

## 0.1 September 19th

*Proof.*  $S \subset \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow S = m\mathbb{Z}$

Since  $S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\exists a \in S$

Since  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $0 \in S$ . We may assume that  $S \neq \{0\}$ . (if  $S = \{0\}$ , then  $S = 0 \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ )

Take any  $n \in S$ . Then  $0 - n = -n \in S$ . Thus we may also assume that  $S$  has a positive integer.

In all, WLOG<sup>1</sup>, we may assume that  $S$  has a positive integer.

By WOP,  $S$  has a least positive integer  $m$ . We want to show that  $S = m\mathbb{Z}$ .

1.  $m\mathbb{Z} \subset S$

$m \in S$  and  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ . So  $S$  must have all multiples of  $m$ .

2.  $S \subset m\mathbb{Z}$

Take any  $a \in S$ . By division algorithm,  $\exists q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = qm + r$  where  $0 \leq r < m$ . Since  $mq \in S$  and  $a \in S$ ,

$$r = a - mq \in S$$

. Thus  $r = 0$  by the minimality of  $m$ . Hence  $a = mq \in m\mathbb{Z}$ .

Remains to show the uniqueness of  $m$ . Suppose  $m\mathbb{Z} = S = m'\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $m = \pm m'$ . Since  $m, m' > 0$ ,  $m = m'$ .

$A = B \Rightarrow A \subset B$   
and  $B \subset A$   
 $A \subset B \Rightarrow$  if  $x \in A$  then  $x \in B$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $d = (a, b)$ . Then  $d = ax + by$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is the set of all multiples of  $d$ . i. e.  $a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

*Proof.* We knew that  $d = ax + by$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (by the theorem in the last class)

Define  $S := a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $a\mathbb{Z} \subset S$  and  $b\mathbb{Z} \subset S$ . Since  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ , it follows the previous theorem that

$$\exists m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } S = m\mathbb{Z}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Without loss of generality

We want to show that  $m = d$ . Since  $a, b \in S = m\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \mid a$ ,  $m \mid b$ . If  $e \mid a$  and  $e \mid b$ , then  $e \mid m$ .  
 $(\because m = as + bt \text{ for some } s, t \in \mathbb{Z})$

By the definition of GCD,  $m = d$ .

*Remark 1.* The GCD of  $a$  and  $b$  (not both 0) is the least positive integer that is a linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ .

**Theorem 2 (Euclidean Algorithm).**  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ . Using the division algorithm,

$$b = aq_1 + r_1, \text{ where } 0 < r_1 < |a|.$$

If  $r_1 = 0$ , terminate process.

Repeating process,

$$\begin{array}{ll} a = r_1q_2 + r_2 & 0 < r_2 < r_1 \\ r_1 = r_2q_3 + r_3 & 0 < r_3 < r_2 \\ \vdots & \\ r_{n-2} = r_{n-1}q_n + r_n & 0 < r_n < r_{n-1} \\ r_{n-1} = r_nq_{n+1} & \end{array}$$

Then  $(a, b) = r_n$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $r_n > 0$ . Note that

$$\begin{array}{l} r_n \mid r_{n-1}, r_n \mid r_n \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-2} \\ r_n \mid r_{n-2}, r_n \mid r_{n-1} \Rightarrow r_n \mid r_{n-3} \\ \vdots \\ r_n \mid r_1, r_n \mid r_2 \Rightarrow r_n \mid a \\ r_n \mid a, r_n \mid r_1 \Rightarrow r_n \mid b \end{array}$$

Note also that if

$$\begin{aligned} k \mid a, k \mid b &\Rightarrow k \mid r_1 \\ k \mid r_1, k \mid a &\Rightarrow k \mid r_2 \\ &\vdots \\ k \mid r_n, k \mid r_{n-1} &\Rightarrow k \mid r_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that  $r_n = (a, b)$ .

*Proof (Alternate proof).*

$$b = aq + r \Rightarrow (a, b) = (a, r) \quad r = a(-q) + b, b = aq + r$$

Note that  $e \mid a, e \mid b$  iff  $e \mid r, e \mid a$ . Thus  $(a, b) \mid (a, b)$  and  $(a, k) \mid (a, b)$ .

Hence  $(a, b) = (a, r)$ , since  $(a, b) > 0$  and  $(a, k) > 0$ . Therefore we can see that

$$(a, b) = (a, r) = (r_1, r_2) = \cdots = (r_{n-1}, r_n).$$

*Example*

$$\begin{aligned} (68, 710) &= 2 \\ 710 &= 68 \cdot 10 + 30 \\ 68 &= 30 \cdot 2 + 8 \\ 30 &= 8 \cdot 3 + 6 \\ 8 &= 6 \cdot 1 + 2 \\ 6 &= 2 \cdot 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 8 - 6 \cdot 1 \\ &= 8 - (30 - 8 \cdot 3) \\ &= 8 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1) \\ &= (68 - 30 \cdot 2) \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1) \\ &= 68 \cdot 4 + 30 \cdot (-1) \\ &= 68 \cdot 4 + (710 - 68 \cdot 10) \cdot (-9) \\ &= 68 \cdot 94 + 710 \cdot (-9) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1 (Diophantine Equation).** A *Diophantine equation* is a polynomial equation that allows two or more variables to take integer values only.

e. g.

$$ax + by = c$$

$$x^n + y^n = z^n$$

$$x^2 - dy^2 = 1$$

**Theorem 3.**  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$ .

1. The equation  $ax + by = c$  has integer solutions if and only if  $(a, b) \mid c$ .
2. Suppose that  $(a, b) \mid c$ . Then the general solution of the equation  $ax + by = c$  has form the of

$$\left\{ x_0 + \frac{b}{(a, b)}t, y_0 - \frac{a}{(a, b)}t \right\}$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(x_0, y_0)$  is an arbitrary solution of the equation.

General solution for

$$y'' - 4y' + 3y = 0?$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 e^x + c_2 e^{3x}$$

– 2 bases

## 0.2 September 24th

*Proof.* Note that

$$a \mid b, a \mid c \Rightarrow a \mid (bx + cy) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$m \mid ab, (m, a) = 1 \Rightarrow m \mid b \quad \because (m, a) = 1, \exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z} \quad as + mt = 1$$

Then  $bas + bmt = b$ .

Since  $m \mid ab$ , it follows that  $m \mid b$ .

1.  $(\Rightarrow) (a, b) \mid a, (a, b) \mid b \Rightarrow (a, b) \mid (ax + by) = c$   
 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $(a, b) = d$  and  $c = c_1 d$ . Then  $\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $as + bt = d$ . thus

$$\begin{aligned} c &= c_1 d = c_1 (as + bt) \\ &= ac_1 s + bc_1 t \end{aligned}$$

hence  $(c_1 s, c_1 t)$  is a solution.

2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &a \left( x_0 + \frac{b}{d} t \right) + b \left( y_0 - \frac{a}{d} t \right) \\ &= ax_0 + \frac{ab}{d} t + by_0 - \frac{ba}{d} t \\ &= ax_0 + by_0 = c \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $(x, y)$  is an arbitrary solution of  $ax + by = c$ . Since  $ax + by = c = ax_0 + by_0$ , we have

$$a(x - x_0) = b(y_0 - y).$$

Let  $a = a_1 d, b = b_1 d$ , where  $d = (a, b)$ . Then

$$a_1 (x - x_0) = b_1 (y_0 - y).$$

Since  $(a, b) = 1, b_1 \mid (x - x_0)$ . Then  $\exists t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $x - x_0 = b_1 t$ , and similarly  $y_0 - y = a_1 t$ . Hence

$$x = x_0 + \frac{b}{(a, b)} t, y = y_0 - \frac{a}{(a, b)} t.$$

*Example*

$$710x + 68y = 6$$

<sup>2</sup> Recall

$$\begin{aligned} &710 \cdot (-9) + 68 \cdot 94 = 2 \\ &710 \cdot (-9 \times 3) + 68 \cdot (94 \times 3) = 2 \times 3 = 6 \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup> Maybe an exam problem?

Hence

$$x = -27 + \frac{68}{2}t = -27 + 34t$$

$$x = 282 - \frac{710}{2}t = 282 - 355t$$

**Definition 2 (Least Common Multiple).** *The least common multiple of two nonzero integers  $a$  and  $b$ , denoted  $[a, b]$  or  $\text{lcm}(a, b)$  is the integer  $l$  satisfying the followings:*

1.  $l > 0$ .
2.  $a \mid l, b \mid l$ .
3.  $a \mid c, b \mid c \Rightarrow l \mid c$ .

**Theorem 4.** *For  $a \neq 0, b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $[a, b]$  uniquely exists. Moreover,  $a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z} = [a, b]\mathbb{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S = a\mathbb{Z} \cap b\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $ab \in S$ ,  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Clearly,  $S$  is closed under  $+$ ,  $-$ .

By theorem,  $\exists l$  such that  $S = l\mathbb{Z}$ .

We want to show that  $l = [a, b]$ . Since  $l \in S$ ,  $a \mid l, b \mid l$ . If  $a \mid c, b \mid c$ , then  $c \in S = l\mathbb{Z}$  and  $l \mid c$ .

Remains to show the uniqueness of  $l$ . Suppose  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are both the LCMs of  $a$  and  $b$ . Then  $l_1 \mid l_2$  and  $l_2 \mid l_1$ . By (2), (3),  $l_1 = l_2$ , since  $l_1 > 0, l_2 > 0$ .

*Remark 2.*

$$(a, b)\mathbb{Z} = a\mathbb{Z} + b\mathbb{Z} = \{ax + by \mid x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

Recall

1.  $(0, 0) := 0$
2.  $(a, 0) := |a|$
3.  $[0, 0] := 0$
4.  $[a, 0] := 0$

**Theorem 5.** For  $a > 0, b > 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(a, b)[a, b] = ab.$$

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

**Theorem 6.** Let  $b$  be a positive integer with  $b > 1$ . Then every positive integer  $n$  can be expressed in unique form of

$$n = a_k b^k + a_{k-1} b^{k-1} + \cdots + a_1 b^1 + a_0$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq a_i \leq b - 1$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and  $a_k \neq 0$ .

$b \Rightarrow$  base.

*Proof.* We use the division algorithm. (Proof left for homework – due September 26th.)

**Definition 3 (Prime Numbers).** A *prime* is an integer  $p$  such that

1.  $p > 1$ .
2.  $a \mid p \Rightarrow a = \pm 1$  or  $\pm p$ .

*Remark 3.*  $p$  is prime.

1.  $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, (a, p) = 1 \text{ or } (a, p) = p. \text{ (iff } p \text{ is prime)}$
2.  $p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a \text{ or } p \mid b. \text{ (iff } p \text{ is prime)}$

**Theorem 7 (Infinitude of Primes).** *There exists infinitely many primes.*

*Proof (Euclid's).*

**Lemma 1.** *Every positive integer  $n \geq 2$  has a prime factor.*

*Proof.* Consider the set  $S = \{m \mid m \text{ is a divisor of } n\}$ . Then  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

By WOP,  $\exists$  least positive integer  $p \in S$ . Note that every divisor of  $p$  is also a divisor of  $n$ . Thus  $p$  is a prime number by the minimality of  $p$ .

Suppose there exists finitely many primes

$$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k.$$

Let

$$n := p_1 p_2 \times \dots \times p_k.$$

Then  $n > 1$  and  $\exists$  prime  $p$  such that  $p \mid n$  by Lemma 1.

Thus  $p = p_i$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , hence  $p \mid p_1 p_2 \times \dots \times p_k$ , thus

$$p \mid (n - p_1 p_2 \times \dots \times p_k) \Rightarrow p \mid 1.$$

Which is a contradiction to the definition of prime numbers. Thus there exists infinitely many primes.

**Theorem 8.** *There are arbitrary large gaps between successive primes. i. e. For any positive integer  $n$ , there exists at least  $n$  consecutive composite positive integers.*



*Proof.* Consider  $n$  consecutive integers

$$(n+1)! + 2, (n+1)! + 3, \dots, (n+1)! + (n+1).$$

For  $2 \leq j \leq n+1$ , it is clear that  $j \mid (n+1)!$ . Thus  $j \mid ((n+1)! + j)$ .

Hence  $\exists n$  consecutive integers which are all composites.

**Definition 4 (Mersenne Primes).** A *Mersenne prime* is a Mersenne number<sup>3</sup> which is also prime.

e. g.  $M_2 = 2^2 - 1 = 3$ ,  $M_3 = 2^3 - 1 = 7$ ,  $M_5 = 2^5 - 1 = 31$ ,  $M_7 = 2^7 - 1 = 127$ ,  $\dots$  but  $M_{11} = 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \times 89$

### 0.3 September 26th

It can be seen that

1. If  $2^n - 1$  is prime, then  $n$  is prime.
2. If  $a$  and  $p$  are positive integers such that  $a^p - 1$  is prime, then  $a = 2$  or  $p = 1$ .<sup>4</sup>

The converse of 1. does not hold. (e. g.  $2^{11} - 1 = 23 \times 89$ )

*Question* Are there infinitely many Mersenne primes?  $\Rightarrow$  yet unknown!

**Only God Knows**

*Remark 4.* Using Mersenne numbers and some theorem of groups<sup>5</sup>, we can show the infinitude of primes.

<sup>4</sup> Proof exists at Wikipedia

<sup>5</sup> Lagrange theorem

*Example*  $2^{11213} - 1$  is prime (1963)

$2^{82589933} - 1$  is prime (2018)

**Definition 5 (Fermat Primes).** A *Fermat prime* is a Fermat number<sup>6</sup> which is also prime.

e.g.  $F_0 = 3, F_1 = 5, F_2 = 17, F_3 = 257, F_4 = 65537$ : the only known Fermat primes.

**Theorem 9.** If  $2^m + 1$  is an odd prime, then  $m$  is a power of 2.

*Proof.* If  $m$  is a positive integer and is not a power of 2, then

$$m = rs$$

where  $1 \leq r, s < m$  and  $s$  is odd. Note that for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$(a - b) \mid (a^l - b^l).$$

Put  $a = 2^r, b = -1, l = s$ . Then

$$(2^r + 1) \mid (2^{rs} + 1) \Rightarrow (2^r + 1) \mid (2^m + 1).$$

Since  $1 < 2^r + 1 < 2^m + 1$ , it follows that  $2^m + 1$  is not prime.  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

**Theorem 10.** A regular polygon of  $n$  sides can be constructed using an unmarked ruler and compass if and only if

$$n = 2^m \quad \text{or} \quad n = 2^r p_1 p_2 \times \cdots \times p_k$$

where  $m \geq 2, r \geq 0$  and  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  are distinct Fermat primes.

e. g.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 3 = 2^{2^0} + 1 & : \text{constructive} \\
 5 = 2^{2^1} + 1 & : \text{constructive} \\
 7 & : \text{not constructive} \\
 17 = 2^{2^2} + 1 & : \text{constructive}
 \end{array}$$

**Theorem 11.**

$$(F_m, F_n) = 1$$

if  $m \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* **Claim**  $F_n = F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2$  where  $n \geq 1$ .

$$n = 1. \quad F_1 = 5; F_0 + 2 = 3 + 2 = 5.$$

$$n = 2. \quad F_2 = 17; F_0 F_1 + 2 = 3 \times 5 + 2 = 17.$$

Inductive step. Assume that the claim is true for  $s \leq k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_k + 2 \\
 &= (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{k-1}) F_k + 2 \\
 &= (F_k + 2) F_k + 2 \\
 &= F_k^2 - 2F_k + 2 \\
 &= (F_k - 1)^2 + 1 \\
 &= 2^{2^{k+1}} + 1 = F_{k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$F_n \div F_i = (F_0 F_1 \times \cdots \times F_{n-1} + 2) \div F_i$$

leaves the remainder of 2. i. e.  $F_n = qF_i + 2$ .

Thus if  $m \mid F_n$ , then  $m \mid 2$ , and so  $m = 1$  or  $m = 2$ . Since  $F_n$  and  $F_i$  are odd, it follows that  $m = 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** *There are infinitely many primes.*

*Proof.* It follows immediately by the following statements.

1.  $\{F_n \mid n \geq 0\}$  is an infinite set.
2.  $F_n$  has a prime factor of  $p_n$ .
3.  $(F_m, F_n) = 1$  if  $m \neq n$ .

*Remark 5.* 1. Fermat conjectured all Fermat numbers are primes, but it's not true:

$$F_5 = 4294967297 = 641 \times 6700417.$$

2. Open questions remains:

- (a) Are there infinitely many Fermat primes?
- (b) Are there infinitely many composite Fermat numbers?
- (c) Is it true that  $F_n$  is composite for all  $n > 4$ ?

**Theorem 12 (Prime Number Theorem).** *If*

$$\pi(x) := (\text{number of primes less than or equal to } x)$$

*Then*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{\frac{x}{\ln x}} = 1.$$

e. g.  $\pi(10) = 4$ .

It was conjectured by Gauss and Legendre; proved by Hadamad and Poisson independently using complex analysis.

**Theorem 13.** *If  $n$  is a positive composite integer, then  $n$  has a prime factor not exceeding  $\sqrt{n}$ .*

*i. e.  $\exists$  prime factor  $p$  such that  $p \mid n$  and  $p \leq \sqrt{n}$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *If  $n$  has no prime factors not exceeding  $\sqrt{n}$ , then  $n$  is prime.*

*Proof* (by the contrapositive of the theorem above). (Proof left for students.)

**Theorem 14 (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic).** *Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. Then  $n$  can be expressed as a product of prime factors in an unique way, except for the order of factors. i. e.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an unique factorization domain<sup>7</sup>.*

*Proof.* (Using WOP; see the book.)

## 1 Congruences

### 1.1 October 1st

**Definition 6.**  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

$a$  is **congruent** to  $b$  modulo  $m$  if  $m \mid (a - b)$ .

**Theorem 15.** 1.  $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$

2.  $a \equiv b \Rightarrow b \equiv a$

3.  $a \equiv b, b \equiv c \Rightarrow a \equiv c$

4.  $a \equiv b, c \equiv d \Rightarrow a \pm c \equiv b \pm d, ac \equiv bd$ .

4<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>.  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then  $a_i \equiv b_i \Rightarrow \sum_1^n a_i \equiv \sum_1^n b_i, \prod_1^n a_i \equiv \prod_1^n b_i$

5. Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ ,  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_nx^n$ , where  $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{m}$ . If  $a \equiv b$ , then  $f(a) \equiv g(b)$ .

*Example 1.*  $10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

$$10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}.$$

$$10 \equiv -1 \pmod{11}.$$

Let  $a = a_n \cdot 10^n + \cdots + a_1 \cdot 10 + a_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pmod{3} \\ &\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n \pmod{9} \\ &\equiv a_0 - a_1 + \cdots + (-1)^n a_n \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  If  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} f(10) &\equiv f(1) \pmod{3} \\ f(10) &\equiv f(1) \pmod{9} \\ f(10) &\equiv f(-1) \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

e. g.

$$\begin{aligned} 26384 &\equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 8 + 4 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \\ 26384 &\equiv 2 + 6 + 3 + 8 + 4 \equiv 5 \pmod{9} \\ 26384 &\equiv 2 - 6 + 3 - 8 + 4 \equiv 6 \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

*Example 2.*  $41 \mid (2^{20} - 1)$ ?

Note that

$$2^5 \equiv -9 \pmod{41}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (2^5)^4 &\equiv (-9)^4 \\ &\equiv 81 \times 81 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $81 \equiv -1 \pmod{41}$ ,  $81 \times 81 \equiv 1 \pmod{41}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{20} - 1 &\equiv (2^5 - 4) - 1 \\ &\equiv (-9)^4 - 1 \\ &\equiv 1 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{41}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $7 \times 2 \equiv 4 \times 2 \pmod{6}$ , but  $7 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , also  $7 \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$ .

**Theorem 16.**  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $d = (c, m)$ .

*If  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ , then  $a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ ,

$$m \mid (ac - bc).$$

Thus  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $c(a - b) = km$ , and so

$$\frac{c}{d}(a - b) = k\frac{m}{d}.$$

Since  $\left(\frac{c}{d}, \frac{m}{d}\right) = 1$ , it follows that

$$\frac{m}{d} \mid (a - b).$$

□

*Question.*  $2^{1137} \equiv ? \pmod{17}$

**Theorem 17.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists! r \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$a \equiv r \pmod{m}$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq m - 1$ .

*Proof.* Use the division algorithm.

**Definition 7.** A *complete system of residues modulo  $m$*  is the set of integers such that every integer is congruent modulo  $m$  to exactly one integer of the set.

e. g.

1.  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$  is a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .<sup>8</sup>
2. If  $m$  is odd,  $\{-\frac{m-1}{2}, -\frac{m-3}{2}, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, \frac{m-3}{2}, \frac{m-1}{2}\}$  is also a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .

**Theorem 18.** If  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m\}$  is a complete system of residues modulo  $m$  and if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $(a, m) = 1$ , then for any integer  $b$ ,

$$\{ar_1 + b, ar_2 + b, \dots, ar_m + b\}$$

is a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .

e. g.  $m = 4 \Rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{0, 3, 6, 9\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \dots$

but  $\{0, 2, 4, 6\}$  is not a complete system of residues modulo 4.

*Proof.* Note that a set of  $m$  incongruent integers modulo  $m$  will always form a complete system of residues modulo  $m$ .

Thus it suffices to show that no two integers  $ar_1 + b, \dots, ar_m + b$  are congruent modulo  $m$ .

Suppose that

$$ar_j + b \equiv ar_k + b.$$

---

<sup>8</sup> The least nonnegative residues modulo  $m$



then

$$ar_j \equiv ar_k.$$

Since  $(a, m) = 1$ ,  $r_j \equiv r_k$ . Hence  $j = k$ . □

**Theorem 19.**  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $d = (a, m)$ .

*If  $d \nmid b$ , then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has no solutions.*

*If  $d \mid b$ , then  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$  has exactly  $d$  incongruent solutions modulo  $m$  as follows:*

$$x = x_0 + \frac{m}{d}t \quad t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d-1$$

*where  $x_0$  is a particular solution of  $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ .*

*Example 3.*  $9x \equiv 12 \pmod{15}$ ?

Note that  $(9, 15) = 3 \mid 12$ , by theorem,  $\exists$  exactly 3 incongruent solutions modulo 15.

To find a particular solution, consider  $9x + 15y = 12$ . Note that

$$15 = 9 \times 1 + 6$$

$$9 = 6 \times 1 + 3$$

$$6 = 3 \times 2 + 0$$

$$3 = 9 - 6 = 9 \times 1 - 6.$$

Thus  $9 \times 1 + 15 \times (-1) = 3$ .

Hence the general solution is given by

$$x = x_0 \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

$$x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 1 \equiv 13 \pmod{15}$$

$$x = x_0 + \frac{15}{3} \times 2 \equiv 18 \equiv 3 \pmod{15}.$$

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due October 3rd.)

*Remark 6.* Consider  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . By the previous theorem,  $\exists$  solutions of this congruence if and only if  $(a, m) = 1$ .

**Definition 8.**  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (a, m) = 1$ .

A solution of  $ax \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is called an **inverse** of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .

e. g.  $7x \equiv 1 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow x = 9 \pmod{31}$ . Thus 9 and all integers congruent to 9 are inverses of 7 modulo 31.

e. g.  $7x \equiv 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 9 \times 7x \equiv 9 \times 22 \pmod{31} \Rightarrow 1 \times x \equiv 12 \pmod{31}$

*Remark 7.*  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = \{\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mid (a, n) = 1\}$ .  $(\mathbb{Z}_n^*, *)$  is a group.

$$\mathbb{Z}_5 = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}$$

e. g.  $\mathbb{Z}_8^* = \{\bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{5}, \bar{7}\}$

## 1.2 October 8th

**Definition 9 (Euler  $\phi$  Function).** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . The **Euler  $\phi$ -function**  $\phi(n)$  is defined to be the count of positive integers not exceeding  $n$  which are relatively prime to  $n$ .

e. g.  $\phi(1) = 1, \phi(2) = 1, \phi(3) = 2, \phi(8) = 4, \phi(12) = 4$

In general, if  $p$  is prime, then  $\phi(p) = p - 1$ .

*Question.* How to compute  $\phi(n)$ ? Goal:  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$  if  $(m, n) = 1$ , i. e.  $\phi$  is multiplicative.

**Definition 10 (Reduced Residue System).** A *reduced residue system* modulo  $n$  is a set of  $\phi(n)$  integers such that each element of the set is relatively prime to  $n$  and no two distinct elements of the set are congruent modulo  $n$ .

e. g.  $n = 8 \Rightarrow \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$  : a reduced residue system modulo 8.

**Lemma 2.** If  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\phi(n)}\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo  $n$  and if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $(a, n) = 1$  then  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \dots, ar_{\phi(n)}\}$  is also a reduced residue system modulo  $n$ .

Only multiplication holds; addition does not hold.

*Proof.* (See the textbook.)

**Theorem 20 (Euler's Theorem).** If  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(a, m) = 1$  then

$$a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{\phi(m)}\}$  be a reduced residue system modulo  $m$ . Since  $(a, m) = 1$ , the set  $\{ar_1, ar_2, \dots, ar_{\phi(m)}\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo  $m$  by Lemma.

Then

$$ar_1 \times ar_2 \times \dots \times ar_{\phi(m)} \equiv r_1 \times r_2 \times \dots \times r_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}$$

and so

$$a^{\phi(m)} \times r_1 \times r_2 \times \dots \times r_{\phi(m)} \equiv r_1 \times r_2 \times \dots \times r_{\phi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Hence  $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .<sup>9</sup>

□

**Corollary 3 (Fermat's Little Theorem).** If  $p$  is prime and  $p \nmid a$  ( $\Rightarrow (a, p) = 1$ ), then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

<sup>9</sup> Note that  $(r_1 r_2 \times \dots \times r_{\phi(m)}, m) = 1$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $p$  : prime. Then*

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}.$$

*Proof.* If  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $a^p \equiv 0 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

If  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  thus  $a^{p-1} \equiv a \pmod{p}$ . □

*Example 4.*  $2^{1137} \pmod{17}$ ?

By Euler's theorem,  $2^{16} \equiv 1 \pmod{17}$ . Thus

$$2^{1137} = (2^{16})^{71} \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \equiv 2 \pmod{17}.$$

*Example 5.* Show that 117 is not a prime.

Suppose 117 is prime. then

$$2^{117} \equiv 2 \pmod{117}.$$

Note that

$$2^7 \equiv 128 \equiv 11 \pmod{117}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{117} &\equiv (2^7)^{16} \cdot 2^5 \\ &\equiv 11^{16} \cdot 2^5 \\ &\equiv 121^8 \cdot 2^5 \\ &\equiv 4^8 \cdot 2^5 \\ &\equiv 2^{21} \equiv 11^3 \not\equiv 2 \pmod{17}. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 6.* Solve  $x^{35} + 5x^{19} + 11x^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{17}$ .

By Fermat's little theorem,

$$x^{17} \equiv x \pmod{17}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}x^{35} &= x(x^{17})^2 \equiv x^3 \\x^{19} &= x^2(x^{17}) \equiv x^3\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$x^{35} + 5x^{19} + 11x^3 \equiv (1 + 5 + 11)x^3 \equiv 0 \cdot x^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{17}.$$

Hence  $x$  can be any integer.

**Theorem 21 (Wilson's Theorem).** *If  $p$  is a prime, then*

$$(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.$$

Was conjectured by Wilson; and proved by Lagrange.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $p$  be prime.  $a$  is self-invertible modulo  $p$ , i. e.  $a \cdot a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , if and only if  $a \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ .*

*Proof (of lemma).* ( $\Leftarrow$ ) It's trivial.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Note that

$$a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

and so  $p \mid (a-1)(a+1)$ .

Since  $p$  is prime,  $p \mid (a-1)$  or  $p \mid (a+1)$ . Thus  $a \equiv 1$  or  $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . □

*Proof (of theorem).* If  $p = 2$ , then  $(p-1)! = 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2}$ .

Consider for  $p > 2$ . Note that  $\{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  is a reduced residue system modulo  $p$ . By lemma, 1 and  $p-1$  are self-invertible. Thus we can group the remaining  $p-3$  residues  $\frac{p-3}{2}$  pair of inverses  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (p-1)! &= 1 \cdot [2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times (p-2)] (p-1) \\
 &\equiv 1 \cdot 1 \times \cdots \times 1 (p-1) \\
 &\equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

e. g.  $(6-1)! + 1 = 121 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ , thus 6 is not prime.

In fact, the converse of Wilson's theorem also holds, but is inefficient to test primality.

**Theorem 22.** *If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and*

$$(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n},$$

*then  $n$  is prime.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $n$  is composite. Then  $n = ab$  where  $1 < a < n$  and  $1 < b < n$ . Since  $a < n$ ,  $a \mid (n-1)!$ . Since  $(n-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ ,

$$n \mid [(n-1)! + 1].$$

Thus  $a \mid [(n-1)! + 1]$ , hence  $a \mid 1$ , which is a contradiction.

*Remark 8.*  $p$  is prime if and only if  $(p-1)! \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , and also  $(p-2)! \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

*Applications of Euler's and Wilson's theorem.*

1.  $p$  is odd prime. Then

$$[1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2)]^2 \equiv [2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1)]^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

2.  $p$  is odd prime. Then  $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  has a solution if and only if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

### 1.3 October 10th

*Proof.* 1. As  $x$  runs through  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  even integers from 2 to  $p-1$ , then  $p-x$  runs through odd integers from  $p-2$  down to 1. Then

$$(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1)) \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2)) \pmod{p}$$

and so

$$(2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1))^2 \equiv (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))^2 \pmod{p}.$$

By Wilson's theorem,

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! = (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2)) (2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 \times \cdots \times (p-1)) \pmod{p}.$$

Thus

$$(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p},$$

hence

$$(1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \times \cdots \times (p-2))^2 \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \pmod{p}.$$

□

2. ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$x_0^{p-1} = (x_0^2)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}.$$

On the other hand, by Euler's theorem,  $x_0^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .<sup>10</sup> Thus  $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ; i. e.

$$p \mid \left[ 1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right].$$

Hence  $1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 0$ .<sup>11</sup> Therefore  $\frac{p-1}{2}$  is even and so  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1)! &= \left( 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \left( (p-1)(p-2)(p-3) \times \cdots \times \frac{p+1}{2} \right) \\ &\equiv \left( 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2} \right) \left( (-1)(-2)(-3) \times \cdots \times \frac{-(p-1)}{2} \right) \pmod{p} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \times 1^2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \times \cdots \times \left( \frac{p+1}{2} \right)^2 \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

<sup>10</sup> Note that  $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ , thus  $(x_0, p) \mid 1$ , and so  $(x_0, p) = 1$ ; i. e.  $p \nmid x_0$ .

<sup>11</sup> If  $1 - (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 2 \neq 0$ , then  $p \mid 2$ .  $\rightarrow \leftarrow$

Thus

$$-1 \equiv (p-1)! \equiv \left(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2}\right)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Put  $x_0 = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \times \cdots \times \frac{p-1}{2}$ . Then  $x_0^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ . □

**Theorem 23.** Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $e \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then

$$\phi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1}.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p^e) &= (\text{the number of positive integers } \leq p^e \text{ which are relatively prime to } p^e) \\ &= p^e - (\text{the number of positive integers } \leq p^e \text{ which are NOT relatively prime to } p^e) \end{aligned}$$

while the positive integers  $\leq p^e$  which are NOT relatively prime to  $p^e$  are

$$p, 2p, 3p, \dots, (p^{e-1})p.$$

□

*Remark 9.* 1.  $\phi(p^e) = p^e - p^{e-1} = p^e \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ .

2. Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n) &= \phi(p_1^{e_1}) \phi(p_2^{e_2}) \times \cdots \times \phi(p_k^{e_k}) \\ &= p_1^{e_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) p_2^{e_2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \\ &= [p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \times \cdots \times p_k^{e_k}] \times \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \cdots \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right] \\ &= n \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \times \cdots \times \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Note 1.*  $m = 4, n = 7$  ( $(m, n) = 1$ )

$$\phi(mn) = \phi(28) = 12 = 2 \times 6 = \phi(4) \phi(7).$$



**Lemma 4.** If  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, r \in \mathbb{Z}, (m, n) = 1$ , then the integers  $r, m+r, 2m+r, \dots, (m-1)m+r$  are congruent to  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  modulo  $n$ .

*Proof.* Suffices to show that no two integers in the list are congruent modulo  $n$ .

Suppose that  $km+r \equiv lm+r \pmod{n}$  where  $0 \leq k, l < n$ . Then  $km \equiv lm \pmod{n}$ . Since  $(m, n) = 1$ , hence  $k \equiv l \pmod{n}$ . Since  $0 \leq k, l < n, k = l$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 24.**  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$  if  $(m, n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Consider

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 1 & m+1 & 2m+1 & \cdots & (n-1)m+1 \\
 2 & m+2 & 2m+2 & \cdots & (n-1)m+2 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 m & 2m & 3m & \cdots & nm
 \end{array}$$

Let  $r \leq m$  be a positive integer with  $(r, m) > 1$ . Let  $d = (r, m)$ . Then  $d \mid r, d \mid m$ , and so  $d \mid (km+r)$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ; i. e.  $d$  is a factor of every element in the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row.

Thus no element in the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row is relatively prime to  $m$  and hence to  $mn$  if  $(r, m) > 1$ . Hence, there are  $\phi(m)$  rows satisfying  $(r, m) = 1$ .

Consider now the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row where  $(r, m) = 1$ .

$$r, m+r, 2m+r, \dots, (n-1)m+r$$

By Lemma, exactly  $\phi(n)$  elements in the  $r^{\text{th}}$  row are relatively prime to  $n$ , and hence to  $mn$ . Hence we conclude that  $\phi(mn) = \phi(m)\phi(n)$  if  $(m, n) = 1$ .  $\square$

*Note 2.*  $n = 28, d = n$ .  $C_d :=$  (the class of positive integers  $m \leq n$  satisfying  $(m, n) = d$ ). Then

$$\begin{array}{ll}
C_1 = \{1, 3, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 23, 25, 27\} & 12 = \phi(28) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{1}\right) \\
C_2 = \{2, 6, 10, 18, 22, 26\} & 6 = \phi(14) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{2}\right) \\
C_4 = \{4, 8, 12, 20, 24, 28\} & 6 = \phi(7) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{4}\right) \\
C_7 = \{7, 21\} & 2 = \phi(4) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{7}\right) \\
C_{14} = \{14\} & 1 = \phi(2) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{14}\right) \\
C_{28} = \{28\} & 1 = \phi(1) = \phi\left(\frac{28}{28}\right)
\end{array}$$

$$12 + 6 + 6 + 2 + 1 + 1 = 28.$$

**Theorem 25.** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,

$$n = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = \sum_{d|n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $m \leq n$ . Then  $m \in C_d$  if and only if  $(m, n) = d$ , if and only if  $\left(\frac{m}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$ .

Thus the number of positive integers  $\leq \frac{n}{d}$  which are relatively prime to  $\frac{n}{d}$  is equal to the number of elements  $m$  in  $C_d$ . Hence each class  $C_d$  has  $\phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$  elements.

Since there is a class corresponding to every factor  $d$  of  $n$  and every integer  $m \leq n$  belongs to exactly one class, it follows that the sum of the count of elements in various classes is  $n$ ; i. e.  $\sum_{d|n} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = n$ .

As  $d$  runs over the divisors of  $n$ , so does  $\frac{n}{d}$ . Hence  $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$ . □

**Theorem 26 (Chinese Remainder Theorem).** *Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r$  be pairwise relatively prime positive integers. Then the system of congruences*

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv a_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv a_2 \pmod{m_2} \\ \vdots \\ x \equiv a_r \pmod{m_r} \end{cases}$$

*where  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , has a unique solution modulo  $M = m_1 m_2 \times \dots \times m_r$ .*

*Proof.* (Proof left for homework – due October 15th.)

*Example 7.* 1.

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ x \equiv 3 \pmod{5} \\ x \equiv 2 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

$$35 \times \underline{?}_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$

$$28 \times \underline{?}_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

$$20 \times \underline{?}_6 \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$$

Note that

$$M = 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 35 \cdot 4 = M_1 m_1$$

$$= 28 \cdot 5 = M_2 m_2$$

$$= 20 \cdot 7 = M_3 m_3$$

$$\text{thus } x = 1 \cdot 35 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 28 \cdot 2 + 2 \cdot 20 \cdot 6 = 93 \pmod{140}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{cases} 8x \equiv 4 \pmod{14} \\ 5x \equiv 3 \pmod{11} \end{cases} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 4x \equiv 2 \pmod{7} \\ 5x \equiv 3 \pmod{11} \end{cases} \\
& \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} x \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \\ x \equiv 5 \pmod{11} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

By CRT,  $x = 4 \cdot 11 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \equiv 368 \equiv 60 \pmod{77}$

Note that  $x \equiv 60 \pmod{77} \Leftrightarrow x \equiv 60, x \equiv 137 \pmod{154}$ .

## 2 Primitive Roots

### 2.1 October 15th

*Recall* By Euler,  $(a, m) = 1$ , then  $a^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $\exists$  at least one positive integer  $x$  such that  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . By WOP,  $\exists$  a least positive integer  $x$  satisfying  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

**Definition 11.**  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $(a, m) = 1$ . The least positive integer  $x$  such that  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  is called the **order** of  $a$  modulo  $m$ .

We denote this as  $\text{order}_m a$ , or  $\text{ord}_m a$ .

e. g.  $\text{ord}_7 2 = 3$ ,  $\text{ord}_7 3 = 6$

*Remark 10.* 1.  $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ , then  $\text{ord}_m a = \text{ord}_m b$ . ( $\because b^{\text{ord}_m a} \equiv a^{\text{ord}_m a} \equiv 1 \Rightarrow \text{ord}_m b \leq \text{ord}_m a$ )

2. Suppose  $(a, m) \neq 1$ . Then  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  has no solution. Thus  $a^k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{m} \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Theorem 27.**  $(a, m) = 1$ . A positive integer  $x$  is a solution of  $a^x \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $\text{ord}_m a \mid x$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  By division algorithm,

$$x = q \text{ord}_m a + r \quad 0 \leq r < \text{ord}_m a.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^x &= a^{q \text{ord}_m a + r} \\ &= (a^{\text{ord}_m a})^q a^r \\ &\equiv a^r \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a^x \equiv 1$ ,  $a^r \equiv 1$ . Since  $0 \leq r < \text{ord}_m a$ , it follows that  $r = 0$ . Hence  $x = q \text{ord}_m a$  and so  $\text{ord}_m a \mid x$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Since  $\text{ord}_m a \mid x$ ,  $x = k \text{ord}_m a$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then  $a^x \equiv a^{k \text{ord}_m a} \equiv (a^{\text{ord}_m a})^k \equiv 1^k \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ .

**Corollary 5.**

$$\begin{aligned} (a, m) &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \text{ord}_m a &\mid \phi(m). \end{aligned}$$

e. g.  $\text{ord}_{17} 5 = 16$ ,  $\phi(17) = 16$ .

Recall  $m = 7$ , then  $\text{ord}_7 2 = 3$ ,  $\text{ord}_7 3 = 6$ .

$m = 12$ , then  $\phi(12) = 4$ : so there is no positive integer  $a$  such that  $\text{ord}_m a = 4$ .

**Definition 12 (Primitive root).**  $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $(r, m) = 1$ . If  $\text{ord}_m r = \phi(m)$ , then  $r$  is called a *primitive root modulo  $m$* .

e. g.

1. 3 is a primitive root modulo 7.
2. There are no primitive roots modulo 12.

**Theorem 28.**  $(r, m) \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (r, m) = 1$ . If  $r$  is a primitive root modulo  $m$ , then the integers  $r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}$  form a reduced residue system modulo  $m$ .

e. g. 2 is a primitive root modulo 9;  $\phi(9) = 6$ .

$$2 \equiv 2$$

$$2^2 \equiv 4$$

$$2^3 \equiv 8$$

$$2^4 \equiv 7$$

$$2^5 \equiv 5$$

$$2^6 \equiv 1$$

*Proof.* Suffices to show that the first  $\phi(m)$  powers of  $r$  are all relatively prime to  $m$  and that no two are congruent modulo  $m$ .

Since  $(r, m) = 1$ ,  $(r^k, m) = 1$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thus  $r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}$  are all relatively prime to  $m$ .

Assume that  $r^i \equiv r^j \pmod{m}$ . Since  $1 \leq i, j \leq \phi(m)$ , we have  $i = j$ , since  $i \equiv j \pmod{\phi(m)}$  by the next theorem.

**Theorem 29.**  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (a, m) = 1$ .  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $i \equiv j \pmod{\text{ord}_m a}$  where  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}$  where  $i \geq j$ . Since  $(a, m) = 1$ ,  $(a^j, m) = 1$ . Then

$$a^j a^{i-j} \equiv a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{m}.$$

Since  $(a^j, m) = 1$ ,  $a^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Thus  $\text{ord}_m a \mid (i - j)$ , therefore  $i \equiv j \pmod{\text{ord}_m a}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Proof left for students.

**Theorem 30.**  $r, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $(r, m) = 1$ . Suppose  $r$  is a primitive root modulo  $m$ . Then  $r^n$  is also a primitive root modulo  $m$  if and only if  $(n, \phi(m)) = 1$ .

**Corollary 6.** If a positive integer  $m$  has a primitive root, then it has a total of  $\phi(\phi(m))$  incongruent primitive roots.

e. g.  $m = 11$

By Corollary, 11 has  $\phi(\phi(11)) = 4$  incongruent primitive roots – of 2, 6, 7, 8.

**Lemma 5.** If  $\text{ord}_m a = t$ , then

$$\text{ord}_m(a^u) = \frac{\text{ord}_m a}{(\text{ord}_m a, u)} = \frac{t}{(t, u)}.$$

*Proof (of lemma).* Let  $s := \text{ord}_m(a^u)$  and  $v := (t, u)$ . Then  $t = t_1 v$ ,  $u = u_1 v$  where  $(t_1, u_1) = 1$ .

Note that

$$(a^u)^{t_1} \equiv (a^{uv})^{t_1} \equiv (a^t)^{u_1} \equiv 1^{u_1} \equiv 1.$$

Thus  $s \mid t_1$ .

On the other hand since  $1 \equiv (a^u)^s = a^{us}$ , we have  $t \mid us$ . Then  $t = \underline{t_1} v \mid us = \underline{u_1} v s$ , and so,  $t_1 \mid u_1 s$ .

Since  $(t_1, u_1) = 1$ ,  $t_1 \mid s$ . Hence  $s = t_1 = \frac{t}{v} = \frac{t}{(t, u)}$ .

*Proof (of theorem).* By Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ord}_m(r^n) &= \frac{\text{ord}_m r}{(\text{ord}_m r, n)} \\ &= \frac{\phi(m)}{(\phi(m), n)}.\end{aligned}$$

---

*End of midterm.*



### 3 Index (or Discrete Logarithm)

#### 3.1 October 15th

*Note 3.* Let  $r$  be a primitive root modulo  $m$ . Then  $\{r, r^2, \dots, r^{\phi(m)}\}$  is a reduced residue system.

Thus if  $a$  is an integer such that  $(a, m) = 1$ , then  $\exists!$  integer  $x$  with  $1 \leq x \leq \phi(m)$  such that  $r^x \equiv a \pmod{m}$ .