

# Test Positive Fractions v. Test Positives

Suzanne M. Dufault

January 17, 2018

## Contents

|          |   |          |
|----------|---|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Process</b>                                  | <b>1</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>General Setup</b>                            | <b>1</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Test-Positives Only</b>                      | <b>1</b> |
| 3.1      | At the Null . . . . .                           | 2        |
| 3.1.1    | Variance . . . . .                              | 2        |
| 3.2      | Away from the Null . . . . .                    | 3        |
| 3.2.1    | Recovering the RR . . . . .                     | 3        |
| 3.2.2    | Variance . . . . .                              | 3        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Simulation</b>                               | <b>3</b> |
| 4.1      | Test-Positive Fraction Method Results . . . . . | 3        |

## Abstract

Addressing reviewer's comment: Authors choose  $T = \alpha_I - \alpha_C$ . What would be the problem with choosing  $T' = p_I - p_C$  where  $p_I$  is the average of  $p_{Dj}$  in the intervention clusters and  $p_C$  is the average of  $p_{Dj}$  in the control clusters? Under the null,  $E(T')$  would also be null? I think authors'  $T$  is a better statistic, but it may still be influenced by differences in the incidence of non-arbovirus febrile illnesses between clusters, for example respiratory virus infections that occur at higher rates in more densely populated locations?

## 1 Process

1. I set up an example dataset for 10 clusters with variation between the clusters with respect to Cases and OFIs.
2. I then permuted 10 choose 5 (252) unique treatment allocations.
3. I computed the log(OR) for  $RR = 1$  for each of the permuted allocations using 100 cases and 100 controls assigned to clusters according to their current proportions.

## 2 General Setup

Suppose there are  $2m$  clusters with  $m$  randomly assigned to the intervention and the remainder untreated. How do we determine (via some sort of modified T-test) whether the intervention is working? The cases and controls are distributed as follows:

| Clusters | Treatment | Case Distribution | Control Distribution |
|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|
| 1        | 1         | 0.11              | 0.09                 |
| 2        | 1         | 0.12              | 0.12                 |
| 3        | 1         | 0.10              | 0.10                 |
| 4        | 1         | 0.09              | 0.10                 |
| 5        | 1         | 0.12              | 0.08                 |
| 6        | 0         | 0.06              | 0.11                 |
| 7        | 0         | 0.12              | 0.08                 |
| 8        | 0         | 0.10              | 0.09                 |
| 9        | 0         | 0.10              | 0.11                 |
| 10       | 0         | 0.08              | 0.10                 |

Table 1: Distribution of controls, from which we sample  $n_D$  cases and  $n_{\bar{D}} = r \times n_D$  controls

If we want to sample  $n_D = 1000$  cases and  $n_{\bar{D}} = 1000$  controls, our data looks as follows.

| Clusters | Treatment | Case Distribution | Control Distribution | Cases | Controls |
|----------|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|-------|----------|
| 1        | 1         | 0.1141            | 0.0944               | 114   | 94       |
| 2        | 1         | 0.1183            | 0.1224               | 118   | 122      |
| 3        | 1         | 0.0996            | 0.1012               | 100   | 101      |
| 4        | 1         | 0.0934            | 0.1031               | 93    | 103      |
| 5        | 1         | 0.1162            | 0.0848               | 116   | 85       |
| 6        | 0         | 0.0643            | 0.1079               | 64    | 108      |
| 7        | 0         | 0.1162            | 0.0829               | 116   | 83       |
| 8        | 0         | 0.0975            | 0.0915               | 98    | 92       |
| 9        | 0         | 0.0975            | 0.1118               | 98    | 112      |
| 10       | 0         | 0.0830            | 0.1002               | 83    | 100      |

Table 2: Case and control counts are rounded in order to be sensible. This leads to 1000 cases in this particular scenario and 1000 controls.

### 3 Test-Positives Only

The reviewer described ignoring the controls, such that we only consider the following case-specific data. Because treatment is randomized, this conceptually is a reasonable approach.

|    | Clusters | Treatment | Case Distribution | Cases |
|----|----------|-----------|-------------------|-------|
| 1  | 1        | 1         | 0.1141            | 114   |
| 2  | 2        | 1         | 0.1183            | 118   |
| 3  | 3        | 1         | 0.0996            | 100   |
| 4  | 4        | 1         | 0.0934            | 93    |
| 5  | 5        | 1         | 0.1162            | 116   |
| 6  | 6        | 0         | 0.0643            | 64    |
| 7  | 7        | 0         | 0.1162            | 116   |
| 8  | 8        | 0         | 0.0975            | 98    |
| 9  | 9        | 0         | 0.0975            | 98    |
| 10 | 10       | 0         | 0.0830            | 83    |

Table 3: Example data if we just consider the cases.

Let  $j \in I$  be a cluster  $j$  in the intervention arm and  $j \in C$  be a cluster  $j$  in the control arm. The proposed test statistic:

$$T = (n_D|j \in I) - (n_D|j \in C)$$

where  $(n_D|j \in I)$  is equal to the total number of dengue cases in the intervention arm and  $(n_D|j \in C)$  is equal to the total number of dengue cases in the control arm.

### 3.1 At the Null

#### Total

At the null, we expect half of all cases to fall in each arm  $(n_D/2)$ .

$$E[n_D|j \in C] = E[n_D|j \in I] \tag{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}n_D \tag{2}$$

Hence, at the null,  $T = 0$

$$E[T|n_D] = E[n_D|j \in I] - E[n_D|j \in C] \tag{3}$$

$$= E[n_D|j \in I] - [n_D - E[n_D|j \in I]] \tag{4}$$

$$= 2E[n_D|j \in I] - n_D \tag{5}$$

$$= 2\frac{n_D}{2} - n_D \tag{6}$$

$$= 0 \tag{7}$$

#### 3.1.1 Variance

The variance of  $T$  is estimated as follows:

$$Var(T) = Var(2 \times [n_D|j \in I] - n_D) \tag{8}$$

$$= 2^2 Var([n_D|j \in I]) \tag{9}$$

$$= 2^2 (2m)^2 \left( \frac{2m-m}{2m} \right) \frac{s_x^2}{m} \tag{10}$$

$$= 8ms_x^2 \tag{11}$$

where  $s_x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{m-1}$  is the sample variance of the counts in either the control or intervention clusters.

## 3.2 Away from the Null

### 3.2.1 Recovering the RR

Away from the null:  $n_D = \lambda \times (n_D|j \in I) + (n_D|j \in C)$ . To recover lambda, we can simply take a ratio of the observed totals:

$$\frac{\lambda \times (n_D|j \in I)}{(n_D|j \in C)} = \lambda$$

### 3.2.2 Variance

$$T = \lambda \times (n_D|j \in I) - (n_D|j \in C) \quad (12)$$

$$= \lambda \times (n_D|j \in I) - (n_D - \lambda(n_D|j \in I)) \quad (13)$$

$$= 2 \times \lambda \times (n_D|j \in I) - n_D \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

where  $s_x^2 = \sum_{j \in I} \frac{(n_{D,j} - (\overline{n_D}|j \in I))^2}{m-1}$  is the sample variance. While it is currently expressed for the intervention clusters, we could alternatively choose to estimate the variance of the control clusters.

The standardized test statistic (under the null) is then  $T/\sqrt{8ms_x^2}$ . Since the arms both contain  $m$  clusters, we can average the two estimates of the variance of the total counts in each arm (the pooled variance estimators). Away from the null, we expect the variance to change by a multiple of  $\lambda^2$ . This will result in a decreased variance estimate (assuming  $\lambda < 1$ ).

## 4 Simulation

### 4.1 Test-Positive Fraction Method Results

