0.1 September 19th

Proof. $S \subset \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow S = m\mathbb{Z}$

Since $S \neq \emptyset$, $\exists a \in S$

Since S is closed under $+, -, 0 \in S$. We may assume that $S \neq \{0\}$. (if $S = \{0\}$, then $S = 0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$)

Take any $n \in S$. Then $0 - n = -n \in S$. Thus we may also assume that S has a positive integer.

In all, $WLOG^1$, we may assume that S has a positive integer.

By WOP, S has a least positive integer m. We want to show that $S = m\mathbb{Z}$.

 $A = B \Rightarrow A \subset$ $B \text{ and } B \subset A$ $A \subset B \Rightarrow \text{ if } x \in$ $A \text{ then } x \in B$

1. $m\mathbb{Z} \subset S$

 $m \in S$ and S is closed under +, -. So S must have all multiples of m.

2. $S \subset m\mathbb{Z}$

Take any $a \in S$. By division algorithm, $\exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that a = qm + r where $0 \le r < m$. Since $mq \in S$ and $a \in S$,

$$r = a - mq \in S$$

. Thus r = 0 by the minimality of m. Hence $a = mq \in m\mathbb{Z}$.

Remains to show the uniqueness of m. Suppose $m\mathbb{Z} = S = m'\mathbb{Z}$. Then $m = \pm m'$. Since m, m' > 0, m = m'.

Theorem 1. Let d=(a,b). Then d=ax+by for some $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $\{ax+by\mid x,y\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of all multiples of d. i. e. $a\mathbb{Z}+b\mathbb{Z}=\{ax+by\mid x,y\in\mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proof. We knew that d = ax + by for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. (by the theorem in the last class)

Define $S := a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$. Then $a\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ and $b\mathbb{Z} \subset S$. Since S is closed under +, -, it follows the previous theorem that

$$\exists m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } S = m\mathbb{Z}.$$

¹ Without loss of generality

We want to show that m = d. Since $a, b \in S = m\mathbb{Z}$, $m \mid a, m \mid b$. If $e \mid a$ and $e \mid b$, then $e \mid m$. $(\because m + as + bt \text{ for some } s, t \in \mathbb{Z})$

By the definition of GCD, m = d.

Remark 1. The GCD of a and b (not both 0) is the least positive integer that is a linear combination of a and b.

Theorem 2 (Euclidean Algorithm). $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$. Using the division algorithm,

$$b = aq_1 + r_1$$
, where $0 < r_1 < |a|$.

If $r_1 = 0$, terminate process.

Repeating process,

$$a = r_1q_2 + r_2$$
 $0 < r_2 < r_1$
 $r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3$ $0 < r_3 < r_2$
 \vdots
 $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n$ $0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$
 $r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1}$

Then $(a, b) = r_n$.

Proof. Clearly, $r_n > 0$. Note that

$$r_{n} \mid r_{n-1}, r_{n} \mid r_{n} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid r_{n-2}$$

$$r_{n} \mid r_{n-2}, r_{n} \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid r_{n-3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n} \mid r_{1}, r_{n} \mid r_{2} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid a$$

$$r_{n} \mid a, r_{n} \mid r_{1} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid b$$

Note also that if

$$k \mid a, k \mid b \Rightarrow k \mid r_{1}$$

$$k \mid r_{1}, k \mid a \Rightarrow k \mid r_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k \mid r_{n}, k \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow k \mid r_{n}$$

Hence we conclude that $r_n = (a, b)$.

Proof (Alternate proof).

$$b=aq+r\Rightarrow (a,b)=(a,r) \qquad r=a\,(-q)+b, b=aq+r$$
 Note that $e\mid a,e\mid b$ iff $e\mid r,e\mid a$. Thus $(a,b)\mid (a,b)$ and $(a,k)\mid (a,b)$.

Hence (a, b) = (a, r), since (a, b) > 0 and (a, k) > 0. Therefore we can see that $(a, b) = (a, r) = (r_1, r_2) = \cdots = (r_{n-1}, r_n).$

Example

$$(68,710) = 2$$

$$710 = 68 \cdot 10 + 30$$

$$68 = 30 \cdot 2 + 8$$

$$30 = 8 \cdot 3 + 6$$

$$8 = 6 \cdot 1 + 2$$

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3$$

$$2 = 8 - 6 \cdot 1$$

$$= 8 - (30 - 8 \cdot 3)$$

$$= 8 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$

$$= (68 - 30 \cdot 2) \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$

$$= 68 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$

$$= 68 \cdot 4 + (710 - 68 \cdot 10) \cdot (-9)$$

$$= 68 \cdot 94 + 710 \cdot (-9)$$

Definition 1 (Diophantine Equation). A **Diophantine equation** is a polynomial equation that allows two or more variables to take integer values only.

e.g.

$$ax + by = c$$

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

Theorem 3. $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$.

- 1. The equation ax + by = c has integer solutions if and only if $(a, b) \mid c$.
- 2. Suppose that $(a, b) \mid c$. Then the general solution of the equation ax + by = c has form the of

$$\left\{x_0 + \frac{b}{(a,b)}t, y_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b)}t\right\}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and (x_0, y_0) is an arbitrary solution of the equation.

General solution for y'' - 4y' + 3y = 0? $\Rightarrow c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{3x}$ - 2 bases

0.2 September 24th

Proof. Note that

$$a \mid b, a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bx + cy)$$
 $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$
 $m \mid ab, (m, a) = 1 \Rightarrow m \mid b$ $\therefore (m, a) = 1, \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ $as + mt = 1$

Then bas + bmt = b.

Since $m \mid ab$, it follows that $m \mid b$.

1. (
$$\Rightarrow$$
) $(a,b) \mid a,(a,b) \mid b \Rightarrow (a,b) \mid (ax+by) = c$
(\Leftarrow) Let $(a,b) = d$ and $c = c_1d$. Then $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $as+bt = d$. thus

$$c = c_1 d = c_1 (as + bt)$$
$$= ac_1 s + bc_1 t$$

hence (c_1s, c_1t) is a solution.

2. Note that

$$a\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t\right) + b\left(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t\right)$$
$$= ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d}t + by_0 - \frac{ba}{d}t$$
$$= ax_0 + by_0 = c$$

Suppose that (x, y) is an arbitrary solution of ax + by = c. Since $ax + by = c = ax_0 + by_0$, we have

$$a(x-x_0) = b(y_0 - y).$$

Let $a = a_1 d$, $b = b_1 d$, where d = (a, b). Then

$$a_1(x-x_0) = b_1(y_0-y)$$
.

Since (a, b) = 1, $b_1 \mid (x - x_0)$. Then $\exists t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x - x_0 = b_1 t$, and similarly $y_0 - y = a_1 t$. Hence

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{(a,b)}t, y = y_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b)}t.$$

Example

$$710x + 68y = 6$$

² Recall

$$710 \cdot (-9) + 68 \cdot 94 = 2$$
$$710 \cdot (-9 \times 3) + 68 \cdot (94 \times 3) = 2 \times 3 = 6$$

² Maybe an eaxm problem?

Hence

$$x = -27 + \frac{68}{2}t = -27 + 34t$$
$$x = 282 - \frac{710}{2}t = 282 - 355t$$

Definition 2 (Least Common Multiple). The **least common multiple** of two nonzero integers a and b, denoted [a,b] or lcm(a,b) is the integer l satisfying the followings:

- 1. l > 0.
- 2. $a \mid l, b \mid l$
- 3. $a \mid c, b \mid c \Rightarrow m \mid c$

Theorem 4. For $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, [a, b] uniquely exists. Moreover, $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = [a, b]\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $S = a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z}$. Since $ab \in S$, $S \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, S is closed under +, -.

By theorem, $\exists l$ such that $S = l\mathbb{Z}$.

We want to show that l = [a, b]. Since $l \in S$, $a \mid l$, $b \mid l$. If $a \mid c$, $b \mid c$, then $c \in S = l\mathbb{Z}$ and $l \mid c$.

Remains to show the uniqueness of l. Suppose l_1 and l_2 are both the LCMs of a and b. Then $l_1 \mid l_2$ and $l_2 \mid l_1$. By (2), (3), $l_1 = l_2$, since $l_1 > 0$, $l_2 > 0$.

Remark 2.

$$(a,b)\mathbb{Z} = a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

Recall

1.
$$(0,0) := 0$$

2.
$$(a, 0) := |a|$$

3.
$$[0,0] := 0$$

4.
$$[a, 0] := 0$$

Theorem 5. For a > 0, $b > 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(a, b) [a, b] = ab.$$

Proof. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

Theorem 6. Let b be a positive integer with b > 1. Then every positive integer n can be expressed in unique form of

$$n = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 b^1 + a_0$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \le a_i \le b-1$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ and $a_k \ne 0$.

 $b \Rightarrow \text{base}$.

Proof. We use the division algorithm. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

Definition 3 (Prime Numbers). A prime is an integer p such that

1.
$$p > 1$$

2.
$$a \mid p \Rightarrow a = \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm p$$
.

Remark 3. p is prime.

- 1. $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, p) = 1 \text{ or } (a, p) = p. \text{ (iff } p \text{ is prime)}$
- 2. $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$. (iff p is prime)

Theorem 7 (Infinitude of Primes). There exists infinitely many primes.

Proof (Euclid's).

Lemma 1. Every positive integer $n \ge 2$ has a prime factor.

Proof. Consider the set $S = \{m \mid m \text{ is a divisor of } n\}$. Then $S \neq \emptyset$.

By WOP, \exists least positive integer $p \in S$. Note that every divisor of p is also a divisor of n. Thus p is a prime number by the minimality of p.

Suppose there exists finitely many primes

$$p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k$$
.

Let

$$n := p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$$
.

Then n > 1 and \exists prime p such that $p \mid n$ by Lemma 1.

Thus $p = p_i$ for some $1 \le i \le k$, hence $p \mid p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$, thus

$$p \mid (n - p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k) \Rightarrow p \mid 1.$$

Which is a contradiction to the definition of prime numbers. Thus there exists infinitely many primes.

Theorem 8. There are arbitrary large gaps between successive primes. i. e. For any positive integer n, there exists at least n consecutive composite positive integers.

Proof. Consider *n* consecutive integers

$$(n+1)!+2, (n+1)!+3, \cdots, (n+1)!+(n+1).$$

For $2 \le j \le n+1$, it is clear that $j \mid (n+1)!$. Thus $j \mid ((n+1)!+j)$.

Hence $\exists n$ consecutive integers which are all composites.

Definition 4 (Mersenne Primes). A Mersenne prime is a Mersenne number³ which is also prime.

e. g.
$$M_2 = 2^2 - 1 = 3$$
, $M_3 = 2^3 - 1 = 7$, $M_5 = 2^5 - 1 = 31$, $M_7 = 2^7 - 1 = 127$, ... but $M_{11} = 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$