Effective Dirac neutrino masses

with multi-coponent fermionic dark matter

Diego Restrepo

Instituto de Física
Universidad de Antioquia
Phenomenology Group
http://gfif.udea.edu.co

Focus on
arXiv:Monday
In collaboration with
David Suárez

Dark sectors


t
top
d
down
c
charm
s
strange
u
up
b
bottom
γ
photon
g
gluon
H
Higgs boson
τ
tau
W
w
boson
Z
z
boson
electron
neutrino
ν_e
μ
muon
muon
neutrino
ν_μ
e
electron
ν_τ
tau
neutrino


t
top
d
down
c
charm
s
strange
u
up
b
bottom
γ
photon
g
gluon
H
Higgs boson
τ
tau
W
w
boson
Z
z
boson
ν_e
electron
neutrino
μ
muon
ν_μ
muon
neutrino
e
electron
ν_τ
tau
neutrino


Z'
dark
photon

Local $U(1)_{\mathcal{X}}$



$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} V_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + i\overline{\Psi}\not{D}\Psi - h\overline{\Psi}\Psi S$$

Diracness protected chiral fermion

dark matter $m_\Psi = h\langle S \rangle$

Relic abundance:

$F_{\mu\nu}$ $V^{\mu\nu}$

Active Symmetry: $\mathcal{X} \to X$: $\Psi\overline{\Psi} \to \mathrm{SM\,SM}$

Dark Symmetry: $\mathcal{X} \to D$: $\Psi\overline{\Psi} \to \gamma_{\mathcal{D}}\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}$



$\overline{\Psi}\Psi = \psi_1\psi_2 + \psi_1^\dagger\psi_2^\dagger \to \psi_\alpha\,,$ $\qquad \alpha = 1, \ldots N' \to N' > 4$

Local $U(1)_{\mathcal{X}}$



$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} V_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + i\overline{\Psi}\not{D}\Psi - h\overline{\Psi}\Psi S$$

$F_{\mu\nu}$ $V^{\mu\nu}$

Diracness protected chiral fermion

dark matter $m_\Psi = h\langle S\rangle$

Relic abundance:

Active Symmetry: $\mathcal{X} \to X$: $\Psi\overline{\Psi} \to \mathrm{SM\,SM}$

Dark Symmetry: $\mathcal{X} \to D$: $\Psi\overline{\Psi} \to \gamma_D\gamma_D$



multi-component
dark matter

$\overline{\Psi}\Psi = \psi_1\psi_2 + \psi_1^\dagger\psi_2^\dagger \to \psi_\alpha\,, \qquad \alpha = 1, \ldots N' \to N' > 4$

Standard model extended with $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{X}}$ gauge symmetry

Fields	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{X}}$
$L^\dagger$	$\mathbf{2}$	$+1/2$	l
$Q^\dagger$	$\mathbf{2}$	$-1/6$	q
d_R	$\mathbf{1}$	$-1/2$	d
u_R	$\mathbf{1}$	$+2/3$	u
e_R	$\mathbf{1}$	-1	e
H	$\mathbf{2}$	$-1/2$	h
ψ_α	$\mathbf{1}$	0	n_α

Table 1: $q = l = u = d = e = 0$ for $\mathcal{X} = D$

Dark symmetry: $q = l = u = d = e = 0$

Diophantine equations

$$\sum_{\rho=1}^{N} n_\rho = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sum_{\rho=1}^{N} n_\rho^3 = 0\,. \tag{1}$$

Active symmetry

If the set of integers has one integer, m, repeated three times, the extra gauge Abelian symmetry can be identified as one *active* symmetry, $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$, with $N_{\text{chiral}} = N - 3$ right-handed singlet chiral fermions with X-charges $n_1, n_2, \ldots n_{N_{\text{chiral}}}$.

They SM X-charges be written in terms of m and a free parameter that we choose to be the X-charge of the conjugate of the SM lepton doublet, L

$$u = \frac{4L}{3} - m\,, \qquad d = m - \frac{2L}{3}\,, \qquad Q = -\frac{L}{3}\,, \qquad e = m - 2L\,, \qquad h = L - m\,,$$

Ejemplo:

$$(-1,-1,-1,1,1,1) \to (-m,-m,-m,m,m,m)$$
$$(1,1,1,-4,-4,5) \to m = 1$$

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5526707

zenodo Search Upload Communities Log in Sign up

September 24, 2021 Dataset Open Access

Set of N integers between -30 and 30 with sum and cubic sum up to zero for 4<N<13

Diego Restrepo

Anomalies

Solutions obtained with the python package: anomalies based on the method to find anomaly free solutions of the standard model extended with an Abelian Dark Symmetry with N right-handed singlet chiral fields described in arXiv:1905.13729 [PRL]:

Data scheme

- 'l': integer lists → input to obtain the 'solution' by using the anomalies package
- 'k': integer lists → input to obtain the 'solution' by using hte anomalies package
- 'solution': list → of integers, z_i which satisfy $\sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i^3 = 0$.
- 'n': integer → number of integers in 'solution', N.

```
USAGE
```

```
#Example of JSON file usage in Python with pandas (see also json module)
>>> import pandas as pd
>>> df=pd.read_json('solutions.json')
>>> df[:2]
          l         k            solution  gcd  n
0    [1, 2]  [0, -3]   [1, 5, -7, -8, 9]    1  5
1  [-2, -1]  [0, -1]  [2, 4, -7, -9, 10]    1  5
```

Data:

390074 solutions with $5 \leq N \leq 12$ integers until `|32|` [JSON]

Publication date:
September 24, 2021

DOI:
DOI 10.5281/zenodo.5526707

Keyword(s):
Anomaly free Diophantine equations Abelian symmetry Gauge Symmetry

Versions

Version 1 Sep 24, 2021
10.5281/zenodo.5526707

Effective Dirac neutrino mass operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = h_\nu^{\alpha i} \, (\nu_{R\alpha})^\dagger \, \epsilon_{ab} \, L_i^a \, H^b \left(\frac{S^*}{\Lambda}\right)^\delta + \text{H.c.}, \qquad \text{with } i = 1, 2, 3 \,,$$

S is the complex singlet scalar responsible for the SSB of the anomaly-free gauge symmetry with D or X-charge

$$s = -(\nu + m)/\delta \,, \tag{2}$$

Diracness of non-zero DM and Dirac neutrinos masses from $U(1)_{\mathcal{X}}$

Starting from the extended dataset with the solutions with N integers to the Diophantine equations (1) we apply the following steps

- Check that the solution has two (three) repeated integers to be identified as ν and fix $N_\nu = 2$ ($N_\nu = 3$).
- For $\delta = 1, 2, \ldots$ and all the possible combinations for m and ν in the solution, including $m = 0$, find the s value compatible with the effective Dirac neutrino mass operator of D-$(4 + \delta)$ according to eq. (2).
- Interpret the integers in the solution that are different from m and ν as the D-charges for $m = 0$ or the X-charges for $m \neq 0$, of a set of singlet chiral fermions: ψ_i, $i = 1, \ldots, N_{\text{chiral}} - N_\nu$. Then select the solutions for which the condition

$$|n_i + n_j| = |s|, \tag{3}$$

  which guarantees that all the singlet chiral fermions, ψ_i, acquire masses after the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the gauge Abelian symmetry through $\langle S \rangle$.

Unconditional stability

Two DM candidates with *unconditional* stability. This happens when there are two remnant symmetries such that $\mathbb{Z}_{|s|} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Z}_q$ with p and q coprimes and $|s| = pq$, which guarantee the stability of each lightest state under $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_q$ respectively, without imposing any kinematical restriction. For the two DM candidates associated to the set of chiral fields ψ_i and χ_j, we consider below the following two possibilities for $|s|$

- $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_3$: solutions with at least a set of chiral fields with $\psi_i \sim \left[\omega_6^2 \vee \omega_6^4\right]$ under $\mathbb{Z}_6$, and at least a set of chiral fields with $\chi_i \sim \omega_6^3$ under $\mathbb{Z}_6$,
- $\mathbb{Z}_{14} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_7$: solutions with at least a set of chiral fields with $\psi_i \sim \left[\omega_{14}^2 \vee \omega_{14}^6 \vee \omega_{14}^8 \vee \omega_{14}^{10} \vee \omega_{14}^{12}\right]$ under $\mathbb{Z}_{14}$ and at least a set of chiral fields with $\chi_i \sim \omega_{14}^7$ under $\mathbb{Z}_{14}$,

where $\omega_{|s|} = e^{i2\pi/|s|}$.



Figure 1: Distribution of solutions with N integers to the Diophantine equations (1) which allow the effective Dirac neutrino operator at D-4 $+\,\delta$ for at least two right-handed neutrinos and have non-vanishing Dirac o Majorana masses for the other singlet chiral fermions in the solution.

48 type of representative solutions

Solution	N	N_{chiral}	m	ν	δ	s	N_D	N_M	G_D	G_M
$(1,-2,-3,5,5,-6)$	6	6	0	5	1	-5	2	0	1	0
$(3,3,3,-5,-5,-7,8)$	7	4	3	-5	2	1	1	0	1	0
$(1,-2,3,4,6,-7,-7,-7,9)$	9	9	0	-7	1	7	3	0	1	0
$(1,1,-4,-5,9,9,9,-10,-10)$	9	9	0	9	1	-9	3	0	2	0
$(1,2,-6,-6,-6,8,9,9,-11)$	9	6	-6	9	1	-3	2	0	1	0
$(1,-3,8,8,8,-12,-12,-17,19)$	9	6	8	-12	2	2	2	1	1	1
$(8,8,8,-12,-12,15,-17,-23,25)$	9	6	8	-12	2	2	2	0	1	0
$(1,-2,-2,3,3,-4,-4,6,6,-7)$	10	10	0	6	1	-6	3	2	2	2
$(1,-2,-2,3,4,-5,-5,7,7,-8)$	10	10	0	-5	1	**5**	4	0	2	0
$(1,-2,-2,3,5,-6,-6,8,8,-9)$	10	10	0	-6	1	6	4	0	2	0
$(2,2,3,4,4,-5,-6,-6,-7,9)$	10	10	0	2	1	**-2**	4	2	2	2
$(1,1,5,5,5,-6,-6,-6,-9,10)$	10	10	0	1	1	-1	4	0	3	0
$(2,2,4,4,-7,-7,-9,-9,10,10)$	10	10	0	10	2	-5	3	0	2	0
$(1,2,2,-3,6,6,-8,-8,-9,11)$	10	10	0	-8	1	8	4	1	2	1
$(1,-2,-3,5,6,-8,-9,11,11,-12)$	10	10	0	11	1	-11	4	0	1	0
$(1,1,-3,4,4,-7,8,-10,-10,12)$	10	10	0	-10	2	5	4	0	2	0
$(1,1,-2,-2,-4,6,-10,11,12,-13)$	10	10	0	-2	1	2	3	2	1	2
$(3,4,4,4,4,-5,-8,-8,-11,13)$	10	10	0	-8	1	8	2	4	1	4
$(4,4,5,6,6,-9,-10,-10,-11,15)$	10	10	0	6	1	-6	4	0	2	0
$(1,-2,-4,7,7,-10,-12,14,14,-15)$	10	10	0	14	1	**-14**	3	2	1	2
$(1,2,2,-3,4,-6,12,-13,-14,15)$	10	10	0	2	1	**-2**	4	1	1	1
$(1,4,4,-7,8,8,-9,-12,-12,15)$	10	10	0	8	1	-8	4	2	2	2
$(1,2,2,-9,-9,16,16,17,-18,-18)$	10	10	0	-18	1	18	3	2	2	2
$(1,-3,-6,7,-10,11,-16,18,18,-20)$	10	10	0	18	2	-9	4	0	1	0

48 type of representative solutions

Solution	N	N_{chiral}	m	ν	δ	s	N_D	N_M	G_D	G_M
$(1,-4,5,-6,-6,10,-14,15,20,-21)$	10	10	0	−6	1	6	4	0	1	0
$(2,-3,-6,7,12,-14,-14,17,20,-21)$	10	10	0	−14	1	**14**	4	1	1	1
$(3,6,6,-7,8,8,-14,-14,-17,21)$	10	10	0	−14	1	**14**	4	1	2	1
$(8,8,9,10,10,-13,-18,-18,-27,31)$	10	10	0	−18	1	**18**	4	1	2	1
$(1,1,1,-2,-2,-5,-5,6,6,7,-8)$	11	8	1	−2	1	1	3	0	2	0
$(1,-2,-2,-2,-3,4,4,-5,6,7,-8)$	11	8	−2	4	1	−2	3	1	1	1
$(1,1,2,2,2,-4,-4,7,-8,-9,10)$	11	8	2	−4	1	2	2	2	1	2
$(2,2,2,-4,-4,-5,7,-8,9,10,-11)$	11	8	2	−4	1	2	3	0	1	0
$(1,-2,-3,-3,-3,5,5,-7,8,10,-11)$	11	8	−3	5	2	−1	3	0	1	0
$(3,3,3,-4,-4,7,7,-8,-9,-9,11)$	11	8	3	−9	2	3	3	0	2	0
$(1,3,5,-6,-6,-6,8,-9,12,12,-14)$	11	8	−6	12	1	−6	3	1	1	1
$(1,-2,6,6,6,-7,8,-9,-12,-12,15)$	11	8	6	−12	1	**6**	3	0	1	0
$(1,3,3,6,6,6,-7,-10,-12,-12,16)$	11	8	6	−12	1	**6**	2	2	1	2
$(1,-2,-2,-2,3,3,4,4,-5,-5,-5,6)$	12	9	−5	−2	1	**7**	3	0	2	0
$(1,1,-3,4,5,5,5,-6,-7,-7,-8,10)$	12	9	5	−7	1	2	3	2	1	2
$(1,1,1,-2,4,-7,-7,-7,8,9,9,-10)$	12	9	−7	9	1	−2	2	3	1	3
$(1,1,-3,-3,-5,-5,-5,7,7,7,9,-11)$	12	9	−5	7	1	−2	3	2	2	2
$(1,-3,-3,-3,4,6,7,9,-10,-10,-10,12)$	12	9	−3	−10	1	13	3	0	1	0
$(1,1,1,3,3,-5,7,7,-11,-11,-11,15)$	12	9	1	−11	1	10	3	1	2	1
$(1,1,1,3,5,5,-5,5,-9,-9,-13,15)$	12	9	5	−9	2	2	2	3	1	3
$(1,-2,-2,3,6,-10,-10,-10,13,14,14,-17)$	12	9	−10	14	1	−4	4	2	2	2
$(1,-3,9,-11,-13,-13,-13,15,15,15,21,-23)$	12	9	−13	15	1	−2	3	1	1	1

Multi-component dark matter I



Figure 2: Number of massive Dirac and Majorana fermions in each type of the 48 types of solutions of



Figure 3: Same as Fig. 2 but for number generations of massive Dirac and Majorana fermions in each

Solution: $(3, 3, 3, -5, -5, -7, 8)$

Field	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$	$U(1)_{B-L}$
Q_i	**2**	$1/6$	$L/3$	$1/3$
u_{Ri}	**1**	$2/3$	$4L/3-3$	$1/3$
d_{Ri}	**1**	$-1/3$	$3-2L/3$	$1/3$
L_i	**2**	$-1/2$	$-L$	-1
e_{Ri}	**1**	-1	$3-2L$	-1
$\nu_{R\alpha}$	**1**	0	-5	$-5/3$
ψ_1	**1**	0	-7	$-7/3$
ψ_2	**1**	0	8	$8/3$
H	**2**	$1/2$	$L-3$	0
S	**1**	0	1	$1/3$
σ_1^-	**1**	-1	$2L$	2
σ_2^-	**1**	-1	$(-2-2L)$	$-8/3$

Table 2: X and proper $B-L$ normalized charges for the first solution in Table **??**, $(333-5-5-78)$, for which $m=3$, $\nu=-5$, $\delta=2$ and therefore from eq. (2), $s=1$. For the column $U(1)_{B-L}$ we fix

with J. Calle and O. Zapata: arXiv:2103.15328 [PRD]



Figure 4:

Large GNI, CLFV and LHC dileptons signals

Dark matter phenomenology

Michael Duerr, Pavel Fileviez Perez,... arXiv:1506.05107, arXiv:1409.8165

with J. Calle and O. Zapata: arXiv:1909.09574 [PRD]



ΔN_{eff}





$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$
$g' = 0.01$
ATLAS
LEP
10^2
10^1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
$M_{Z'}/g'$ [TeV]
$M_{Z'}$ [TeV]


U(1)_{B−L}
g′ = 0.01
g′ = 0.1
ATLAS
LEP
10^2
10^1
M_{Z′}/g′ [TeV]
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
M_{Z′} [TeV]



$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$
$g' = 0.01$
$g' = 0.1$
$g' = 0.5$
ATLAS
LEP
$M_{Z'}/g'$ [TeV]
$M_{Z'}$ [TeV]
10^2
10^1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7



$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$
$g' = 0.01$
$g' = 0.1$
$g' = 0.5$
ATLAS
ΔN_{eff}
LEP
$M_{Z'}/g'$ [TeV]
10^2
10^1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
$M_{Z'}$ [TeV]

Dark matter phenomenology



Figure 6:

Conclusions

- One thousand solutions
- 48 types of solutions: N, N_{chiral}, δ, N_D, N_M, G_D, G_M
- The scalar realizations of the effective Dirac neutrino mass operator feature a set of parameters which explain independently the neutrino oscillations and the phenomenology of a multi-component and multi-generational dark matter sector. Large GNI, CLFV, LHC dileptons

In general, we can see that multi-component and multi-generation DM candidates are the trend for gauge Abelian extensions of the SM with massive singlet chiral fermions compatible with the effective Dirac neutrino mass operator of dimension

One parameter $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$ SM extension

Fields	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_X$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_R$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_D$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_G$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{D}}^{*}$
L	**2**	$-1/2$	l	-1	0	$-3/2$	$-1/2$	0
Q	**2**	$-1/6$	$-l/3$	$1/3$	0	$1/2$	$1/6$	0
d_R	**1**	$-1/2$	$1+2l/3$	$1/3$	1	0	$2/3$	0
u_R	**1**	$+2/3$	$-1-4l/3$	$1/3$	-1	1	$-1/3$	0
e_R	**1**	-1	$1+2l$	-1	1	-2	0	0
H	**2**	$1/2$	$-1-l$	0	-1	$1/2$	$-1/2$	0
$\sum_\alpha n_\alpha$	**1**	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	0
$\sum_\alpha n_\alpha^3$	**1**	0	-3	-3	-3	-3	-3	0

solutions with $\sum n_\alpha = -3$ and $\sum n_\alpha^3 = -3$

$(\nu_{R1}, \nu_{R2}, \psi_{N-2}, \cdots)$	Ref
$(-1, -1, -1)$	hep-ph/0611205, S. Khalil [JPG]
$(-4, -4, +5)$	arXiv:0706.0473, Montero, V. Pleitez [PLB]
$\left(-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{4}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}\right)$	arXiv:1607.04029, S. Patra , W. Rodejohann, C. Yaguna [JHEP]
$\left(-\frac{8}{5}, -\frac{8}{5}, -\frac{2}{5}, -\frac{7}{5}, +2\right)$	arXiv:1812.05523, with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]
$\left(-1, -1, -\frac{10}{7}, -\frac{4}{7}, -\frac{2}{7}, \frac{9}{7}\right)$	1808.03352, with N. Bernal, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]
$\left(-\frac{5}{3}, -\frac{5}{3}, -\frac{7}{3}, \frac{8}{3}\right)$	In progress... New method†

Table 3: Possible solutions with at least two repeated charges and until six chiral fermions.

† General $\sum n_\alpha = 0$ solutions: see D.B Costa, *et al*, arXiv:1905.13729 [PRL]

Or$\cdots$ combine known solutions with $\sum n_\alpha = 0$ and $\sum n_\alpha^3 = 0$

$(\nu_{R1}, \nu_{R2}, \psi_{N-2}, \cdots)$	Ref
$(-1,-1,-1)$	hep-ph/0611205, S. Khalil [JPG]
$(-4,-4,+5)$	arXiv:0706.0473, Montero, V. Pleitez [PLB]
$\left(-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{4}{3},-\frac{1}{3}\right)$	arXiv:1607.04029, S. Patra , W. Rodejohann, C. Yaguna [JHEP]
$\left(-\frac{8}{5},-\frac{8}{5},-\frac{2}{5},-\frac{7}{5},+2\right)$	arXiv:1812.05523, with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]
$\left(-1,-1,-\frac{10}{7},-\frac{4}{7},-\frac{2}{7},\frac{9}{7}\right)$	1808.03352, with N. Bernal, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]
$\left(-\frac{5}{3},-\frac{5}{3},-\frac{7}{3},\frac{8}{3}\right)$	In progress... New method†

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sums_of_three_cubes

Only known integer solutions for -3 (1953)

September 2019:

$42 = (-80538738812075974)^3 + 80435758145817515^3 + 12602123297335631^3$

Table 3: Possible solutions with at least two repeated charges and until six chiral fermions.

† General $\sum n_\alpha = 0$ solutions: see D.B Costa, *et al*, arXiv:1905.13729 [PRL]

Or··· combine known solutions

$(\nu_{R1}, \nu_{R2}, \psi_{N-2}, \cdots)$	Ref
$(-1,-1,-1)$	hep-ph/0611205, S. Khalil [JPG]
$(-4,-4,+5)$	arXiv:0706.0473, Montero, V. Pleitez [PLB]
$\left(-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{2}{3},-\frac{4}{3},-\frac{1}{3}\right)$	arXiv:1607.04029, S. Patra , W. Rodejohann, C. Yaguna [JHEP]
$\left(-\frac{8}{5},-\frac{8}{5},-\frac{2}{5},-\frac{7}{5},+2\right)$	arXiv:1812.05523, with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]
$\left(-1,-1,-\frac{10}{7},-\frac{4}{7},-\frac{2}{7},\frac{9}{7}\right)$	1808.03352, with N. Bernal, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]
$\left(-\frac{5}{3},-\frac{5}{3},-\frac{7}{3},\frac{8}{3}\right)$	In progress... New method†

Table 3: Possible solutions with at least two repeated charges and until six chiral fermions.

† General $\sum n_\alpha = 0$ solutions: see D.B Costa, *et al*, arXiv:1905.13729 [PRL]

Not known solution for one-loop neutrino Majorana masses with local $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$.

$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L} \xrightarrow{\langle S\rangle} Z_3$



ν_{R3}	ν_{R2}	ν_{R1}	ψ_L	ψ_R	S
-4	-4	$+5$	-1	-1	$+3$

E. Ma, R. Srivastava: arXiv:1411.5042 [PLB]



$$m^{\nu}_{\text{Majorana}} + = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} L \cdot H L \cdot H$$

3 models



$$m^{\nu}_{\text{Majorana}} \; + = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} L \cdot H L \cdot H \quad \text{(three-level)}$$

Type-I arXiv:1808.03352, II arXiv:1607.04029, III arXiv:1908.04308

Type-I seesaw

$$\mathcal{L} = y(N_R)^\dagger L \cdot \; H \; + M_N N_R N_R + \text{h.c}$$



$$m^{\nu}_{\text{Majorana}} + = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} L \cdot H L \cdot H$$

Type-I arXiv:1808.03352, with N. Bernal, C. Yaguna, and Ó. Zapata [PRD]

$U(1)_{B-L} \to Z_7$

$$\mathcal{L} = y\,(N_R)^{\dagger}\, L \cdot \langle H \rangle + y' \langle S \rangle N_R N_R + \text{h.c}$$



ν_{R3}	ν_{R2}	S
-1	-1	2

$$m^{\nu}_{\text{Majorana}} + = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} L \cdot H L \cdot H \frac{S}{\Lambda}$$

Type-I arXiv:1808.03352

: Also new terms arise from spontaneous breakdown of a new gauge symmetry

Local $U(1)_{B-L} \to Z_7$

$$\mathcal{L} = y(N_R)^{\dagger} L \cdot \langle H \rangle + y' \langle S \rangle N_R N_R + \text{h.c}$$



$$m^{\nu}_{\text{Majorana}} {}^{+} = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} L \cdot H L \cdot H \frac{S}{\Lambda}$$

Type-I arXiv:1808.03352

: Also new terms arise from spontaneous breakdown of a new gauge symmetry

ν_{R3}	ν_{R2}	$\overline{\psi_{L1}}$	ψ_{R1}	ψ_{R2}	$\overline{\psi_{L2}}$	S	S'
-1	-1	$-\frac{10}{7}$	$-\frac{4}{7}$	$-\frac{2}{7}$	$\frac{9}{7}$	2	1



One loop topologies $\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L} \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_2$



T1-3-D



T1-3-E



T3-1-A

Chang-Yuan Yao and Gui-Jun Ding, arXiv:1802.05231 [PRD]



T1-2-A



T1-2-B

One loop topologies $U(1)_{B-L}$ only!



T1-3-D



T1-3-E



T3-1-A

- $\psi_{L,R}$ $\rightarrow$Singlet fermions
- $\Psi_{L,R}$ $\rightarrow$Vector-like doublet fermions
- σ $\rightarrow$Singlet scalar
- η $\rightarrow$Doublet scalar

with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, and O. Zapata, arXiv:1812.05523 [PRD]



T1-2-A



T1-2-B

One loop topologies $U(1)_{B-L}$ only! with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, and O. Zapata, arXiv:1812.05523 [PRD]



T1-3-D

$\longmapsto$ $\sigma_1 = -2$, $\sigma_2 = -5$,

$\longmapsto$ generalization to two and three loops: S. Saad arXiv:1902.07259 [NPB]

$\longmapsto$ generalization to $U(1)_R$: *et al*, S. Saad arXiv:1904.07407

$\uparrow$

$\psi_{L,R}$ $\longrightarrow$ Singlet fermions (vector-like)

σ $\longrightarrow$ Singlet scalar

η $\longrightarrow$ Doublet scalar



T3-1-A

Fields: f_i	$(\nu_{R3})^\dagger$	$(\nu_{R2})^\dagger$	$(\nu_{R1})^\dagger$	ψ_L	$(\psi_R)^\dagger$	S
(A)	$+4$	$+4$	-5	$-r$	r	$+3$

Anomaly cancellation conditions

$$\sum_i f_i = 3$$

$$\sum_i f_i^3 = 3$$

One loop topologies $\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$ only! with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, and O. Zapata, arXiv:1812.05523 [PRD]



T1-3-E

$\psi_{L,R}$ $\rightarrow$Singlet fermions (quiral)

$\Psi_{L,R}$ $\rightarrow$Vector-like doublet fermions

σ $\rightarrow$Singlet scalar

η $\rightarrow$Doublet scalar

Fields: f_i	$(\nu_{R3})^\dagger$	$(\nu_{R2})^\dagger$	$(\nu_{R1})^\dagger$	ψ_L	$(\psi_R)^\dagger$	S
(A)	$+4$	$+4$	-5	$-r$	r	$+3$
(B)	$+\frac{8}{5}$	$+\frac{8}{5}$	$+\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	$-\frac{10}{5}$	$+\frac{3}{5}$



T1-2-A



T1-2-B

Anomaly cancellation conditions

$$\sum_i f_i = 3$$

$$\sum_i f_i^3 = 3$$

SD^{3}M+SSDM: σ_a $(a=1,2)$ with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, and O. Zapata, arXiv:1812.05523 [PRD]

$\psi_{L,R}$ $\to$Singlet fermions (quiral)

$\Psi_{L,R}$ $\to$Vector-like doublet fermions : 10/5

σ $\to$Singlet scalar : 15/5

Fields: f_i	$(\nu_{R3})^\dagger$	$(\nu_{R2})^\dagger$	$(\nu_{R1})^\dagger$	ψ_L	$(\psi_R)^\dagger$	S
(A)	$+4$	$+4$	-5	$-r$	r	$+3$
(B)	$+\frac{8}{5}$	$+\frac{8}{5}$	$+\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{7}{5}$	$-\frac{10}{5}$	$+\frac{3}{5}$



T1-2-A

Anomaly cancellation conditions

$$\sum_i f_i = 3$$

$$\sum_i f_i^3 = 3$$

SD^{3}M+SSDM: σ_a $(a = 1, 2)$

$M_\psi = h_1 \langle S \rangle$, $y_2 = 0$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SD}^3\text{M}} + h_3^{ia} \widetilde{(\Psi_R)} \cdot L_i \, \sigma_a + h_2^{\beta a} \, (\nu_{R\beta})^\dagger \, \psi_L \, \sigma_a^* - V(\sigma_a, S, H) \, .$$

with A.F Rivera, W. Tangarife, arXiv:1906.09685 [PRD]



T1-2-A



Radiative Type-I seesaw →Local: only $\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$! arXiv:1812.05523, with J. Calle, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata [PRD]



For radiative Dirac models with only $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$ see also:

arXiv:1812.01599, 1901.06402, 1902.07259, 1903.01477, 1904.07407, 1907.08630, 1910.09537 1909.00833 1907.11557, 1909.09574

$\mathcal{O}(50)$ new models mostly with $\sim (-4, -4, 5)$

Example: New $\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$



Pheno analysis with

A. Rivera, W. Tangarife, arXiv:1906.09685 [PRD]

Dirac Radiative Type-I seesaw with Majorana mediators with J. Calle and Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1909.09574



Dirac Radiative Type-I seesaw with Majorana mediators with J. Calle and Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1909.09574

Mediator

Majorana

Dark

Type

Dirac



Local Dark

$$N = -\frac{\nu}{4} \quad , \quad \eta = -\frac{\nu}{4} \quad , \quad \sigma = -\frac{3\nu}{4} \quad .$$

Fields	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{D}}$
L	**2**	$-1/2$	0
Q	**2**	$-1/6$	0
d_R	**1**	$-1/2$	0
u_R	**1**	$+2/3$	0
e_R	**1**	-1	0
H	**2**	$1/2$	0
η	**2**	$1/2$	1
S	**1**	0	2
σ	**1**	0	3
ν_{R1}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R2}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R3}	**1**	0	5
N_{R1}	**1**	0	1
N_{R2}	**1**	0	1
N_{R3}	**1**	0	1
TOTAL			0

Dirac Radiative Type-I seesaw with Majorana mediators with J. Calle and Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1909.09574

Mediator

Majorana

$$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{D}} \xrightarrow[\langle S\rangle]{} Z_2$$

Dark

Type

Dirac



Local

Dark

$$N = -\frac{\nu}{4} \quad , \quad \eta = -\frac{\nu}{4} \quad , \quad \sigma = -\frac{3\nu}{4} \quad .$$

Fields	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathcal{D}}$
L	**2**	$-1/2$	0
Q	**2**	$-1/6$	0
d_R	**1**	$-1/2$	0
u_R	**1**	$+2/3$	0
e_R	**1**	-1	0
H	**2**	$1/2$	0
η	**2**	$1/2$	1
S	**1**	0	2
σ	**1**	0	3
ν_{R1}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R2}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R3}	**1**	0	5
N_{R1}	**1**	0	1
N_{R2}	**1**	0	1
N_{R3}	**1**	0	1
TOTAL			0

Dirac Radiative Type-I seesaw with Majorana mediators with J. Calle and Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1909.09574

Mediator

Majorana

Dark

Type

Dirac



Local

$$N=-\frac{\nu}{4}-\frac{1}{4}\,,\qquad \eta=-\frac{\nu}{4}-\frac{1}{4}-l\,,\qquad \sigma=-\frac{3\nu}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\,.$$

Fields	$SU(2)_L$	$U(1)_Y$	$U(1)_X$
L	**2**	$-1/2$	l
Q	**2**	$-1/6$	$-l/3$
d_R	**1**	$-1/2$	$1+2l/3$
u_R	**1**	$+2/3$	$-1-4l/3$
e_R	**1**	-1	$1+2l$
H	**2**	$1/2$	$-1-l$
η	**2**	$1/2$	$3/4-l$
S	**1**	0	$3/2$
σ	**1**	0	$13/4$
ν_{R1}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R2}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R3}	**1**	0	5
N_{R1}	**1**	0	$3/4$
N_{R2}	**1**	0	$3/4$
N_{R3}	**1**	0	$3/4$
$\xi_{L\alpha}$	**1**	0	$3/4$

Dirac Radiative Type-I seesaw with Majorana mediators with J. Calle and Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1909.09574

Mediator

Majorana

$$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L} \to Z_2 \quad \langle S \rangle$$

Dark

Type

Dirac



Local

$$N = -\frac{\nu}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\,, \qquad \eta = -\frac{\nu}{4} - \frac{1}{4} + 1\,, \qquad \sigma = -\frac{3\nu}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\,.$$

Fields	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$
L	**2**	$-1/2$	-1
Q	**2**	$-1/6$	$1/3$
d_R	**1**	$-1/2$	$1/3$
u_R	**1**	$+2/3$	$1/3$
e_R	**1**	-1	-1
H	**2**	$1/2$	0
η	**2**	$1/2$	$7/4$
S	**1**	0	$3/2$
σ	**1**	0	$13/4$
ν_{R1}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R2}	**1**	0	-4
ν_{R3}	**1**	0	5
N_{R1}	**1**	0	$3/4$
N_{R2}	**1**	0	$3/4$
N_{R3}	**1**	0	$3/4$
$\xi_{L\alpha}$	**1**	0	$3/4$

The model

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\, g'\, Z'_\mu \sum_F q_F \overline{F} \gamma^\mu F + \sum_\phi \left| \left(\partial_\mu + i\, g'\, q_\phi\, Z'_\mu \right) \phi \right|^2$$

$$- \left[h_{i\alpha} \overline{L_i} \tilde{\eta} N_{R\alpha} + y_{j\alpha} \overline{\nu_{R_j}} \sigma^* N^c_{R\alpha} + k_\alpha \overline{N^c_{R\alpha}} N_{R\alpha} S^* + \text{h.c.} \right] - \mathcal{V}(H, S, \eta, \sigma)\,.$$

F (ϕ) denote the new fermions (scalars)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(H, S, \eta, \sigma) =& V(H) + V(S) + V(\eta) + V(\sigma) \\ &+ \lambda_{HS}(H^\dagger H)(S^* S) + \lambda_2 (H^\dagger H)(\sigma^* \sigma) + \lambda_3 (H^\dagger H)(\eta^\dagger \eta) \\ &+ \lambda_4 (S^* S)(\sigma^* \sigma) + \lambda_5 (S^* S)(\eta^\dagger \eta) + \lambda_6 (\eta^\dagger \eta)(\sigma^* \sigma) + \lambda_7 (\eta^\dagger H)(H^\dagger \eta) \\ &+ \lambda_8 (\eta^\dagger H S^* \sigma + \text{h.c.})\,, \end{aligned}$$

Neutrino masses and LFV

$$(\mathcal{M}_\nu)_{ij} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2}\frac{\lambda_8 v_S^2 v_H}{m^2_{\eta_R^0} - m^2_{\sigma_R^0}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} h_{i\alpha} k_\alpha y^*_{j\alpha} \left[F\left(\frac{m^2_{\eta_R^0}}{M^2_{N_\alpha}}\right) - F\left(\frac{m^2_{\sigma_R^0}}{M^2_{N_\alpha}}\right)\right] + (R \to I)\,,$$

where $F(x) = x \log x/(x-1)$.

$\mu \to e\gamma$



$$\left|\sum_\alpha h_{2\alpha} h^*_{1\alpha}\right| \lesssim 0.02 \left(\frac{m_\chi}{2\,\text{TeV}}\right)^2 .$$

Scotogenic DM

$$h_{i\alpha}\overline{L_i}\tilde{\eta}N_{R\alpha}$$

Singlet-doblet complex DM

$$V(\eta, \sigma, H)$$

Dark radiation portal

$$k_{\alpha}\overline{N_{R\alpha}^{c}}N_{R\alpha}S^{*}$$

Dark scalar portal

$$g'\, Z'_{\mu} \sum_F q_F \overline{F}\gamma^{\mu}F$$

Scotogenic DM

$$h_{i\alpha}\overline{L_i}\tilde{\eta}N_{R\alpha}$$

A. Ibarra, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata,
arXiv:1601.01163 [PRD]

Scotogenic DM

$$h_{i\alpha}\overline{L_i}\tilde{\eta}N_{R\alpha}$$

$$N_{R2} \to \Sigma$$

with A. Rivera, arXiv:1907.11938



$$(\chi_1^0\ \chi_2^0)^T = R(N_R\ \Sigma)^T$$

$$\xi = \frac{\left|M_\Sigma - m_{\chi_1^0}\right|}{m_{\chi_1^0}}$$

Scotogenic DM

$$h_{i\alpha}\overline{L_i}\tilde{\eta}N_{R\alpha}$$

$$N_{R2} \rightarrow \Sigma$$

with A. Rivera, arXiv:1907.11938





Scotogenic DM

$$h_{i\alpha}\overline{L_i}\tilde{\eta}N_{R\alpha}$$

$$N_{R2} \to \Sigma$$

with A. Rivera, arXiv:1907.11938



$$\sigma v\left(\chi_1^0\chi_1^0 \to \gamma\gamma\right) = \frac{|\mathcal{B}|^2}{32\pi m_{\chi_1^0}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B} = {} & \frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha m^2\sin^2(\alpha)Y_\Omega^2(\sin(\delta)+\cos(\delta))^2}{\pi}\left[\frac{M_{H^\pm}^2 C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;M_{H^\pm}^2,M_{H^\pm}^2,M_\Sigma^2\right)}{M_{H^\pm}^2-M_\Sigma^2}\right.\\
& -\frac{M_\Sigma\left(-2mM_{H^\pm}^2-M_\Sigma M_{H^\pm}^2+m^2M_\Sigma+2mM_\Sigma^2+M_\Sigma^3\right)C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;M_\Sigma^2,M_\Sigma^2,M_{H^\pm}^2\right)}{\left(M_{H^\pm}^2-M_\Sigma^2\right)\left(M_{H^\pm}^2+m^2-M_\Sigma^2\right)}\\
& \left.+\frac{2M_\Sigma\left(m+M_\Sigma\right)C_0\left(0,0,4m^2;M_\Sigma^2,M_\Sigma^2,M_\Sigma^2\right)}{-M_{H^\pm}^2-m^2+M_\Sigma^2}\right]\\
& +\frac{\alpha m^2\sin(\alpha)\cos(\alpha)Y_N^\alpha Y_\Sigma^\alpha}{\pi}\left[-\frac{m_\eta^2C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;m_\eta^2,m_\eta^2,m_{e_i}^2\right)}{m_\eta^2-m_{e_i}^2}\right.\\
& \left.+\frac{m_{e_i}^2\left(m_{e_i}^2+m^2-m_\eta^2\right)C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2,m_\eta^2\right)}{\left(m_\eta^2-m_{e_i}^2\right)\left(-m_{e_i}^2+m^2+m_\eta^2\right)}+\frac{2m_{e_i}^2C_0\left(0,0,4m^2;m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2\right)}{-m_{e_i}^2+m^2+m_\eta^2}\right]\\
& +\frac{\alpha m^2\cos^2(\alpha)\left(Y_\Sigma^\alpha\right)^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}\left[\frac{m_\eta^2C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;m_\eta^2,m_\eta^2,m_{e_i}^2\right)}{m_\eta^2-m_{e_i}^2}\right.\\
& \left.-\frac{m_{e_i}^2\left(m_{e_i}^2+m^2-m_\eta^2\right)C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2,m_\eta^2\right)}{\left(m_\eta^2-m_{e_i}^2\right)\left(-m_{e_i}^2+m^2+m_\eta^2\right)}-\frac{2m_{e_i}^2C_0\left(0,0,4m^2;m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2\right)}{-m_{e_i}^2+m^2+m_\eta^2}\right]\\
& +\frac{\sqrt{2}\alpha m^2\sin^2(\alpha)\left(Y_N^\alpha\right)^2}{2\pi}\left[\frac{m_\eta^2C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;m_\eta^2,m_\eta^2,m_{e_i}^2\right)}{m_\eta^2-m_{e_i}^2}\right.\\
& \left.-\frac{m_{e_i}^2\left(m_{e_i}^2+m^2-m_\eta^2\right)C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2,m_\eta^2\right)}{\left(m_\eta^2-m_{e_i}^2\right)\left(-m_{e_i}^2+m^2+m_\eta^2\right)}-\frac{2m_{e_i}^2C_0\left(0,0,4m^2;m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2,m_{e_i}^2\right)}{-m_{e_i}^2+m^2+m_\eta^2}\right]\\
& -\frac{8\sqrt{2}\alpha m^2\cos^2(\alpha)M_W^2}{\pi\left(M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)\left(4v_\Omega^2+v_\phi^2\right)\left(m^2-M_\Sigma^2+M_W^2\right)\left(m^2+M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)}\\
& \Big[4\left(m^2-M_W^2\right)\left(M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)\left(m^2-M_\Sigma^2+M_W^2\right)C_0\left(0,0,4m^2;M_W^2,M_W^2,M_W^2\right)\\
& +2M_\Sigma\left(2m-M_\Sigma\right)\left(M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)\left(m^2+M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)C_0\left(0,0,4m^2;M_\Sigma^2,M_\Sigma^2,M_\Sigma^2\right)\\
& -\left(m^2-M_\Sigma^2+M_W^2\right)\left(-M_W^2\left(m^2+M_\Sigma^2\right)-4mM_\Sigma\left(m^2+M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)+4M_\Sigma^4+M_W^4\right)\\
& C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;M_W^2,M_W^2,M_\Sigma^2\right)-M_\Sigma\left(m^2+M_\Sigma^2-M_W^2\right)\left(4m^3-3m^2M_\Sigma+M_\Sigma^3-M_\Sigma M_W^2\right)\\
& C_0\left(0,-m^2,m^2;M_\Sigma^2,M_\Sigma^2,M_W^2\right)\Big].
\end{aligned}$$

Scotogenic DM

FIMP Scenario

$$h_{i\alpha}\overline{L_i}\tilde{\eta}N_{R\alpha}$$

F. Molinaro, C. Yaguna, Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1405.1259 [JCAP]

$$h_1 \sim h_{1\alpha}$$



$$l\left(\eta^+\right) = 3\times 10^5\mathrm{cm}\left(\frac{M_1}{1\mathrm{GeV}}\right)\left(\frac{1\mathrm{TeV}}{m_{\eta^+}}\right)^2$$

$$\lesssim 3 \text{ meters } \left(\frac{1\mathrm{TeV}}{m_{\eta^+}}\right)^2 \quad \text{for} \quad M_1 \lesssim 1\mathrm{MeV}$$

Chacko. *et al* arXiv:1505.04192 [PRD]

$$N_R N_R \to \nu_R \nu_R$$

$$\Delta N_{\mathrm{eff}} \sim 0.2$$

$$k_\alpha \overline{N^c_{R\alpha}} N_{R\alpha} S^*$$

Dark radiation portal

$$g' \, Z'_\mu \sum_F q_F \overline{F} \gamma^\mu F$$

Dark scalar portal

(One-loop) Dirac neutrino masses

Small Dirac neutrino masses

To explain the smallness of Dirac neutrino masses choose $U(1)_X$ which:

- Forbids tree-level mass (TL) term ($Y(H) = +1/2$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{T.L}} &= h_D \epsilon_{ab} \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L^a H^b + \text{h.c} \\
&= h_D \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L \cdot H + \text{h.c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Small Dirac neutrino masses

To explain the smallness of Dirac neutrino masses choose $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$ which:

- Forbids tree-level mass (TL) term ($Y(H) = +1/2$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text{T.L}} =& h_D \epsilon_{ab} \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L^a H^b + \text{h.c} \\
=& h_D \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L \cdot H + \text{h.c}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Forbids Majorana term: $\nu_R \nu_R$

Small Dirac neutrino masses

To explain the smallness of Dirac neutrino masses choose $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$ which:

- Forbids tree-level mass (TL) term ($Y(H) = +1/2$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{T.L}} =& h_D \epsilon_{ab} \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L^a H^b + \mathrm{h.c} \\ =& h_D \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L \cdot H + \mathrm{h.c}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L} \xrightarrow{\langle S \rangle} Z_N$$

- Forbids Majorana term: $\nu_R \nu_R$
- Realizes of the 5-dimension operator which conserves lepton number in $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{5-D} = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} \left(\nu_R\right)^\dagger L \cdot H S + \mathrm{h.c}$$



Small Dirac neutrino masses

To explain the smallness of Dirac neutrino masses choose $\mathrm{U}(1)_X$ which:

- Forbids tree-level mass (TL) term ($Y(H) = +1/2$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{T.L}} &= h_D \epsilon_{ab} (\nu_R)^\dagger L^a H^b + \mathrm{h.c} \\ &= h_D (\nu_R)^\dagger L \cdot H + \mathrm{h.c}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L} \xrightarrow{\langle S \rangle} Z_N$$



- Forbids Majorana term: $\nu_R \nu_R$
- Realizes of the 5-dimension operator which conserves lepton number in $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{5-D} = \frac{h_\nu}{\Lambda} (\nu_R)^\dagger L \cdot HS + \mathrm{h.c}$$

- Enhancement to the *effective number of degrees of freedom in the early Universe* $\Delta N_{\mathrm{eff}} = N_{\mathrm{eff}} - N_{\mathrm{eff}}^{\mathrm{SM}}$ (see arXiv:1211.0186)

See E. Ma, Rahul Srivastava: arXiv:1411.5042 [PLB] for tree-level realization

From 1210.6350 and 1805.02025: $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = 3\left(T_{\nu_R}/T_{\nu_L}\right)^4$

$$\Gamma_{\nu_R}(T) = n_{\nu_R}(T)\sum_f \langle \sigma_f(\nu_R\bar{\nu}_R \to f\bar{f})v\rangle$$

$$= \sum_f \frac{g^2_{\nu_R}}{n_{\nu_R}} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\nu_R}(p) f_{\nu_R}(q)\sigma_f(s)(1-\cos\theta),$$

$$s = 2pq(1-\cos\theta), \qquad f_{\nu_R}(k) = 1/(e^{k/T}+1)$$

$$n_{\nu_R}(T) = g_{\nu_R}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\nu_R}(k), \qquad \text{with } g_{\nu_R} = 2$$

$$\sigma_f(s) \simeq \frac{N_C^f (Q_{BL}^f)^2 Q^2 s}{12\pi}\left(\frac{g'}{M_{Z'}}\right)^4, \quad \text{In the limit } M^2_{Z'} \gg s.$$

with three right-handed neutrinos, the Hubble parameter is

$$H(T) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^3 G_N\,[g(T) + 21/4]}{45}}\,T^2.$$

The right-handed neutrinos decouple when

$$\Gamma_{\nu_R}(T_{\text{dec}}^{\nu_R}) = H(T_{\text{dec}}^{\nu_R}).$$



A. Solaguren-Beascoa, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia: arXiv:1210.6350 [PLB]

From 1210.6350 and 1805.02025: $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = 3\,(T_{\nu_R}/T_{\nu_L})^4$

$$\Gamma_{\nu_R}(T) = n_{\nu_R}(T)\sum_f \langle \sigma_f(\nu_R\bar{\nu}_R \to f\bar{f})v\rangle$$

$$= \sum_f \frac{g_{\nu_R}^2}{n_{\nu_R}} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\nu_R}(p) f_{\nu_R}(q)\sigma_f(s)(1-\cos\theta),$$

$$s = 2pq(1-\cos\theta), \qquad f_{\nu_R}(k) = 1/(e^{k/T}+1)$$

$$n_{\nu_R}(T) = g_{\nu_R}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f_{\nu_R}(k), \qquad \text{with } g_{\nu_R} = 2$$

$$\sigma_f(s) \simeq \frac{N_C^f (Q_{BL}^f)^2 Q^2 s}{12\pi}\left(\frac{g'}{M_{Z'}}\right)^4, \quad \text{In the limit } M_{Z'}^2 \gg s.$$

with three right-handed neutrinos, the Hubble parameter is

$$H(T) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^3 G_N\,[g(T)+21/4]}{45}}\,T^2.$$

The right-handed neutrinos decouple when

$$\Gamma_{\nu_R}(T_{\text{dec}}^{\nu_R}) = H(T_{\text{dec}}^{\nu_R}).$$

$\mathrm{U}(1)_{B-L} \xrightarrow{\langle S\rangle} Z_3$



ν_{R3}	ν_{R2}	ν_{R1}	ψ_L	ψ_R	S
-4	-4	$+5$	-1	-1	$+3$

E. Ma, R. Srivastava: arXiv:1411.5042 [PLB]



with J. Calle and Ó. Zapata, arXiv:1909.09574

Same constraints as before



Same constraints as before



Same constraints as before



Same constraints as before



Same constraints as before



Conclusions

It makes sense to focus our attention on models tha can account for neutrino masses and dark matter (DM) without adhoc symmetries

One-loop Dirac neutrino masses

A single $U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry to explain both the smallnes of Dirac neutrino masses and the stability of Dirac fermion dark matter

- Spontaneously broken $U(1)_X$ generates a radiative Dirac neutrino masses
- A remnant symmetry makes the lightest field circulating the loop stable and good dark matter candidate.
- For T1-2-A: Either Singet Doublet Dirac Dark Matter or Singlet Scalar Dark Matter with extra scalar and vector portal
- Dark symmetry for Majorana mediatiors

Thanks!