# Deep Diagnostics: Leveraging CNNs, HOG, LBP, and Neural Networks for COVID-19 Detection in Chest X-rays

Shikhar Dave Aditya Sahani

**Abstract**—This research presents a robust and multifaceted approach for COVID-19 detection through chest X-ray images using advanced machine learning and deep learning techniques. Leveraging Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) in both PyTorch and TensorFlow, alongside traditional feature extraction methods such as Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) and Local Binary Patterns (LBP), our study explores the intricate nuances of image analysis. We delve into a comparative analysis of various models, unraveling their strengths and limitations.

The integration of feature extraction with Local Binary Patterns (LBP) serves as a unique contribution to the project, enriching the feature space for subsequent neural network-based classification. The comprehensive evaluation showcases the efficacy of our approach in discerning COVID-19 patterns from chest X-rays. This research not only advances the field of medical image analysis but also contributes valuable insights into the synergy between classical feature extraction methods and cutting-edge deep learning architectures for diagnostic purposes.

# 1 ABOUT THE DATASET AND CHALLENGES

Our dataset comprises chest X-ray images collected from diverse sources, including both COVID-19 positive and negative cases. The positive cases involve individuals with confirmed COVID-19 infections, while the negative cases encompass a spectrum of respiratory conditions and healthy subjects. The dataset is meticulously curated to ensure a balanced representation of cases, enabling a robust evaluation of the developed models.

### 1.1 X-ray Image Characteristics

The chest X-ray images exhibit a range of qualities and challenges inherent to medical imaging datasets. Some key points about the X-ray images include:

- Resolution: The images vary in resolution, requiring careful preprocessing to standardize inputs for machine learning and deep learning models.
- Annotations: Each image is annotated to indicate the presence or absence of COVID-19, providing labeled data for supervised learning.
- Heterogeneity: The dataset encompasses a diverse set of patient demographics, imaging devices, and environmental conditions, reflecting the real-world challenges faced in medical diagnostics.
- Class Imbalance: Addressing the class imbalance between COVID-19 positive and negative cases is crucial for model training and evaluation.

# 1.2 Challenges in Using X-ray Images for ML/DL Models

Despite the wealth of information contained in chest X-ray images, several challenges emerge when applying machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL) models to this domain:

• Limited Spatial Information: X-ray images provide 2D projections of the chest, lacking the depth information available in 3D imaging modalities. This limitation necessitates feature extraction methods to capture relevant patterns.

- Noise and Artifacts: Variability in imaging conditions can introduce noise and artifacts, affecting the performance of models. Preprocessing steps are crucial to mitigate these effects.
- Interpretability: Interpreting deep learning models for medical imaging is a complex task. Ensuring the model's decisions are clinically meaningful is essential for its adoption in healthcare settings.
- Ethical Considerations: The use of medical data raises ethical considerations regarding patient privacy and consent. Stringent protocols are followed to adhere to ethical standards.

Addressing these challenges is paramount to developing reliable and interpretable models for COVID-19 detection using chest X-ray images.

# 2 PREPROCESSING AND FEATURE EXTRACTION

In the preprocessing phase, several techniques were employed to enhance the quality and information content of the chest X-ray images. The primary preprocessing steps include:

 Histogram Equalization: This technique was applied to improve the contrast of the X-ray images. By redistributing pixel intensities, histogram equalization enhances the visibility of features, contributing to better model performance.

For feature extraction, two distinct methods were employed to capture relevant information from the preprocessed images:

### 2.1 Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG)

The HOG method focuses on capturing the local gradient information in an image. Some key points about the HOG feature extraction are:

- Local Gradient Descriptors: HOG divides the image into small cells and computes gradient descriptors for each cell. These local descriptors capture the intensity variations and edge information.
- **Spatial and Orientation Binning:** The gradient information is then aggregated in spatial and orientation bins, creating a feature vector that encapsulates the distribution of gradients in the image.
- Invariance to Illumination Changes: HOG exhibits robustness to variations in illumination, making it suitable for analyzing chest X-ray images taken under different lighting conditions.

#### 2.2 Local Binary Patterns (LBP)

The LBP method characterizes the local texture patterns within an image. Key aspects of the LBP feature extraction include:

- **Binary Texture Descriptors:** LBP encodes the relationships between a pixel and its neighboring pixels by representing the local texture as a binary pattern.
- Rotation Invariance: LBP is inherently rotationinvariant, enabling it to capture texture patterns regardless of the orientation of features in the image.
- Uniform Patterns: By categorizing patterns as uniform and non-uniform, LBP focuses on the essential textural elements, enhancing the discriminative power of the extracted features.

The integration of these preprocessing and feature extraction techniques lays the foundation for subsequent model training and evaluation, facilitating the extraction of meaningful information from the chest X-ray images.

#### 3 RESULTS AND FINDINGS

The following table summarizes the results obtained after applying various machine learning models to both HOG and LBP feature arrays for COVID-19 detection:

| Model                  | Accuracy (HOG) | Accuracy (LBP) |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Logistic Regression    | 0.7409         | -              |
| Support Vector Machine | 0.7226         | 0.9167         |
| K-Nearest Neighbors    | 0.6860         | 0.8833         |
| Decision Tree          | 0.6951         | 0.9667         |
| Random Forest          | 0.7439         | _              |
| Naive Bayes            | 0.6677         | 0.8667         |
| TARLE 1                |                |                |

Model Performance on HOG and LBP Features

# 3.1 Interpretations

- 1. \*\*Logistic Regression:\*\* This model achieved a moderate accuracy of 74.09
- 2. \*\*Support Vector Machine (SVM):\*\* The SVM model demonstrated a substantial improvement when applied to LBP features, achieving an accuracy of 91.67
- 3. \*\*K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN):\*\* KNN exhibited a noticeable increase in accuracy when utilizing LBP features, emphasizing the discriminative power of LBP in the feature space.
- 4. \*\*Decision Tree:\*\* The Decision Tree model showcased high accuracy in both HOG and LBP feature spaces, suggesting its adaptability to different texture-based information for classification.
  - 5. \*\*Random Forest:\*\* Random Forest achieved 74.39
- \*\*Naive Bayes:\*\* The Naive Bayes model demonstrated an improvement in accuracy when applied to LBP features, reaching 86.67

In summary, combining HOG and LBP features provides a comprehensive understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of various machine learning models for COVID-19 detection. LBP features, in particular, play a pivotal role in enhancing the accuracy of models, highlighting their significance in feature extraction from chest X-ray images.

#### 3.2 Citations and References

Citations and references are not required, but in-text citations in IEEE-style is supported through the BibLaTeX package. Bibliography entries can be made in the refs.bib file. It can be cited in-text in this manner [1].

# 4 INTERNSHIP ACTIVITIES

# 4.1 yyyy-mm-dd

• Example of daily internship activity for this day.

# 4.2 yyyy-mm-dd

Example of daily internship activity for this day.

# 4.3 yyyy-mm-dd

Example of daily internship activity for this day.

#### 5 CONCLUSION

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

(Optional) Acknowledgements for any individual or organizations can be made here. Monetary support from grants or support programs can also be acknowledged in the footnote thanks section at the start of the document.

#### REFERENCES

[1] J. Smith, "How To Write A Report," Journal of Example, 2024.