## 0.1 September 19th

*Proof.*  $S \subset \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow S = m\mathbb{Z}$ 

Since  $S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\exists a \in S$ 

Since S is closed under  $+, -, 0 \in S$ . We may assume that  $S \neq \{0\}$ . (if  $S = \{0\}$ , then  $S = 0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ )

Take any  $n \in S$ . Then  $0 - n = -n \in S$ . Thus we may also assume that S has a positive integer.

In all,  $WLOG^1$ , we may assume that S has a positive integer.

By WOP, S has a least positive integer m. We want to show that  $S = m\mathbb{Z}$ .

 $A = B \Rightarrow A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$  $A \subset B \Rightarrow \text{if } x \in A$ 

A then  $x \in B$ 

1.  $m\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ 

 $m \in S$  and S is closed under +, -. So S must have all multiples of m.

2.  $S \subset m\mathbb{Z}$ 

Take any  $a \in S$ . By division algorithm,  $\exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that a = qm + r where  $0 \le r < m$ . Since  $mq \in S$  and  $a \in S$ ,

$$r = a - mq \in S$$

. Thus r = 0 by the minimality of m. Hence  $a = mq \in m\mathbb{Z}$ .

Remains to show the uniqueness of m. Suppose  $m\mathbb{Z} = S = m'\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $m = \pm m'$ . Since m, m' > 0, m = m'.

**Theorem 1.** Let d=(a,b). Then d=ax+by for some  $x,y\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\{ax+by\mid x,y\in\mathbb{Z}\}$  is the set of all multiples of d. i. e.  $a\mathbb{Z}+b\mathbb{Z}=\{ax+by\mid x,y\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ .

*Proof.* We knew that d = ax + by for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (by the theorem in the last class)

Define  $S := a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a\mathbb{Z} \subset S$  and  $b\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ . Since S is closed under +, -, it follows the previous theorem that

$$\exists m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } S = m\mathbb{Z}.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Without loss of generality

We want to show that m = d. Since  $a, b \in S = m\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \mid a, m \mid b$ . If  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b$ , then  $e \mid m$ .  $(\because m + as + bt \text{ for some } s, t \in \mathbb{Z})$ 

By the definition of GCD, m = d.

Remark 1. The GCD of a and b (not both 0) is the least positive integer that is a linear combination of a and b.

**Theorem 2** (Euclidean Algorithm).  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Using the division algorithm,

$$b = aq_1 + r_1$$
, where  $0 < r_1 < |a|$ .

If  $r_1 = 0$ , terminate process.

Repeating process,

$$a = r_1q_2 + r_2$$
  $0 < r_2 < r_1$   
 $r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3$   $0 < r_3 < r_2$   
 $\vdots$   
 $r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n$   $0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$   
 $r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1}$ 

Then  $(a, b) = r_n$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $r_n > 0$ . Note that

$$r_{n} \mid r_{n-1}, r_{n} \mid r_{n} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid r_{n-2}$$

$$r_{n} \mid r_{n-2}, r_{n} \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid r_{n-3}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n} \mid r_{1}, r_{n} \mid r_{2} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid a$$

$$r_{n} \mid a, r_{n} \mid r_{1} \Rightarrow r_{n} \mid b$$

Note also that if

$$k \mid a, k \mid b \Rightarrow k \mid r_{1}$$

$$k \mid r_{1}, k \mid a \Rightarrow k \mid r_{2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$k \mid r_{n}, k \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow k \mid r_{n}$$

Hence we conclude that  $r_n = (a, b)$ .

Proof (Alternate proof).

$$b=aq+r\Rightarrow (a,b)=(a,r) \qquad r=a\,(-q)+b, b=aq+r$$
 Note that  $e\mid a,e\mid b$  iff  $e\mid r,e\mid a$ . Thus  $(a,b)\mid (a,b)$  and  $(a,k)\mid (a,b)$ .

Hence 
$$(a, b) = (a, r)$$
, since  $(a, b) > 0$  and  $(a, k) > 0$ . Therefore we can see that 
$$(a, b) = (a, r) = (r_1, r_2) = \cdots = (r_{n-1}, r_n).$$

Example

$$(68,710) = 2$$

$$710 = 68 \cdot 10 + 30$$

$$68 = 30 \cdot 2 + 8$$

$$30 = 8 \cdot 3 + 6$$

$$8 = 6 \cdot 1 + 2$$

$$6 = 2 \cdot 3$$

$$2 = 8 - 6 \cdot 1$$

$$= 8 - (30 - 8 \cdot 3)$$

$$= 8 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$

$$= (68 - 30 \cdot 2) \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$

$$= 68 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1)$$

$$= 68 \cdot 4 + (710 - 68 \cdot 10) \cdot (-9)$$

$$= 68 \cdot 94 + 710 \cdot (-9)$$

**Definition 1 (Diophantine Equation).** A **Diophantine equation** is a polynomial equation that allows two or more variables to take integer values only.

e.g.

$$ax + by = c$$
$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

**Theorem 3.**  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0$ .

- 1. The equation ax + by = c has integer solutions if and only if  $(a, b) \mid c$ .
- 2. Suppose that  $(a, b) \mid c$ . Then the general solution of the equation ax + by = c has form the of

$$\left\{x_0 + \frac{b}{(a,b)}t, y_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b)}t\right\}$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(x_0, y_0)$  is an arbitrary solution of the equation.

General solution for y'' - 4y' + 3y = 0?  $\Rightarrow c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{3x}$ - 2 bases

# 0.2 September 24th

Proof. Note that

$$a \mid b, a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bx + cy)$$
  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $m \mid ab, (m, a) = 1 \Rightarrow m \mid b$   $\therefore (m, a) = 1, \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$   $as + mt = 1$ 

Then bas + bmt = b.

Since  $m \mid ab$ , it follows that  $m \mid b$ .

1. (
$$\Rightarrow$$
)  $(a,b) \mid a,(a,b) \mid b \Rightarrow (a,b) \mid (ax+by) = c$   
( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $(a,b) = d$  and  $c = c_1d$ . Then  $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $as+bt = d$ . thus

$$c = c_1 d = c_1 (as + bt)$$
$$= ac_1 s + bc_1 t$$

hence  $(c_1s, c_1t)$  is a solution.

2. Note that

$$a\left(x_0 + \frac{b}{d}t\right) + b\left(y_0 - \frac{a}{d}t\right)$$
$$= ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d}t + by_0 - \frac{ba}{d}t$$
$$= ax_0 + by_0 = c$$

Suppose that (x, y) is an arbitrary solution of ax + by = c. Since  $ax + by = c = ax_0 + by_0$ , we have

$$a(x-x_0) = b(y_0 - y).$$

Let  $a = a_1 d$ ,  $b = b_1 d$ , where d = (a, b). Then

$$a_1(x-x_0) = b_1(y_0-y)$$
.

Since (a, b) = 1,  $b_1 \mid (x - x_0)$ . Then  $\exists t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x - x_0 = b_1 t$ , and similarly  $y_0 - y = a_1 t$ . Hence

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{(a,b)}t$$
,  $y = y_0 - \frac{a}{(a,b)}t$ .

Example

$$710x + 68y = 6$$

<sup>2</sup> Recall

$$710 \cdot (-9) + 68 \cdot 94 = 2$$
$$710 \cdot (-9 \times 3) + 68 \cdot (94 \times 3) = 2 \times 3 = 6$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Maybe an eaxm problem?

Hence

$$x = -27 + \frac{68}{2}t = -27 + 34t$$
$$x = 282 - \frac{710}{2}t = 282 - 355t$$

**Definition 2 (Least Common Multiple).** The **least common multiple** of two nonzero integers a and b, denoted [a,b] or lcm(a,b) is the integer l satisfying the followings:

- 1. l > 0.
- 2. a | l, b | l
- 3.  $a \mid c, b \mid c \Rightarrow l \mid c$

**Theorem 4.** For  $a \neq 0$ ,  $b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , [a, b] uniquely exists. Moreover,  $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = [a, b]\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $ab \in S$ ,  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly, S is closed under +, -.

By theorem,  $\exists l$  such that  $S = l\mathbb{Z}$ .

We want to show that l = [a, b]. Since  $l \in S$ ,  $a \mid l$ ,  $b \mid l$ . If  $a \mid c$ ,  $b \mid c$ , then  $c \in S = l\mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \mid c$ .

Remains to show the uniqueness of l. Suppose  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are both the LCMs of a and b. Then  $l_1 \mid l_2$  and  $l_2 \mid l_1$ . By (2), (3),  $l_1 = l_2$ , since  $l_1 > 0$ ,  $l_2 > 0$ .

Remark 2.

$$(a,b)\mathbb{Z} = a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}\$$

Recall

1. 
$$(0,0) := 0$$

2. 
$$(a, 0) := |a|$$

3. 
$$[0,0] := 0$$

4. 
$$[a, 0] := 0$$

**Theorem 5.** For a > 0,  $b > 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(a, b) [a, b] = ab.$$

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

**Theorem 6.** Let b be a positive integer with b > 1. Then every positive integer n can be expressed in unique form of

$$n = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \dots + a_1 b^1 + a_0$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \le a_i \le b-1$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $a_k \ne 0$ .

 $b \Rightarrow \text{base}$ .

*Proof.* We use the division algorithm. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

**Definition 3** (Prime Numbers). A prime is an integer p such that

1. 
$$p > 1$$

2. 
$$a \mid p \Rightarrow a = \pm 1 \text{ or } \pm p$$
.

*Remark 3. p* is prime.

- 1.  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, p) = 1 \text{ or } (a, p) = p. \text{ (iff } p \text{ is prime)}$
- 2.  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b$ . (iff p is prime)

**Theorem 7** (Infinitude of Primes). There exists infinitely many primes.

Proof (Euclid's).

**Lemma 1.** Every positive integer  $n \ge 2$  has a prime factor.

*Proof.* Consider the set  $S = \{m \mid m \text{ is a divisor of } n\}$ . Then  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

By WOP,  $\exists$  least positive integer  $p \in S$ . Note that every divisor of p is also a divisor of n. Thus p is a prime number by the minimality of p.

Suppose there exists finitely many primes

$$p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_k$$
.

Let

$$n := p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$$
.

Then n > 1 and  $\exists$  prime p such that  $p \mid n$  by Lemma 1.

Thus  $p = p_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le k$ , hence  $p \mid p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$ , thus

$$p \mid (n - p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k) \Rightarrow p \mid 1.$$

Which is a contradiction to the definition of prime numbers. Thus there exists infinitely many primes.

**Theorem 8.** There are arbitrary large gaps between successive primes. i. e. For any positive integer n, there exists at least n consecutive composite positive integers.

*Proof.* Consider *n* consecutive integers

$$(n+1)!+2, (n+1)!+3, \cdots, (n+1)!+(n+1).$$

For  $2 \le j \le n+1$ , it is clear that  $j \mid (n+1)!$ . Thus  $j \mid ((n+1)!+j)$ .

Hence  $\exists n$  consecutive integers which are all composites.

**Definition 4 (Mersenne Primes).** A Mersenne prime is a Mersenne number<sup>3</sup> which is also prime.

e. g. 
$$M_2 = 2^2 - 1 = 3$$
,  $M_3 = 2^3 - 1 = 7$ ,  $M_5 = 2^5 - 1 = 31$ ,  $M_7 = 2^7 - 1 = 127$ , ... but  $M_{11} = 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$ 

# 0.3 September 26th

It can be seen that

- 1. If  $2^n 1$  is prime, then *n* is prime.
- 2. If a and p are positive integers such that  $a^p 1$  is prime, then a = 2 or p = 1.4

The converse of 1. does not hold. (e. g.  $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \times 89$ )

*Question* Are there infinitely many Mersenne primes? ⇒ yet unknown!

Only God Knows

*Remark 4.* Using Mersenne numbers and some theorem of groups<sup>5</sup>, we can show the infinitude of primes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Proof exists at Wikipedia

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Lagrange theorem

Example  $2^{11213} - 1$  is prime (1963)

 $2^{82589933} - 1$  is prime (2018)

**Definition 5** (Fermat Primes). A Fermat prime is a Fermat number 6 which is also prime.

e.g.  $F_0 = 3$ ,  $F_1 = 5$ ,  $F_2 = 17$ ,  $F_3 = 257$ ,  $F_4 = 65537$ : the only known Fermat primes.

**Theorem 9.** If  $2^m + 1$  is an odd prime, then m is a power of 2.

*Proof.* If m is a positive integer and is not a power of 2, then

$$m = rs$$

where  $1 \le r, s < m$  and s is odd. Note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$(a-b) \mid \left(a^l-b^l\right).$$

Put  $a = 2^r$ , b = -1, l = s. Then

$$(2^r+1) \mid (2^{rs}+1) \Rightarrow (2^r+1) \mid (2^m+1).$$

Since  $1 < 2^r + 1 < 2^m + 1$ , it follows that  $2^m + 1$  is not prime.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ 

**Theorem 10.** A regular polygon of n sides can be constructed using an unmarked ruler and compass if and only if

$$n=2^m$$
 or  $n=2^r p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$ 

where  $m \ge 2$ ,  $r \ge 0$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  are distinct Fermat primes.

e.g.

$$3 = 2^{2^0} + 1$$
 : constructive  
 $5 = 2^{2^1} + 1$  : constructive  
 $7$  : not constructive  
 $17 = 2^{2^2} + 1$  : constructive

# Theorem 11.

$$(F_m, F_n) = 1$$

if  $m \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Claim  $F_n = F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2$  where  $n \ge 1$ .

$$n = 1$$
.  $F_1 = 5$ ;  $F_0 + 2 = 3 + 2 = 5$ .  
 $n = 2$ .  $F_2 = 17$ ;  $F_0F_1 + 2 = 3 \times 5 + 2 = 17$ .

Inductive step. Assume that the claim is true for  $s \le k$ . Then

$$F_0F_1 \times \dots \times F_k + 2$$

$$= (F_0F_1 \times \dots \times F_{k-1})F_k + 2$$

$$= (F_k + 2)F_k + 2$$

$$= F_k^2 - 2F_k + 2$$

$$= (F_k - 1)^2 + 1$$

$$= 2^{2^{k+1}} + 1 = F_{k+1}.$$

Note that for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$F_n \div F_i = (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2) \div F_i$$

leaves the remainder of 2. i. e.  $F_n = qF_i + 2$ .

Thus if  $m \mid F_n$ , then  $m \mid 2$ , and so m = 1 or m = 2. Since  $F_n$  and  $F_i$  are odd, it follows that m = 1.

**Corollary 1.** *There are infinitely many primes.* 

*Proof.* It follows immediately by the following statements.

- 1.  $\{F_n \mid n \ge 0\}$  is an infinite set.
- 2.  $F_n$  has a prime factor of  $p_n$ .
- 3.  $(F_m, F_n) = 1$  if  $m \neq n$ .

Remark 5. 1. Fermat conjectured all Fermat numbers are primes, but it's not true:

$$F_5 = 4294967297 = 641 \times 6700417.$$

- 2. Open questions remains:
  - (a) Are there infinitely many Fermat primes?
  - (b) Are there infinitely many composite Fermat numbers?
  - (c) Is it true that  $F_n$  is composite for all n > 4?

Theorem 12 (Prime Number Theorem). If

 $\pi(x) := (number \ of \ primes \ less \ than \ or \ equal \ to \ x)$ 

Then

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\frac{x}{\ln x}} = 1.$$

e. g.  $\pi(10) = 4$ .

It was conjectured by Gauss and Legendre; proved by Hadamad and Poisson independently using complex analysis.

**Theorem 13.** If n is a positive composite integer, then n has a prime factor not exceeding  $\sqrt{n}$ .

*i. e.*  $\exists$  *prime factor p such that p*  $\mid$  *n and p*  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ .

**Corollary 2.** *If* n *has no prime factors not exceeding*  $\sqrt{n}$ , *then* n *is prime.* 

*Proof (by the contrapositive of the theorem above).* (Proof left for students.)

**Theorem 14 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic).** Let n > 1 be an integer. Then n can be expressed as a product of prime factors in an unique way, except for the order of factors. i. e.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an unique factorization domain<sup>7</sup>.

*Proof.* (Using WOP; see the book.)

# 1 Congrugences

## 1.1 October 1st

**Definition 6.**  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ 

a is **congrugent** to b modulo m if  $m \mid (a-b)$ .

**Theorem 15.** *l.*  $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$ 

- 2.  $a \equiv b \Rightarrow b \equiv a$
- 3.  $a \equiv b, b \equiv c \Rightarrow a \equiv c$
- 4.  $a \equiv b, c \equiv d \Rightarrow a \pm c \equiv b \pm d, ac \equiv bd$ .
- $4\frac{1}{2}$ .  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then  $a_i \equiv b_i \Rightarrow \sum_{1}^n a_i \equiv \sum_{1}^n b_i$ ,  $\prod_{1}^n a_i \equiv \prod_{1}^n b_i$
- 5. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ ,  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_nx^n$ , where  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{m}$ . If  $a \equiv b$ , then  $f(a) \equiv g(b)$ .

Example 1.  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

 $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ .

 $10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}$ .

Let  $a = a_n \cdot 10^n + \dots + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$ . Then

$$a \equiv a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n \pmod{3}$$
$$\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n \pmod{9}$$
$$\equiv a_0 - a_1 + \dots + (-1)^n a_n \pmod{11}$$

: If 
$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$$
, then 
$$f(10) \equiv f(1) \pmod{3}$$
 
$$f(10) \equiv f(1) \pmod{9}$$
 
$$f(10) \equiv f(-1) \pmod{11}$$

e.g.

$$26384 \equiv 2+6+3+8+4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$
  
 $26384 \equiv 2+6+3+8+4 \equiv 5 \pmod{9}$   
 $26384 \equiv 2-6+3-8+4 \equiv 6 \pmod{11}$ 

Example 2. 41 |  $(2^{20} - 1)$ ?

Note that

$$2^5 \equiv -9 \pmod{41}.$$

Thus

$$(2^5)^4 \equiv (-9)^4$$
$$\equiv 81 \times 81$$

Since  $81 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$ ,  $81 \times 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{41}$ . Hence

$$2^{20} - 1 \equiv (2^5 - 4) - 1$$
$$\equiv (-9)^4 - 1$$
$$\equiv 1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41}.$$

Note that  $7 \times 2 \equiv 4 \times 2 \pmod{6}$ , but  $7 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , also  $7 \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$ .

**Theorem 16.**  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , d = (c, m).

*If*  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ ,

$$m \mid (ac - bc)$$
.

Thus  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that c(a-b) = km, and so

$$\frac{c}{d}\left(a-b\right) = k\frac{m}{d}.$$

Since  $\left(\frac{c}{d}, \frac{m}{d}\right) = 1$ , it follows that

$$\frac{m}{d} \mid (a-b)$$
.

Question.  $2^{1137} \equiv ? \pmod{17}$ 

**Theorem 17.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists ! r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a \equiv r \pmod{m}$$

where  $0 \le r \le m-1$ .

*Proof.* Use the division algorithm.

**Definition 7.** A complete system of residues modulo m is the set of integers such that every integers is congrugent modulo m to exactly one integer of the set.

e.g.

- 1.  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  is a complete system of residues modulo m.<sup>8</sup>
- 2. If *m* is odd,  $\left\{-\frac{m-1}{2}, -\frac{m-3}{2}, \cdots, -1, 0, 1, \cdots, \frac{m-3}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}\right\}$  is also a complete system of residues modulo *m*.

**Theorem 18.** If  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$  is a complete system of residues modulo m and if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with (a, m) = 1, then for any integer b,

$$\{ar_1+b, ar_2+b, \cdots, ar_m+b\}$$

is a complete system of residues modulo m.

e. g. 
$$m = 4 \Rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \cdots$$

but  $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$  is not a complete system of residues modulo 4.

*Proof.* Note that a set of m incongrugent integers modulo m will always form a complete system of residues modulo m.

Thus it suffices to show that no two integers  $ar_1 + b, \dots, ar_m + b$  are congrugent modulo m.

Suppose that

$$ar_i + b \equiv ar_k + b$$
.

 $<sup>^8</sup>$  The least nonnegative residues modulo m

then

$$ar_j \equiv ar_k$$
.

Since 
$$(a, m) = 1$$
,  $r_j \equiv r_k$ . Hence  $j = k$ .

**Theorem 19.**  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, d = (a, m).$ 

If  $d \nmid b$ , then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has no solutions.

If  $d \mid b$ , then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has exactly d incongrugent solutions modulo m as follows:

$$x = x_0 + \frac{m}{d}t$$
  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1$ 

where  $x_0$  is a particular solution of  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .

Example 3.  $9x \equiv 12 \pmod{15}$ ?

Note that  $(9, 15) = 3 \mid 12$ , by theorem,  $\exists$  exactly 3 incongrugent solutions modulo 15.

To find a particular solution, consider 9x + 15y = 12. Note that

$$15 = 9 \times 1 + 6$$

$$9 = 6 \times 1 + 3$$

$$6 = 3 \times 2 + 0$$

$$3 = 9 - 6 = 9 \times 2 - 15.$$

Thus  $9 \times 8 + 15 \times (-4) = 12$ .

Hence the general solution is given by

$$x = x_0 \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$
  
 $x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 1 \equiv 13 \pmod{15}$   
 $x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 2 = 18 \equiv 3 \pmod{15}$ .

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due October 3rd.)

Remark 6. Consider  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . By the previous theorem,  $\exists$  solutions of this congrugence if and only if (a, m) = 1.

**Definition 8.**  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , (a, m) = 1.

A solution of  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is called an **inverse** of a modulo m.

e. g.  $7x \equiv 1 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow x = 9 \pmod{31}$ . Thus 9 and all integers congrugent to 9 are inverses of 7 modulo 31.

e. g. 
$$7x \equiv 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 9 \times 7x \equiv 9 \times 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 1 \times x \equiv 12 \pmod{31}$$

Remark 7.  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{\overline{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_m \mid (a, m) = 1\}.$   $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, *)$  is a group.

e. g. 
$$\mathbb{Z}_8^* = \{\overline{1}, \overline{3}, \overline{5}, \overline{7}\}$$

### 1.2 October 8th

 $\mathbb{Z}_5 = \left\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{3}, \overline{4}\right\}$ 

**Definition 9** (Euler  $\phi$  Function). Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The Euler  $\phi$ -function  $\phi(n)$  is defined to be the count of positive integers not exceeding n which are relatively prime to n.

e. g. 
$$\phi(1) = 1$$
,  $\phi(2) = 1$ ,  $\phi(3) = 2$ ,  $\phi(8) = 4$ ,  $\phi(12) = 4$ 

In general, if *p* is prime, then  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ .

Question. How to compute  $\phi(n)$ ? Goal:  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m) \phi(n)$  if (m, n) = 1, i. e.  $\phi$  is multiplicative.

**Definition 10 (Reduced Residue System).** A reduced residue system modulo n is a set of  $\phi(n)$  integers such that each element of the set is relatively prime to n and no two distinct elements of the set are congrugent modulo n.

e. g.  $n = 8 \Rightarrow \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$ : a reduced residue system modulo 8.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\phi(n)}\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo n and if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with (a, n) = 1 then  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \dots, ar_{\phi(n)}\}$  is also a reduced residue system modulo n.

Only multiplication holds; addition does not hold.

*Proof.* (See the textbook.)

**Theorem 20 (Euler's Theorem).** *If*  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  *and*  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  *with* (a, m) = 1 *then* 

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

*Proof.* Let  $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_{\phi(m)}\}$  be a reduced residue system modulo m. Since (a, m) = 1, the set  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \cdots, ar_{\phi(m)}\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo m by Lemma.

Then

$$ar_1 \times ar_2 \times \cdots \times ar_{\phi(m)} \equiv r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$

and so

$$a^{\phi(m)} \times r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)} = r_1 \times r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Hence  $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

**Corollary 3** (Fermat's Little Theorem). *If* p *is prime and*  $p \nmid a \ (\Rightarrow (a, p) = 1)$ , *then* 

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

<sup>9</sup> Note that  $(r_1r_2 \times \cdots \times r_{\phi(m)}, m) = 1$ 

**Corollary 4.** *Let p : prime. Then* 

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

*Proof.* If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $a^p \equiv 0 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

If 
$$a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$
, then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  thus  $a^{p-1} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

Example 4. 2<sup>1137</sup> (mod 17)?

By Euler's theorem,  $2^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ . Thus

$$2^{1137} = (2^{16})^{71} \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{17}.$$

Example 5. Show that 117 is not a prime.

Suppose 117 is prime. then

$$2^{117} \equiv 2 \pmod{117}$$
.

Note that

$$2^7 \equiv 128 \equiv 11 \pmod{117}$$
.

Thus

$$2^{117} \equiv (2^7)^{16} \cdot 2^5$$

$$\equiv 11^{16} \cdot 2^5$$

$$\equiv 121^8 \cdot 2^5$$

$$\equiv 4^8 \cdot 2^5$$

$$\equiv 2^{21} \equiv 11^3 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{17}.$$

Example 6. Solve  $x^{35} + 5x^{19} + 11x^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{17}$ .

By Fermat's little theorem,

$$x^{17} \equiv x \pmod{17}$$
.

Then

$$x^{35} = x (x^{17})^2 \equiv x^3$$
  
 $x^{19} = x^2 (x^{17}) \equiv x^3$ 

Thus

$$x^{35} + 5x^{19} + 11x^3 \equiv (1+5+11)x^3 \equiv 0 \cdot x^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{17}$$
.

Hence *x* can be any integer.

**Theorem 21 (Wilson's Theorem).** If p is a prime, then

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$$
.

Was conjectured by Wilson; and proved by Lagrange.

**Lemma 3.** Let p be prime. a is self-invertible modulo p, i. e.  $a \cdot a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , if and only if  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof (of lemma).* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) It's trivial.

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Note that

$$a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

and so p | (a-1)(a+1).

Since p is prime, 
$$p \mid (a-1)$$
 or  $p \mid (a+1)$ . Thus  $a \equiv 1$  or  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

*Proof* (of theorem). If p = 2, then  $(p-1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ .

Consider for p > 2. Note that  $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo p. By lemma, 1 and p-1 are self-invertible. Thus we can group the remaining p-3 residues  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  pair of inverses a and b such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Hence

$$(p-1)! = 1 \cdot [2 \cdot 3 \times \dots \times (p-2)] (p-1)$$
$$\equiv 1 \cdot 1 \times \dots \times 1 (p-1)$$
$$\equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

e. g.  $(6-1)! + 1 = 121 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , thus 6 is not prime.

In fact, the converse of Wilson's theorem also holds, but is inefficient to test primality.

**Theorem 22.** *If*  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  *and* 

$$(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$$
,

then n is prime.

*Proof.* Suppose that n is composite. Then n = ab where 1 < a < n and 1 < b < n. Since a < n,  $a \mid (n-1)!$ . Since  $(n-1) \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ ,

$$n \mid [(n-1)!+1].$$

Thus  $a \mid [(n-1)!+1]$ , hence  $a \mid 1$ , which is a contradiction.

*Remark 8.* p is prime if and only if  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , and also  $(p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Applications of Euler's and Wilson's theorem.

1. p is odd prime. Then

$$[1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \dots \times (p-2)]^2 \equiv [2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \dots \times (p-1)]^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

2. p is odd prime. Then  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has a solution if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

#### October 10th

*Proof.* 1. As x runs through  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  even integers from 2 to p-1, then p-x runs through odd integers from p-2 down to 1. Then

$$(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \dots \times (p-1)) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \dots \times (p-2)) \pmod{p}$$

and so

$$(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \dots \times (p-1))^2 \equiv (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \dots \times (p-2))^2 \pmod{p}.$$

By Wilson's theorem,

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! = (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \dots \times (p-2)) (2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \dots \times (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Thus

$$(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left( 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \dots \times (p-2) \right)^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p},$$

hence

$$(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \dots \times (p-2))^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

2. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$x_0^{p-1} = (x_0^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, by Euler's theorem,  $x_0^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . <sup>10</sup> Thus  $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ; i. e.

$$p\mid \left\lceil 1-(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right\rceil.$$

Hence  $1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 0$ . 11 Therefore  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is even and so  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . (⇐) Note that

$$(p-1)! = \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \dots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left((p-1)(p-2)(p-3) \times \dots \times \frac{p+1}{2}\right)$$

$$\equiv \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \dots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right) \left((-1)(-2)(-3) \times \dots \times \frac{-(p-1)}{2}\right) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \times 1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \times \dots \times \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^2 \pmod{p}$$

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{10 \text{ Note that } x_0^2}{10 \text{ Note that } x_0^2} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \text{ thus } (x_0, p) \mid 1, \text{ and so } (x_0, p) = 1; \text{ i. e. } p \nmid x_0.$   $\frac{11}{11} \text{ If } 1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 2 \neq 0, \text{ then } p \mid 2. \to \leftarrow$ 

Thus

Thus 
$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! \equiv \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \dots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2 \pmod{p}.$$
 Put  $x_0 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \dots \times \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Then  $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Theorem 23.** Let p be a prime number and  $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then

$$\phi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1}.$$

Proof. Note that

 $\phi\left(p^2\right)$  = (the number of positive integers  $\leq p^e$  which are relatively prime to  $p^e$ ) =  $p^e$  – (the number of positive integers  $\leq p^e$  which are NOT relatively prime to  $p^e$ )

while the positive integers  $\leq p^e$  which are NOT relatively prime to  $p^e$  are

$$p, 2p, 3p, \cdots, (p^{e-1}) p.$$

Remark 9. 1.  $\phi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1} = p^e \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ . 2. Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k}$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \phi\left(n\right) &= \phi\left(p_1^{e_1}\right) \phi\left(p_2^{e_2}\right) \times \dots \times \phi\left(p_k^{e_k}\right) \\ &= p_1^{e_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) p_2^{e_2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \dots \times p_k^{e_k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\ &= \left[p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \times \dots \times p_k^{e_k}\right] \times \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \dots \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right] \\ &= n \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \dots \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

*Note 1.* m = 4, n = 7 ((m, n) = 1)

$$\phi(mn) = \phi(28) = 12 = 2 \times 6 = \phi(4) \phi(7).$$

**Lemma 4.** If  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (m, n) = 1, then the integers  $r, m + r, 2m + r, \dots, (m - 1)m + r$  are congrufent to  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$  modulo n.

*Proof.* Suffies to show that no two integers in the list are congrugent modulo n.

Suppose that  $km + r \equiv lm + r \pmod{n}$  where  $0 \leq k, l < n$ . Then  $km \equiv lm \pmod{n}$ . Since (m, n) = 1, hence  $k \equiv l \pmod{n}$ . Since  $0 \leq k, l < n, k = l$ .

**Theorem 24.**  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m) \phi(n)$  *if* (m, n) = 1.

Proof. Consider

$$1 m+1 2m+1 \cdots (n-1)m+1$$

$$2 m+2 2m+2 \cdots (n-1)m+2$$

$$\vdots \vdots \vdots \ddots \vdots$$

$$m 2m 3m \cdots nm$$

Let  $r \le m$  be a positive integer with (r, m) > 1. Let d = (r, m). Then  $d \mid r, d \mid m$ , and so  $d \mid (km + r)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; i. e. d is a factor of every element in the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row.

Thus no element in the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row is relatively prime to m and hence to mn if (r, m) > 1. Hence, there are  $\phi(m)$  rows satisfying (r, m) = 1.

Consider now the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row where (r, m) = 1.

$$r, m+r, 2m+r, \cdots, (n-1)m+r$$

By Lemma, exactly  $\phi(n)$  elements in the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row are relatively prime to n, and hence to mn. Hence we conclude that  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m) \phi(n)$  if (m, n) = 1.

Note 2. n = 28, d = n.  $C_d :=$  (the class of positive integers  $m \le n$  satisfying (m, n) = d). Then

$$C_{1} = \{1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27\}$$

$$C_{2} = \{2, 6, 10, 18, 22, 26\}$$

$$C_{4} = \{4, 8, 12, 20, 24, 28\}$$

$$C_{7} = \{7, 21\}$$

$$C_{14} = \{14\}$$

$$C_{28} = \{28\}$$

$$12 = \phi(28) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{1}\right)$$

$$6 = \phi(14) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{2}\right)$$

$$2 = \phi(4) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{1}\right)$$

$$1 = \phi(2) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{14}\right)$$

$$1 = \phi(1) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{28}\right)$$

$$12+6+6+2+1+1=28.$$

**Theorem 25.** For 
$$n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$
,

$$n = \sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d) = \sum_{d \mid n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $m \le n$ . Then  $m \in C_d$  if and only if (m, n) = d, if and only if  $(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$ .

Thus the number of positive integers  $\leq \frac{n}{d}$  which are relatively prime to  $\frac{n}{d}$  is equial to the number og elements m in  $C_d$ . Hence each class  $C_d$  has  $\phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$  elements.

Since there is a class corresponding to elery factor d of n and every integer  $m \le n$  belongs to exactly one class, it follows that the sum of the count of elements in various classes is n; i. e.  $\sum_{d\mid n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = n$ .

As d runs over the divisors of n, so does 
$$\frac{n}{d}$$
. Hence  $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$ .

**Theorem 26 (Chinese Remainder Theorem).** Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  are pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Then the system of congrugences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 & \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv a_2 & \pmod{m_2} \\ & \vdots \\ x \equiv a_r & \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , has a unique solution modulo  $M = m_1 m_2 \times \cdots \times m_r$ .

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due October 15th.)

Example 7. 1.

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 & \pmod{4} \\ x \equiv 3 & \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 2 & \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

$$35 \times \underline{?}_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$28 \times \underline{?}_2 \equiv 3 \tag{mod 5}$$

$$20 \times \underline{?}_6 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

Note that

$$M = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 35 \cdot 4 = M_1 m_1$$
  
=  $28 \cdot 5 = M_2 m_2$   
=  $20 \cdot 7 = M_3 m_3$ 

thus  $x = 1 \cdot 35 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 28 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 20 \cdot 6 = 93 \pmod{140}$ 

2.

$$\begin{cases} 8x \equiv 4 & (\text{mod } 14) \\ 5x \equiv 3 & (\text{mod } 11) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 4x \equiv 2 & (\text{mod } 7) \\ 5x \equiv 3 & (\text{mod } 11) \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x \equiv 4 & (\text{mod } 7) \\ x \equiv 5 & (\text{mod } 11) \end{cases}$$

By CRT, 
$$x = 4 \cdot 11 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \equiv 368 \equiv 60 \pmod{77}$$
  
Note that  $x \equiv 60 \pmod{77} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 60, x \equiv 137 \pmod{154}$ .

## **Primitive Roots**

#### October 15th

*Recall* By Euler, (a, m) = 1, then  $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $\exists$  at least one positive integer x such that  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . By WOP,  $\exists$  a least posivite integer x satisfying  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

**Definition 11.**  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , (a, m) = 1. The least positive integer x such that  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is called the **order** of a modulo m.

We denote this as  $\operatorname{order}_m a$ , or  $\operatorname{ord}_m a$ .

e. g. 
$$ord_7 2 = 3$$
,  $ord_7 3 = 6$ 

*Remark 10.* 1.  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , then  $\operatorname{ord}_m a = \operatorname{ord}_m b$ .  $(:b^{\operatorname{ord}_m a} \equiv a^{\operatorname{ord}_m a} \equiv 1 \Rightarrow \operatorname{ord}_m b \leq \operatorname{ord}_m a)$ 2. Suppose  $(a, m) \neq 1$ . Then  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  has no solution. Thus  $a^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \ \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Theorem 27.** (a, m) = 1. A positive integer x is a solution of  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid x$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  By division algorithm,

$$x = q \operatorname{ord}_m a + r$$
  $0 \le r < \operatorname{ord}_m a$ .

Then

$$a^{x} = a^{q \operatorname{ord}_{m} a + r}$$

$$= (a^{\operatorname{ord}_{m} a})^{q} a^{r}$$

$$\equiv a^{r} \pmod{m}.$$

Since  $a^x \equiv 1$ ,  $a^r \equiv 1$ . Since  $0 \le r < \operatorname{ord}_m a$ , it follows that r = 0. Hence  $a = q \operatorname{ord}_m a$  and so  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid x$ .

(⇐) Since  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid x, x = k \operatorname{ord}_m a$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $x^a \equiv x^{k \operatorname{ord}_m a} \equiv \left(a^{\operatorname{ord}_m a}\right)^k \equiv 1^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

## Corollary 5.

$$(a, m) = 1$$
  
 $\Rightarrow \operatorname{ord}_m a \mid \phi(m).$ 

e. g. 
$$ord_{17} 5 = 16$$
,  $\phi(17) = 16$ .

Recall m = 7, then ord<sub>7</sub> 2 = 3, ord<sub>7</sub> 3 = 6.

m = 12, then  $\phi(12) = 4$ : so there is no positive integer a such that  $\operatorname{ord}_m a = 4$ .

**Definition 12 (Primitive root).**  $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and (r, m) = 1. If  $\operatorname{ord}_m r = \phi(m)$ , then r is called a *primitive root* modulo m.

e.g.

- 1. 3 is a primitive root modulo 7.
- 2. There are no primitive roots modulo 12.

**Theorem 28.**  $(r, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , (r, m) = 1. If r is a primitive root modulo m, then the integers  $r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}$  form a reduced residue system modulo m.

e. g. 2 is a primitive root modulo 9;  $\phi(9) = 6$ .

$$2 \equiv 2$$

$$2^{2} \equiv 4$$

$$2^{3} \equiv 8$$

$$2^{4} \equiv 7$$

$$2^{5} \equiv 5$$

*Proof.* Suffices to show that the first  $\phi(m)$  powers of r are all relatively prime to m and that no two are congrugent modulo m.

 $2^6 \equiv 1$ 

Since (r, m) = 1,  $(r^k, m) = 1$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus  $r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}$  are all relatively prime to m.

Assume that  $r^{i} \equiv r^{j} \pmod{m}$ . Since  $1 \leq i, j \leq \phi(m)$ , we have i = j, since  $i \equiv j \pmod{\phi(m)}$  by the next theorem.

**Theorem 29.**  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , (a, m) = 1.  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $i \equiv j \pmod{\operatorname{ord}_m a}$  where  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$  where  $i \geq j$ . Since (a, m) = 1,  $(a^j, m) = 1$ . Then

$$a^j a^{i-j} \equiv a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$$
.

Since  $(a^j, m) = 1$ ,  $a^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $\operatorname{ord}_m a \mid (i-j)$ , therefore  $i \equiv j \pmod{m}$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Proof left for students.

**Theorem 30.**  $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , (r, m) = 1. Suppose r is a primitive root modulo m. Then  $r^n$  is also a primitive root modulo m if and only if  $(n, \phi(m)) = 1$ .

**Corollary 6.** *If a positive integer m has a primitive root, then it has a total of*  $\phi(\phi(m))$  *incongrugent primitive roots.* 

e. g. m = 11

By Corollary, 11 has  $\phi(\phi(11)) = 4$  incongrugent primitive roots – of 2, 6, 7, 8.

**Lemma 5.** *If*  $\operatorname{ord}_m a = t$ , then

$$\operatorname{ord}_{m}(a^{u}) = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{m} a}{(\operatorname{ord}_{m} a, u)} = \frac{t}{(t, u)}.$$

*Proof (of lemma).* Let  $s := \operatorname{ord}_m(a^u)$  and v := (t, u). Then  $t = t_1 v$ ,  $u = u_1 v$  where  $(t_1, u_1) = 1$ .

Note that

$$(a^u)^{t_1} \equiv (a^{uv})^{t_1} \equiv (a^t)^{u_1} \equiv 1^{u_1} \equiv 1.$$

Thus  $s \mid t_1$ .

On the other handm since  $1 \equiv (a^u)^s = a^{us}$ , we have  $t \mid us$ . Then  $t = t_1 v \mid us = \underline{u_1 vs}$ , and so,  $t_1 \mid u_1 s$ .

Since 
$$(t_1, u_1) = 1$$
,  $t_1 \mid s$ . Hence  $s = t_1 = \frac{t}{v} = \frac{t}{(t, u)}$ .

Proof (of theorem). By Lemma,

$$\operatorname{ord}_{m}(r^{n}) = \frac{\operatorname{ord}_{m} r}{(\operatorname{ord}_{m} r, n)}$$
$$= \frac{\phi(m)}{(\phi(m), n)}.$$

End of midterm.

# 3 Index (or Discrete Logarithm)

# 3.1 October 15th

*Note 3.* Let r be a primitive root modulo m. Then  $\{r, r^2, \cdots, r^{\phi(m)}\}$  is a reduced residue system.

Thus if a is an integer such that (a, m) = 1, then  $\exists !$  integer x with  $1 \le x \le \phi(m)$  such that  $r^x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ .