

Diskrete Mathematik

Zusammenfassung Hs2020 Robin Sieber

- D2.1** Mathematical statement is either true or false, Proposition/Assertion/Claim
- D2.2** True proposition: Theorem, Lemma, Corollary; Unknown: Conjecture, Assumption
- D2.3** (Informal) Proof: Sequence of simple, easily verifiable, consecutive steps. Starts from a set of axioms and known facts. Each step applying a derivation rule.
- 3 levels: Proof sketch (describe non-obvious ideas), Complete proof (state every applied rule/def.), Formal proof (phrased in a calculus)
- D2.5** Negation $\neg A$; Conjunction $A \wedge B$; Disjunction $A \vee B$. Imp. $A \rightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B \equiv \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$. $P(0) \wedge \forall n (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)) \Rightarrow \forall n P(n)$ (for arb. n , not for ∞)
- D2.6** Formula: correctly formed expression with symbols A,B,C and logical operators
- D2.7** $F \equiv G$ (equivalent): truth values are equal for all truth assignments
- L2.1** idempotence $A \wedge A \equiv A$, $A \vee A \equiv A$; commutativity $A \wedge B \equiv B \wedge A$, $A \vee B \equiv B \vee A$
- associativity $(A \wedge B) \wedge C \equiv A \wedge (B \wedge C)$, $(A \vee B) \vee C \equiv A \vee (B \vee C)$, double negation $\neg \neg A \equiv A$
- absorption $A \wedge (A \vee B) \equiv A$, $A \vee (A \wedge B) \equiv A$, dist. $A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$
- dist. $A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$, de Morgan $\neg(A \wedge B) \equiv \neg A \vee \neg B$, $\neg(A \vee B) \equiv \neg A \wedge \neg B$
- D2.8** A formula G is a logical consequence of F ($F \models G$) if for all truth assignments to the propositional symbols appearing in F or G , the truth value of G is 1 if the truth values of F is 1.
- D2.9** F is called tautology or valid if it's true for all truth assignments. ($\models F$, T)
- D2.10** F is called satisfiable if it's true for at least one truth assignment, unsatisfiable else (L).
- L2.23** $F \equiv T \Leftrightarrow \neg F \equiv L$; $F \rightarrow G \equiv T \Leftrightarrow F \wedge G$
- D2.11** A k-ary predicate P on U is a function $U^k \rightarrow \{0,1\}$
- D2.12** Quantifiers $\forall x P(x)$ ($P(x)$ is true for all x in U); $\exists x P(x)$ (true for some x in U)
- L2.4** For any F and G , if $F \models G$, then "F is valid \Rightarrow G is valid" is true.
- D2.13** Comp. of implications: If $S \Rightarrow T$ and $T \Rightarrow U$ both true, then $S \Rightarrow U$ also true.
- L2.5** $(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \models A \rightarrow C$
- D2.14** Direct proof: prove $S \Rightarrow T$ by assuming S and proving T under this assumption.
- D2.15** Indirect proof: prove $S \Rightarrow T$ by assuming T false and proving S false (contraposition)
- D2.16** Modus ponens: Find stmt. R ; Prove R ; Prove $R \Rightarrow S$ [$A \wedge (A \rightarrow B) \models B$] (L2.7)
- D2.17** Case distinction: Find finite list R_1, \dots, R_k (cases); Prove one R_i true (case occurs); Prove $R_i \Rightarrow S$
- L2.8** $(A_1 \vee \dots \vee A_k) \wedge (A_1 \rightarrow B) \wedge \dots \wedge (A_k \rightarrow B) \models B$ ($k=1$ = Modus ponens) (proof by induction)
- D2.18** Contradiction: Find suitable T ; Prove T false; Assume S false and prove T true
→ contradiction
 $\Rightarrow S$ must be true
- D2.19** Existence set X of parameters, for each $x \in X$ a stmt S_x . Prove at least one S_x true. Proof is constructive if it exhibits an a st. S_a true, else non-const.
- D2.20** Pigeonhole set $|S|=n$, partitioned into $k < n$ sets, then one sets has $\lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil$ elmts
- T2.11** Induction Basis step: Prove $P(0)$; Induction step: Prove for arb. n $P(n) \Rightarrow P(n+1)$
- D3.1** Number of elements of a finite set is called cardinality, $|A|$
- E3.2** $\{1,2,3\} = \{1,3,2\} = \{3,1,2,3\} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} | 0 < n < 4\}$ order & multiple occurrences irrelevant
- D3.2** $A=B \Leftrightarrow \forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow x \in B)$ Set is completely specified by its elements other property
- L3.1** For any a, b , $\{a\} = \{b\} \Rightarrow a = b$ (indirect proof)
- D3.3** subset: $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow \forall x (x \in A \rightarrow x \in B)$; $A = B \Leftrightarrow (A \subseteq B) \wedge (B \subseteq A)$
- D3.4** empty set \emptyset , $\{\}$, $\forall x (x \notin \emptyset)$. L3.2 \emptyset unique; L3.3 $\forall A (\emptyset \subseteq A)$ proof by contradiction, $\forall x \notin A$
- D3.5** power set $P(A)$ set of all subsets of A $P(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{S | S \subseteq A\}$; $|P(A)| = 2^{|A|}$
- D3.6** union $A \cup B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x | x \in A \vee x \in B\}$; intersection $A \cap B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x | x \in A \wedge x \in B\}$
- D3.7** complement $\bar{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in U | x \notin A\}$ (U =universe)
- D3.8** difference $B \setminus A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x | x \in B \wedge x \notin A\}$ | consist. $A \subseteq B \Leftrightarrow A \cap B = A \Leftrightarrow A \cup B = B$
- T3.4** idem, commut., associat., distr., absorb. → L2.1; Proof: implication by law for operations ordered pair $(a,b) \neq (d,c) \Leftrightarrow a=c \wedge b=d$
- D3.9** cartesian product $A \times B = \{(a,b) | a \in A \wedge b \in B\}$ | ordered pair $(a,b) \neq (d,c) \Leftrightarrow a=c \wedge b=d$
 $|A \times B| = |A| \cdot |B|$; $\emptyset \times A = \emptyset$
- D3.10** (binary) relation ρ from A to B is a subset of $A \times B$. If $A=B$, then ρ is a rel. on A
- D3.11** inverse $\hat{\rho} = \{(b,a) | (a,b) \in \rho\}$; $a \rho b \Leftrightarrow b \hat{\rho} a$; matrix representation → transposition
- D3.12** composition $A \xrightarrow{\rho} B \xrightarrow{\sigma} C$ $\rho \circ \sigma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(a,c) | \exists b \in B ((a,b) \in \rho \wedge (b,c) \in \sigma)\}$
- L3.5** associativity $\circ (\sigma \circ \phi) = (\rho \circ \sigma) \circ \phi$ (proof with D3.3)
- L3.6** $\hat{\rho} \circ \hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma} \circ \hat{\rho}$ | proof for $\neg (c,a) \circ \rho \circ \sigma \neg c = \neg (c,a) \exists b \in B (\rho b \wedge \sigma b \neg c)$ | $\neg (c \hat{\rho} b \wedge \hat{\rho} b \neg c)$
- D3.14** reflexive $a \rho a$ for all $a \in A$ ($\text{id} \subseteq \rho$) **D3.15** irreflexive $\rho \cap \text{id} = \emptyset$ | matrix diagonal = 0
- D3.16** symmetric $a \rho b \Leftrightarrow b \rho a$ for all $a, b \in A$ ($\rho = \hat{\rho}$) | matrix symmetric
- D3.17** antisymmetric $(a \rho b \wedge b \rho a) \Rightarrow a = b$ for all $a, b \in A$ ($\rho \cap \hat{\rho} \subseteq \text{id}$)
- D3.18** transitive $(a \rho b \wedge b \rho c) \Rightarrow a \rho c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ | graphendarstellung → Abkürzung
- L3.7** A relation is transitive if and only if $\rho^n \subseteq \rho$ (prove " \Rightarrow " in both dir.)
- D3.19** transitive closure of ρ on A : $\rho^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \rho^n$
- D3.20** equivalence relation on A that is reflexive, symmetric and transitive
- D3.21** equivalence class $[a]_\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in A | b \rho a\}$ where ρ is a equiv. rel., $a \in A$
- L3.8** intersection of 2 equiv. rel. is a equiv. rel. (e.g. \equiv_1 and \equiv_2 is \equiv_{12})
- D3.22** partition of a set A : set of mutually disjoint subsets; $S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$); $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i = A$
- D3.23** set of equiv. classes $A/\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[a]_\rho | a \in A\}$ "quotient set of A by ρ ", " A mod ρ "
 $a \rho b \Rightarrow [a] = [b]$ Proof: arb. $c \in [a] \Leftrightarrow a \rho c \Leftrightarrow c \rho a \Leftrightarrow c \in [a]$
- T3.9** A/B is a partition of A . Proof: $a \notin b \Rightarrow [a] \cap [b] = \emptyset$ Proof by contrad. $\Rightarrow \exists c \in [a] \cap [b]$, then transitivity
- D3.24** partial order rel. on A reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive poset $(A; \leq)$
- D3.25** For a poset $(A; \leq)$ a, b are comparable if $a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$, else incomparable
- D3.26** If any two elements of $(A; \leq)$ are comparable, A is totally/linearly ordered
- D3.27** In a poset $(A; \leq)$ b covers a if $a < b$ and $\nexists c$ ($a \leq c \wedge c < b$) (between a and b)
- D3.28** Hasse diagram of $(A; \leq)$ is the directed graph. Vertices = elements; edge if b covers a
- T3.10** $(A; \leq), (B; \leq)$: $(a_1, b_1) \leq (a_2, b_2) \Leftrightarrow a_1 \leq a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2$ (\leq loc is a part. ord. rel.)
- T3.11** $(A; \leq), (B; \leq)$: $(a_1, b_1) \leq_{loc} (a_2, b_2) \Leftrightarrow a_1 \leq_{loc} a_2 \vee (a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 \leq b_2)$ (\leq_{loc} is a part. ord.)
- D3.29** $(A; \leq)$: a is a minimal (maximal) elem. of A if $\nexists b \in A$ with $b < a$ ($b > a$)
- S** ⊆ A : a is a least (greatest) elem. of A if $a \leq b$ ($a \geq b$) for all $b \in A$ (right not exist)
- D3.30** $(A; \leq)$ is well-ordered if it's totally ordered and every non-empty subset has a least elem. [finite \Rightarrow totally ord. \Rightarrow well-ord.]
- D3.31** $(A; \leq), a, b \in A$: meet: greatest lower bound of a and b , $a \wedge b$ (don't have to exist)
- D3.32** $(A; \leq)$ where every pair of elmts. has a meet and join is called a lattice
- D3.33** function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a rel. ① $\forall a \in A \exists b \in B a \rho b$ ② $\forall a, b \in A (a \rho b \wedge b \rho a \Rightarrow a = b)$ [totally defined well-defined]
- D3.34** set of all functions $A \rightarrow B$ denoted as B^A
- D3.35** partial function cond. ② doesn't hold
- D3.36** image $\text{Im}(f) = f(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f(a) | a \in A\}$; preimage $f^{-1}(B) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in A | f(a) \in B\}$
- D3.37** surjective $f: A \rightarrow B$
 $\forall b \in B \exists a \in A b = f(a)$ injective $a \neq b \Rightarrow f(a) \neq f(b)$ bijective both
- D3.40** inverse function f^{-1} exists for bijective functions (\rightarrow D3.12)
- D3.41** composition of $f: A \rightarrow B, g: B \rightarrow C, c = g \circ f(a) = g(f(a))$ Andere Reihenfolge als bei "normalen" Relationen!
- L3.12** Function composition is associative $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$ Proof: L3.5

D5.16 $\mathbb{Z}_m^* = \{a \in \mathbb{Z}_m \mid \gcd(a, m) = 1\}$

D5.17 Euler function $\psi: \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ $\psi(m) = |\mathbb{Z}_m^*|$

$m = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ $\psi(m) = \varphi(m) \cdot \varphi(n)$

$m = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 16$ $\psi(p) = p - 1$ (prime)

T5.13 $\langle \mathbb{Z}_m^*, \circ, ^{-1}, 1 \rangle$ is a group. Proof: if $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ and $\gcd(b, m) = 1$, then $\gcd(ab, m) = 1$ too.

C5.14 (Fermat/Euler) For all $m \geq 2$ and all s.t. $\gcd(a, m) = 1$: $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv_m 1$;

and for every prime p and every a not divisible by p : $a^{p-1} \equiv_p 1$

Proof: Follows from Cor. 5.10 for \mathbb{Z}_m^*

T5.15 \mathbb{Z}_m^* is cyclic $\Leftrightarrow m = 2, 4, p^e, 2p^e$ p: odd prime

$e \geq 1$

G finite mult. group $x \mapsto x^e$ bijection, unique e -th root of $y \in G$ is $x: x^e = y$, $e \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(e, |G|) = 1$ where $x = y^d$ with $e \cdot d = 1 \pmod{|G|}$ Proof: $ed = k(|G| + 1) \Rightarrow (x^e)^d = (y^d)^k \cdot x = y^d \cdot x = y$

D5.18 Ring $\langle R; +, -, 0, \cdot, 1 \rangle$: (i) $(R; +, \cdot, 1)$ is a commutative group. Ring is commut. if mult. is commut.

(ii) $a \cdot a = 0$ Proof: $0 = -(a \cdot a) + a \cdot a = -a \cdot a + a \cdot a = 0 + a \cdot a = a \cdot a$, same for $0a = 0$

(iii) $(-a)b = -ab$ Proof: too hard

(iv) If R non-trivial ($|R| > 1$), then $1 \neq 0$ Proof: Ass. $1 = 0$ $a \cdot 1 = a$ (def. NE) \Rightarrow contradiction

D5.19 characteristic of a ring: order of 1 in the additive group if finite, otherwise by def. 0 (!) commutative!

D5.20 $a, b \in R$, $a \neq 0$: a divisor of b (alb) if exists $c \in R$ s.t. $b = ac$ a divisor of b

(i) alb and b/c, then alc (transitivity) (ii) alb and alc, then al(b+c)

L5.18 (ii) If alb, then alc for all c

Proof: $b = ax, c = ay \Rightarrow b = ax + ay = a(x+y)$

D5.21 $a, b, d \in R$: d is greatest common divisor if $d \mid a \wedge d \mid b \wedge \forall c ((c \mid a) \wedge (c \mid b) \rightarrow c \mid d)$

D5.22 $a \neq 0 \in R$ is a zero divisor if $ab = 0$ for some $b \neq 0 \in R$

D5.23 $u \in R$ is a unit if u is invertible ($uv = vu = 1$ for some $v \in R$) set of units in R, den. R^*

L5.19 For a ring R , R^* is a multiplicative group. Proof: Abgeschlossenheit zeigen: $uv \in R^* \rightarrow u \in R^*$

$\exists (uv)^{-1} = v^{-1}u^{-1} \in R$, associativity inherited

D5.24 Integral domain is a non-trivial comm. ring without zero divisors: $\forall a \forall b (ab = 0 \rightarrow a = 0 \vee b = 0)$

E5.41 m prime $\Rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ is ID; If $m = ab$, then a, b are zero divisors in \mathbb{Z}_m .

L5.20 ID: if alb, then c s.t. $b = ac$ is unique ($c = b/a$ is called quotient) Proof: $b = ac = ac^1, a \neq 0$

$a \neq 0 \wedge b = a(c^1 - c) \Rightarrow a(c^1 - c) = 0$

D5.25 polynomial over a ring $R[\mathbf{x}]$ $a(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i$ $a_i \in R$ deg($a(\mathbf{x})$) greatest i with $a_i \neq 0$

Proof: Check D5.18 \rightarrow (i) by def. of +, inheritance from R

T5.21 For any ring R , $R[\mathbf{x}]$ is a ring. (ii) NE+associativity (iii) dist. law from R

L5.22 If D is an ID, then so is $D[\mathbf{x}]$; $D[\mathbf{x}]^* = D^*$ units of $D[\mathbf{x}]$ are const. polynomials that are units of D

D5.26 A field is a non-trivial comm. ring, every nonzero element is a unit ($F \setminus \{0\}; \cdot, ^{-1}, 1$) ab. group

T5.23 \mathbb{Z}_p is a field if and only if p is prime. $GF(p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$ $\setminus \{0\}$ is a

mult. group iff p prime

T5.24 A field is an integral domain. $v = Av = (v^k u)v^k = u^k \cdot 0 = 0 \Rightarrow u$ is not a zero div.

\approx prime

D5.28 $a(\mathbf{x}) \in F[\mathbf{x}]$, $\deg a(\mathbf{x}) \geq 1$ is irreducible if it is divisible by only const. multiples of $a(\mathbf{x})$

$\hookrightarrow \deg 2, 3, 4$ criterias \rightarrow PrW Script, DM script p.13

D5.29 monic polynomial $g(\mathbf{x})$ of largest deg. $g(\mathbf{x}) \mid a(\mathbf{x}) \wedge g(\mathbf{x}) \mid b(\mathbf{x})$ is the gcd($a(\mathbf{x}), b(\mathbf{x})$)

T5.25 $a(\mathbf{x})$ and $b(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0 \in F$, there exists a unique monic $q(\mathbf{x})$ (quotient) and unique $r(\mathbf{x})$ (rem)

s.t. $a(\mathbf{x}) = b(\mathbf{x}) \cdot q(\mathbf{x}) + r(\mathbf{x})$, $\deg(r(\mathbf{x})) < \deg(b(\mathbf{x}))$. Proof: existence + uniqueness (hard)

D5.30 ID: $p \in D \setminus \{0\}$, not a unit. If $p = ab$, then either a or b is a unit.

D5.33 $a(\mathbf{x}) \in R[\mathbf{x}]$, $a(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is called root of $a(\mathbf{x})$. (Nullstelle)

$\Rightarrow: \text{Ass. } a(\mathbf{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow a(\mathbf{x}) = (x - x)(x - x) + \dots + (x - x)(x - x) + r(\mathbf{x})$

$\Leftarrow: \text{Ass. } (x - x) \mid a(\mathbf{x}) \Rightarrow a(\mathbf{x}) = (x - x)(x - x) + \dots + (x - x)(x - x) + r(\mathbf{x})$

C5.29 $a(\mathbf{x})$, $\deg a(\mathbf{x}) = 2$ or 3 over F is reducible iff it has no roots.

D5.31 If α is a root of $a(\mathbf{x})$, then its multiplicity is the highest power of $(x - \alpha)$ dividing $a(\mathbf{x})$

T5.30 non-zero polynomial $a(\mathbf{x}) \in F[\mathbf{x}]$ of deg d has at most d roots (incl. multiplicities)

L5.31 polynomial interpolation $a(\mathbf{x}) \in F[\mathbf{x}]$ of deg at most is uniquely determined by any

dt+1 values of $a(\mathbf{x})$, i.e. by $a(\mathbf{x}_1), \dots, a(\mathbf{x}_{dt+1})$ for distinct $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{dt+1}$

$a(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{dt+1} a(\mathbf{x}_i) u_i(\mathbf{x})$; $u_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(x - x_1) \cdots (x - x_{i-1})(x - x_{i+1}) \cdots (x - x_{dt+1})}{(x_i - x_1) \cdots (x_i - x_{i-1})(x_i - x_{i+1}) \cdots (x_i - x_{dt+1})}$

L5.32 Congruence mod $m(\mathbf{x})$ is an equiv. rel. on $F[\mathbf{x}]$, each equiv. class has an element of deg $< \deg(m(\mathbf{x}))$

D5.35 $m(\mathbf{x})$, $\deg m(\mathbf{x})$, over F $F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})} = \{a(\mathbf{x}) \in F[\mathbf{x}] \mid \deg(a(\mathbf{x})) < d\}$

L5.33 F finite field, $|F| = q$, $m(\mathbf{x})$, $\deg m(\mathbf{x})$, over F . Then $|F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})}| = q^d$

L5.34 $F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})}$ is a ring with add./mult. mod $m(\mathbf{x})$.

L5.35 $a(\mathbf{x})b(\mathbf{x}) \equiv_{m(\mathbf{x})} 1$ has a unique solution iff $\gcd(a(\mathbf{x}), m(\mathbf{x})) = 1$ $F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})} = \{a(\mathbf{x}) \in F[\mathbf{x}] \mid \gcd(a(\mathbf{x}), m(\mathbf{x})) = 1\}$

T5.36 The ring $F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})}$ is a field iff $m(\mathbf{x})$ is irreducible $F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})} = F[\mathbf{x}]_{m(\mathbf{x})} \setminus \{0\}$

D5.36 (n,k)-encoding function, inj. func E, maps list $(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in A^k$ to a list

$(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in A^n$ of n>k encoded symbols (codeword)

D5.37 (n,k)-error-correcting code over $A = \{1, \dots, q\}$ is a subset of A^n ($A = \{0, 1\}$)

D5.38 Hamming distance number of positions at which two strings of same length differ

D5.39 minimum distance smallest Hamming dist. between any two codewords

D5.40 decoding function $D: A^n \rightarrow A^k$ decode into the most plausible information

D5.41 D is t-error correcting if $D((r_0, \dots, r_{n-1})) = (a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})$ and with Hamming dist. at most t

T5.40 A code C with min. dist. d is t-error correcting if and only if $d \geq 2t+1$

T5.41 $A = GF(q)$, $x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} \in GF(q)$, $E((a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})) = (a(x_0), \dots, a(x_{n-1}))$

$a(\mathbf{x}) = a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0$ Code C has minimal distance $n-k+1$

D6.1 A proof system $\Pi = (S, P, \tau, \phi)$ $\tau: S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$; usually $S = P = \{0, 1\}^*$

D6.2 proof system Π is sound if no false statement has a proof. $\phi(s, p) = 1 \rightarrow \tau(s) = 1$

D6.3 proof system Π is complete if every true statement has a proof. $\tau(s) = 1 \rightarrow \phi(s, p) = 1$

Logic is defined by the syntax and the semantics. Formulas are a basic concept in any logic.

D6.4 syntax of a logic defines alphabet Λ (allowed symbols) and specifies which strings $\in \Lambda^*$ are formulas (syntax, correct)

D6.5 semantics of a logic defines a func. "free" which assigns to each formula $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \Lambda^*$ a subset $\text{free}(F) \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of the indices. If $i \in \text{free}(F)$, then f_i occurs free in F . f_1, f_2, f_3

D6.6 interpretation set $\Sigma \subseteq \Lambda$, domain (possible values) for each symbol in Σ function that assigns to each symbol in Σ a value in its domain

D6.7 interpretation is suitable for a formula F if it assigns a value to all symbols free in F

D6.8 $\tau(F, A) = A(F) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, truth value of F under the suitable interpretation A .

D6.9 suitable interpretation A for which F is true is called model for F formulas $A \models M$

D6.10 F (or set M) is satisfiable if a model exists; unsatisfiable (\perp) otherwise.

D6.11 F is a tautology/valid (T) if it is true for every suitable interpretation

D6.12 G is a logical consequence of F , $F \models G$, if every model for F is a model for G too.

D6.13 equivalent $F \equiv G \Leftrightarrow F \models G$ and $G \models F$ $D6.14 F \models F$ means F is a tautology

D6.15 Syntax: If F and G are formulas, then $\neg F$, $(F \wedge G)$ and $(F \vee G)$ are formulas too.

D6.16 $A(F \wedge G) = 1$ iff. $A(F) = 1$ and $A(G) = 1$; $A(F \vee G) = 1$ iff. $A(F) = 1$ or $A(G) = 1$; $A(\neg F) = 1$ iff. $A(F) = 0$

L6.1 For any formulas F, G, H : $F \wedge F \equiv F$; $F \wedge G \equiv G \wedge F$; $(F \wedge G) \wedge H \equiv F \wedge (G \wedge H)$

$F \wedge (F \vee G) \equiv F$; $F \wedge (G \vee H) \equiv (F \wedge G) \vee (F \wedge H)$; $\neg \neg F \equiv F$; $\neg (F \wedge G) \equiv \neg F \vee \neg G$

$F \vee T \equiv T$, $F \wedge T \equiv F$, $F \vee \perp \equiv \perp$, $F \wedge \perp \equiv \perp$, $F \vee F \equiv T$ Proof: from D6.16

L6.2 F is a tautology iff $\neg F$ is unsatisfiable L6.3 The following statements are equivalent:

(1) $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\} \models G$ (2) $(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k, \neg G) \rightarrow G$ is taut. (3) $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k, \neg G\}$ is unsatisfiable

D6.17 derivation rule $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\} \vdash_R G$ (G is derived by rule R)

D6.18 logical calculus K is a finite set of derivation rules $K = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m\}$

D6.20 derivation of G from a set M in a calc. is a finite seq. of applications of rules leading to G .

$M_0 := M$, $M_i := M_{i-1} \cup \{G_i\}$ ($N \vdash_{R_i} G_i$; $N \subseteq M_{i-1}$), $M_i \vdash_{R_i} G_i$

D6.21 derivation rule R is correct if $M \vdash_R F \Rightarrow M \models F$

D6.22 calculus is sound/correct if $M \vdash_R F \Rightarrow M \models F$; complete $M \vdash F \Rightarrow M \vdash_R F$

calculus sound & complete $M \vdash_R F \Leftrightarrow M \models F$

L6.4 If $F \vdash_R G$ holds for a sound calc., then $F \models G$. $\{F_1, \dots, F_k\} \vdash_R G \Rightarrow F \models (F_1 \wedge \dots \wedge F_k) \rightarrow G$

→ D6.15

D6.23 syntax: atomic formula is of form A_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$). An atomic formula is a formula.

D6.24 semantics: \mathcal{Z} set of atomic formulas, A (truth ass.) $A : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$. $A(F) = A(A_i)$ (\rightarrow D6.16)

D6.25 literal is an atomic formula or the negation of an atomic formula.

D6.26 F is in conjunctive normal form (CNF) if $F = (L_1 \vee \dots \vee L_m) \wedge \dots \wedge (L_n \vee \dots \vee L_m)$

D6.27 F is in disjunctive normal form (DNF) if $F = (L_1 \wedge \dots \wedge L_m) \vee \dots \vee (L_n \wedge \dots \wedge L_m)$

T6.5 Every formula has equivalent formulas in CNF and DNF. Proof by existence using truth tables

D6.28 A clause is a set of literals $D6.29 F = (L_1 \vee \dots \vee L_n) \wedge \dots \wedge (L_m \vee \dots \vee L_n) \Rightarrow K(F) = \{L_1, \dots, L_n\}$

D6.30 clause K is resolvent of clauses K_1, K_2 if there is a literal $L \in K_1, \neg L \in K_2$ and $K = (K_1 \setminus \{L\}) \cup (K_2 \cup \{L\})$

L6.6 The resolution calculus is sound, if $K \vdash_{\text{res}} K$ then $K \models K$. Proof: Show $\{K_1, K_2\}_{\text{res}} \Rightarrow \{L\} \vdash K$

Let A be an arbit. D6.30 If $A(L)=1$, then A makes at least one literal in $K_1 \setminus \{L\}$ true (L false)
If $A(L)=0$, then A makes at least one literal in $K_1 \setminus \{L\}$ true (L false)
 \Rightarrow at least one literal in K is true $\Rightarrow A$ model

T6.7 set M of formulas is unsatisfiable if and only if $M(\mu)_{\text{res}} \emptyset$

D6.31 syntax pred. logic: A variable symbol is of the form x_i with $i \in \mathbb{N}$. A func. symbol is of the

form $f_i^{(k)}$ with $i, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($k = \# \text{args}$, $i=0 \rightarrow \text{const.}$). A predicate symbol is of the form $P_i^{(k)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$

where k denotes $\# \text{args}$. A term is defined inductively: variable is a term, if t_1, \dots, t_k are

terms, then $f_i^{(k)}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is a term. A formula is defined inductively: If t_1, \dots, t_k are terms, then

$P_i^{(k)}(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ is formula, called atomic formula. If F, G formulas, then $\neg F, (F \vee G), (F \wedge G)$ are forms.

If F is a formula, then, for any i , $\forall x_i F$ and $\exists x_i F$ are formulas.

D6.32 Every occurrence of a var. in any F is either free or bound. F closed if it has no free vars.

D6.33 For form F , var x , term t , $F[x \leftarrow t]$ denotes F obtained by substituting every free x by t

D6.34 interpretation/structure: $A = (U, \phi, \psi, \xi)$, U universe, assignments ϕ functions, ψ predicates, ξ vars

D6.35 A is suitable for F if it defines all functions, predicates and free variables

D3.36 semantics: value under interpretation: value $A(t)$ of term t is defined recursively:

• If t variable, then $A(t) = \xi(t)$. • If $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, then $A(t) = \phi(f)(A(t_1), \dots, A(t_k))$

truth value of formula: by D6.16 and if $F = P(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, then $A(F) = \psi(P)(A(t_1), \dots, A(t_k))$

If F of form $\forall x G$ or $\exists x G$, then let $A_{[x \leftarrow u]}$ for $u \in U$ be the same struct as A except

$\xi(x)$ is overwritten by u ($\xi(u) = u$) $A_{[x \leftarrow u]}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A_{[x \leftarrow u]}(G) = 1 \text{ for all } u \in U \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

L6.8 $\neg(\forall x F) \equiv \exists x \neg F$ $\neg(\exists x F) \equiv \forall x \neg F$ $(\forall x F) \wedge (\forall y G) \equiv \forall x \forall y F$ $(\exists x F) \vee (\exists y G) \equiv \exists x \exists y F$

$\forall x \forall y F \equiv \forall y \forall x F$ $\exists x \exists y F \equiv \exists y \exists x F$ $(\forall x F) \wedge H \equiv \forall x (F \wedge H)$ $(\exists x F) \wedge H \equiv \exists x (F \wedge H)$

$\exists x (F \wedge G) \models \exists x F \wedge \exists x G$ $\exists y \forall x F \models \forall x \exists y F$ $(\exists x F) \vee G \models \exists x (F \vee G)$

$\forall x F \vee \forall x G \models \forall x (F \vee G)$

L6.9 If a sub-formula G of F is replaced by an equiv. formula, the result is equiv to F

L6.10 bound substitution $\forall x G = \forall y G[x/y]$ $\exists x G \equiv \exists y G[x/y]$ (y may not occur in G)

D6.11 formula is rectified if no variable occurs both free and bound. Every formula can be rectified

L6.12 universal instantiation any formula F , any term t $\forall x F \models F[x/t]$

D6.13 prenex form: quantifiers \forall/\exists , G free of quantifiers $Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n G$

T6.12 For every formula, there is an equivalent formula in prenex form. rectify + L6.8

T6.13 $\exists x \forall y (P(y, x) \leftrightarrow P(y, y)) \equiv T$

C6.14 Exists no set that contains all sets S that do not contain themselves $\{S | S \notin S\}$ is not a set

C6.15 $\{0,1\}^{\infty}$ is uncountable C6.16 There are uncomputable functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$

C6.17 $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ assigning to each $y \in \mathbb{N}$ the complement of what prog. y outputs is unc.

on inp. y

Ch2

$H = F \Leftrightarrow G$ (new formula); $F \models G$ (stat. abstr. form., cf. L2.3); $A \Leftrightarrow B$ (stat. abstr. stats.)

Pigeonhole: $k \left(\lceil \frac{n}{k} \rceil - 1 \right) < k \left(\lceil \frac{n}{k} + 1 \rceil - 1 \right) = k \left(\frac{n}{k} \right) = n$

C6.18 $P(\emptyset) = \{\emptyset\}$ $P(\{\emptyset\}) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$ $P(\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}) = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{\emptyset\}\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}\}$

$A \equiv B \Leftrightarrow P(A) \equiv P(B)$ Proof: (\Rightarrow): $S \in P(A)$ arb. D3.5 $\rightarrow S \subseteq A \Rightarrow S \subseteq B$

(\Leftarrow): A, B arb. $P(A) \subseteq P(B) : A \in P(A) \Rightarrow A \in P(B)$ D3.5 $\rightarrow A \subseteq B$

$\forall A \ A \in P(A) \checkmark \quad \forall A \ A \in P(A) \times$

A set of sets $UA = \{x | x \in A \text{ for some } A \in A\}$

$\cap A = \{x | x \in A \text{ for all } A \in A\}$

cart. prod. $X_{i=1}^k A_i = \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) | a_i \in A_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\}$

not associative!

relations: symmetric \rightarrow matrix symmetric, reflexive \rightarrow diagonal full

irreflexive \rightarrow diagonal empty, antisymmetric \rightarrow komplementär zur

Diagonalen. asymmetric = irreflexiv + antisymmetric

x is half-sibling of y : $(ic \circ ip) \setminus (ic \circ im \cap ic \circ if)$

σ, ρ antisym. $\Rightarrow \sigma \cap \rho$ antisym.

all siblings siblings with same mother

and father

Prove: If A countable, C uncountable $\Rightarrow C \setminus A$ uncountable. $(C \setminus A) \cup A = C$

Assume $C \setminus A$ uncountable $\Rightarrow C \subseteq (C \setminus A) \cup A \Rightarrow C \setminus A$ (count.) $\cup A$ (count.) \Rightarrow count.

\Rightarrow contradiction: C by def. uncountable

Ch3

Prove $A \setminus (B \cup C) = (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$. Let $x \in A \setminus (B \cup C)$ arb.

$\rightarrow x \in A \wedge x \notin (B \cup C)$ (Def.) $\equiv x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in B \wedge x \in C)$ (Def.) $\equiv x \in A \wedge (x \notin B \vee x \notin C)$

$\equiv (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \in A \wedge x \notin C)$ (dist. law) $\equiv x \in (A \setminus B) \cup (A \setminus C)$ (Def. \cup)

Prove total order \rightarrow show that any two elements are comparable (e.g. case dist.)

Prove $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ countable: A_i countable for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there

exists a injective function $f_i : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Let p_i be the i -th prime number.

$\Rightarrow F : \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $F(a_i) = p_i^{f_i(a_i)}$ for $a_i \in A_i$. Injective because of uniqueness factorization

Ch.4

Fermat's little theorem $a^{p-1} \equiv_p 1$ (\rightarrow C.5.14)

CRT: $\begin{array}{l} x \equiv_{10} 6 \Leftrightarrow x \equiv_0 0 \\ x \equiv_{15} 11 \end{array}$ Lösung muss bei beiden Gleichungen gleich sein, sonst existiert keine.

Prove: $a|bc$ and $\gcd(a,b)=1 \Rightarrow a|c$ ($a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$): $\gcd=1 \Rightarrow ua+vb=1$

$ua+vb=c \quad a|ua \wedge a|vb$ (ass.) $\Rightarrow a|ua+vb \Leftrightarrow a|c$

$R_M(2^{2^{10}}) = R_M(2^{R_M(2^{10})}) = R_M(2^{R_M(2^{10})}) = R_M(2^{2^{10}}) = 2$

Find and prove: isomorphism $\psi : (\mathbb{Z}_n; \oplus) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_m; \oplus)$

$\rightarrow \psi(x) = (R_3(a), R_4(a))$. Surj: $\psi(x) = (a, b) \Rightarrow (a, b) = (R_3(x), R_4(x))$

$\Rightarrow x \equiv_3 a \wedge x \equiv_4 a \Rightarrow$ unique sol in \mathbb{Z}_n by CRT.

Inj: $\psi(a) = \psi(b) \Leftrightarrow (R_3(a), R_4(a)) = (R_3(b), R_4(b)) \Rightarrow a \equiv_3 b \wedge a \equiv_4 b \Rightarrow a \equiv_n b$

Homomorphism: $\psi(x \oplus_n y) = (R_3(x \oplus_n y), R_4(x \oplus_n y)) = (R_3(x) \oplus_3 R_3(y), R_4(x) \oplus_4 R_4(y))$

$= (R_3(x), R_4(x)) \oplus_n (R_3(y), R_4(y)) = \psi(x) \oplus_n \psi(y)$

Ch 5

Show $f: G \rightarrow G : x \mapsto ax$ is bijective.

inj: Assume $f(x) = f(x') \Leftrightarrow ax = a'x'$. Then: $x = a^{-1}x' = (a^{-1}a)x = a^{-1}(ax)$

$$\stackrel{\text{ass}}{=} \hat{a} \times (a \times x') = (\hat{a} \times a) \times x' = x'.$$

surj: $\forall b \in G \exists c (b = f(c))$. Define $c = \hat{a} \times b$, then $f(c) = a \times c = a \times \hat{a} \times b = b$

$|G| = 7 \Rightarrow$ subgroups have order 1, 7, 1 \Rightarrow prime \rightarrow cyclic \rightarrow abelian

$$a \times b = a \times c \Rightarrow b = c \quad \text{Proof: } b = exb = (\hat{a} \times a) \times b = \hat{a} \times (axb) = \hat{a} \times (axc) = \dots = c$$

Prove $(\hat{a}) = a$: $\hat{a} \times \hat{a} = e = a \times a \rightarrow$ cancellation law

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Left inv} = \text{right inv}: \hat{a} \times a &= (\hat{a} \times a) \times e = (\hat{a} \times a) \times (a \times \hat{a}) = \hat{a} \times ((a \times a) \times \hat{a}) \\ &= \hat{a} \times (e \times \hat{a}) = \hat{a} \times \hat{a} = e \end{aligned}$$

Homomorph.: $\phi(a^m) = \phi(a^n) \cdot e_n = \phi(a^n)(d(a) \cdot \hat{a}) = \phi(a^n \cdot a) \cdot \hat{a} = \phi(e) \cdot \phi(a) = e \cdot \phi(a)$

$$\text{Solve } 3036^m \equiv 1 \pmod{7105} \quad 3036 = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 23 \Rightarrow \gcd = 1 \quad m = \varphi(7105)$$

Find roots of $xy^2 + y + x + 1 \in GF(2)[x][y] \rightarrow$ try all elements for y
 $\rightarrow 1, x$ are zero divisors

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Find inverse of } x+2 \text{ in } GF(3)[x^2+2x+2] &\quad -\frac{(x^2+2x+2)+1}{(x^2+x)} : (2x+2) = 2x+2 \rightarrow x+1 \\ \text{inverse } a(x) \cdot \text{inv}(x) &= k \cdot m(x) + 1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \\ x \quad x+1 \quad x+2 \\ 2x \quad 2x+1 \quad 2x+2 \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ring } \langle \mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0, \cdot, 1 \rangle &\quad \text{Prove } a+a=0: \\ (a+a) &= (a+a) \cdot (a+a) \\ a+a &= aa+aa+a+a \\ a+a &= a+a+a+a \\ 0 &= a+a \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prove } P \text{ commutative:} \\ (a+b) &= (a+b)^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 \\ 0 &= ab + ba \\ ab &= ba \\ ab &= ba \end{aligned}$$

Is there a subgroup of order 9 of $GF(81)^*$? No, $|GF(81)^*| = 80 \rightarrow 9 \nmid 80$

$$|\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_m| = \text{lcm}(n, m)$$

zero divs in $\mathbb{Z}_m = m - \varphi(m) - 1$ (gilt nicht für fields)

Monoids $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, 0 \rangle, \langle \mathbb{Q}; \cdot, 1 \rangle, \langle \mathbb{Z}; \cdot, 0 \rangle, \langle \mathbb{Z}; \cdot, 1 \rangle$

Groups $\langle \mathbb{Z}; +, 0 \rangle, \langle \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}; \cdot, 1 \rangle, \langle \mathbb{Z}; \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle, \mathbb{R}^*$ (for Ring \mathbb{R})

Rings $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}[x]$

ID $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{prime}}, \mathbb{D}[x]$

Field $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, GF(p) = \mathbb{Z}_p, GF(p)[x]_{\text{max}}$

Ch 6

Prove $(\exists x F) \vee G \models \exists x(F \vee G)$: Let A be a suitable interpretation such that

$$A(\exists x F \vee G) = 1. \text{ By the semantics of } \vee, \text{ we have } A(\exists x F) = 1 \text{ or } A(G) = 1.$$

Using def. of \exists : $A_{[x \mapsto u]}(F) = 1$ for some u or $A(G) = 1$. \Rightarrow case distinction:

$$\textcircled{1} A_{[x \mapsto u]}(F) = 1 \text{ for some } u \Rightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(F \vee G) = 1 \text{ for some } u \quad (F \models F \vee G)$$

$$\Rightarrow A(\exists x(F \vee G)) = 1 \quad \textcircled{2} A(G) = 1: \Rightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(G) = 1 \text{ for } u = x^A$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(G) = 1 \text{ for some } u \Rightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(F \vee G) = 1 \text{ for some } u \quad (F \models F \vee G) \Rightarrow A(\exists x(F \vee G)) = 1$$

Derivation rules: $\neg F \vdash F, F \vdash G \vdash G, \neg(F \vee G) \vdash \neg F \wedge \neg G, F \wedge G \vdash F$

$$F \wedge G \vdash G, F \vdash G \vee F, \{F, F \rightarrow G\} \vdash G, \{F \vee G, F \rightarrow H, G \rightarrow H\} \vdash H$$

A B		$B \wedge (\neg B \rightarrow A)$	DNF	$(\neg A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge B)$
0	0	0		
0	1	0		
1	0	1		
1	1	1	$(A \vee B) \wedge (\neg A \vee B)$	$(\neg A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge B)$

$$\text{Equiv. rel: } F_1 = \forall x P(x, x) \quad F_2 = \forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow P(y, x))$$

$$F_3 = \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y) \wedge P(y, z) \rightarrow P(x, z)) \quad \{F_1, F_2, F_3\} \vdash G$$

$$\text{Monoid } \forall x \forall y \forall z ((f(x, e) = f(e, x) = x) \wedge (f(x, f(y, z)) = f(f(x, y), z)) = f(f(x, y), z))$$

$$\text{Group } \exists e \forall x (\forall y \forall z (f(x, f(y, z)) = f(f(x, y), z)) \wedge f(x, e) = x \wedge (\exists y (f(x, y) = f(y, x)) = e))$$

$\{F_1, F_2\}$ satisfiable $\Leftrightarrow F_1$ satisfiable and F_2 satisfiable

⚠ Never rename free variables

Irreducible polynomials: $GF(2)[x]: M1, 10M1, 100M1, 101001, 10101M1$

$GF(3)[x]: 101, 122, 1021, 1121, 10121, 11222 \quad GF(4)[x]: 112, 131, M01, 1213$

$GF(5)[x]: 102, 103, M1, 112, 123, 124, 1024, M02, M141, 11444 \quad GF(7)[x]: 102, M3, 112, 1146$

$$1021 = x^3 + 2x + 1$$

Lemma: $\forall x \exists y (P(x, f(y))) \vee \neg P(x, y))$ is tautology

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x (P(x, f(x)) \vee \exists y \neg P(x, y))$$

Proof: Let A be an arbitrary suitable interpretation.

$$\text{To show: } A(\cdot) = 1 \Leftrightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(P(x, f(x)) \vee \exists y \neg P(x, y)) \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(P(x, f(x))) = 1 \text{ or } A_{[x \mapsto u]}(\exists y \neg P(x, y)) = 1 \text{ for all } u \in U.$$

case distinction for a fixed u :

$$\textcircled{1} P^A(u, f^A(u)) = 1 \Rightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(P(x, f(x))) = 1.$$

$$\textcircled{2} P^A(u, f^A(u)) = 0 \Rightarrow A_{[x \mapsto u]}(\exists y \neg P(x, y)) = 1 \text{ for a } v$$

$$v = f(u) \Leftrightarrow \neg P^A(u, f(u)) = 1$$

NAND

$$\neg F = F \text{ NAND } F$$

$$F \wedge G = (F \text{ NAND } F) \text{ NAND } (G \text{ NAND } G)$$

$$F \vee G = (F \text{ NAND } G) \text{ NAND } (G \text{ NAND } G)$$

→ L5.31

Lagrange interpolation: $f(0) = 1, f(1) = 3, f(3) = 2 \Rightarrow \deg = 2$

$$U_0 = \frac{(x-1)(x-3)}{(0-1)(0-3)}, \quad U_1 = \frac{(x-0)(x-3)}{(1-0)(1-3)}, \quad U_2 = \frac{(x-0)(x-1)}{(3-0)(3-1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow a(x) = 1 \cdot U_0(x) + 3 \cdot U_1(x) + 2 \cdot U_2(x)$$