

Dewlap color variation in *Anolis sagrei* is maintained between habitats within islands of the West Indies

RAPHAËL SCHERRER^{1,3} *, COLIN M. DONIHUE^{1,4},
R. GRAHAM REYNOLDS², JONATHAN B. LOSOS^{1,4} AND ANTHONY J. GENEVA¹

¹ Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology and Museum of Comparative Zoology
Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

² Department of Biology, University of North Carolina Asheville, Asheville, NC, USA

³ Current address: Groningen Institute for Evolutionary Life Sciences,
Groningen, The Netherlands

⁴ Current address: Department of Biology, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA

Abstract

Animal signals evolve in an ecological context. Moreover, locally adapting animal sexual signals can be especially important for initiating or reinforcing reproductive isolation during the early stages of speciation. Dewlap color in *Anolis* lizards can be highly variable between populations in relation to both biotic and abiotic adaptive drivers, albeit at relatively large geographical scales. Here, we investigated local adaptation of the dewlap across habitat-types at a small spatial scale, as this may give an indication of how conditions for the early stages of speciation may be met. We explored variation in dewlap coloration in one widespread species, *Anolis sagrei*, across three characteristic habitats spanning the Bahamas and the Cayman Islands. Using reflectance spectrometry as well as supervised machine learning, we found some consistent differences in spectral properties of the dewlap between habitats within small islands. Passive divergence in dewlap phenotype associated with isolation-by-distance did not explain our results. Instead, the observed patterns in dewlap coloration are more consistent with an adaptive explanation in these *A. sagrei* populations, as one would otherwise expect differences within islands to be erased by gene flow at such small geographical scales. Although these habitat-specific dewlap differences vary in magnitude and direction across islands, and islands themselves differ substantially, we found a suite of consistent archipelago-wide differences between habitat types, suggesting parallel responses to similar selective pressures. While at present, populations from these different habitats probably experience too much gene flow to follow distinct evolutionary lineages, should additional barriers arise between habitat-specific populations, the observed disruptive selection on dewlap coloration may facilitate ecological speciation.

Keywords — *Anolis*, reflectance, local adaptation, sexual signal, supervised machine learning

Introduction

The staggering diversity of animal communication signals has long been of interest to evolutionary biologists. Animals use chemical, mechanical, electromagnetic, and visual signals to communicate in a wide variety of contexts, including competition for mates, species recognition, aposematism, cooperation, etc. (Bradbury and Vehrenamp, 2011). A primary evolutionary factor shaping communication signals is the sensory system and behavior of their recipient(s) (the sensory drive hypothesis; Endler and McLellan 1988; Endler 1992, 1998). Over the past decades, scientists have established that signals evolve in an ecological context and are dependent on environmental conditions (Endler, 1992, 1993a,b). Just as different habitats may favor different combinations of eco-morphological traits to maximize performance and fitness (Arnold, 1983), they may also shape different forms of a signal, so as to maximize its transmission and detection (e.g. Seehausen 1997), or reduce its detection by unintended recipients such as predators (Endler, 1984, 1990, 1991;

*Corresponding author: r.scherrer@rug.nl

39 Halfwerk et al., 2014). This selective pressure may drive the local adaptation of communication
40 signals.

41 One potential barrier to the maintenance of localized signal divergence is the homogenizing
42 effect of gene flow. Population genetics theory suggests that gene flow may counteract local
43 adaptation between localities and prevent divergence altogether, especially at small spatial scales,
44 because of the inflow of maladapted alleles or because of the breaking of linkage between coevolving
45 loci (Felsenstein, 1976; García-Ramos and Kirkpatrick, 1997; Dieckmann and Doebeli, 1999;
46 Lenormand, 2002; Hendry et al., 2007a). This has been confirmed empirically in systems such as
47 stick-insects (Nosil and Crespi, 2004) and sticklebacks (Hendry et al., 2007b). Yet, examples of
48 microgeographic adaptation, i.e. adaptation at smaller scales than the range of dispersal, exist,
49 highlighting a high potential of some organisms to respond to selection in the face of gene flow
50 (see Richardson et al. 2014 and references therein). Examples include small scale adaptation in
51 fragmented areas in Australian fruit flies (Willi and Hoffmann, 2012), or local adaptation to pre-
52 dation pressure in North American salamanders (Richardson and Urban, 2013). Therefore, despite
53 evidence that local adaptation may be particularly difficult at small spatial scales where gene flow
54 tends to cause adjoining populations to remain genetically homogeneous, the potential adaptive
55 response of species traits, in particular communication signals, to localized differences in habitats
56 remains relatively unknown (Richardson et al., 2014).

57 Lizards of the neotropical genus *Anolis* are a model system for studying the eco-evolutionary
58 dynamics of local adaptation and natural selection (Losos, 2009). A particularly conspicuous trait
59 of anoles is their dewlap; an extensible flap of skin that is typically sexually dimorphic and used
60 as a communication signal in courtship (Sigmund, 1983; Driessens et al., 2014, 2015), competi-
61 tion (Losos, 1985; Macedonia and Stamps, 1994; Macedonia et al., 2013) as well as in predator
62 deterrence (Leal and Rodríguez-Robles, 1995, 1997; Leal and Rodriguez-Robles, 1997). Dewlap
63 characteristics vary widely among the approximately 400 species of the genus (Nicholson et al.,
64 2007). Interspecific variation in dewlap coloration is implicated in species recognition (Rand and
65 Williams, 1970; Williams, 1969; Williams and Rand, 1977; Losos, 1985; Macedonia and Stamps,
66 1994; Fleishman, 2000; Macedonia et al., 2013), and possibly involved in speciation (Lambert et al.,
67 2013; Geneva et al., 2015; Ng et al., 2017).

68 Within species, studies have shown a link between variation in dewlap coloration and differ-
69 ences in habitats or climatic conditions (Macedonia, 2001; Leal and Fleishman, 2002; Thorpe and
70 Stenson, 2002; Thorpe, 2002; Leal and Fleishman, 2004; Vanhooydonck et al., 2009; Ng et al.,
71 2012, 2013, 2016; Vanhooydonck et al., 2009; Driessens et al., 2017). Some studies suggest that
72 those differences may be adaptive, and that dewlaps may have evolved to maximize detectability
73 given local light conditions (Fleishman and Persons, 2001; Leal and Fleishman, 2002, 2004). Other
74 studies testing this hypothesis, however, found no pattern (Fleishman et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2012;
75 Macedonia et al., 2014).

76 Previous studies investigating variation in anole dewlaps compared populations at relatively
77 large geographical scales, e.g. between islands (Vanhooydonck et al., 2009; Driessens et al., 2017)
78 or within large islands such as Puerto Rico (Leal and Fleishman, 2002, 2004) or Hispaniola (Ng
79 et al., 2012, 2016). These large scales should reduce gene flow (Ng and Glor, 2011; Lambert et al.,
80 2013; Richardson et al., 2014; Ng et al., 2017). That said, examples do exist of divergence in
81 dewlap coloration at smaller scales or between populations with high degrees of gene flow (Thorpe
82 and Stenson, 2002; Thorpe, 2002; Stapley et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2016).

83 The species *Anolis sagrei* is widespread across islands of the West Indies (Reynolds et al.,
84 2020). It is a model organism in studies of local adaptation (Losos et al., 1994, 1997, 2001; Kolbe
85 et al., 2012), biological invasion (Kolbe et al., 2008) and sexual selection (Tokarz, 2002; Tokarz
86 et al., 2005; Tokarz, 2006; Driessens et al., 2014; Steffen and Guyer, 2014; Driessens et al., 2015).
87 Between-island variation in the mainly orange-red color of its dewlap was shown to be better ex-
88 plained by climatic variables (Driessens et al., 2017) than biotic factors such as sexual selection or
89 predation pressure (Vanhooydonck et al., 2009; Baeckens et al., 2018). How intra-island differences
90 in habitat may contribute to the diversity of dewlap coloration, however, remains unexplored, and
91 may reveal new insights into the scale of local adaptation despite gene flow.

The island bank systems of the Bahamas and Cayman Islands comprise relatively small islands, with no major geographic barriers within islands limiting dispersal for this promiscuous species (Kamath and Losos, 2018). These islands all share three characteristic native West Indian habitat-types – beach scrub bush, closed-canopy primary coppice forest, and mangrove forest – that are often spatially intermingled. These habitats contrast in environmental parameters including vegetation community, light irradiance, humidity and temperature (Howard, 1950; Schoener, 1968). Each of these islands has been colonized independently by *A. sagrei* (Driessens et al. 2017; Reynolds et al. 2020?, van de Schoot et al. unpubl.), such that these archipelagos constitute an ideal suite of natural replicates to explore within-island dewlap diversity across multiple islands.

Here, we analyzed the color characteristics *A. sagrei* dewlaps within nine islands in the Bahamas and Cayman Islands, combining reflectance spectrometry and supervised machine learning. Our sampling design included sites in close proximity (the median distance between two sites within an island was 11.2km). We tested the hypothesis that the spatial scale was too small for phenotypic divergence to build up. If this was not the case, we predicted that if light conditions in the environment indeed drive color evolution, dewlaps should be most similar between beach scrub and mangrove forest, which both have high levels of light irradiance, contrary to the darker, closed-canopy coppice forest. Similar, if detectability is maximized given the local conditions, we expected darker and more contrasting dewlaps in high irradiance habitats. Finally, if habitat characteristics are strong determinants of dewlap color variation, similar patterns should be observed across multiple islands (Losos, 2011). We found strong support for fine-scale, within-island differences in coloration between lizards inhabiting the three habitat-types in several color space dimensions, suggesting a potentially strong effect of divergent selection. However, the divergence patterns we observed did not match our expectations and were highly variable between islands. We found no evidence of isolation-by-distance as an explanation for the observed differences. Our results are nevertheless consistent with small-scale adaptive maintenance of signal polymorphism despite presumed considerable opportunity for gene flow.

Methods

Data collection

We sampled 466 lizards from seven islands in the Bahamas Archipelago – Abaco, North Andros, South Andros, South Bimini, Eleuthera, Long Island, Ragged Island – and two in the Cayman Islands – Cayman Brac and Little Cayman (Figure 1). These islands and island banks were chosen to span the West Indian range of *Anolis sagrei*. Three habitats were sampled on each island based on characterizations by Howard (1950) and Schoener (1968). Each habitat is clearly distinguishable by their dominant vegetation type — xeric coastal scrub (open, relatively dry habitat consisting of low vegetation or isolated trees), primary coppice forest (closed-canopy forest) and mangrove forest (wet coastal habitat with trees growing in brackish water and high light penetration). Sample sizes are given in Table S1. Our sampling design enabled us to test for differences between habitats at a coarse and fine geographical scale. The median distance between two localities within an island was 11.18km, with some islands being sampled at smaller or larger scales (Figure S1, Table ??). 80.3% of all pairwise distances within islands were below 50km. Additionally, there are no major barriers to dispersal (such as mountains) on any of the islands that we sampled.

Reflectance measurements

We measured reflectance between 300 and 700nm wavelength, a range that encompasses the colors visible to most lizards and vertebrates in general (Lazareva et al., 2012). Measurements were taken with an Ocean Optics USB4000 spectrometer, a pulsed Xenon light source (PX-2, Ocean Optics, Largo, FL, USA) and a reflectance probe protected by a black anodized aluminum sheath. Measurements were taken with a 45-degree inclination to prevent specular reflection (Endler, 1990). The device was regularly standardized with a Spectralon white standard (Labsphere, North Sutton, NH, USA). Reflectance was measured at the center of the dewlap.

148 **Analysis**

149 All analyses in this study were performed in R 3.6.1 (Team, 2019).

150 **Dimensionality reduction**

151 Reflectance curves were smoothed using the R package pavo (Maia et al., 2013) as well as
152 custom R functions (where are those?) to results into one reflectance value at each nanometer in
153 wavelength from 300 to 700nm. Because neighboring wavelengths are highly collinear in reflectance,
154 we reduced the dimensionality of the data using principal component analysis (PCA), as per Cuthill
155 et al. (1999); Leal and Fleishman (2002). We performed PCA on each island separately and
156 systematically retained the first four principal components (PC), which together always explained
157 more than 88.8% of the variance across islands (Table S3). PC1 explained between 40 and 56% of
158 the variance across islands; PC2 explained 17.4–27.9%; PC3 12.7–17.6% and PC4 4.3–10.5%. The
159 first four PCs explained similar proportions of variance when calculated for all islands together
160 (Table S3). PCs need not represent the same wavelengths across islands because they are fitted on
161 different datasets. Nevertheless, PC1 was very collinear with brightness for all islands (Figure...,
162 Table S4). PC2 correlated highly with the red and ultraviolet ends of the spectrum, which were
163 inversely correlated with each other (Fig. ??A). Higher PCs corresponded to various combinations
164 of wavelengths. Because PC1 correlated uniformly with all wavelengths across the spectrum we
165 considered PC2 onwards to capture the chromatic dimensions of color space, i.e. the relative
166 contributions of the wavelengths regardless of brightness.

167 **Pooled analyses**

168 In addition to within-island PCA, we performed a PCA on pooled data from the whole archipelago.
169 Explain what wavelengths mapped onto what PCs (Table suptab:pcavariances). We used this
170 dataset to partition the variance in dewlap coloration among islands, habitats and habitats within
171 islands, using a two-way multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with an interaction term.
172 However, because the assumptions of parametric MANOVA were violated for all islands but Ragged
173 Island (multivariate normality and homogeneity of covariance matrices, Tables suptab:multinorm,
174 suptab:covariance), we used a semi-parametric MANOVA instead (R package MANOVA.RM, citation),
175 with P-values calculated from a bootstrap procedure with 1,000 iterations. We calculated the
176 proportion of variance explained by islands, habitats and the habitat-by-island interaction using
177 partial effect sizes η^2 on a MANOVA-approximation of the analysis (R package heplots).

178 **Machine learning**

179 Our data violated the multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) assumption of homogeneity
180 of covariance matrices across groups for all islands but Ragged Island (see previous section, Box
181 1949; Morrison 1988, implemented in the R package heplots, Fox et al. 2018, Table ??). We also
182 detected within-habitat deviations from multivariate normality, primarily on Abaco, Bimini and
183 Eleuthera (Henze-Zirkler's test, Henze and Zirkler 1990, implemented in the R package MVN,
184 Korkmaz et al. 2014, Table S5). For these reasons and to reduce the chances of false discovery, we
185 conducted multivariate group comparisons using support vector machines (SVMs), a model-free,
186 nonparametric supervised machine learning technique.

187 Machine learning for group comparison has become more popular in ecology and evolution in
188 the recent years (e.g. Pigot et al. (2020)). In particular, SVMs are designed to find the best pos-
189 sible nonlinear boundaries between labelled groups of points in multidimensional spaces, without
190 assumptions about the distribution of the data (Cortes and Vapnik, 1995; Cristianini and Shawe-
191 Taylor, 2000; Kim and von Oertzen, 2018). This makes them well suited to field biological data,
192 which often violate the assumptions of classical linear modeling (Kim and von Oertzen, 2018) and
193 can be, as in the case of coloration, inherently highly multivariate (Cuthill et al., 1999). First,
194 a machine is trained to recognize differences between groups within a subset of the data called
195 the training set. Significance of differences is then assessed by testing the accuracy of that fitted
196 machine in predicting the group-labels of data points that were not included in the training, called
197 a testing set, based solely on their multivariate coordinates. This cross-validation procedure re-
198 sults in a proportion of correctly classified points, or generalization accuracy score, which can be

compared to that expected under random guessing using a binomial test.

In this study, we performed SVM classifications on each island separately. We used a standard five-fold cross-validation procedure, where the data were randomly split into five bins of approximately equal sizes. Each bin was in turn taken as the testing set while the rest was used as a training set, thus resulting in five trained machines per cross-validation. We replicated this procedure 100 times for each island to account for stochastic outcomes. We performed binomial tests to evaluate the significance of deviations in observed mean generalization accuracy per island to null expectations under random guessing. Each training data set was downsampled to the size of its least represented habitat to ensure balanced training samples. We ensured that each habitat was represented by at least five data points in the training set.

All classification analyses were repeated using the more classical linear discriminant analysis (LDA), a supervised machine learning technique finding linear boundaries that maximize the differences between groups, albeit assuming multivariate normality and homogeneity of covariance matrices (Ripley, 1996). We used the R package rminer (Cortez, 2010, 2016) for SVMs, and MASS (citation) for LDAs. We used rminer's default heuristic search option to automatically tune the Gaussian kernel parameter σ and the complexity parameter C for the SVMs.

The same procedure was repeated on principal components from the whole archipelago (see Pooled analyses) to evaluate the significance of archipelago-wide differences in dewlap coloration across habitats.

All machine learning classifications performed on principal components were also repeated on the original reflectance datasets reduced to 50-nm spaced wavelengths from 300 to 700nm.

We conducted one-dimensional sensitivity analyses (R pacakge rminer) to determine the relative importance of the different input variables during classification where significant differences were detected, both on machines trained on principal components and machines trained on non-transformed reflectance at various wavelengths. In parallel, we conducted univariate analyses of variance to independently test the importance of different variables in between-habitat variation, on islands where the machines detected significant differences based on binomial tests (next section).

Univariate analyses

For each island where significant differences in multivariate dewlap coloration were detected between habitats, we used multiple univariate analyses of variance (ANOVA) to identify which variables were responsible for the observed differences. We constructed our ANOVA models in two steps, as per Zuur (2009). In a first step, we accounted for heterogeneity of variances across groups by systematically comparing the goodness-of-fit of an ANOVA model estimated with ordinary least squares (OLS) with that of a model estimated with generalized least squares (GLS), which allowed one estimate of residual variance per habitat (using the R package nlme, Pinheiro and Bates 2000, Pinheiro et al. 2020). Both models were fitted with restricted maximum likelihood (REML). Goodness-of-fit was estimated using Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small sample sizes (AICc, R package MuMIn, Bartoń 2019), and the estimation method yielding the lowest AICc was retained. In a second step, we re-fitted the retained model with maximum likelihood (ML) to test for the effect of habitat-type using likelihood ratio tests (LRT) between a model including a habitat-term and a null model lacking the habitat-term.

We tested the assumptions of the parametric ANOVA for each island included in the univariate analyses. For all islands where deviations from multivariate normality were detected in at least one habitat (Table multinorm), we assessed univariate normality for each principal component (Shapiro's test, Table normality). For skewed PCs that deviated significantly from normality, we repeated the analysis using a nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. We found no multivariate outliers based on the Mahalanobis distance (package). We used the cases of better fit of the GLS model relative to the OLS model as evidence for heterogeneity of variances, which were then accounted for by the GLS approach (Table anova).

256 Significant *post hoc* contrasts were assessed using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD)
257 test whenever the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances was met, Dunnett's T3
258 method when only homogeneity of variances was violated but not normality, and Nemenyi's test
259 when normality was violated.

260

261 We used the same procedure to investigate which variables, if any, were involved in archipelago-
262 wide multivariate differences between habitats detected in our two-way MANOVA design (see
263 Pooled analyses). However, in the first step of our model comparison procedure, we added mixed-
264 effect equivalents of our OLS and GLS models, this time with island as a random effect. The
265 resulting four models were compared and the best fitting variance structure was retained as ex-
266 plained above.

267 Spatial autocorrelation

268 We tested for within-island spatial autocorrelation between the geographical distances among sam-
269 pling sites and their euclidean distances in multivariate color space (mean PC1 to PC4 per site,
270 Table ??), regardless of habitat-type. Because often only a few sites were sampled per island, we
271 could not get meaningful results from tests that use sites as units of observation, such as Moran's
272 I test. Instead, we designed a permutation test where we randomly reshuffled individual lizards
273 across sites within islands 1,000 times each, and systematically recalculated Pearson's correlation
274 coefficient between geographic distances (computed as geodesic distances using the R package
275 *geosphere*, [Hijmans 2019](#)) and phenotypic distances. We used the resulting null distributions of
276 correlation coefficients to assess the significance of the observed spatial autocorrelation for each
277 island.

278 Site differences

279 In this study, we were interested in the minimum spatial scale at which significant differences
280 between habitats could be detected within islands. We performed multiple pairwise nonparametric
281 Wilcoxon tests to compare dewlap coloration between sites with different habitat-types, for each
282 pair of habitats and each variable where significant differences were detected with our analyses of
283 variances.

284 Results

285 We tested for variation in *A. sagrei* dewlap coloration between populations living in three charac-
286 teristic habitat types across nine islands that span the West Indian range of the brown anole (Fig
287 1, [S1](#)). We found that most of the variation in coloration partitioned between islands (two-way
288 semi-parametric MANOVA, modified ANOVA-type statistic (MATS) = 2009.6, $P < 0.001$, Fig.
289 [S4](#), explained variance $\eta^2 = 44.3\%$, MANOVA approximation). Nonetheless, we did find evidence
290 for differences in dewlap coloration between habitat-types, and those were mostly island-specific
291 (habitat-by-island interaction term, MATS = 384.4, $P < 0.001$, explained variance $\eta^2 = 11.4\%$),
292 leaving a small but significant portion of the variation explained by an archipelago-wide habitat
293 effect (MATS = 42.5, $P = 0.001$, $\eta^2 = 4.8\%$).

294

295 The small archipelago-wide effect of habitat-type was detected for PC1, PC2 and PC3 (mixed-
296 effect ANOVA with island as a random effect, Table [S8](#)), but this effect was too small for post hoc
297 tests to find which habitats differed. Archipelago-wide differences in dewlap coloration between
298 habitats were also detected by SVMs trained on pooled data regardless of island identity, both for
299 PCA data and reflectance scores (Fig. [S5](#), [S6](#)). This pattern seemed to be driven by mangrove
300 lizards being correctly reassigned more often than predicted by chance. Sensitivity analyses on
301 these machines suggest a relatively small role of long wavelengths (red reflectance) in driving this
302 pattern (Fig. [S8](#)), but did not reveal strong differences between the PCs in relative importance
303 (Fig. [S7](#)). Archipelago-wide differences were not detected by LDA classifiers at all (Fig. [S9](#), [S10](#)).
304 So the difference could be in something else than means.

305

306 Within islands, SVM classifiers correctly assigned individuals to their habitat of origin based
307 solely upon dewlap coloration on five islands: Abaco, Bimini, Cayman Brac, Little Cayman, and

Long island (Fig. 2). An LDA approach yielded similar success rates (Fig. S11), suggesting robust differences between these populations. Of the five islands, Little Cayman was the best discriminated with a mean SVM generalization success of 73.4% (Table S9). The results of the classification analyses on PCA data were very similar to results from SVMs and LDAs trained on reflectance values at 50nm-spaced wavelengths from 300 to 700nm (Fig. S12 and S13).

Differentiation in dewlap coloration occurred in multiple dimensions of color space. Moreover, the differences in dewlaps between habitats were not always consistent between islands, thus, we will discuss the habitat-specific variation in dewlap coloration for each island where significant differences were detected in turn (Fig. 3, Tables 1, S10). Figure 3A provides a key to map principal component scores to the underlying wavelengths.

On Abaco, dewlaps did not differ in PC1, which represents brightness. Mangrove lizards had significantly lower PC2 scores, corresponding to higher ultraviolet reflectance and lower red reflectance. Coastal beach scrub lizards had lower scores on PC3, corresponding to lower ultraviolet reflectance and higher blue reflectance.

On Bimini, coastal beach scrub lizards had significantly brighter dewlaps than lizards from mangroves (PC1), but mangrove lizards had higher PC2 scores than beach scrub lizards, indicating higher violet and blue reflectance, and lower red reflectance. Lizards from primary coppice had higher PC3 scores overall, which correlated very positively with ultraviolet reflectance.

On Cayman Brac, coppice-lizard dewlaps were significantly less bright than lizards from the other habitats. Coastal beach scrub lizards had dewlaps that scored low on PC2, corresponding to lower violet-blue and more red, while the mangrove lizards exhibited the opposite: relatively higher levels of violet-blue and less red. In PC3 space we found that dewlaps from lizards in the coastal habitat had high ultraviolet reflectance, coppice lizards had intermediate levels, and mangrove lizards had relatively low levels.

On Little Cayman, the dewlaps of coppice lizards were significantly darker (PC1) than coastal lizards. Mangrove lizards had less ultraviolet and redder dewlaps (PC2). The dewlaps of the coastal beach scrub lizards had higher levels of red and ultraviolet reflectance and less blue reflectance than the dewlaps of the other habitat-populations (PC3).

On Long Island, lizards from the coppice habitat had darker dewlaps than lizards from the other habitats (PC1). Coastal lizards had relatively more ultraviolet and less blue-green reflectance in their dewlaps (PC3). These coastal-habitat lizards also scored lower on PC4, corresponding to slightly more violet and green-yellow dewlaps, and less blue dewlaps, than the mangrove lizards on the island.

Sensitivity analyses on classifiers suggested an overall higher relative importance for PC2 and PC3 in determining between-group differences on Abaco, both in SVM and LDA classifiers (Fig. S14, S15), consistent with our ANOVA results (Fig. 3B). There was no strong signal of differences in relative importance among principal components on the other islands. Sensitivity analyses of SVMs trained on reflectance scores rather than principal components revealed, however, a consistently higher importance of ultraviolet reflectance in between-group differences on all islands (Fig. S16). This pattern was not recovered for LDAs trained on reflectance scores (Fig. S17).

We did not find significant spatial autocorrelation between the sampling sites on the islands where we detected a significant habitat effect. We did, however, detect a significant positive signal of autocorrelation on Eleuthera (Table S11), suggesting possible color differentiation through isolation-by-distance on this island.

In contrast, differences in dewlap coloration between habitats were often detected in close geographical proximity. For example, Bimini, Cayman Brac and Little Cayman were among the smallest islands in our study (Fig. S1). Besides, for pairs of habitats where significant differences in dewlap coloration were detected along some principal components, comparisons of the actual sampling sites indicate that the most detectable differences involved sites that were mostly 5-10km

366 apart. Our most extreme case of significant differences occurred for PC3 between the beach scrub
367 site and a coppice site, separated from each other by a few hundreds of meters at most on Bimini
368 (multiple pairwise Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests, Fig. S18).

369

370 Patterns of differentiation were inconsistent across the five most significant islands. Contrasts
371 in principal components between habitats, calculated on pooled data from the whole archipelago,
372 were not similar, for any component, among islands (Fig. S19, MANOVA, Pillai's trace = 0.354,
373 $F(6, 32) = 1$, P = 0.36). No pattern of variation was shared by all five significant islands, along
374 any dimension. Some patterns did seem more common however, such as darker dewlaps among
375 coppice lizards (Cayman Brac, Little Cayman, and Long Island, Fig. 3) or the intermediate position
376 of coppice lizards in chromatic color space (Cayman Brac and Long Island). In other cases,
377 patterns of differentiation were reversed from one island to another, with more ultraviolet reflecting
378 dewlaps in mangroves than in coastal habitat on Abaco and Cayman Brac, but the opposite on
379 Little Cayman and Long Island. Overall, it seemed that patterns of heterogeneity of variance were
380 often driven by higher variances in coloration within beach scrub lizards (Fig. 3, Table 1). Yet
381 other patterns were idiosyncratic, such as the combination of higher red and ultraviolet reflectance
382 in coastal lizards on Little Cayman, where the rule seemed to be a negative correlation between
383 ultraviolet and red reflectance across every other island.

384

385 Discussion

386 **Dewlap coloration differs between habitat-types** We found that male dewlap coloration in
387 *A. sagrei* significantly varied between habitat-types (beach scrub bush, primary coppice forest and
388 mangrove forest) on five islands of the West Indies: Abaco, Bimini, Cayman Brac, Little Cayman
389 and Long Island. However, the habitat-specific variation in dewlaps was not consistent between
390 these islands. Although those results are consistent with adaptation at a very local scale, other
391 evolutionary drivers could be at work, including phenotypic plasticity, random drift, or historical
392 contingency, including multiple colonization events. We reject this last explanation because all
393 of the island populations in this study are strictly monophyletic, implying a single colonization
394 event per island (van de Schoot, unpublished thesis; Driessens et al. 2017). While random drift
395 cannot be completely ruled out, we see little evidence for a role of phenotypic isolation-by-distance
396 (spatial autocorrelation) in explaining the differences we report. We did detect a significant signal
397 of isolation-by-distance on Eleuthera, but we did not find differences in dewlap coloration between
398 habitats on this island.

399 **Divergence is maintained in the face of gene flow** We detected differences between habitats
400 at relatively small spatial scales, most of the time between sites 5-10km apart, and sometimes a
401 few hundred meters away. This, together with the lack of geographic barriers within islands and
402 the high mobility of *A. sagrei* individuals (Kamath and Losos, 2018), imply ample opportunity
403 for extensive gene flow between our study populations. In agreement with this, populations from
404 different habitats were found to not be monophyletic based on <insert marker name here> (van
405 de Schoot et al. unpublished thesis). The maintenance of phenotypic differences at such a small
406 spatial scales, in the face of gene flow, may support an adaptive explanation (Richardson et al.,
407 2014).

408

409 The persistence of dewlap color divergence despite gene flow has been documented in multiple
410 species of anoles, sometimes in relation to environmental conditions. Ng et al. (2012) and Ng
411 et al. (2016) found divergent dewlap coloration in the face of gene flow between subspecies of *A.*
412 *distichus* across Hispaniola, and proposed this as a mechanism of reproductive isolation in the
413 early stages of speciation (Ng and Glor, 2011; Lambert et al., 2013; Ng et al., 2017). Stapley et al.
414 (2011) found that dewlap color polymorphism was maintained in the absence of genetic structure
415 between populations of *A. apletophallus* from Panama. Thorpe and Stenson (2002) found that
416 divergence in dewlap coloration matched habitat-type better than mitochondrial lineage in *A.*
417 *roquet* on Martinique, and a convergent pattern was found in *A. trinitatis* on the featureless island
418 of St Vincent (Thorpe, 2002). Finally, divergence in body coloration, but not dewlap coloration,
419 was also reported in *A. conspersus* on another small island, Grand Cayman (Macedonia, 2001).

Altogether, these results are consistent with a generally high adaptive potential of dewlap coloration in anoles over small distances, which could apply to *A.sagrei*. 420
421

Dewlap coloration could be locally adapted Presently, we do not know the adaptive drivers of dewlap color divergence observed in this study. Some degree of parallel evolution is usually a good indicator for an adaptive process (Losos, 2011), and convergent patterns of dewlap color evolution in similar environments across islands and species have been documented in other species (Thorpe and Stenson, 2002; Thorpe, 2002). Here, however, we found little consistency in between-habitat divergence across the nine islands studied; except maybe for dewlap brightness being lower in the primary coppice habitat on three islands, and the primary coppice habitat being intermediate in coloration between mangrove and beach scrub on two islands. Those patterns could reflect adaptation to components of the habitat (Endler and McLellan, 1988), but it is not clear what those components of the habitat might be. 422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432

Previous studies have suggested that dewlap coloration may have evolved to be maximally detectable under local light conditions imposed by the environment, primarily through UV contrast (i.e. UV-brighter dewlaps in UV-dark, mesic habitats and UV-darker dewlaps in UV-bright, xeric habitats), in *A. cristatellus* and *A. cooki* from Puerto Rico (Leal and Fleishman, 2002, 2004). Although UV reflectance was commonly involved in between-habitat divergence, we found no such patterns in *A. sagrei*, where instead, we found the darkest dewlaps in the darkest, mesic habitat – primary coppice forest – on three islands, and dewlaps often differed the most between beach scrub and mangrove forest, two xeric habitats with similar, high irradiance levels (Howard, 1950; Schoener, 1968). The inconsistent and idiosyncratic patterns we observed suggest that dewlap color variation between habitats cannot be predicted by habitat identity alone. Studies of Jamaican and Hispaniolan anoles similarly found between-habitat differences in dewlap coloration but no evidence for higher detectability (Fleishman et al., 2009; Ng et al., 2012). Habitats on different islands may also differ in other aspects than light conditions such as densities of predators or other anole species, which have been shown to affect among-island dewlap diversity (Vanhooydonck et al., 2009; Baeckens et al., 2018). In particular, Baeckens et al. (2018) recently showed that dewlaps with spotted patterns occurred more often in *A. sagrei* on islands with more coexisting species of anoles. Therefore, dewlap coloration in *A.sagrei* may be under the influence of a mosaic of interacting local environmental factors, which need not be the same across islands. 433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450

A role of phenotypic plasticity is unlikely Phenotypic plasticity could explain differences in coloration between habitat populations because of diet differences. The yellow, orange and red coloration in anoline dewlaps are produced by pterins and carotenoids (Ortiz, 1962; Ortiz et al., 1962; Ortiz and Williams-Ashman, 1963; Ortiz and Maldonado, 1966; Macedonia et al., 2000; Steffen and McGraw, 2007, 2009). Animals lack the ability to synthesize carotenoids, and those must therefore be found in the diet, while pterins are synthesized from nucleotides (Goodwin, 1984; Hill et al., 2002; Hill and McGraw, 2006). However, experimental manipulation of dietary carotenoid content showed no effect on dewlap coloration in *A. sagrei* (Steffen et al., 2010) nor in *A. distichus* (Ng et al., 2013), which also has an orange-based dewlap. This makes a plastic response to differences in diet across habitats unlikely. Developmental plasticity (e.g. due to differences egg rearing conditions) is also unlikely because dewlap coloration develops at sexual maturity in anoles (Ng et al., 2013). The differences we observed could therefore be heritable. This hypothesis is further supported by Cox et al. (2017), who found a high degree of heritability of dewlap coloration in *A. sagrei*. Although most studies used one or two-generation common garden experiments and thus could not rule out transgenerational plastic effects (Tariel et al., 2020), dewlap coloration generally seems to not be a plastic trait. This further reinforces an adaptive explanation, where dewlap color could be under differential natural and/or sexual selection in these different habitats. 451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467

Sexual selection could be at play Substantial levels of promiscuity in *A. sagrei* suggest ample opportunity for female mate choice and sexual selection (Kamath and Losos, 2018). A number of studies have suggested that characteristics of the dewlap are indicators of male quality and may therefore act as a cue in mate choice, according to the "good genes" model of sexual selection (Andersson, 1994). Cook et al. (2013) found lower orange reflectance in dewlaps with heavily parasitized *A. brevirostris*, suggesting a trade-off in carotenoid use between the immune response and pigment deposition. Steffen and Guyer (2014) found that lower UV and orange-red reflectance 468
469
470
471
472
473
474

475 predict contest-winning success between males. Driessens et al. (2015) further found that more
476 yellow and red dewlaps (relative to UV) predict better body condition, and that higher yellow and
477 UV reflectance at the margin of the dewlap predict higher hematocrit (the concentration of red
478 blood cells), indicating a better health.

479

480 Other aspects of the dewlap may be important for sexual selection. For example, dewlap
481 size in *A. sagrei* was found to correlate with bite force (Vanhooydonck et al., 2005) and sexual
482 size dimorphism (a proxy for sexual selection; Vanhooydonck et al. 2009). Even though display
483 frequency increases in the presence of females (Driessens et al., 2014), no link was found between
484 dewlap display frequency and mating success (Tokarz, 2002; Tokarz et al., 2005) or individual
485 quality (Driessens et al., 2015). Our report of inconsistent divergence between islands, however, is
486 at odds with the good-genes model of sexual selection, which would predict the evolution of dewlap
487 coloration in the same direction across the archipelago. This is in agreement with Baeckens et al.
488 (2018), who found no link between the average island-dewlap coloration and sexual size dimorphism
489 in *A. sagrei* across the West Indies. If sexual selection is at play in our system, it more likely involves
490 divergent female preferences that are not linked to male quality but rather to components of the
491 environment, or may well be arbitrary (Fisherian sexual selection; Andersson 1994).

492

Implications in the context of speciation Local adaptation can be a precursor to ecological
speciation, a process that may have given rise to the adaptive radiation of *Anolis* lizards (Harmon
et al., 2003; Gavrilets and Losos, 2009). Ecologically-mediated divergence of a sexual signal may
be a potent path to the evolution of reproductive isolation through divergent sexual selection
(Reynolds and Fitzpatrick, 2007; Servedio et al., 2011). Evidence suggests that dewlap coloration
could take this role in anoles (Ng and Glor, 2011; Lambert et al., 2013; Geneva et al., 2015; Ng et al.,
2017), or at least that it is frequently involved in species recognition (Williams, 1969; Williams and
Rand, 1977; Losos, 1985; Macedonia and Stamps, 1994; Fleishman, 2000; Macedonia et al., 2013;
Ingram et al., 2016; Baeckens et al., 2018). Although this signal is not detected at the phylogenetic
scale of the whole genus (Nicholson et al., 2007; Harrison and Poe, 2012; Ingram et al., 2016),
sexual signals are often evolutionarily very labile (Kraaijeveld et al., 2011), and the anole dewlap
in particular is capable of rapid macroevolution; for example, *A. conspersus* on Grand Cayman
evolved a UV-blue dewlap from an ancestral orange dewlap in 2 to 3 million years (Macedonia,
2001). We present evidence of multiple cases of potentially adaptive maintenance of habitat-
associated dewlap divergence over small geographical scale in *A. sagrei* across the West Indies.
While these populations do not appear to be in the process of speciation, our results strongly
suggest that the anoline dewlap has enough micro-scale, local adaptive potential to participate in
the build-up of reproductive isolation, should it be recruited for assortative mating.

510

Acknowledgements

511 Collection permission was granted by the Bahamas Environment, Science and Technology Com-
512 mission, the Bahamas National Trust, and the Cayman Islands Department of the Environment.
513 The authors thank Sofia Prado-Irwin, Pavitra Muralidhar, Nicholas Herrmann, Richard E. Glor,
514 Alberto R. Puente-Rolón, Kevin Aviles-Rodriguez, Kristin Winchell, and Melissa Kemp for assis-
515 tance in the field. Funding for this work was provided by the Templeton Foundation (to JBL), NSF
516 DEB #1500761 (to AJG), NSF DBI #1609284 (to CMD), and a Harvard Museum of Comparative
517 Zoology Putnam Grant (to RGR).

Figures

518




Figure 1: Map of the West Indies with sampled islands highlighted in black.




Figure 2: SVM classification accuracy across islands based on principal component data. Histograms show accuracy distributions over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins per island. The dashed line is the density of a corresponding null binomial distribution, which would be expected under random guessing (testing sets with 20% of the observations for each island and success probability of 1/3). Inset plots show the corresponding average confusion matrices and represent the proportion of lizards from each habitat (columns) reassigned in each other habitat (rows), with an interpretation guide in the right panel. Binomial test P-values indicate deviations of the mean classification accuracy to the null distribution. *, $P < 0.05$.




Figure 3: Dewlap color variation between habitat-types across the most significant islands. (A) Mapping of reflectance at various wavelengths onto the principal components (loadings from the PCA rotation matrix). (B) Distribution of PC scores between habitats along the first four PCs on each island where significant between-habitat differences were detected using SVMs. P-values are reported for univariate ANOVA (or Kruskal-Wallis tests when applicable, see Methods). Post hoc significant differences at a 0.05 error rate are indicated with horizontal bars. *, $P < 0.05$.

519 **Tables**

Table 1: Significance of habitat differences in dewlap coloration, using ANOVA for all islands where significant multivariate differences in dewlap coloration were detected by SVMs. Best fitting model: 1, OLS; 2, GLS. df, degrees of freedom. ΔAICc , difference in AICc between the best fitting model and the OLS-model. AICcw, AICc weight. LRT, likelihood ratio test. Log-lik., log-likelihood. χ^2 , likelihood ratio. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

Island	Variable	Best fit	df	AICc	ΔAICc	AICcw	ΔAICc	dfLRT	Log-lik.	χ^2	P
Abaco	PC1	1	4	710.4	0.0	0.746	2	-357.0	0.14	0.9308	***
Abaco	PC2	1	4	620.1	0.0	0.882	2	-310.2	31.74	0.0000	***
Abaco	PC3	1	4	517.8	0.0	0.732	2	-257.2	27.37	0.0000	***
Abaco	PC4	1	4	440.6	0.0	0.596	2	-217.2	1.36	0.5070	*
Bimini	PC1	1	4	561.3	0.0	0.595	2	-283.1	7.40	0.0248	*
Bimini	PC2	1	4	448.1	0.0	0.656	2	-223.8	8.09	0.0175	*
Bimini	PC3	2	6	405.3	-0.2	0.529	2	-199.2	10.39	0.0056	**
Bimini	PC4	1	4	274.2	0.0	0.854	2	-132.7	0.33	0.8499	***
Cayman Brac	PC1	2	6	402.8	-4.1	0.884	2	-200.9	13.81	0.0010	**
Cayman Brac	PC2	1	4	332.1	0.0	0.853	2	-165.9	8.41	0.0149	*
Cayman Brac	PC3	1	4	295.8	0.0	0.800	2	-146.6	27.16	0.0000	***
Cayman Brac	PC4	1	4	279.2	0.0	0.897	2	-137.8	5.63	0.0600	*
Little Cayman	PC1	1	4	367.2	0.0	0.777	2	-186.0	8.18	0.0167	*
Little Cayman	PC2	2	6	287.6	-3.6	0.859	2	-140.5	29.76	0.0000	***
Little Cayman	PC3	1	4	277.7	0.0	0.669	2	-138.1	21.34	0.0000	***
Little Cayman	PC4	1	4	226.7	0.0	0.780	2	-110.7	2.85	0.2410	
Long Island	PC1	2	6	442.3	-2.1	0.740	2	-221.2	2.91	0.2331	
Long Island	PC2	2	6	351.4	-3.1	0.823	2	-172.6	4.52	0.1043	
Long Island	PC3	1	4	322.1	0.0	0.862	2	-160.0	11.24	0.0036	**
Long Island	PC4	1	4	195.5	0.0	0.767	2	-92.9	6.46	0.0395	*

520 **References**

- 521 Andersson, M. B. (1994). *Sexual Selection*. Monographs in Behavior and Ecology. Princeton
522 University Press, Princeton, N.J.
- 523 Arnold, S. J. (1983). Morphology, Performance and Fitness. *American Zoologist*, 23(2):347–361.
- 524 Baeckens, S., Driessens, T., and Van Damme, R. (2018). The brown anole dewlap revisited:
525 Do predation pressure, sexual selection, and species recognition shape among-population signal
526 diversity? *PeerJ*, 6:e4722.
- 527 Bartoń, K. (2019). MuMIn: Multi-Model Inference.
- 528 Box, G. E. P. (1949). A General Distribution Theory for a Class of Likelihood Criteria. *Biometrika*,
529 36(3/4):317.
- 530 Bradbury, J. W. and Vehrenamp, S. L. (2011). *Principles of Animal Communication*. Sinauer
531 Associates, Sunderland, Mass, 2nd ed edition. OCLC: ocn747947250.
- 532 Cook, E. G., Murphy, T. G., and Johnson, M. A. (2013). Colorful displays signal male quality in
533 a tropical anole lizard. *Naturwissenschaften*, 100(10):993–996.
- 534 Cortes, C. and Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine Learning*, 20(3):273–297.
- 535 Cortez, P. (2010). Data Mining with Neural Networks and Support Vector Machines Using the
536 R/rminer Tool. In Perner, P., editor, *Advances in Data Mining - Applications and Theoretical
537 Aspects 10th Industrial Conference on Data Mining (ICDM 2010), Lecture Notes in Artificial
538 Intelligence 6171*, number 6171 in Lecture Notes in Computer Science Lecture Notes in Artificial
539 Intelligence, pages 572–583. Springer, Berlin. Meeting Name: ICDM OCLC: 658236611.
- 540 Cortez, P. (2016). Rminer: Data Mining Classification and Regression Methods.
- 541 Cox, R. M., Costello, R. A., Camber, B. E., and McGlothlin, J. W. (2017). Multivariate genetic
542 architecture of the *Anolis* dewlap reveals both shared and sex-specific features of a sexually
543 dimorphic ornament. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 30(7):1262–1275.
- 544 Cristianini, N. and Shawe-Taylor, J. (2000). *An Introduction to Support Vector Machines and
545 Other Kernel-Based Learning Methods*. Cambridge University Press, first edition.
- 546 Cuthill, I. C., Bennett, A. T. D., Partridge, J. C., and Maier, E. J. (1999). Plumage Reflectance and
547 the Objective Assessment of Avian Sexual Dichromatism. *The American Naturalist*, 153(2):183–
548 200.
- 549 Dieckmann, U. and Doebeli, M. (1999). On the origin of species by sympatric speciation. *Nature*,
550 400(6742):354–357.
- 551 Driessens, T., Baeckens, S., Balzarolo, M., Vanhooydonck, B., Huyghe, K., and Van Damme, R.
552 (2017). Climate-related environmental variation in a visual signalling device: The male and
553 female dewlap in *Anolis sagrei* lizards. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 30(10):1846–1861.
- 554 Driessens, T., Huyghe, K., Vanhooydonck, B., and Van Damme, R. (2015). Messages conveyed by
555 assorted facets of the dewlap, in both sexes of *Anolis sagrei*
556 . *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 69(8):1251–1264.
- 557 Driessens, T., Vanhooydonck, B., and Van Damme, R. (2014). Deterring predators, daunting
558 opponents or drawing partners? Signaling rates across diverse contexts in the lizard *Anolis
559 sagrei*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 68(2):173–184.
- 560 Endler, J. (1998). Sensory ecology, receiver biases and sexual selection. *Trends in Ecology &
561 Evolution*, 13(10):415–420.
- 562 Endler, J. A. (1984). Natural and sexual selection on color patterns in poeciliid fishes. In Balon,
563 E. K. and Zaret, T. M., editors, *Evolutionary Ecology of Neotropical Freshwater Fishes*, volume 3,
564 pages 95–111. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

- Endler, J. A. (1990). On the measurement and classification of colour in studies of animal colour patterns. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 41(4):315–352. 565
566
- Endler, J. A. (1991). Variation in the appearance of guppy color patterns to guppies and their predators under different visual conditions. *Vision Research*, 31(3):587–608. 567
568
- Endler, J. A. (1992). Signals, Signal Conditions, and the Direction of Evolution. *The American Naturalist*, 139:S125–S153. 569
570
- Endler, J. A. (1993a). The Color of Light in Forests and Its Implications. *Ecological Monographs*, 63(1):1–27. 571
572
- Endler, J. A. (1993b). Some general comments on the evolution and design of animal communication systems. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 340(1292):215–225. 573
574
575
- Endler, J. A. and McLellan, T. (1988). The Processes of Evolution: Toward a Newer Synthesis. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 19(1):395–421. 576
577
- Felsenstein, J. (1976). The Theoretical Population Genetics of Variable Selection and Migration. *Annual Review of Genetics*, 10(1):253–280. 578
579
- Fleishman, L. J. (2000). Signal function, signal efficiency and the evolution of anoline lizard dewlap color. In *Animal Signals: Signalling and Signal Design in Animal Communication*, pages 209–236. Tapir Academic, Trondheim. 580
581
582
- Fleishman, L. J., Leal, M., and Persons, M. H. (2009). Habitat light and dewlap color diversity in four species of Puerto Rican anoline lizards. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 195(11):1043–1060. 583
584
585
- Fleishman, L. J. and Persons, M. (2001). The influence of stimulus and background colour on signal visibility in the lizard *Anolis cristatellus*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 204(Pt 9):1559–1575. 586
587
588
- Fox, J., Friendly, M., and Monette, G. (2018). Heplots: Visualizing Tests in Multivariate Linear Models. 589
590
- García-Ramos, G. and Kirkpatrick, M. (1997). GENETIC MODELS OF ADAPTATION AND GENE FLOW IN PERIPHERAL POPULATIONS. *Evolution*, 51(1):21–28. 591
592
- Gavrilets, S. and Losos, J. B. (2009). Adaptive Radiation: Contrasting Theory with Data. *Science*, 323(5915):732–737. 593
594
- Geneva, A. J., Hilton, J., Noll, S., and Glor, R. E. (2015). Multilocus phylogenetic analyses of Hispaniolan and Bahamian trunk anoles (*distichus* species group). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 87:105–117. 595
596
597
- Goodwin, T. W. (1984). *The Biochemistry of the Carotenoids*. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. 598
- Halfwerk, W., Jones, P. L., Taylor, R. C., Ryan, M. J., and Page, R. A. (2014). Risky Ripples Allow Bats and Frogs to Eavesdrop on a Multisensory Sexual Display. *Science*, 343(6169):413–416. 599
600
- Harmon, L. J., Schulte, J. A., Larson, A., and Losos, J. B. (2003). Tempo and Mode of Evolutionary Radiation in Iguanian Lizards. *Science*, 301(5635):961–964. 601
602
- Harrison, A. and Poe, S. (2012). Evolution of an ornament, the dewlap, in females of the lizard genus *Anolis*: EVOLUTION OF AN ORNAMENT. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 106(1):191–201. 603
604
605
- Hendry, A. P., Day, T., and Taylor, E. B. (2007a). POPULATION MIXING AND THE ADAPTIVE DIVERGENCE OF QUANTITATIVE TRAITS IN DISCRETE POPULATIONS: A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR EMPIRICAL TESTS. *Evolution*, 55(3):459–466. 606
607
608
- Hendry, A. P., Taylor, E. B., and McPhail, J. D. (2007b). ADAPTIVE DIVERGENCE AND THE BALANCE BETWEEN SELECTION AND GENE FLOW: LAKE AND STREAM STICKLEBACK IN THE MISTY SYSTEM. *Evolution*, 56(6):1199–1216. 609
610
611

- 612 Henze, N. and Zirkler, B. (1990). A class of invariant consistent tests for multivariate normality.
613 *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, 19(10):3595–3617.
- 614 Hijmans, R. J. (2019). Geosphere: Spherical Trigonometry.
- 615 Hill, G. E., Inouye, C. Y., and Montgomerie, R. (2002). Dietary carotenoids predict plumage
616 coloration in wild house finches. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological
617 Sciences*, 269(1496):1119–1124.
- 618 Hill, G. E. and McGraw, K. J., editors (2006). *Bird Coloration*. Harvard University Press, Cam-
619 bridge, Mass. OCLC: ocm60311967.
- 620 Howard, R. A. (1950). Vegetation of the Bimini Island Group: Bahamas, B. W. I. *Ecological
621 Monographs*, 20(4):317–349.
- 622 Ingram, T., Harrison, A., Mahler, D. L., Castañeda, M. d. R., Glor, R. E., Herrel, A., Stuart,
623 Y. E., and Losos, J. B. (2016). Comparative tests of the role of dewlap size in *Anolis* lizard
624 speciation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283(1845):20162199.
- 625 Kamath, A. and Losos, J. B. (2018). Estimating encounter rates as the first step of sexual se-
626 lection in the lizard *Anolis sagrei*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*,
627 285(1873):20172244.
- 628 Kim, B. and von Oertzen, T. (2018). Classifiers as a model-free group comparison test. *Behavior
629 Research Methods*, 50(1):416–426.
- 630 Kolbe, J. J., Larson, A., Losos, J. B., and de Queiroz, K. (2008). Admixture determines genetic
631 diversity and population differentiation in the biological invasion of a lizard species. *Biology
632 Letters*, 4(4):434–437.
- 633 Kolbe, J. J., Leal, M., Schoener, T. W., Spiller, D. A., and Losos, J. B. (2012). Founder Ef-
634 fects Persist Despite Adaptive Differentiation: A Field Experiment with Lizards. *Science*,
635 335(6072):1086–1089.
- 636 Korkmaz, S., Goksuluk, D., and Zararsiz, G. (2014). MVN: An R Package for Assessing Multi-
637 variate Normality. *The R Journal*, 6(2):151–162.
- 638 Kraaijeveld, K., Kraaijeveld-Smit, F. J. L., and Maan, M. E. (2011). Sexual selection and specia-
639 tion: The comparative evidence revisited. *Biological Reviews*, 86(2):367–377.
- 640 Lambert, S. M., Geneva, A. J., Luke Mahler, D., and Glor, R. E. (2013). Using genomic data
641 to revisit an early example of reproductive character displacement in Haitian *Anolis* lizards.
642 *Molecular Ecology*, 22(15):3981–3995.
- 643 Lazareva, O. F., Shimizu, T., and Wasserman, E. A. (2012). *How Animals See the WorldCompar-
644 ative Behavior, Biology, and Evolution of Vision*. Oxford University Press.
- 645 Leal, M. and Fleishman, L. J. (2002). Evidence for habitat partitioning based on adaptation to
646 environmental light in a pair of sympatric lizard species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of
647 London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 269(1489):351–359.
- 648 Leal, M. and Fleishman, L. J. (2004). Differences in Visual Signal Design and Detectability between
649 Allopatric Populations of *Anolis* Lizards. *The American Naturalist*, 163(1):26–39.
- 650 Leal, M. and Rodríguez-Robles, J. A. (1995). Antipredator Responses of *Anolis cristatellus* (Sauria:
651 Polychrotidae). *Copeia*, 1995(1):155–161.
- 652 Leal, M. and Rodriguez-Robles, J. A. (1997). Antipredator Responses of the Puerto Rican Giant
653 Anole, *Anolis cuvieri* (Squamata: Polychrotidae). *Biotropica*, 29(3):372–375.
- 654 Leal, M. and Rodríguez-Robles, J. A. (1997). Signalling displays during predator-prey interactions
655 in a Puerto Rican anole, *Anolis cristatellus*. *Animal Behaviour*, 54(5):1147–1154.
- 656 Lenormand, T. (2002). Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
657 17(4):183–189.

Losos, J. B. (1985). An Experimental Demonstration of the Species-Recognition Role of <i>Anolis</i> Dewlap Color. <i>Copeia</i> , 1985(4):905–910.	658 659
Losos, J. B. (2009). <i>Lizards in an Evolutionary Tree: Ecology and Adaptive Radiation of Anoles</i> . University of California Press.	660 661
Losos, J. B. (2011). Convergence, Adaptation, and Constraint. <i>Evolution</i> , 65(7):1827–1840.	662
Losos, J. B., Irschick, D. J., and Schoener, T. W. (1994). Adaptation and Constraint in the Evolution of Specialization of Bahamian <i>Anolis</i> Lizards. <i>Evolution</i> , 48(6):1786–1798.	663 664
Losos, J. B., Schoener, T. W., Warheit, K. I., and Creer, D. (2001). Experimental studies of adaptive differentiation in Bahamian <i>Anolis</i> lizards. <i>Genetica</i> , 112-113:399–415.	665 666
Losos, J. B., Warheit, K. I., and Schoener, T. W. (1997). Adaptive differentiation following experimental island colonization in <i>Anolis</i> lizards. <i>Nature</i> , 387(6628):70–73.	667 668
Macedonia, J. M. (2001). Habitat light, colour variation, and ultraviolet reflectance in the Grand Cayman anole, <i>Anolis conspersus</i> . <i>Biological Journal of the Linnean Society</i> , 73(3):299–320.	669 670
Macedonia, J. M., Clark, D. L., Riley, R. G., and Kemp, D. J. (2013). Species recognition of color and motion signals in <i>Anolis grahami</i> : Evidence from responses to lizard robots. <i>Behavioral Ecology</i> , 24(4):846–852.	671 672 673
Macedonia, J. M., Clark, D. L., and Tamasi, A. L. (2014). Does Selection Favor Dewlap Colors that Maximize Detectability? A Test with Five Species of Jamaican <i>Anolis</i> Lizards. <i>Herpetologica</i> , 70(2):157–170.	674 675 676
Macedonia, J. M., James, S., Witte, L. W., and Clark, D. L. (2000). Skin Pigments and Coloration in the Jamaican Radiation of <i>Anolis</i> Lizards. <i>Journal of Herpetology</i> , 34(1):99–109.	677 678
Macedonia, J. M. and Stamps, J. A. (1994). Species Recognition in <i>Anolis grahami</i> (Sauria, Iguanidae): Evidence from Responses to Video Playbacks of Conspecific and Heterospecific Displays. <i>Ethology</i> , 98(3-4):246–264.	679 680 681
Maia, R., Eliason, C. M., Bitton, P.-P., Doucet, S. M., and Shawkey, M. D. (2013). Pavo : An R package for the analysis, visualization and organization of spectral data. <i>Methods in Ecology and Evolution</i> , pages n/a–n/a.	682 683 684
Morrison, D. F. (1988). <i>Multivariate Statistical Methods</i> . McGraw-Hill Series in Probability and Statistics. McGraw-Hill, Hamburg Auckland. OCLC: 257151237.	685 686
Ng, J., Geneva, A. J., Noll, S., and Glor, R. E. (2017). Signals and Speciation: <i>Anolis</i> Dewlap Color as a Reproductive Barrier. <i>Journal of Herpetology</i> , 51(3):437–447.	687 688
Ng, J. and Glor, R. E. (2011). Genetic differentiation among populations of a Hispaniolan trunk anole that exhibit geographical variation in dewlap colour. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 20(20):4302–4317.	689 690
Ng, J., Kelly, A. L., MacGuigan, D. J., and Glor, R. E. (2013). The Role of Heritable and Dietary Factors in the Sexual Signal of a Hispaniolan <i>Anolis</i> Lizard, <i>Anolis distichus</i> . <i>Journal of Heredity</i> , 104(6):862–873.	691 692 693
Ng, J., Landeen, E. L., Logsdon, R. M., and Glor, R. E. (2012). Correlation Between <i>Anolis</i> Lizard Dewlap Phenotype and Environmental Variation Indicates Adaptive Divergence of a Signal Important to Sexual Selection and Species Recognition. <i>Evolution</i> , 67(2):573–582.	694 695 696
Ng, J., Ossip-Klein, A. G., and Glor, R. E. (2016). Adaptive signal coloration maintained in the face of gene flow in a Hispaniolan <i>Anolis</i> Lizard. <i>BMC Evolutionary Biology</i> , 16(1):193.	697 698
Nicholson, K. E., Harmon, L. J., and Losos, J. B. (2007). Evolution of <i>Anolis</i> Lizard Dewlap Diversity. <i>PLoS ONE</i> , 2(3):e274.	699 700
Nosil, P. and Crespi, B. J. (2004). DOES GENE FLOW CONSTRAIN ADAPTIVE DIVERSITY OR VICE VERSA? A TEST USING ECOMORPHOLOGY AND SEXUAL ISOLATION IN TIMEMA CRISTINAE WALKING-STICKS. <i>Evolution</i> , 58(1):102–112.	701 702 703

- 704 Ortiz, E. (1962). Drosopterins in the dewlap of some anoline lizards. *American Zoologist*, 2:545–546.
- 705 Ortiz, E. and Maldonado, A. A. (1966). Pteridine accumulation in lizards of the genus *Anolis*.
706 *Caribbean Journal of Science*, 6:9–13.
- 707 Ortiz, E., Throckmorton, L. H., and Williams-Ashman, H. G. (1962). Drosopterins in the Throat-
708 Fans of Some Puerto Rican Lizards. *Nature*, 196(4854):595–596.
- 709 Ortiz, E. and Williams-Ashman, H. (1963). Identification of skin pteridines in the pasture lizard
710 *Anolis pulchellus*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, 10(3):181–190.
- 711 Pigot, A. L., Sheard, C., Miller, E. T., Bregman, T. P., Freeman, B. G., Roll, U., Seddon, N.,
712 Trisos, C. H., Weeks, B. C., and Tobias, J. A. (2020). Macroevolutionary convergence connects
713 morphological form to ecological function in birds. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 4(2):230–239.
- 714 Pinheiro, J. and Bates, D. (2000). *Mixed-Effects Models in S and S-PLUS*. Statistics and Com-
715 puting. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- 716 Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D., and Team, R. C. (2020). Nlme: Linear and
717 Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models.
- 718 Rand, A. S. and Williams, E. E. (1970). An Estimation of Redundancy and Information Content
719 of Anole Dewlaps. *The American Naturalist*, 104(935):99–103.
- 720 Reynolds, R. G. and Fitzpatrick, B. M. (2007). Assortative Mating in Poison-Dart Frogs Based
721 on an Ecologically Important Trait. *Evolution*, 61(9):2253–2259.
- 722 Reynolds, R. G., Kolbe, J. J., Glor, R. E., López-Darias, M., Gómez Pourroy, C. V., Harrison,
723 A. S., Queiroz, K., Revell, L. J., and Losos, J. B. (2020). Phylogeographic and phenotypic
724 outcomes of brown anole colonization across the Caribbean provide insight into the beginning
725 stages of an adaptive radiation. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 33(4):468–494.
- 726 Richardson, J. L. and Urban, M. C. (2013). STRONG SELECTION BARRIERS EXPLAIN MI-
727 CROGEOGRAPHIC ADAPTATION IN WILD SALAMANDER POPULATIONS. *Evolution*,
728 67(6):1729–1740.
- 729 Richardson, J. L., Urban, M. C., Bolnick, D. I., and Skelly, D. K. (2014). Microgeographic adap-
730 tation and the spatial scale of evolution. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(3):165–176.
- 731 Ripley, B. D. (1996). *Pattern Recognition and Neural Networks*. Cambridge University Press, first
732 edition.
- 733 Schoener, T. W. (1968). The *Anolis*Lizards of Bimini: Resource Partitioning in a Complex Fauna.
734 *Ecology*, 49(4):704–726.
- 735 Seehausen, O. (1997). Cichlid Fish Diversity Threatened by Eutrophication That Curbs Sexual
736 Selection. *Science*, 277(5333):1808–1811.
- 737 Servedio, M. R., Doorn, G. S. V., Kopp, M., Frame, A. M., and Nosil, P. (2011). Magic traits in
738 speciation: ‘magic’ but not rare? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 26(8):389–397.
- 739 Sigmund, W. R. (1983). Female Preference for *Anolis carolinensis* Males as a Function of Dewlap
740 Color and Background Coloration. *Journal of Herpetology*, 17(2):137–143.
- 741 Stapley, J., Wordley, C., and Slate, J. (2011). No Evidence of Genetic Differentiation Between
742 Anoles With Different Dewlap Color Patterns. *Journal of Heredity*, 102(1):118–124.
- 743 Steffen, J. E. and Guyer, C. C. (2014). Display behaviour and dewlap colour as predictors of
744 contest success in brown anoles: Dewlap Colour and Behaviour in Contests. *Biological Journal
745 of the Linnean Society*, 111(3):646–655.
- 746 Steffen, J. E., Hill, G. E., and Guyer, C. (2010). Carotenoid Access, Nutritional Stress, and the
747 Dewlap Color of Male Brown Anoles. *Copeia*, 2010(2):239–246.

Steffen, J. E. and McGraw, K. J. (2007). Contributions of pterin and carotenoid pigments to dewlap coloration in two anole species. <i>Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology</i> , 146(1):42–46.	748 749 750
Steffen, J. E. and McGraw, K. J. (2009). How dewlap color reflects its carotenoid and pterin content in male and female brown anoles (<i>Norops sagrei</i>). <i>Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology</i> , 154(3):334–340.	751 752 753
Tariel, J., Plénet, S., and Luquet, É. (2020). Transgenerational plasticity of inducible defences: Combined effects of grand-parental, parental and current environments. <i>Ecology and Evolution</i> , 10(5):2367–2376.	754 755 756
Team, R. C. (2019). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.	757 758
Thorpe, R. S. (2002). Analysis of Color Spectra in Comparative Evolutionary Studies: Molecular Phylogeny and Habitat Adaptation in the St. Vincent Anole (<i>Anolis trinitatis</i>). <i>Systematic Biology</i> , 51(4):554–569.	759 760 761
Thorpe, R. S. and Stenson, A. G. (2002). Phylogeny, Paraphyly and Ecological Adaptation of the Colour and Pattern in the Anolis Roquet Complex on Martinique: Interaction Between Phylogeny and Adaptation. <i>Molecular Ecology</i> , 12(1):117–132.	762 763 764
Tokarz, R. R. (2002). An Experimental Test of the Importance of the Dewlap in Male Mating Success in the Lizard <i>Anolis sagrei</i> . <i>Herpetologica</i> , 58(1):87–94.	765 766
Tokarz, R. R. (2006). Importance of Prior Physical Contact with Familiar Females in the Development of a Male Courtship and Mating Preference for Unfamiliar Females in the Lizard <i>Anolis Sagrei</i> . <i>Herpetologica</i> , 62(2):115–124.	767 768 769
Tokarz, R. R., Paterson, A. V., and McMann, S. (2005). Importance of Dewlap Display in Male Mating Success in Free-Ranging Brown Anoles (<i>Anolis sagrei</i>). <i>Journal of Herpetology</i> , 39(1):174–177.	770 771 772
Vanhooijdonck, B., Herrel, A., Meyers, J. J., and Irschick, D. J. (2009). What determines dewlap diversity in <i>Anolis</i> lizards? An among-island comparison. <i>Journal of Evolutionary Biology</i> , 22(2):293–305.	773 774 775
Vanhooijdonck, B., Herrel, A. Y., Van Damme, R., and Irschick, D. J. (2005). Does dewlap size predict male bite performance in Jamaican <i>Anolis</i> lizards? <i>Functional Ecology</i> , 19(1):38–42.	776 777
Willi, Y. and Hoffmann, A. A. (2012). Microgeographic adaptation linked to forest fragmentation and habitat quality in the tropical fruit fly <i>Drosophila birchii</i> . <i>Oikos</i> , 121(10):1627–1637.	778 779
Williams, E. E. (1969). The Ecology of Colonization as Seen in the Zoogeography of Anoline Lizards on Small Islands. <i>The Quarterly Review of Biology</i> , 44(4):345–389.	780 781
Williams, E. E. and Rand, A. S. (1977). Species Recognition, Dewlap Function and Faunal Size. <i>American Zoologist</i> , 17(1):261–270.	782 783
Zuur, A. F., editor (2009). <i>Mixed Effects Models and Extensions in Ecology with R</i> . Statistics for Biology and Health. Springer, New York, NY. OCLC: 288985460.	784 785

⁷⁸⁶ **Supplementary Figures**




Figure S1: Map of the sampling sites and corresponding habitats across nine islands of the West Indies.




Figure S2: Correlation between dewlap brightness (as measured by the mean reflectance from 300 to 700nm in wavelength) and PC1 score for each island. Pearson's squared correlation coefficients are reported. *, $P < 0.05$.




Figure S3: Correlation between dewlap brightness (as measured by the mean reflectance from 300 to 700nm in wavelength) and PC1 score across the whole archipelago. Pearson's squared correlation coefficient is reported. *, $P < 0.05$.




Figure S4: 5-95th percentile range of lizard dewlap reflectance values (in % of incoming light) across wavelengths for each island and each habitat.




Figure S5: Archipelago-wide SVM classification accuracy based on principal component data. Machines were trained on individual dewlaps regardless of island identity. The histogram shows the accuracy distribution over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S6: Archipelago-wide SVM classification accuracy based on reflectance data at 50nm-intervals in wavelength (see Methods). Machines were trained on individual dewlaps regardless of island identity. The histogram shows the accuracy distribution over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S7: Sensitivity analyses of the different input variables in the archipelago-wide SVM classification on principal component data (Figure S5), with relative importance computed for every machine.




Figure S8: Sensitivity analyses of the different input variables in the archipelago-wide SVM classification on reflectance data at 50nm-intervals in wavelength (Figure S6), with relative importance computed for every machine.




Figure S9: Archipelago-wide LDA classification accuracy based on principal component data. Machines were trained on individual dewlaps regardless of island identity. The histogram shows the accuracy distribution over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S10: Archipelago-wide LDA classification accuracy based on reflectance data at 50nm intervals in wavelength (see Methods). Machines were trained on individual dewlaps regardless of island identity. The histogram shows the accuracy distribution over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S11: LDA classification accuracy across islands based on principal component data. Histograms show accuracy distributions over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins per island. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S12: SVM classification accuracy across islands based on reflectance data at 50nm-intervals in wavelength (see Methods). Histograms show accuracy distributions over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins per island. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S13: LDA classification accuracy across islands based on reflectance data at 50nm-intervals in wavelength (see Methods). Histograms show accuracy distributions over 100 replicates for each five cross-validation bins per island. The legend is the same as in Figure 2.




Figure S14: Sensitivity analyses of the different input variables in the within-island SVM classification on principal component data (Figure ??), with relative importance computed for every machine.




Figure S15: Sensitivity analyses of the different input variables in the within-island LDA classification on principal component data (Figure S11), with relative importance computed for every machine.




Figure S16: Sensitivity analyses of the different input variables in the archipelago-wide SVM classification on reflectance at 50nm-intervals in wavelength (Figure S12), with relative importance computed for every machine.




Figure S17: Sensitivity analyses of the different input variables in the archipelago-wide LDA classification on reflectance at 50nm-intervals in wavelength (Figure S13), with relative importance computed for every machine.




Figure S18: Spatial scale of between-habitat differences in dewlap coloration. For each variable and each pair of habitats where significant differences were detected (Figure 3), we performed multiple post hoc pairwise comparisons between the sites involved (Figure S1, Table S2), using nonparametric Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney tests. Here we report, for each pair of habitats, the distances between sites that significantly differed in dewlap coloration at an error rate of 0.05 (P-values corrected with the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure for multiple testing).




Figure S19: Test of parallel divergence between islands. Differences in habitat-means, or contrasts, are shown for two pairs of habitats for each principal component on each island, rescaled so the standard deviation of the means along each principal component is one. The contrasts represent the patterns of between-habitat variation on each island, for a given principal component. The absence of clustering of islands by variable indicates that islands differ in their between-habitat divergence patterns. This is confirmed by a non-significant MANOVA test of the between versus within-variable variance in contrasts.

787 Supplementary Tables

Table S1: Number of lizards sampled in each habitat on each island.

	coastal	coppice	mangrove
Abaco	41	24	21
Bimini	38	14	15
Cayman Brac	15	18	17
Eleuthera	22	25	9
Little Cayman	17	12	16
Long Island	26	14	13
North Andros	9	9	10
Ragged Island	18	15	17
South Andros	10	9	12

Table S2: Locations of the sampling sites across islands, with mean principal component scores per site.

Island	Longitude	Latitude	Habitat	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4
Abaco	-77.7256	26.9083	mangrove	-5.4905	1.3541	-0.4741	0.0083
Abaco	-77.5800	26.9020	coastal	1.8633	0.0365	-0.4475	0.0033
Abaco	-77.5763	26.9128	coppice	-1.6738	-1.7793	-0.0499	0.0012
Abaco	-77.1784	26.1045	coastal	1.1863	2.0408	-0.3468	0.0022
Abaco	-77.0055	26.3254	mangrove	-9.0319	-2.7460	0.4687	0.0077
Abaco	-77.0039	26.3170	coppice	0.9967	0.5161	-0.0267	-0.0118
Abaco	-76.9968	26.3260	coastal	7.6077	0.3186	0.1771	-0.0008
Bimini	-79.3022	25.5859	coastal	5.7537	-0.1593	-0.2505	0.0001
Bimini	-79.3014	25.7052	coastal	-3.1822	1.6617	-0.0460	0.0024
Bimini	-79.3002	25.7042	coppice	-1.3514	-3.8786	0.1027	-0.0027
Bimini	-79.2709	25.7066	mangrove	3.3656	0.6244	0.1569	-0.0021
Cayman Brac	-79.8627	19.6878	coastal	6.6606	-2.5670	0.0166	-0.0007
Cayman Brac	-79.8441	19.6949	mangrove	-1.0914	4.3607	0.0855	0.0001
Cayman Brac	-79.7887	19.7209	coppice	-4.5197	-1.9793	-0.0946	0.0004
Eleuthera	-76.3347	24.8146	coppice	3.2669	-1.2404	0.1018	-0.0085
Eleuthera	-76.3058	24.8127	coastal	0.4216	-3.5133	-0.0567	0.0009
Eleuthera	-76.2901	24.7981	mangrove	2.1881	0.7517	0.3957	-0.0055
Eleuthera	-76.1616	24.9129	coppice	-1.9136	1.0868	-0.4978	-0.0092
Eleuthera	-76.1492	24.9335	coastal	-3.1863	2.4270	0.1881	0.0218
Little Cayman	-80.0660	19.6906	coppice	0.8021	-1.9569	-0.0760	-0.0068
Little Cayman	-80.0205	19.6865	coastal	-6.6917	-1.2615	0.0659	0.0057
Little Cayman	-79.9871	19.6986	mangrove	6.5083	2.8079	-0.0129	-0.0010
Long Island	-75.2299	23.4740	mangrove	-1.2873	1.9371	-0.1880	-0.0029
Long Island	-75.2063	23.4282	coastal	2.3686	-0.9033	0.0215	0.0096
Long Island	-75.1884	23.4292	coppice	-4.6266	0.5060	0.1049	-0.0070
Long Island	-75.1408	23.3883	coastal	3.6139	-1.4521	0.0475	0.0025
North Andros	-77.8908	24.8391	coastal	-2.1881	-1.1236	0.0397	-0.0060
North Andros	-77.8428	24.7516	coppice	-1.8115	0.0012	-0.1678	0.0024
North Andros	-77.7540	24.6644	mangrove	3.5997	1.0101	0.1153	0.0033
Ragged Island	-75.7364	22.1768	coppice	3.2851	-0.3274	0.1911	-0.0013
Ragged Island	-75.7314	22.2097	coastal	-0.6412	-0.8878	-0.1293	-0.0033
Ragged Island	-75.7276	22.2045	mangrove	-2.9188	1.5792	-0.0034	0.0099
Ragged Island	-75.7270	22.1973	mangrove	-1.2210	0.7285	-0.0721	-0.0028
South Andros	-77.6050	24.2027	mangrove	-3.9253	0.4734	0.0477	-0.0005
South Andros	-77.5936	24.1289	coppice	6.1152	-0.4925	0.0349	0.0012
South Andros	-77.5453	24.0764	coastal	-0.7933	-0.1248	-0.0887	-0.0004

Table S3: Proportion of variance explained by the first four principal components on each island, as well as across the whole archipelago.

Island	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	Total
Abaco	0.400	0.279	0.147	0.079	0.906
Bimini	0.502	0.208	0.160	0.051	0.921
Cayman Brac	0.438	0.190	0.155	0.105	0.888
Eleuthera	0.490	0.233	0.138	0.066	0.926
Little Cayman	0.441	0.212	0.176	0.078	0.907
Long Island	0.515	0.205	0.161	0.043	0.925
North Andros	0.560	0.170	0.152	0.054	0.937
Ragged Island	0.483	0.226	0.127	0.072	0.907
South Andros	0.488	0.247	0.146	0.067	0.948
Archipelago	0.473	0.197	0.164	0.079	0.913

Table S4: Pearson's correlation test between dewlap brightness, as measured by the average reflectance between 300 and 700nm in wavelength, and PC1 scores, for all islands and across the whole archipelago. ***, P < 0.001.

Island	r^2	P	
Abaco	0.908	< 0.0001	***
Bimini	0.999	< 0.0001	***
Cayman Brac	0.987	< 0.0001	***
Eleuthera	0.963	< 0.0001	***
Little Cayman	0.965	< 0.0001	***
Long Island	0.986	< 0.0001	***
North Andros	0.994	< 0.0001	***
Ragged Island	0.978	< 0.0001	***
South Andros	0.979	< 0.0001	***
Archipelago	0.976	< 0.0001	***

Table S5: Henze-Zirkler's test of multivariate normality, performed on principal components in each habitat and on each island. HZ, test statistic. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

Island	Habitat	HZ	P	
Abaco	coastal	1.10	0.0027	**
Abaco	coppice	1.07	0.0022	**
Abaco	mangrove	1.06	0.0023	**
Bimini	coastal	1.28	0.0001	***
Bimini	coppice	0.85	0.0482	*
Bimini	mangrove	1.19	0.0001	***
Cayman Brac	coastal	0.65	0.5311	
Cayman Brac	coppice	0.70	0.3940	
Cayman Brac	mangrove	0.66	0.5357	
Eleuthera	coastal	1.61	0.0000	***
Eleuthera	coppice	1.48	0.0000	***
Eleuthera	mangrove	0.73	0.1423	
Little Cayman	coastal	0.62	0.6599	
Little Cayman	coppice	0.64	0.4867	
Little Cayman	mangrove	0.87	0.0413	*
Long Island	coastal	0.82	0.1468	
Long Island	coppice	0.92	0.0150	*
Long Island	mangrove	0.77	0.1289	
North Andros	coastal	0.66	0.3174	
North Andros	coppice	0.76	0.0900	
North Andros	mangrove	0.67	0.3185	
Ragged Island	coastal	0.76	0.2268	
Ragged Island	coppice	0.80	0.1115	
Ragged Island	mangrove	0.54	0.9022	
South Andros	coastal	0.66	0.3451	
South Andros	coppice	0.66	0.3154	
South Andros	mangrove	0.91	0.0144	*

Table S6: Box's M-test of homogeneity of covariance matrices across habitats on each island. χ^2 , test statistic. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.

Island	χ^2	df	P	
Abaco	47.1	20	0.0006	***
Bimini	36.0	20	0.0152	*
Cayman Brac	36.9	20	0.0120	*
Eleuthera	44.6	20	0.0013	**
Little Cayman	32.8	20	0.0356	*
Long Island	56.2	20	0.0000	***
North Andros	33.7	20	0.0283	*
Ragged Island	29.3	20	0.0824	
South Andros	46.5	20	0.0007	***

Table S7: Shapiro-Wilk's test of univariate normality performed on each island where significant differences were detected by SVM classification, in each habitat where deviations from multivariate normality were detected. W , test statistic. *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$.

Island	Habitat	Variable	W	P
Abaco	coastal	PC1	0.954	0.0941
Abaco	coastal	PC2	0.927	0.0112 *
Abaco	coastal	PC3	0.973	0.4228
Abaco	coastal	PC4	0.955	0.1027
Abaco	coppice	PC1	0.970	0.6776
Abaco	coppice	PC2	0.816	0.0005 ***
Abaco	coppice	PC3	0.930	0.0976
Abaco	coppice	PC4	0.941	0.1711
Abaco	mangrove	PC1	0.881	0.0155 *
Abaco	mangrove	PC2	0.869	0.0093 **
Abaco	mangrove	PC3	0.986	0.9873
Abaco	mangrove	PC4	0.939	0.2044
Bimini	coastal	PC1	0.821	0.0000 ***
Bimini	coastal	PC2	0.960	0.1854
Bimini	coastal	PC3	0.856	0.0002 ***
Bimini	coastal	PC4	0.945	0.0611
Bimini	coppice	PC1	0.911	0.1648
Bimini	coppice	PC2	0.958	0.6927
Bimini	coppice	PC3	0.953	0.6146
Bimini	coppice	PC4	0.971	0.8953
Bimini	mangrove	PC1	0.884	0.0536
Bimini	mangrove	PC2	0.976	0.9363
Bimini	mangrove	PC3	0.982	0.9805
Bimini	mangrove	PC4	0.975	0.9232
Eleuthera	coastal	PC1	0.909	0.0461 *
Eleuthera	coastal	PC2	0.886	0.0157 *
Eleuthera	coastal	PC3	0.906	0.0390 *
Eleuthera	coastal	PC4	0.962	0.5293
Eleuthera	coppice	PC1	0.922	0.0567
Eleuthera	coppice	PC2	0.954	0.3055
Eleuthera	coppice	PC3	0.781	0.0001 ***
Eleuthera	coppice	PC4	0.901	0.0188 *
Little Cayman	mangrove	PC1	0.907	0.1024
Little Cayman	mangrove	PC2	0.904	0.0924
Little Cayman	mangrove	PC3	0.739	0.0005 ***
Little Cayman	mangrove	PC4	0.973	0.8802
Long Island	coppice	PC1	0.686	0.0003 ***
Long Island	coppice	PC2	0.848	0.0210 *
Long Island	coppice	PC3	0.931	0.3188
Long Island	coppice	PC4	0.904	0.1280
South Andros	mangrove	PC1	0.787	0.0067 **
South Andros	mangrove	PC2	0.861	0.0500 *
South Andros	mangrove	PC3	0.697	0.0008 ***
South Andros	mangrove	PC4	0.950	0.6411

Table S8: Univariate ANOVAs performed on each principal component across the whole archipelago. Legend is the same as for Table 1, except that best fitting models 3 and 4 refer to the mixed effect equivalents to the OLS and GLS model, with island as a random effect (see Methods).

Variable	Best fit	df	AICc	ΔAICc	AICcw	df _{LRT}	Log-lik.	χ^2	P
PC1	3	5	3749.9	-228.3	0.613	2	-1874.7	8.69	0.0130 *
PC2	4	7	3002.2	-162.3	0.976	2	-1496.2	17.76	0.0001 ***
PC3	4	7	2826.3	-175.4	0.968	2	-1407.8	7.03	0.0298 *
PC4	4	7	2015.7	-305.8	0.519	2	-1000.1	0.47	0.7914

Table S9: Mean SVM classification accuracy per island, over all replicates and cross-validation bins. N , number of observations per island; p_{test} , proportion of the data sampled to form the training set; n_{test} , number of observations in the testing set. P-values indicate deviations from the expected null binomial distribution, with n_{test} events per island and random guess success probability 1/3. *, $P < 0.05$, **, $P < 0.01$, ***, $P < 0.001$.

Island	Accuracy	N	p_{test}	n_{test}	P
Abaco	0.612	86	0.2	17	0.0080 **
Bimini	0.547	67	0.2	13	0.0347 *
Cayman Brac	0.721	50	0.2	10	0.0034 **
Eleuthera	0.437	56	0.2	11	0.2890
Little Cayman	0.734	45	0.2	9	0.0083 **
Long Island	0.651	53	0.2	10	0.0197 *
North Andros	0.453	28	0.2	5	0.2099
Ragged Island	0.364	50	0.2	10	0.4407
South Andros	0.600	31	0.2	6	0.1001

Table S10: Results of nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis tests performed on each variable on each island where deviations from normality were detected.

Island	Variable	χ^2	df	P
Abaco	PC1	0.74	2	0.6924
Abaco	PC2	23.13	2	0.0000 ***
Bimini	PC1	7.38	2	0.0250 *
Bimini	PC3	15.17	2	0.0005 ***
Little Cayman	PC3	19.95	2	0.0000 ***
Long Island	PC1	10.98	2	0.0041 **
Long Island	PC2	4.02	2	0.1339

Table S11: Individual-based permutation tests of spatial autocorrelation within islands. P-values were computed from 1,000 permutations of individual site-labels. Pearson's coefficient r measures the correlation between distances in color space and geodesic distances among the sites. N , number of sites. *, $P < 0.05$.

Island	r	P	N
Abaco	-0.213	0.817	7
Bimini	0.044	0.510	4
Cayman Brac	-0.010	0.465	3
Eleuthera	0.816	0.015	5 *
Little Cayman	-0.688	0.684	3
Long Island	-0.189	0.579	4
North Andros	0.730	0.199	3
Ragged Island	0.706	0.114	4
South Andros	-0.852	0.776	3