

Algebraic geometry notes

Saran Sankar

Preface

Mostly notes taken during self-study of [Sha13] with some gaps filled in from other sources. Things are reformulated and simplified using the categorical language whenever possible.

Contents

Preface	2
1 Preliminaries	4
1.1 Commutative algebra	4
1.2 Categories and functors	4
2 Schemes	6
2.1 From varieties to spectra	6
2.2 Sheaves	7
2.3 Schemes	8

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Commutative algebra

We begin with some algebraic prerequisites. For more see [AM18].

Definition 1.1.1. A *commutative ring* $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ is an abelian group $(R, +, 0)$ along with an associative binary operator \cdot and a unit element 1 such that for all $x, y, z \in R$

1. $x \cdot y = y \cdot x$,
2. $x \cdot 1 = x$, and
3. $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$

We call a commutative ring $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ simply a *ring* and write it as R when there is no ambiguity. Also we denote $x \cdot y$ by xy .

Definition 1.1.2. An *ideal* of a ring R is a subset $I \subset R$ that is closed under addition $(+)$ and "absorbs" multiplication from elements of R : for all $x \in R$ and $y \in I$, $xy \in I$.

Proposition 1.1.1. *The images and preimages of a prime ideal under ring homomorphisms are prime.*

1.2 Categories and functors

Definition 1.2.1. A *category* \mathcal{C} consists of a collection of *objects*, a set $\mathcal{C}(A, B)$ of *morphisms* between any two objects, an *identity* morphism $id_A \in \mathcal{C}(A, A)$ for each object A , and a composition law

$$\circ : \mathcal{C}(B, C) \times \mathcal{C}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, C) \quad (1.1)$$

for each triple of objects A, B, C . Composition must be associative, and identity morphisms must behave as their names indicate: $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$, $id \circ f = f$, and $f \circ id = f$ whenever the composites are defined.

Definition 1.2.2. A *terminal object* of a category \mathcal{C} is an object T to which there is a unique morphism from each object of \mathcal{C} .

Definition 1.2.3. A *functor* $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ assigns an object $F(A)$ of \mathcal{D} to each object A of \mathcal{C} and a morphism $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ of \mathcal{D} to each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} such that

$$F(id_A) = id_{F(A)} \text{ and } F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f). \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1.2.4. A *natural transformation* $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ between functors $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ consists of a morphism $\alpha_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$ for each object A of \mathcal{C} such that the following diagram commutes for each morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{C} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(B) \\ \downarrow \alpha_A & & \downarrow \alpha_B \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(B) \end{array}$$

2 Schemes

The central objects of our study are schemes, which are mathematical structures that generalize the notion of algebraic varieties in a similar manner to how manifolds generalize subsets of Euclidean spaces. An algebraic variety is often defined as a set of solutions of a system of polynomials over some field, which gives an algebraic description of geometric objects such as curves and surfaces. It may be noted that varieties and appropriately defined maps between them form a category.

2.1 From varieties to spectra

We may briefly introduce affine algebraic varieties, a type of variety, in order to motivate schemes.

Definition 2.1.1. An *affine algebraic set* X is the set of solutions in an algebraically closed field k of a system of polynomials in $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ for some n .

Definition 2.1.2. An *affine (algebraic) variety* X is an affine algebraic set which is not the union of two proper affine algebraic subsets.

The important observation is that we can associate a "special" ring to any affine variety which reflects all properties of the variety. This is the quotient of the polynomial ring $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ by the ideal I of polynomials that are zero on X :

Definition 2.1.3. Let X be an affine variety and I be the ideal of $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ generated by all polynomials that are zero on X . Then we call the quotient ring $k[X] := k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I$ the *coordinate ring* of X .

The idea is to go in the other direction i.e., to start with an arbitrary ring and associate a geometric object to it. This program will yield the notion of schemes, which is much more general than that of varieties.

A way to recover an affine variety X from its coordinate ring $k[X]$ is to use that fact that there is a one-to-one correspondence between "points" x of X and maximal ideals m_x of $k[X]$. Therefore a first candidate for the geometric object associated with an arbitrary ring A is the set of all maximal ideals of A , which we shall denote by $m\text{-Spec}A$. But this assignment fails to obey functoriality for the following reason.

Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a ring homomorphism. For our assignment to be functorial we must find a morphism between $m\text{-Spec}A$ and $m\text{-Spec}B$. But there is no natural way to do this since for maximal ideals $a \subset A$ and $b \subset B$, neither $f^{-1}(b)$ or $f(a)$ is necessarily maximal.

If we instead consider the set of all prime ideals of A , also called the *spectrum* of A , $\text{Spec } A \supset m\text{-Spec } A$, then this difficulty disappears owing to Proposition 1.1.1.

In other words, we have a contravariant functor from the category of (commutative) rings to the category of spectra (or the category of topological spaces, as we shall see). We derive a justification for the claim that $\text{Spec} A$ is "geometrical" by successfully assigning it a topology.

Definition 2.1.4. The *Zariski topology* of $\text{Spec } A$ is given by setting the collection of closed sets of $\text{Spec } A$ as

$$\{V_I: I \text{ is an ideal of } A\}$$

where V_I is the set of all prime ideals containing I .

It can be checked that this indeed defines a topology.

2.2 Sheaves

Along with $\text{Spec } A$, the building blocks of a scheme is given by sheaves. We first define a weaker notion, namely that of a presheaf.

Definition 2.2.1. Let X be a topological space and $\text{Open}(X)$ be the category in which the objects are open sets of X and morphisms are inclusion maps. A *presheaf* is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \text{Open}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where \mathcal{C} is some category.

The maps $\mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ where $U \subset V$ are open sets of X is denoted by ρ_U^V . If \mathcal{C} is the category of groups, rings or modules then we say \mathcal{F} is a presheaf of groups, rings or modules respectively.

Example 2.2.1. *Presheaf of the rings of C^0 functions defined on open sets of X . Here the homomorphisms ρ_U^V give restrictions of functions $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to U , i.e. $\rho_U^V(f) = f|_U$.*

The most important presheaf for us is the structure presheaf on $\text{Spec } A$:

Definition 2.2.2. The *structure presheaf* of $\text{Spec } A$, denoted by \mathcal{O} , is the contravariant functor given by

$$\mathcal{O}(U) = \varprojlim \mathcal{O}(D(f)) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\rho_U^V(\{v_\alpha\}) = \{v_\beta\} \tag{2.2}$$

where

It can be verified that \mathcal{O} is a functor as claimed.

Definition 2.2.3. Suppose the category \mathcal{C} has a terminal object T (see Definition 1.2.2). Then a *section* of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is a morphism $u : T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$.

Note that if $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is a set then u can be understood as "picking out" an element (say t_u) in $\mathcal{F}(U)$. Thus for any morphism v from $\mathcal{F}(U)$ to some object C in \mathcal{C} , we can regard the composition $v \circ u$ as a generalization of $v(t_u)$. With this given, we define a sheaf as a special case of presheaves:

Definition 2.2.4. A *sheaf*

Example 2.2.2. The presheaf in Example 2.2.1 and the structure presheaf on $\text{Spec } A$ are sheaves.

2.3 Schemes

First we introduce the category of ringed spaces.

Definition 2.3.1. A *ringed space* is a pair (X, \mathcal{O}) where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O} is a sheaf of rings. A *morphism of ringed spaces* $\varphi : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ along with a natural transformation ψ from \mathcal{O}_Y to $\mathcal{O}_X \circ \varphi^{-1}$.

Note that naturalness means ψ is a collection of homomorphisms $\psi_U : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(U))$ for open sets $U \subset Y$ such that the following diagram commutes for all open sets $U \subset V$

It can be checked that for any ring A , $(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ where \mathcal{O}_A is the structure sheaf on $\text{Spec } A$ is a ringed space. We denote this simply as $\text{Spec } A$ from now on. Finally we define a scheme:

Definition 2.3.2. A *scheme* is a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}) for which every point has a neighborhood U such that the ringed space $(U, \mathcal{O}|_U)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } A$ for some ring A .

Bibliography

- [AM18] Michael Francis Atiyah and Ian Grant Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. CRC Press, 2018.
- [Sha13] Igor R Shafarevich. *Basic algebraic geometry 2: schemes and complex manifolds*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.