

## Lecture 4 § 3.2 Convergence tests for series

E.g.  $(r_n)$

$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}, \dots$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1 \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \dots \quad \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$$

E.g.  $(a_n) = (-1)^n(1 + \frac{1}{n})$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = -1$$

Remark: Let  $(a_n)$  be bounded,  $a_n$  converges to  $l$  iff  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = l$

The Root Test

Sps  $a_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , let  $l = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n}$

If  $l < 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges

If  $l > 1$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  diverges

If  $l = 1$ , nothing to be said.

(We know comparison test and geometric series, so we can prove this)

Proof:

$$\text{Sps } \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = l < 1 \quad \text{---} \quad l < 1$$

choose any  $r$  s.t.  $l < r < 1$

$$\text{Let } \varepsilon = r - l > 0 \quad \exists N > 0 \text{ s.t. } a_n^{1/n} < l + \varepsilon = r \quad ?$$

(Remark: if not  $\Rightarrow \forall N, \exists a_n, n \geq N$  s.t.  $a_n \geq l + \varepsilon$ )

Pick  $N_2 > N_1$  be  $a_{N_2}$

then subseq.  $a_{N_1}, a_{N_2}, a_{N_3}, \dots$

so  $a_{N_k} \geq l + \varepsilon$ )

$$a_n^{1/n} < l + \varepsilon = r$$

$$a_n < r^n, \text{ for all } n \geq N$$

$$b_n = a_n \quad 1 \leq n \leq N$$

$$b_n = r^n \quad n \geq N$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} r^n = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_n + \frac{r^N}{1-r}$$

By comparison  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  converges. □

Definition: Alternating seq.

$(-1)^n a_n$  or  $(-1)^{n+1} a_n$ ,  $a_n \geq 0, \forall n \geq 1$ .

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_n$  converges?

e.g.  $1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}$

Leibniz Alternating Series test.

Sps  $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a monotone decreasing  $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq \dots \geq 0$

Sps  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a_n$  converges.

Proof: Let's look at partial sums first,

$$S_1 = -a_1$$

$$S_2 = -a_1 + a_2$$

$$S_3 = -a_1 + a_2 - a_3$$

$$S_4 = -a_1 + a_2 - a_3 + a_4$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & S_1 & S_3 & S_4 & S_2 \\ & & & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{odd sum} & \rightarrow & & & & \leftarrow & \text{even sum} \end{array}$$

Note:

(1).  $S_2 \geq S_4 \geq S_6 \geq S_8, \dots$

(2).  $S_1 \leq S_3 \leq S_5 \leq S_7$

(3).  $S_{2m-1} \leq S_n, \forall m, n \geq 1$

Proof of (1)  $\hookrightarrow$  (3)

①  $S_{2n} - S_{2n-2} = a_{2n} - a_{2n-1} \leq 0$

②  $S_{2n+1} - S_{2n-1} = a_{2n} - a_{2n-1} \geq 0$

③ Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, N = \max\{m, n\}$

$$S_{2m-1} \leq S_{2N-1} \leq S_N \leq S_n$$

$(S_2, S_4, S_6, \dots)$  bounded from below, monotone nonincreasing  $\Rightarrow$  converges to L.

$(S_1, S_3, S_5, \dots)$  bounded from above, monotone nondecreasing  $\Rightarrow$  converges to M.

$$L - M = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{2n} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{2n} = 0$$



$$x = 1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (1 - \frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{4} + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{6}) - \frac{1}{8} + (\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{10}) - \frac{1}{12} + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{10} - \frac{1}{12} + \dots \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots) \end{aligned}$$

so  $x = \frac{1}{2}x$ ,  $x=0$  But we know the answer should be  $\ln 2$ . Why is it wrong here?

### § 3.3 Absolute and Conditional Convergence

Conditional convergence!

Absolute and conditional convergence.

Def: A series  $\sum a_n$  is called absolutely convergent if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|$  converges,  
A series that converges, but not absolutely converges is called conditionally convergent

**Proposition:** An absolutely convergent series is convergent (comparison test).

**Def:** A rearrangement of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$  is another series with the same term but different order  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi(n)}$ ,  $\pi$  = a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem:** Every rearrangement of an absolutely convergent series converges to the same limit.

**Proof:**  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = L$ ,  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

By definition of a limit,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$

$a_{\pi(1)}, a_{\pi(2)}, \dots$  somewhere we find a term that =  $a_1$   
.....  
.....  
 $a_2$   
.....

we find all of them in finitely many steps.

$a_1, \dots, a_N$  occur in first  $M$  terms of the rearranged series for some  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

We want to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $|\tilde{S}_m - L| < \varepsilon$

We want to show  $|\sum_{k=1}^m a_{\pi(k)} - L| \leq |\sum_{k=1}^m a_{\pi(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^N a_k + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k - L|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^m a_{\pi(k)} - \sum_{k=1}^N a_k \right| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k - L \right| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$