

Lecture 4

Review :

Least square estimation

X-response

$Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m \rightarrow$ predictors

Criterion $E[X - \hat{X}]^2$ mean square error

Minimise \hat{X} function of (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)

$$\hat{X} = a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2 + \dots + a_m Y_m$$

Simplified version: $E[X] = 0$
 $E[Y_i] = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$E[X - \hat{X}]^2 = E[X - (a_1 Y_1 + a_2 Y_2 + \dots + a_m Y_m)]^2 \\ = \text{Var}(X) - 2\vec{\alpha}[\text{Cov}(X, \vec{Y})] + \vec{\alpha}^T \text{Cov}(\vec{Y}) \vec{\alpha} = F(\vec{\alpha})$$

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Note:
 "Covariance Matrix"

$$\text{Cov}(\vec{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Cov}(Y_1, Y_1) & \text{Cov}(Y_1, Y_2) & \dots & \text{Cov}(Y_1, Y_m) \\ \text{Cov}(Y_2, Y_1) & \text{Cov}(Y_2, Y_2) & \dots & \text{Cov}(Y_2, Y_m) \\ \vdots & & & \\ \text{Cov}(Y_m, Y_1) & \dots & & \text{Cov}(Y_m, Y_m) \end{pmatrix}$$

Target: Find such an " a "

$$\text{Set } \frac{\partial F(\vec{\alpha})}{\partial a_i} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Cov}(\vec{Y}) \vec{\alpha} = \text{Cov}(X, \vec{Y})$$

denoted as V_{YY} denoted as V_{XY}

$$\vec{\alpha} = \frac{V_{XY}}{V_{YY}}$$

(same as STA302)

CHAPTER 3

PROBABILITY

For any event $A \subset \Omega$. $P(A) = E[I(A)]$

$$I(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } A \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$

A little bit intro to Set Theory.

$A \subset \Omega$, $\bar{A} \rightarrow$ complement of A .

$$\bar{A} = \{\omega, \omega \notin A\}$$

① $I(\bar{A}) = 1 - I(A)$

② If $A \& B$ are disjoint then $I(A \cup B) = I(A) + I(B)$

③ If A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are disjoint, then $I(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n I(A_i) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} I(A_i)$

④ If $A \subseteq B$, then $I(A) \leq I(B)$

⑤ $I(\bigcap_{i=1}^m A_i) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} I(A_i)$

Properties of Probabilities

① $P(\Omega) = 1$
 Proof : $I(\Omega) = 1 \xrightarrow{\text{Axiom 4}} E(I(\Omega)) = 1 \Rightarrow P(\Omega) = 1$

② $P(A) \geq 0$
 because $I(A) \geq 0 \xrightarrow{\text{Axiom 1}} E(I(A)) \geq 0 \Rightarrow P(A) \geq 0$

③ If $A \& B$ are disjoint, then $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$
 Proof : $I(A \cup B) = I(A) + I(B)$

$\xrightarrow{\text{Axiom 3}} E(I(A \cup B)) = E(I(A)) + E(I(B))$

$$P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B)$$

④ If $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n, \dots$ is a sequence of monotonic events
 Def : A_i 's are monotonic if

$$A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_n \subset \dots \quad ①$$

$$A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \supset A_n \supset \dots \quad ②$$

And in case ① $A_\infty = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty A_i$

$$② A_\infty = \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_i$$

If we have a monotonic sequence of events. Then $P(A_\infty) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(A_i)$

Proof: we only focus on ① since ② follows by the same argument.
 Define r.v. $X_i = I(A_i)$

Since $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$

Hence $I(A_i) \leq I(A_{i+1})$

$\Rightarrow X_i$ is a non-decreasing seq.

Axiom 5 implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} E X_i = E(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} X_i)$ (*)

LHS of $\text{(*)} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(A_i)$

I'll show that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} I(A_i) = I(A_\infty) = I(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i)$ (**)

a) If LHS of $\text{(**)} = 0$

$\Rightarrow I(A_i) = 0$ for all $i \Rightarrow \omega \notin A_i, i=1, 2, \dots \omega \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$

$\Rightarrow I(A_\infty) = 0$

b) If LHS = 1

$I(A_i) = 1$ for some i

$\Rightarrow \omega \in \text{some } A_i$

$\Rightarrow \omega \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \Rightarrow I(A_\infty) = 1$

By (*) & (**) ④ holds.

Corollaries:

① If A & B are two events not necessarily disjoint. Then $P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(AB)$, $AB = A \cap B$

Note that $I(A \cup B) = I(A) + I(B) - I(AB)$

Why? (HW)

Hints: take expectations

$$E[I(A \cup B)] = E[I(A)] + E[I(B)] - E[I(AB)]$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cup B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B)$$

② Inclusion-exclusion formula

Sps A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m are events

$$\text{Then } P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i\right) = \sum P(A_i) - \sum P(A_i A_j) + \sum P(A_i A_j A_k) - \dots + (-1)^r \sum P(A_1 \dots A_r)$$

$$+ (-1)^m \sum P(A_1 \dots A_m)$$

Proof by induction:

If $m=2$. proved.

$m=k$ ② holds

$m=k+1$, $P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i\right) = P(B \cup A_{k+1}) = \dots$ (straightforward)

③ If A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots are disjoint events then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$$

Proof: Let $B_i = \bigcup_{j=i}^n A_j$. Then B_i is a non-decreasing sequence.

Therefore, $P\left(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_i\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(B_i)$

Note that $\lim B_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$

$$\Rightarrow P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^i A_j\right)$$

Ex: Sps we draw a card from a deck of 52 cards. Calculate the probability of drawing a diamond or an ace.

Let A = draw a diamond.

B = draw an ace.

Want $P(A \cup B)$

$$P(A) = 1/4$$

$$P(B) = 1/13$$

$$P(A \cap B) = 1/52$$

$$P(A \cup B) = 1/4 + 1/13 - 1/52 = 4/13$$

Ex:

Sps 4 letters are to be sent to 4 different people. The secretary who makes the envelopes carelessly, put the letters in randomly. What's the prob that at least one will get his/her letter?

Solution. Inclu/exclu formula
Let A_i = the i^{th} person gets his/her letter

$$i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Want } P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i\right) &= \sum P(A_i) - [P(A_1 A_2) + P(A_2 A_3) + P(A_3 A_1) + P(A_1 A_4) + P(A_2 A_4) + P(A_3 A_4)] \\ &\quad + [P(A_1 A_2 A_3) + P(A_2 A_3 A_4) + P(A_3 A_2 A_4)] - P(A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Take a look at } P(A_i) = 1/4$$

$$P(A_i A_j) = 1/12$$

$$P(A_i A_j A_k) = 1/24$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i\right) &= 1 - 1/12 \times 6 + 1/12 \times 3 - 1/24 \\ &= 1 - 1/2 + 1/4 - 1/24 \\ &= 3/4 - 1/24 \\ &= 17/24 \end{aligned}$$

CHAPTER 4 SOME BASIC MODELS

A spatial model: Sps that there are N molecules in a spatial region. The space is divided into M equal sized regions (cells).

Z_i = the cell the i^{th} molecular occupies, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Let $X = X(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)$

Then $EX = ?$

$$EX = M^{-N} \sum_{i_1=1}^M \sum_{i_2=1}^M \cdots \sum_{i_N=1}^M X(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N)$$

(*)

Thm: If (*) holds for every r.v. X . Then z_1, \dots, z_N must be uniformly distributed and

$$E\left[\prod_k H_k(z_k)\right] = \prod_k E[H_k(z_k)] \quad \text{for any functions } H_k.$$

(*)

Proof: $i=1, \dots, N$
 $j=1, \dots, M$

Let $X = I\{z_i=j\}$

Take ~~what~~? Plug this X into (*)
We find that $P(z_i=j) = 1/M$

$$\text{Let } X = \prod_k^N H_k(z_k)$$

$$\text{According to } (*) \quad EX = \frac{1}{M^N} \sum \cdots \sum X$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum H_1\right] \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum H_2\right] \cdots \left[\frac{1}{M} \sum H_N\right]$$

$$= E[H_1(z_1)] E[H_2(z_2)] \cdots E[H_N(z_N)]$$



Let $X = X(z_1, z_2, \dots)$

Def: For r.v. X_1, \dots, X_r

we say X_1, \dots, X_r are independent if

$$E\left[\prod_i H_i(X_i)\right] = \prod_i E[H_i(X_i)]$$

for any functions H_i .

Or equivalently, X_1, \dots, X_r r.v.'s

$$P(X_1 \in A_1, X_2 \in A_2, \dots, X_r \in A_r) = P(X_1 \in A_1) P(X_2 \in A_2) \cdots P(X_r \in A_r)$$

for any A_1, \dots, A_r