff singleton-iff subset-singletonD)
             moreover have atom \ xa \ \sharp \ ca \ \mathbf{proof} –
                 have *:\Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} (\{ za : ba \mid ca \}) using zbc vst subtype-wf by auto
                 hence supp \ ca \subseteq \{ atom \ za \}  using wfT-supp \ \tau.supp  by force
                 moreover have xa \neq za using fresh-def fresh-x-neg xaf fresh-Pair by metis
                 ultimately show ?thesis using fresh-def by auto
             qed
             ultimately show ?thesis using xaf sa1 fresh-prod4 fresh-Pair by metis
         qed
    hence dwf: \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \text{ using } sa1 \text{ infer-e-wf by } meson
    have \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let xa \ (AE-val \ v) \ sa \Leftarrow \tau \ \mathbf{proof}
         have atom xa \sharp (AE\text{-}val\ v) using infer-v-wf(1) wfV-supp fresh-def e.fresh x-not-in-b-set vst by
fastforce
       thus atom \ xa \ \sharp \ (\Theta, \ \Phi, \{||\}::bv \ fset, \ GNil, \ \Delta, \ AE-val \ v, \ \tau) using sa1 freshers by simp
        have atom za2 \sharp (AE-val v) using infer-v-wf(1) wfV-supp fresh-def e.fresh x-not-in-b-set vst by
```

```
fastforce
    thus atom za2 \sharp (xa, \Theta, \Phi, {||}::bv fset, GNil, \Delta, AE-val v, \tau, sa) using zbc freshers fresh-prodN
by auto
    have \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi using sa1 infer-e-wf by auto
    thus \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-val\ v \Rightarrow \{ za2 : ba \mid ca2 \} \}
      using zbc vst beq dwf infer-e-valI by blast
   show \Theta ; \Phi ; \{||\} ; (xa, ba, ca2[za2::=V-var\ xa]_v) \#_{\Gamma} GNil ; \Delta \vdash sa \Leftarrow \tau using sat2 append-g.simps
subst-defs by metis
  qed
  moreover have AS-let xa (AE-val v) sa = AS-let x (AE-val v) s proof -
    have [[atom \ x]]lst. \ s = [[atom \ xa]]lst. \ sa
      using sa1 Abs1-eq-iff-all(3)[where z=(s, sa)] by metis
    thus ?thesis using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff(2) by metis
  qed
  ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val v) s \Leftarrow \tau by auto
  then show ?case using reduce-let-mvar * config-typeI
    by (meson order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-let2I \Phi \delta s1 \delta' s1' x t s2)
 hence **: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x t s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef
\Theta \Phi fd) using config-type-elims [OF reduce-let2I(3)] by blast
 hence *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x t s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau by auto
 obtain xa::x and z::x and c and b and s2a::s where st: atom xa \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}::bv fset, GNil, \Delta,
t, s1, \tau) \wedge
       \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow t \land
      \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; (xa, b\text{-of }t, c\text{-of }t, xa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil; \Delta \vdash s2a \Leftarrow \tau \land ([[atom \ x]]]bt. \ s2 = [[atom \ xa]]bt.
s2a
    using check-s-elims(4)[OF *] Abs1-eq-iff-all(3) by metis
 hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \Leftarrow t using config-type I ** by auto
 then obtain \Delta' where s1r: \Theta; \Phi; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Leftarrow t \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta' using reduce-let2I by presburger
 have \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash AS-let2 xa t s1' s2a \Leftarrow \tau
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\ check\text{-}let2I)
    show *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash s1' \Leftarrow t using config-type-elims st s1r by metis
    show atom xa \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{ || \} :: bv fset, GNil, \Delta', t, s1', \tau) proof –
      have atom xa \sharp s1' using check-s-x-fresh * by auto
      moreover have atom xa \not \parallel \Delta' using check-s-x-fresh * by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis using st fresh-prodN by metis
    qed
    show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; (xa, b\text{-}of t, c\text{-}of t xa) #_{\Gamma} GNil; \Delta' \vdash s2a \Leftarrow \tau \text{ proof } -
      have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using * check-s-wf by auto
      moreover have \Theta ; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} ((xa, b\text{-of } t, c\text{-of } t \ xa) \#_{\Gamma} \ GNil) using st check-s-wf by auto
     ultimately have \Theta; {||}; ((xa, b\text{-of }t, c\text{-of }txa) \#_{\Gamma} GNil) \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using wf-weakening by auto
      thus ?thesis using check-s-d-weakening check-s-wf st s1r by metis
  qed
  qed
  moreover have AS-let2 xa \ t \ s1' \ s2a = AS-let2 x \ t \ s1' \ s2 using st \ s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff by
```

```
ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash AS-let2 x t s1' s2 \Leftarrow \tau using st by argo
  moreover have \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta' using config-type-elims s1r by fast
  ultimately show ?case using config-typeI **
    by (meson \ s1r)
next
  case (reduce-let2-valI vb \delta x t v s)
  then show ?case using preservation-let-val by meson
next
  case (reduce-varI u \delta \Phi \tau' v s)
  thm check-s-flip-u
  hence ** : \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-var u \tau' v s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef \Theta)
\Phi fd)
    using config-type-elims by meson
  have uf: atom \ u \not \perp \Delta  using reduce-varI delta-sim-fresh by force
  hence *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-var u \ \tau' \ v \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ and \ \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \ using ** by auto
  thus ?case using preservation-var reduce-varI config-typeI ** set-subset-Cons
    setD-ConsD subsetI by (metis delta-sim-fresh)
next
  case (reduce-assignI \Phi \delta u v)
  hence *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-assign u \ v \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. check-fundef \Theta \ \Phi
fd
    using config-type-elims by meson
  then obtain z and \tau' where zt: \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash (\{|z:B-unit \mid TRUE \}) \lesssim \tau \land (u,\tau') \in setD \Delta
\wedge \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash v \Leftarrow \tau' \wedge \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta
    using check-s-elims(8) by metis
  hence \Theta \vdash update - d \delta u \ v \sim \Delta \ using \ update - d - sim * by metis
 moreover have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-val (V-lit L-unit ) \Leftarrow \tau using zt * check-s-v-unit check-s-wf
  ultimately show ?case using config-typeI * by (meson order-refl)
next
  case (reduce-seq1I \Phi \delta s)
  \mathbf{hence}\ \Theta\ ;\ \Phi\ ;\quad \{||\}\ ;\ \mathit{GNil}\ ;\ \Delta\vdash s\ \Leftarrow\tau\ \land\ \Theta\vdash\delta\sim\Delta\ \land\ (\forall\mathit{fd}\mathit{\in}\mathit{set}\ \Phi.\ \mathit{check-fundef}\ \Theta\ \Phi\ \mathit{fd})
    using check-s-elims config-type-elims by force
  then show ?case using config-type I by blast
next
  case (reduce-seq2I s1 v \Phi \delta \delta' s1' s2)
  hence tt: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-seq s1 } s2 \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \Phi. check-fundef \Theta \Phi) \}
fd)
    using config-type-elims by blast
  then obtain z where zz: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s1 \Leftarrow (\{|z:B-unit\mid TRUE\}\}) \land \Theta; \{|i|\};
GNil; \Delta \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau
    using check-s-elims by blast
  hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \Leftarrow (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})
    using tt config-typeI tt by simp
  then obtain \Delta' where *: \Theta; \Phi; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s1' \rangle \Leftarrow (\{ z : B\text{-}unit \mid TRUE \}) \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta'
    using reduce-seq2I by meson
  moreover hence s't: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash s1' \Leftarrow (\{|z: B-unit \mid TRUE \}\}) \land \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta'
    using config-type-elims by force
  moreover hence \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using check-s-wf by meson
  moreover hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash s2 \Leftarrow \tau
```

```
using calculation(1) zz check-s-d-weakening * by metis
  moreover hence \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash (AS\text{-}seq\ s1'\ s2) \Leftarrow \tau
    using check-seqI zz s't by meson
  ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', AS\text{-seq s1's2} \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta'
    using zz config-typeI tt by meson
  then show ?case by meson
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{reduce\text{-}while} I \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{s1} \ \mathit{s2} \ \mathit{z}' \ \Phi \ \delta \ )
  hence *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-while s1 \ s2 \ \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \Theta \ \Phi
    using config-type-elims by meson
  hence **:\Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-while s1 s2 \Leftarrow \tau by auto
  hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let2 x (\{||z'|: B-bool ||TRUE|\}) s1 (AS-if (V-var x) (AS-seq s2
(AS-while \ s1 \ s2)) \ (AS-val \ (V-lit \ L-unit))) \Leftarrow \tau
    using check-while reduce-while I by auto
  thus ?case using config-typeI * by (meson subset-refl)
next
  case (reduce-caseI dc x' s' css \Phi \delta tyid v)
 hence **: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-match (V-cons tyid dc v) css \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \Phi).
check-fundef \Theta \Phi fd)
    using config-type-elims[OF\ reduce-caseI(2)] by metis
  hence ***: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-match (V-cons tyid dc v) css \Leftarrow \tau by auto
  let ?vcons = V-cons tyid dc v
  obtain dclist tid and z::x where cv: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash (V-cons tyid dc v) \Leftarrow ({|| z : B-id tid | TRUE
}) ∧
    \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta; tid; delist; (V-cons\ tyid\ de\ v) \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \land AF-typedef\ tid\ delist \in set\ \Theta \land V
 \Theta : \{ || \} : GNil \vdash V\text{-}cons \ tyid \ dc \ v \Leftarrow \{ z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \} \}
    using check-s-elims(9)[OF ***] by metis
  hence vi: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash V-cons tyid\ dc\ v \Leftarrow \{z: B\text{-}id\ tid\ |\ TRUE\ \} by auto
  obtain teons where vi2: \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash V-cons tyid de v \Rightarrow teons \land \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash teons \lesssim \{
z : B\text{-}id \ tid \mid TRUE \}
    using check-v-elims(1)[OF\ vi] by metis
  hence vi1: \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \vdash V-cons tyid dc \ v \Rightarrow tcons by auto
  show ?case proof(rule infer-v-elims(4)[OF vi1],goal-cases)
    case (1 dclist2 tc tv z2)
    have tyid = tid using \tau.eq-iff using subtype-eq-base vi2 1 by fastforce
    hence deq:dclist = dclist2 using check-v-wf wfX-wfY cv 1 wfTh-dclist-unique by metis
    have \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s'[x'::=v]_{sv} \Leftarrow \tau \operatorname{proof}(rule\ check-match(3))
      show \langle \Theta ; \Phi ; \{ || \} ; GNil ; \Delta ; tyid ; dclist ; ?vcons <math>\vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \rangle using \langle tyid = tid \rangle cv by auto
      show distinct (map fst dclist) using wfTh-dclist-distinct check-v-wf wfX-wfY cv by metis
      show \langle ?vcons = V\text{-}cons \ tyid \ dc \ v \rangle by auto
      show \langle \{||\} = \{||\} \rangle by auto
      show \langle (dc, tc) \in set \ dclist \rangle using 1 deq by auto
      show \langle GNil = GNil \rangle by auto
```

```
show (Some (AS-branch dc x' s') = lookup-branch dc css) using reduce-caseI by auto
       show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; \textit{GNil} \vdash v \Leftarrow \textit{tc} \rangle using 1 check-v.intros by auto
    qed
    thus ?case using config-typeI ** by blast
  qed
next
  case (reduce-let-fstI \Phi \delta x v1 v2 s)
  thus ?case using preservation-fst-snd order-refl by metis
  case (reduce-let-sndI \Phi \delta x v1 v2 s)
  thus ?case using preservation-fst-snd order-refl by metis
  case (reduce-let-concatI \Phi \delta x v1 v2 s)
  hence elim: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let \ x \ (AE-concat \ (V-lit \ (L-bitvec \ v1))) \ (V-lit \ (L-bitvec \ v2)))
s \Leftarrow \tau \land
                    \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
    using config-type-elims by metis
  obtain z::x where z: atom z \pm (AE-concat (V-lit (L-bitvec v1)) (V-lit (L-bitvec v2)), GNil, CE-val
(V-lit (L-bitvec (v1 @ v2))))
    using obtain-fresh by metis
  have \Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} GNil \text{ using } check\text{-s-wf } elim \text{ by } auto
   have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit (L-bitvec (v1 @ v2)))) s \Leftarrow \tau proof(rule
subtype-let)
    \tau using elim by auto
   show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-}concat (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v1)) (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}bitvec v2))) \Rightarrow \{|z: B\text{-}bitvec v2)\}
|CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)| == (CE\text{-}concat\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1)]^{ce})\ ([V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2)]^{ce}))|\}
     \textbf{(is }\Theta;\,\Phi;\,\{||\};\;\mathit{GNil};\,\Delta \quad \vdash \; ?e1 \,\Rightarrow\, ?t1)
    proof
       show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-s-wf elim by auto
       show \land \Theta \vdash_{wf} \Phi \land \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{check}\textit{-s-wf} \ \mathit{elim} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
      show \in \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash V-lit (L-bitvec v1) \Rightarrow \{ z : B-bitvec \mid CE-val (V-var z) == CE-val (V-lit var z) \}
(L-bitvec\ v1))
         using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle fresh-GNil by auto
      show \Theta : \{ || \} : GNil \vdash V\text{-lit } (L\text{-bitvec } v2) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-bitvec} \mid CE\text{-val } (V\text{-var } z) == CE\text{-val } (V\text{-lit}) \}
(L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))
         using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle fresh-GNil by auto
      show \langle atom\ z\ \sharp\ AE\text{-}concat\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v1))\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v2))\rangle using z\ fresh\ Pair\  by metis
       show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using z \ fresh-Pair by auto
    qed
    show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-val (V-lit (L-bitvec (v1 @ v2))) \Rightarrow \{ z : B-bitvec \mid CE-val (V-var) \} \}
z) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ (v1 @ v2))) \ \}
        (is \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash ?e2 \Rightarrow ?t2)
        \textbf{using} \ \textit{infer-e-valI} \ \textit{infer-v-litI} \ \textit{infer-l.intros} \ \ \langle \Theta \ ; \ \{ || \} \ \vdash_{wf} \ \textit{GNil} \rangle \ \ \textit{fresh-GNil check-s-wf elim by}
metis
    show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1 \rangle using subtype-concat check-s-wf elim by auto
  qed
```

```
thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-reft)
  case (reduce-let-lenI \Phi \delta x v s)
  hence elim: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-len (V-lit (L-bitvec v))) <math>s \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land A
(\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
    using check-s-elims config-type-elims by metis
 then obtain t where t: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-len (V-lit (L-bitvec v)) \Rightarrow t using check-s-elims
by meson
 moreover then obtain z::x where t = \{ z : B \text{-}int \mid CE \text{-}val \ (V \text{-}var \ z) = E \text{-}len \ ([V \text{-}lit \ (L \text{-}bitvec)] \} \}
v)^{ce} | using infer-e-elims by meson
  moreover obtain z'::x where atom z' \sharp v using obtain-fresh by metis
  moreover have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-val (V-lit (L-num (int (length v)))) <math>\Rightarrow \{|z'| : B-int ||
CE-val (V-var z') == CE-val (V-lit (L-num (int (length v))))
    using infer-e-valI infer-v-litI infer-l.intros(3) t check-s-wf elim
    by (metis\ infer-l-form2\ type-for-lit.simps(3))
  moreover have \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash \{z' : B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}var z') == CE\text{-}val (V\text{-}lit (L\text{-}num (int z')))\}
(length \ v)))) \} \lesssim
                                \{z: B\text{-}int \mid CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z) = CE\text{-}len\ [(V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))]^{ce}\ \}\ using \}
subtype-len check-s-wf elim by auto
  ultimately have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val (V-lit (L-num (int (length v))))) <math>s \Leftarrow \tau
using subtype-let by (meson elim)
  thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-refl)
case (reduce-let-splitI n v v1 v2 \Phi \delta x s)
 hence elim: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-split (V-lit (L-bitvec v)) (V-lit (L-num n))) s \Leftarrow \tau
                   \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
    using config-type-elims by metis
  obtain z::x where z: atom z \pm (AE-split (V-lit (L-bitvec v)) (V-lit (L-num n)), GNil, CE-val (V-lit
(L\text{-}bitvec\ (v1\ @\ v2))),
([L-bitvec\ v1\ ]^v, [L-bitvec\ v2\ ]^v), \Theta, \{||\}::bv\ fset)
    using obtain-fresh by metis
  have *:\Theta; {||} \vdash_{wf} GNil using check-s-wf elim by auto
  have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-let x (AE-val (V-pair (V-lit (L-bitvec v1)) <math>(V-lit (L-bitvec v2)))) <math>s
\Leftarrow \tau \operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(rule\ subtype\text{-}let)
    show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS\text{-let } x \ (AE\text{-split} \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-bitvec} \ v)) \ (V\text{-lit} \ (L\text{-num} \ n))) \ s \Leftarrow \tau \rangle
using elim by auto
     show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash (AE\text{-split} (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-bitvec } v)) (V\text{-lit} (L\text{-num } n))) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-pair} \}
B-bitvec B-bitvec
                     ((CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}lit\ (L\text{-}bitvec\ v))) == (CE\text{-}concat\ (CE\text{-}fst\ (CE\text{-}val\ (V\text{-}var\ z)))\ (CE\text{-}snd\ (V\text{-}var\ z)))
(CE-val\ (V-var\ z))))
                   AND (((CE-len (CE-fst (CE-val (V-var z))))) == (CE-val (V-lit (L-num n)))) 
     (is \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash ?e1 \Rightarrow ?t1)
```

```
proof
              show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta \rangle using check-s-wf elim by auto
              show \langle \Theta \mid \vdash_{wf} \Phi \rangle using check-s-wf elim by auto
              \mathbf{show} \ (\Theta; \{ | \} ; GNil \vdash V\text{-}lit \ (L\text{-}bitvec \ v) \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-}bitvec \mid CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}var \ z) == CE\text{-}val \ (V\text{-}lit) \}
(L-bitvec\ v))
                   using infer-v-lit infer-l.intros \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle fresh-GNil by auto
              show \Theta ; \{||\} ; GNil \vdash ([L-num]
                                                                 n \ ]^v) \Leftarrow \{ \ z : \textit{B-int} \ \mid (([ \ \textit{leq} \ [ \ [ \ \textit{L-num} \ \textit{0} \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} \ [ \ [ \ \textit{z} \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} ) \ == \ ([ \ [ \ \textit{L-true} \ ]^v \ ]^{ce} ) \}
                 * wfX-wfY by metis
              show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v \ ]^v \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ n \ ]^v \rangle using z \ fresh\text{-}Pair by auto
              show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using z \ fresh-Pair by auto
              show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE-split [L-bitvec v \ ]^v \ [L-num n \ ]^v \rangle using z fresh-Pair by auto
              show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using z \ fresh-Pair by auto
              show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ AE\text{-}split \ [ \ L\text{-}bitvec \ v \ ]^v \ [ \ L\text{-}num \ n \ ]^v \rangle using z fresh-Pair by auto
              show \langle atom \ z \ \sharp \ GNil \rangle using z \ fresh-Pair by auto
         qed
         show \langle \Theta; \Phi; \{ || \}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AE-val (V-pair (V-lit (L-bitvec v1)) (V-lit (L-bitvec v2))) <math>\Rightarrow \{ \} \}  2:
B-pair B-bitvec B-bitvec CE-val (V-var Z) = CE-val (V-pair (V-lit (L-bitvec V-1) (V-lit (L-bitvec V-1)
v2)))) \} \rightarrow
                (is \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash ?e2 \Rightarrow ?t2)
              apply(rule\ infer-e-valI)
              using check-s-wf elim apply metis
              using check-s-wf elim apply metis
              apply(rule\ infer-v-pair2I)
              using z fresh-prodN apply metis
              using z fresh-GNil fresh-prodN apply metis
              using infer-v-litI infer-l.intros \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} \vdash_{wf} GNil \rangle b-of.simps apply blast+
              using b-of.simps apply simp+
         show \langle \Theta ; \{ || \} ; GNil \vdash ?t2 \lesssim ?t1 \rangle using subtype-split check-s-wf elim reduce-let-split1 by auto
     qed
     thus ?case using config-typeI elim by (meson order-reft)
     case (reduce-assert1I \Phi \delta c v)
    hence elim: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-assert c [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau \land
                                           \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
         using config-type-elims reduce-assert11 by metis
     hence *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-assert c \ [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau by auto
     have \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta \vdash [v]^s \Leftarrow \tau using check-assert-s * by metis
     thus ?case using elim config-typeI by blast
     case (reduce-assert2I \Phi \delta s \delta' s' c)
    hence elim: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-assert c s \Leftarrow \tau \land AS-assert c s \Leftrightarrow \tau \land 
                                           \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \land (\forall fd \in set \ \Phi. \ check-fundef \ \Theta \ \Phi \ fd)
         using config-type-elims by metis
```

```
hence *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash AS-assert cs \Leftarrow \tau by auto
    \mathbf{have} \ \ \mathit{cv} \colon \Theta; \ \Phi; \ \{||\}; \ \mathit{GNil}; \ \Delta \ \vdash \ s \ \ \Leftarrow \tau \ \land \ \Theta \ ; \ \{||\} \ ; \ \mathit{GNil} \ \models \ \mathit{c} \ \ \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{check-assert-s} \ \ast \ \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{metis}
    hence \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau using elim config-type by simp
     then obtain \Delta' where D: \Theta; \Phi; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta' using reduce-assert2I by metis
     hence **:\Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau \land \Theta \vdash \delta' \sim \Delta' using config-type-elims by metis
    obtain x::x where x:atom x \not = (\Theta, \Phi, (\{ \} \} ::bv fset), GNil, \Delta', c, \tau, s') using obtain-fresh by metis
    have *:\Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash AS-assert c \ s' \Leftarrow \tau \ \mathbf{proof}
         show atom x \sharp (\Theta, \Phi, \{||\}, GNil, \Delta', c, \tau, s') using x by auto
         have \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} c using * check-s-wf by auto
         hence wfg:\Theta; \{||\} \vdash_{wf} (x, B\text{-bool}, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil \text{ using } wfC\text{-}wfG \text{ } wfB\text{-bool}I \text{ } check\text{-}s\text{-}wf * \text{ } fresh\text{-}GNil
         moreover have cs: \Theta; \Phi; {||}; GNil; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau using ** by auto
      ultimately show \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} GNil; \Delta' \vdash s' \Leftarrow \tau using check-s-g-weakening (1) [OF]
cs - wfg] toSet.simps by simp
         show \Theta; \{||\}; GNil \models c using cv by auto
         show \Theta; {||}; GNil \vdash_{wf} \Delta' using check\text{-}s\text{-}wf ** by auto
    qed
    thus ?case using elim config-typeI D ** by metis
lemma preservation-many:
    assumes \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle
    shows \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \exists \Delta' . \Theta; \Phi; \Delta' \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \Leftarrow \tau \land \Delta \sqsubseteq \Delta'
    using assms proof(induct arbitrary: \Delta rule: reduce-stmt-many.induct)
    case (reduce-stmt-many-one I \Phi \delta s \delta' s')
    then show ?case using preservation by simp
    case (reduce-stmt-many-manyI \Phi \delta s \delta' s' \delta'' s'')
    then show ?case using preservation subset-trans by metis
qed
16.3
                             Progress
Well typed program is either a value or we can make a step
lemma check-let-op-infer:
    assumes \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash LET x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2) \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ {\bf and} \ supp \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \Leftrightarrow \tau \ {\bf opp} \ (LET \ x = (AE-op \ opp \ v1 \ v2)) \ IN \ s \ s \ s 
opp \ v1 \ v2) \ IN \ s) \subseteq atom'fst'setD \ \Delta
    shows \exists z \ b \ c. \ \Theta; \ \Phi; \{ || \}; \ \Gamma; \ \Delta \vdash \ (AE\text{-}op \ opp \ v1 \ v2) \Rightarrow \{ z : b | c \} \}
```

have  $xx: \Theta; \Phi; \{1\}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash LET x = (AE\text{-}op \ opp \ v1 \ v2) \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ using \ assms \ by \ simp$ 

then show ?thesis using check-s-elims(2)[OF xx] by meson

obtains v1 and v2 where v = V-pair v1 v2

assumes  $\Theta$ ; B;  $\Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{ z : B\text{-pair } b1 \ b2 \mid c \} \text{ and } supp \ v = \{ \}$ 

qed

lemma infer-pair:

```
using assms proof(nominal-induct v rule: v.strong-induct)
      case (V-lit x)
      then show ?case by auto
next
case (V\text{-}var\ x)
  then show ?case using v.supp supp-at-base by auto
next
  case (V-pair x1a \ x2a)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (V-cons x1a x2a x3)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (V-consp x1a x2a x3 x4)
  then show ?case by auto
qed
lemma progress-fst:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = (AE\text{-}fst \ v) \ IN \ s \leftarrow \tau \ \text{and} \ \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \ \text{and}
           supp\ (LET\ x = (AE\text{-}fst\ v)\ IN\ s) \subseteq atom'fst'setD\ \Delta
  shows \exists \delta' s'. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, LET x = (AE-fst v) IN s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', s' \rangle
proof -
  have *: supp \ v = \{\} using assms \ s-branch-s-branch-list.supp \ by \ auto
  obtain z and b and c where \Theta; \Phi; {||}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash (AE-fst v) \Rightarrow \{ z : b \mid c \}
    using check-s-elims(2) using assms by meson
  moreover obtain z' and b' and c' where \Theta; \{||\}; \Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow \{|z'| : B\text{-pair } b \ b' \mid c'|\}
    using infer-e-elims(8) using calculation by auto
  moreover then obtain v1 and v2 where V-pair v1 v2 = v
    using * infer-pair by metis
 ultimately show ?thesis using reduce-let-fstI assms by metis
lemma progress-let:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash LET \ x = e \ IN \ s \Leftarrow \tau \ and \ \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \ and
          supp\ (LET\ x=e\ IN\ s)\subseteq atom\ `fst\ `setD\ \Delta \ and\ sble\ \Theta\ \Gamma
  \mathbf{shows} \; \exists \, \delta' \; s'. \; \Phi \; \vdash \langle \; \delta \; , \; \mathit{LET} \; x = \mathit{e} \; \mathit{IN} \; s \rangle \, \longrightarrow \langle \; \delta' \; , \; s' \rangle
proof -
  obtain z \ b \ c where *: \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash e \Rightarrow \{|z|: b \mid c|\} using check-s-elims(2)[OF\ assms(1)]
by metis
  have **: supp \ e \subseteq atom \ `fst \ `setD \ \Delta \ using \ assms \ s-branch-s-branch-list.supp \ by \ auto
  from * ** assms show ?thesis proof(nominal-induct \{z:b\mid c\} rule: infer-e.strong-induct)
    \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{infer-e-valI} \ \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ \mathit{v})
    then show ?case using reduce-stmt-elims reduce-let-valI by metis
  next
    case (infer-e-plus I \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
    hence vf: supp \ v1 = \{\} \land supp \ v2 = \{\} by force
     then obtain n1 and n2 where *: v1 = V-lit (L-num \ n1) \ \land \ v2 = (V-lit (L-num \ n2)) using
infer-int infer-e-plusI by metis
    then show ?case using reduce-let-plusI * by metis
  next
```

```
case (infer-e-legI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   hence vf: supp \ v1 = \{\} \land supp \ v2 = \{\} by force
    then obtain n1 and n2 where *: v1 = V-lit (L-num n1) \wedge v2 = (V-lit (L-num n2)) using
infer-int infer-e-leqI by metis
   then show ?case using reduce-let-leqI * by metis
   case (infer-e-eqI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 bb c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   hence vf: supp \ v1 = \{\} \land supp \ v2 = \{\} by force
   then obtain n1 and n2 where *: v1 = V-lit n1 \wedge v2 = (V-lit n2) using infer-lit infer-e-eqI by
   then show ?case using reduce-let-eqI by blast
 next
   case (infer-e-appI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi f x b c \tau' s' v)
   then show ?case using reduce-let-appI by metis
   case (infer-e-appPI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi b' f bv x b c \tau' s' v)
   then show ?case using reduce-let-appPI by metis
 next
   case (infer-e-fstI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ b2 \ c \ z)
   hence supp \ v = \{\} by force
   then obtain v1 and v2 where v = V-pair v1 v2 using infer-e-fstI infer-pair by metis
   then show ?case using reduce-let-fstI * by metis
 next
   case (infer-e-sndI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v z' b1 c z)
   hence supp \ v = \{\} by force
   then obtain v1 and v2 where v = V-pair v1 v2 using infer-e-sndI infer-pair by metis
   then show ?case using reduce-let-sndI * by metis
   case (infer-e-lenI \Theta \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ \Phi \ v \ z' \ c \ za)
   hence supp \ v = \{\} by force
   then obtain bvec where v = V-lit (L-bitvec bvec) using infer-e-lenI infer-bitvec by metis
   then show ?case using reduce-let-lenI * by metis
 next
   case (infer-e-mvarI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Phi \Delta u)
   hence (u, \{z : b \mid c\}) \in setD \ \Delta \text{ using } infer-e-elims(10) \text{ by } meson
   then obtain v where (u,v) \in set \ \delta using infer-e-mvarI delta-sim-delta-lookup by meson
   then show ?case using reduce-let-mvar by metis
 next
   case (infer-e-concatI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 c2 z3)
   hence vf: supp \ v1 = \{\} \land supp \ v2 = \{\} by force
   then obtain n1 and n2 where *: v1 = V-lit (L-bitvec n1) \wedge v2 = (V-lit (L-bitvec n2)) using
infer-bitvec infer-e-concatI by metis
   then show ?case using reduce-let-concatI * by metis
 next
   case (infer-e-split I \ominus B \Gamma \Delta \Phi v1 z1 c1 v2 z2 z3)
   hence vf: supp \ v1 = \{\} \land supp \ v2 = \{\} by force
   then obtain n1 and n2 where *: v1 = V-lit (L-bitvec n1) \wedge v2 = (V-lit (L-num n2)) using
infer-bitvec infer-e-splitI check-int by metis
   have 0 \le n2 \land n2 \le int \ (length \ n1) \ using \ check-v-range [OF - *] \ infer-e-split I by simp
   then obtain bv1 and bv2 where split n2 n1 (bv1, bv2) using obtain-split by metis
   then show ?case using reduce-let-splitI * by metis
```

```
qed
qed
{f lemma} check\text{-}css\text{-}lookup\text{-}branch\text{-}exist:
  fixes s::s and cs::branch-s and css::branch-list and v::v
  shows
        \Theta; \Phi; B; G; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow True and
        check-branch-s \Theta \Phi {||} GNil \Delta tid dc const v cs \tau \Longrightarrow True and
        \Theta; \Phi; \mathcal{B}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist; v \vdash css \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow (dc, t) \in set \ dclist \Longrightarrow
                \exists x' \ s'. \ Some \ (AS\text{-branch} \ dc \ x' \ s') = lookup\text{-branch} \ dc \ css
proof(nominal-induct \ 	au \ and \ 	au \ and \ 	au \ rule: check-s-check-branch-s-check-branch-list.strong-induct)
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau dclist css)
  then show ?case using lookup-branch.simps check-branch-list-final by force
next
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid cons const v cs \tau)
  then show ?case using lookup-branch.simps check-branch-list-final by force
qed(auto+)
lemma progress-aux:
  shows \Theta; \Phi; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow \mathcal{B} = \{||\} \Longrightarrow sble \ \Theta \ \Gamma \Longrightarrow supp \ s \subseteq atom 'fst 'setD \ \Delta \Longrightarrow shows
\Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta \Longrightarrow
                 (\exists v. \ s = [v]^s) \lor (\exists \delta' \ s'. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', \ s' \rangle) and
             \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dc; const; v2 \vdash cs \leftarrow \tau \implies supp \ cs = \{\} \implies True
             \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta; tid; dclist; v2 \vdash css \leftarrow \tau \Longrightarrow supp \ css = \{\} \Longrightarrow True
\mathbf{proof}(induct\ rule:\ check\-s\-check\-branch\-s\-check\-branch\-list.inducts)
case (check-valI \Delta \Theta \Gamma v \tau' \tau)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-letI \ x \ \Theta \ \Phi \ \mathcal{B} \ \Gamma \ \Delta \ e \ \tau \ z \ s \ b \ c)
  hence \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; \Gamma; \Delta \vdash AS-let x \in s \Leftarrow \tau using Typing.check-let I by meson
  then show ?case using progress-let check-letI by metis
next
  case (check-branch-s-branchI \Theta \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau const x \Phi tid cons v s)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-branch-list-consI \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v cs \tau css)
  then show ?case by auto
  case (check-branch-list-final \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid dclist v \ cs \ \tau)
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (check-if I z \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta v s1 s2 \tau)
  have supp \ v = \{\} using check-ifI \ s-branch-s-branch-list.supp by auto
  hence v = V-lit L-true \vee v = V-lit L-false using check-bool-options check-if by auto
  then show ?case using reduce-if-falseI reduce-if-trueI check-ifI by meson
next
     case (check-let2I x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} G \Delta t s1 \tau s2)
  then consider (\exists v. s1 = AS-val \ v) \mid (\exists \delta' \ a. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', a \rangle) by auto
  then show ?case proof(cases)
```

```
case 1
   then show ?thesis using reduce-let2-valI by fast
  next
   case 2
   then show ?thesis using reduce-let2I check-let2I by meson
 qed
next
 case (check-varI u \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta \tau' v \tau s)
 obtain uu::u where uf: atom \ uu \ \sharp \ (u,\delta,s) using obtain-fresh by blast
 obtain sa where (uu \leftrightarrow u) \cdot s = sa by presburger
 moreover have atom uu \sharp s using uf fresh-prod3 by auto
 ultimately have AS-var uu \tau'v sa = AS-var u \tau'v s using s-branch-s-branch-list.eq-iff (7) Abs1-eq-iff (3) [of
uu \ sa \ u \ s] by auto
 moreover have atom uu \sharp \delta using uf fresh-prod3 by auto
  ultimately have \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, AS\text{-}var \ u \ \tau' \ v \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (uu, \ v) \ \# \ \delta, \ sa \rangle
    using reduce-varI uf by metis
  then show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (check-assign I \Delta u \tau P G v z \tau')
  then show ?case using reduce-assignI by blast
next
  case (check-while I \Theta \Phi B \Gamma \Delta s1 z s2 \tau')
  obtain x::x where atom x \sharp (s1,s2) using obtain-fresh by metis
  moreover obtain z::x where atom z \sharp x using obtain-fresh by metis
  ultimately show ?case using reduce-while I by fast
  case (check-seqI P \Phi B G \Delta s1 z s2 \tau)
  thus ?case proof(cases \exists v. s1 = AS-val v)
   case True
   then obtain v where v: s1 = AS-val v by blast
   hence supp \ v = \{\}  using check\text{-}seqI by auto
   have \exists z1 \ c1. \ P; \mathcal{B}; \ G \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{ z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1 \}) \text{ proof } -
     obtain t where t:P; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash v \Rightarrow t \land P; \mathcal{B}; G \vdash t \lesssim (\{ z : B\text{-unit} \mid TRUE \})
       using v check-seqI(1) check-s-elims(1) by blast
     obtain z1 and b1 and c1 where teq: t = (\{z1 : b1 \mid c1 \}) using obtain-fresh-z by meson
     hence b1 = B-unit using subtype-eq-base t by meson
     thus ?thesis using t teq by fast
   qed
   then obtain z1 and c1 where P : \mathcal{B} : G \vdash v \Rightarrow (\{ z1 : B\text{-}unit \mid c1 \}) by auto
   hence v = V-lit L-unit using infer-v-unit-form (supp v = \{\}) by simp
   hence s1 = AS-val (V-lit L-unit) using v by auto
   then show ?thesis using check-seqI reduce-seqII by meson
  next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   then show ?thesis using check-seqI reduce-seq2I
     by (metis\ Un-subset-iff\ s-branch-s-branch-list.supp(9))
  qed
next
  case (check-case I \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta tid delist v cs \tau z)
```

```
hence supp \ v = \{\} by auto
  then obtain v' and dc and t::\tau where v: v = V-cons tid dc v' \land (dc, t) \in set delist
    using check-v-tid-form check-caseI by metis
  obtain z and b and c where teq: t = (\{ z : b \mid c \}) using obtain-fresh-z by meson
  moreover then obtain x's' where Some (AS-branch dc x's') = lookup-branch dc cs using v teq
check-caseI check-css-lookup-branch-exist by metis
  ultimately show ?case using reduce-caseI v check-caseI dc-of.cases by metis
next
  case (check-assertI x \Theta \Phi \mathcal{B} \Gamma \Delta c \tau s)
  hence sps: supp \ s \subseteq atom `fst `setD \ \Delta \ by \ auto
  have atom x \sharp c using check-assert by auto
  have atom x \sharp \Gamma using check-assertI check-s-wf wfG-elims by metis
 have sble \Theta ((x, B-bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) proof –
    obtain i' where i': i' \models \Gamma \land \Theta; \Gamma \vdash i' using check-assertI sble-def by metis
    obtain i::valuation where i:i = i' (x \mapsto SBool\ True) by auto
    have i \models (x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma \text{ proof } -
      have i' \models c using valid.simps i' check-assert by metis
      hence i \models c using is-satis-weakening-x i \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ c \rangle by auto
      moreover have i \models \Gamma using is-satis-q-weakening-x i' i check-assert (atom x \sharp \Gamma) by metis
      ultimately show ?thesis using is-satis-g.simps i by auto
    qed
    moreover have \Theta ; ((x, B\text{-}bool, c) \#_{\Gamma} \Gamma) \vdash i \text{ proof}(rule \ wfI\text{-}cons)
      \mathbf{show} \ \land \ i' \models \Gamma \ \land \ \mathbf{using} \ \ i' \ \mathbf{by} \ \ \mathit{auto}
      show \langle \Theta ; \Gamma \vdash i' \rangle using i' by auto
      show \langle i = i'(x \mapsto SBool\ True) \rangle using i by auto
      show \langle \Theta \mid SBool \ True: B-bool \rangle using wfRCV-BBoolI by auto
      show \langle atom \ x \ \sharp \ \Gamma \rangle using check-assertI check-s-wf wfG-elims by auto
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis using sble-def by auto
  then consider (\exists v. \ s = [v]^s) \mid (\exists \delta' \ a. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', \ a \rangle) using check-assert sps by metis
  hence (\exists \delta' \ a. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, ASSERT \ c \ IN \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', \ a \rangle) proof (cases)
    then show ?thesis using reduce-assert11 by metis
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis using reduce-assert2I by metis
  thus ?case by auto
qed
lemma progress:
  assumes \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau
  shows (\exists v. \ s = [v]^s) \lor (\exists \delta' \ s'. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, \ s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta', \ s' \rangle)
proof -
  have \Theta; \Phi; \{||\}; GNil; \Delta \vdash s \Leftarrow \tau and \Theta \vdash \delta \sim \Delta
    using config-type-elims[OF\ assms(1)] by auto+
  moreover hence supp \ s \subseteq atom \ 'fst \ 'setD \ \Delta \ using \ check-s-wf \ wfS-supp \ by \ fastforce
  moreover have sble \Theta GNil using sble-def wfI-def is-satis-g.simps by simp
```

ultimately show ?thesis using progress-aux by blast qed

## 16.4 Safety

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ \ \mathit{safety\text{-}stmt} \colon
```

```
assumes \Phi \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', s' \rangle and \Theta; \Phi; \Delta \vdash \langle \delta, s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau shows (\exists v. s' = [v]^s) \lor (\exists \delta'' s''. \Phi \vdash \langle \delta', s' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta'', s'' \rangle) using preservation-many progress assms by meson
```

## lemma safety:

```
assumes \vdash \langle PROG \ \Theta \ \mathcal{G} \ s \rangle \Leftarrow \tau and \Phi \vdash \langle \delta \text{-}of \ \mathcal{G}, \ s \rangle \longrightarrow^* \langle \delta', \ s' \rangle shows (\exists v. \ s' = [v]^s) \lor (\exists \delta'' \ s''. \ \Phi \vdash \langle \delta', \ s' \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \delta'', \ s'' \rangle) using assms config-type-prog-elims safety-stmt by metis
```

 ${\bf unused-thms}\ \textit{Eisbach-Tools}\ \textit{Nominal2}\ \textit{AList}\ \textit{Nominal-Utils}\ \textit{RCLogic-}$ 

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$ 

