TA Session 9: Preview of Semi/Nonparametric and Review of ARMA

Shuowen Chen¹

EC708: PhD Econometrics I (Spring 2020)

¹Materials are based on Hamilton (1994), Hayashi (2000), Ichimura & Todd (2007), Li & Racine (2007) and teaching slides by Bruce Hansen, Jean–Jacques Forneron, Hiroaki Kaido and Pierre Perron. Students interested in a formal exposure to the topics are strongly recommended to take EC711 and EC712. Empirically–oriented students are recommended to take EC732.

OUTLINE

- Preview of Semi/Nonparametric Econometrics
 - ▶ Local Nonpar: Kernel Density Estimation
 - Two Examples
 - 1. Nonparametric IV (Series/Sieve Estimation)
 - 2. Semiparametric: Partially Linear Model (Kernel Regression)
- Review of ARMA Process
 - Stationarity and Ergodicity
 - Wold's Decomposition
 - Stationarity and Identification of ARMA

Benefits and Challenges of Flexible Models

Why Nonparametric?

- ► All models are wrong, some are useful (George Box)
- ▶ Identification can come from parametric assumptions
- Nonparametric theory admits that all models are misspecified
- ▶ Objects of interest beyond conditional mean (e.g., quantile)

Challenges

- ► Identification
- Bias and variance tradeoff
- Rate of convergence (slower than \sqrt{n})
- ► Tunning parameters (incomplete data-driven rules)
- Computation (curse of dimensionality)

Semiparametrics

- ► Middle child of parametric and nonparametric
- ► Recent work includes neural nets as first step (Gao & Li, 2019)

Deep Learning

- ► GAN in structural modeling: Kaji, Manresa & Pouliot (2020)
- ► GAN in Monte Carlo simulations: Athey et al. (2019)

DISTRIBUTION AND DENSITY ESTIMATIONS

Denote X as a r.v. with continuous distribution F(x) and density f(x). **Goal:** estimate F(x) and f(x) from a random sample $\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$

Empirical CDF:

$$\mathbb{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(X_i \le x)$$

▶ Define $\mathbb{G}_n = \sqrt{n}(\mathbb{F}_n(x) - F(x))$, by SLLN and CLT²

$$\mathbb{F}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} F(x), \quad \mathbb{G}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, F(x)(1 - F(x)))$$

 \triangleright Estimating f relies on numerical derivatives

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \frac{\mathbb{F}_n(x+h) - \mathbb{F}_n(x-h)}{2h}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2h} \mathbb{1}(x-h \le X_i \le x+h)$$

²This is a special example of empirical process, which is vital for theories of semi/nonparametric, bootstrap and statistical learning. See Kosorok (2008) for a textbook introduction.

Roles of Kernel and Bandwidth

▶ Define $k(x) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1}(|x| \le 1)$, then

$$\widehat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h}\right)$$

- ▶ The estimator counts percentage of obs close to *x*
- ► Kernel $k(\cdot)$: how much weight to put on each obs around x
 - ▶ The k(x) here is **uniform** kernel: gives weight $\frac{1}{2h}$ to each obs between x h and x + h and zeros to all others
 - There are many other kernel functions
- ▶ Bandwidth *h*: how many obs around *x* to include
 - Important for bias and variance
 - Requires some tunning



▶ Kernel Examples

BIAS AND VARIANCE OF KERNAL DENSITY ESTIMATOR

Denote $m_2(k)$ as the second moment of the uniform kernel and $f^{(2)}$ as the second order derivative of f

$$Bias(\widehat{f}(x)) = \frac{1}{2}f^{(2)}(x)h^2m_2(k) + o(h^4)$$

Denote $R(k) = \int k(x)^2 dx$

$$Var(\widehat{f}(x)) = \frac{f(x)R(k)}{nh} + O(\frac{1}{n})$$

- ▶ An increase in *h* increases bias while decreases variance
- ▶ Why? Large *h* means we use obs far away, which leads to more inaccuracy. Small *h* means we use few obs and variability of estiamtes is high.
- ► The tradeoff carries over to other nonparametric methods

MEASURE OF ESTIMATION PRECISION

Recall definition of mean squared errors:

$$MSE(\widehat{f}(x)) = \mathbb{E}(\widehat{f}(x) - f(x))^2 = Bias^2(\widehat{f}(x)) + Var(\widehat{f}(x))$$

Use an asymptotic approximation:

$$AMSE(\widehat{f}(x)) = \left[\frac{1}{2}f^{(2)}(x)h^2m_2(k)\right]^2 + \frac{f(x)R(k)}{nh}$$

A global measure: Asymptotic Mean Integrated Squared Error

$$AMISE = \int AMSE(\widehat{f}(x)) dx = \frac{m_2^2(k)}{4} R(f^{(2)}) h^4 + \frac{R(k)}{nh},$$

where $R(f^{(2)}) = \int (f^{(2)}(x))^2 dx$

- ► The value of *h* that minimizes *AMISE* is the asymptotically optimal bandwidth
- ► Stata uses Silverman Rule-of-Thumb bandwidth
- Another common stratety is cross validation (cross-fitting)

QUICK NOTES ON INFERENCE

- Hypothesis testing requires estimation of variance
- Bias estimation is important in nonparametric estimation
- ▶ Bypass bias using undersmoothing: set *h* smaller than optimal
- ▶ In practice, compute the optimal bandwidth and set h smaller
- ▶ Difference between pointwise and uniform convergence, hence pointwise and uniform confidence bands³

³Also applies to quantile and distribution regressions.

Nonparametric IV

Consider the following model:

$$Y = g(X) + u$$
, $\mathbb{E}(u|X) \neq 0$, $\mathbb{E}(u|Z) = 0$

What does g mean?

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|Z=z) = \int g(x)f(x|z)dx$$

- $ightharpoonup \mathbb{E}(Y_i|Z_i=z)$ and f(x|z) can be consistently estimated
- ▶ But solution of g is not necessarily unique⁴: ill–posedness
- ▶ **Trouble it causes**: even if consistent estimators of $\mathbb{E}(Y|Z=z)$ and f(x|z) were plugged in, no consistent estimator of g
- ► Newey and Powell (2003) put restrictions on space of *g*: compact set under the Sobolev norm

 $^{^4}$ We would need g to be a continuous functional of $\mathbb{E}(Y|Z)$ and f(x|z), or completeness of condiditional expectation of functions of x conditional on z, which is both esoteric and hard to test in nonparametric models (Canay, Santos and Shaikh, 2012). In linear models completeness is like rank conditions.

Nonparametric IV: Series Estimation

► Suppose *g* can be approximated using series approximation

$$g(x) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{J} \gamma_j p_j(x)$$

Now we have

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|Z=z)pprox\sum_{i=1}^{j}\gamma_{j}\mathbb{E}(p_{j}(x)|Z=z)$$

Estimation Procedures:

- 1. Series estimation of Z on basis functions $\{p_j(x)\}$ and get $\widehat{\mathbb{E}(p_j|Z)}$
- 2. OLS on Y against $\widehat{\mathbb{E}(p_j|Z)}$ to get $\{\widehat{\gamma}_j\}$

- $\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \widehat{\gamma}_j p_j(x)$, uniformly consistent
- ► Rate of convergence and asymptotic normality are tricky, need to adjust for standard errors

SEMIPARAMETRIC: PARTIALLY LINEAR MODEL

Consider the model

$$Y = X\beta + g(Z) + e$$
, $\mathbb{E}(e|X,Z) = 0$

- ▶ Parametric part: linear specification for *X*
- ▶ Nonparametric part: how Z enters the regression
- ▶ Allow for heteroskedasticity $Var(e|X, Z) = \sigma^2(X, Z)$

We follow the approach by Robinson (1988)

$$Y - \mathbb{E}(Y|Z) = (X - \mathbb{E}(X|Z))\beta + e$$

- ▶ If we could observe $\mathbb{E}(Y|Z)$ and $\mathbb{E}(X|Z)$, then OLS applies
- ► Need to consider identification though:

$$(X - \mathbb{E}(X|Z))'(X - \mathbb{E}(X|Z))$$

X cannot contain a constant, none of X can be a deterministic function of Z.

FEASIBLE ESTIMATION: TWO-STEP PROCEDURE

- ▶ In practice, need to estimate $\mathbb{E}(Y|Z)$ and $\mathbb{E}(X|Z)$
- ▶ Denote $\widehat{Y} = Y \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(Y|Z)$ and $\widehat{X} = X \widehat{E}(X|Z)$, OLS estimator

$$\widehat{\beta} = [\widehat{X}'\widehat{X}]^{-1}\widehat{X}'\widehat{Y},$$

Essentially FWL theorem, decomposition details

$$\widehat{\beta} - \beta = [\widehat{X}'\widehat{X}]^{-1}\widehat{X}' \left(e^{-[\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(Y|Z) - \mathbb{E}(Y|Z)] + [\widehat{\mathbb{E}}(X|Z) - \mathbb{E}(X|Z)]\beta} \right)$$

- Use undersmoothing to get rid of bias (blue terms)
- Work with variance as in OLS (red)
- ▶ **Double Machine Learning** method (Chernozhukov et al., 2017) replaces with first-step machine learning to cope with more covariates in *Z*

STATIONARITY

Strict Stationarity

- For any admissible t_1, \dots, t_n and any k, the joint probability distribution of $\{x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)\}$ is identical to that of $\{x(t_1 + k), \dots, x(t_n + k)\}$
- ▶ Invariant probability structure under a shift of the time origin

Stationarity up to Order m

▶ For any admissible t_1, \dots, t_n and any k, all joint moments up to order m of $\{x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)\}$ exist and are identical to those of $\{x(t_1+k), \dots, x(t_n+k)\}$

(Weak) Stationarity: m = 2

▶ First two moments independent of time

$$\mathbb{E}[x(t)] = \mu, \quad \mathbb{E}[x(t)^2] = \mu_2$$

- ▶ $\mathbb{E}[x(t)x(s)]$ is a function of t s only
- ▶ Variance is time–invariant and covariance only depends on t s

AUTOCOVARIANCE AND AUTOCORRELATION

For a stationary process $\{x_t\}$ with mean μ and variance σ^2 ,

▶ Autocavariance Function $R(\tau)$:

$$R(\tau) = \mathbb{E}[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-\tau} - \mu)]$$

• Autocorrelation Function $\rho(\tau)$:

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{R(\tau)}{R(0)},$$

where
$$R(0) = Var(x_t) = \sigma^2$$

- $|R(\tau)| \le R(0)$ and $|\rho(\tau)| \le \rho(0) = 1, \forall \tau$
- ▶ If $\{x_t\}$ is real-valued, then $R(-\tau) = R(\tau)$ and $\rho(-\tau) = \rho(\tau)$

ERGODICITY

▶ If you have time series with sample size *T* in *S* parallel universes:

$$\{x_t^s\}_{t=1}^T, \quad s = 1, \dots, S$$

- ► Ensemble mean of *t*-th observation: $E(x_t) = plim_{S\to\infty} \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} x_t^s$
- Meaning? Average cross all different states at time t
- ▶ In practice, only have one time series $\{x_t\}_{t=1}^T$ and thus time average $\overline{x} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t$

Question: When is time average consistent for ensemble mean?

- ▶ Stationarity: $\mathbb{E}(x_t) = \mu$, $\mathbb{E}[(x_t \mu)(x_{t-\tau} \mu)] = R(\tau)$
- ▶ Absolute summability: $\sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} |R(\tau)| < \infty$
- ▶ Result⁵: $\sqrt{T}(\overline{x} \mu) \to \mathcal{N}(0, \sum_{\tau = -\infty}^{\infty} R(\tau))$

Remark:

 Important for simulation-based methods on dynamic models (Duffie & Singleton, 1993)

⁵Chapter 7 of Hamilton (1994); Chapter 6 of Hayashi (2000) has further discussions on Gordin's conditions.

Wold's Decomposition Theorem

Any stationary process can be represented in terms of a linear combination of i.i.d. random variable with mean 0

$$x_t = d_t + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

- lacksquare $\psi_0=1, \sum_{j=0}^\infty \psi_j^2 < \infty \text{ and } \varepsilon_t=x_t-\mathbb{E}[x_t|x_{t-s},s\geq 1]$
- \triangleright ε_t : white noise; forecast error from the optimal estimator of x_t using past information
- d_t uncorrelated with ε_{t-i}
- $d_t = \mathbb{E}(d_t|x_{t-s}, s \ge 1)$ (linearly deterministic component)

- Stationary process can be represented as an ARMA process
- lacktriangle For estimation in practice need some further assumptions on ψ_j
- Limiting distribution of $\{x_t\}$ depends on rate of decay of weights of moving average representation Long and Short Memory

Autoregressive Moving Average Process

An ARMA(p, q) process is defined as a random process $\{x_t\}$ such that

$$A(L)x_t = B(L)e_t$$

- e_t is i.i.d. $(0, \sigma_e^2)$
- $A(L) = 1 a_1L a_2L^2 \cdots a_pL^p$ (Autoregressive)
- ► $B(L) = 1 + b_1L + b_2L^2 + \cdots + b_qL^q$ (Moving Average)
- ▶ Lag operator: $L^p x_t \equiv x_{t-p}$

Alternative representation of the process:

$$x_t = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i x_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{q} e_{t-j}$$

- ▶ $\{x_t\}$ is an ARMA(p, q) process with mean μ if $\{x_t \mu\}$ is an ARMA(p, q) process
- ▶ When A(L) = B(L) = 1, $\{x_t\}$ is called white noise⁶

Stationarity of AR(p) Process

Consider the following process

$$x_t = a_1 x_{t-1} + \cdots + a_p x_{t-p} + e_t$$

For stationarity, needs the roots of

$$A(z) := 1 - a_1 z - \cdots - a_p z^p = 0$$

all lie outside the unit circle

- ► Can express $A(L) = \prod_{p}^{i=1} \left(1 \frac{1}{\mu_i^*}L\right)$, where μ_i^* 's are roots of A(z) = 0
- ► Can express $\{x_t\}$ as an infinite MA process

$$x_t = A^{-1}(L)e_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} k_i e_{t-i},$$

where k_i 's are functions of μ_i^* 's

Stationarity of MA(q) Process

Consider the following process

$$x_t = e_t + b_1 e_{t-1} + \dots + b_q e_{t-q}$$

- $ightharpoonup \mathbb{E}(x_t) = 0$
- $Var(x_t) = (1 + b_1^2 + \cdots + b_q^2)\sigma_e^2$
- Other orders of autocovariance:

$$\mathbb{E}[x_t x_{t-\tau}] = \begin{cases} \sigma_e^2[b_\tau + b_{\tau+1} b_1 + \dots + b_q b_{q-\tau}] & \tau = 1, 2, \dots, q \\ 0 & \tau > q \end{cases}$$

- ► MA(q) is always stationary
- Autocorrelation $\rho(\tau)$ cut off after q lags

Invertibility of MA(q) Process

Consider two MA(1) processes

$$x_t = (1 - b_1 L)e_t, \quad e_t \sim i.i.d.(0, \sigma_e^2)$$
 (1)

$$x_t = \left(1 - \frac{1}{h_t}L\right)\tilde{e}_t, \quad \tilde{e}_t \sim i.i.d.(0, c^2\sigma_e^2) \tag{2}$$

- ▶ Same first, second moments and autocorrelation function
- ► Indistinguishable

Recursive substitution leads to $e_t = x_t - b_1 e_{t-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-b_1)^i x_{t-i}$

- ▶ Mean–squared convergent only if $|b_1|$ < 1: root of B(L) = 0 lies outside the unit circle
- ▶ For MA(q) process, invertible if all roots of B(z) = 0 lie outside the unit circle, which leads to

$$e_t = B^{-1}(L)x_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i x_{t-i}$$

Invertibility: express MA(q) as an $AR(\infty)$ process with coefficients $\{h_i\}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |h_i| < \infty$, hence ensuring that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i x_{t-i}$ is mean–squared convergent (Key for VAR analysis)

Stationarity and Identification of ARMA(p,q)

Stationarity

▶ Roots of A(z) = 0 lie outside the unit circle

Identification

- ▶ Roots of B(z) = 0 lie outside the unit circle
- ▶ A(L) and B(L) have no common factors
- ▶ Why? Suppose A(L) = C(L)D(L) and B(L) = C(L)E(L), where C(L) is a polynomial with order r, then $A(L)x_t = B(L)e_t$ is observationally equivalent to the ARMA(p-r,q-r)

$$D(L)x_t = E(L)e_t$$

SHORT AND LONG MEMORY STATIONARY PROCESSES

Short Memory

- $\triangleright \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j |\psi_j| < \infty \text{ or } \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} |\rho(\tau)| < \infty$
- ▶ Applies to stationary and invertible ARMA(p, q) processes

Long Memory

- ▶ If $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i^2 < \infty$ is satisfied while $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} j |\psi_j| < \infty$ is not
- ▶ One type: fractionally integrated process. For example: $(1-L)^d x_t = e_t$, where $d \in (0,0.5)$. Then

$$\psi_j = \frac{d(d+1)\cdots(d+j-1)}{i!}$$

For large $j, \rho(\tau) \approx c \tau^{2d-1}$ for some constant, hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\rho(i)| \approx c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i^{2d-1} = \infty$$

When 2d = 1 < 0, autocorrelation hyperbolic rate of decay, much slower than geometric decay

 $ARIMA(p, d, q): A(L)(1-L)^d x_t = B(L)e_t$



KERNEL FUNCTIONS

- $k(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int k(x) dx = 1$
- ▶ Kernel is nonnegative if $k(x) \ge 0 \ \forall x$. In this case it is a PDF.
- *j*-th moment: $m_i(k) = \int x^j k(x) dx$
- ▶ Symmetric kernel: $k(x) = k(-x) \ \forall x$
- ▶ Order ν : the order of the first non–zero moment. Kernels with order larger than 2 have negative parts and hence not probability densities. Dubbed bias–reducing kernels

◆ Bacl

KERNEL EXAMPLES

1. Triangular Kernel

$$k(x) = (1 - |x|) \mathbb{1}(|x| \le 1)$$

2. Epanechnikov Kernel

$$k(x) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - x^2)\mathbb{1}(|x| \le 1)$$

3. Gaussian Kernel

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2}\right)$$

- Gaussian kernel has infinite support
- Triangular kernel indifferentiable at 0. Consistency and asymptotic distribution proofs often use mean value theorem which requires differentiability.

SERIES ESTIMATION

$$Y = g(X) + u, \quad g(X) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X)$$

- ► Kernel based methods⁷ estimate values of *g*(*x*) for each *x* individually: only consider observations close to *x*
- ▶ Series estimation is global: one regression estimates g(x) for all x
- ► How? Allow for flexible function form

$$g \approx \sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{I}} \gamma_j g_j$$

where $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^{\mathcal{J}}$ is a set of basis functions and $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^{\mathcal{J}}$ are coefficients to be estimated

- ► Replaces infinite-dimensional estimation with estimation over a large finite-dimensional space (**Sieve Space**, Chen, 2007)
- ▶ Neural network is also sieve (Chen and White, 1999)

⁷Other methods include k-means (Bonhomme, Lamadon & Manresa, 2019), nearest neighbor matching and local polynomial.

Series Estimation: Intuition and Basis Functions

- ▶ $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{S}} |\sum_{j=1}^{\mathcal{J}} \gamma_j g_j(x) g(x)| = O(\mathcal{J}^{-\alpha})$ for some $\alpha > 0$ and compact set \mathcal{S} . Requires g to be differentiale up to some order.
- ► Two common choices of basis functions:
 - 1. Power Series: $g_i = x^j$
 - 2. Splines: $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a k-th order spline with knot points at $t_1 < \cdots < t_m$ if f is a polynomial of degree j on each of the intervals $(-\infty, t_1], [t_1, t_2], \cdots, [t_m, \infty)$ and $f^{(l)}$ is continuous at t_1, \cdots, t_m for each $l = 0, 1, \cdots, k-1$. Total dimension is j = k + m + 1
- ▶ Other basis: wavelets, B-splines, Bernstein polynomials, etc.
- Adding number of knots or terms of power series, more flexible fit and decreases bias, analogous to shrinking bandwidth for kernels
- ► Choosing \mathcal{J} ? Mallow's C_L , generalized cross validation, leave—one—out cross validation



KERNEL REGRESSION

Consider the model⁸

$$Y = g(X) + e$$
, $g(X) = \mathbb{E}(Y|X)$

Goal is to estimate g(X). First note that

$$\mathbb{E}(Y|X=x) = \int y f_{Y|X}(y|X=x) dy = \frac{\int y f_{Y,X}(y,x) dy}{f_X(x)}$$

- We can estimate $f_X(x)$ using kernel density estimation $\widehat{f}_X(x)$
- ▶ What about $f_{Y,X}(y,x)$? We can extend density estimation to multivariate case with product kernel: K(y,x) = k(y)k(x)

$$\widehat{f}_{Y,X}(y,x) = \frac{1}{nh_X h_Y} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{Y_i - y}{h_Y}\right) k\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_X}\right)$$

Some algebra gives

$$\int y \widehat{f}_{Y,X}(y,x) dy = \frac{1}{nh_X} \sum_{i=1}^n k \left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_X} \right) Y_i$$

⁸For simplicity I assume X is univariate, but can be multivariate.

NADARAYA-WATSON KERNEL ESTIMATOR

This gives us the following $\widehat{g}(x) := \widehat{\mathbb{E}}(Y|X=x)$:

$$\widehat{g}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i k\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_X}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_X}\right)}$$

Denote
$$k_{h_X}(X_i-x):=rac{k\left(rac{X_i-x}{h_X}
ight)}{\sum_{i=1}^n k\left(rac{X_i-x}{h_X}
ight)},$$
 then we have

$$\widehat{g}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{h_X}(X_i - x) Y_i$$

- Point estimator: different for each value of x
- ▶ Weighted average of Y_i , more weight to observations such that X_i is close to x
- Poor performance at end points (one side observations), use local polynomial as an alternative