difference between & Kagga and Pp: Dahlen and Tromp 1998, page 350 $C_{\rho} = \sqrt{\frac{K + \frac{4}{3}\mu}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\frac{d + 2\mu}{\rho}}$ $\implies K = d + 2\mu - \frac{4}{3}\mu = d + \frac{2}{3}\mu \quad \text{in 3D}$ Carcione 1993 generalize this to 2D and 3D by writing: (seeds carcion et al 1988 equation (A3)) the writing: (seeds Carcion et al 1988 equation (A3)) the writing the specifical dimension (12 20 11=3) Up is always equal to as, but & Rappa is not equal to ap in general. The formula to convert one to the other is given in Dahlen and Troup (1978) eg (9.59): $Q_{p} = \frac{1 - \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{c_{s}}{c_{p}}\right)^{2}}{1 + \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{c_{s}}{c_{p}}\right)^{2}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{c_{s}}{c_{p}}\right)^{2}}{1 + \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{c_{s}}{c_{p}}\right)^{2}}$ $\frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{C_s}{C_{\theta}} \right)^2$ This formula | a kage is wrong in (f) and cp = Cp(f) are given 2D plane cy at which one wants to convenion (for a constant a strain, see y does not matter); however next page. epresentation of a constant Q it does vary a little bit, because as will slightly roary with of because they scale as (15 tes and 1/2 tes. In 2D plane strain, one spatial dimension is much greater than the others (see for example: http://www.engineering.ucsb.edu/~hpscicom/projects/stress/introge.pdf) and thus kappa = lambda + mu in 2D plane strain (instead of kappa = lambda + 2/3 mu in 3D).

See for example equation 6 in http://cherrypit.princeton.edu/papers/paper-99.pdf. In 2D axisymmetric I think the 2/3 coefficient is OK, but it would be worth doublechecking.