0.1   September 19th

Proof. $S \subset \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow S = m\mathbb{Z}$

Since $S \neq \emptyset$, $\exists a \in S$

Since S is closed under $+, -, 0 \in S$. We may assume that $S \neq \{0\}$. (if $S = \{0\}$, then $S = 0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$)

Take any $n \in S$. Then $0 - n = -n \in S$. Thus we may also assume that S has a positive integer.

In all, WLOG[1], we may assume that S has a positive integer.

By WOP, S has a least positive integer m. We want to show that $S = m\mathbb{Z}$.

$A = B \Rightarrow A \subset B$ and $B \subset A$

$A \subset B \Rightarrow$ if $x \in A$ then $x \in B$

1. $m\mathbb{Z} \subset S$

   $m \in S$ and S is closed under $+, -$. So S must have all multiples of m.

2. $S \subset m\mathbb{Z}$

   Take any $a \in S$. By division algorithm, $\exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = qm + r$ where $0 \leq r < m$. Since $mq \in S$ and $a \in S$,

   $$r = a - mq \in S$$

   . Thus $r = 0$ by the minimality of m. Hence $a = mq \in m\mathbb{Z}$.

   Remains to show the uniqueness of m. Suppose $m\mathbb{Z} = S = m'\mathbb{Z}$. Then $m = \pm m'$. Since $m, m' > 0$, $m = m'$.

Theorem 1. *Let $d = (a, b)$. Then $d = ax + by$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the set of all multiples of d. i. e. $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.*

Proof. We knew that $d = ax + by$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. (by the theorem in the last class)

Define $S := a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$. Then $a\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ and $b\mathbb{Z} \subset S$. Since S is closed under $+, -$, it follows the previous theorem that

$$\exists m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } S = m\mathbb{Z}.$$

---
[1] Without loss of generality

We want to show that $m = d$. Since $a, b \in S = m\mathbb{Z}$, $m \mid a$, $m \mid b$. If $e \mid a$ and $e \mid b$, then $e \mid m$. ($\because m + as + bt$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$)

By the definition of GCD, $m = d$.

Remark 1. The GCD of a and b (not both 0) is the least positive integer that is a linear combination of a and b.

Theorem 2 (Euclidean Algorithm). $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \neq 0$. *Using the division algorithm,*

$$b = aq_1 + r_1, \text{ where } 0 < r_1 < |a|.$$

If $r_1 = 0$, terminate process.

Repeating process,

$$
\begin{aligned}
a &= r_1 q_2 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 \\
r_1 &= r_2 q_3 + r_3 & 0 < r_3 < r_2 \\
&\vdots \\
r_{n-2} &= r_{n-1} q_n + r_n & 0 < r_n < r_{n-1} \\
r_{n-1} &= r_n q_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(a, b) = r_n$.

Proof. Clearly, $r_n > 0$. Note that

$$r_n \mid r_{n-1}, r_n \mid r_n \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-2}$$
$$r_n \mid r_{n-2}, r_n \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-3}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$r_n \mid r_1, r_n \mid r_2 \Rightarrow r_n \mid a$$
$$r_n \mid a, r_n \mid r_1 \Rightarrow r_n \mid b$$

Note also that if

$$k \mid a, k \mid b \Rightarrow k \mid r_1$$
$$k \mid r_1, k \mid a \Rightarrow k \mid r_2$$
$$\vdots$$
$$k \mid r_n, k \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow k \mid r_n$$

Hence we conclude that $r_n = (a, b)$.

Proof (Alternate proof).

$$b = aq + r \Rightarrow (a, b) = (a, r) \qquad r = a(-q) + b, b = aq + r$$

Note that $e \mid a, e \mid b$ iff $e \mid r, e \mid a$. Thus $(a, b) \mid (a, b)$ and $(a, k) \mid (a, b)$.

Hence $(a, b) = (a, r)$, since $(a, b) > 0$ and $(a, k) > 0$. Therefore we can see that

$$(a, b) = (a, r) = (r_1, r_2) = \cdots = (r_{n-1}, r_n).$$

Example

$$(68, 710) = 2$$
$$710 = 68 \cdot 10 + 30$$
$$68 = 30 \cdot 2 + 8$$
$$30 = 8 \cdot 3 + 6$$
$$8 = 6 \cdot 1 + 2$$
$$6 = 2 \cdot 3$$

$$2 = 8 - 6 \cdot 1$$
$$= 8 - (30 - 8 \cdot 3)$$
$$= 8 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$
$$= (68 - 30 \cdot 2) \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$
$$= 68 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$
$$= 68 \cdot 4 + (710 - 68 \cdot 10) \cdot (-9)$$
$$= 68 \cdot 94 + 710 \cdot (-9)$$

> **Definition 1 (Diophantine Equation).** *A **Diophantine equation** is a polynomial equation that allows two or more variables to take integer values only.*

e. g.

$$ax + by = c$$
$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$
$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

Theorem 3. $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$.

1. *The equation $ax + by = c$ has integer solutions if and only if $(a, b) \mid c$.*
2. *Suppose that $(a, b) \mid c$. Then the general solution of the equation $ax + by = c$ has form the of*
$$\left\{ x_0 + \frac{b}{(a, b)} t, \ y_0 - \frac{a}{(a, b)} t \right\}$$
where $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and (x_0, y_0) is an arbitrary solution of the equation.

General solution for
$y'' - 4y' + 3y = 0$?
$\Rightarrow c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{3x}$
$- 2$ bases

0.2 September 24th

Proof. Note that

$$a \mid b, \ a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bx + cy) \qquad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$m \mid ab, \ (m, a) = 1 \Rightarrow m \mid b \qquad \because (m, a) = 1, \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \qquad as + mt = 1$$

Then $bas + bmt = b$.

Since $m \mid ab$, it follows that $m \mid b$.

1. ($\Rightarrow$) $(a, b) \mid a$, $(a, b) \mid b \Rightarrow (a, b) \mid (ax + by) = c$

   ($\Leftarrow$) Let $(a, b) = d$ and $c = c_1 d$. Then $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $as + bt = d$. thus

$$c = c_1 d = c_1 (as + bt)$$
$$= ac_1 s + bc_1 t$$

   hence $(c_1 s, c_1 t)$ is a solution.

2. Note that

$$a \left(x_0 + \frac{b}{d} t \right) + b \left(y_0 - \frac{a}{d} t \right)$$
$$= ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d} t + by_0 - \frac{ba}{d} t$$
$$= ax_0 + by_0 = c$$

   Suppose that (x, y) is an arbitrary solution of $ax + by = c$. Since $ax + by = c = ax_0 + by_0$, we have

$$a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y).$$

   Let $a = a_1 d$, $b = b_1 d$, where $d = (a, b)$. Then

$$a_1(x - x_0) = b_1(y_0 - y).$$

   Since $(a, b) = 1$, $b_1 \mid (x - x_0)$. Then $\exists t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x - x_0 = b_1 t$, and similarily $y_0 - y = a_1 t$. Hence

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{(a, b)} t, y = y_0 - \frac{a}{(a, b)} t.$$

Example

$$710x + 68y = 6$$

[2] Recall

$$710 \cdot (-9) + 68 \cdot 94 = 2$$
$$710 \cdot (-9 \times 3) + 68 \cdot (94 \times 3) = 2 \times 3 = 6$$

---

[2] Maybe an eaxm problem?

Hence

$$x = -27 + \frac{68}{2}t = -27 + 34t$$

$$x = 282 - \frac{710}{2}t = 282 - 355t$$

Definition 2 (Least Common Multiple). *The **least common multiple** of two nonzero integers a and b, denoted $[a, b]$ or $\mathrm{lcm}\,(a, b)$ is the integer l satisfying the followings:*

1. *$l > 0$.*
2. *$a \mid l, b \mid l$.*
3. *$a \mid c, b \mid c \Rightarrow l \mid c$.*

Theorem 4. *For $a \neq 0, b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $[a, b]$ uniquely exists. Moreover, $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = [a, b]\,\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $S = a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z}$. Since $ab \in S$, $S \neq \emptyset$. Clearly, S is closed under $+, -$.

By theorem, $\exists l$ such that $S = l\mathbb{Z}$.

We want to show that $l = [a, b]$. Since $l \in S$, $a \mid l, b \mid l$. If $a \mid c, b \mid c$, then $c \in S = l\mathbb{Z}$ and $l \mid c$.

Remains to show the uniqueness of l. Suppose l_1 and l_2 are both the LCMs of a and b. Then $l_1 \mid l_2$ and $l_2 \mid l_1$. By (2), (3), $l_1 = l_2$, since $l_1 > 0, l_2 > 0$.

Remark 2.

$$(a, b)\,\mathbb{Z} = a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Recall

1. $(0,0) := 0$
2. $(a,0) := |a|$
3. $[0,0] := 0$
4. $[a,0] := 0$

Theorem 5. *For $a > 0, b > 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$(a,b)\,[a,b] = ab.$$

Proof. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

Theorem 6. *Let b be a positive integer with $b > 1$. Then every positive integer n can be expressed in unique form of*

$$n = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \cdots + a_1 b^1 + a_0$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 \leq a_i \leq b-1$ for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, k$ and $a_k \neq 0$.

$b \Rightarrow$ base.

Proof. We use the division algorithm. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

Definition 3 (Prime Numbers). *A **prime** is an integer p such that*

1. *$p > 1$.*
2. *$a \mid p \Rightarrow a = \pm 1$ or $\pm p$.*

Remark 3. p is prime.

1. $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(a, p) = 1$ or $(a, p) = p$. (iff p is prime)
2. $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a$ or $p \mid b$. (iff p is prime)

Theorem 7 (Infinitude of Primes). *There exists infinitely many primes.*

Proof (Euclid's).

Lemma 1. *Every positive integer $n \geq 2$ has a prime factor.*

Proof. Consider the set $S = \{m \mid m$ is a divisor of $n\}$. Then $S \neq \emptyset$.

By WOP, $\exists$ least positive integer $p \in S$. Note that every divisor of p is also a divisor of n. Thus p is a prime number by the minimality of p.

Suppose there exists finitely many primes

$$p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k.$$

Let

$$n := p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k.$$

Then $n > 1$ and $\exists$ prime p such that $p \mid n$ by Lemma 1.

Thus $p = p_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$, hence $p \mid p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$, thus

$$p \mid (n - p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k) \Rightarrow p \mid 1.$$

Which is a contradiction to the definition of prime numbers. Thus there exists infinitely many primes.

Theorem 8. *There are arbitrary large gaps between successive primes. i. e. For any positive integer n, there exists at least n consecutive composite positive integers.*

Proof. Consider n consecutive integers

$$(n+1)! + 2, (n+1)! + 3, \cdots, (n+1)! + (n+1).$$

For $2 \leq j \leq n+1$, it is clear that $j \mid (n+1)!$. Thus $j \mid ((n+1)! + j)$.

Hence $\exists n$ consecutive integers which are all composites.

> **Definition 4 (Mersenne Primes).** *A **Mersenne prime** is a Mersenne number[3] which is also prime.*

e. g. $M_2 = 2^2 - 1 = 3$, $M_3 = 2^3 - 1 = 7$, $M_5 = 2^5 - 1 = 31$, $M_7 = 2^7 - 1 = 127$, $\cdots$ but $M_{11} = 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$

0.3 September 26th

It can be seen that

1. If $2^n - 1$ is prime, then n is prime.
2. If a and p are positive integers such that $a^p - 1$ is prime, then $a = 2$ or $p = 1$. [4]

The converse of 1. does not hold. (e. g. $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \times 89$)

Question Are there infinitely many Mersenne primes? $\Rightarrow$ yet unknown! **Only God Knows**

Remark 4. Using Mersenne numbers and some theorem of groups[5], we can show the infinitude of primes.

---

[4] Proof exists at Wikipedia
[5] Lagrange theorem

Example $2^{11213} - 1$ is prime (1963)

$2^{82589933} - 1$ is prime (2018)

Definition 5 (Fermat Primes). *A **Fermat prime** is a Fermat number[6] which is also prime.*

e.g. $F_0 = 3$, $F_1 = 5$, $F_2 = 17$, $F_3 = 257$, $F_4 = 65537$: the only known Fermat primes.

Theorem 9. *If $2^m + 1$ is an odd prime, then m is a power of 2.*

Proof. If m is a positive integer and is not a power of 2, then

$$m = rs$$

where $1 \leq r, s < m$ and s is odd. Note that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$(a - b) \mid \left(a^l - b^l\right).$$

Put $a = 2^r$, $b = -1$, $l = s$. Then

$$(2^r + 1) \mid (2^{rs} + 1) \Rightarrow (2^r + 1) \mid (2^m + 1).$$

Since $1 < 2^r + 1 < 2^m + 1$, it follows that $2^m + 1$ is not prime. $\rightarrow\leftarrow$

Theorem 10. *A regular polygon of n sides can be constructed using an unmarked ruler and compass if and only if*

$$n = 2^m \quad or \quad n = 2^r p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$$

where $m \geq 2$, $r \geq 0$ and $p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k$ are distinct Fermat primes.

e. g.

$$3 = 2^{2^0} + 1 \qquad\qquad : \text{constructive}$$

$$5 = 2^{2^1} + 1 \qquad\qquad : \text{constructive}$$

$$7 \qquad\qquad : \text{not constructive}$$

$$17 = 2^{2^2} + 1 \qquad\qquad : \text{constructive}$$

Theorem 11.

$$(F_m, F_n) = 1$$

if $m \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$.

Proof. **Claim** $F_n = F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2$ where $n \geq 1$.

$n = 1$. $F_1 = 5$; $F_0 + 2 = 3 + 2 = 5$.
$n = 2$. $F_2 = 17$; $F_0 F_1 + 2 = 3 \times 5 + 2 = 17$.
Inductive step. Assume that the claim is true for $s \leq k$. Then

$$F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k + 2$$
$$= (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{k-1}) F_k + 2$$
$$= (F_k + 2) F_k + 2$$
$$= F_k^2 - 2F_k + 2$$
$$= (F_k - 1)^2 + 1$$
$$= 2^{2^{k+1}} + 1 = F_{k+1}.$$

Note that for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n - 1$,

$$F_n \div F_i = (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2) \div F_i$$

leaves the remainder of 2. i. e. $F_n = qF_i + 2$.

Thus if $m \mid F_n$, then $m \mid 2$, and so $m = 1$ or $m = 2$. Since F_n and F_i are odd, it follows that $m = 1$.

Corollary 1. *There are infinitely many primes.*

Proof. It follows immediately by the following statements.

1. $\{F_n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is an infinite set.
2. F_n has a prime factor of p_n.
3. $(F_m, F_n) = 1$ if $m \neq n$.

Remark 5. 1. Fermat conjectured all Fermat numbers are primes, but it's not true:

$$F_5 = 4294967297 = 641 \times 6700417.$$

2. Open questions remains:
   (a) Are there infinitely many Fermat primes?
   (b) Are there infinitely many composite Fermat numbers?
   (c) Is it true that F_n is composite for all $n > 4$?

Theorem 12 (Prime Number Theorem). *If*

$$\pi(x) := (\text{number of primes less than or equal to } x)$$

Then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\frac{x}{\ln x}} = 1.$$

---

e. g. $\pi(10) = 4$.

It was conjectured by Gauss and Legendre; proved by Hadamad and Poisson independently using complex analysis.

Theorem 13. *If n is a positive composite integer, then n has a prime factor not exceeding $\sqrt{n}$.*

i. e. $\exists$ prime factor p such that $p \mid n$ and $p \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Corollary 2. *If n has no prime factors not exceeding $\sqrt{n}$, then n is prime.*

Proof (by the contrapositive of the theorem above). (Proof left for students.)

Theorem 14 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). *Let $n > 1$ be an integer. Then n can be expressed as a product of prime factors in an unique way, except for the order of factors. i. e. $\mathbb{Z}$ is an unique factorization domain[7].*

Proof. (Using WOP; see the book.)

1 Congrugences

1.1 October 1st

Definition 6. $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

a is **congrugent** to b modulo m if $m \mid (a - b)$.

Theorem 15.   *1. $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$*

2. $a \equiv b \Rightarrow b \equiv a$

3. $a \equiv b, b \equiv c \Rightarrow a \equiv c$

4. $a \equiv b, c \equiv d \Rightarrow a \pm c \equiv b \pm d, ac \equiv bd$.

$4\frac{1}{2}$. $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $a_i \equiv b_i \Rightarrow \sum_1^n a_i \equiv \sum_1^n b_i, \prod_1^n a_i \equiv \prod_1^n b_i$

5. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$, $g(x) = b_0 + b_1 x + \cdots + b_n x^n$, where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{m}$. If $a \equiv b$, then $f(a) \equiv g(b)$.

Example 1. $10 \equiv 1 \pmod 3$.

$10 \equiv 1 \pmod 9$.

$10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$.

Let $a = a_n \cdot 10^n + \cdots + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$. Then

$$a \equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pmod 3$$
$$\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pmod 9$$
$$\equiv a_0 - a_1 + \cdots + (-1)^n a_n \pmod{11}$$

$\because$ If $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$, then

$$f(10) \equiv f(1) \pmod 3$$
$$f(10) \equiv f(1) \pmod 9$$
$$f(10) \equiv f(-1) \pmod{11}$$

e. g.

$$26384 \equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 8 + 4 \equiv 2 \pmod 3$$
$$26384 \equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 8 + 4 \equiv 5 \pmod 9$$
$$26384 \equiv 2 - 6 + 3 - 8 + 4 \equiv 6 \pmod{11}$$

Example 2. $41 \mid (2^{20} - 1)$?

Note that
$$2^5 \equiv -9 \pmod{41}.$$

Thus
$$\left(2^5\right)^4 \equiv (-9)^4$$
$$\equiv 81 \times 81$$

Since $81 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$, $81 \times 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{41}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{20} - 1 &\equiv \left(2^5 - 4\right) - 1 \\
&\equiv (-9)^4 - 1 \\
&\equiv 1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $7 \times 2 \equiv 4 \times 2 \pmod{6}$, but $7 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{6}$, also $7 \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$.

Theorem 16. $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $d = (c, m)$.

If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$.

Proof. Since $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$,

$$m \mid (ac - bc).$$

Thus $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $c(a - b) = km$, and so

$$\frac{c}{d}(a - b) = k\frac{m}{d}.$$

Since $\left(\frac{c}{d}, \frac{m}{d}\right) = 1$, it follows that

$$\frac{m}{d} \mid (a - b).$$

$\square$

Question. $2^{1137} \equiv ? \pmod{17}$

Theorem 17. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\exists! r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$a \equiv r \pmod{m}$$

where $0 \le r \le m - 1$.

Proof. Use the division algorithm.

> **Definition 7.** *A **complete system of residues** modulo m is the set of integers such that every integers is congrugent modulo m to exactly one integer of the set.*

e. g.

1. $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots, m-1\}$ is a complete system of residues modulo m.[8]
2. If m is odd, $\left\{-\frac{m-1}{2}, -\frac{m-3}{2}, \cdots, -1, 0, 1, \cdots, \frac{m-3}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}\right\}$ is also a complete system of residues modulo m.

Theorem 18. *If $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_m\}$ is a complete system of residues modulo m and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $(a, m) = 1$, then for any integer b,*

$$\{ar_1 + b, ar_2 + b, \cdots, ar_m + b\}$$

is a complete system of residues modulo m.

---

e. g. $m = 4 \Rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \cdots$

but $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$ is not a complete system of residues modulo 4.

Proof. Note that a set of m incongrugent integers modulo m will always form a complete system of residues modulo m.

Thus it suffices to show that no two integers $ar_1 + b, \cdots, ar_m + b$ are congrugent modulo m.

Suppose that

$$ar_j + b \equiv ar_k + b.$$

---

[8] The least nonnegative residues modulo m

then
$$ar_j \equiv ar_k.$$

Since $(a, m) = 1$, $r_j \equiv r_k$. Hence $j = k$. □

Theorem 19. $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $d = (a, m)$.

If $d \nmid b$, then $ax \equiv b$ (mod m) has no solutions.

If $d \mid b$, then $ax \equiv b$ (mod m) has exactly d incongrugent solutions modulo m as follows:
$$x = x_0 + \frac{m}{d}t \qquad t = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, d - 1$$
where x_0 is a particular solution of $ax \equiv b$ (mod m).

Example 3. $9x \equiv 12$ (mod 15)?

Note that $(9, 15) = 3 \mid 12$, by theorem, $\exists$ exactly 3 incongrugent solutions modulo 15.

To find a particular solution, consider $9x + 15y = 12$. Note that

$$15 = 9 \times 1 + 6$$
$$9 = 6 \times 1 + 3$$
$$6 = 3 \times 2 + 0$$
$$3 = 9 - 6 = 9 \times 2 - 15.$$

Thus $9 \times 8 + 15 \times (-4) = 12$.

Hence the general solution is given by

$$x = x_0 \equiv 8 \text{ (mod 15)}$$
$$x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 1 \equiv 13 \text{ (mod 15)}$$
$$x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 2 = 18 \equiv 3 \text{ (mod 15)}.$$

Proof. (Proof left for homework – due October 3rd.)

Remark 6. Consider $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. By the previous theorem, $\exists$ solutions of this congrugence if and only if $(a, m) = 1$.

Definition 8. $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(a, m) = 1$.

*A solution of $ax \equiv 1$ (mod m) is called an **inverse** of a modulo m.*

e. g. $7x \equiv 1 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow x = 9 \pmod{31}$. Thus 9 and all integers congrugent to 9 are inverses of 7 modulo 31.

e. g. $7x \equiv 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 9 \times 7x \equiv 9 \times 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 1 \times x \equiv 12 \pmod{31}$

Remark 7. $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m \mid (a, m) = 1\}$. $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, *)$ is a group.

$\mathbb{Z}_5 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}$

e. g. $\mathbb{Z}_8^* = \{\bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{5}, \bar{7}\}$

1.2 October 8th

Definition 9 (Euler ϕ Function). *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The **Euler ϕ–function** $\phi(n)$ is defined to be the count of positive integers not exceeding n which are relatively prime to n.*

e. g. $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi(2) = 1$, $\phi(3) = 2$, $\phi(8) = 4$, $\phi(12) = 4$

In general, if p is prime, then $\phi(p) = p - 1$.

Question. How to compute $\phi(n)$? Goal: $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ if $(m, n) = 1$, i. e. ϕ is multiplicative.

Definition 10 (Reduced Residue System). *A **reduced residue system** modulo n is a set of $\phi(n)$ integers such that each element of the set is relatively prime to n and no two distinct elements of the set are congrugent modulo n.*

e. g. $n = 8 \Rightarrow \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$: a reduced residue system modulo 8.

Lemma 2. *If $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_{\phi(n)}\}$ is a reduced residue system modulo n and if $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $(a, n) = 1$ then $\{ar_1, ar_2, \cdots, ar_{\phi(n)}\}$ is also a reduced residue system modulo n.*

Proof. (See the textbook.)

Only multiplication holds; addition does not hold.

Theorem 20 (Euler's Theorem). *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $(a, m) = 1$ then*

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \ (mod \ m).$$

Proof. Let $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_{\phi(m)}\}$ be a reduced residue system modulo m. Since $(a, m) = 1$, the set $\{ar_1, ar_2, \cdots, ar_{\phi(m)}\}$ is a reduced residue system modulo m by Lemma.

Then
$$ar_1 \times ar_2 \times \cdots \times ar_{\phi(m)} \equiv r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)} \ (\text{mod } m)$$

and so
$$a^{\phi(m)} \times r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)} = r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)} \ (\text{mod } m).$$

Hence $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } m).$[9] $\qquad \square$

Corollary 3 (Fermat's Little Theorem). *If p is prime and $p \nmid a \ (\Rightarrow (a, p) = 1)$, then*

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \ (mod \ p).$$

---

[9] Note that $\left(r_1 r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)}, m\right) = 1$

Corollary 4. *Let p : prime. Then*

$$a^p \equiv a \ (mod \ p).$$

Proof. If $a \equiv 0 \ (mod \ p)$, then $a^p \equiv 0 \equiv a \ (mod \ p)$.

If $a \not\equiv 0 \ (mod \ p)$, then $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \ (mod \ p)$ thus $a^{p-1} \equiv a \ (mod \ p)$. $\qquad\qquad$ □

Example 4. $2^{1137} \ (mod \ 17)$?

By Euler's theorem, $2^{16} \equiv 1 \ (mod \ 17)$. Thus

$$2^{1137} = \left(2^{16}\right)^{71} \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \ (mod \ 17).$$

Example 5. Show that 117 is not a prime.

Suppose 117 is prime. then

$$2^{117} \equiv 2 \ (mod \ 117).$$

Note that

$$2^7 \equiv 128 \equiv 11 \ (mod \ 117).$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{117} &\equiv \left(2^7\right)^{16} \cdot 2^5 \\
&\equiv 11^{16} \cdot 2^5 \\
&\equiv 121^8 \cdot 2^5 \\
&\equiv 4^8 \cdot 2^5 \\
&\equiv 2^{21} \equiv 11^3 \not\equiv 2 \ (mod \ 17).
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 6. Solve $x^{35} + 5x^{19} + 11x^3 \equiv 0 \ (mod \ 17)$.

By Fermat's little theorem,

$$x^{17} \equiv x \ (mod \ 17).$$

Then

$$x^{35} = x\left(x^{17}\right)^2 \equiv x^3$$
$$x^{19} = x^2\left(x^{17}\right) \equiv x^3$$

Thus

$$x^{35} + 5x^{19} + 11x^3 \equiv (1 + 5 + 11)x^3 \equiv 0 \cdot x^3 \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } 17).$$

Hence x can be any integer.

Theorem 21 (Wilson's Theorem). *If p is a prime, then*

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \ (mod \ p).$$

Was conjectured by Wilson; and proved by Lagrange.

Lemma 3. *Let p be prime. a is self-invertible modulo p, i. e. $a \cdot a \equiv 1 \ (mod \ p)$, if and only if $a \equiv \pm 1 \ (mod \ p)$.*

Proof (of lemma). ($\Leftarrow$) It's trivial.

($\Rightarrow$) Note that
$$a^2 \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } p)$$
and so $p \mid (a-1)(a+1)$.

Since p is prime, $p \mid (a-1)$ or $p \mid (a+1)$. Thus $a \equiv 1$ or $a \equiv -1 \ (\text{mod } p)$. $\qquad \square$

Proof (of theorem). If $p = 2$, then $(p-1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \ (\text{mod } 2)$.

Consider for $p > 2$. Note that $\{1, 2, \cdots, p-1\}$ is a reduced residue system modulo p. By lemma, 1 and $p-1$ are self-invertible. Thus we can group the remaining $p-3$ residues $\frac{p-3}{2}$ pair of inverses a and b such that $ab \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } p)$.

Hence

$$(p-1)! = 1 \cdot [2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times (p-2)] (p-1)$$
$$\equiv 1 \cdot 1 \times \cdots \times 1 (p-1)$$
$$\equiv p - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

$\square$

e. g. $(6-1)! + 1 = 121 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 6$, thus 6 is not prime.

In fact, the converse of Wilson's theorem also holds, but is inefficient to test primality.

Theorem 22. *If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and*

$$(n-1)! \equiv -1 \;(mod\; m),$$

then n is prime.

Proof. Suppose that n is composite. Then $n = ab$ where $1 < a < n$ and $1 < b < n$. Since $a < n$, $a \mid (n-1)!$. Since $(n-1) \equiv -1 \pmod n$,

$$n \mid [(n-1)! + 1].$$

Thus $a \mid [(n-1)! + 1]$, hence $a \mid 1$, which is a contradiction.

Remark 8. p is prime if and only if $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod p$, and also $(p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod p$.

Applications of Euler's and Wilson's theorem.

1. p is odd prime. Then

$$[1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2)]^2 \equiv [2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1)]^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod p.$$

2. p is odd prime. Then $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod p$ has a solution if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.

1.3   October 10th

Proof.   1. As x runs through $\frac{p-1}{2}$ even integers from 2 to $p-1$, then $p-x$ runs through odd integers from $p-2$ down to 1. Then

$$(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1)) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2)) \pmod{p}$$

and so

$$(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1))^2 \equiv (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))^2 \pmod{p}.$$

By Wilson's theorem,

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! = (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Thus

$$(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p},$$

hence

$$(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

$\square$

2. ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$x_0^{p-1} = \left(x_0^2\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, by Euler's theorem, $x_0^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. [10] Thus $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$; i. e.

$$p \mid \left[1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right].$$

Hence $1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 0$. [11] Therefore $\frac{p-1}{2}$ is even and so $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$.
($\Leftarrow$) Note that

$$(p-1)! = \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left((p-1)(p-2)(p-3) \times \cdots \times \frac{p+1}{2}\right)$$

$$\equiv \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left((-1)(-2)(-3) \times \cdots \times \frac{-(p-1)}{2}\right) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \times 1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \times \cdots \times \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^2 \pmod{p}$$

---

[10] Note that $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, thus $(x_0, p) \mid 1$, and so $(x_0, p) = 1$; i. e. $p \nmid x_0$.

[11] If $1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 2 \neq 0$, then $p \mid 2$. $\rightarrow\leftarrow$

Thus

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! \equiv \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Put $x_0 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2}$. Then $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.   □

Theorem 23. *Let p be a prime number and $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then*

$$\phi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1}.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\phi(p^2) = (\text{the number of positive integers} \leq p^e \text{ which are relatively prime to } p^e)$$
$$= p^e - (\text{the number of positive integers} \leq p^e \text{ which are NOT relatively prime to } p^e)$$

while the positive integers $\leq p^e$ which are NOT relatively prime to p^e are

$$p, 2p, 3p, \cdots, \left(p^{e-1}\right)p.$$

   □

Remark 9.   1. $\phi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1} = p^e \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$
2. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k}$. Then

$$\phi(n) = \phi(p_1^{e_1}) \phi(p_2^{e_2}) \times \cdots \times \phi(p_k^{e_k})$$
$$= p_1^{e_1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) p_2^{e_2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k}\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)$$
$$= \left[p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k}\right] \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \cdots \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right]$$
$$= n\left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \cdots \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right].$$

Note 1. $m = 4, n = 7 \ ((m, n) = 1)$

$$\phi(mn) = \phi(28) = 12 = 2 \times 6 = \phi(4)\phi(7).$$

Lemma 4. *If* $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(m, n) = 1$, *then the integers* $r, m+r, 2m+r, \cdots, (m-1)m+r$ *are congrufent to* $0, 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$ *modulo n.*

Proof. Suffies to show that no two integers in the list are congrugent modulo n.

Suppose that $km+r \equiv lm+r \pmod{n}$ where $0 \leq k, l < n$. Then $km \equiv lm \pmod{n}$. Since $(m, n) = 1$, hence $k \equiv l \pmod{n}$. Since $0 \leq k, l < n$, $k = l$. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 24. $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ *if* $(m, n) = 1$.

Proof. Consider

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & m+1 & 2m+1 & \cdots & (n-1)m+1 \\
2 & m+2 & 2m+2 & \cdots & (n-1)m+2 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
m & 2m & 3m & \cdots & nm
\end{array}
$$

Let $r \leq m$ be a positive integer with $(r, m) > 1$. Let $d = (r, m)$. Then $d \mid r$, $d \mid m$, and so $d \mid (km+r)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; i. e. d is a factor of every element in the rth row.

Thus no element in the rth row is relatively prime to m and hence to mn if $(r, m) > 1$. Hence, there are $\phi(m)$ rows satisfying $(r, m) = 1$.

Consider now the rth row where $(r, m) = 1$.

$$r, m+r, 2m+r, \cdots, (n-1)m+r$$

By Lemma, exactly $\phi(n)$ elements in the rth row are relatively prime to n, and hence to mn. Hence we conclude that $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$ if $(m, n) = 1$. $\qquad \square$

Note 2. $n = 28$, $d = n$. $C_d :=$ (the class of positive integers $m \leq n$ satisfying $(m, n) = d$). Then

$$C_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27\} \qquad 12 = \phi(28) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{1}\right)$$

$$C_2 = \{2, 6, 10, 18, 22, 26\} \qquad 6 = \phi(14) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{2}\right)$$

$$C_4 = \{4, 8, 12, 20, 24, 28\} \qquad 6 = \phi(7) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{4}\right)$$

$$C_7 = \{7, 21\} \qquad 2 = \phi(4) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{7}\right)$$

$$C_{14} = \{14\} \qquad 1 = \phi(2) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{14}\right)$$

$$C_{28} = \{28\} \qquad 1 = \phi(1) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{28}\right)$$

$$12 + 6 + 6 + 2 + 1 + 1 = 28.$$

Theorem 25. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,*
$$n = \sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d) = \sum_{d \mid n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Proof. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m \leq n$. Then $m \in C_d$ if and only if $(m, n) = d$, if and only if $\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$.

Thus the number of positive integers $\leq \frac{n}{d}$ which are relatively prime to $\frac{n}{d}$ is equal to the number og elements m in C_d. Hence each class C_d has $\phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$ elements.

Since there is a class corresponding to elery factor d of n and every integer $m \leq n$ belongs to exactly one class, it follows that the sum of the count of elements in various classes is n; i. e. $\sum_{d \mid n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = n$.

As d runs over the divisors of n, so does $\frac{n}{d}$. Hence $\sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d) = n$. $\qquad \square$

Theorem 26 (Chinese Remainder Theorem). *Let $m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_r$ are pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Then the system of congrugences*

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 & (mod\ m_1) \\ x \equiv a_2 & (mod\ m_2) \\ \quad\vdots \\ x \equiv a_r & (mod\ m_r) \end{cases}$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, has a unique solution modulo $M = m_1 m_2 \times \cdots \times m_r$.

Proof. (Proof left for homework – due October 15th.)

Example 7. 1.

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 & (mod\ 4) \\ x \equiv 3 & (mod\ 5) \\ x \equiv 2 & (mod\ 7) \end{cases}$$

$$35 \times \underline{?}_3 \equiv 1 \qquad\qquad (mod\ 4)$$
$$28 \times \underline{?}_2 \equiv 3 \qquad\qquad (mod\ 5)$$
$$20 \times \underline{?}_6 \equiv 2 \qquad\qquad (mod\ 7)$$

Note that

$$M = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 35 \cdot 4 = M_1 m_1$$
$$= 28 \cdot 5 = M_2 m_2$$
$$= 20 \cdot 7 = M_3 m_3$$

thus $x = 1 \cdot 35 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 28 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 20 \cdot 6 = 93 \ (mod\ 140)$

2.

$$\begin{cases} 8x \equiv 4 \quad (\text{mod } 14) \\ 5x \equiv 3 \quad (\text{mod } 11) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 4x \equiv 2 \quad (\text{mod } 7) \\ 5x \equiv 3 \quad (\text{mod } 11) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x \equiv 4 \quad (\text{mod } 7) \\ x \equiv 5 \quad (\text{mod } 11) \end{cases}$$

By CRT, $x = 4 \cdot 11 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \equiv 368 \equiv 60 \ (\text{mod } 77)$

Note that $x \equiv 60 \ (\text{mod } 77) \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 60, \ x \equiv 137 \ (\text{mod } 154)$.