

Instructions

You will be given two versions of a sentence: before and after editing. It is your job to determine one or more categories that the sentence fits into.

There are definitions of each category, examples of each category with an explanation, and then 6 questions to answer.

Not knowing the answer is ok; part of this is understanding why certain categories are harder to understand than others.

Good luck!

Categories

Correction: Fixes spelling, grammar or formatting without changing the meaning or specificity of a sentence.

Domain knowledge: You need further understanding of the subject to know what categories best fit.

Elaboration: Adds new information to a sentence such as a claim, piece of evidence, or explanation.

PDF required: The original PDF contains information not shown in the sentence, which is needed to pick out categories for an example.

Reading flow: Makes or changes connections between different parts of the paper, changing the order in which a reader would move through the document.

Simplification: Removes information such as a claim, a piece of evidence, or an explanation from the sentence.

Word usage: Changes language, tone or style without changing the meaning of the sentence.

Other: None of these labels fit.

Reference Examples

Categories: **simplification, word usage**

Now, in order to reproduce the behavior of the projections of the exact Bohmian trajectories in the system subspace, we can consider the following simple trajectory model, which gathers both decoherence and loss of empty-slit information.

In order to observe such a behavior, we can further proceed with our model as follows.

- Removing "Now" is **word usage** because it doesn't change the claim, evidence, or specificity of the sentence. All it does is change the style/tone of the sentence.
 - The other 3 changes are all **simplification** because the changes remove information from the sentences.
-

Categories: **elaboration, word usage**

Then, we can estimate the logical error rate of the error rate [MATH], which is given by [EQUATION] where [MATH], [MATH] and [MATH].

Given fitting parameters [MATH], [MATH], [MATH] and [MATH], we can estimate the logical error rate for error rates [MATH] by replacing [MATH] with [MATH], which gives [EQUATION] where [MATH], [MATH] and [MATH].

- Inserting "Given fitting parameters [MATH], [MATH], [MATH] and [MATH]" explains what conditions are necessary. This adds information to the sentence, which means this sentence is **elaboration**.
 - Inserting "by replacing [MATH] with [MATH]" further details the process, adding information to the sentence, making it **elaboration** as well.
 - Changing "is given by" to "gives" doesn't add or remove information from the sentence; rather, it changes the style/tone of the sentence: **word usage**.
-

Categories: **correction, word usage, PDF required**

However, due to the interference quenching, the extent of this behavior is reduced in both space and time: in space because the interference effects are

stronger for the innermost trajectories [which give rise to the central peaks in Figure [REF](a)] and in time because the time-of-flight of the neutrons, [MATH], is such that [MATH].

However, due to the interference quenching, the extent of this behavior is reduced in both space and time: in space because the interference effects are stronger for the innermost trajectories [which give rise to the central peaks in Fig. [REF](a)] and in time because the time-of-flight of the neutrons ([MATH] s) is slightly larger than [MATH].

This example is actually **PDF required** because the change from "such that" to "slightly larger than" is actually not a change in meaning. Since the equation inside the [MATH] tags changes, there is no difference in meaning between the sentences, and is simply **word usage**. Knowing that:

- Changing "Figure" to "Fig." is a **correction** because it doesn't change the meaning or phrasing of the sentence.
- Changing "such that" to "slightly larger than" is **word usage** because it's a change in the sentence's phrasing, without changing meaning (note that this is because the original PDF shows that the [MATH] changes.)

Note: **PDF required** is a difficult label. If you can't tell what the label is, but if you could tell if you knew what was in [MATH] or [REF], then it is **PDF required**.

Categories: **reading flow**

In the case [MATH], when a [MATH] error occurs, an [MATH] error and some Pauli errors always occur simultaneously (Sec. [REF]).

In the case [MATH], when a [MATH] error occurs, an [MATH] error and some Pauli errors always occur simultaneously (see Appendix [REF]).

- Changing from a Section to an Appendix changes the order in which the reader would read the paper, so it is **reading flow**.

Categories: **domain knowledge**

However, **with fermion-parity errors**, the outcome of the qubit-charge measurement may be incorrect.

However, **when [MATH] is finite**, the outcome of the qubit-charge measurement may be incorrect.

- Without knowing what "fermion-parity errors" are, it's impossible to know what the authors changed about the sentence. Since fermion-parity isn't common knowledge, this example is **domain knowledge**.

Unlabeled Examples

Question 1

Alternatively, one can choose to repeat the distillation circuit (the entangled state generation part) for once if a failure occurs.

Alternatively, one can choose to repeat the distillation circuit (the entangled-state generation part, **see Fig. [REF]**) for once if a failure occurs.

Choose one or more categories, and write a sentence or two explaining your thoughts.

Categories:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Correction | <input type="checkbox"/> Domain knowledge |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Elaboration | <input type="checkbox"/> PDF required |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reading flow | <input type="checkbox"/> Simplification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Word usage | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Explanation:

Question 2

Note that, in the coordinate representation and for an environment constituted by [MATH] particles, **equation ([REF]) should be obtained after integrating [MATH] over the 3[MATH] environment degrees of freedom, i.e., [EQUATION] with [MATH], [MATH] being a 3-dimensional vector.**

Note that, in the coordinate representation and for an environment constituted by [MATH] particles, **Eq. ([REF]) becomes [EQUATION].**

Choose one or more categories, and write a sentence or two explaining your thoughts.

Categories:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Correction | <input type="checkbox"/> Domain knowledge |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Elaboration | <input type="checkbox"/> PDF required |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reading flow | <input type="checkbox"/> Simplification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Word usage | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Explanation:

Question 3

Notice that despite **the fact that** there is no coherence **here**, trajectories do not cross the symmetry axis **separating** the **regions covered by each slit**.

Notice that despite there is no coherence **(in the sense that the interference terms of the reduced density matrix have been damped out)**, trajectories do not cross the symmetry axis **between** the two slits **because they obey Eq. ([REF]), which contains information about the two slits open simultaneously.**

Choose one or more categories, and write a sentence or two explaining your thoughts.

Categories:

- ☐ Correction
- ☐ Elaboration
- ☐ Reading flow
- ☐ Word usage

- ☐ Domain knowledge
- ☐ PDF required
- ☐ Simplification
- ☐ Other

Explanation:

Question 4

Without **fermion-parity** errors, one can eliminate all errors by iterating distillation circuits to achieve fault-tolerant quantum computing.

Without **charge** errors, one can eliminate all errors by iterating distillation circuits to achieve fault-tolerant quantum computing.

Choose one or more categories, and write a sentence or two explaining your thoughts.

Categories:

- ☐ Correction
- ☐ Elaboration
- ☐ Reading flow
- ☐ Word usage

- ☐ Domain knowledge
- ☐ PDF required
- ☐ Simplification
- ☐ Other

Explanation:

Question 5

Unlike B16, we have simultaneously fit both the Spitzer and K2 data with both transit and Spitzer's systematic models, which has allowed us to reduce residual systematics **by which** the results of B16 **were biased**.

Unlike B16, we have simultaneously fit both the Spitzer and K2 data with both **the** transit model and Spitzer's systematic models, which has allowed us to reduce the residual systematics **that biased** the results of B16.

Choose one or more categories, and write a sentence or two explaining your thoughts.

Categories:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Correction | <input type="checkbox"/> Domain knowledge |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Elaboration | <input type="checkbox"/> PDF required |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Reading flow | <input type="checkbox"/> Simplification |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Word usage | <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

Explanation:

Question 6

When [MATH] (no distillation), [MATH] and [MATH]; when **[MATH]**, [MATH] can be obtained numerically by simulating the distillation circuit.

Without the PP distillation, [MATH] and [MATH]; when **the distillation is performed**, [MATH] can be obtained numerically by simulating the distillation circuit.

Choose one or more categories, and write a sentence or two explaining your thoughts.

Categories:

- ☐ Correction
- ☐ Elaboration
- ☐ Reading flow
- ☐ Word usage

- ☐ Domain knowledge
- ☐ PDF required
- ☐ Simplification
- ☐ Other

Explanation:
