

USDA National School Lunch Program Time Series Analysis

Scout Leonard

11/16/2021

Contents

My Question:	1
Background	1
Data Description	2
Data Quality	3
Analysis Plan	3
Total Participation in NSLP	3
Free-Eligible Participation in NSLP	3
Summarize results visually and in words	3
Results for All Students	4
Results for Free-Eligible Students	5
Next steps and future directions	5
References	6

My Question:

My analysis seeks to explore the questions: Is there seasonality in how U.S. school food programs feed students? What about long-term trends, and how did any trends change in 2020 during school closures from the Covid-19 pandemic?

I have previous experience working in school food systems to expand access to this resource for school communities, but you need no time in cafeterias to guess that there would be seasonality in feeding kids. Students off for summer means fewer students participating in the NSLP in July and August. I was more interested in understanding seasonal differences within the school year: for example, *does the US feed more students in fall compared to winter?*

Background

The National School Lunch Program (NSLP) is an enormous food system with major implications for equity in American K-12 education systems. Every day, NSLP provides ~30 million children school lunch at free or reduced prices (1). It operates in public and nonprofit private schools and residential childcare facilities (2).

To provide meals at free and reduced cost to students, participating school districts are reimbursed cash subsidies for every qualifying meal they serve. To qualify for subsidy, meals served by Nutrition Services operators must meet federal meal pattern policies which define meal content around qualifying food group combinations, sugar content, etc.

**** something about impact of access to nutrition on student behavior and performance, with sources cited!

Data Description

The Food and Nutrition Services sector of the USDA offers monthly and annual reports of national participation in the National School Lunch Program and other school meal programs subsidized by the USDA (breakfast, seamless summer, supper, and snacks).

To answer my questions...


My analysis seeks to explore the questions: Is there seasonality in how U.S. school food programs feed students? What about long-term trends, and how did any trends change in 2020 during school closures from the Covid-19 pandemic?

... I used the National Level Monthly Data for the National School Lunch Program, which comes in publicly available .pdf and .xlsx formats. I downloaded the .xlsx format of the monthly data and did some tidying in Excel to make sure the .csv version would be friendly with R; there were some formatting issues, like merged cells that included titles, not observations, as rows, which I eliminated before downloading as a .csv in my R project.

Even after this manipulation in excel, there were changes to be made for easier manipulation of my monthly lunch data dataframe. Here, I give columns more descriptive names, remove odd columns that were added to the dataframe when I read in the .csv, mutate columns to exclude “%” “-” or spaces that R cannot make sense of.

Last, I make sure the class of each column is correct. All of the columns were of class `numeric` when read in, so I mutated the `month` column to `yearmon` using the `zoo` package, and everything else to numeric.

Finally, to have an initial look at my data, I make simple line graphs here:



At a first glance, I can see that there are seasonal dips in NSLP lunch participation in the summers, as expected. There also appears to be a dip in participation before January, which I would suspect is due to holiday recesses. It seems that the start of the school year does have higher participation than any of the winter and spring months, though.

I can see that participation dropped dramatically when schools closed, by about $2/3$ for all students, and by $1/2$ for just the students who qualify for free lunch.

Data Quality

Every school day, school cafeteria staff at participating NSLP schools report meals claimed by students who qualify for free- and reduced-priced lunches. Having supported cafeteria programs in previous work, this makes me wonder about biases in reporting. Something I find interesting about school nutrition programs is that their budgets operate independently from a school district's budget and general fund (3). In school districts with higher budgets, there may be some support from the district, but in many cases, nutrition programs rely on federal reimbursements to pay for staffing, food, and supplies and equipment. This differential funding may lead to shorter staffing and more shortcuts in properly counting reimbursable meals on students' trays: a challenge my colleagues and I witnessed in short-staffed districts nationally, which are often the sites with high proportions of students who depend the NSLP. I am sure there are other factors that may make the data biased in ways I cannot imagine, but this is one that that I imagine would impact the accuracy of reported student participation, possibly suppressing seasonal trends.

Analysis Plan

My analysis is split into two sections: one for all lunches served for the 2017-2020 SYs and one for all of the lunches served which qualified for whole reimbursement from the USDA NSLP, or free lunches. I thought it might be interesting to see if seasonality and long term trends compare between the whole group and the subgroup.

For both, I create a tsibble with the months as class `yearmonth` and the total lunches served per month, then create an additive classical decomposition model.

I then use this model to create an autoplot which helps to visualize the presence of seasonality and long-term trends in the data for both groups.

Finally, I generate an autocorrelation function with a lag of 12 because I want to see how much participation in one month is correlated with participation for the rest of the school year.

Total Participation in NSLP


Free-Eligible Participation in NSLP

Summarize results visually and in words

Ultimately, my results showed that there is only a statistically significant correlation between student participation in month 1 and students participation in months 2, 3, 11, and 12. I interpret this as demonstrating that the NSLP is feeding children differently across the school year, and that participation during the first month of the year can only tell us something about participation for $1/4$ of the year.

Results for All Students

Series monthly_tsib




The results of my decomposition function autoplot demonstrate a stronger seasonal effect in NSLP participation among all participating students. We can see declines in participation during the summer months, as we would expect but also changes in participation during the school year. I predict that because the data is reported as a monthly total, that there are seasonal decreases in participation during months with school breaks (in winter and spring), and so I am not sure that there is evidence enough to say that the NSLP participating schools and districts feed students differently seasonally.

```
## [[1]]
```

Classical decomposition

total_lunches_served = trend + seasonal + random




```
##
## $title
```

```
## [1] "Classical Decomposition for USDA NSLP Lunch Participation"
##
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "labels"
```


Results for Free-Eligible Students

Series free_tsib



Classical decomposition

total_free_lunches = trend + seasonal + random



Next steps and future directions

References

- (1) <https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/child-nutrition-programs/national-school-lunch-program/>
- (2) <https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/resource-files/NSLPFactSheet.pdf>
- (3) <http://bestpractices.nokidhungry.org/sites/default/files/2020-06/Need%20for%20School%20Nutrition%20Budget%20Relief.pdf>