

## 0.1 September 19th

*Proof.*  $S \subset \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow S = m\mathbb{Z}$

Since  $S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\exists a \in S$

Since  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $0 \in S$ . We may assume that  $S \neq \{0\}$ . (if  $S = \{0\}$ , then  $S = 0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ )

Take any  $n \in S$ . Then  $0 - n = -n \in S$ . Thus we may also assume that  $S$  has a positive integer.

In all, WLOG<sup>1</sup>, we may assume that  $S$  has a positive integer.

By WOP,  $S$  has a least positive integer  $m$ . We want to show that  $S = m\mathbb{Z}$ .

1.  $m\mathbb{Z} \subset S$

$m \in S$  and  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ . So  $S$  must have all multiples of  $m$ .

2.  $S \subset m\mathbb{Z}$

Take any  $a \in S$ . By division algorithm,  $\exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = qm + r$  where  $0 \leq r < m$ . Since  $mq \in S$  and  $a \in S$ ,

$$r = a - mq \in S$$

. Thus  $r = 0$  by the minimality of  $m$ . Hence  $a = mq \in m\mathbb{Z}$ .

Remains to show the uniqueness of  $m$ . Suppose  $m\mathbb{Z} = S = m'\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $m = \pm m'$ . Since  $m, m' > 0$ ,  $m = m'$ .

$A = B \Rightarrow A \subset B$   
and  $B \subset A$   
 $A \subset B \Rightarrow$  if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $d = (a, b)$ . Then  $d = ax + by$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the set of all multiples of  $d$ . i. e.  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

*Proof.* We knew that  $d = ax + by$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (by the theorem in the last class)

Define  $S := a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a\mathbb{Z} \subset S$  and  $b\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ . Since  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ , it follows the previous theorem that

$$\exists m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } S = m\mathbb{Z}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Without loss of generality

We want to show that  $m = d$ . Since  $a, b \in S = m\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \mid a$ ,  $m \mid b$ . If  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b$ , then  $e \mid m$ .  
 $(\because m = as + bt \text{ for some } s, t \in \mathbb{Z})$

By the definition of GCD,  $m = d$ .

*Remark 1.* The GCD of  $a$  and  $b$  (not both 0) is the least positive integer that is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Theorem 2 (Euclidean Algorithm).**  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Using the division algorithm,

$$b = aq_1 + r_1, \text{ where } 0 < r_1 < |a|.$$

If  $r_1 = 0$ , terminate process.

Repeating process,

$$\begin{array}{ll} a = r_1q_2 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 \\ r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3 & 0 < r_3 < r_2 \\ \vdots & \\ r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n & 0 < r_n < r_{n-1} \\ r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1} & \end{array}$$

Then  $(a, b) = r_n$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $r_n > 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{array}{l} r_n \mid r_{n-1}, r_n \mid r_n \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-2} \\ r_n \mid r_{n-2}, r_n \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-3} \\ \vdots \\ r_n \mid r_1, r_n \mid r_2 \Rightarrow r_n \mid a \\ r_n \mid a, r_n \mid r_1 \Rightarrow r_n \mid b \end{array}$$

Note also that if

$$\begin{aligned} k \mid a, k \mid b &\Rightarrow k \mid r_1 \\ k \mid r_1, k \mid a &\Rightarrow k \mid r_2 \\ &\vdots \\ k \mid r_n, k \mid r_{n-1} &\Rightarrow k \mid r_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that  $r_n = (a, b)$ .

*Proof (Alternate proof).*

$$b = aq + r \Rightarrow (a, b) = (a, r) \quad r = a(-q) + b, b = aq + r$$

Note that  $e \mid a, e \mid b$  iff  $e \mid r, e \mid a$ . Thus  $(a, b) \mid (a, b)$  and  $(a, k) \mid (a, b)$ .

Hence  $(a, b) = (a, r)$ , since  $(a, b) > 0$  and  $(a, k) > 0$ . Therefore we can see that

$$(a, b) = (a, r) = (r_1, r_2) = \cdots = (r_{n-1}, r_n).$$

*Example*

$$\begin{aligned} (68, 710) &= 2 \\ 710 &= 68 \cdot 10 + 30 \\ 68 &= 30 \cdot 2 + 8 \\ 30 &= 8 \cdot 3 + 6 \\ 8 &= 6 \cdot 1 + 2 \\ 6 &= 2 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 8 - 6 \cdot 1 \\ &= 8 - (30 - 8 \cdot 3) \\ &= 8 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1) \\ &= (68 - 30 \cdot 2) \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1) \\ &= 68 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1) \\ &= 68 \cdot 4 + (710 - 68 \cdot 10) \cdot (-9) \\ &= 68 \cdot 94 + 710 \cdot (-9) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1 (Diophantine Equation).** A *Diophantine equation* is a polynomial equation that allows two or more variables to take integer values only.

e. g.

$$ax + by = c$$

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

**Theorem 3.**  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ .

1. The equation  $ax + by = c$  has integer solutions if and only if  $(a, b) \mid c$ .
2. Suppose that  $(a, b) \mid c$ . Then the general solution of the equation  $ax + by = c$  has form the of

$$\left\{ x_0 + \frac{b}{(a, b)}t, y_0 - \frac{a}{(a, b)}t \right\}$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(x_0, y_0)$  is an arbitrary solution of the equation.

General solution for

$$y'' - 4y' + 3y = 0?$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{3x}$$

– 2 bases

## 0.2 September 24th

*Proof.* Note that

$$a \mid b, a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bx + cy) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$m \mid ab, (m, a) = 1 \Rightarrow m \mid b \quad \because (m, a) = 1, \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \quad as + mt = 1$$

Then  $bas + bmt = b$ .

Since  $m \mid ab$ , it follows that  $m \mid b$ .

1.  $(\Rightarrow) (a, b) \mid a, (a, b) \mid b \Rightarrow (a, b) \mid (ax + by) = c$   
 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $(a, b) = d$  and  $c = c_1 d$ . Then  $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $as + bt = d$ . thus

$$\begin{aligned} c &= c_1 d = c_1 (as + bt) \\ &= ac_1 s + bc_1 t \end{aligned}$$

hence  $(c_1 s, c_1 t)$  is a solution.

2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &a \left( x_0 + \frac{b}{d} t \right) + b \left( y_0 - \frac{a}{d} t \right) \\ &= ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d} t + by_0 - \frac{ba}{d} t \\ &= ax_0 + by_0 = c \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $(x, y)$  is an arbitrary solution of  $ax + by = c$ . Since  $ax + by = c = ax_0 + by_0$ , we have

$$a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y).$$

Let  $a = a_1 d, b = b_1 d$ , where  $d = (a, b)$ . Then

$$a_1 (x - x_0) = b_1 (y_0 - y).$$

Since  $(a, b) = 1, b_1 \mid (x - x_0)$ . Then  $\exists t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x - x_0 = b_1 t$ , and similarly  $y_0 - y = a_1 t$ .

Hence

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{(a, b)} t, y = y_0 - \frac{a}{(a, b)} t.$$

*Example*

$$710x + 68y = 6$$

<sup>2</sup> Recall

$$\begin{aligned} &710 \cdot (-9) + 68 \cdot 94 = 2 \\ &710 \cdot (-9 \times 3) + 68 \cdot (94 \times 3) = 2 \times 3 = 6 \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup> Maybe an exam problem?

Hence

$$x = -27 + \frac{68}{2}t = -27 + 34t$$

$$x = 282 - \frac{710}{2}t = 282 - 355t$$

**Definition 2 (Least Common Multiple).** *The least common multiple of two nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted  $[a, b]$  or  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  is the integer  $l$  satisfying the followings:*

1.  $l > 0$ .
2.  $a \mid l, b \mid l$ .
3.  $a \mid c, b \mid c \Rightarrow l \mid c$ .

**Theorem 4.** *For  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[a, b]$  uniquely exists. Moreover,  $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = [a, b]\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $ab \in S$ ,  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly,  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ .

By theorem,  $\exists l$  such that  $S = l\mathbb{Z}$ .

We want to show that  $l = [a, b]$ . Since  $l \in S$ ,  $a \mid l, b \mid l$ . If  $a \mid c, b \mid c$ , then  $c \in S = l\mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \mid c$ .

Remains to show the uniqueness of  $l$ . Suppose  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are both the LCMs of  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $l_1 \mid l_2$  and  $l_2 \mid l_1$ . By (2), (3),  $l_1 = l_2$ , since  $l_1 > 0, l_2 > 0$ .

*Remark 2.*

$$(a, b)\mathbb{Z} = a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Recall

1.  $(0, 0) := 0$
2.  $(a, 0) := |a|$
3.  $[0, 0] := 0$
4.  $[a, 0] := 0$

**Theorem 5.** For  $a > 0, b > 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(a, b)[a, b] = ab.$$

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

**Theorem 6.** Let  $b$  be a positive integer with  $b > 1$ . Then every positive integer  $n$  can be expressed in unique form of

$$n = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \cdots + a_1 b^1 + a_0$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq a_i \leq b - 1$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ .

$b \Rightarrow$  base.

*Proof.* We use the division algorithm. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

**Definition 3 (Prime Numbers).** A *prime* is an integer  $p$  such that

1.  $p > 1$ .
2.  $a \mid p \Rightarrow a = \pm 1$  or  $\pm p$ .

*Remark 3.*  $p$  is prime.

1.  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, p) = 1 \text{ or } (a, p) = p. \text{ (iff } p \text{ is prime)}$
2.  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b. \text{ (iff } p \text{ is prime)}$

**Theorem 7 (Infinitude of Primes).** *There exists infinitely many primes.*

*Proof (Euclid's).*

**Lemma 1.** *Every positive integer  $n \geq 2$  has a prime factor.*

*Proof.* Consider the set  $S = \{m \mid m \text{ is a divisor of } n\}$ . Then  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

By WOP,  $\exists$  least positive integer  $p \in S$ . Note that every divisor of  $p$  is also a divisor of  $n$ . Thus  $p$  is a prime number by the minimality of  $p$ .

Suppose there exists finitely many primes

$$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k.$$

Let

$$n := p_1 p_2 \times \dots \times p_k.$$

Then  $n > 1$  and  $\exists$  prime  $p$  such that  $p \mid n$  by Lemma 1.

Thus  $p = p_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , hence  $p \mid p_1 p_2 \times \dots \times p_k$ , thus

$$p \mid (n - p_1 p_2 \times \dots \times p_k) \Rightarrow p \mid 1.$$

Which is a contradiction to the definition of prime numbers. Thus there exists infinitely many primes.

**Theorem 8.** *There are arbitrary large gaps between successive primes. i. e. For any positive integer  $n$ , there exists at least  $n$  consecutive composite positive integers.*



*Proof.* Consider  $n$  consecutive integers

$$(n+1)! + 2, (n+1)! + 3, \dots, (n+1)! + (n+1).$$

For  $2 \leq j \leq n+1$ , it is clear that  $j \mid (n+1)!$ . Thus  $j \mid ((n+1)! + j)$ .

Hence  $\exists n$  consecutive integers which are all composites.

**Definition 4 (Mersenne Primes).** A *Mersenne prime* is a Mersenne number<sup>3</sup> which is also prime.

e. g.  $M_2 = 2^2 - 1 = 3$ ,  $M_3 = 2^3 - 1 = 7$ ,  $M_5 = 2^5 - 1 = 31$ ,  $M_7 = 2^7 - 1 = 127$ ,  $\dots$  but  $M_{11} = 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$

### 0.3 September 26th

It can be seen that

1. If  $2^n - 1$  is prime, then  $n$  is prime.
2. If  $a$  and  $p$  are positive integers such that  $a^p - 1$  is prime, then  $a = 2$  or  $p = 1$ .<sup>4</sup>

The converse of 1. does not hold. (e. g.  $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \times 89$ )

*Question* Are there infinitely many Mersenne primes?  $\Rightarrow$  yet unknown!

**Only God Knows**

*Remark 4.* Using Mersenne numbers and some theorem of groups<sup>5</sup>, we can show the infinitude of primes.

<sup>4</sup> Proof exists at Wikipedia

<sup>5</sup> Lagrange theorem

*Example*  $2^{11213} - 1$  is prime (1963)

$2^{82589933} - 1$  is prime (2018)

**Definition 5 (Fermat Primes).** A *Fermat prime* is a Fermat number<sup>6</sup> which is also prime.

e.g.  $F_0 = 3, F_1 = 5, F_2 = 17, F_3 = 257, F_4 = 65537$ : the only known Fermat primes.

**Theorem 9.** If  $2^m + 1$  is an odd prime, then  $m$  is a power of 2.

*Proof.* If  $m$  is a positive integer and is not a power of 2, then

$$m = rs$$

where  $1 \leq r, s < m$  and  $s$  is odd. Note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$(a - b) \mid (a^l - b^l).$$

Put  $a = 2^r, b = -1, l = s$ . Then

$$(2^r + 1) \mid (2^{rs} + 1) \Rightarrow (2^r + 1) \mid (2^m + 1).$$

Since  $1 < 2^r + 1 < 2^m + 1$ , it follows that  $2^m + 1$  is not prime.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

**Theorem 10.** A regular polygon of  $n$  sides can be constructed using an unmarked ruler and compass if and only if

$$n = 2^m \quad \text{or} \quad n = 2^r p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$$

where  $m \geq 2, r \geq 0$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  are distinct Fermat primes.

e. g.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 3 = 2^{2^0} + 1 & : \text{constructive} \\
 5 = 2^{2^1} + 1 & : \text{constructive} \\
 7 & : \text{not constructive} \\
 17 = 2^{2^2} + 1 & : \text{constructive}
 \end{array}$$

**Theorem 11.**

$$(F_m, F_n) = 1$$

if  $m \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* **Claim**  $F_n = F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2$  where  $n \geq 1$ .

$$n = 1. \quad F_1 = 5; F_0 + 2 = 3 + 2 = 5.$$

$$n = 2. \quad F_2 = 17; F_0 F_1 + 2 = 3 \times 5 + 2 = 17.$$

Inductive step. Assume that the claim is true for  $s \leq k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k + 2 \\
 &= (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{k-1}) F_k + 2 \\
 &= (F_k + 2) F_k + 2 \\
 &= F_k^2 - 2F_k + 2 \\
 &= (F_k - 1)^2 + 1 \\
 &= 2^{2^{k+1}} + 1 = F_{k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$F_n \div F_i = (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2) \div F_i$$

leaves the remainder of 2. i. e.  $F_n = qF_i + 2$ .

Thus if  $m \mid F_n$ , then  $m \mid 2$ , and so  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$ . Since  $F_n$  and  $F_i$  are odd, it follows that  $m = 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** *There are infinitely many primes.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately by the following statements.

1.  $\{F_n \mid n \geq 0\}$  is an infinite set.
2.  $F_n$  has a prime factor of  $p_n$ .
3.  $(F_m, F_n) = 1$  if  $m \neq n$ .

*Remark 5.* 1. Fermat conjectured all Fermat numbers are primes, but it's not true:

$$F_5 = 4294967297 = 641 \times 6700417.$$

2. Open questions remains:

- (a) Are there infinitely many Fermat primes?
- (b) Are there infinitely many composite Fermat numbers?
- (c) Is it true that  $F_n$  is composite for all  $n > 4$ ?

**Theorem 12 (Prime Number Theorem).** *If*

$$\pi(x) := (\text{number of primes less than or equal to } x)$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\frac{x}{\ln x}} = 1.$$

e. g.  $\pi(10) = 4$ .

It was conjectured by Gauss and Legendre; proved by Hadamad and Poisson independently using complex analysis.

**Theorem 13.** *If  $n$  is a positive composite integer, then  $n$  has a prime factor not exceeding  $\sqrt{n}$ .*

*i. e.  $\exists$  prime factor  $p$  such that  $p \mid n$  and  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $n$  has no prime factors not exceeding  $\sqrt{n}$ , then  $n$  is prime.*

*Proof* (by the contrapositive of the theorem above). (Proof left for students.)

**Theorem 14 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic).** *Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. Then  $n$  can be expressed as a product of prime factors in an unique way, except for the order of factors. i. e.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an unique factorization domain<sup>7</sup>.*

*Proof.* (Using WOP; see the book.)

## 1 Congruences

### 1.1 October 1st

**Definition 6.**  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$a$  is **congruent** to  $b$  modulo  $m$  if  $m \mid (a - b)$ .

**Theorem 15.** 1.  $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$

2.  $a \equiv b \Rightarrow b \equiv a$

3.  $a \equiv b, b \equiv c \Rightarrow a \equiv c$

4.  $a \equiv b, c \equiv d \Rightarrow a \pm c \equiv b \pm d, ac \equiv bd$ .

4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $a_i \equiv b_i \Rightarrow \sum_1^n a_i \equiv \sum_1^n b_i, \prod_1^n a_i \equiv \prod_1^n b_i$

5. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ ,  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_nx^n$ , where  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{m}$ . If  $a \equiv b$ , then  $f(a) \equiv g(b)$ .

*Example 1.*  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

$$10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

$$10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}.$$

Let  $a = a_n \cdot 10^n + \cdots + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pmod{3} \\ &\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pmod{9} \\ &\equiv a_0 - a_1 + \cdots + (-1)^n a_n \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  If  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(10) &\equiv f(1) \pmod{3} \\ f(10) &\equiv f(1) \pmod{9} \\ f(10) &\equiv f(-1) \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

e. g.

$$\begin{aligned} 26384 &\equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 8 + 4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 26384 &\equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 8 + 4 \equiv 5 \pmod{9} \\ 26384 &\equiv 2 - 6 + 3 - 8 + 4 \equiv 6 \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

*Example 2.*  $41 \mid (2^{20} - 1)$ ?

Note that

$$2^5 \equiv -9 \pmod{41}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (2^5)^4 &\equiv (-9)^4 \\ &\equiv 81 \times 81 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $81 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$ ,  $81 \times 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{41}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{20} - 1 &\equiv (2^5 - 4) - 1 \\ &\equiv (-9)^4 - 1 \\ &\equiv 1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $7 \times 2 \equiv 4 \times 2 \pmod{6}$ , but  $7 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , also  $7 \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$ .

**Theorem 16.**  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $d = (c, m)$ .

*If  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ ,

$$m \mid (ac - bc).$$

Thus  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c(a - b) = km$ , and so

$$\frac{c}{d}(a - b) = k\frac{m}{d}.$$

Since  $(\frac{c}{d}, \frac{m}{d}) = 1$ , it follows that

$$\frac{m}{d} \mid (a - b).$$

□

*Question.*  $2^{1137} \equiv ? \pmod{17}$

**Theorem 17.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists! r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a \equiv r \pmod{m}$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$ .

*Proof.* Use the division algorithm.

**Definition 7.** A *complete system of residues modulo  $m$*  is the set of integers such that every integer is congruent modulo  $m$  to exactly one integer of the set.

e. g.

1.  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  is a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .<sup>8</sup>
2. If  $m$  is odd,  $\{-\frac{m-1}{2}, -\frac{m-3}{2}, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \frac{m-3}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}\}$  is also a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .

**Theorem 18.** If  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$  is a complete system of residues modulo  $m$  and if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $(a, m) = 1$ , then for any integer  $b$ ,

$$\{ar_1 + b, ar_2 + b, \dots, ar_m + b\}$$

is a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .

e. g.  $m = 4 \Rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \dots$

but  $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$  is not a complete system of residues modulo 4.

*Proof.* Note that a set of  $m$  incongruent integers modulo  $m$  will always form a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .

Thus it suffices to show that no two integers  $ar_1 + b, \dots, ar_m + b$  are congruent modulo  $m$ .

Suppose that

$$ar_j + b \equiv ar_k + b.$$

---

<sup>8</sup> The least nonnegative residues modulo  $m$



then

$$ar_j \equiv ar_k.$$

Since  $(a, m) = 1$ ,  $r_j \equiv r_k$ . Hence  $j = k$ . □

**Theorem 19.**  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $d = (a, m)$ .

*If  $d \nmid b$ , then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has no solutions.*

*If  $d \mid b$ , then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has exactly  $d$  incongruent solutions modulo  $m$  as follows:*

$$x = x_0 + \frac{m}{d}t \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1$$

*where  $x_0$  is a particular solution of  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .*

*Example 3.*  $9x \equiv 12 \pmod{15}$ ?

Note that  $(9, 15) = 3 \mid 12$ , by theorem,  $\exists$  exactly 3 incongruent solutions modulo 15.

To find a particular solution, consider  $9x + 15y = 12$ . Note that

$$15 = 9 \times 1 + 6$$

$$9 = 6 \times 1 + 3$$

$$6 = 3 \times 2 + 0$$

$$3 = 9 - 6 = 9 \times 1 - 15 \times 1.$$

Thus  $9 \times 1 + 15 \times (-1) = 3$ .

Hence the general solution is given by

$$x = x_0 \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

$$x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 1 \equiv 13 \pmod{15}$$

$$x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 2 \equiv 18 \equiv 3 \pmod{15}.$$

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due October 3rd.)

*Remark 6.* Consider  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . By the previous theorem,  $\exists$  solutions of this congruence if and only if  $(a, m) = 1$ .

**Definition 8.**  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (a, m) = 1$ .

A solution of  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is called an **inverse** of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .

e. g.  $7x \equiv 1 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow x \equiv 9 \pmod{31}$ . Thus 9 and all integers congruent to 9 are inverses of 7 modulo 31.

e. g.  $7x \equiv 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 9 \times 7x \equiv 9 \times 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 1 \times x \equiv 12 \pmod{31}$

*Remark 7.*  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid (a, n) = 1\}$ .

$$\mathbb{Z}_5 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}$$

e. g.  $\mathbb{Z}_8^* = \{\bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{5}, \bar{7}\}$