### KAPIL CHAUDHARY

# MODULE THEORY

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

Copyright © 2018, All rights are reserved.

Kapil Chaudhary

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

https://contact.sirkapil.me/

Licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 (the "License"); you may not use this file except in compliance with the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0. Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an "AS IS" BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

First Print, January 2018

## Contents

Introduction to Modules 9			
Stay Tuned for next chapters!			
Bibliography 23			

There are few persons without whom this was impossible.

They deserve credit for it so i would love to thank them.

## Special Thanks to:

- Dr. Anuj Bishnoi (Subject Teacher)
- Edward Tufte (LATEX Tufte Templete)

Dedicated to my family and my best friend "Neeraj K. Gaud,"

### Introduction

#### Warning:

This is my first document created using latex so it may be possible that there are several errors. if you notice any error then you can report it here.

https://github.com/sirkapil/module-theory/issues/new1

1 (may require a github account)

#### About:

This sample book discusses the course "Module Theory" being taught to Post-Graduate (M.Sc. Mathematics) students in Department of Mathematics under University of Delhi, Delhi.

All my LATEX documents are free and open-source. Each document is hosted in a github repository and can be found pinned here.

https://github.com/sirkapil

#### Contribution:

If you find my work useful and want to contribute then you are welcome by heart.

Any suitable changes to document repository through pull requests are highly appreciated. You can create a new pull request here. Be sure to read *contribution file* in root/.github folder of repository before creating any pull-request.

https://github.com/sirkapil/module-theory/compare

If you don't have a github account or facing difficulty in creating a pull-request, then feel free to drop down a message here about that you are interested in contribution of this project.

https://cont.netlify.com
https://twitter.com/kapil\_rc

## **Introduction to Modules**

#### Definition of Module

**Definition 1.1 (Left Module).** Let R be a ring with identity and M be an abelian group with addition. We say M is a left R-module if there exists a mapping<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> often called as scaler multiplication.

$$R \times M \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow ax$$
  $\forall a \in R \text{ and } x \in M$ 

satisfying following properties:

$$(a+b)x = ax + bx \tag{1.1}$$

$$a(x+y) = ax + ay$$
 (1.2)  $\forall a, b \in R$   $x, y \in M$ 

$$(ab)x = a(bx) \tag{1.3}$$

$$1x = x \tag{1.4}$$

and denoted by <sub>R</sub>M

**Definition 1.2 (Right Module).** *Let* R *be a ring with identity and* M *be an abelian group with addition. We say* M *is a right* R—*module if there exists a mapping* 

$$M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow xa$$

 $\forall a \in R \text{ and } x \in M$ 

satisfying following properties:

$$x(a+b) = xa + xb \tag{1.5}$$

$$(x+y)a = xa + ya (1.6) \forall a, b \in R$$

$$x(ab) = (xa)b (1.7) x, y \in M$$

$$x1 = x \tag{1.8}$$

and denoted by  $M_R$ .

#### Examples:

- 1. Let *V* be a vector space over a field *F* then *V* is a left as well as right *F*−Module.
- 2. Let G be any abelian group under addition, then G is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Module where  $\mathbb{Z}$  is set of integers.
- 3. Let R be ring and M = R[x] where R[x] is a group of all polynomials with coefficents in *R* then *M* is a left as well as a right *R*–Module with scaler multiplication being usual multiplication.

Suppose ring *R* is a field then

4. Let M be collection of all  $m \times n$  matrices over ring R, then M is left *R*–Module where scaler multiplication being usual multiplication of a scaler to a matrix.

In particular, if M is a set of  $1 \times n$  matrices over R or  $M = R^n$  (set of n-tuples) then  $R^n$  is a left R-module.

**Remark: 1.** Let R be a commutative ring then every left R—module can be transformed to right R-module and vice-versa.

*Proof.* Let *M* be left *R*—module and *R* be a commutative ring. so, ∃ a mapping

$$R \times M \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow ax$$

for each  $a \in R$  and  $x \in M$  satisfying following properties :

$$(a+b)x = ax + bx$$

$$a(x+y) = ax + ay$$

$$(ab)x = a(bx)$$

$$1x = x$$

 $\therefore$  *R* is a commutative ring.

$$M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow x * a = ax$$

To check M is a right R-Module , we need to verify properties number ??-(1.8)

R-Module R[x] is a vector space over field R.

 $\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$ 

(i) Distribuitive Law

$$x*(a+b) = (a+b)x$$
$$= ax + bx$$
$$= (x*a) + (x*b)$$

(ii) Distributive Law

$$(x + y) * a = a(x + y)$$
$$= ax + ay$$
$$= (x * a) + (y * a)$$

(iii)

$$x*(ab) = (ab)x$$
$$= (ba)x$$
$$= b(ax)$$
$$= (ax)*b$$

(iv)

$$x * 1 = 1x$$
$$= x$$

Thus,  $_RM$  is transformed to  $M_R$ .

Similarly, Converse statement can be verified.

**Remark: 2.** Let S be a subring of ring R then <sub>S</sub>M exists only if <sub>R</sub>M exists.

Remark: 3. Same Abelian group can have the structure of a Module for a number of different rings.

**Remark: 4.** Let I be left ideal of R then quotient ring R / I is a left Rmodule.

verification: Left to reader

Hint: you need to verify those four properties: (1.1)-(1.4)

by existance means M is a valid left module over mentioned ring or subring. i.e. satisfying those four properties.

For Instance, The field  $\mathbb R$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -module, $\mathbb{Q}$ -module and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Here scaler multiplication is

$$R \times R / I \rightarrow R / I$$

defined as

$$(a, x+I) \rightarrow ax+I$$

$$\forall a \in R \text{ and } \forall x + I \in R / I$$

#### Theorem 1.1. (Elementry Properties:)

Let M be a left R-module . Suppose  $0_m$  and  $0_r$  denotes additive identities of M and R respectively. Then, for each  $x \in M$  and  $r \in R$ 

(*i*)

$$0_m = 0_r \ x = r \ 0_m$$

(ii)

$$r(-x) = (-r)x = -rx$$

*Proof.* (i) As  $0_m$  is the additive identity of M. so,  $0_m = 0_m + 0_m$ 

Consider 
$$r(0_m + 0_m) = r \ 0_m = r \ 0_m + 0_m$$

but, 
$$r(0_m + 0_m) = (r \ 0_m) + (r \ 0_m)$$

so, we have

$$r 0_m + r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$$

as (M, +) is an abelian group so left and right cancellation law holds.

$$r O_m + r O_m = r O_m + O_m$$

$$r O_m = O_m$$

a similar argument can be used to prove  $0_m = 0_r x$ .

(ii) as M is a left R-module so  $(r, x) \mapsto rx \in M$ 

Now, Consider (-r)x + rx

using distribuitive law

$$(-r)x + rx = (-r+r)x$$
$$= 0_r x$$
$$= 0_m$$

i.e. (-r)x is additive inverse of (rx) but additive inverse of (rx) is -rx and it is unique for an abelian group(M here)

$$\therefore (-r)x = -rx$$

a similar argument can be used to prove that r(-x) = -rx.

**Definition 1.3 ( Ring Homomorphism).** Let R and S be two rings with identities  $1_r$ ,  $1_s$  respectively then a map(say f)

$$f: R \to S$$

is said to be a ring homomorphism or ring linear map if for every a ,  $b \in R$  following properties holds

∴  $(r, 0_m) \rightarrow r 0_m \in M$ so,  $r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$ ∴ M is a left R-module. (using distribuitive property)

often called as ring homo

if R = S then we call ring homo as ring endomorphism. For instance , let f be ring homo from R to R . we say f is endomorphism of R and denoted by  $End\ R$ 

(i) Preserves Addition

$$(a+b)f = (a)f + (b)f$$

(ii) Preservers Multiplication

$$(ab) f = (a) f.(b) f$$

(iii) Maps identity to identity

$$(1_r)f = 1_s$$

Remark: 5. Such a mapping need not to be bijective. if it is bijective then we say it is a ring isomorphism or rings are isomorphic.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let R be a ring and M be any abelian group with addition. then M is a right R-module if and only if there exists a map which is ring homomorphism from R to End M

M is a right R-module  $\exists f: R \xrightarrow{\text{Ring}} End M$ 

*Proof.* (Forward Part) Let us suppose that M is a right R-module.

**Claim:** there exists a map which is ring homomorphism from *R* to End M

 $\therefore$  M is a left R-module, so there exist a map

$$f: M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x,a) \rightarrow ax$$

satisfying following properties:

$$(x + y)a = (x)a + (y)a$$
$$x(a + b) = xa + xb$$
$$x(ab) = (xa)b$$
$$x1 = x$$

 $\forall x,y \in M \& a,b \in R$ 

for each  $a \in R$ , define a map(say  $\phi_a$ )

$$\phi_a: M \rightarrowtail M$$

such that for each  $x \in M$ 

$$(x)\phi_a = xa \in M$$

Now, we'll show that  $\phi_a \in End M$ 

Let  $x, y \in M$ 

Consider  $(x + y)\phi_a$ 

$$= (x + y)a$$

$$= xa + ya$$

$$= (x)\phi_a + (y)\phi_a$$

using defination of  $\phi_a$  using (1.9)

so,  $\phi_a$  preserves addition and is a group homo from M to M.

i.e.  $\phi_a \in End\ M$ 

Now, we can define a map (say f)

$$f: R \rightarrowtail End M$$

defined as

$$(a) f \rightarrow \phi_a$$

 $\forall a \in R \text{ and } \phi_a \in End M$ 

Now, We'll show that f is a ring homomorphism.

(*A*)

$$(a+b)f = \phi_{a+b}$$
$$= \phi_a + \phi_b$$
$$= (a)f + (b)f$$

for each  $x \in M$  we have,

$$(x)\phi_{a+b} = x(a+b) = xa + xb$$
$$= (x)\phi_a + (y)\phi_b$$
$$\therefore \phi_{a+b} = \phi_a + \phi_b$$

(B)

$$(ab)f = \phi_{ab}$$
$$= \phi_a \cdot \phi_b$$
$$= (a)f(b)f$$

for each  $x \in M$  we have,

$$(x)\phi_{ab} = x(ab) = (xa)b$$
$$= (xa)\phi_b = (x)\phi_a \cdot \phi_b$$
$$\therefore \phi_{ab} = \phi_a \cdot \phi_b$$

(C)

$$(1) f = \phi_1$$

for each  $x \in M$  we have,

$$(x)\phi_1 = x(1)$$
$$= x$$

 $\therefore \phi_1$  is identity of *End M* 

Thus, Forward Part is proved.

(*Converse Part*) Assume that  $\exists$  a ring homo.( say f)

$$f: R \xrightarrow{\text{Ring}} End M$$

for any  $a \in R$ , we denote the (a)f by  $f_a \in End\ M$ 

**Claim:** *M* is a right *R*-module.

so let's define a map

$$R \times M \longrightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow x * a = (x) f_a$$

to prove M is a right R-module, we need to verify four properties (1.5)- (1.9) of right R-module.

(*i*)

$$(x+y) * a = (x+y)f_a$$
$$= (x)f_a + (y)f_a$$
$$= x * a + y * a$$

 $\therefore f_a \in End M$ 

(ii)

$$x*(a+b) = (x)f_{a+b}$$
$$= (x)(f_a + f_b)$$
$$= (x)f_a + (x)f_b$$
$$= (x*a) + (x*b)$$

 $\therefore f_a$ ,  $f_b \in End M$ 

(iii)

$$x * (ab) = (x)f_{ab}$$

$$= (x)f_a \cdot f_b$$

$$= (xf_a)f_b$$

$$= (x * a) * b$$

$$x * 1 = (x)f_1 = x$$

Thus, *M* is a right *R*-module.

 $\therefore$   $f_1$  is identity in  $End\ M$ 

**Definition 1.4 (Anti-Ring Homomorphism).** Let R and S be two rings with identities  $1_r$  and  $1_s$  respectively. Define a map f

$$f: R \rightarrowtail S$$

satisfying following properties, for each  $a, b \in R$ 

(*i*)

$$(a+b)f = (a)f + (b)f$$

(ii)

$$(ab)f = (b)f(a)f$$

(iii)

$$(1_r)f = 1_s$$

Then, f is called anti-ring homomorphism.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let R be a ring and M be any abelian group with addition. then M is a left R-module if and only if there exists a map which is anti-ring homomorphism from R to End M.

M is a left R-module  $\updownarrow$   $\exists \ f: R \xrightarrow[\text{Homo}]{\text{Anti-Ring}} \textit{End} \ M$ 

 $\forall x, y \in N$ 

Proof. Left to reader.

**Definition 1.5 (SubModule).** Let M be a left (right) R-module then a subset N of M is called a submodule of M if N is a left (right) R-module under the operation induced from M.

In other words, A subset N of M is called submodule of M if

- (i) N is subgroup of M.
- (ii) N is closed under induced scaler multiplication from M.

**Theorem 1.4 (Criterion for Checking Modules).** *Let* M *be a left (right)* R-module and N be a subset of M then N is a submodule of M if and only if

 $x - y \in N$ 

(i)

(ii)  $ax \in N \qquad \forall a \in R \& x \in N$ 

Proof. Left to reader.

#### Examples:

- 1. As every Vector Space *V* over a Field *F* is a *F*-module. So, submodules of *V* are subspaces of *V*.
- 2. As every abelian group G is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. So, all subgroups of G are submodules.
- 3. Let *R* be a ring then *R* is a left as well as right *R*-module then left (right) ideals of *R* are left (right) submodules of *R*.
- 4. {0} and *M* are trivial submodules of any left (right) *R*-module *M*.

#### Remark: 6.

1. Union of two submodules need not to be a submodule.

Think an example!

2. Intersection of any number of submodules is again a submodule.

Hint: Verify using criterion for checking modules.

#### Remark: 7. (Smallest Submodule containing a set)

Let M be any left (right) R-module and S be any subset of M. Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of all submodules of M containing S.

Let 
$$P = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{F}} N$$

then P is a submodule of M containing S as being intersection of an indexed family of submodules containing S.

Moreover, P is the smallest submodule of M containing S. i.e. for any arbitrary submodule  $K \in \mathcal{F}$  , we have  $P \subseteq K$ . Such submodule P of M is said to be generated by set S and is denoted by

$$P = \langle S \rangle = (S)$$

#### Remark: 8.

Let S be any subset of left R-module M and  $\langle S \rangle$  is the smallest submodule of M containing S.

1. if S is non-empty and finite,  $S = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n\}$ 

$$\langle S \rangle = \langle \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n\} \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n \rangle$$

is said to be a finitely generated by S and is smallest submodule of M containing S.

2. if  $S = \phi$  i.e. S is an empty set

$$\langle S \rangle = \langle \phi \rangle = \{0\}$$

3. if  $S = \{a\}$  i.e. S is singleton then  $\langle S \rangle = \langle a \rangle$  is said to be a cyclic submodule.

**Definition 1.6 (Cyclic module).** <sup>3</sup> A module M is said to be a cyclic module if it can be generated by a single element.

<sup>3</sup> P. M. Cohn. Basic Algebra. Springer, 2 edition, 2005. ISBN 978-1-4471-1060-6

For Example: A ring R over itself is a module and can be generated by identity element {1} so is a cyclic module.

**Theorem 1.5.** Let M be left R module and S being any subset of M.

$$\langle S \rangle = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } S = \phi \\ \left\{ \sum_{i \in J_n} a_i x_i \mid a_i \in R, x_i \in S \right\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

*Proof. Case-I* Let us suppose that  $S = \phi$  ,as  $\langle S \rangle$  is the intersection of all the submodules of M containing S.

i.e. Every submodule of M will contain S

In particular,  $\{0\}$  also contains S i.e.

$$\{0\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

so,

$$\mathcal{F}$$
 is a collection of all submodules of  $M$  containing  $S$ 

$$\langle S \rangle = \bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{F}} N$$
$$= \{0\}$$

Case-II Suppose S is non-empty and let

$$P = \left\{ \sum_{i \in J_n} a_i x_i \mid a_i \in R, x_i \in S \right\}$$

First , we'll show that  $S \subseteq P$ 

Let  $x \in S$  then it can be expressed in following form:

$$x = 1.x = \sum_{i \in J_1} a_i x_i$$

$$\therefore x \in P \Rightarrow S \subseteq P$$

with 
$$a_1 = 1$$
 and  $x_1 = x$ 

 $\therefore$  x was chosen arbitirary.

Now ,we'll show that *P* is a submodule of *M* using submodule criterion.

Let u,  $v \in P$  . so, we need to show  $u + \alpha v \in P$ 

$$u = \sum_{i \in I_n} a_i x_i$$

$$v = \sum_{j \in J_m} b_j y_j$$

for any  $\alpha \in R$ 

$$\forall x_i \in S \& a_i \in R$$

$$\forall y_j \in S \& b_j \in R$$

define, for any  $\alpha \in R$ 

$$z_k = x_k$$
 ,  $c_k = a_k$   $z_{k+j} = y_j$  ,  $c_{k+j} = \alpha b_j$ 

$$k \in J_n$$
$$j \in J_m$$

Thus, we have

$$u + \alpha v = \sum_{i \in J_n} a_i x_i + \alpha \sum_{j \in J_m} b_j y_j$$
$$= \sum_{i \in J_n} a_i x_i + \sum_{j \in J_m} \alpha b_j y_j$$
$$= \sum_{k \in J_n} c_k z_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} c_k z_k$$
$$= \sum_{k \in J_{n+m}} c_k z_k$$

so, *P* is a submmodule of *M* containing *S*.

Now, we'll show that *P* is smallest submmodule of *M* containing S.

Let *K* be any arbitirary submodule of *M* containing *S* 

i.e. 
$$K \in \mathcal{F}$$

- $\therefore$  *K* is a submodule and  $S \subseteq K$
- : *K* is closed under scaler multiplication and addition.

i.e 
$$\sum_{i \in J_n} a_i x_i \in K$$

 $\forall a_i \in R \& x_i \in S$ 

so,

$$P = \langle S \rangle \subseteq K$$

Hence, *P* is smallest submmodule of *M* containing *S*.

Definition 1.7 (Generating Set / Set of Generators). A set of generators for a left (right) R-module M is a subet S of M such that

$$M = \langle S \rangle$$

if no proper submodule of M contains S then S generates M (verify?)

#### **Examples**

- 1. A ring R considered as left (right) R-module is generated by identity element {1}
- 2.  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  can be generated by

$$S = \{(0,1), (1,0)\}$$

- 3. All finite dimensional vector space can be generated by it's basis (finite), so is finitely generated submodule.
- 4. Let *R* be a ring, *I* be left(right) ideal of *R* then it is a left(right) R-module. So, every finitely generated left(right) ideals of R are finitely generated submodule.
- 5. A submodule of left R-module is cyclic iff it is prinicipal ideal of  $_RR$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Let M be a left R-module and  $\{N_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\Omega}$  be family of submodules of M then sum  $\sum_{{\alpha}\in\Omega}N_{\alpha}$  is defined to be a submodule of M generated by  $\bigcup_{{\alpha}\in\Omega}N_{\alpha}$ 

where  $\Omega$  is indexing set.

$$\left\langle \bigcup_{lpha \in \Omega} N_{lpha} \right
angle = \sum_{lpha \in \Omega} N_{lpha}$$

Moreover,  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega} N_{\alpha}$  is smallest submodule of M containing  $N_{\alpha}$ 

for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ 

**Proposition 1.6.** Let M be a left R-module and  $\{N_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\Omega}$  be family of submodules of M then sum

where  $\Omega$  is indexing set.

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Omega}N_\alpha=\left\{\sum_{\alpha\in\Omega}x_\alpha\right|x_\alpha\in N_\alpha\quad\text{, }x_\alpha=0\text{ for almost all }\alpha\right\}$$

for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ 

Proof. Let

$$P = \left\{ \sum_{lpha \in \Omega} x_{lpha} \,\middle|\, x_{lpha} \in N_{lpha} \quad \text{, } x_{lpha} = 0 \text{ for almost all } lpha 
ight\}$$

We need to show that P is smallest submodule of M containing each  $N_{\alpha}$ 

**Claim 1**:. *P* is submodule of *M* Clearly, *P* is non-emptyy. Taking  $x_{\alpha} = 0$  for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ , we have

$$\Rightarrow 0 \in P$$

Also, for a fixed but arbitirary  $\alpha \in \Omega$  taking

$$x_i = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } i = \alpha \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

so 
$$x = \sum x_{\alpha} \in P$$

## Stay Tuned for next chapters!

## Bibliography

P. M. Cohn. *Basic Algebra*. Springer, 2 edition, 2005. ISBN 978-1-4471-1060-6.