

# **ON A MUSIC-READY BRAIN: NEURAL BASIS, MECHANISMS, AND THEIR CONTRIBUTION TO THE LANGUAGE EVOLUTION**

RIE ASANO

*Department of Systematic Musicology, University of Cologne,  
Cologne, Germany  
rie.asano@uni-koeln.de*

EDWARD RUOYANG SHI

*Department of General Linguistics, Universitat de Barcelona  
Barcelona, Spain  
edwardshiruoyangend@gmail.com*

In the past decades, the concept of a ‘language-ready’ brain has stimulated language research from the perspective of evolution and development. However, parallels in research on the music-ready brain is still in its infancy (Arbib & Iriki, 2013; Seifert & Kim, 2006). To promote a comparison at the level of music- and language-readiness, we suggest beat induction as a promising starting point because it seems to be an important feature for every musical culture (Nettl, 2000) and this capacity is already available in newborns and therefore might be innate (Honing et al., 2009; Trainor & Corrigall, 2010; Winkler et al., 2009; Zentner & Eerola, 2010), but it is less pronounced in other primates (Honing et al., 2012; Zarco et al., 2009). The current paper is divided into two sections. In the first section, we propose four fundamental mechanisms for beat induction, namely hierarchical structure processing, auditory-motor coupling, prediction, and social interaction. Thus, we regard beat induction as an active process rather than a mere perception of auditory beats. In the second section, we discuss two approaches investigating evolutionary origins and neurocognitive mechanisms of beat induction. Their relation to components of a language-ready brain is discussed in terms of two (out of seven) criteria introduced by Arbib (2005). One approach emphasizes the role of basal ganglia and dorsal pathway as well as the motor cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuit which give rise to the domain-general properties involved in beat induction and vocal learning, for

example, prediction (Vuust & Witek, 2014) and auditory-motor coupling (Merchant & Honing, 2014; Merchant et al., 2015; Patel & Iversen, 2014; Patel, 2006). Concerning a component of the language-ready brain, these neural mechanisms are hypothesized to be involved in temporal sequence processing, i.e. mapping hierarchical structure to temporal order.

The other approach stresses mechanisms of social interaction as central to investigate the nature of beat induction (Fitch, 2012). We propose to extend the social approach by pointing out the relevance of social learning (Tomasello, 1996) with our Social Learning Hypothesis which claims that imitation-based social learning mechanisms which emerged on the scaffolding of mirror neuron systems shared with monkeys and apes are involved in beat induction. This hypothesis is in line with the view regarding music as primarily social domain (e.g. Overy and Molnar-Szakacs, 2009; Cross, 2011, 2012; Seifert et al., 2013) and is supported by studies of children in social contexts (e.g. Kirschner and Tomasello, 2009) as well as studies of non-human primates in interactive contexts (e.g. Konoike et al., 2012; Nagasaka et al., 2013). Moreover, the generation of auditory temporal prediction during sensorimotor synchronization recruits medial cortical areas (e.g. medial prefrontal cortex) (Pecenka et al., 2013), which is involved in social neural networks (Vogeley & Roepstorff, 2009). Concerning another component of the language-ready brain, complex imitation and its neural correlates in connection with mirror neuron systems is suggested to get more attention in future research on beat induction.

It is worth noting that our social learning hypothesis doesn't deny the biological basis of the beat induction claimed by Honing (2013). Rather, it provides a new possibility to investigate biological foundations of beat induction and their relation to those of speech or language in the light of social cognition. An integrative approach of biological and social perspectives introduced in our paper provides important implications for the growing field of social cognitive neuroscience as well as cultural neuroscience (Han et al., 2013; Knoblich & Sebanz, 2008; Lieberman, 2007; Vogeley & Roepstorff, 2009), playing a significant role in research of language and music evolution.

### **Acknowledgements**

Special thanks to Prof. Uwe Seifert and three anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

### **References**

Arbib, M. A. (2005). From monkey-like action recognition to human language:

- An evolutionary framework for neurolinguistics. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 28(02), 105–124. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X05000038>
- Arbib, M. A., & Iriki, A. (2013). Evolving the Language- and Music-Ready Brain. In M. A. Arbib (Ed.), *Language, Music, and the Brain* (pp. 481–497). Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Cross, I. (2011). The meanings of musical meanings: Comment on “Towards a neural basis of processing musical semantics” by Stefan Koelsch. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 8(2), 116–9. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.plrev.2011.05.009>
- Cross, I. (2012). Cognitive science and the cultural nature of music. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 4(4), 668–77. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2012.01216.x>
- Fitch, W. T. (2012). The biology and evolution of rhythm: unravelling a paradox. In P. Rebuschat, M. Rohrmeier, & I. Cross (Eds.), *Language and music as cognitive systems* (pp. 73–95). Oxford, New York: Oxford University Press. <http://doi.org/10.1093/acprof>
- Han, S., Northoff, G., Vogeley, K., Wexler, B. E., Kitayama, S., & Varnum, M. E. W. (2013). A cultural neuroscience approach to the biosocial nature of the human brain. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 335–359. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-071112-054629>
- Honing, H. (2013). Structure and Interpretation of Rhythm in Music. In D. Deutsch (Ed.), *The Psychology of Music* (Third Edit, pp. 369–404). London: Academic Press. <http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-381460-9.00009-2>
- Honing, H., Ladinig, O., Háden, G. P., & Winkler, I. (2009). Is beat induction innate or learned? Probing emergent meter perception in adults and newborns using event-related brain potentials. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1169(11c), 93–6. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.04761.x>
- Honing, H., Merchant, H., Háden, G. P., Prado, L., & Bartolo, R. (2012). Rhesus monkeys (*Macaca mulatta*) detect rhythmic groups in music, but not the beat. *PloS One*, 7(12), e51369. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051369>
- Kirschner, S., & Tomasello, M. (2009). Joint drumming: social context facilitates synchronization in preschool children. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 102(3), 299–314. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2008.07.005>
- Knoblich, G., & Sebanz, N. (2008). Evolving intentions for social interaction: from entrainment to joint action. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1499), 2021–2031. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0006>
- Konoike, N., Mikami, A., & Miyachi, S. (2012). The influence of tempo upon the rhythmic motor control in macaque monkeys. *Neuroscience Research*, 74(1), 64–7. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.neures.2012.06.002>

- Lieberman, M. D. (2007). Social Cognitive Neuroscience: A Review of Core Processes. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 58(1), 259–289.  
<http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085654>
- Merchant, H., Grahm, J., Trainor, L., Rohrmeier, M., & Fitch, W. T. (2015). Finding the beat: a neural perspective across humans and non-human primates. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 370, 20140093.  
<http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2014.0093>
- Merchant, H., & Honing, H. (2014). Are non-human primates capable of rhythmic entrainment? Evidence for the gradual audiomotor evolution hypothesis. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 7(274).  
<http://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2013.00274>
- Nagasaka, Y., Chao, Z. C., Hasegawa, N., Notoya, T., & Fujii, N. (2013). Spontaneous synchronization of arm motion between Japanese macaques. *Scientific Reports*, 3, 1151. <http://doi.org/10.1038/srep01151>
- Nettl, B. (2000). An Ethnomusicologist Contemplates Universals in Musical Sound and Musical Culture. In N. Wallin, B. Merker, & S. Brown (Eds.), *The origins of music* (pp. 463–472). London: The MIT Press.
- Overy, K., & Molnar-Szakacs, I. (2009). Being Together in Time: Musical Experience and the Mirror Neuron System. *Music Perception*, 26(5), 489–504. <http://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2009.26.5.489>
- Patel, A. D. (2006). Musical rhythm, linguistic rhythm, and human evolution. *Music Perception*, 24(1), 99–104. <http://doi.org/10.1525/mp.2006.24.1.99>
- Patel, A. D., & Iversen, J. R. (2014). The evolutionary neuroscience of musical beat perception: the Action Simulation for Auditory Prediction (ASAP) hypothesis. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience*, 8, 57.  
<http://doi.org/10.3389/fnsys.2014.00057>
- Pecenkova, N., Engel, A., & Keller, P. E. (2013). Neural correlates of auditory temporal predictions during sensorimotor synchronization. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 7(August), 380.  
<http://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2013.00380>
- Seifert, U., & Kim, J. H. (2006). Musical meaning : Imitation and empathy. In *Proceedings of the 9th International Conference on Music Perception & Cognition* (pp. 1061–1070). Bologna: ICMPC & ESCOM.
- Seifert, U., Verschure, P. F. M. J., Arbib, M. A., Cohen, A. J., Fogassi, L., Fritz, T., ... Rickard, N. (2013). Semantics of Internal and External Worlds. In M. A. Arbib (Ed.), *Language, Music, and the Brain* (pp. 203–229). Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press.
- Tomasello, M. (1996). Do Apes Ape? In C. M. Heyes (Ed.), *Social Learning in Animals: The Roots of Culture* (pp. 319–346). London: Academic Press.
- Trainor, L. J., & Corrigan, K. A. (2010). Music acquisition and effects of musical experience. In M. R. Jones, R. R. Fay, & A. N. Popper (Eds.), *Music acquisition and effects of musical experience*.
- Vogele, K., & Roepstorff, A. (2009). Contextualising culture and social

- cognition. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 13(12), 511–516.  
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2009.09.006>
- Vuust, P., & Witek, M. a. G. (2014). Rhythmic complexity and predictive coding: a novel approach to modeling rhythm and meter perception in music. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5(October), 1–14.  
<http://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01111>
- Winkler, I., Háden, G. P., Ladinig, O., Sziller, I., & Honing, H. (2009). Newborn infants detect the beat in music. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(7), 2468–71.  
<http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809035106>
- Zarco, W., Merchant, H., Prado, L., & Mendez, J. C. (2009). Subsecond Timing in Primates: Comparison of Interval Production Between Human Subjects and Rhesus Monkeys. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 102(6), 3191–3202.  
<http://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00066.2009>
- Zentner, M., & Eerola, T. (2010). Rhythmic engagement with music in infancy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(13), 5768–73. <http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000121107>