

KAPIL CHAUDHARY

# MODULE THEORY

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

Copyright © 2018 , All rights are reserved.

Kapil Chaudhary

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

<https://contact.sirkapil.me/>

Licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 (the “License”); you may not use this file except in compliance with the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at <http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0>. Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an “AS IS” BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

*First Print, January 2018*

# *Contents*

<i>Introduction to Modules</i>	9
--------------------------------	---



*There are few persons without whom this was impossible.*

*They deserve credit for it so i would love to thank them.*

*Special Thanks to :*

*- Dr. Anuj Bishnoi (Subject Teacher)*

*- Edward Tufte (L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X Tufte Template)*

*Dedicated to my family and my best friend*

*Neeraj K. Gaur*

# *Introduction*

## *Preface*

This sample book discusses the course Module Theory of pure mathematics being taught to post-graduate students in University of Delhi.

## *Reporting Problems*





# Introduction to Modules

## Defination of Module

### Left Module:

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and  $M$  be an abelian group with addition. We say  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module if there exists a mapping<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> often called as scalar multiplication.

$$R \times M \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow ax$$

$$\forall a \in R \text{ and } x \in M$$

satisfying following properties :

$$(a + b)x = ax + bx \quad (1.1)$$

$$a(x + y) = ax + ay \quad (1.2)$$

$$(ab)x = a(bx) \quad (1.3)$$

$$1x = x \quad (1.4)$$

$$\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$$

and denoted by  ${}_R M$

### Right Module:

Let  $R$  be a ring with identity and  $M$  be an abelian group with addition. We say  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module if there exists a mapping

$$M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow xa$$

$$\forall a \in R \text{ and } x \in M$$

satisfying following properties :

$$x(a + b) = xa + xb \quad (1.5)$$

$$(x + y)a = xa + ya \quad (1.6)$$

$$x(ab) = (xa)b \quad (1.7)$$

$$x1 = x \quad (1.8)$$

$$\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$$

and denoted by  $M_R$ .

*Examples :*

1. Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$  then  $V$  is a left as well as right  $F$ -Module.
2. Let  $G$  be any abelian group under addition , then  $G$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Module where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is set of integers.
3. Let  $R$  be ring and  $M = R[x]$  where  $R[x]$  is a group of all polynomials with coefficients in  $R$  then  $M$  is a left as well as a right  $R$ -Module with scalar multiplication being usual multiplication.
4. Let  $M$  be collection of all  $m \times n$  matrices over ring  $R$  , then  $M$  is left  $R$ -Module where scalar multiplication being usual multiplication of a scalar to a matrix.

Suppose ring  $R$  is a field then  $R$ -Module  $R[x]$  is a vector space over field  $R$ .

In particular, if  $M$  is a set of  $1 \times n$  matrices over  $R$  or  $M = R^n$  (set of  $n$ -tuples) then  $R^n$  is a left  $R$ -module.

**Remark: 1.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring then every left  $R$ -module can be transformed to right  $R$ -module and vice-versa.

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be left  $R$ -module and  $R$  be a commutative ring.  
so,  $\exists$  a mapping

$$R \times M \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow ax$$

for each  $a \in R$  and  $x \in M$  satisfying following properties :

$$\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$$

$$(a + b)x = ax + bx$$

$$a(x + y) = ax + ay$$

$$(ab)x = a(bx)$$

$$1x = x$$

$\therefore R$  is a commutative ring.

Now, Define an another mapping

$$M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow x * a = ax$$

To check  $M$  is a right  $R$ -Module , we need to verify properties number ??-(1.8)

(i) *Distributive Law*

$$\begin{aligned} x * (a + b) &= (a + b)x \\ &= ax + bx \\ &= (x * a) + (x * b) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *Distributive Law*

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y) * a &= a(x + y) \\ &= ax + ay \\ &= (x * a) + (y * a) \end{aligned}$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} x * (ab) &= (ab)x \\ &= (ba)x \\ &= b(ax) \\ &= (ax) * b \end{aligned}$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} x * 1 &= 1x \\ &= x \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  ${}_R M$  is transformed to  $M_R$ .

Similarly, Converse statement can be verified.

■

**Remark: 1.2.** Let  $S$  be a subring of ring  $R$  then  ${}_S M$  exists only if  ${}_R M$  exists.

by existence means  $M$  is a valid left module over mentioned ring or subring. i.e. satisfying those four properties.

**Remark: 1.3.** Same Abelian group can have the structure of a Module for a number of different rings.

For Instance, The field  $\mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -module,  $\mathbb{Q}$ -module and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

**Remark: 1.4.** Let  $I$  be left ideal of  $R$  then quotient ring  $R/I$  is a left  $R$ -module.

verification: 'left to reader'

Here scalar multiplication is

$$R \times R/I \rightarrow R/I$$

defined as

$$(a, x + I) \mapsto ax + I$$

$$\forall a \in R \text{ and } \forall x + I \in R/I$$

**Hint:** you need to verify those four properties: (1.1)-(1.4)

■

**Theorem 1.5.** (Elementary Properties:)

Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module. Suppose  $0_m$  and  $0_r$  denotes additive identities of  $M$  and  $R$  respectively. Then, for each  $x \in M$  and  $r \in R$

(i)

$$0_m = 0_r x = r 0_m$$

(ii)

$$r(-x) = (-r)x = -rx$$

*Proof.* (i) As  $0_m$  is the additive identity of  $M$ . so,  $0_m = 0_m + 0_m$

$$\text{Consider } r(0_m + 0_m) = r 0_m = r 0_m + r 0_m$$

$$\text{but, } r(0_m + 0_m) = (r 0_m) + (r 0_m)$$

so, we have

$$r 0_m + r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$$

as  $(M, +)$  is an abelian group so left and right cancellation law holds.

$$\begin{aligned} \cancel{r 0_m} + r 0_m &= \cancel{r 0_m} + 0_m \\ r 0_m &= 0_m \end{aligned}$$

a similar argument can be used to prove  $0_m = 0_r x$ .

(ii) as  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module so  $(r, x) \mapsto rx \in M$

Now, Consider  $(-r)x + rx$

using distributive law

$$\begin{aligned} (-r)x + rx &= (-r + r)x \\ &= 0_r x \\ &= 0_m \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $(-r)x$  is additive inverse of  $(rx)$  but additive inverse of  $(rx)$  is  $-rx$  and it is unique for an abelian group ( $M$  here)

$$\therefore (-r)x = -rx$$

a similar argument can be used to prove that  $r(-x) = -rx$ . ■

**Definition 1.6** (Ring Homomorphism). Let  $R$  and  $S$  be two rings with identities  $1_r, 1_s$  respectively then a map (say  $f$ )

$$f : R \rightarrow S$$

is said to be a ring homomorphism or ring linear map if for every  $a, b \in R$  following properties holds

$\therefore (r, 0_m) \mapsto r 0_m \in M$   
so,  $r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$   
 $\therefore M$  is a left  $R$ -module.  
(using distributive property)

often called as ring homo

if  $R = S$  then we call ring homo as ring endomorphism. For instance, let  $f$  be ring homo from  $R$  to  $R$ . we say  $f$  is endomorphism of  $R$  and denoted by  $\text{End } R$

(i) *Preserves Addition*

$$(a + b)f = (a)f + (b)f$$

(ii) *Preservers Multiplication*

$$(ab)f = (a)f.(b)f$$

(iii) *Maps identity to identity*

$$(1_r)f = 1_s$$

**Remark: 1.7.** Such a mapping need not to be bijective. if it is bijective then we say it is a ring isomorphism or rings are isomorphic.

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $M$  be any abelian group with addition. then  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module if and only if there exists a map which is ring homomorphism from  $R$  to  $\text{End } M$

$M$  is a right  $R$ -module

$$\begin{array}{c} \Downarrow \\ \exists f : R \xrightarrow[\text{Homo}]{\text{Ring}} \text{End } M \end{array}$$

*Proof. (Forward Part)* Let us suppose that  $M$  is a right  $R$ -module.

**Claim:** there exists a map which is ring homomorphism from  $R$  to  $\text{End } M$

$\therefore M$  is a left  $R$ -module , so there exist a map

$$f : M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \mapsto ax$$

satisfying following properties:

$$(x + y)a = (x)a + (y)a$$

$$x(a + b) = xa + xb$$

$$x(ab) = (xa)b$$

$$x1 = x$$

$$\forall x, y \in M \text{ \& } a, b \in R$$

for each  $a \in R$  , define a map(say  $\phi_a$ )

$$\phi_a : M \rightarrow M$$

such that for each  $x \in M$

$$(x)\phi_a = xa \in M$$

Now, we'll show that  $\phi_a \in \text{End } M$

Let  $x, y \in M$

Consider  $(x + y)\phi_a$

$$= (x + y)a$$

using definition of  $\phi_a$

$$= xa + ya$$

using (1.9)

$$= (x)\phi_a + (y)\phi_a$$

so,  $\phi_a$  preserves addition and is a group homo from  $M$  to  $M$ .

i.e.  $\phi_a \in \text{End } M$

Now, we can define a map (say  $f$ )

$$f : R \rightarrow \text{End } M$$

defined as

$$a \mapsto \phi_a$$

$$\forall a \in R \text{ and } \phi_a \in \text{End } M$$

■