HUL315

ASSIGNMENT 3

Answer 1.

The alcohol prohibition is a good move in India. Many factors can be studied while focusing on it as its also a great revenue source.

Answer 2.

Part a-

Using R Studio to perform pooled OLS estimate to trmgpa as the dependent variable and spring, sat, hsperc, female, black, white, frstsem, tothrs, crsgpa, and season as explanatory variable.

Using the following code-



This gives the following summary-

```
> summary(model1)
call:
lm(formula = function1, data = D)
Residuals:
            1Q
                 Median
-1.84899 -0.33132 0.01915 0.38002 1.57924
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -1.7528474 0.3479049 -5.038 5.94e-07 ***
         -0.0580066 0.0480368 -1.208
           0.0016984 0.0001494 11.367
sat
                                       < 2e-16
          -0.0086610 0.0010363 -8.358 3.28e-16 ***
hsperc
                                6.758 2.89e-11 ***
female
          0.3504013 0.0518524
black
           -0.2541495 0.1229216
                               -2.068
                                         0.039 *
          -0.0233146 0.1173954 -0.199
white
                                         0.843
          0.649
frstsem
tothrs
                                         0.641
           1.0478655 0.1041144 10.065 < 2e-16 ***
crsgpa
           -0.0272904 0.0490460 -0.556
season
                                         0.578
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.5519 on 721 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.4776, Adjusted R-squared: 0.4703
F-statistic: 65.91 on 10 and 721 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

This gives the equation-

$$trmgpa = -1.75 - 0.058 spring + 0.0017 sat - 0.0087 hsperc \\ + 0.350 female - 0.254 black - 0.023 white - 0.035 frst sem \\ - 0.0003 to thrs + 1.048 crsgps - 0.027 season$$

And
$$R^2 = 0.4776$$
, $\overline{R}^2 = 0.4703$ and $n = 732$

This clearly shows that the **coefficient of season** is -0.027, which indicates that the GPA changes by -0.027 which change in season, keeping the other variables constant.

Now finding the statistical significance of this coefficient-

The t-statistic for season is-

$$t = -0.556$$

The p-vale for season is-

$$p - value = 0.578$$

Now as p-value > t, this shows that the coefficient of season is statistically insignificant.

Part b-

The ability levels are not included, and it's correlated with the variable season. Now as in the fall only football is played, here the variable season is negatively correlated with the negative term.

Using the code-



Gives the summary -

```
> summary(model2)
lm(formula = function1, data = D2)
Residuals:
Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-1.66315 -0.34265 0.03271 0.40701 1.58943
Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -1.569e+00 5.258e-01 -2.984 0.00304 **
spring
                            NA
                                           NA
                                                       NA
                 1.637e-03 2.161e-04
                                                   7.576 3.11e-13 ***
sat
                -8.523e-03 1.494e-03 -5.706 2.44e-08 ***
3.242e-01 7.204e-02 4.501 9.19e-06 ***
-2.399e-01 1.769e-01 -1.356 0.17603
hsperc
female
black 
white
                 -4.794e-02
                                 1.686e-01
                                                 -0.284
                -2.017e-02 9.602e-02 -0.210 0.83376
-2.057e-05 1.214e-03 -0.017 0.98650
1.028e+00 1.540e-01 6.677 9.37e-11
frstsem
tothrs
                1.028e+00 1.540e-01 6.677 9.37e-11
-1.156e-01 8.392e-02 -1.378 0.16906
crsqpa
season
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.5611 on 356 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.447, Adjusted R-squared: 0.435 F-statistic: 31.97 on 9 and 356 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
                                            Adjusted R-squared: 0.433
```

And in the spring season, the ability levels and season have a positive correlation. This is done using the code

```
# Loading Libraries
library(readxl)
# Creating Dataframe
columns <-
c("term","sat","tothrs","cumgpa","season","frstsem","crsgpa","verbmath","trmgpa","hssize","hsrank","id","spring","f
emale","black","white","ctrmgpa","ctothrs","ccrsgpa","ccrspop","cseason","hsperc","football")
D <- read excel("C:/Users/ROHAN SHARMA/Downloads/GPA3.xls",col names=FALSE)
colnames(D) <- columns
# Part a
#Defing functions
function1 <- trmgpa~spring+sat+hsperc+female+black+white+frstsem+tothrs+crsgpa+season
#For the spring semester
D3 = subset(D, term == 2)
model3 = Im(formula = function1,data = D3)
summary(model3)
```

Gives the summary-

Now due to this not included variable ability levels, which is correlated with the variable season, the model suffers a problem of biased estimators of pooled OLS.

Part c-

On the glance, the variable like sat, hsperc, female, black, white can be dropped from the model, as they are not affected by the variable semester.

Using the following code to find out the summary-

```
# Loading Libraries
library(readxl)
# Creating Dataframe
columns <-
c("term", "sat", "tothrs", "cumgpa", "season", "frstsem", "crsgpa", "verbmath", "trmgpa", "hssize", "hsrank", "id", "sprin
g", "female", "black", "white", "ctrmgpa", "ctothrs", "ccrsgpa", "ccrspop", "cseason", "hsperc", "football")
D <- read_excel("C:/Users/ROHAN SHARMA/Downloads/GPA3.xls",col_names=FALSE)
colnames(D) <- columns
#Part c
#Defining functions
deltatrmgpa <- diff(D$trmgpa)</pre>
deltafrstsem <- diff(D$frstsem)</pre>
deltatothrs <- diff(D$tothrs)</pre>
deltacrsgpa <- diff(D$crsgpa)</pre>
deltaseason <- diff(D$season)</pre>
deltatrmgpa <- c(deltatrmgpa[seq(length(deltafrstsem))%%2 == 1])</pre>
deltafrstsem <- c(deltafrstsem[seq(length(deltafrstsem))%%2 == 1])</pre>
deltatothrs <- c(deltatothrs[seq(length(deltafrstsem))%%2 == 1])</pre>
deltacrsgpa <- c(deltacrsgpa[seq(length(deltafrstsem))%%2 == 1])</pre>
deltaseason <- c(deltaseason[seq(length(deltafrstsem))%%2 == 1])</pre>
function2 <- deltatrmgpa~deltatothrs+deltacrsgpa+deltafrstsem+deltaseason
D4 = data.frame(deltatrmgpa,deltafrstsem,deltatothrs,deltacrsgpa,deltaseason)
#Extracting summary
model4 = Im(formula = function2,data = D4)
summary(model4)
```

The summary is-

```
> summary(model4)
lm(formula = function2, data = D4)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q
                  Median
                              3Q
-2.46328 -0.33017 0.01223 0.36800 2.04326
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
0.252
                                        0.399
                                        <2e-16 ***
                                         0.782
                                        0.130
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.5784 on 361 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.208,
                            Adjusted R-squared: 0.1992
F-statistic: 23.7 on 4 and 361 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
\Delta trmgpa = -0.237 + 0.019 \Delta tothrs + 1.136 \Delta crsgpa - 0.065 \Delta season
```

This gives $R^2 = 0.208$ and n = 366

Now the coefficient of $\Delta season$ is -0.065, and the t-statistic is t=-1.517, and the p-value is p-value=0.130.

Part d-

One of the major factors excluded here the academic load. Now as we know that no of courses per semester may vary college to college, it should be considered. This is directly connected, as can be seen in our college to, athletes with less number of course load may score higher GPA.