

Jan 23rd

Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation
 $\text{Im}(T) = \{w \in W | w = T(v) \text{ for some } v \in V\}$

Claim: $\text{Im}(T)$ is a subspace of W

Pf: $w \in \text{Im}(T) \Rightarrow w = T(v) \text{ for some } v \in V.$

$$\lambda w = \lambda T(v) = T(\lambda \cdot v) \in \text{Im}(T)$$

$$w_1, w_2 \in \text{Im}(T)$$

$$w_1 = T(v_1) \& w_2 = T(v_2)$$
$$w_1 + w_2 = T(v_1) + T(v_2) = T(v_1 + v_2) \in \text{Im}(T)$$

$$\text{Ker}(T) = \{v \in V | T(v) = 0\}$$

$$\text{Thm: } \dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = \dim(V)$$

$$\text{ex: } a \in \mathbb{R}^2, P_a(v) = \frac{\langle a, v \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a \quad \text{"projection onto } a\text{"}$$

$$\text{Im}(P_a) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(a) \quad [\text{Pf: } P_a(v) \in \text{span}(a) \text{ by def'n, } P_a(\lambda a) = \lambda a]$$

$\text{Ker}(P_a) = \text{line which is perpendicular to } a.$

one-dimension one-dimension

The proof

Claim: ① $\dim(V) = k, \dim(W) = l$
SPS $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ is a basis of V .
then $\{T(v_1), \dots, T(v_k)\}$ spans $\text{Im}(T)$

Proof: $w \in \text{Im}(T) \Rightarrow w = T(v) \text{ some } v \in V$

$$v = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_k v_k$$

$$\therefore w = T(v) = a_1 T(v_1) + a_2 T(v_2) + \dots + a_k T(v_k)$$

Note!

A basis B of a vector space V over a field F is a linearly independent subset of V that spans V .

In more detail, suppose that $B = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a finite subset of a vector space V over a field F (such as the real or complex numbers \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}). Then B is a basis if it satisfies the following conditions:

- the linear independence property,

for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$, if $a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n = 0$, then necessarily $a_1 = \dots = a_n = 0$; and

- the spanning property,

for every x in V it is possible to choose $a_1, \dots, a_n \in F$ such that $x = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$.

Claim: ② now choose a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ are a basis for $\text{ker}(T) \subseteq V$
(use a basis of $\text{ker}(T)$ can be extended to a basis of V)
Then: $T(v_{n+1}), T(v_{n+2}), \dots, T(v_k)$ are a basis of $\text{Im}(T)$

Proof: a) we use D to show that $\{T(v_{n+1}), T(v_{n+2}), \dots, T(v_k)\}$ span $\text{Im}(T)$:

$$\text{In fact: } T(v_1) = T(v_2) = \dots = T(v_n) = 0$$

$$\text{Span}_F \{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_k)\} = \text{span}_F \{T(v_{n+1}), \dots, T(v_k)\}$$

$$\therefore \text{Span}_F \{T(v_{n+1}), \dots, T(v_k)\} = \text{Im}(T)$$

b). $\{T(v_{n+1}), \dots, T(v_k)\}$ is linearly indep.

$$\text{So: let } \beta_{n+1}T(v_{n+1}) + \beta_{n+2}T(v_{n+2}) + \dots + \beta_kT(v_k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T(\beta_{n+1}v_{n+1} + \beta_{n+2}v_{n+2} + \dots + \beta_kv_k) = 0$$

By def'n $\Rightarrow \beta_{n+1}v_{n+1} + \beta_{n+2}v_{n+2} + \dots + \beta_kv_k \in \text{Ker}(T)$

BUT: $\text{Ker}(T) = \text{Span}_F \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_{n+1}v_{n+1} + \beta_{n+2}v_{n+2} + \dots + \beta_kv_k = \beta_1v_1 + \beta_2v_2 + \dots + \beta_nv_n$$

$$\Rightarrow -\beta_1v_1 - \beta_2v_2 - \dots - \beta_nv_n + \beta_{n+1}v_{n+1} + \beta_{n+2}v_{n+2} + \dots + \beta_kv_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{all } \beta_i = 0$$

Therefore $\{T(v_{n+1}), \dots, T(v_k)\}$ are lin. indep. ■

Claim ③: $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = n$

$$\dim(\text{Im}(T)) = k-n$$

$$\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) + \dim(\text{Im}(T)) = k = \dim(V)$$

Example: $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, P_a : projection onto $\text{span}_F(a)$

$$P_a(v) = \frac{\langle a, v \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a$$

now: $\dim(\text{Im}(P_a)) = 1$ since $\text{Im}(P_a) = \text{span}_F(a)$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(\text{Ker}(P_a)) = n-1$$

$$\text{Ker}(P_a) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle a, v \rangle = 0\}$$

def'n: $T: V \rightarrow W$

① T is injective (or one-to-one) if $T(v_1) = T(v_2) \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2$

② T is surjective (or "onto") if $\text{Im}(T) = W$.

Claim: T is injective $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ker}(T) = 0$

Proof: \Rightarrow If T is injective, $v \in \text{Ker}(T)$.

$$T(v) = 0 = T(0) \text{ so injectivity} \Rightarrow v = 0$$

\Leftarrow know $\text{Ker}(T) = 0$, suppose $T(v_1) = T(v_2)$

$$\Leftrightarrow T(v_1 - v_2) = 0 \Leftrightarrow T(v_1 - v_2) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1 - v_2 \in \text{Ker}(T) = 0$$

$$\text{so } v_1 - v_2 = 0 \Rightarrow v_1 = v_2$$



Corollaries:

① T inj. $\Rightarrow \dim(V) \leq \dim(W)$

② T surj. $\Rightarrow \dim(V) \geq \dim(W)$

