On enhancing hierarchical modulation

Conference Paper · March 2008

DOI: 10.1109/ISBMSB.2008.4536685 · Source: IEEE Xplore

CITATIONS
42

READS
112

3 authors, including:

Shu Wang
IDT International
47 PUBLICATIONS **269** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

3RD GENERATION PARTNERSHIP PROJECT 2 "3GPP2"

TSG-C NTAH

Title:	On Enhancing Hierarchical Modulations
Source:	Shu Wang, Soonyil Kwon and Sukwoo Lee +1-858-635-5305 {swang, sykwon, sugoo}@lge.com **LG ELECTRONICS Inc.**
Abstract:	This contribution discusses hierarchical modulations for next-generation standards in terms of achievable rates, modulation efficiency, symbol-error rate, PAPR, etc.
Date:	August 27, 2007
Recommendation:	FYI

On Enhancing Hierarchical Modulations

Shu Wang, Soonyil Kwon and Sukwoo Lee
LG Electronics Mobile Research, USA

Introduction

- Hierarchical modulations are widely used in digital broadcast system design such as
  - Dedicated network: DVB-T, Media-FLO, UMB-BCMCS.
  - Hierarchical network: DVB Multiplexing.
- Hierarchical modulations can help
  - provide different QoS's to users with different profiles, e.g. higher throughput for users with advanced receiver.
  - provide unequal protection on different contents, e.g., video, audio, text.
  - update system to provide better service to new users with advanced receiver with keeping existing users unchanged.
- The enhanced hierarchical modulation scheme by rotating enhancement layer(s) is investigated here for the next generation system in terms of
  - an information theoretic perspective: achievable throughputs
  - a signal-processing perspective: inter-layer interference, effective SNR, effective power, modulation efficiency.
  - an implementation perspective: peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR)
- These criteria can be used for optimizing and evaluating layered/hierarchical transmissions in the future too.

Superposition Precoding and Hierarchical Modulation



Achievable rates, (Bergmans and Cover, 1974).



Base Layer: QPSK



Enhancement Layer: rotated QPSK



QPSK/QPSK Hierarchical Modulation $b_1e_1b_0e_0$

- Optimal broadcast channel capacity is achievable by superposing two users' signal together.
- Superposition precoding with interference cancellation outperforms TDM and FDM schemes in most time.
- Hierarchical modulation is one of the popular implementations of superposition precoding.

Hierarchical Modulation in Standards (1/2)





- Media-FLO supports hierarchical transmission of base/enhancement layers
  - Extends coverage with layered source coding
  - Provides a more graceful degradation of reception.

Hierarchical Modulation in Standards (2/2)





DVB-T -- QPSK/64QAM, 13.6/4.5Mbps with coding rate ¾ and ½.

- Besides using a dedicated DVB-H network, DVB-H service can also be embedded into DVB-T network using hierarchical modulation.
  - DVB-H service use the HP input while DVB-T services use LP.
  - The HP input can offer increased robustness in mobile environment over the LP input
  - The LP input can serve higher bit-rate for fixed reception service

Enhanced Hierarchical Signal Constellation



Base Layer: QPSK

$b_1 b_0$



$e_1 e_0$

Enhancement Layer: rotated QPSK



Enhanced QPSK/QPSK Hierarchical Modulation

- The key advantage: minimum complexity increase.
- The major gain: higher throughput on the base layer
- The extra benefit: lower bit-error rate on the enhancement layer



QPSK/16QAM Hierarchical Modulation

There are a couple of ways to find the best rotation angle:

- if the target SNR's are known, maximizing the sum capacity of the two layers.
- if only the power splitting ratio is known, optimizing Euclid distance profile.
- another practical approach is to find the best angle by simulations.

Channel Capacity using N-ary Modulation

- The capacity of a general N-ary modulation can be written by

Signal Constellation and Euclid Distances Profile

$$C_N = \log_2(N) - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} E\left\{\log_2\left[\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}\exp\left(-\frac{|s_j+n-s_i|^2-|n|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)\right]\right\}$$

n denotes normally distributed complex-valued noise with variance σ^2

Achievable Gains



Achievable Rates: 16QAM/QPSK



$P/\sigma^2=(P_1+P_2)/\sigma^2=$ 23dB

Constrained Throughput of Hierarchical Modulations



Inter-Layer Interference



Effective Signal-to-Noise Ratio

- Effective SNR γ_{eff} is defined as the SNR necessitated when the base layer signal is sent alone with the same power.
  - Effective SNR always is less than the actual SNR.
  - The required symbol energy for achieving the same BER is called effective power, which is smaller than actual base-layer signal power.
  - For example, For QPSK/QPSK hierarchical modulation, the effective SNR of base-layer BER p_e is given by

$$\gamma_{\text{eff}}\left(\sigma^2\right)=\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}\left(\sigma^2\right)}{\sigma^2}=\mathrm{P}_{\text{QPSK}}^{-1}\left(p_e\right)\le\gamma=\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{base}}}{\sigma^2}$$

Due to inter-layer interference, effective SNR or effective power is less than actual SNR or power. Stronger inter-layer interference is and smaller effective SNR/power becomes

Modulation Efficiency (1/2)

$$\eta = \gamma_{\text{eff}} \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon_{\text{base}}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}\left(\sigma^2\right)}{\varepsilon_{\text{base}}}$$

$$\eta_{\infty} = \lim_{\sigma^2 \to 0} \eta$$

- Modulation efficiency of a modulated signal is defined by the ratio between effective SNR and actual SNR.
- Modulation efficiency is not greater than 1.
- Modulation efficiency, as well as effective SNR and effective power, is the parameter proposed by us for evaluating the performance of the whole transceiver chain, including modulation and demodulation.
- Asymptotic modulation efficiency is the ratio when the SNR becomes very large and interference becomes dominant.
- Asymptotic modulation efficiency is proposed by us for evaluating the interference resistance capability of both hierarchical modulation scheme and demodulation scheme.

Modulation Efficiency (2/2)



PEP and Minimum Euclid Distance

- A upper bound for pairwise error probability (PEP) can be derived with assuming
  - The Hamming distance is d << K: two codeword c and c' differ in d bits.
  - Perfect interleaving.

pairwise error probability

Minimum Euclid distance

$$\Pr\{\mathbf{c} \to \mathbf{c}' | \mathbf{c}\} = Q\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left\| \mathbf{s}_{k_i} - \mathbf{s}'_{k_i} \right\|^2 \right) \le \prod_{i=1}^{d} e^{-\frac{1}{4\sigma^2}\left\| \mathbf{s}_i - \mathbf{s}'_i \right\|^2} \le e^{-\frac{d}{4\sigma^2}\Delta_{\min}^2}$$

Observation: PEP is dominated by the terms with the smallest squared Euclid distance in high SNR region

Minimum Euclid Distance: QPSK/16QAM





PAPR of OFDM

$$PAPR = \frac{\max|s(t)|^2}{E|s(t)|^2} \approx O(L)$$





8PSK, L=128

Hierarchical Modulation with Rotation



PAPR Reduction with Group-Based Cyclic Delay (1/2)



PAPR Reduction with Group-Based Cyclic Delay (2/2)



Conclusions

- Hierarchical modulation has been adopted in various standards including MediaFLO, DVB-H and UMB.
- The enhance hierarchical modulation is adopted in UMB, the salient features of which include
  - minimum modulation/demodulation complexity increase.
  - high bps: channel capacity gain on lower layer(s)
  - lower BER: signal processing gain.
- The enhanced hierarchical modulation is investigated in terms of
  - achievable throughputs
  - inter-layer interference
  - asymptotic modulation efficiency
  - peak-to-average power ratio
- The enhanced hierarchical modulation is recommended for the next-generation standards.

References

1. T. Cover, **Broadcast channels**, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, Vol. 18, pp. 2-14, Janurary 1972.
2. G. Ungerbock, **Channel coding with multilevel/phase signals**, IEEE Trans. On Information Theory, Vol. 28, No. 1, January 1982, pp. 55-67
3. H. Jiang and P. A. Wilford, **A hierarchical modulation for upgrading digital broadcast systems**, IEEE Trans. Broadcasting, 2005
4. T. W. Sun, R. D. Wesel, **Superposition turbo TCM for multirate broadcast**, IEEE Trans. Communications, Vol. 52, No. 3, March 2004
5. Qualcomm Incorp., **FLO Air Interface Specification** , 80-T0314-1 Rev. D
6. 3GPP2, **Ultra Mobile Broad Physical Layer**, C.P0084-001, February 13, 2007
7. D. Gomez-Barquero and A. Bria, **Feasibility of DVB-H Deployment on Existing Wireless Infrastructure**, IWCT 2005
8. T. Cover and J. Thomas, **Elements of information theory**, John Wiley & Sons, 1991
9. P. P. Bergmans and T. M. Cover, **Cooperative broadcasting**, IEEE Trans. Information Theory, Vol. 20, pp. 317-324, May 1974.
10. C.-E. W. Sundberg, W. C. Wong and R. Steele, **Logarithmic PCM weighted QAM transmission over Gaussian and Rayleigh fading channels**, Proc. IEE, Vol. 134, No. 6, pp. 557-570, October 1987
11. L.-F. Wei, **Coded modulation with unequal error protection**, IEEE Trans. Communication, Vol. 41, pp.1439-1449, October. 1993.
12. LMQS, **BCMCS in LBC**, C30-20070131-001
13. LGE, **Enhanced Hierarchical Modulation**, C30-20070201-003, C30-2007-0206-004.
14. LGE, **Zone-based BCMCS for HRPD**, C30-20050214-014, Feb 2006.