



Roles of ramR and tet(A) Mutations in Conferring Tigecycline Resistance in Carbapenem-Resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae Clinical Isolates

Sheng-Kang Chiu,^{a,b} Li-Yueh Huang,^c Hsi Chen,^d Yu-Kuo Tsai,^c Ci-Hong Liou,^c Jung-Chung Lin,^a L. Kristopher Siu,^{a,c,e} Feng-Yee Chang,^a Kuo-Ming Yeh^a

Division of Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Tri-Service General Hospital, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China^a; Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China^b; Institute of Infectious Diseases and Vaccinology, National Health Research Institutes, Miaoli, Taiwan, Republic of China^c; Graduate Institute of Microbiology and Immunology, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan, Republic of China^d; Graduate Institute of Basic Medical Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan, Republic of China^e

ABSTRACT Tigecycline is regarded as a last-resort treatment for carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) infections, but increasing numbers of tigecycline-resistant K. pneumoniae isolates have been reported. The tigecycline resistance mechanisms in CRKP are undetermined. This study aimed to elucidate the mechanisms underlying tigecycline resistance in 16 tigecycline- and carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae (TCRKP) isolates. Mutations in tigecycline resistance determinant genes [ramR, acrR, oqxR, tet(A), tet(L), tet(X), tet(M), rpsJ] were assessed by PCR amplicon sequencing, and mutations in ramR and tet(A) exhibited high prevalences individually (81%) and in combination (63%). Eight functional ramR mutation profiles reducing tigecycline sensitivity were verified by plasmid complementation of wild-type and mutant ramR. Using a site-specific mutant, the most frequent RamR mutation, A19V (60%), had no significant effect on tigecycline susceptibility or the upregulation of ramA and acrA. Two tet(A) variants with double frameshift mutations, type 1 and type 2, were identified; type 2 tet(A) is novel. A parent strain transformed with a plasmid carrying type 1 or type 2 tet(A) increased the tigecycline MIC by 8-fold or 4-fold, respectively. Synergistic effects were observed in strains harboring no ramR gene and a mutated tet(A), with an 8-fold increase in the tigecycline MIC compared with that in strains harboring only mutated tet(A) being seen. Overall, mutations in the ramR and tet(A) efflux genes constituted the major tigecycline resistance mechanisms among the studied TCRKP isolates. The identification of strains exhibiting the combination of a ramR deficiency and widespread mutated tet(A) is concerning due to the possible dissemination of increased tigecycline resistance in K. pneumoniae.

KEYWORDS Klebsiella pneumoniae, ramR, tet(A), tigecycline resistance

Tigecycline exhibits expanded-spectrum activity against most clinically significant multidrug-resistant organisms, including carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) (1), with the exception of *Proteus* and *Pseudomonas* spp., which are intrinsically tigecycline resistant (2). Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CRKP), which has increased in global prevalence over the last decade (3, 4), is the most commonly encountered CRE species (5). Tigecycline is regarded as a last-resort treatment for CRKP infections. However, the emergence of tigecycline-resistant *K. pneumoniae* is a serious problem for the treatment of severe CRKP infections (5, 6).

Tigecycline resistance in *K. pneumoniae* is believed to be primarily mediated by the overexpression of genes encoding the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump, which are controlled by the local repressor *acrR* as well as global transcriptional activators, such as *ramA* (1, 2).

Received 24 February 2017 **Returned for modification** 14 March 2017 **Accepted** 15 May 2017

Accepted manuscript posted online 22 May 2017

Citation Chiu S-K, Huang L-Y, Chen H, Tsai Y-K, Liou C-H, Lin J-C, Siu LK, Chang F-Y, Yeh K-M. 2017. Roles of *ramR* and *tet*(A) mutations in conferring tigecycline resistance in carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 61:e00391-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC

Copyright © 2017 American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved. Address correspondence to Kuo-Ming Yeh, kmyeh@ndmctsgh.edu.tw. RamR is a local negative regulator of *ramA*; loss-of-function mutations occurring in this protein cause significant increases in *ramA* expression and *acrAB*-dysregulated tigecycline nonsusceptibility (7). The OqxAB efflux pump, which is also associated with tigecycline resistance, is downregulated by the local repressor *oqxR* (8). In addition to efflux-mediated resistance mechanisms, an alteration in the tigecycline target site of ribosomal protein S10, which is encoded by the *rpsJ* gene, has been described (9, 10). There are other known tigecycline resistance mechanisms conferred by Tet proteins, including those associated with the tigecycline-modifying enzyme Tet(X) (11), the mutated efflux pumps of Tet(A) and Tet(L), as well as the ribosomal protective protein Tet(M), that have not yet been identified in *K. pneumoniae* (12, 13).

Cooperative tigecycline resistance mechanisms have emerged and are a cause for concern (14, 15). The combination of mutated *ramR* and *tet*(A) genes was discovered in a tigecycline-resistant *Salmonella enterica* clinical isolate (15), and mutations in the *ramR* and *rpsJ* genes were identified in the whole-genome sequence of a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemase (KPC)-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolate (9). To date, only a few functional mutations in tigecycline resistance determinant genes have been identified in studies examining CRKP clinical isolates (16). In addition, there have been no functional studies investigating combined tigecycline resistance mechanisms.

In this study, we collected 16 tigecycline- and carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (TCRKP) clinical isolates to elucidate their tigecycline resistance mechanisms. The two resistance determinants most commonly identified in these isolates, mutated efflux genes *ramR* and *tet*(A), were cloned and transformed into a tigecycline-susceptible wild-type (WT) *K. pneumoniae* clinical isolate to clarify their contributions to tigecycline resistance individually and in combination.

(This study was presented in part as a poster at the 7th International Congress of the Asia-Pacific Society of Infection Control [ASPIC], Taipei, Taiwan, 2015 [17].)

RESULTS

Tigecycline susceptibility testing of TCRKP clinical isolates. The tigecycline MICs and clinical profiles of the 16 TCRKP clinical isolates used in this study are described in Table 1. The strains demonstrating tigecycline MICs of \geq 4 μ g/ml, which were interpreted to be tigecycline resistant following the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) criteria, were selected for this study. Of the 16 isolates, 10 (63%) had tigecycline MICs of \geq 8 μ g/ml and 4 (25%) had tigecycline MICs of \geq 16 μ g/ml, including 1 with an MIC of 32 μ g/ml.

Identification of tigecycline resistance determinants in TCRKP clinical isolates. Potential tigecycline resistance determinants, specifically, ramR, acrR, oqxR, tet(A), tet(L), tet(X), tet(M), and rpsJ, were identified in the 16 TCRKP isolates by PCR and sequencing (Table 1). Amplicons for ramR were obtained from 14 TCRKP isolates (the exceptions were isolates TR9 and TR12) using published or newly designed primers (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The genes acrR, oqxR, and rpsJ were detected in all of the isolates; tet(A) was found in 13 (81%) isolates (Table 1).

Thirteen isolates (13/16, 81%) showed nucleotide changes in *ramR* or the upstream region in comparison to the sequence of the wild-type *K. pneumoniae* reference strain MGH78578 (GenBank accession number CP000647). The 13 distinct mutation profiles for *ramR* and its upstream sequence are illustrated schematically in Fig. S1 and S2. Six isolates (6/16, 38%) harbored point mutations in the nucleotide sequences upstream of *ramR*, including three mutations in the RamR binding region (G133A in TR8, C126T in TR13, and T125C in TR3) (Fig. S1). Ten isolates (10/16, 63%) harbored frameshift or substitution mutations in RamR (Fig. S2). The most frequent mutation, an A19V substitution, in RamR was observed in six isolates (6/10, 60%) (Table 1). Among isolates with a *ramR* mutation, four isolates harbored substitutions in a DNA-binding domain (A19V and L44R) or a dimerization domain (L111R and E113K). Three isolates with A19V substitutions in RamR also harbored another point mutation (L44R in TR11, L111R in TR1, and E113K in TR2). Truncated RamR proteins were produced by four

TABLE 1 Tigecycline resistance and related characteristics of TCRKP clinical isolates examined in the present study d

	Collection	ion		TGC MIC	Presence of TGC re	esistance determinants [muta	ation(s) occurring in	nucleotide or	protein sequer	nce] ^a			
Isolate	۲r	Site	City	(mg/ml)	ramR upstream	ramR upstream ramR ⁶ acrR oqxR tet(A) ^c tet(acrR	oqxR	tet(A) ^c	tet(L)	tet(X)	tet(M)	rpsJ
TR1	2013	Urine	Taipei	32	+	+ (A19V , L111R)	+	+	+ (type 1)	1	ı	1	+
TR2	2013		Taipei	16	+	+ (A19V , E113K)	+	+	+ (type 1)	ı	I	I	+
TR3	2013		Tainan	16	+ (T125C)	+ (A19V)	+	+	+ (type 1)	ı	ı	ı	+
TR4	2012		Chiayi	16	+	+ $(1 \text{ nt } \Delta(415\text{bp}))$	+	+	+ (type 1)	ı	I	ı	+
TR5	2012		Taipei	12	+	+ (stop194K)	+	+	+ (type 1)	Ι	Ι	I	+
TR6	2012		Taoyuan	12	+ (T55A)	+ (Q122stop)	+ (F204L)	+		ı	I	ī	+
TR7	2012		Taichung	12	+	+ (A19V , Q135stop)	+	+	+ (type 2)	ı	I	ı	+
TR8	2014		Kaohsiung	8	+ (G133A)	+	+	+	+ (type 1)	Ι	Ι	I	+
TR9	2013		Taipei	8	I	1	+	+	+ (type 1)	ı	I	ī	+
TR10	2012		Taoyuan	8	+	+ [11 nt $\Delta(206-216 \text{ bp})]$	+ (IS5 insertion)	+ (V130A)	:	Ι	Ι	I	+
TR11	2013		Taipei	9	+	+ (A19V , L44R)	+	+	+ (type 1)	ı	I	I	+
TR12	2013		Kaohsiung	9	I	1	+	+	+ (type 1)	ı	I	ī	+
TR13	2012		Taipei	9	+ (C126T)	+	+	+	+ (type 1)	Ι	Ι	I	+
TR14	2014		Taipei	4	+ (1 nt Δ(21bp))	+	+	+ (V124D)	+ (type 1)	ı	I	I	+
TR15	2012		Taipei	4	+	+	+	+	+ (type 1)	Ι	Ι	ı	+
TR16	2012		Chiayi	4	+ (A9T)	+ (A19V)	+ (A151V)	+	I	Ι	I	I	+

"Genetic determinants of resistance were detected by performing PCR with gene-specific primers (8, 14, 21, 23). Mutations were determined by comparison with the wild-type reference sequence (ramh, acrik, and ogxik in Klebsiella pneumoniae MGH78578 [GenBank accession number CP000647]) or tet(A) on plasmid RP1 in Escherichia coli [GenBank accession number X00006]).

⁶Bold designations indicate the most frequent point mutation within RamR identified in this study.

⁷Type 1 and type 2 tet(A) mutation profiles were designated in this study. The type 1 tet(A) sequence was identical to that of the E. coli D20-15 RP1 plasmid (GenBank accession number X61367), and type 2 was newly identified in this study.

TGC, tigecycline; A, deletion; nt, nucleotide; bp, base pair; +, presence of PCR product and no change in the nucleotide or amino acid sequence; –, absence of PCR product.

isolates, including TR4 (139 amino acids [aa]), TR7 (134 aa), TR6 (121 aa), and TR10 (68 aa) (Fig. S2).

The *acrR*, *oqxR*, and *rpsJ* sequences with mutations were also compared to the MGH78578 reference sequence (GenBank accession number CP000647). Three isolates with *acrR* mutations (F204L in TR6, A151V in TR16, and an IS5 insertion in TR10) harbored both a *ramR* mutation and *tet*(A), whereas another two isolates with *oqxR* mutations (V130A in TR10 and V124D in TR14) harbored *ramR* mutations only. Among these strains, no mutations in *rpsJ* were detected.

In addition, two Tet(A) variants were identified on the basis of the reference sequences for *Escherichia coli* plasmid RP1 tetracycline resistance determinants (Gen-Bank accession number X00006) and designated the type 1 and type 2 Tet(A) variants. The type 1 Tet(A) variant was found in 12 TCRKP isolates and was identical to that found in the *E. coli* D20-15 RP1 plasmid (GenBank accession number X61367). Only one isolate (TR7) exhibited a novel type 2 Tet(A) variant with a mutation profile of I5R, A93V, G151S, S201A, F202S, V203F, and G268A (Table 1). Both Tet(A) variants harbored double frameshift mutations (S201A, F202S, V203F) (Fig. S3). All 16 TCRKP isolates contained either *ramR* or *tet*(A) mutations, and 13 (81%) TCRKP isolates harbored mutations in both *ramR* and *tet*(A) (Table 1).

Introduction of the *ramR* A19V mutation into the wild-type parent strain. The predominant mutation A19V was introduced into *ramR* in the tigecycline-susceptible parent strain NVT2001, and the isogenic mutant NVT2001::ramR-A19V demonstrated a tigecycline MIC of 0.38 μ g/ml. The A19V site-specific mutant showed the same MIC values for tigecycline as its parent strain, NVT2001.

Complementation with wild-type *ramR* **in TCRKP clinical isolates.** To ascertain the effects of *ramR* mutations on tigecycline susceptibility, the 13 TCRKP isolates harboring mutations in *ramR* and/or the region upstream were complemented with wild-type *ramR* and its upstream region. All TCRKP isolates with the exception of three (TR4, TR6, and TR10) showed less than a 4-fold decrease in their tigecycline MICs after introduction of the wild-type *ramR* (Table S2).

Complementation with TCRKP ramR in the ramR deletion strain. To further elucidate the contribution of ramR mutations to tigecycline susceptibility in the 13 TCRKP isolates on an isogenic K. pneumoniae strain background, the ramR deletion strain NVT2001 Δ ramR was constructed. The ramR deletion strain had a tigecycline MIC of 3 μ g/ml, which was an 8-fold increase compared to the tigecycline MIC for parent strain NVT2001. Eight TCRKP ramR-complemented strains showed the same tigecycline MICs as the ramR deletion strain, suggesting loss-of-function mutations in their ramR genes. Conversely, the other five TCRKP ramR-complemented strains had tigecycline MICs that decreased from 3 μ g/ml to 0.25 μ g/ml, suggesting that their ramR mutations had little or no effect on RamR function. The eight functional ramR mutation profiles consisted of four nonsense mutations causing premature RamR products, three missense mutations leading to combined point substitutions (A19V and L44R, A19V and L111R, and A19V and E113K), and one nucleotide change in the ramR upstream region (C126T) (Table 2).

Relative expression levels of *ramA* and *acrA* in TCRKP isolates and *ramR* mutation strains derived from NVT2001. To speculate on the different genetic changes in *ramR*, the relative *ramA* and *acrA* expression levels of 16 TCRKP isolates were determined by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). Compared to the results for wild-type strain NVT2001, 13 TCRKP isolates overexpressed *ramA*-specific transcripts (9.15- to 59.05-fold) and 3 overexpressed *acrA* (2.18- to 4.1-fold). Among those isolates with the A19V mutation (TR1, TR2, TR3, TR7, TR11, and TR16), not all isolates increased their expression level of *ramA* (0.49- to 59.05-fold) and *acrA* (0.31- to 4.1-fold). In isolate TR15 with wild-type *ramR*, its *ramA* level was high (11.47-fold), while its *acrA* level remained unaffected (Table S3). To further investigate the direct association between the *ramR* mutation profiles and the increased levels of expression of *ramA* and *acrA*, qRT-PCR analysis was performed with a strain into which A19V was

TABLE 2 Tigecycline susceptibility and relative ramA and acrA expression levels of NVT2001 ramR deletion strains harboring wild-type or TCRKP ramR plasmids^c

TGC A		TGC MIC	Fold change in expression compared with that for	Mean fold change in gene transcription level ± SD ^b	
Strain	ramR mutation profile	$(\mu g/ml)$	NVT2001 ΔramR/pACYC177 ^a	ramA	acrA
NVT2001	Wild type	0.38	0.13	0.54 ± 0.01	0.83 ± 0.02
NVT2001 ΔramR		3	1	21.2 ± 2.07	3.25 ± 0.45
NVT2001 ΔramR/pACYC177		3	1	23.19 ± 1.35	4.07 ± 0.35
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-WT	Wild type	0.25	0.08	1	1
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR15	Wild type	0.25	0.08	1.22 ± 0.03	0.89 ± 0.36
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR5	RamR 1-aa extension	0.25	0.08	0.53 ± 0.01	1.61 ± 0.04
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR14	Upstream 1-bp deletion	0.25	0.08	0.37 ± 0.03	1.21 ± 0.09
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR8	Upstream substitution G133A	0.25	0.08	0.33 ± 0.01	1.34 ± 0.02
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR16	RamR substitution A19V + upstream substitution A9T	0.25	0.08	0.92 ± 0.08	1.12 ± 0.02
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR3	RamR substitution A19V + upstream substitution T125C	0.25	0.08	0.61 ± 0.02	1.25 ± 0.03
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR10	RamR frameshift mutation	3	1	22.16 ± 0.04	5.36 ± 0.06
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR4	RamR frameshift mutation	3	1	24.69 ± 1.45	3.99 ± 0.18
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR6	RamR nonsense mutation + upstream substitution T55A	3	1	24.74 ± 0.11	5.04 ± 0.06
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR7	RamR nonsense mutation + RamR substitution A19V	3	1	24.25 ± 0.9	5.13 ± 0.11
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR13	Upstream substitution C126T	3	1	14.32 ± 0.46	8.43 ± 0.04
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR1	RamR substitutions A19V + L111R	2	0.67	9.62 ± 1	2.21 ± 0.14
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-TR2	RamR substitutions A19V + E113K	2	0.67	5.72 ± 0.03	2.62 ± 0.29
NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-TR11	RamR substitutions A19V + L44R	2	0.67	15.21 ± 1.57	3.7 ± 0.14

aVector-only control.

incorporated by site-directed mutagenesis and TCRKP ramR-complemented ramR deletion strains. Increased levels of expression of ramA and acrA in the A19V isogenic mutant compared to those in its NVT2001 parent strain with wild-type ramR were not detected. In addition, in all 8 TCRKP ramR-complemented strains that did not show at least a 2-fold reduction in tigecycline MICs, both their ramA and acrA transcription levels were increased (Table 2).

Introduction of mutated tet(A) into the wild-type or ramR deletion strain. To evaluate the tigecycline resistance effects of mutated tet(A) alone or in combination with a ramR mutation, plasmids harboring type 1 or type 2 mutated tet(A) were transformed individually into the wild-type or ramR deletion strain. The tigecycline MIC of parent strain NVT2001 was 0.38 μ g/ml, whereas that of the type 1 tet(A)complemented strain was 3 µg/ml, an 8-fold increase. The type 2 tet(A)-complemented strain showed an MIC of 1.5 μ g/ml, which was a 4-fold increase. The tigecycline MICs of the type 1 and type 2 tet(A)-complemented strains were 24 μ g/ml and 12 μ g/ml, respectively, which were 63-fold and 32-fold increases, respectively, relative to the tigecycline MIC of the ramR-deficient non-tet(A)-complemented NVT2001 strain. The ramR deficiency increased the tigecycline MICs of the mutants carrying only type 1 or type 2 tet(A) by 8-fold, indicating a synergistic mechanism of resistance for tigecycline (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the tigecycline resistance determinants detected in 16 TCRKP clinical isolates were efflux-altering mutations, including mutations in ramR, acrR, oqxR, and tet(A). Mutations in ramR or tet(A) were specifically identified in all of the examined strains, suggesting that efflux plays a major role in tigecycline resistance in K. pneumoniae. Although various efflux-mediated mechanisms are associated with a low level of resistance to tigecycline (18), it is reasonable to infer that a combination of several efflux mechanisms may exert a synergistic effect on resistance. This study demon-

^bMeasured by qRT-PCR and normalized to the expression levels of NVT2001 ΔramR/pramR-WT (expression level, 1).

cTGC, tigecycline; WT, wild type; aa, amino acid; bp, base pair.

TABLE 3 Tigecycline susceptibility of NVT2001 harboring the *tet*(A) plasmid alone or in combination with a *ramR* deletion

Strain ^a	TGC ^b MIC (µg/ml)	Fold change in MIC compared with that for NVT2001/pACYC177 ^c
NVT2001	0.38	1
NVT2001/pACYC177	0.38	1
NVT2001/ptetA-TR1 (type 1)	3	8
NVT2001/ptetA-TR7 (type 2)	1.5	4
NVT2001 ΔramR	3	8
NVT2001 ΔramR/pACYC177	3	8
NVT2001 ΔramR/ptetA-TR1 (type 1)	24	63
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /ptetA-TR7 (type 2)	12	32

^aThe type 1 and type 2 tet(A) mutation profiles were designated in this study.

strates, for the first time, that the combination of mutated *tet*(A) and a deficiency in *ramR* has synergistic effects on tigecycline resistance in *K. pneumoniae*.

Mutations in *ramR* are commonly detected in clinical strains of fluoroquinolone-resistant *S. enterica* (19), as well as tigecycline-resistant strains of *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Enterobacter aerogenes* (20), and *K. pneumoniae* (21). *ramR* was not detected in two isolates (TR9 and TR12), suggesting that their *ramR* genes may have been truncated or excised, as has already been observed in *S. enterica* clinical strain 75 (19) as well as complete *ramR* deletion mutants of the *K. pneumoniae* KPBj1 M3 Lev mutant (22). This study identified six mutations located upstream of *ramR*, including one nucleotide deletion at position –21 and five point mutations (A9T, T55A, T125C, C126T, and G133A) that have not been previously identified. Two novel point mutations (L44R and L111R) in the RamR protein were identified, in addition to the previously described A19V (18, 23, 24) and E113K (16) mutations.

The A19V RamR substitution mutation was dominant (4/23, 17%) in a study in China (18); it was also the most frequent mutation (6/10, 60%) found in TCRKP strains harboring mutated RamR. Though at least a 2-fold reduction in the tigecycline MICs was observed in TCRKP strains harboring the A19V change in *ramR* upon complementation with a functional *ramR* (see Table S2 in the supplemental material), not all strains showed a significant upregulation of *ramA* and *acrA* relative to the level of regulation in wild-type strain NVT2001 (Table S3), suggesting that other regulation mechanisms may be involved (8). Our site-directed mutagenesis experiments indicated that the A19V substitution may have no effect on the tigecycline MIC, which is in agreement with the qRT-PCR data showing insignificant *ramA* upregulation in that A19V isogenic mutant relative to its levels of expression in its parent strain with wild-type *ramR* (Table S3), as described in *K. pneumoniae* clinical strain TS238, which harbors this mutation (24).

ramR inactivation appears to be the most prevalent mechanism of tigecycline resistance in *K. pneumoniae* (9), although several natural functional mutations of ramR, such as I106F, E113K (16), and S41N plus P46E (24), have been shown to affect ramA expression or tigecycline MIC levels in wild-type ramR-complemented strains (23–25). Following complementation with a plasmid containing wild-type ramR, a high proportion of complemented strains (10/13, 77%) showed less than a 4-fold decrease in their tigecycline MICs (Table S2). In TR15, which has no ramR mutation, a 2-fold decrease in the tigecycline MIC value was observed after complementation with wild-type ramR (Table S2). The results suggest the presence of other tigecycline resistance determinants (2). Thus, an alternative complementation assay was carried out in which mutated TCRKP ramR was introduced into an ramR deletion strain. This approach identified eight functional mutations in ramR in an isogenic background by determination of tigecycline susceptibility and the relative transcriptional levels of ramA and acrA (Table 2).

Though all three of these substitutions (C126T in TR13, T125C in TR3, and G133A in

bTGC, tigecycline.

^cVector-only control.

TR8) exist in the RamR binding site, only the C126T mutation upstream of ramR in TR13 compromised RamR function, resulting in ramA and acrA overexpression in the TR13 ramR-complemented strain relative to their levels of expression in NVT2001 ΔramR/ pramR-WT (Table 2). The result indicated that specific nucleotide mutations in conserved inverted repeat sequences of regulatory elements in the romA-ramA locus, such as a 1-bp substitution (26) or a 2-bp deletion (27), may exert various effects on the DNA-binding affinity of RamR (Fig. S1). In addition, four truncated RamR proteins of different lengths (from 68 to 139 aa) in TR4, TR6, TR7, and TR10 may have lost function due to the absence of the α 8- α 9 region and the interruption of dimerization (Fig. S2) (28). Therefore, the function of the upstream substitution T55A in TR6 with an incomplete RamR lacking the α 8- α 9 region could not be ascertained in this study. Moreover, further study will be required to determine whether the three novel combined substitutions, A19V and L44R, A19V and L111R, and A19V and E113K, disrupt the RamR protein structure for dimerization or DNA binding.

Additional mutations detected in the Tet(A) major efflux pump of TCRKP type 1 and type 2 tet(A)-complemented strains were found to confer tigecycline resistance that may have resulted from double frameshift mutations (S201A, F202S, V203F) within the interdomain loop C3 region of the Tet(A) protein, affecting the substrate specificity of tigecycline (13-15), as previously identified in Salmonella species (13). However, in another study, the interdomain loop mutation detected in E. coli isogenic mutants had no significant effect on tigecycline susceptibility (29), which may have been attributable to the expression plasmid system or the plasmid copy numbers. Furthermore, type 1 Tet(A) mutations were detected at a high frequency (75%) in the TCRKP isolates and were found in 1,257 K. pneumoniae sequences in GenBank (described in Materials and Methods), raising concern over the evolution of tigecycline resistance.

Strains complemented with mutated tet(A) and deficient in ramR had tigecycline MICs greater than 8 μ g/ml and showed an 8-fold increase in tigecycline MICs compared with those of strains deficient in ramR only, which is higher than the 2-fold increase reported in S. enterica (14). Thus, the coexistence of two mechanisms contributing to low-level resistance may be associated with an increased risk for high-level tigecycline resistance in K. pneumoniae clinical isolates.

Conclusion. According to the findings of this study, mutant ramR and tet(A) tigecycline resistance determinants are prevalent in TCRKP isolates, and their functional gene mutations were identified. Furthermore, an ramR deficiency in the presence of a mutated tet(A) exerts synergistic effects on tigecycline resistance in K. pneumoniae. The combination of a deficiency in ramR and widespread mutated tet(A) should be of concern due to the potential evolution and dissemination of high-level tigecycline resistance in K. pneumoniae.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. The bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 4. TCRKP clinical isolates were selected from a nationwide surveillance study of carbapenemnonsusceptible K. pneumoniae isolates in Taiwan (30). The TCRKP isolates were collected from patients between January 2012 and December 2014. With the exception of isogenic mutants, the strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) or brain heart infusion (BHI) medium at 37°C. Transformants harboring antibiotic resistance-conferring plasmids were grown in medium supplemented with 50 μ g/ml kanamycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) or 100 μ g/ml amikacin (Sigma-Aldrich).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Strains were tested for susceptibility to tigecycline by performing an Etest (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Étoile, France) following the manufacturer's instructions, and Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 was used as the control strain. Tigecycline resistance was defined as a MIC of at least 4 µg/ml, on the basis of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) criteria ($\leq 1 \mu g/ml$ for susceptible, 2 $\mu g/ml$ for intermediate, $\geq 4 \mu g/ml$ for resistant) (31).

Detection and sequence analysis of tigecycline resistance determinant genes. The TCRKP clinical isolates were screened for the tigecycline resistance determinants ramR, acrR, oqxR, rpsJ, tet(A), tet(L), tet(M), and tet(X) by performing PCR with gene-specific primers (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). The PCR products of the open reading frames (ORFs) and the flanking regions of the target genes were purified with a QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Germany) and were sent to Genomics Corporation (New Taipei City, Taiwan) for sequencing. Mutations were characterized by comparing the sequences with those of wild-type reference strains [K. pneumoniae MGH78578 for ramR, acrR, and oqxR (GenBank accession number CP000647) and E. coli plasmid RP1 for tet(A) (GenBank

TABLE 4 Strains used in this study

Strain	Relevant characteristic(s) ^a	Source or reference
Klebsiella pneumoniae		75.5.5.
TR1 to TR16	TCRKP clinical isolates collected from a national surveillance study of CRE (2012–2014) in Taiwan	30
NVT2001	Clinical isolate harboring wild-type ramR; Amp ^r	34
NVT2001::ramR-A19V	NVT2001 with RamR-specific point mutation A19V	This study
NVT2001/pA	NVT2001 with pA (Cm ^r Tet ^r Amk ^r), which consists of a pACYC184 backbone and an <i>armA</i> amikacin resistance gene cassette	This study
TR1-, TR2-, TR3-, TR4-, TR5-, TR6-, TR7-, TR8-, TR10-, TR11-, TR13-, TR14-, TR15-, TR16/pAramR-WT	The indicated TR isolate with pAramR-WT (ramR and its flanking region from a TR isolate cloned into reconstructed pA)	This study
NVT2001 ΔramR	An ramR deletion strain derived from NVT2001	This study
NVT2001 ΔramR/pACYC177	NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> with pACYC177 (Kan ^r Amp ^r)	This study
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /pramR-WT	NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> with pramR-WT (wild-type <i>ramR</i> and its flanking region cloned into pACYC177 [Kan ^r Amp ^r])	This study
NVT2001 <i>ΔramR</i> /pramR-TR1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -10, -11, -13, -14, -15, and -16	NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> with pramR-TR (<i>ramR</i> and its flanking region from a TR isolate cloned into pACYC177 [Kan ^r Amp ^r])	This study
NVT2001/pACYC177	NVT2001 with pACYC177 (Kan ^r Amp ^r)	This study
NVT2001/ptetA-TR1 and -7	NVT2001 with ptetA-TR and <i>tet</i> (A) and its flanking region from a TR isolate cloned into pACYC177 (Kan ^r Ampr)	This study
NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> /ptetA-TR1 and -TR7	NVT2001 Δ <i>ramR</i> with ptetA-TR [<i>tet</i> (A) and its flanking region from a TR isolate cloned into pACYC177 (Kan ^r Amp ^r)]	This study
MGH78578	Multiply drug-resistant K. pneumoniae strain	ATCC
E. coli S17-1 λpir	hsdR recA pro RP4-2 (Tc::Mu Km::Tn7) (λpir)	34

[&]quot;WT, wild type; Ampr, ampicillin resistant; Cmr, chloramphenicol resistant; Tet', tetracycline resistant; Amkr, amikacin resistant; Kanr, kanamycin resistant.

accession number X00006)]. The homology and identity of Tet(A) sequences were analyzed using the BLAST online tool at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/ (accessed 21 January 2017) (32).

Construction of ramR deletion mutants. The suicide vector pUT-KB (33), which contains an R6K origin of replication, mobRP4 mobile transfer element, kanamycin resistance cassette, and sacB counterselection marker, was used to construct plasmids for ramR deletion and site-directed mutagenesis. The nucleotide sequences of ramR and its flanking regions in K. pneumoniae NVT2001 were identical to those of the wild-type reference strain MGH78578 (GenBank accession number CP000647). NVT2001 was used as the parent strain for the construction of the ramR deletion and site-specific mutants. Deletion of ramR in the NVT2001 wild-type strain was achieved via in-frame deletion mutagenesis as previously described (34). Briefly, two DNA fragments derived from the regions upstream and downstream of ramR were PCR amplified using the ramR-Del-AF/ramR-Del-AR and ramR-Del-BF/ramR-Del-BR primer sets, respectively (Table S1). The two amplicons were spliced to create a ramR deletion fragment by overlap extension PCR. The spliced fragment was digested with the Apal and Xbal restriction enzymes and then cloned into pUT-KB, which was similarly digested, resulting in plasmid pramR-Del. For homologous recombination, pramR-Del was transformed into E. coli S17-1 λpir using the heat shock method and was then mobilized into NVT2001 via conjugation. The transconjugants were screened on brilliant green plates containing inositol-nitrate-deoxycholate (BIND) supplemented with kanamycin (50 $\mu g/ml$) to select K. pneumoniae strains harboring pramR-Del, while the growth of non-K. pneumoniae contaminants was effectively suppressed on the BIND plates (33). The selected K. pneumoniae strains were incubated for 6 h in 20 ml of BHI medium in the absence of kanamycin, and then the inocula were spread onto LB medium plates containing 10% sucrose. After double-crossover events had occurred, sucrose-resistant and kanamycinsensitive colonies were selected and screened via PCR and sequencing to identify and verify the mutants with in-frame deletions.

Construction of site-specific mutants. The site-directed mutagenesis of ramR was accomplished using a QuikChange II site-directed mutagenesis kit (Agilent, CA, USA). Wild-type NVT2001 ramR and its flanking regions were PCR amplified with primers ramR-Sdm-WT-F and ramR-Sdm-WT-R (Table S1), digested with Apal and Xbal, and then cloned into pUT-KB, which was similarly digested, generating plasmid pramR-Sdm-WT. A single point mutation (A19V) was introduced into ramR on pramR-Sdm-WT following the manufacturer's protocol using primers ramR-A19V-F and ramR-A19V-R (Table S1), resulting in plasmid pramR-A19V. Transformation, conjugation, and screening for double-crossover NVT2001:: ramR-A19V mutants were performed similarly to the procedures for NVT2001 ΔramR, using plasmid pramR-A19V. The presence of the ramR A19V mutation in selected mutants was confirmed by DNA sequencing.

ramR complementation. Plasmid complementation studies with wild-type or naturally mutated ramR were carried out to validate the functional mutations in ramR or the upstream nucleotide sequence. Although multidrug resistance was observed in our TCRKP clinical isolates, these strains remained susceptible to amikacin. The amikacin resistance gene armA and its flanking region in an amikacinresistant extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing K. pneumoniae strain obtained from the Taiwan

Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance Project (35) were together amplified with primers armA-F and armA-R (Table S1) and then cloned into the pACYC184 vector using BamHI, generating plasmid pA. Wild-type NVT2001 ramR and its flanking regions were PCR amplified with primers ramR-Com-WT-F and ramR-Com-WT-R (Table S1) and cloned into pA using EcoRV, resulting in plasmid pAramR-Com-WT. pAramR-Com-WT was transformed into the TCRKP clinical isolates individually to restore their RamR function. Naturally mutant ramR genes and their flanking regions were PCR amplified from the TCRKP isolates with primers ramR-Com-TR-F and ramR-Com-TR-R (Table S1), and each product was individually cloned into pACYC177 using Pstl, generating plasmids pramR-TR1, -2, -3, -4, -5, -6, -7, -8, -10, -11, -13, -14, and -16. Each pramR-TR plasmid was transformed into the NVT2001 ΔramR strain to restore the RamR function. Strains NVT2001/pAramR-WT and NVT2001 $\Delta ramR$ /pramR-TR-WT were used as controls to establish the basal levels of tigecycline susceptibility for comparison with the levels of tigecycline susceptibility of the ramR-complemented isogenic mutants.

qRT-PCR. To assess the gene expression levels of ramA and acrA, quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using a Fast SYBR green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems) and gene-specific primers (Table S1) in a 7500 Fast real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems), as previously described (36). Total RNA of the mid-log-phase K. pneumoniae inoculum was extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). cDNA was synthesized from RNase-free DNase I (Qiagen)-treated total RNA using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). All qRT-PCR experiments were performed three times, with 235 rRNA being used as an internal control (37).

tet(A) plasmid transformation. Plasmids expressing type 1 and type 2 tet(A) variants from the TCRKP isolates were transformed into tet(A)-deficient strains NVT2001 and NVT2001 $\Delta ramR$ to determine the synergism between dysfunctional RamR and mutated tet(A). Mutated tet(A) and the surrounding regions from isolates TR1 and TR7 were PCR amplified using the primers TetA-Add-F and TetA-Add-R (Table S1) and were cloned individually into pACYC177 with Pstl, resulting in plasmids ptetA-TR1 and ptetA-TR7, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC .00391-17.

SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1, PDF file, 0.4 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Jen-Chang Chang, Chin-Lin Huang, and Ya-Wen Hsiao for their excellent technical assistance. We thank Shih-Feng Tsai for providing strain MGH78578. We acknowledge the technical services (real-time PCR system support) provided by the Instrument Center of the National Defense Medical Center.

We have no conflicts of interest to declare.

This study was supported by research grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST103-2314-B-016-020-MY3, MOST104-2314-B-016-019-MY3, MOST105-2314-B-016-050, MOST105-2320-B-400-016), the Tri-Service General Hospital (TSGH-C106-095, TSGH-C106-097), the Medical Affairs Bureau, Ministry of National Defense, Republic of China (MAB-106-080), and the National Health Research Institutes.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sun Y, Cai Y, Liu X, Bai N, Liang B, Wang R. 2013. The emergence of clinical resistance to tigecycline. Int J Antimicrob Agents 41:110–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.09.005.
- 2. Pournaras S, Koumaki V, Spanakis N, Gennimata V, Tsakris A. 2016. Current perspectives on tigecycline resistance in Enterobacteriaceae: susceptibility testing issues and mechanisms of resistance. Int J Antimicrob Agents 48:11–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.04.017.
- 3. Magiorakos AP, Suetens C, Monnet DL, Gagliotti C, Heuer OE, EARS-Net Coordination Group and EARS-Net Participants. 2013. The rise of carbapenem resistance in Europe: just the tip of the iceberg? Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 2:6. https://doi.org/10.1186/2047-2994-2-6.
- 4. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. 2016. Rapid risk assessment: carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, Solna, Sweden.
- 5. van Duin D, Cober ED, Richter SS, Perez F, Cline M, Kaye KS, Kalayjian RC, Salata RA, Evans SR, Fowler VG, Jr, Bonomo RA. 2014. Tigecycline therapy for carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) bacteriuria leads to tigecycline resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect 20:O1117-O1120. https:// doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12714.
- 6. Lin YT, Huang YW, Huang HH, Yang TC, Wang FD, Fung CP. 2016. In vivo evolution of tigecycline-non-susceptible Klebsiella pneumoniae

- strains in patients: relationship between virulence and resistance. Int J Antimicrob Agents 48:485–491. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag .2016.07.008.
- 7. Abouzeed YM, Baucheron S, Cloeckaert A. 2008. ramR mutations involved in efflux-mediated multidrug resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52:2428-2434. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00084-08.
- 8. Veleba M, Higgins PG, Gonzalez G, Seifert H, Schneiders T. 2012. Characterization of RarA, a novel AraC family multidrug resistance regulator in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:4450 - 4458. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00456-12.
- 9. Villa L, Feudi C, Fortini D, Garcia-Fernandez A, Carattoli A. 2014. Genomics of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae sequence type 512 clone highlights the role of RamR and ribosomal S10 protein mutations in conferring tigecycline resistance. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58: 1707-1712. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01803-13.
- 10. Ahn C, Yoon SS, Yong TS, Jeong SH, Lee K. 2016. The resistance mechanism and clonal distribution of tigecycline-nonsusceptible Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in Korea. Yonsei Med J 57:641-646. https://doi.org/ 10.3349/vmi.2016.57.3.641.
- 11. Moore IF, Hughes DW, Wright GD. 2005. Tigecycline is modified by the

- flavin-dependent monooxygenase TetX. Biochemistry 44:11829–11835. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi0506066.
- Fiedler S, Bender JK, Klare I, Halbedel S, Grohmann E, Szewzyk U, Werner G. 2016. Tigecycline resistance in clinical isolates of *Enterococcus faecium* is mediated by an upregulation of plasmid-encoded tetracycline determinants *tet*(L) and *tet*(M). J Antimicrob Chemother 71:871–881. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkv420.
- Tuckman M, Petersen PJ, Projan SJ. 2000. Mutations in the interdomain loop region of the *tetA*(A) tetracycline resistance gene increase efflux of minocycline and glycylcyclines. Microb Drug Resist 6:277–282. https:// doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2000.6.277.
- 14. Akiyama T, Presedo J, Khan AA. 2013. The *tetA* gene decreases tigecycline sensitivity of *Salmonella enterica* isolates. Int J Antimicrob Agents 42:133–140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2013.04.017.
- Hentschke M, Christner M, Sobottka I, Aepfelbacher M, Rohde H. 2010. Combined ramR mutation and presence of a Tn1721-associated tet(A) variant in a clinical isolate of Salmonella enterica serovar Hadar resistant to tigecycline. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:1319–1322. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00993-09.
- He F, Fu Y, Chen Q, Ruan Z, Hua X, Zhou H, Yu Y. 2015. Tigecycline susceptibility and the role of efflux pumps in tigecycline resistance in KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. PLoS One 10:e0119064. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119064.
- 17. Chiu SK, Lin YT, Lin JC, Lu PL, Siu LK, Yeh KM. 2015. Abstr 7th Int Congr Asia-Pacific Society of Infection Control, poster PS 2-488.
- Sheng ZK, Hu F, Wang W, Guo Q, Chen Z, Xu X, Zhu D, Wang M. 2014. Mechanisms of tigecycline resistance among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:6982–6985. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03808-14.
- Akiyama T, Khan AA. 2012. Molecular characterization of strains of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar Schwarzengrund carrying multidrug resistance isolated from imported foods. J Antimicrob Chemother 67:101–110. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkr414.
- Veleba M, De Majumdar S, Hornsey M, Woodford N, Schneiders T. 2013. Genetic characterization of tigecycline resistance in clinical isolates of Enterobacter cloacae and Enterobacter aerogenes. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:1011–1018. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks530.
- Bialek-Davenet S, Marcon E, Leflon-Guibout V, Lavigne JP, Bert F, Moreau R, Nicolas-Chanoine MH. 2011. In vitro selection of *ramR* and *soxR* mutants overexpressing efflux systems by fluoroquinolones as well as cefoxitin in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55: 2795–2802. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00156-11.
- Bialek-Davenet S, Leflon-Guibout V, Tran Minh O, Marcon E, Moreau R, Nicolas-Chanoine MH. 2013. Complete deletion of the *ramR* gene in an in vitro-selected mutant of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* overexpressing the AcrAB efflux pump. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:672–673. https:// doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01410-12.
- Hentschke M, Wolters M, Sobottka I, Rohde H, Aepfelbacher M. 2010. ramR mutations in clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae with reduced susceptibility to tigecycline. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:2720–2723. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00085-10.
- Rosenblum R, Khan E, Gonzalez G, Hasan R, Schneiders T. 2011. Genetic regulation of the *ramA* locus and its expression in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Int J Antimicrob Agents 38:39–45. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2011.02.012.
- 25. Wang X, Chen H, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Zhao C, Li H, He W, Zhang F, Wang Z, Li S, Wang H. 2015. Genetic characterisation of clinical *Klebsiella*

- pneumoniae isolates with reduced susceptibility to tigecycline: role of the global regulator RamA and its local repressor RamR. Int J Antimicrob Agents 45:635–640. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.12.022.
- Baucheron S, Coste F, Canepa S, Maurel MC, Giraud E, Culard F, Castaing B, Roussel A, Cloeckaert A. 2012. Binding of the RamR repressor to wild-type and mutated promoters of the RamA gene involved in effluxmediated multidrug resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:942–948. https://doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.05444-11.
- Kehrenberg C, Cloeckaert A, Klein G, Schwarz S. 2009. Decreased fluoroquinolone susceptibility in mutants of *Salmonella* serovars other than Typhimurium: detection of novel mutations involved in modulated expression of *ramA* and *soxS*. J Antimicrob Chemother 64:1175–1180. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkp347.
- Yamasaki S, Nikaido E, Nakashima R, Sakurai K, Fujiwara D, Fujii I, Nishino K. 2013. The crystal structure of multidrug-resistance regulator RamR with multiple drugs. Nat Commun 4:2078. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3078.
- Fyfe C, Sutcliffe JA, Grossman TH. 2013. Susceptibility of tetracyclines to Tet(A) resistance is independent of interdomain loop sequence. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:2430–2431. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC 00393-13
- Chiu SK, Wu TL, Chuang YC, Lin JC, Fung CP, Lu PL, Wang JT, Wang LS, Siu LK, Yeh KM. 2013. National surveillance study on carbapenem nonsusceptible Klebsiella pneumoniae in Taiwan: the emergence and rapid dissemination of KPC-2 carbapenemase. PLoS One 8:e69428. https://doi .org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069428.
- EUCAST. 2016. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. http://www.eucast.org/fileadmin/src/media/PDFs/EUCAST_files/Breakpoint_tables/v_6.0_Breakpoint_table.pdf. Accessed 3 October 2016.
- 32. Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schaffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, Lipman DJ. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25:3389–3402. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.
- 33. Tsai YK, Liou CH, Lin JC, Ma L, Fung CP, Chang FY, Siu LK. 2014. A suitable streptomycin-resistant mutant for constructing unmarked in-frame gene deletions using *rpsL* as a counter-selection marker. PLoS One 9:e109258. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109258.
- 34. Tsai YK, Fung CP, Lin JC, Chen JH, Chang FY, Chen TL, Siu LK. 2011. *Klebsiella pneumoniae* outer membrane porins OmpK35 and OmpK36 play roles in both antimicrobial resistance and virulence. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:1485–1493. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01275-10.
- Ma L, Lin CJ, Chen JH, Fung CP, Chang FY, Lai YK, Lin JC, Siu LK, Taiwan Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance Project. 2009. Widespread dissemination of aminoglycoside resistance genes armA and rmtB in Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates in Taiwan producing CTX-M-type extendedspectrum beta-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 53:104–111. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00852-08.
- Chiu SK, Chan MC, Huang LY, Lin YT, Lin JC, Lu PL, Siu LK, Chang FY, Yeh KM. 2017. Tigecycline resistance among carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: clinical characteristics and expression levels of efflux pump genes. PLoS One 12:e0175140. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175140.
- 37. Lin CT, Wu CC, Chen YS, Lai YC, Chi C, Lin JC, Chen Y, Peng HL. 2011. Fur regulation of the capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis and ironacquisition systems in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CG43. Microbiology 157: 419–429. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.044065-0.