

Peer-review

Workshop 1, Roy Nilsson rn222cx

The model is easy to understand. It looks clean and is readable. It describes the problem in a good way. You got most parts of the concept and their relations in to the model. One thing i think you missed is the calendar and how it relates to the other classes. It's hard to understand the meaning of it when it just floats above the other classes without and associations or description.

The rules of attributes is specified. You still understand the attributes but it's important to specify. The relation between berth and booking I think is "wrong". Must a berth be booked for it to exist? What if it's empty? Then the relation 1.1 seems wrong. I would put 0.1.

For a developer this model is a good complement for the requirements. The important classes and relations are stated.

I think the model pass the grade.

Source:

Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062:

9.14 Associations