## Numerical Optimal Control Course at IfA, ETH Zurich on Feb-May 2011, by Prof. M. Diehl (K.U. Leuven)

## Exercise 4: Simultaneous Optimal Control with Gauss-Newton

Moritz Diehl and Robin Vujanic

In this fourth exercise we use the simultaneous approach with SQP and a Gauss-Newton Hessian to solve the optimal control problem.

1. Throughout this exercise sheet, we regard again the discrete time system

$$x_{k+1} = \Phi(x_k, u_k)$$

that is generated by one RK4 step applied to the controlled nonlinear pendulum. Its state is  $x = (p, v)^T$  and the ODE  $\dot{x} = f(x, u)$  is with  $C := 180/\pi/10$  given as

$$f(x,u) = \begin{bmatrix} v(t) \\ -C\sin(p(t)/C) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t). \tag{1}$$

We use again a time step  $\Delta t = 0.2$  and recall that one RK4 step is given by

$$k_1 = f(x_k, u_k) (2)$$

$$k_2 = f(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t \cdot k_1, u_k) \tag{3}$$

$$k_3 = f(x_k + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t \cdot k_2, u_k) \tag{4}$$

$$k_4 = f(x_k + \Delta t \cdot k_3, u_k) \tag{5}$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + \Delta t \frac{1}{6} (k_1 + 2k_2 + 2k_3 + k_4)$$
 (6)

Write the function  $\Phi(x_k, u_k)$  as a MATLAB code encapsulated in a single function [xnew]=RK4step(x,u)

2. Now we choose again the initial value  $\bar{x}_0 = (10,0)^T$  and N = 50 time steps. We also define bounds on the sizes of p, v, and u, namely  $p_{\text{max}} = 10$ ,  $v_{\text{max}} = 10$ , i.e.  $x_{\text{max}} = (p_{\text{max}}, v_{\text{max}})^T$ , and  $u_{\text{max}} = 3$ . The optimization problem we want to solve is

given by

subject to 
$$\bar{x}_0 - x_0 = 0$$
, (7b)  
 $\Phi(x_k, u_k) - x_{k+1} = 0$ , for  $k = 0, ..., N - 1$ , (7c)  
 $x_N = 0$ , (7d)  
 $-x_{\text{max}} \le x_k \le x_{\text{max}}$ , for  $k = 0, ..., N - 1$ , (7e)  
 $-u_{\text{max}} \le u_k \le u_{\text{max}}$ , for  $k = 0, ..., N - 1$ . (7f)

$$\Phi(x_k, u_k) - x_{k+1} = 0, \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, N-1,$$
 (7c)

$$x_N = 0, (7d)$$

$$-x_{\text{max}} \le x_k \le x_{\text{max}}, \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, N-1, (7e)$$

$$-u_{\text{max}} \le u_k \le u_{\text{max}}, \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, N - 1.$$
 (7f)

Summarizing the variables of this problem in a vector  $w = (x_0, u_0, \dots, u_{N-1}, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of dimension n=152, formulate in the space below the nonlinear function G(w), Hessian matrix H, and bounds  $w_{\text{max}}$  such that the above problem is an NLP of the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{minimize} & w^T H w \\
w \in \mathbb{R}^{152}
\end{array} \tag{8a}$$

subject to 
$$G(w) = 0$$
, (8b)

$$-w_{\text{max}} \le w \le w_{\text{max}}.$$
 (8c)

Define what  $H_x$  and  $H_u$  need to be in the Hessian

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_x & & & & \\ & H_u & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & H_x \end{bmatrix}, \quad H_x = \begin{bmatrix} & & \end{bmatrix} \qquad , H_u = \begin{bmatrix} & & \end{bmatrix} \qquad .$$

For G, use the same ordering as in the OCP above:

$$G(w) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \end{bmatrix} \quad w_{\text{max}} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

Construct the matrix H and vector  $w_{\text{max}}$  in MATLAB, and write a MATLAB function [G] = Gfunc(w).

3. Check if your function G(w) does what you want by writing a forward simulation function [w]=simulate(x0,U) that simulates, for a given initial value  $x_0$  and control profile  $U = (u_0, \ldots, u_{N-1})$ , the whole trajectory  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  and constructs from this the full vector  $w = (x_0, u_0, x_1, \dots, x_N)$ . If you generate for any  $x_0$  and U a vector wand then you call your function G(w) with this input, nearly all your residuals should be zero. Which components will not be zero?

As a test, simulate e.g. with  $x_0 = (5,0)$  and  $u_k = 1, k = 0, \ldots, N-1$  in order to generate w, and then call G(w), to test that your function G is correct.

4. The SQP with Gauss-Newton Hessian (also called constrained Gauss-Newton method) solves a linearized version of this problem in each iteration. More specific, if the current iterate is  $\bar{w}$ , the next iterate is the solution of the following QP:

minimize 
$$w^T H w$$
 (9a)  
 $w \in \mathbb{R}^{152}$  subject to  $G(\bar{w}) + J_G(\bar{w})(w - \bar{w}) = 0$ , (9b)

subject to 
$$G(\bar{w}) + J_G(\bar{w})(w - \bar{w}) = 0,$$
 (9b)

$$-w_{\text{max}} \le w \le w_{\text{max}}.$$
 (9c)

Important for implementing the Gauss-Newton method is the computation of the Jacobian  $J_G(w) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial w}(w)$ , which is block sparse with as blocks either (negative) unit matrices or the partial derivatives  $A_k = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x}(x_k, u_k)$  and  $B_k = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial u}(x_k, u_k)$ . Fill in the corresponding blocks in the following matrix

- 5. Today we compute the Jacobian  $J_G(w)$  just by finite differences, perturbing all 152 directions one after the other. This needs in total 153 calls of G. Give your routine e.g. the name [G,J]=GfuncJacSlow(w). Compute  $J_G$  for a given w (e.g. the one from above) and look at the structure this matrix, e.g. using the command spy(J).
- 6. Now learn how to use the MATLAB QP solver quadprog e.g. by calling help quadprog.
- 7. Write a function [wplus]=GNStep(w) that performs one SQP-Gauss-Newton step by first calling [G, J]=GfuncJac(w) and then solving the resulting QP (9) using quadprog. Note that the QP is a very sparse QP but that this sparsity is not exploited in full during the call of quadprog.
- 8. Write a loop around your function GNStep, initialize the GN procedure at at w=0, and stop the iterations when  $||w_{k+1} - w_k||$  gets smaller than  $10^{-4}$ . Plot the iterates as well as the vector G during the iterations. How many iterations do you need?

- 9. \* [OPTIONAL] A different algorithm is obtained if we overwrite before each call of the function GNStep the values for the states within  $\mathbf{w}$  by the result of a forward simulation, using the corresponding controls and the initial value  $\bar{x}_0$ . Run it again with this modification, using the same zero initialization for the controls. How many iterations do you need now? Do you know to which of the algorithms from the previous exercises this new method is equivalent?
- 10. \* [OPTIONAL] If you like, you can also construct the Jacobian in a computationally much more efficient way, as follows:
  - First write a function [xnew,A,B]=RK4stepJac(x,u) using finite differences with a step size of  $\delta=10^{-4}$ . Here,  $A=\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x}(x,u)$  and  $B=\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial u}(x,u)$ .
  - Using this function [xnew,A,B]=RK4stepJac(x,u), implement a function [G,J]=GfuncJacFast(w).
  - Compare if the result is correct by taking the difference of the Jacobians you obtain by [G,J]=GfuncJacFast(w) and [G,J]=GfuncJacSlow(w).