KAPIL CHAUDHARY

MODULE THEORY

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

Copyright © 2018, All rights are reserved.

Kapil Chaudhary

UNIVERSITY OF DELHI

https://contact.sirkapil.me/

Licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 (the "License"); you may not use this file except in compliance with the License. You may obtain a copy of the License at http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0. Unless required by applicable law or agreed to in writing, software distributed under the License is distributed on an "AS IS" BASIS, WITHOUT WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS OF ANY KIND, either express or implied. See the License for the specific language governing permissions and limitations under the License.

First Print, January 2018

Contents

Introduction to Modules

9

There are few persons without whom this was impossible.

They deserve credit for it so i would love to thank them.

Special Thanks to:

- Dr. Anuj Bishnoi (Subject Teacher)
- Edward Tufte (LATEX Tufte Templete)

Dedicated to my family and my best friend

Neeraj K. Gaud

Introduction

Warning:

This is my first document created using latex so it may be possible that there are several errors. if you notice any error then you can report it here.

https://github.com/sirkapil/module-theory/issues/new1

1 (may require a github account)

About:

This sample book discusses the course "Module Theory" being taught to Post-Graduate (M.Sc. Mathematics) students in Department of Mathematics under University of Delhi, Delhi.

All my LATEX documents are free and open-source. Each document is hosted in a github repository and can be found pinned here.

https://github.com/sirkapil

Contribution:

If you find my work useful and want to contribute then you are welcome by heart.

Any suitable changes to document repository through pull requests are highly appreciated. You can create a new pull request here. Be sure to read *contribution file* in root/.github folder of repository before creating any pull-request.

https://github.com/sirkapil/module-theory/compare

If you don't have a github account or facing difficulty in creating a pull-request, then feel free to drop down a message here about that you are interested in contribution of this project.

https://cont.netlify.com
https://twitter.com/kapil_rc

Introduction to Modules

Defination of Module

Left Module:

Let R be a ring with identity and M be an abelian group with addition. We say M is a left R-module if there exists a mapping²

² often called as scaler multiplication.

$$R \times M \to M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow ax$$
 $\forall a \in R \text{ and } x \in M$

satisfying following properties:

$$(a+b)x = ax + bx \tag{1.1}$$

$$a(x+y) = ax + ay$$
 (1.2) $\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$

$$(ab)x = a(bx) \tag{1.3}$$

$$1x = x \tag{1.4}$$

and denoted by $_RM$

Right Module:

Let R be a ring with identity and M be an abelian group with addition. We say M is a right R—module if there exists a mapping

$$M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow xa$$

 $\forall a \in R \text{ and } x \in M$

 $\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$

satisfying following properties:

$$x(a+b) = xa + xb \tag{1.5}$$

$$(x+y)a = xa + ya \tag{1.6}$$

$$x(ab) = (xa)b (1.7)$$

$$x1 = x \tag{1.8}$$

and denoted by M_R .

Examples:

- 1. Let *V* be a vector space over a field *F* then *V* is a left as well as right *F*−Module.
- 2. Let G be any abelian group under addition , then G is a \mathbb{Z} -Module where \mathbb{Z} is set of integers.
- 3. Let R be ring and M = R[x] where R[x] is a group of all polynomials with coefficients in R then M is a left as well as a right R-Module with scaler multiplication being usual multiplication.

Suppose ring R is a field then R—Module R[x] is a vector space over field R.

4. Let M be collection of all $m \times n$ matrices over ring R, then M is left R-Module where scaler multiplication being usual multiplication of a scaler to a matrix.

In particular, if M is a set of $1 \times n$ matrices over R or $M = R^n$ (set of n—tuples) then R^n is a left R—module.

Remark: 1.1. Let R be a commutative ring then every left R-module can be transformed to right R-module and vice-versa.

Proof. Let M be left R—module and R be a commutative ring. so, \exists a mapping

$$R \times M \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow ax$$

for each $a \in R$ and $x \in M$ satisfying following properties :

$$(a+b)x = ax + bx$$

$$a(x+y) = ax + ay$$

$$(ab)x = a(bx)$$

$$1x = x$$

∴ *R* is a commutative ring. Now, Define an another mapping

$$M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow x * a = ax$$

To check M is a right R—Module , we need to verify properties number $\ref{eq:model}$ (1.8)

 $\forall a, b \in R \text{ and } x, y \in M$

(i) Distribuitive Law

$$x*(a+b) = (a+b)x$$
$$= ax + bx$$
$$= (x*a) + (x*b)$$

(ii) Distributive Law

$$(x+y) * a = a(x+y)$$
$$= ax + ay$$
$$= (x*a) + (y*a)$$

(iii)

$$x * (ab) = (ab)x$$
$$= (ba)x$$
$$= b(ax)$$
$$= (ax) * b$$

(iv)

$$x * 1 = 1x$$
$$= x$$

Thus, $_RM$ is transformed to M_R .

Similarly, Converse statement can be verified.

Remark: 1.2. Let S be a subring of ring R then $_SM$ exists only if $_RM$ exists.

Remark: 1.3. Same Abelian group can have the structure of a Module for a number of different rings.

Remark: 1.4. Let I be left ideal of R then quotient ring R / I is a left R-module.

verification: 'left to reader'

Hint: you need to verify those four properties: (1.1)-(1.4)

by existance means M is a valid left module over mentioned ring or subring. i.e. satisfying those four properties.

For Instance, The field $\mathbb R$ is $\mathbb R-\text{module}, \mathbb Q-\text{module}$ and $\mathbb Z-\text{module}.$

Here scaler multiplication is

$$R \times R / I \rightarrow R / I$$

defined as

$$(a, x+I) \rightarrow ax+I$$

$$\forall a \in R \text{ and } \forall x + I \in R / I$$

Theorem 1.5. (Elementry Properties:)

Let M be a left R-module . Suppose 0_m and 0_r denotes additive identities of M and R respectively. Then, for each $x \in M$ and $r \in R$

(*i*)

$$0_m = 0_r \ x = r \ 0_m$$

(ii)

$$r(-x) = (-r)x = -rx$$

Proof. (i) As 0_m is the additive identity of M. so, $0_m = 0_m + 0_m$

Consider
$$r(0_m + 0_m) = r \ 0_m = r \ 0_m + 0_m$$

but,
$$r(0_m + 0_m) = (r \ 0_m) + (r \ 0_m)$$

so, we have

$$r 0_m + r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$$

as (M, +) is an abelian group so left and right cancellation law holds.

$$r 0_m + r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$$

$$r 0_m = 0_m$$

a similar argument can be used to prove $0_m = 0_r x$.

(ii) as M is a left R-module so $(r, x) \rightarrow rx \in M$

Now, Consider (-r)x + rx

using distribuitive law

$$(-r)x + rx = (-r+r)x$$
$$= 0_r x$$
$$= 0_m$$

i.e. (-r)x is additive inverse of (rx) but additive inverse of (rx) is -rx and it is unique for an abelian group(M here)

$$(-r)x = -rx$$

a similar argument can be used to prove that r(-x) = -rx.

Definition 1.6 (Ring Homomorphism). Let R and S be two rings with identities 1_r , 1_s respectively then a map(say f)

$$f: R \to S$$

is said to be a ring homomorphism or ring linear map if for every a , $b \in R$ following properties holds

∴ $(r, 0_m) \rightarrow r 0_m \in M$ so, $r 0_m = r 0_m + 0_m$ ∴ M is a left R-module. (using distribuitive property)

often called as ring homo

if R = S then we call ring homo as ring endomorphism. For instance , let f be ring homo from R to R . we say f is endomorphism of R and denoted by $End\ R$

(i) Preserves Addition

$$(a+b)f = (a)f + (b)f$$

(ii) Preservers Multiplication

$$(ab) f = (a) f.(b) f$$

(iii) Maps identity to identity

$$(1_r)f = 1_s$$

Remark: 1.7. Such a mapping need not to be bijective. if it is bijective then we say it is a ring isomorphism or rings are isomorphic.

Theorem 1.8. Let R be a ring and M be any abelian group with addition. then M is a right R-module if and only if there exists a map which is ring homomorphism from R to End M

M is a right R-module $\exists f: R \xrightarrow{\text{Ring}} End M$

Proof. (Forward Part) Let us suppose that *M* is a right *R*-module.

Claim: there exists a map which is ring homomorphism from *R* to End M

 \therefore *M* is a left *R*-module , so there exist a map

$$f: M \times R \rightarrow M$$

defined by

$$(x,a) \rightarrow ax$$

satisfying following properties:

$$(x+y)a = (x)a + (y)a$$
$$x(a+b) = xa + xb$$
$$x(ab) = (xa)b$$
$$x1 = x$$

 $\forall x,y \in M \& a,b \in R$

for each $a \in R$, define a map(say ϕ_a)

$$\phi_a: M \rightarrowtail M$$

such that for each $x \in M$

$$(x)\phi_a = xa \in M$$

Now, we'll show that $\phi_a \in End M$

Let $x, y \in M$

Consider $(x + y)\phi_a$

$$= (x + y)a$$

$$= xa + ya$$

$$= (x)\phi_a + (y)\phi_a$$

using defination of ϕ_a using (1.9)

so, ϕ_a preserves addition and is a group homo from M to M.

i.e. $\phi_a \in End\ M$

Now, we can define a map (say f)

$$f:R \rightarrowtail End\ M$$

defined as

$$(a)f \rightarrow \phi_a$$

 $\forall a \in R \text{ and } \phi_a \in End M$

Now, We'll show that f is a ring homomorphism.

(*A*)

$$(a+b)f = \phi_{a+b}$$
$$= \phi_a + \phi_b$$
$$= (a)f + (b)f$$

(B)

$$(ab)f = \phi_{ab}$$
$$= \phi_a \cdot \phi_b$$
$$= (a)f(b)f$$

(C)

$$(1) f = \phi_1$$

Thus, Forward Part is proved.

for each $x \in M$ we have,

$$(x)\phi_{a+b} = x(a+b)$$

$$= xa + xb \qquad = (x)\phi_a + (y)\phi_b$$

$$\therefore \phi_{a+b} = \phi_a + \phi_b$$

for each $x \in M$ we have,

$$(x)\phi_{ab} = x(ab)$$

$$= (xa)b = (xa)\phi_b$$

$$= (x)\phi_a \cdot \phi_b$$

$$\therefore \phi_{ab} = \phi_a \cdot \phi_b$$

for each $x \in M$ we have,

$$(x)\phi_1 = x(1)$$
$$= x$$

 $\therefore \phi_1$ is identity of *End M*

(*Converse Part*) Assume that \exists a ring homo.(say f)

$$f: R \xrightarrow{\text{Ring}} End M$$

for any $a \in R$, we denote the (a)f by $f_a \in End\ M$

Claim: *M* is a right *R*-module.

so let's define a map

$$R \times M \longrightarrow M$$

 $:: f_a \in End M$

defined by

$$(a, x) \rightarrow x * a = (x) f_a$$

to prove M is a right R-module , we need to verify four properties (1.5)- (1.9) of right R-module.

(*i*)

$$(x + y) * a = (x + y)f_a$$
$$= (x)f_a + (y)f_a$$
$$= x * a + y * a$$

(ii)

$$x * (a + b) = (x)f_{a+b}$$

$$= (x)(f_a + f_b)$$

$$= (x)f_a + (x)f_b$$

$$= (x * a) + (x * b)$$

$$\therefore f_a, f_b \in End M$$

(iii)

$$x*(ab) = (x)f_{ab}$$

$$= (x)f_a \cdot f_b$$

$$= (xf_a)f_b$$

$$= (x*a)*b$$

(iv)
$$x * 1 = (x) f_1 = x$$

Thus, *M* is a right *R*-module.

 \therefore f_1 is identity in $End\ M$

Definition 1.1 (Anti-Ring Homomorphism). Let *R* and *S* be two rings with identities 1_r and 1_s respectively. Define a map f

$$f: R \rightarrowtail S$$

satisfying following properties, for each $a, b \in R$

(i)
$$(a+b)f = (a)f + (b)f$$

$$(ii) (ab)f = (b)f(a)f$$

$$(iii) (1_r)f = 1_s$$

Then, *f* is called anti-ring homomorphism.

Theorem 1.9. Let R be a ring and M be any abelian group with addition. then M is a left R-module if and only if there exists a map which is anti-ring homomorphism from R to End M.

M is a left R-module $\updownarrow \\ \exists \ f: R \xrightarrow[\text{Homo}]{\text{Anti-Ring}} \textit{End} \ M$

Proof. Left to reader.

Submodules

Definition 1.2 (SubModule). Let M be a left (right) R-module then a subset N of M is called a submodule of M if \underline{N} is a left (right) R-module under the operation induced from M.

In other words, A subset *N* of *M* is called submodule of *M*

- (i) N is subgroup of M.
- (ii) *N* is closed under induced scaler multiplication from *M*.

Theorem 1.10 (Criterion for Checking Modules). Let M be a left (right) R-module and N be a subset of M then N is a submodule of M if and only if

$$(i) \forall x, y \in N$$

(ii)
$$ax \in N \qquad \forall a \in R \& x \in N$$

Proof. Left to reader.

Examples:

- 1. As every Vector Space *V* over a Field *F* is a *F*-module. So, submodules of *V* are subspaces of *V*.
- 2. As every abelian group G is a \mathbb{Z} -module. So, all subgroups of G are submodules.
- 3. Let *R* be a ring then *R* is a left as well as right *R*-module then left (right) ideals of *R* are left (right) submodules of *R*.
- 4. 0 and *M* are trivial submodules of any left (right) *R*-module *M*.